

Unknotting 3-Balls in the 5-Ball

DANIEL HARTMAN

The purpose of this note is to answer affirmatively a question posed by both Gay, and Hughes, Kim and Miller as to whether every 3-ball smoothly embedded in the 4-sphere becomes isotopic relative to the bounding 2-sphere when pushed into the 5-ball.

The following note serves to answer the question as to if any 3-ball embedded in S^4 remains knotted when pushed into B^5 .

Theorem 1 *Let \mathcal{U} be the unknotted S^2 's in S^4 . Let B and B' two embedded 3-balls with $\partial B = \partial B' = \mathcal{U}$. Then B is isotopic to B' rel \mathcal{U} in B^5 .*

Using a standard innermost disk argument, one can show that the 1-unknot bounds a unique disk up to isotopy in S^3 . Now in [1], the authors showed the same result is false for 2-unknot in S^4 . In fact, they showed there is infinitely many non-isotopic 3-balls bounding the same 2-sphere. Now, based on their construction, it is easy to see all the Budney-Gabai examples become isotopic when pushed into the 5-ball. In light of this, it is natural to ask if any 3-ball can remain knotted. In [4], Hughes Kim and Miller answered the question for most higher genus surfaces. They showed that for every unknotted surface of genus of at least 2, there existence of a pair of handlebodies, H_1 and H_2 , smoothly embedded in S^4 with $\partial H_1 = \partial H_2$, such that H_1 is not isotopic to H_2 relative to ∂H , even when pushed into the 5-ball. Our result shows that, at least for 3-balls bounding \mathcal{U} , they are always isotopic when pushed into the 5-ball.

The unknotting of 3-balls arose from a different context for Gay. In section 4 of [3], Gay introduces the notion of 5-dimensional dotted 1- and 2-handles. Let \mathcal{U} denote the unknotted 2-sphere in S^4 , and let B be any 3-ball bounding \mathcal{U} . Considering S^4 as the boundary of B^5 , we can push the interior of the 3-ball into the 5-ball, then carve out a neighborhood. The resulting bounding 4-manifold is $S^1 \times S^3$, and the main theorem of this note implies that the 5-manifold is in fact $S^1 \times B^4$. So, just like in the 4-dimensional case, carving out any B^3 bounding \mathcal{U} is equivalent to attaching a 5-dimensional 1-handle.

Implicit in all the proofs below is the fact that corners can be smoothed. We will work mostly in the smooth category, with a slight detour into the PL-category. The main argument for unknotting any B^3 is derived from the fact that any nonseparating S^3 in $S^1 \times S^3$ extends to a smoothly embedded B^4 in $S^1 \times B^4$. This is a consequence of the classification of pseudoisotopies of $S^1 \times S^3$ ([5]).

In this note, $\text{Diff}(X, \partial X)$ will always refer to the group of diffeomorphisms which fix the boundary of the given manifold X pointwise. If we have an embedding $f : \partial N \rightarrow \partial X$, then we will denote the set of neat embeddings which coincide with f by $\text{Emb}_f(N, X)$. For the space $S^1 \times B^3$, we fix an embedding $i : S^2 \rightarrow \partial(S^1 \times B^3)$ given by $i(s) = (z_0, s)$, for a fixed z_0 . This map is just the restriction of the inclusion map for $i : \{z_0\} \times B^3 \rightarrow S^1 \times B^3$. By some abuse of notation, i will denote several different maps in different places. However, it is always an inclusion of some kind, and always smooth.

Lemma 2 (Budney-Gabai [1]) *The group $\text{Diff}(S^1 \times B^3, \partial)$ acts transitively on $\text{Emb}_i(B^3, S^1 \times B^3)$.*

Proof Here we give an independent proof which differs from the one given in [1]. Let $f \in \text{Emb}_i(B^3, S^1 \times B^3)$ and let $i : B^3 \rightarrow S^1 \times B^3$ be the inclusion of $\{z_0\} \times B^3$. Since f is a neat embedding, we have that $f = i$ on some collar neighborhood, C , of the boundary. Now, using the triviality of the normal bundle of $f(B^3)$, we extend our embedding to a smooth embedding $F : [z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon] \times B^3 \rightarrow S^1 \times B^3$ such that F restricts to f on $\{0\} \times B^3$ and F agrees with the inclusion of $[z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon] \times B^3$, in C .

Now, we define an embedding, $\Phi : C \cup (z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon) \times B^3 \rightarrow S^1 \times B^3$ by


$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} \text{id}(x) & x \in C \\ F(x) & x \in (z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon) \times B^3 \end{cases}$$

In the open subset $C \cup (z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon) \times B^3 \subset S^1 \times B^3$, there is a smoothly embedded S^3 , given by smoothly rounding the corners of the piecewise smooth set,

$$\left\{z_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \times B^3 \cup \left\{z_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \times B^3 \cup \partial(S^1 \times B^3) \setminus \left(z_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, z_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \times S^2,$$

and pushing the resulting sphere into the interior (see Figure 1). Let S denote this embedded 3–sphere. Note that S bounds a smooth B^4 in $S^1 \times B^3$. We now restrict Φ to the complement of the interior of the 4–ball bounded by S .

