

CLASSIFICATION OF NONDEGENERATE G -CATEGORIES



ABSTRACT. We classify indecomposable nondegenerate subfunctors of the Whittaker-Hecke category.

1 Introduction

1.1 Main Results

1.2 Motivation and Survey of Known Results

1.3 Symmetric Monoidality of Whittaker-Hecke Category

1.4 Generalization to Nondegenerate G -categories

1.5 A Universal Nondegenerate G -category

1.6 Equivariance on Very Central \mathcal{D} -modules on G

1.7 Outline of Paper

1.8 Acknowledgements

$$t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}, \text{triv}$$

\tilde{W}^{aff}

$$t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}, \text{triv}$$

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Main Results	2
1.2. Motivation and Survey of Known Results	2
1.3. Symmetric Monoidality of Whittaker-Hecke Category	4
1.4. Generalization to Nondegenerate G -categories	4
1.5. A Universal Nondegenerate G -category	5
1.6. Equivariance on Very Central \mathcal{D} -modules on G	6
1.7. Outline of Paper	7
1.8. Acknowledgements	8
2. Categorical Preliminaries	8
2.1. Conventions	8
2.2. Groups Acting on Categories	9
2.3. Whittaker Invariants	17
2.4. Other Categorical Preliminaries	19
2.5. Comonadicity	23
3. Nondegenerate Categories and the Weyl Group Action	24
3.1. Degenerate G -Categories	24
3.2. Nondegenerate G -Categories	26
3.3. The Weyl Group Action on Nondegenerate Categories	29
4. Nondegenerate Category \mathcal{O}	37
4.1. Soergel's Classification of \mathcal{O}_λ	37
4.2. The Functor Av_1^ψ on \mathcal{O}_λ	38
4.3. Nondegenerate Category \mathcal{O}	39
4.4. Classification of Degenerate Subcategory of Universal Flag Variety	42
5. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.9	44
5.1. Reminder on Descent to the Coarse Quotient	44
5.2. Monoidality of Averaging Functor	46
5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.9	46
5.4. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.9	47
6. Proof of Theorem 1.14	49
6.1. Identification of Theorem 1.14 as DG Categories	49
6.2. Monoidality	52
7. The Nondegenerate Horocycle Functor	56

7.1. The Nondegenerate Horocycle Functor	56
7.2. Construction of W -Equivariance for Parabolic Restriction of Very Central Sheaves	57
Appendix A. Twisted D-Modules are Derived Category of the Heart	58
A.1. Bounded By Below Twisted D-Modules are Bounded Derived Category of Heart	58
A.2. Left-Completeness of Derived Category	60
A.3. Identification of Derived Categories	60
References	61

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Main Results. Much of modern geometric representation theory can be interpreted as the study of groups acting on *categories* and the natural symmetries that the various invariants obtain; we will see specific examples of this in Section 1.2. Therefore it is of natural interest to study the class of all categories with an action of a split reductive group G . Our main object of study will be a localized class of G -categories known as *nondegenerate categories*.

Definition 1.1. Assume G is simply connected. A *nondegenerate* G -category is a G -category \mathcal{C} such that for every rank one parabolic P_α , the invariants $\mathcal{C}^{[P_\alpha, P_\alpha]}$ vanish.

The general definition of a nondegenerate G -category is given in Definition 3.12. Furthermore, any G -category \mathcal{C} admits a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{nondeg}}$ that, informally speaking, has the same properties as the map $j^! : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)$ for an open subset $j : U \hookrightarrow X$. This is made precise in Definition 2.55 and Example 2.56.

Our main result states that the 2-category of nondegenerate G -categories admits a coherent description as modules over sheaves on an ind-scheme $\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ defined only in terms of the action of the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} := X^\bullet(T) \rtimes W$ on \mathfrak{t}^* . Specifically, we have $\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \simeq \pi_1(G^\vee) \times \Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$, where G^\vee denotes the Langlands dual group and $\Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$ denotes the union of graphs in $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*$ given by the W^{aff} -action on \mathfrak{t}^* . One can identify $\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \simeq \mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*$ for a certain prestack $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ known as the *coarse quotient*, a main object of study of [Gan22]. This implies that one can use the convolution formalism of [GR17a, Section 5.5] to equip $\text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}})$ with a monoidal structure. Our main result can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 1.2. There is an equivalence of 2-categories

$$G\text{-mod}_{\text{nondeg}} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}})\text{-mod}$$

where the left hand side denotes the 2-category of all nondegenerate G -categories.

As we will review in Section 1.5, this result can be reinterpreted as an equivalence of monoidal categories $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}})$; see Theorem 1.14 for the full statement.

