

On generalized WKB expansion of monodromy generating function

Roman Klimov *

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University
1455 de Maisonneuve W., Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1M8*

Abstract

We study symplectic properties of the monodromy map of the Schrodinger equation on a Riemann surface with a meromorphic potential having second order poles. At first, we discuss the conditions for the base projective connection, which induces its own set of Darboux homological coordinates, to imply the Goldman Poisson structure on the character variety. Using this result, we extend the paper [4], by performing generalized WKB expansion of the generating function of monodromy symplectomorphism (the Yang-Yang function) and computing its first three terms.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Spaces of quadratic differentials with second order poles	5
2.1	Canonical double cover	5
2.2	Period coordinates. Homological symplectic form	7
2.3	Variational formulas	7
2.4	Bergman tau-function	9
3	Monodromy map	9
3.1	Monodromy symplectomorphism and Goldman bracket	9
3.2	Admissible meromorphic projective connections	10
3.3	Definition of the monodromy generating function	19
4	Generalized WKB expansion of the Monodromy generating function	20
4.1	WKB approximation of the Schrodinger equation	20
4.2	WKB expansion of the Yang-Yang function	22
4.2.1	Term G_{-1}	23
4.2.2	Term G_0	23
4.2.3	Term G_1	24
	References	29

1 Introduction

Consider the linear second order equation on a Riemann surface \mathcal{C} of genus g with n punctures (the stationary Schrödinger equation) in the form

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S - Q \right) \phi = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

*Email address: roman.klimov@concordia.ca

where S is a fixed meromorphic projective connection on \mathcal{C} with at most simple poles at the punctures $(z_j)_{j=1}^n$ and depending holomorphically on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, Q is a meromorphic quadratic differential with simple zeroes and double poles at (z_j) with the asymptotics:

$$Q(x) \sim \left(\frac{r_j^2}{\xi_j^2} + O(\xi_j^{-1}) \right) (d\xi_j)^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Here and below, we will assume that $r_j^2 \notin \mathbb{R}_-$. Geometrically it means that Q is free from saddle trajectories i.e., none of horizontal trajectories of Q connect two of its zeros. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ the moduli space of pairs (\mathcal{C}, Q) and by $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ its corresponding stratum for fixed values of r_j 's. The solution to (1.1) is locally a $-\frac{1}{2}$ differential which could be written as

$$\phi = \phi(\xi)(d\xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Take two linearly independent solutions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and consider their ratio $f = \phi_1/\phi_2$. Analytic continuation of f along the cycles of $\pi(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x_0)$ determines a $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ monodromy representation of the fundamental group with the chosen basepoint x_0 . The choice of standard generators $(\{\kappa_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\alpha, \beta\}_{j=1}^g)$ of the fundamental group with single relation

$$\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_n \prod_{i=1}^g \alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1} = id \quad (1.4)$$

yield the same relation on the monodromy matrices

$$M_{\kappa_1} \dots M_{\kappa_n} \prod_{i=1}^g M_{\alpha_i} M_{\beta_i} M_{\alpha_i}^{-1} M_{\beta_i}^{-1} = I. \quad (1.5)$$

The matrix M_{κ_j} corresponding to the monodromy around the pole z_j has the following diagonal form:

$$D_j = \begin{pmatrix} m_j & 0 \\ 0 & m_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$m_j^2 = e^{4\pi i \lambda_j}. \quad (1.7)$$

Local analysis of the solutions for (1.1) implies the following relation between the biresidues (r_j) and eigenvalues (m_j)

$$r_j^2 = \lambda_j(\lambda_j - 1). \quad (1.8)$$

We denote by $CV_{g,n}$ the $PSL(2)$ character variety corresponding to the representation (1.5). It is well known that the stratum $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ for fixed values m_j is a symplectic leaf with a Poisson structure given by the Goldman bracket [12].

The space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ admits a system of local coordinates defined in the following way: for every pair $(\mathcal{C}, Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ consider equation $v^2 = Q$ in $T^*\mathcal{C}$. Although \sqrt{Q} is not single valued on \mathcal{C} , the equation induces a branched double covering $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where v is a single-valued Abelian differential. The map π is branched at (simple) zeroes of Q denoted by $(x_j)_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n}$. Thus, each double pole z_j has two preimages that we call $(z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})$. The enumeration of these points is chosen such that the residue of v at $z_j^{(1)}$ equals r_j and the residue of v at $z_j^{(2)}$ equals $-r_j$. The genus of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\hat{g} = g + g^-$, with $g^- = 3g - 3 + n$. The surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is equipped with a natural holomorphic involution $\mu : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ which interchanges the sheets of the double cover. The involution induces the splitting of the homology group $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus (z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})_{j=1}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ into even H_+ and odd H_- parts. We choose appropriate subset of cycles $(a_i^-, b_i^-)_{i=1}^{g^-} \in H_-$ with intersection index $a_i^- \circ b_j^- = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$ so that the integrals

$$A_j = \oint_{a_j^-} v, \quad B_j = \oint_{b_j^-} v \quad (1.9)$$

become local period (or homological) coordinates on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ [15]. The intersection pairing defines the natural symplectic form on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$

$$\Omega_{\text{hom}} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} 2\delta B_j \wedge \delta A_j. \quad (1.10)$$

Remark 1. Throughout this article we will use the "δ" symbol as differential w.r.t. moduli, while "d" refers to the differential w.r.t. some local coordinate near a point on surface.

The character variety $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ is equipped with a symplectic form that inverts a natural Poisson structure given by the Goldman bracket. It could be written in terms of homological shear coordinates which are appropriate linear combinations of the logarithms of Thurston's shear coordinates [20]:

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} 2\delta\rho_{a_j^-} \wedge \delta\rho_{b_j^-}. \quad (1.11)$$

Introduce the Bergman projective connection S_B defined in terms of the canonical bidifferential $B(x, y)$ on \mathcal{C} and normalized w.r.t chosen Torelli marking in $H_1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$S_B(x) = \left(B(x, y) - \frac{d\xi(x)d\xi(y)}{(\xi(x) - \xi(y))^2} \right) \Big|_{y=x}, \quad (1.12)$$

where ξ is any local coordinate near point x . As long as S_B depends holomorphically on the conformal structure \mathcal{C} , the difference $S - S_B$ becomes a family of quadratic differentials with at most simple poles at the punctures (z_j) , depending holomorphically on moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Using the identification of the moduli space of quadratic differentials with simple poles and the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, we can associate $S - S_B$ with the 1-form $\Theta_{(S-S_B)}$, locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, in the following way.

At first, introduce the set of holomorphic local coordinates (Ω_{jk}, q_l) on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, $g \geq 2$. To determine locally the conformal structure of \mathcal{C} we pick at generic point of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ (outside of hyperelliptic locus for $g \geq 3$) a set D of $3g - 3$ entries of the period matrix Ω of \mathcal{C} . The quadratic differentials corresponding to cotangent vectors $\delta\Omega_{jk}$ are products $u_j u_k$ of normalized holomorphic differentials. An additional set of n coordinates which determine the positions of punctures $(z_l)_{l=1}^n$ on \mathcal{C} we choose to be $q_k = (u_i/u_j)(z_l)$ where u_i and u_j form a pair of normalized holomorphic 1-forms on \mathcal{C} , s.t. $u_j(z_l) \neq 0$. The quadratic differential corresponding to cotangent vector δq_l is the meromorphic quadratic differential Q^{z_l} (given by the formula (3.57) below) whose only simple pole is at z_l . These coordinates are local: in different coordinate charts on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ one might need to choose other pairs of normalized holomorphic differentials and/or different Torelli markings. The momenta p_l are then defined to be coefficients of decomposition of the quadratic differential $S - S_B$ in the basis described above. Writing down the quadratic differential $S - S_B$ as

$$S - S_B = \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} u_j u_k + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l Q^{z_l}, \quad (1.13)$$

where p_{jk} and p_l are holomorphic functions of (Ω_{jk}, q_l) , the corresponding 1-form $\Theta_{(S-S_B)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ reads as

$$\Theta_{(S-S_B)} = \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \delta\Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \delta q_l. \quad (1.14)$$

Local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ for $g = 0, 1$ have a special description and were covered in [16].

Our first main result imposes a condition on projective connection S of (1.1) for the monodromy map to become a symplectomorphism.

Theorem 1. *The monodromy map*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S)} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] \rightarrow CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}] \quad (1.15)$$

is a symplectomorphism with $\mathcal{F}_{(S)}^ \Omega_G = -\Omega_{\text{hom}}$ iff the 1-form $\Theta_{(S-S_B)}$, corresponding to family of quadratic differentials $S - S_B$ (which is locally defined on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$), is closed, $\delta\Theta_{(S-S_B)} = 0$.*

Statement of the theorem generalizes the results proven in [5], [16], where the differential Q is assumed to be holomorphic or with simple poles, respectively. The proofs were based on the identification of the homological symplectic form with the canonical form on $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ which does not hold in presence of second order poles.

Let us take $S = S_B$. Choosing symplectic potentials on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$:

$$\theta_{hom} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} (B_j \delta A_j - A_j \delta B_j) \quad (1.16)$$

and on $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$:

$$\theta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} (\rho_{b_j^-} \delta \rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} \delta \rho_{b_j^-}) \quad (1.17)$$

we may consider the generating function of this symplectomorphism (the Yang-Yang function from [19]) given by

$$\delta \mathcal{G}_B = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \theta_G - \theta_{hom}. \quad (1.18)$$

While \mathcal{G}_B is invariant under symplectic transformations of the basis $(a_i^-, b_i^-) \in H_-$, its dependence on the Torelli marking of \mathcal{C} was unclear [4]. The following result addresses this issue.

Proposition 1. *Let two Torelli markings α^σ and α be related by $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ matrix*

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C & A \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} : \quad \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}^\sigma = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.19)$$

Under this change the monodromy generating function transforms as

$$\mathcal{G}_B^\sigma = \mathcal{G}_B + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi i r_i \left(\text{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_1 - \text{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_0 \right) + 6\pi i \log \det(C\Omega + D), \quad (1.20)$$

where

$$v_0^2 = Q, \quad v_1^2 = Q + 6\pi i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} u_j u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega_{jk}} \log \det(C\Omega + D) \quad (1.21)$$

define two canonical coverings. The regularization of the integrals at the endpoints is given by (3.18).

Although \mathcal{G}_B is defined very implicitly, the WKB approximation of the equation (1.1) allows us to compute its asymptotic expansion. Let Q_1 be a meromorphic differential on \mathcal{C} with at most simple poles at the punctures $(z_j)_{j=1}^n$, holomorphically depending on moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. For a small parameter \hbar take the base projective connection

$$S = S_B - \frac{2Q_1}{\hbar} \quad (1.22)$$

and consider second order equation on a Riemann surface \mathcal{C} in the form

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q_1}{\hbar} - \frac{Q}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0, \quad (1.23)$$

which is a generalization of the equation with $Q_1 \equiv 0$, originally studied in [4].