Claim If $\Phi(S)$ also bounds a smooth 4–ball, then Φ extends to a diffeomorphism of all of $S^1 \times B^3$ to itself. The claim follows from the fact that every diffeomorphism of S^3 ,


 Figure 1: Smoothing of the 3–sphere S .

extends to a diffeomorphism of B^4 [2]. So, it only remains to show that $\Phi(S)$ bounds a smooth 4–ball.

To show that $\Phi(S)$ bounds a smooth 4–ball, we will show that, when considered as a subset of S^4 , it bounds a smooth 4–ball on one side, and hence on both sides. To do this, we attach to $S^1 \times B^3$, a 4–dimensional 2–handle along the curve $S^1 \times \{x_0\}$ with $x_0 \in \partial B^3$, followed by a 4–dimensional 4–handle. Observe that, by definition, $\Phi = \text{id}$ on $\partial(S^1 \times B^3)$. Hence, we may extend Φ by the identity over the 2– and 4–handles. Now since S bounds a smooth 4–ball, its complement in S^4 bounds a smooth 4–ball. This is precisely the domain of Φ after we extend it over the 2– and 4–handles. Hence the extended Φ embeds B^4 into S^4 . Since this is a smooth embedding, the complement has to be a smooth 4–ball as well. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3 (Budney-Gabai [1]) *The group $\text{Diff}(S^1 \times S^3)$ acts transitively on the nonseparating S^3 's.*

What the corollary tells us is that, given any embedding of a nonseparating S^3 in $S^1 \times S^3$, we can realize this embedding by restricting a diffeomorphism of $S^1 \times S^3$ to some $\{z_0\} \times S^3$.

Lemma 4 *Every nonseparating S^3 in $S^1 \times S^3$ extends to a proper embedding of B^4 in $S^1 \times B^4$.*




Figure 2: This figure is a cartoon depicting how the 4–ball bounding the S^3 inside of $S^1 \times B^4$ is used to isotope between the two 3–balls.

Proof Let $f : S^3 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^3$ be an embedding of a nonseparating S^3 . By Corollary 3, there is a diffeomorphism, F , of $S^1 \times S^3$ such that F , when restricted to $\{z_0\} \times S^3$, is the embedding f . Finally, by [5], F extends to a diffeomorphism of $S^1 \times B^4$. Since $\{z_0\} \times S^3$ bounds the smooth 4–ball $\{z_0\} \times B^4$, $F|_{\{z_0\} \times B^4}$ is then the extension. □

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1 Let $Y = S^4 \setminus \nu(\mathcal{U})$. As we are considering what happens in B^5 , take a collar neighborhood $S^4 \times I$ of the boundary and extend Y to $Y \times I$. As U is the unknot, Y is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times B^3$ and $Y \times I$ is then diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times B^4$, which in our given product structure is just $(S^1 \times B^3) \times I$. Now consider the 3–spheres, $B \times \{0\} \cup U \times I \cup B' \times \{1\}$. By Lemma 4, the 3–sphere bounds a smoothly embedded 4–ball. Hence, we can isotope, $\text{rel } \mathcal{U}$, B to $B' \cup U \times I$. Then, using the product structure, isotope $B' \cup U \times I$ to B' (See Figure 2). □

The last corollary is in some sense a slice Schoenflies result, and is apparently a classical result in the sense that experts in the field have known that it follows from other known results. However we were unable to locate any explicit reference and so we include it here to fill this gap in the literature.

Corollary 5 Every S^3 in S^4 bounds a smooth B^4 in B^5 .

Proof We begin by considering $S^4 = \partial B^5$. As every embedded S^3 separates S^4 into two connected pieces, we can assume that the S^3 separates the north and south poles of S^4 . Attach an oriented 5-dimensional 1-handle to B^5 with the attaching sphere being the two poles and attaching regions disjoint from the S^3 . The result is $S^1 \times B^4$ and by Theorem 4, the embedded S^3 bounds a smooth B^4 . Denote this four ball by \tilde{B}^4 . Even though \tilde{B}^4 is properly embedded, it might not be contained entirely in the original B^5 (which is given by compressing the two B^4 's used to attach the 1-handle). To fix this, we now consider the universal cover $\mathbb{R} \times B^4 \rightarrow S^1 \times B^4$, and the lift \tilde{B}^4 to the universal cover. As the embedded S^3 lies between two standard S^3 's, we can isotope \tilde{B}^4 to lie between the two standard B^4 's. Projecting down gives a new 4-ball which is contained in the original B^5 . \square

References

- [1] Ryan Budney and David Gabai. Knotted 3-balls in S^4 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09029*, 2019.
- [2] Jean Cerf. *Sur les difféomorphismes de la sphère de dimension trois* ($\Gamma_4 = 0$). Springer, 1968.
- [3] David T Gay. Diffeomorphisms of the 4-sphere, cerf theory and montesinos twins. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.12890*, 2021.
- [4] Mark Hughes, Seungwon Kim, and Maggie Miller. Knotted handlebodies in the 4-sphere and 5-ball. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13255*, 2021.
- [5] Richard K Lashof and Julius L Shaneson. Classification of knots in codimension two. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 75(1):171–175, 1969.