A key difference in the theory of groups G acting on categories \mathcal{C} from the theory of groups acting on vector spaces is the existence of nontrivial maps between invariants \mathcal{C}^{H_1} and \mathcal{C}^{H_2} , where each H_i is a closed subgroup of G (and, in particular, we do not require $H_1 \subseteq H_2$ or vice versa). These relations will prove a key technical tool in the proof of our theorem, and are summarized in Section 1.4. In particular, we will see in Theorem 1.9 that, for any G -category, the category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ admits a W -action, and that there is a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}$.

When \mathcal{C} itself is given by Whittaker \mathcal{D} -modules on G , we will see in Corollary 3.4 that $\mathcal{C}^N \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\text{nondeg}}^N$, and, using this special case of Theorem 1.9, in Theorem 1.4 we derive a monoidal equivalence between the category of bi-Whittaker \mathcal{D} -modules on G and \tilde{W}^{aff} -equivariant sheaves on \mathfrak{t}^* which descend to the coarse quotient, providing a monoidal upgrade of [Gin18] and [Lon18]. Using nondegeneracy, we also show that the parabolic restriction of a very central \mathcal{D} -module acquires a W -equivariant structure such that the sheaf (with its equivariance) descends to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$, see Section 1.6.

1.2. Motivation and Survey of Known Results. Assume we are given a finite dimensional vector space V over an algebraically closed field k , equipped with an endomorphism $T : V \rightarrow V$. A familiar paradigm in representation theory and algebraic geometry is to regard V as a module over the ring $k[x]$, where x acts by the transformation T , and to write V as a direct sum of its generalized eigenspaces V_α . Furthermore, the vector space V can be recovered from the various V_α . We may equivalently view V as a sheaf over the line,

and then each V_α can be identified as the subsheaf which lives over α . This particular example gives the well known Jordan normal form of a matrix, but there are analogues of this process for any k -algebra A and any module $M \in \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec}(A))$.

We can also apply this idea to other representation theoretic contexts. For example, let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra, and let M be a representation of the Lie algebra. Then it is known (see [Hum08]) that $Z\mathfrak{g}$ is a polynomial algebra, and furthermore that we may identify $\text{Spec}(Z\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{t}^* // W$. Therefore we may spectrally decompose a given \mathfrak{g} -representation by viewing it as a sheaf on the space $\mathfrak{t}^* // W$.

We will discuss analogues for these results one categorical level higher. Specifically, our notion of vector space will be replaced with that of a category. The analogue of an algebraic group acting on a vector space is a *group acting on a category*. For example, if G acts on a variety X , then the category $\mathcal{D}(X)$, the category of \mathcal{D} -modules on X , obtains a canonical G -action. Similarly, we can obtain a G -action on the category $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$.

Analogous to the case of a group acting on a vector space, we can define the invariants of a group acting on a category. For example, one can understand representations of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of a semisimple algebraic group G via the invariants $\text{Rep}(G) \simeq \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}^G$, or the associated zero block of the BGG category \mathcal{O} , which can be viewed (via the Beilinson-Bernstein localization theorem) as objects of $\mathcal{D}(G/B)^N$. Similarly, one can also study the other blocks of category \mathcal{O} via the *twisted invariants* $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Certain twisted invariants play a special role in geometric representation theory. Specifically, for a reductive group G acting on a category \mathcal{C} , one can take the *Whittaker invariants* $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi}$. This category can be interpreted as the generically twisted N^- invariants of \mathcal{C} —the specific definition is given below. Often, Whittaker subcategories can be easier to understand than the usual N^- -invariants. For example, we have seen above that one may identify $\mathcal{D}(G/B)^N$ contains all of the information of the BGG category \mathcal{O}_0 , whereas one can use the ideas of [BBM04] discussed below to show that $\mathcal{D}(G/B)^{N^-, \psi} \simeq \text{Vect}$.

The Whittaker invariants of a category have often been used to ‘bootstrap’ information about the original category, see, for example, [AB09] or [BY13]. In fact, our results below can be viewed as an attempt to generalize the work done by [BY13] at generalized central character 0 to the setting of varying central character. One can formally argue that the category of *bi-Whittaker invariants* of $\mathcal{D}(G)$, denoted $\mathcal{H}_\psi := \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)$, acts on the Whittaker invariants of any category with a G -action. It is therefore of interest to determine an explicit description for \mathcal{H}_ψ . This was identified in terms of sheaves on \mathfrak{t}^* which are equivariant with respect to the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} := X^\bullet(T) \rtimes W$ for the Langlands dual group, where $X^\bullet(T)$ is the character lattice $\text{Hom}_{\text{AlgGrp}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$:

Theorem 1.3. ([Lon18], [Gin18]) There is an equivalence identifying the abelian category of bi-Whittaker \mathcal{D} -modules on G with the abelian category of \tilde{W}^{aff} -equivariant quasicoherent sheaves on \mathfrak{t}^* which descend to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$.