Theorem 2. *Consider the monodromy map*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar] \rightarrow CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)] \quad (1.24)$$

of equation (1.23) between the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$ of pairs $(\mathcal{C}, Q/\hbar^2)$ and the symplectic leaf $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$ of the $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety, where each $m_j(\hbar)$ is related to r_j via (1.7 – 1.8) by

$$\frac{r_j}{\hbar} = \pm \left[\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (1.25)$$

Then the map $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}$ is a symplectomorphism iff the 1-form $\Theta_{(Q_1)}$, which is locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ by (1.14), is closed, $\delta\Theta_{(Q_1)} = 0$. The monodromy generating function \mathcal{G}_B has the following asymptotics as $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \frac{G_{-1}}{\hbar} + G_0 + G_1\hbar + O(\hbar^2). \quad (1.26)$$

Here

$$G_{-1} = \hat{G}_{(Q_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad (1.27)$$

where there exists a local holomorphic function $\hat{G}_{(Q_1)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, s.t.

$$\delta\hat{G}_{(Q_1)} = \Theta_{(Q_1)}. \quad (1.28)$$

Explicit form of $\hat{G}_{(Q_1)}$ depends on the concrete choice of Q_1 ;

$$G_0 = -12\pi i \log \tau_B|_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_j^2} v \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3}, \quad (1.29)$$

here $\log \tau_B|_r$ is the Bergman tau-function defined on stratum of the moduli space of quadratic differentials with n second order poles with fixed biresidues (see [2] for the definition and main properties). In fact, τ_B could be viewed as a section of the determinant line bundle of the Hodge vector bundle over the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$, $q(x)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathcal{C} given by

$$q(x) = \frac{S_B - S_v}{2v^2}, \quad (1.30)$$

where S_v is the Schwarzian projective connection

$$S_v(\xi(x)) = \left\{ \int^x v, \xi(x) \right\} (d\xi(x))^2, \quad (1.31)$$

($\{\cdot, \xi\}$ is the Schwarzian derivative, ξ is a local coordinate on \mathcal{C});

$$G_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{72} \operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{4} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} q \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i}{16r_j} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5}, \quad (1.32)$$

where the first sum runs over the branch points (x_i) of the double cover.

This paper is organized as follows: in **Section 2** we describe the geometry and main objects associated with the canonical double cover, **Section 3** is devoted to the symplectic properties of the monodromy map. In **Section 3** we perform the generalized WKB expansion of the monodromy generating function and compute its first few terms.

2 Spaces of quadratic differentials with second order poles

2.1 Canonical double cover

Denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ the moduli space of meromorphic quadratic differentials on Riemann surface \mathcal{C} of genus g with n double poles (z_1, \dots, z_n) and $4g - 4 + 2n$ simple zeroes ($x_1, \dots, x_{4g-4+2n}$). We assume that any quadratic differential $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ has the following asymptotics near poles:

$$Q(x) \sim \left(\frac{r_j^2}{\xi_j^2} + O(\xi_j^{-1}) \right) (d\xi_j)^2, \quad (2.1)$$

as $x \rightarrow z_i$, here ξ_j is any local coordinate near pole z_j . For all such Q the equation $v^2 = Q$ in the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{C}$ defines double covering $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, branched at zeroes of Q . The covering surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ possesses a natural holomorphic involution $\mu : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. The differential v is single-valued and meromorphic on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, skew-symmetric under

the involution: $v(x^\mu) = -v(x)$. v has double zeroes at branch points $(x_j)_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n}$ and simple poles at $2n$ preimages of $(z_j)_{j=1}^n$ denoted by $z_j^{(1)}$ and $z_j^{(2)}$ with residues r_j and $-r_j$, respectively. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies the genus of the covering surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ equals

$$\hat{g} = 4g - 3 + n. \quad (2.2)$$

We decompose the first homology group of $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ into

$$H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n, \mathbb{Z}) = H_+ \oplus H_-, \quad (2.3)$$

which are the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of the map, induced by the involution μ . $\dim(H_+) = 2g + n - 1$ and $\dim(H_-) = 6g - 6 + 3n := 2g^- + n$. The canonical basis of $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ can be chosen as follows:

$$\{a_k, a_k^\mu, \tilde{a}_l, b_k, b_k^\mu, \tilde{b}_l, t_j, t_j^\mu\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, g, \quad l = 1, \dots, 2g - 3 + n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.4)$$

Here $\{a_k, b_k, a_k^\mu, b_k^\mu\}$ is a lift of the canonical basis of cycles $\{a_k, b_k\}$ from \mathcal{C} to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$\mu_* a_k = a_k^\mu, \quad \mu_* b_k = b_k^\mu, \quad \mu_* \tilde{a}_l + \tilde{a}_l = \mu_* \tilde{b}_l + \tilde{b}_l = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

$\{t_j, t_j^\mu\}$ is a lift of a small positively oriented loop t_j around z_j on \mathcal{C} . On double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, t_j denotes a positively oriented loop encircling $z_j^{(1)}$, while t_j^μ is a small loop around $z_j^{(2)}$. In the group H_+ there is a single relation given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (t_j + t_j^\mu) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

The classes

$$a_k^+ = \frac{1}{2}(a_k + a_k^\mu), \quad b_k^+ = \frac{1}{2}(b_k + b_k^\mu), \quad t_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(t_j + t_j^\mu) \quad (2.7)$$

generate the group H^+ with the intersection index

$$a_i^+ \circ b_k^+ = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ik}, \quad (2.8)$$

while t_j^+ 's have zero intersection with all cycles. The following cycles

$$a_k^- = \frac{1}{2}(a_k - a_k^\mu), \quad b_k^- = \frac{1}{2}(b_k - b_k^\mu), \quad (2.9)$$

$$a_l^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{a}_l, \quad b_l^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{b}_l, \quad (2.10)$$

$$t_j^- = \frac{1}{2}(t_j - t_j^\mu) \quad (2.11)$$

are the generators of the group H_- . Similarly, their intersection index is

$$a_i^- \circ b_k^- = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ik} \quad (2.12)$$

and all other intersections are zero.

The differential v is used to introduce a system of local coordinates on both \mathcal{C} and $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. If x is a point of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ which does not coincide with branch points $\{x_i\}$ and poles $\{z_i^{(1)}, z_i^{(2)}\}$ then the local coordinate (also called "flat" coordinate) near x is given by

$$z(x) = \int_{x_1}^x v, \quad (2.13)$$

x_1 is a chosen "first" zero of v . $z(x)$ could also be used as a coordinate on \mathcal{C} outside branch points and poles. Notice that in this case $v = dz$. Near a branch point x_i on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ the *distinguished* local coordinate is given by

$$\hat{\xi}_i(x) = \left(\int_{x_i}^x v \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.14)$$

On the curve \mathcal{C} the local coordinate near x_i is

$$\xi_i(x) = \hat{\xi}_i^2(x) = \left(\int_{x_i}^x v \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Near a double pole z_i on \mathcal{C} and simple poles $(z_i^{(1)}, z_i^{(2)})$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ the local coordinate is

$$\zeta_i(x) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{r_i} \int_{x_1}^x v \right). \quad (2.16)$$

To define local coordinates near the poles uniquely we on \mathcal{C} connect first zero x_1 with a chosen first double pole z_1 by a branch cut, then connect z_1 with the remaining poles $\{z_i\}_{i=2}^n$ forming a tree. Then we lift this tree to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ via π^{-1} .

2.2 Period coordinates. Homological symplectic form

The dimension of H_- coincides with the dimension of $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$. We introduce the following set of *period* (homological) local coordinates on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$:

$$A_j = \oint_{a_j^-} v, \quad B_j = \oint_{b_j^-} v, \quad 2\pi r_k = \oint_{t_k^-} v. \quad (2.17)$$

We fix the values (r_k) and denote the corresponding stratum of moduli space by $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ (for the definition of the homological shear coordinates and their WKB expansion it is necessary for Q to be free from saddle trajectories, so we assume that $r_k^2 \notin \mathbb{R}_-$ [4]). Then $(A_j, B_j)_{j=1}^g$ become local coordinates on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$. There is a natural Poisson structure on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ between periods of v induced by the intersection index of the corresponding cycles $s_1, s_2 \in H_-$:

$$\left\{ \int_{s_1} v, \int_{s_2} v \right\} = s_1 \circ s_2. \quad (2.18)$$

This Poisson structure is degenerate, with Casimir functions r_1, \dots, r_n . The stratum $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ becomes a symplectic leaf for given bracket. It allows us to introduce the following symplectic form on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$:

$$\Omega_{\text{hom}} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} 2\delta B_j \wedge \delta A_j. \quad (2.19)$$

2.3 Variational formulas

In this section we introduce several meromorphic functions associated with surfaces $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and \mathcal{C} . Then we recall their variational formulas with respect to period coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$.

The group $H^+(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) \subset H^{(1,0)}(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$, dual to the symmetric homology subgroup $H_+(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$, consists of holomorphic differentials on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ invariant under involution. They are naturally isomorphic to the space of holomorphic differentials on \mathcal{C} ; $\dim(H^+(\hat{\mathcal{C}})) = g$. We denote by $(u_j)_{j=1}^g \in H^+(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ the set of differentials normalized over a^+ -cycles of H_+ .

- The matrix $\Omega_{ij} = \oint_{b_j^+} u_i$ represents the $g \times g$ period matrix of the base surface \mathcal{C} .
- Meromorphic functions $f_j : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ given by

$$f_j(x) = \frac{u_j(x)}{v(x)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g. \quad (2.20)$$

These functions are skew-symmetric under the involution and generically (when zeroes of $u_j(x)$ and $v(x)$ differ) have simple poles at the branch points $(x_j)_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n}$.

- The meromorphic function $q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$

$$q(x) = \frac{S_B - S_v}{2v^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

where S_v is the Schwarzian projective connection defined by $S_v(\xi(x)) = \left\{ \int_p^x v, \xi(x) \right\} (d\xi(x))^2$ in any local coordinate ξ . Here $\{f, \xi\} = \left(\frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} \right)^2$ - Schwarzian derivative. The pullback of q to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ has residueless 6-order poles at (x_j) .

- The meromorphic function $b : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \times \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$

$$b(x, y) = \frac{B(x, y)}{v(x)v(y)}, \quad (2.22)$$

skew-symmetric in both arguments, with simple poles at (x_j) on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ with respect to each argument. Outside the branch points on the diagonal, it has a double pole with the following asymptotics in the coordinate $z(x) = \int_{x_1}^x v$:

$$b(x, y) = \frac{1}{(z(x) - z(y))^2} + \frac{1}{3}q(x) + \frac{1}{6}q_z(x)(z(y) - z(x)) + \dots \quad y \rightarrow x. \quad (2.23)$$

- The meromorphic function $h : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$,

$$h(x, y) = \frac{B^2(x, y)}{Q(x)Q(y)} = b^2(x, y). \quad (2.24)$$

Its pullback to $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \times \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ is symmetric and has residueless double poles at (x_j) in both arguments.

It is convenient to introduce the periods $\mathcal{P}_{s_i} = \oint_{s_i} v$ for s_i being an element from the canonical basis of H_- :

$$\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim(H_-)} = \left\{ \{a_j^-, b_j^-\}_{j=1}^{g^-}, \{t_k^-\}_{k=1}^n \right\}. \quad (2.25)$$

The dual basis $\{s_i^*\}$ is defined by the condition

$$s_i^* \circ s_j = \delta_{ij} \quad (2.26)$$

and is given by

$$\{s_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\dim(H_-)} = \left\{ \{-2b_j^-, 2a_j^-\}_{j=1}^{g^-}, \{2\kappa_k^-\}_{k=1}^n \right\}, \quad (2.27)$$

here κ_j^- is a 1/2 of the contour connecting poles $z_j^{(1)}$ with $z_j^{(2)}$ and skew-symmetric under the involution, not intersecting other contours. The following variational formulas were derived in [5] for a holomorphic differential v . In our framework for v being meromorphic the same formulas apply since the proof does not rely on the presence of poles of v . Note that the variations of the functions depending on the point $x \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are computed assuming that the coordinate $z(x)$ is independent of the moduli.

Proposition 2. For arbitrary basis $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^{6g-6+2n}$ of H_- and its dual basis $\{s_j^*\}_{j=1}^{6g-6+2n}$ the following formulas hold on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$:

$$\frac{\delta \Omega_{ij}}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{s^*} f_i f_j v, \quad (2.28)$$

$$\frac{\delta f_j(x)}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} \Big|_{z(x)=const} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint_{s^*} f_j(t) b(x, t) v(t), \quad (2.29)$$

$$\frac{\delta b(x, y)}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} \Big|_{z(x), z(y)=const} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \oint_{s^*} b(x, t) b(t, y) v(t), \quad (2.30)$$

$$\frac{\delta q(x)}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} \Big|_{z(x)=const} = \frac{3}{4\pi i} \oint_{s^*} h(x, t) v(t). \quad (2.31)$$

2.4 Bergman tau-function

The Bergman tau-function τ_B on the moduli space of the curves was originally defined as a higher genus generalization of the Dedekind eta function on elliptic surface. It appears in various context - from isomonodromy deformations to spectral geometry, Frobenius manifolds and random matrices, see the review [18]. In the setting of moduli spaces of quadratic differentials the Bergman tau-function was originally discussed in [17] in holomorphic case, later in [2] for meromorphic quadratic differentials with second order poles.

In our framework we consider a moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ of quadratic meromorphic differentials with second order poles. The explicit formula and main properties of τ_B were outlined in [4]. In the present context we only need its defining differential equations and transformation under rescaling of the differential Q by a constant.

Theorem 3. [4] *The Bergman tau-function τ_B satisfies the following system of differential equations on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$:*

$$\frac{\delta \log \tau_B}{\delta A_j} = \frac{1}{12\pi i} \oint_{b_i^-} qv, \quad \frac{\delta \log \tau_B}{\delta B_j} = -\frac{1}{12\pi i} \oint_{a_j^-} qv, \quad (2.32)$$

for $j=1, \dots, 3g-3+n$ and

$$\frac{\delta \log \tau_B}{\delta (2\pi i r_k)} = -\frac{1}{12\pi i} \int_{\kappa_k^-} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_k^2} v \right), \quad (2.33)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

The function τ_B satisfies the following homogeneity property, which will be important in the present context:

$$\tau_B(\mathcal{C}, \kappa Q) = \kappa^{\frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72}} \tau_B(\mathcal{C}, Q). \quad (2.34)$$

Equivalently, defining the Euler vector field via

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(A_j \frac{\delta}{\delta A_j} + B_j \frac{\delta}{\delta B_j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \frac{\delta}{\delta r_j} \quad (2.35)$$

we have that

$$E \log \tau_B = \frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72}. \quad (2.36)$$

We also notice that on the stratum $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ the differential $\delta \log \tau_B|_r$ is given by

$$\delta \log \tau_B|_r = -\frac{1}{12\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left[\left(\oint_{a_j^-} qv \right) \delta B_j - \left(\oint_{b_j^-} qv \right) \delta A_j \right]. \quad (2.37)$$

3 Monodromy map

3.1 Monodromy symplectomorphism and Goldman bracket

Consider the monodromy map

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S)} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n} \rightarrow CV_{g,n} \quad (3.1)$$

for the equation (1.1) defined by (1.5). The Goldman bracket on $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ is defined as follows [12]. For two arbitrary loops γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$

$$\{\mathrm{tr} M_\gamma, \mathrm{tr} M_{\tilde{\gamma}}\}_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \gamma \circ \tilde{\gamma}} \nu(p) (\mathrm{tr} M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}} - \mathrm{tr} M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}), \quad (3.2)$$

where the monodromy matrices $M_\gamma, M_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$; $\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}$ and $\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}$ are paths obtained by resolving the intersection point p in two different ways (see [12]); $\nu(p) = \pm 1$ is the contribution of the point p to the intersection index of γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$.

The following theorem was stated in [4] and it is a natural extension of the results proven in [5] for holomorphic potentials and in [16] for potentials with simple poles.

Theorem 4. [4] For the Bergman projective connection S_B (1.12) chosen to be the base projective connection S the monodromy map $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}$ (3.1) of equation (1.1) is Poisson. Namely, the homological bracket implies minus the Goldman bracket between traces of monodromy matrices.

The homological shear coordinates that invert the Goldman bracket (3.2) are constructed in the following way (see appendix of [4], also [3], [6] for the details): Assume that quadratic differential Q is generic i.e it does not have any saddle connections (as in the definition of the ‘‘Gaiotto-Moore-Nietzke differential’’ [11]). Then each horizontal trajectory starting at a zero x_j of Q ends at one of the poles z_k . Additionally, three horizontal trajectories meet at each zero, determining three vertices of the triangle at the poles, therefore, defining the triangulation Σ_Q of \mathcal{C} . The dual graph with vertices at x_j is denoted by Σ_Q^* . Using the graph Σ_Q^* one defines a two-sheeted branch covering $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_B}$ by assuming that all edges of Σ_Q^* are branch cuts. An important technical observation is that the covering surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_B}$ introduced such way is biholomorphically equivalent to the canonical double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ defined analytically by $v^2 = Q$. The Thurston shear coordinate is a value $\zeta_e \in \mathbb{C}$ attached to each edge e of the graph Σ_Q . Assign a number $2\zeta_e$ to the corresponding skew-symmetric cycle l_e on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_B}$ which is a double cover of a path e and consider their linear combinations, generating the elements $\{a_j^-, b_j^-, t_k^-\}$ of the homology group H_- . Taking the same linear combinations of the elements $2\zeta_e$ one defines the homological shear coordinates $\{\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-}, \rho_{t_k^-}\}$ with the following Poisson brackets:

$$\{\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_k^-}\}_G = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{2}, \quad \{\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{a_k^-}\}_G = \{\rho_{b_j^-}, \rho_{b_k^-}\}_G = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

while $\rho_{t_k^-}$ lie in the center of Poisson algebra. The coordinates $\rho_{t_k^-}$ are related to the monodromy eigenvalue as follows:

$$\rho_{t_k^-} = \log m_k. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, on the symplectic leaf $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ for fixed values of m_k the Goldman symplectic form is written as

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} 2\delta\rho_{a_j^-} \wedge \delta\rho_{b_j^-}. \quad (3.5)$$

Corollary 1. The homological symplectic form Ω_{hom} on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ is minus pullback of the Goldman symplectic form Ω_G by the map \mathcal{F}_{S_B}

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \Omega_G = -\Omega_{hom}. \quad (3.6)$$

3.2 Admissible meromorphic projective connections

The map between the space of quadratic differentials $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ and the character variety $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ essentially depends on the choice of the base projective connection on a Riemann surface \mathcal{C} . To parametrize space of such connections we consider the holomorphic affine bundle $\mathbb{S}_{g,n}$ of meromorphic projective connections with at most simple poles at the punctures over the moduli space of closed curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. The Theorem 4 states that for the choice $S = S_B$ the monodromy map is symplectic. The naturally arising question is when the monodromy map with a fixed projective connection S other than S_B is also a symplectomorphism.

Definition 1. A holomorphic section S of the affine bundle $\mathbb{S}_{g,n}$ is called *admissible* if the homological symplectic structure on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ implies Goldman bracket on the character variety $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$.

For any two choices of $S_0, S_1 \in \mathbb{S}_{g,n}$ we write the same equation in two ways

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_0 - Q_0 \right) \phi = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_1 - Q_1 \right) \phi = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

where both Q_0 and Q_1 belong to $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ and are related by

$$Q_1 - Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}(S_0 - S_1). \quad (3.9)$$

We have the following diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] & \xrightarrow{Q_0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} Q_1} & \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F}_{(S_0)} & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{(S_1)} \\ & & CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}] \end{array}$$

Assuming that S_0 is admissible, the condition for S_1 to be also admissible (or *equivalent* to S_0) is that the map

$$\mathcal{H} : Q_0 \rightarrow Q_0 + \frac{1}{2}(S_0 - S_1) \quad (3.10)$$

is a symplectomorphism implying the coincidence of homological 2-forms calculated via the periods of v_0 and v_1 , where $v_0^2 = Q_0$, $v_1^2 = Q_1$ define canonical coverings with different conformal structures. The following proposition gives a condition for the map (3.10) to be a symplectomorphism, generalizing the results proven in [5], [16], where Q_0, Q_1 are both assumed to be holomorphic or with simple poles, respectively.

Proposition 3. 1) Two meromorphic differentials Q_0 and Q_1 induce the same homological 2-form on $\mathcal{Q}_{n,g}[\mathbf{r}]$ iff the 1-form $\Theta_{(S_0-S_1)}$, corresponding to family of quadratic differentials $S_0 - S_1$ and locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, is closed, $\delta\Theta_{(S_0-S_1)} = 0$.

2) The generating function of the symplectomorphism between the periods $(A_k^{(0)}, B_k^{(0)})$ of v_0 and $(A_k^{(1)}, B_k^{(1)})$ of v_1 for the chosen potentials

$$\theta_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{g^-} (B_k^{(0)} \delta A_k^{(0)} - A_k^{(0)} \delta B_k^{(0)}), \quad \theta_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{g^-} (B_k^{(1)} \delta A_k^{(1)} - A_k^{(1)} \delta B_k^{(1)}), \quad (3.11)$$

defined by

$$\delta\mathcal{G}_{hom} = \mathcal{H}^* \theta_1 - \theta_0, \quad (3.12)$$

has the following form:

$$\mathcal{G}_{hom} = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi i r_i \left(\text{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_1 - \text{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_0 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{G}_{(S_0-S_1)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where there exists a local holomorphic function $\hat{G}_{(S_0-S_1)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, s.t.

$$\delta\hat{G}_{(S_0-S_1)} = \Theta_{(S_0-S_1)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Remark 2. For any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ the integral $\int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v$ is singular at the endpoints. We define its regularization by removing the divergent part as follows: fix a coordinate ξ_j near z_j such that

$$Q(x) \sim \left(\frac{r_j^2}{\xi_j^2} + O(\xi_j^{-1}) \right) (d\xi_j)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

ξ_j can also serve as a local coordinate on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ near the lifts $\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}$ with

$$v(x) \sim \pm \left(\frac{r_j}{\xi_j} + O(1) \right) d\xi_j. \quad (3.16)$$

Let z_j^t be an arbitrary sequence of points on \mathcal{C} converging to z_j , s.t. in the local coordinate ξ_j

$$\Re(\xi_j(z_j^t)) \sim \frac{1}{t}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty; \quad \Im(\xi_j(z_j^t)) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Then the regularization is defined by

$$\text{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v - 2r_j \log t \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Before proceeding to the proof of Proposition 3 we will prove the following technical lemma, which will also be used in the computation of the WKB expansion of the Yang-Yang function. Introduce the pairing between any two meromorphic differentials w_1, w_2 on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

$$\left\langle \oint w_1, \oint w_2 \right\rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left[\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right] \quad (3.19)$$

Lemma 1. *Let w_1 and w_2 be two meromorphic differentials on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, skew-symmetric under involution. Assuming both w_1 and w_2 holomorphically depend on moduli $(A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{g^-}$, the following identity of 1-forms on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ holds:*

$$\left\langle \oint w_1, \delta \oint w_2 \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(\delta w_2 \int_{p_0}^x w_1 \right) + \left\langle \oint \frac{w_1 w_2}{v}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \quad (3.20)$$

or

$$\left\langle \oint w_1, \delta \oint w_2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(w_1 \int_{p_0}^x \delta w_2 \right) + \left\langle \oint \frac{w_1 w_2}{v}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ is the fundamental polygon of the covering surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, p_0 is a generic point.

Remark 3. Note that by $\delta \oint w$ appearing in the pairing we mean differential applied to the periods of w , while δw is defined by

$$\delta w := \sum_{i=1}^{g^-} \left(\left. \frac{\delta w}{\delta A_i} \right|_{z(x)} \delta A_i + \left. \frac{\delta w}{\delta B_i} \right|_{z(x)} \delta B_i \right), \quad (3.22)$$

where the differentiation is performed assuming the coordinate $z(x)$ (2.13) is independent of the moduli.

Proof. Expressing the differential in coordinates $(A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{g^-}$ we write the pairing on the left-hand side of (3.20) as follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \delta \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \delta \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right) = \quad (3.23)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{g^-} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right) \delta A_i + \right. \quad (3.24)$$

$$\left. + \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta B_i} \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta B_i} \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right) \right] \delta B_i. \quad (3.25)$$

Take a reference point p_0 and consider a canonical dissection of the covering surface along the cycles in $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ to obtain the fundamental polygon $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$. The coordinate $z(x) = \int_{p_0}^x v$ serves as a local coordinate on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ outside branch points and poles and is kept fixed while differentiating w.r.t the moduli. Consider the expression (3.24) near δA_i . According to the discussion in [14], when we differentiate the integral over a_i^- with respect to the variable $A_i = \oint_{a_i^-} v$ an additional term appears:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_i^-} w_2 = \frac{w_2}{v}(R_i) + \oint_{a_i^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}, \quad (3.26)$$

where R_i is an intersection point of the cycles a_i^- and $2b_i^-$ (due to intersection index $a_i^- \circ b_j^- = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}$), whereas all other integrals commute with the differentiation w.r.t coordinate A_i . In our case $R_i = p_0$. Therefore, we can rewrite the term near δA_i as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \oint_{a_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \oint_{b_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) + \frac{w_2}{v}(p_0) \oint_{b_j^-} w_1. \quad (3.27)$$

Let us write $w_2(x) = f(z)dz$, for $x \in \hat{C}_0$. Since w_2 is globally defined on \hat{C} , it is invariant under analytic continuation along the cycles in $H_-(\hat{C})$. Writing $w_2(x + a_i^-) = w_2(x)$, we have that

$$f(z + A_i) = f(z). \quad (3.28)$$

Differentiating this equality w.r.t z , we get

$$\frac{\partial f(z + A_i)}{\partial(z + A_i)} = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}. \quad (3.29)$$

Differentiating (3.28) again w.r.t A_i , while z is kept constant, we also mind that f implicitly depends on A_i :

$$\frac{\partial f(z + A_i)}{\partial(z + A_i)} + \frac{\delta f(z + A_i)}{\delta A_i} = \frac{\delta f(z)}{\delta A_i}. \quad (3.30)$$

Combining these formulas, we write

$$\frac{\delta f(z + A_i)}{\delta A_i} dz - \frac{\delta f(z)}{\delta A_i} dz = -\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} dz, \quad (3.31)$$

or in invariant form

$$\frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(x + a_i^-) - \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(x) = -d\left(\frac{w_2}{v}\right). \quad (3.32)$$

Hence, the differential $\frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} w_2$ could be seen as meromorphic on \hat{C} with a jump discontinuity $-d(\frac{w_2}{v})$ on the cycle $2b_i^-$. Denote by $F := \int_{p_0}^x w_1$. We apply a modification of the Riemann Bilinear Identity for differentials having discontinuities along the homology cycles. Splitting the integral over the boundary of \hat{C}_0 into even and odd parts of $H_1(\hat{C}, \mathbb{Z})$ and recalling that the intersection index is $a_i^+ \circ b_j^+ = a_i^- \circ b_j^- = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}$ one has

$$\int_{\partial \hat{C}_0} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left[\left(\oint_{2b_j^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_j^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) + \left(\oint_{a_j^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(a_j^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) + \right. \quad (3.33)$$

$$\left. + \left(\oint_{2b_j^+} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_j^+)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) + \left(\oint_{a_j^+} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(a_j^+)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) \right]. \quad (3.34)$$

Consider the following term of the above sum:

$$\oint_{2b_i^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_i^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}. \quad (3.35)$$

It could be rewritten as

$$\oint_{2b_i^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(P) \int_{p_0}^P w_1 - \oint_{2b_i^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(P') \int_{p_0}^{P'} w_1, \quad (3.36)$$

where P, P' are identified points on $2b_i^-$ and $(2b_i^-)^{-1}$ cycles, respectively. $P' = P - a_i^-$. That means that P, P' lie on the different sides of a cycle $2b_i^-$, where $\frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}$ gains a jump. Then

$$\frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(P') = \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(P) - \text{"jump"} = \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}(P) + d\left(\frac{w_2}{v}\right)(P). \quad (3.37)$$

Plugging it into (3.36) we rewrite this expression as

$$\oint_{2b_i^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_i^-} w_1 - \oint_{2b_i^-} d\left(\frac{w_2}{v}\right)(P) \int_{p_0}^P w_1. \quad (3.38)$$

Integrating the second term by parts we get:

$$\oint_{2b_i^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_i^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = \oint_{2b_i^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_i^-} w_1 - \frac{w_2}{v}(p_0) \oint_{2b_i^-} w_1 + \oint_{2b_i^-} \frac{w_1 w_2}{v}. \quad (3.39)$$

In all the the remaining terms of (3.33) the differential $\frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i}$ does not gain jump discontinuities and they could be commonly expressed:

$$\oint_{2b_j^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_j^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = \oint_{2b_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_j^-} w_1, \quad j \neq i, \quad (3.40)$$

$$\oint_{a_j^-} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(a_j^-)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = - \oint_{a_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{2b_j^-} w_1, \quad \forall j, \quad (3.41)$$

$$\oint_{2b_j^+} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(2b_j^+)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = \oint_{2b_j^+} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_j^+} w_1, \quad \forall j, \quad (3.42)$$

$$\oint_{a_j^+} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{(a_j^+)^{-1}} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = - \oint_{a_j^+} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \oint_{2b_j^+} w_1, \quad \forall j. \quad (3.43)$$

The integrals in (3.42-3.43) over a^+, b^+ cycles vanish due to skew symmetry of w_1 . Thus, (3.33) could be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} = - \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \oint_{a_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \oint_{b_j^-} \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} \right) - \frac{w_2}{v}(p_0) \oint_{b_i^-} w_1 + \oint_{b_i^-} \frac{w_1 w_2}{v}. \quad (3.44)$$

Comparing the expressions (3.27) and (3.44) we see that

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i} \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right) \right] \delta A_i = \left[- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta A_i} + \oint_{b_i^-} \frac{w_1 w_2}{v} \right] \delta A_i \quad (3.45)$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\oint_{b_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta B_i} \oint_{a_j^-} w_2 - \oint_{a_j^-} w_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta B_i} \oint_{b_j^-} w_2 \right) \right] \delta B_i = \left[- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} F \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta B_i} - \oint_{a_i^-} \frac{w_1 w_2}{v} \right] \delta B_i. \quad (3.46)$$

Plugging these expressions into (3.24, 3.25) one obtains the formula (3.20). (3.21) follows from (3.20) by applying the Stokes' theorem and the fact that in the interior of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ away from poles, when differentiating w.r.t any local coordinate ξ , one has:

$$d_\xi \left(\frac{\delta w_2}{\delta \mathcal{P}} \int_{p_0}^x w_1 \right) = \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta \mathcal{P}} \wedge w_1 = -w_1 \wedge \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta \mathcal{P}} = -d_\xi \left(w_1 \int_{p_0}^x \frac{\delta w_2}{\delta \mathcal{P}} \right) = 0, \quad (3.47)$$

where $\mathcal{P} \in (A_i, B_i)_{i=1}^{g^-}$ □

Proof of Proposition 3. Case of two close differentials $Q_0, Q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$: let U be a simply-connected neighborhood of Q_0 and take $Q_1 \in U$. For sufficiently small \hbar this differential may be expressed as $Q_1 = Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}$, where \tilde{Q} is a quadratic differential with at most simple poles at $(z_j)_{j=1}^n$. The canonical cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$, defined by $(v_1^\hbar)^2 = Q_1$, becomes \hbar -dependent. Consider \mathcal{P}_\hbar to be one of the periods $(A_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})$ of v_1^\hbar . Then its k 'th derivative w.r.t \hbar is given by:

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \hbar^k} \mathcal{P}_\hbar \Big|_{\hbar=0} = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k-3)!!}{2^k} \oint_s \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \quad (3.48)$$

where s is an element of $H_-(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

To justify these formulas consider $\mathcal{P}_\hbar = \oint_{s(\hbar)} v_1^\hbar$ as the integral on the base curve \mathcal{C} via the projection $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. If cycle $s(\hbar)$ belongs to the subset (2.9), using skew-symmetry of v_1^\hbar it projects onto one of homology cycles of \mathcal{C} ,

which is independent of \hbar . If $s(\hbar)$ is an element of (2.10), it projects onto the contour encircling or passing through branch cuts arranged between pairs of zeroes of Q_1^\hbar . Despite the positions of the branch points vary along with \hbar , we may assume that the projections $\pi(s(\hbar))$ are kept fixed on \mathcal{C} . Then one has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} \oint_{s(\hbar)} v_1^\hbar = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} \oint_{\pi(s(\hbar))} \sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}} = \oint_{\pi(s(\hbar))} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} \sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}}, \quad (3.49)$$

and the differentiation is followed by pullback to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Higher derivatives are obtained the same way.

Applying this argument, we can expand period coordinates by powers of \hbar . Write

$$\mathcal{P}_\hbar = \mathcal{P}_\hbar \Big|_{\hbar=0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} \mathcal{P}_\hbar \Big|_{\hbar=0} \hbar + \dots + \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \hbar^k} \mathcal{P}_\hbar \Big|_{\hbar=0} \frac{\hbar^k}{k!} + \dots = \quad (3.50)$$

$$= \oint_s v_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \oint_s \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} + \dots + \hbar^k \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \oint_s \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} + \dots \quad (3.51)$$

Plugging \hbar -expansions of the periods $(A_i^{(1)}, B_i^{(1)})$ into the potential θ_1 (3.11) and arranging terms by powers of \hbar , we write with the help of the pairing notation (3.19):

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \left\langle \oint v_1, \delta \oint v_1 \right\rangle = \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle + \hbar \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \hbar^k \left[\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \left(\left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{l} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k-l} \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^l}{v_0^{2l-1}}, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^{k-l}}{v_0^{2(k-l)-1}} \right\rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

We will treat separately the expressions near \hbar^1 and \hbar^k , $k \geq 2$.

Coefficient near \hbar^1 : noticing that

$$\delta \left\langle \oint v_0, \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle = \left\langle \delta \oint v_0, \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle, \quad (3.54)$$

the expression near \hbar^1 could be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta \left\langle \oint v_0, \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle. \quad (3.55)$$

Applying the Riemann Bilinear Identity, the pairing $\left\langle \oint v_0, \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right\rangle$ could be written as the sum over residues inside the fundamental domain. Differential \tilde{Q} , being lifted to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, gains double zeroes at branch points x_i , which are the zeroes of Q_0 , and simple poles at preimages of z_j . That makes $\frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0}$ holomorphic, while v_0 has poles at $\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n$. Therefore using that near $z_j^{(1)}$ ($z_j^{(2)}$) in the local coordinate (2.16) $v_0 = \pm \frac{r_j}{\zeta_i} d\zeta_i$ we write

$$\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i \underset{\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}}{res} \left(v_0 \int_{p_0}^x \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right) \right] = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right]. \quad (3.56)$$

We will also express the pairing $\left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle$ in a different form, introducing the system of local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. For simplicity here we restrict us to the case $g \geq 2$ (low genus cases $g = 0, 1$ could be covered by analogy following [16]). At generic point of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ the quadratic differential \tilde{Q} could be represented as a linear combination of $3g - 3$ products of normalized holomorphic differentials $u_j u_k$, where $(jk) \in D$ for some subset D of entries of matrix Ω , and additional n quadratic differentials encoding the meromorphic part could be represented by the following generically meromorphic differentials Q_k whose only pole of order one located at z_k :

$$Q^{z_k}(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \frac{u_i(t)u_j(z_k) - u_i(z_k)u_j(t)}{u_j^2(z_k)} B(t, z_k), \quad (3.57)$$

here u_i and u_j are two arbitrary normalized holomorphic differentials s.t. $u_j(z_k) \neq 0$.

Using the variational formulas (2.29) after lifting the function $\frac{u_i}{u_j}(x)$ to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ we get

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} \left[\frac{u_i}{u_j}(z_k) \right] = \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}_s} \left[\frac{u_i}{u_j}(z_k^{(1)}) \right] = \oint_{s^*} \frac{Q^{z_k^{(1)}}}{v_0}, \quad (3.58)$$

where $Q^{z_k^{(1)}}$ is a lift of Q^{z_k} to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. The entries Ω_{jk} , $(jk) \in D$ of the period matrix can serve as the moduli of the base curve \mathcal{C} , while $\frac{u_i}{u_j}(z_k) := q_k$ code the positions of poles, providing in total $3g - 3 + n$ local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

At generic point of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ quadratic differential \tilde{Q} can be expressed as

$$\tilde{Q} = \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} u_j u_k + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l Q^{z_l}, \quad p_{jk}, p_l \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.59)$$

Then applying variational formulas (2.28) and (3.58) one has

$$\left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g-} \left[\left(\oint_{b_j^-} \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right) \delta A_j^{(0)} - \left(\oint_{a_j^-} \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right) \delta B_j^{(0)} \right] = \quad (3.60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \sum_{j=1}^{g-} \left[\left(\oint_{b_j^-} \frac{u_j u_k}{v_0} \right) \delta A_j^{(0)} - \left(\oint_{a_j^-} \frac{u_j u_k}{v_0} \right) \delta B_j^{(0)} \right] + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \sum_{j=1}^{g-} \left[\left(\oint_{b_j^-} \frac{Q^{z_l^{(1)}}}{v_0} \right) \delta A_j^{(0)} - \left(\oint_{a_j^-} \frac{Q^{z_l^{(1)}}}{v_0} \right) \delta B_j^{(0)} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \sum_{j=1}^{g-} \left[\frac{\delta \Omega_{ij}}{\delta A_j^{(0)}} \delta A_j^{(0)} + \frac{\delta \Omega_{ij}}{\delta B_j^{(0)}} \delta B_j^{(0)} \right] + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \sum_{j=1}^{g-} \left[\frac{\delta q_l}{\delta A_j^{(0)}} \delta A_j^{(0)} + \frac{\delta q_l}{\delta B_j^{(0)}} \delta B_j^{(0)} \right] = \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

$$= \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \delta q_l. \quad (3.62)$$

Therefore, the term near \hbar^1 becomes

$$\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{\tilde{Q}}{v_0} \right] + \sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \delta q_l. \quad (3.63)$$

Coefficients near \hbar^k , $k \geq 2$: by Lemma 1 we can rewrite the pairings appearing in (3.53) as

$$\left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^l}{v_0^{2l-1}}, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^{k-l}}{v_0^{2(k-l)-1}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(\frac{\tilde{Q}^l}{v_0^{2l-1}} \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^{k-l}}{v_0^{2(k-l)-1}} \right) + \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle. \quad (3.64)$$

Also

$$\left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(v_0 \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right) + \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle. \quad (3.65)$$

Thus, the expression (3.53) near \hbar^k , $k \geq 2$ becomes

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(v_0 \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right) - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0} \left(\delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^{k-l}}{v_0^{2(k-l)-1}} \int_{p_0}^x \frac{\tilde{Q}^l}{v_0^{2l-1}} \right) + \quad (3.66)$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{l=0}^k \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \left\langle \oint \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle. \quad (3.67)$$

Using the identity

$$1 + t = (\sqrt{1+t})^2 = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{1}{k} t^k \right)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k \left[\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{1}{l} \binom{1}{k-l} \right], \quad |t| \leq 1. \quad (3.68)$$

and comparing the expressions near same powers of t we conclude that the piece (3.67) is zero. Further, we can represent the expression in (3.66) as the sum over residues at the branch points x_i . Notice that the first term also has additional residues near $\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n$ due to simple poles of v_0 :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \pi i \operatorname{res}_{\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}} \left(v_0 \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right) + \quad (3.69)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{4g-4+2n} \pi i \operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left[\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} v_0 \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{l} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k-l} \left(\frac{\tilde{Q}^l}{v_0^{2l-1}} \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{\tilde{Q}^{k-l}}{v_0^{2(k-l)-1}} \right) \right]. \quad (3.70)$$

Similarly to (3.56), the sum (3.69) could be rewritten as

$$\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right], \quad (3.71)$$

here we used that the derivatives w.r.t the coordinates $(A_i^{(0)}, B_i^{(0)})_{i=1}^{g^-}$ commute with the integral.

Consider the expression defined on the double cover $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, given by $v_0^2 = Q_0$ in $T^*\mathcal{C}$:

$$\operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left(\sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}} \int_{p_0}^x \delta \sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}} \right), \quad (3.72)$$

where x_i is a zero of Q_0 on \mathcal{C} .

Formally, the Abelian differential $\hat{v}_\hbar = \sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}}$ is globally defined on the \hbar -dependent double cover $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$, given by $(\hat{v}_\hbar)^2 = Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}$ in $T^*\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ (note that $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ itself is a double cover of \mathcal{C}). Lifted from \mathcal{C} to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$, Q_0 has a 4th-order zeros at x_i and double poles at $(z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})_{j=1}^n$, while \tilde{Q} gains a 2nd-order zero at x_i and simple poles at $(z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})$. Thus, the map $\hat{\pi}$ is branched at $8g - 8 + 4n$ simple zeroes \tilde{x}_j^\hbar of $Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}$. The double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ is smooth everywhere except for preimages of double zeroes $(x_i)^{4g-4+2n}$ of $Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ gains nodes. The genus \hat{g} of $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ equals $12g - 11 + 4n$. Letting $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the nodes smoothen out and the covering surface $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ degenerates to the pair of smooth surfaces $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0^{(1,2)}$: On the base curve $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$ that corresponds to the merging of triplets of points: two simple zeroes $\tilde{x}_{i_1}^\hbar, \tilde{x}_{i_2}^\hbar$ of $Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}$ converge to a double zero at x_i , increasing its multiplicity to 4.

In the local coordinate $z(x)$ on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0$: $Q_0 = v_0^2 = dz^2$, $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}(z) dz^2$. Differentiating with respect to the coordinates $(A_i^{(0)}, B_i^{(0)})_{i=1}^{g^-}$ according to the rule (3.22), when the coordinate $z(x)$ is kept fixed, one has that the residue could be written as

$$\operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left(\sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}} \int_{p_0}^x \frac{\hbar \delta \tilde{Q}}{2\sqrt{Q_0 + \hbar \tilde{Q}}} \right) = 0. \quad (3.73)$$

The residue vanishes since the expression inside is holomorphic at x_i . Then we can expand the left-hand side by powers of \hbar and observe that the coefficients near the powers of \hbar in the series are exactly the terms appearing in the sum (3.70). It follows that these coefficients must vanish too. Thus, the coefficient near \hbar^n , $n \geq 2$ reduces to the expression (3.71).

Full expansion: combining ((3.63)) and (3.71) we have that

$$\theta_1 - \theta_0 = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar^k \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}} \right] \right] + \hbar \left[\sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \delta q_l \right]. \quad (3.74)$$

We further notice, similarly to the argument in (3.48), that the infinite series is formally the Taylor expansion by powers of \hbar of the expression

$$\int_{z_j^{(2)}(\hbar)}^{z_j^{(1)}(\hbar)} v_1^\hbar - \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_0. \quad (3.75)$$

The issue is that the integrands are singular at the end points of the integration path, and one requires a regularization of the integral to have a proper identity. Considering the regularization proposed in (3.18) one can see that

$$\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}(\hbar)}^{z_j^{(1)}(\hbar)} v_1^{\hbar} = \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar^k \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{\tilde{Q}^k}{v_0^{2k-1}}, \quad (3.76)$$

where the integrals near \hbar^n are already regular. Using that we rewrite the difference of potentials as

$$\theta_1 - \theta_0 = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}(\hbar)}^{z_j^{(1)}(\hbar)} v_1^{\hbar} - \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_0 \right) \right] + \hbar \left[\sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \delta q_l \right]. \quad (3.77)$$

Case of two arbitrary differentials $Q_0, Q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$. Theorem 1.3 of [7] asserts that generically (outside of hyperelliptic locus for $g \geq 3$) space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$, and, thus $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$, is connected. Let $\gamma_t = Q_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ be a path s.t. $\gamma(0) = Q_0, \gamma(1) = Q_1$. For each t the function $\oint_{s_i} v_t$ is holomorphic on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ and could be expanded by the Taylor series in some simply-connected open neighborhood U_t of Q_t . Then $\bigcup_t U_t$ provides an open cover for γ_t . Due to compactness of γ_t we can choose some finite subcover $\bigcup_{i \in \{0, \dots, N\}} U_{t_i}$, $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. We can assume $Q_0 = Q_{t_0} \in U_{t_0}$, $Q_1 = Q_{t_{N+1}} \in U_{t_N}$ and take $Q_{\hat{t}_i} \in \gamma \cap U_{t_{i+1}} \cap U_{t_i}$, $i \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$. Due to (3.77)

$$\theta_{\hat{t}_{i+1}} - \theta_{\hat{t}_i} = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_{\hat{t}_{i+1}} - \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_{\hat{t}_i} \right) \right] + \left[\sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk}^{(\hat{t}_{i+1}, \hat{t}_i)} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{j=1}^n p_l^{(\hat{t}_{i+1}, \hat{t}_i)} \delta q_l \right], \quad (3.78)$$

where $(p_{jk}^{(\hat{t}_{i+1}, \hat{t}_i)}, p_l^{(\hat{t}_{i+1}, \hat{t}_i)})$ are coefficients of the linear representation of $(Q_{\hat{t}_{i+1}} - Q_{\hat{t}_i})$ in the basis $(u_j u_k, Q^{z_l})$.

Then applying the telescoping series, one has

$$\theta_1 - \theta_0 = \sum_{i=0}^N (\theta_{\hat{t}_{i+1}} - \theta_{\hat{t}_i}) = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_1 - \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} v_0 \right) \right] + \left[\sum_{(jk) \in D} p_{jk}^{(1,0)} \delta \Omega_{jk} + \sum_{l=1}^n p_l^{(1,0)} \delta q_l \right], \quad (3.79)$$

where the latter expression, using (3.9), is exactly the 1-form $\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{(S_0-S_1)}$. By the assumption coefficients $p_{jk}^{(1,0)}$ and $p_l^{(1,0)}$ are holomorphic functions of (Ω_{jk}, q_l) . Applying differential to both sides of (3.79) one obtains the first statement of the proposition. If $\Theta_{(S_0-S_1)}$ is assumed closed, then by the Poincaré lemma it could be locally integrated, leading to the second statement. \square

Combining the result of the Theorem 4 and Proposition 3 we can formulate a condition for S to become admissible.

Theorem 5. *The monodromy map*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S)} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] \rightarrow CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}] \quad (3.80)$$

is a symplectomorphism with $\mathcal{F}_{(S)}^* \Omega_G = -\Omega_{\text{hom}}$ iff the 1-form $\Theta_{(S-S_B)}$, corresponding to family of quadratic differentials S_0-S_1 and locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, is closed, $\delta \Theta_{(S-S_B)} = 0$. Equivalently, iff there exists a local holomorphic function $\hat{G}_{(S-S_B)}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, s.t.

$$\delta \hat{G}_{(S-S_B)} = \Theta_{(S-S_B)}. \quad (3.81)$$

The computation similar to (3.61) (performed backwards) allows us to characterize the admissible projective connection in terms of the 1-form defined on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ in period coordinates.

Corollary 2. *The projective connection $S \in \mathcal{S}_{g,n}$ is admissible iff the following locally defined 1-form on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$*

$$\Theta_{(S-S_B)} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left[\left(\oint_{b_j^-} \frac{S-S_B}{v} \right) \delta A_j - \left(\oint_{a_j^-} \frac{S-S_B}{v} \right) \delta B_j \right] \quad (3.82)$$

is closed, $\delta \Theta_{(S-S_B)} = 0$.

The following corollary gives an alternative characterization of admissible projective connections which does not refer to the Bergman projective connection:

Corollary 3. *The projective connection $S \in \mathcal{S}_{g,n}$ is admissible iff the following locally defined 1-form on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$*

$$\Theta_{(S-S_v)} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left[\left(\oint_{b_j^-} \frac{S-S_v}{v} \right) \delta A_j - \left(\oint_{a_j^-} \frac{S-S_v}{v} \right) \delta B_j \right] \quad (3.83)$$

is closed, $\delta\Theta_{(S-S_v)} = 0$.

Proof. Notice, that from (2.37) it follows that 1-forms $\Theta_{(S-S_v)}$ and $\Theta_{(S-S_B)}$ differ by the closed form $(24\pi i)\delta \log \tau_B|_r$ so, their conditions of closeness are equivalent. \square

In [5] authors discussed alternative ways of fixing the reference projective connection. It was showed that if S is chosen to be either Schottky, Wirtinger or Bers projective connection, it is equivalent to the Bergman projective connection S_B in the sense (3.81). While explicit formulas $\hat{G}_{(S-S_B)}$ for Schottky and Wirtinger connections were derived in [5], for Bers connection it was only conjectured, and recently proven in [8]. Moreover, the definition of Bergman projective connection itself depends on the choice of Torelli marking on \mathcal{C} . Let two Torelli markings α^σ and α be related by $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ matrix

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C & A \\ D & B \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}^\sigma = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.84)$$

Then two corresponding Bergman projective connections S_B^σ and S_B are related by

$$S_B^\sigma = S_B - 12\pi i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} u_j u_k \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega_{jk}} \log \det(C\Omega + D). \quad (3.85)$$

and also equivalent due (3.81) with the generating function $\hat{G}_{(S_B^\sigma - S_B)}$ given by

$$\hat{G}_{(S_B^\sigma - S_B)} = -12\pi i \log \det(C\Omega + D). \quad (3.86)$$

That allows us to formulate the following corollary of Theorem 5.

Corollary 4. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}_{g,n}$ is chosen to be either Bergman (corresponding to any Torelli marking), Schottky, Wirtinger or Bers (defined with respect their own data) then the monodromy map*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S)} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] \rightarrow CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}] \quad (3.87)$$

is a symplectomorphism with $\mathcal{F}_{(S)}^* \Omega_G = -\Omega_{\text{hom}}$.

3.3 Definition of the monodromy generating function

Fixing the Bergman projective connection as the base connection $S = S_B$ we may choose a symplectic potential on the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ in period coordinates

$$\theta_{\text{hom}} = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} (B_j \delta A_j - A_j \delta B_j) \quad (3.88)$$

with another symplectic potential on the character variety $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ in homological sheer coordinates

$$\theta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} (\rho_{b_j^-} \delta \rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} \delta \rho_{b_j^-}) \quad (3.89)$$

and consider the generating function of symplectomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}$ (the Yang-Yang function introduced in [19]) given by

$$\delta \mathcal{G}_B = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \theta_G - \theta_{\text{hom}}. \quad (3.90)$$

Assuming that the triangulation of the surface \mathcal{C} used to define homological sheer coordinates is specified by the horizontal trajectories of the GMN-differential Q , the remaining parameters that define the function \mathcal{G}_B include the choice of the Torelli marking on \mathcal{C} and the choice of generators (a_j^-, b_j^-) in H_- . It is easy to see, that the symplectic potentials are invariant under symplectic transformations of the generators in H_- . Namely, under the transformation $\sigma \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C_- & A_- \\ D_- & B_- \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} b_- \\ a_- \end{pmatrix}^\sigma = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} b_- \\ a_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.91)$$

the potentials θ_{hom} and θ_G remain the same, leaving the function \mathcal{G}_B also invariant. The question how the change of Torelli marking affects the monodromy generating function was posed in [4] and Proposition 3 allows us to provide the answer. Under the change (3.84) of the canonical basis of \mathcal{C} the Goldman potential θ_G remains invariant, while the homological potentials $\theta_{hom}^\sigma, \theta_{hom}$ for new and old Torelli markings are related by the term (3.13)

$$\theta_{hom}^\sigma = \theta_{hom} + \delta\mathcal{G}_{hom} \quad (3.92)$$

In our setting, with the help of (3.85) and (3.86) one has

$$Q_0 = Q, \quad Q_1 = Q + 6\pi i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} u_j u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega_{jk}} \log \det(C\Omega + D) \quad (3.93)$$

and

$$\delta\mathcal{G}_{hom} = \delta \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \pi i r_i \left(\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_1 - \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_0 \right) \right] + 6\pi i \delta \log \det(C\Omega + D), \quad (3.94)$$

where $v_0^2 = Q_0, v_1^2 = Q_1$ define two different canonical coverings. Combining that with the definition of the generating function (3.90) we have

Proposition 4. *Under the change (3.84) of the Torelli marking the monodromy generating function transforms as*

$$\mathcal{G}_B^\sigma = \mathcal{G}_B + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi i r_i \left(\operatorname{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_1 - \operatorname{reg} \int_{z_i^{(2)}}^{z_i^{(1)}} v_0 \right) + 6\pi i \log \det(C\Omega + D), \quad (3.95)$$

where $v_0^2 = Q$ and $v_1^2 = Q + 6\pi i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq g} u_j u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega_{jk}} \log \det(C\Omega + D)$.

4 Generalized WKB expansion of the Monodromy generating function

4.1 WKB approximation of the Schrodinger equation

To study the asymptotic expansion of the monodromy generating function \mathcal{G}_B we consider the second order equation in the form

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q_1}{\hbar} - \frac{Q}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$, while Q_1 is a fixed meromorphic quadratic differential assumed to depend holomorphically on moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, with at most simple poles at the punctures $(z_j)_{j=1}^n$.

The WKB approximation for this equation is performed in the following way: consider the canonical double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ given by the equation

$$v_\hbar^2 = \frac{Q}{\hbar^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Rescaling the differential $v = \hbar v_\hbar$ pass to the cover $v^2 = Q$ which is now independent of \hbar . Choose some base point x_0 . In terms of local coordinate $z(x) = \int_{x_0}^x v$ and the function $\varphi(x) = \phi \sqrt{v(x)}$ equation (4.1) takes the form

$$\varphi_{zz} + (q(z) - \hbar^{-2} - p(z)\hbar^{-1})\varphi = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$q = \frac{S_B - S_v}{2v^2} \quad p = \frac{Q_1}{v^2}, \quad (4.4)$$

(notice that in local coordinate $z(x)$ the Schwarzian projective connection (1.30) vanishes). Introducing the asymptotic series $s = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^k s_k$ write the solution for (4.3) in the form

$$f_{x_0} = v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \int_{x_0}^x (\hbar^{-1} s_{-1} + s_0 + \hbar s_1 + \dots) v, \quad (4.5)$$

where s_k are meromorphic functions on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. The asymptotic series s satisfies the Ricatti equation:

$$ds + s^2 v = -qv + \hbar^{-1} p v + \hbar^{-2} v. \quad (4.6)$$

Then plugging its expansion into (4.6) and comparing terms near the same powers of \hbar one gets the following first terms s_k :

$$s_{-1} = \pm 1, \quad s_0 = \frac{p}{2s_{-1}}, \quad s_1 = -\frac{dp}{4v} - \frac{1}{2s_{-1}} \left(\frac{p^2}{4} + q \right), \quad (4.7)$$

while the consecutive terms satisfy the recurrence relation

$$s_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{2s_{-1}} \left(\frac{ds_k}{v} + \sum_{\substack{j+l=k \\ j,l \geq 0}} s_j s_l \right), \quad k \geq 1. \quad (4.8)$$

In particular, when $k = 2$, we get:

$$s_2 = \frac{1}{8v} d \left(\frac{dp}{s_{-1}v} + \frac{p^2}{2} + 2q \right) - \frac{pdp}{8v} + \frac{1}{4s_{-1}} \left(qp - \frac{p^3}{4} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

There is an ambiguity in choosing the value of s_{-1} , which corresponds to the choice of the sign for the square root \sqrt{Q} . Further below we shall assume that $s_{-1} = +1$. To obtain another asymptotic series corresponding to $s_{-1} = -1$ it is sufficient to apply involution μ to get $\mu^* v = -v$. We define *even* and *odd* part of the asymptotic series s by

$$s_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(s + \mu^* s), \quad s_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{2}(s - \mu^* s). \quad (4.10)$$

Notice that $\mu^* s_{\text{odd}} = s_{\text{odd}}$ and $\mu^* s_{\text{even}} = -s_{\text{even}}$, while

$$\mu^*(s_{\text{odd}} v) = -s_{\text{odd}} v, \quad \mu^*(s_{\text{even}} v) = s_{\text{even}} v. \quad (4.11)$$

Lemma 2. *The following equation holds:*

$$ds_{\text{odd}} = -2s_{\text{even}} s_{\text{odd}} v. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Expressing $s = s_{\text{odd}} + s_{\text{even}}$ and plugging it into (4.6) we have

$$d(s_{\text{odd}} + s_{\text{even}}) + (s_{\text{odd}}^2 + s_{\text{even}}^2 + 2s_{\text{odd}} s_{\text{even}}) v = -qv - \hbar^{-1} p v - \hbar^{-2} v. \quad (4.13)$$

This equality contains terms both symmetric and skew-symmetric under involution. Comparing only symmetric terms one gets

$$ds_{\text{odd}} + 2s_{\text{even}} s_{\text{odd}} v = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

□

Using this relation, it is easy to obtain two WKB-solutions for the equation (4.1):

$$f_{x_0}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{(s_{\text{odd}} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left[\pm \int_{x_0}^x s_{\text{odd}} v \right], \quad (4.15)$$

where x_0 is often chosen to be one of the branch points x_i , called turning point (see f.e. [13]). The differential $s_{\text{odd}} v$ is multi-valued on the base curve \mathcal{C} and generically singular at x_i . To define the integral correctly we pass to

the double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ where $s_{odd}v$ is well-defined. Skew-symmetry of $s_{odd}v$ implies it has a vanishing residue at x_i . Therefore, we can define the integral by

$$\int_{x_i}^x s_{odd}v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x^{(2)}}^{x^{(1)}} s_{odd}v, \quad (4.16)$$

where we join preimages $x^{(1)}$ and $x^{(2)}$ of x by an arc passing through the branch cut, which connects x_i with some another branch point.

Introduce the meromorphic differentials

$$v_k = (s_{odd})_k v. \quad (4.17)$$

Analytic continuation of the WKB-solutions (4.15) along the edges of graph Σ_Q gives rise to the relation between the homological shear coordinates and the Voros symbols – integrals of $s_{odd}v$ over the elements of H_- . The following proposition generalizes the one stated in [4] to the case when $Q_1 \neq 0$ and is proven in complete analogy.

Proposition 5. *For each $l \in H_-$ the homological shear coordinate ρ_l admits the following asymptotic expansion*

$$\rho_l(\hbar) \sim \int_l s_{odd}v = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_l v_{-1} + \int_l v_0 + \hbar \int_l v_1 + \dots \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (4.18)$$

where the relation is understood modulo an addition of $\pi i k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4. The similar result was present in [1] where the meromorphic potential in (1.1) was arranged in a different way, s.t. the double poles with fixed biresidues were attached to the reference meromorphic projective connection, while in our case these double poles belong to the quadratic differential Q .

4.2 WKB expansion of the Yang-Yang function

The requirement for the monodromy map of the equation (4.1) to be a symplectomorphism imposes a restriction on the differential Q_1 . We can regard

$$S = S_B - \frac{2Q_1}{\hbar} \quad (4.19)$$

as a chosen base projective connection, then the condition for it to be admissible is ruled by Theorem 5. Namely, the form $\Theta_{(Q_1)}$, defined locally on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, must be closed, $\delta\Theta_{(Q_1)} = 0$. The generating function $\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar)$ of this symplectomorphism is defined by

$$\delta\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar), \quad (4.20)$$

where the symplectic potentials θ_G and θ_{hom} are defined by (3.88) and (3.89):

$$\theta_G(\hbar) = \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(\rho_{b_j} \delta \rho_{a_j} - \rho_{a_j} \delta \rho_{b_j} \right) (\hbar), \quad (4.21)$$

here $\rho_l(\hbar)$ is the homological shear coordinate corresponding to a loop $l \in H_-$ and

$$\theta_{hom}(\hbar) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(B_j \delta A_j - A_j \delta B_j \right), \quad (4.22)$$

here $(A_j = \oint_{a_j^-} v, B_j = \oint_{b_j^-} v)$ are period coordinates on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$. Using the pairing notation (3.19) the symplectic potential θ_{hom} in period coordinates reads as

$$\theta_{hom}(\hbar) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint v \right\rangle. \quad (4.23)$$

The potential θ_G in homological shear coordinates $\rho_l(\hbar)$ by means of the expansion (4.18) has the following expression

$$\theta_G(\hbar) = \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} \hbar^i \sum_{\substack{l+k=i \\ l, k \geq -1}} \left\langle \oint v_l, \delta \oint v_k \right\rangle. \quad (4.24)$$

Meromorphic differentials v_k could be obtained by antisymmetrizing the differentials $s_k v$, where functions s_k are given by (4.7-4.8). First four differentials v_k take the following form:

$$v_{-1} = v, \quad v_0 = \frac{Q_1}{2v}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$v_1 = -\frac{Q_1^2}{8v^3} - \frac{qv}{2}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(q \frac{Q_1}{v} - \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5} \right). \quad (4.26)$$

By plugging (4.24) in (4.20) we see that the coefficient in front of \hbar^{-2} in the expansion of (4.20) vanishes and

$$\delta \mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^i \sum_{\substack{l+k=i \\ l, k \geq -1}} \left\langle \oint v_l, \delta \oint v_k \right\rangle := \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^i \delta G_i, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (4.27)$$

4.2.1 Term G_{-1}

Equation for δG_{-1} :

$$\delta G_{-1} = \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \quad (4.28)$$

using the expressions (4.25-4.26) for v_k could be written as follows

$$\delta G_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint v, \oint \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle. \quad (4.29)$$

Notice that (after relabeling $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q_1, v_0 \rightarrow v$) this is exactly the term (3.52) near \hbar^1 appearing in the expansion of the potential θ_1 in the proof of Proposition 3. Thus, we immediately get

$$\delta G_{-1} = \Theta_{(Q_1)} + \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} \right], \quad (4.30)$$

where before we assumed that the form $\Theta_{(Q_1)}$ is closed on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Then the integration leads to

$$G_{-1} = \hat{G}_{(Q_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad (4.31)$$

where there exists local holomorphic function $\hat{G}_{(Q_1)}$ on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, s.t.

$$\delta \hat{G}_{(Q_1)} = \Theta_{(Q_1)}. \quad (4.32)$$

The geometrical meaning of the term G_{-1} is that the condition of closeness of δG_{-1} (or equivalently the existence of $\hat{G}_{(Q_1)}$) is an obstruction for the monodromy map $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - Q_1/\hbar)}$ to be a symplectomorphism.

4.2.2 Term G_0

Equation for δG_0 :

$$\delta G_0 = \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint v_1 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_1, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \quad (4.33)$$

using the expressions (4.25-4.26) for v_k could be written as follows

$$\delta G_0 = \left[\frac{1}{4} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{8} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{8} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \right] - \quad (4.34)$$

$$- \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint qv \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \right]. \quad (4.35)$$

The term in the first bracket is the coefficient (3.53) near \hbar^2 in the expansion of the potential θ_1 in the proof in Proposition 3 and it equals

$$\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3} \right]. \quad (4.36)$$

To compute the term in the second bracket we notice that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint qv \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint v \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta \left\langle \oint v, \oint qv \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint v \right\rangle. \quad (4.37)$$

It follows from (2.37) that $\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint v \rangle$ is a differential of the Bergman tau-function, namely

$$\left\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint v \right\rangle = 12\pi i \delta \log \tau_B|_r. \quad (4.38)$$

Applying the variational formulas (2.32, 2.33) and the homogeneity property (2.35) of the function τ_B on the full space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ the term $\langle \oint v, \oint qv \rangle$ could be written as

$$\left\langle \oint v, \oint qv \right\rangle = -12\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{g^-} \left(A_j \frac{\delta}{\delta A_j} + B_j \frac{\delta}{\delta B_j} \right) \log \tau_B = -12\pi i \left[\frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72} - \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \frac{\delta}{\delta r_j} \log \tau_B \right] = \quad (4.39)$$

$$= -12\pi i \left[\frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{12} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_k^2} v \right) \right]. \quad (4.40)$$

Restricting this formula to $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ we get

$$\delta \left\langle \oint v, \oint qv \right\rangle = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_k^2} v \right) \right], \quad (4.41)$$

(alternatively, this term could be computed via the residues after applying the Riemann Bilinear Identity to differentials v and qv). Putting all terms together in (4.34) and integrating we obtain

$$G_0 = -12\pi i \log \tau_B|_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_k^2} v \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3}. \quad (4.42)$$

4.2.3 Term G_1

Equation for δG_1 :

$$\delta G_1 = \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint v_2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_0, \delta \oint v_1 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_1, \delta \oint v_0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \oint v_2, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \quad (4.43)$$

using the expressions (4.25-4.26) for v_k could be written as follows

$$\delta G_1 = \left[-\frac{1}{16} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3}, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{16} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{16} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{16} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \right] + \quad (4.44)$$

$$+ \left[-\frac{1}{4} \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \delta \oint qv \right\rangle - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \oint qv, \delta \oint \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \oint v, \delta \oint q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \oint q \frac{Q_1}{v}, \delta \oint v \right\rangle \right]. \quad (4.45)$$

The term in the first brackets is the coefficient (3.53) near \hbar^3 in the expansion of the potential θ_1 in the proof in Proposition 3 and it equals

$$\delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{3} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5} \right]. \quad (4.46)$$

To treat the term in the second bracket first notice that it could be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{4}\delta\left\langle\oint\frac{Q_1}{v},\oint qv\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\oint qv,\delta\oint\frac{Q_1}{v}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\delta\left\langle\oint v,\oint q\frac{Q_1}{v}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\oint q\frac{Q_1}{v},\delta\oint v\right\rangle. \quad (4.47)$$

Lemma 1 in the form (3.21) implies that

$$\left\langle\oint qv,\delta\oint\frac{Q_1}{v}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0}\left(qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right)+\left\langle\oint q\frac{Q_1}{v},\delta\oint v\right\rangle, \quad (4.48)$$

so (4.47) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{4}\delta\left\langle\oint\frac{Q_1}{v},\oint qv\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\delta\left\langle\oint v,\oint q\frac{Q_1}{v}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{4}\int_{\partial\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0}\left(qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right). \quad (4.49)$$

Let us consider the last integral. While Abelian differential $\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}$ is holomorphic, qv has residueless 4-order poles at the branch points (x_i) and simple poles at the punctures $\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n$. So, the integral over the boundary reduces to the computation of residues:

$$\int_{\partial\hat{\mathcal{C}}_0}\left(qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n}2\pi i\operatorname{res}_{x_i}\left[qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^n2\pi i\operatorname{res}_{\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}}\left[qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right]. \quad (4.50)$$

To compute residues near simple poles we recall the formulas (2.21) for $q(x)$ and S_v and use the local coordinate ζ (2.16) to write near $z_j^{(1)}$:

$$qv=\frac{S_B-S_v}{2v}=\frac{S_B(\zeta)-\frac{1}{2\zeta^2}}{2\frac{r_j}{\zeta}}d\zeta=\left(-\frac{1}{4r_j\zeta}+O(1)\right)d\zeta. \quad (4.51)$$

Due to skew-symmetry of qv the expansion near $z_j^{(2)}$ is the negation of the above formula. Thus,

$$\left(\operatorname{res}_{z_j^{(1)}}+\operatorname{res}_{z_j^{(2)}}\right)\left(qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right)=\delta\left[-\frac{1}{4r_j}\int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}}\frac{Q_1}{v}\right], \quad (4.52)$$

since the differential δ commutes with the line integral. To simplify the residue near a branch point x_i at first notice that the variational formula (2.31) implies that the differential $\delta(qv)$ is holomorphic at x_i , so

$$\operatorname{res}_{x_i}\left[qv\int_{p_0}^x\delta\frac{Q_1}{v}\right]=\delta\left(\operatorname{res}_{x_i}\left[qv\int_{p_0}^x\frac{Q_1}{v}\right]\right). \quad (4.53)$$

Let's assume that the Bergman projective connection admits the following expansion near x_i on the base curve \mathcal{C} in local coordinate (2.15):

$$S_B(\xi)=S_B(x_i)+S_B'(x_i)\xi+\dots \quad (4.54)$$

Being lifted to $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, it transforms like

$$S_B(\hat{\xi})(d\hat{\xi})^2=S_B(\xi)(d\xi)^2+S(\xi,\hat{\xi}), \quad (4.55)$$

where $\hat{\xi}$ is local coordinate (2.14) near x_i on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, $S(\xi,\hat{\xi})$ is the Schwarzian derivative

$$S(\xi,\hat{\xi})=\left(\frac{\xi''}{\xi'}\right)'\frac{1}{\xi'}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\xi''}{\xi'}\right)^2 \quad (4.56)$$

where derivatives are taken w.r.t $\hat{\xi}$. Having that $\xi=\hat{\xi}^2$ we write

$$S_B(\hat{\xi})(d\hat{\xi})^2 = 4(S_B(x_i) + S_B'(x_i)\hat{\xi}^2)\hat{\xi}^2(d\hat{\xi})^2 - \frac{3}{2\hat{\xi}^2}(d\hat{\xi})^2. \quad (4.57)$$

Also

$$S_v = -\frac{4}{\hat{\xi}^2}(d\hat{\xi})^2, \quad v = 3\hat{\xi}^2 d\hat{\xi}, \quad (4.58)$$

leading to

$$qv = \left[\frac{5}{12\hat{\xi}^4} + O(1) \right] d\hat{\xi}. \quad (4.59)$$

Then the residue near x_i could be expressed as

$$res_{x_i} \left[qv \int_{p_0}^x \frac{Q_1}{v} \right] = res_{x_i} \left(\frac{5d\hat{\xi}}{12\hat{\xi}^4} \int_{p_0}^x \frac{Q_1}{v} \right) = \frac{5}{12} \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{(Q_1/v)}{d\hat{\xi}} \right)'' (x_i) = \frac{5}{36} res_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right). \quad (4.60)$$

The integral (4.50) takes the following form

$$\int_{\partial\hat{C}_0} \left(qv \int_{p_0}^x \delta \frac{Q_1}{v} \right) = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{18} res_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i}{2r_j} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} \right]. \quad (4.61)$$

The differential of the first pairing $\delta \langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \oint qv \rangle$ in (4.49) is computed by analogy. Applying the Riemann Bilinear Identity, one has

$$\left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \oint qv \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\hat{C}_0} \left(qv \int_{p_0}^x \frac{Q_1}{v} \right), \quad (4.62)$$

resulting in

$$\delta \left\langle \oint \frac{Q_1}{v}, \oint qv \right\rangle = \delta \left[- \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{36} res_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i}{4r_j} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} \right]. \quad (4.63)$$

Finally, applying the Riemann Bilinear Identity to the pairing $\langle \oint v, \oint q \frac{Q_1}{v} \rangle$ we have

$$\left\langle \oint v, \oint q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^{4g-4+2n} \pi i res_{x_i} \left[q \frac{Q_1}{v} \int_{p_0}^x v \right] + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi i res_{\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}} \left[v \int_{p_0}^x q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right]. \quad (4.64)$$

While

$$res_{\{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}} \left[v \int_{p_0}^x q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right] = r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} q \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad (4.65)$$

the residue near x_i is computed noticing that

$$q \frac{Q_1}{v} = \left(\frac{5}{36\hat{\xi}^6} + O\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\xi}^2}\right) \right) \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad \int_{p_0}^x v = C(p_0) + \hat{\xi}^3. \quad (4.66)$$

Differential $\frac{Q_1}{v}$ is antisymmetric, and, thus, expands by even powers of $\hat{\xi}$ near a branch point. That leads to

$$res_{x_i} \left[q \frac{Q_1}{v} \int_{p_0}^x v \right] = \frac{5}{36} res_{x_i} \left[\frac{Q_1/v}{\hat{\xi}^3} \right] = \frac{5}{36} res_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right). \quad (4.67)$$

Overall,

$$\delta \left\langle \oint v, \oint q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right\rangle = \delta \left[- \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{36} res_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} q \frac{Q_1}{v} \right]. \quad (4.68)$$

Plugging derived expressions (4.61), (4.63) and (4.68) into (4.49) and integrating we obtain

$$G_1 = - \sum_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{72} \operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{4} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} q \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i}{16r_j} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5}. \quad (4.69)$$

To sum up, we can formulate the following theorem

Theorem 6. *Consider the differential equation*

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q_1}{\hbar} - \frac{Q}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0, \quad (4.70)$$

on a Riemann surface \mathcal{C} . Let Q be a quadratic differential on \mathcal{C} with simple zeroes and n second order poles at z_1, \dots, z_n and biresidues r_1^2, \dots, r_n^2 . Q_1 is a meromorphic quadratic differential which depends holomorphically on moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, with at most simple poles at z_j . S_B is the Bergman projective connection (1.12) defined w.r.t. some Torelli marking on \mathcal{C} . For the chosen base projective connection $S_B - \frac{2Q_1}{\hbar}$ denote by $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}$ the monodromy map between the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$ of pairs $(\mathcal{C}, Q/\hbar^2)$ and the symplectic leaf $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$ of the $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ character variety, where each $m_j(\hbar)$ is related to r_j via (1.7 – 1.8) as

$$\frac{r_j}{\hbar} = \pm \left[\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (4.71)$$

• The map $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}$ is a symplectomorphism, provided that the 1-form $\Theta_{(Q_1)}$, locally defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, is closed, $\delta\Theta_{(Q_1)} = 0$.

• Introduce the symplectic potential θ_{hom} (4.22) of the homological symplectic form on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$ and symplectic potential θ_G (4.21) for the Goldman form on $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$. The generating function \mathcal{G}_B of the monodromy symplectomorphism between $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$ and $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$ is defined by

$$\delta\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar) \quad (4.72)$$

and has the following asymptotics as $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \frac{G_{-1}}{\hbar} + G_0 + G_1 \hbar + O(\hbar^2). \quad (4.73)$$

Here

$$G_{-1} = \hat{G}_{(Q_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad (4.74)$$

where the function $\hat{G}_{(Q_1)}$ is defined by (4.32). Its explicit form depends on the concrete choice of Q_1 ;

$$G_0 = -12\pi i \log \tau_B|_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_j^2} v \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^2}{v^3}, \quad (4.75)$$

here $\log \tau_B|_r$ is the Bergman tau-function defined by (2.37) on stratum of the moduli space of quadratic differentials with second order poles, $q(x)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathcal{C} given by

$$q(x) = \frac{S_B - S_v}{2v^2}, \quad (4.76)$$

here S_v is the Schwarzian projective connection (2.21);

$$G_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^{4g-4+2n} \frac{5\pi i}{72} \operatorname{res}_{x_i} \left(\frac{Q_1/v}{\int_{x_i}^x v} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{4} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} q \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i}{16r_j} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v} + \sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^3}{v^5}, \quad (4.77)$$

where the first sum runs over the branch points of the double cover.

We can propose an alternative way of computing the expansion of Yang-Yang function $\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar)$, assuming we obtained the expansion of the related generating function $\mathcal{G}_B^0(\hbar)$ for $Q_1 \equiv 0$. Rewrite the equation (4.1) in the form

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q + \hbar Q_1}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0, \quad (4.78)$$

corresponding to detachment of differential $\frac{Q_1}{\hbar}$ from projective connection S_B and joining it with $\frac{Q_1}{\hbar^2}$, so that $\frac{Q + \hbar Q_1}{\hbar^2} \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$. This operation induces the following diagram of maps, where symplectomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}$ factors through the composition $\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)} \circ \mathcal{H}$ of symplectomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar] & \xrightarrow{Q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} Q + \hbar Q_1} & \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar] \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)} & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{(S_B)} \\ & & CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)] \end{array}$$

Denote by $\theta_{hom}^1(\hbar)$ the symplectic potential computed via the periods of the Abelian differential v_1^\hbar , which defines canonical double cover $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ by $(v_1^\hbar)^2 = Q + \hbar Q_1$. Then the Monodromy generating function (4.72) admits the following representation

$$\delta \mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \mathcal{H}^* (\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}^1(\hbar)) + (\mathcal{H}^* \theta_{hom}^1(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar)). \quad (4.79)$$

The \hbar -expansion of the generating function for map \mathcal{H} was computed in (3.74) along with the proof of Proposition 3:

$$\mathcal{H}^* \theta_{hom}^1(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar) = \delta \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \pi i r_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{k-2} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^k}{v^{2k-1}} \right] \right] + \hbar^{-1} \delta \hat{G}_{(Q_1)}. \quad (4.80)$$

Homological coordinates on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$, defined by the periods of v_1^\hbar , holomorphically depend on \hbar . That allows us to perform the \hbar -expansion of the

$$\mathcal{H}^* (\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}^1(\hbar)) \quad (4.81)$$

in two steps. At first, obtain the WKB-expansion of the generating function

$$\mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}^1(\hbar), \quad (4.82)$$

assuming that \hbar of v_1^\hbar is fixed. This is equivalent to setting $Q_1 = 0$ and performing the computations as in section 4.1. This expansion was considered in [4] and includes only even powers of \hbar . We denote it by

$$\mathcal{G}_B^0(\hbar) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2i} G_{2i}^0. \quad (4.83)$$

Now vary Q by the differential $\hbar Q_1$ and expand the terms G_{2i}^0 by Taylor series to obtain the full \hbar -expansion for (4.81). The following proposition holds

Proposition 6. *Let*

$$\mathcal{G}_B^0(\hbar) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hbar^i G_{2i}^0, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (4.84)$$

be a WKB expansion of the Monodromy generating function $\delta \mathcal{G}_B^0(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B)}^ \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar)$ of the equation*

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0. \quad (4.85)$$

Then the generalized WKB expansion

$$\mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^i G_i, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (4.86)$$

of the Monodromy generating function $\delta \mathcal{G}_B(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}_{(S_B - 2Q_1/\hbar)}^ \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar)$ of the equation*

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left(\frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{Q_1}{\hbar} - \frac{Q}{\hbar^2} \right) \phi = 0 \quad (4.87)$$

is related to (4.84) by

$$G_{-1} = \hat{G}_{(Q_1)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1}{v}, \quad (4.88)$$

$$G_{2k} = \sum_{i=0}^k \delta_{Q_1}^{(2i)} \frac{G_{2k-2i}^0}{(2i)!} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^{2k+2}}{v^{4k+3}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \infty \quad (4.89)$$

$$G_{2k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k \delta_{Q_1}^{(2i+1)} \frac{G_{2k-2i}^0}{(2i+1)!} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \pi i r_j \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \frac{Q_1^{2k+3}}{v^{4k+5}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \infty, \quad (4.90)$$

where $\delta_{Q_1}^{(k)} f$ denotes $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \hbar^k} f[Q + \hbar Q_1] \Big|_{\hbar=0}$.

Remark 5. Comparing the derived expression (4.42) for G_0 with the formula (4.89), we obtain

$$G_0^0 = -12\pi i \log \tau_B|_r - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\pi i r_j}{2} \int_{z_j^{(2)}}^{z_j^{(1)}} \left(qv + \frac{1}{4r_k^2} v \right), \quad (4.91)$$

which is exactly the leading term of the WKB expansion, previously computed in [4].

Acknowledgements. The author thanks his scientific advisor D. Korotkin for posing the problem and fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] Dylan G. L. Allegretti. Voros symbols as cluster coordinates. *Journal of Topology*, 12(4):1031–1068, May 2019.
- [2] M. Bertola and D. Korotkin. Hodge and Prym Tau Functions, Strebel Differentials and Combinatorial Model of $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 378(2):1279–1341, 2020.
- [3] M. Bertola and D. Korotkin. Tau-Functions and Monodromy Symplectomorphisms. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 388(1):245–290, Oct 2021.
- [4] M. Bertola and D. A. Korotkin. WKB expansion for a Yang–Yang generating function and the Bergman tau function. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 206(3):258–295, 2021.
- [5] Marco Bertola, Dmitry Korotkin, and Chaya Norton. Symplectic geometry of the moduli space of projective structures in homological coordinates. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 210(3):759–814, 2017.
- [6] Leonid O. Chekhov. Symplectic Structures on Teichmüller Spaces $\mathfrak{T}_{g,s,n}$ and Cluster Algebras. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 309(1):87–96, May 2020.
- [7] Dawei Chen and Quentin Gendron. Towards a classification of connected components of the strata of k -differentials, arXiv:2101.01650, 2021.
- [8] Dennis Eriksson, Gerard Freixas i Montplet, and Richard A. Wentworth. Complex Chern–Simons bundles in the relative setting, arXiv:2109.02033, 2021.
- [9] John Fay. Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 96(464), 1992.
- [10] John D. Fay. Theta Functions on Riemann Surfaces. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1973.
- [11] Davide Gaiotto, Gregory W. Moore, and Andrew Neitzke. Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation. *Advances in Mathematics*, 234:239–403, Feb 2013.

- [12] William M Goldman. The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 54(2):200–225, Nov 1984.
- [13] Takahiro Kawai and Yoshitsugu Takei. *Algebraic analysis of singular perturbation theory*, volume 227. AMS, 2005.
- [14] Aleksey Kokotov and Dmitry Korotkin. Tau-functions on spaces of Abelian differentials and higher genus generalizations of Ray-Singer formula. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 82(1):35–100, 2009.
- [15] Maxim Kontsevich and Anton Zorich. Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 153(3):631–678, 2003.
- [16] D. Korotkin. Periods of meromorphic quadratic differentials and Goldman bracket. *Topological Recursion and its Influence in Analysis, Geometry, and Topology*, page 491–515, 2018.
- [17] D. Korotkin and P. Zograf. Tau function and the Prym class. *Contemporary Mathematics*, page 241–261, 2013.
- [18] Dmitry Korotkin. Bergman Tau-Function: From Einstein Equations and Dubrovin-Frobenius Manifolds to Geometry of Moduli Spaces. *Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry*, page 215–287, 2020.
- [19] N. Nekrasov, A. Rosly, and S. Shatashvili. Darboux coordinates, Yang-Yang functional, and gauge theory. *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, 216(1):69–93, Jul 2011.
- [20] W. P. Thurston. The Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds. *Princeton University Notes*, 1980.