Ginzburg [Gin18] and Ben-Zvi–Gunningham [BG17, Section 1.2] also recorded the expectation that a derived, monoidal variant of Theorem 1.3 should hold (see Section 2 for our exact categorical conventions). To state this precisely, we first recall the notion of the *Mellin transform*, a symmetric monoidal, W -equivariant equivalence $\text{FMuk} : \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)$, where the notation follows [Lur18]. Here, we use ind-coherent sheaves rather than quasi-coherent sheaves since our \mathcal{D} -modules are right \mathcal{D} -modules in the sense of [GR], although since T is smooth, there is also a similar equivalence for left \mathcal{D} -modules $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^\ell(T)$. With this, we can now state the derived, monoidal version of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 1.4. There is a monoidal, t -exact, fully faithful functor $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_* : \mathcal{H}_\psi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^W$. Under the Mellin transform, this functor induces monoidal equivalence F' such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi^!} & \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}) \\
 \downarrow F' & & \downarrow \text{FMuk} \\
 \mathcal{H}_\psi & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*} & \mathcal{D}(T)^W
 \end{array}$$

and such that $F'[\dim(\mathfrak{t}^*)]$ is t -exact.

Remark 1.5. The heart of any t -exact functor of DG categories (or, more generally, triangulated categories) equipped with t -structures is an exact functor of abelian categories, see [BBD82, Proposition 1.3.17]. Therefore, taking the heart of the equivalence in Theorem 1.4, our methods show that there is an *exact* equivalence of the abelian categories in Theorem 1.3.

Remark 1.6. The t -exactness of $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ is essentially due to Ginzburg, which we review in Theorem 2.46. Moreover, as we will see below, the composite functor

$$\mathcal{H}_\psi \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*} \mathcal{D}(T)^W \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}^W} \mathcal{D}(T)$$

can be identified, up to cohomological shift, with an averaging functor Av_*^N , where oblv^W denotes the forgetful functor.

Of course, our proof of Theorem 1.4 is different than the proofs of [Gin18] or [Lon18]. For example, the ideas in [Lon18] pass through the geometric Satake equivalence, whereas we do not. We view our proof as closer in spirit to the proof of [Gin18]; for example, both use versions of the Gelfand-Graev action (see Section 3.3). However, the idea to use the groupoid $\Gamma_{\widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ is taken from [Lon18] and [BG17].

1.3. Symmetric Monoidality of Whittaker-Hecke Category. Much of our proof of Theorem 1.4 is phrased in the language of categorical representation theory. This can provide another conceptual explanation for Theorem 1.4 which makes certain aspects of this equivalence follow from general, categorical principles. For example, in [Gin18], Ginzburg, following Drinfeld, noted that a derived version of Theorem 1.3 would have the following consequence, proved by Ben-Zvi and Gunningham shortly after the first edition of [Gin18] was published:

Corollary 1.7. [BG17, Corollary 6.15] The convolution monoidal structure on \mathcal{H}_ψ can be upgraded to a symmetric monoidal structure.

The proof of Corollary 1.7 in [BG17] is somewhat indirect. Specifically, the authors prove that the *cohomologically sheared* (or *asymptotic*) version of the Whittaker-Hecke category \mathcal{H}_ψ^h is symmetric monoidal using the derived, loop rotation equivariant geometric Satake theorem of [BF08], and argue that one can obtain a symmetric monoidal structure on \mathcal{H}_ψ by unshearing (see [BG17, Section 5.3]) a graded lift of \mathcal{H}_ψ^h provided by a mixed version of the derived, loop rotation equivariant geometric Satake theorem of [BF08], which is not currently available in the literature.¹

The fully faithfulness of Theorem 1.4 provides an alternate proof of the symmetric monoidality of \mathcal{H}_ψ which is more direct. Specifically, because we will see that the functor $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ is monoidal in Proposition 5.4, the fully faithfulness of $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ immediately implies Corollary 1.7, since we can identify \mathcal{H}_ψ as a monoidal subcategory of a symmetric monoidal category.

1.4. Generalization to Nondegenerate G -categories. The principles of categorical representation theory will also allow us to prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.4 to all nondegenerate G -categories (discussed above in Section 1.1), see Theorem 1.9. Specifically, note that we may interpret the symmetric monoidality of Theorem 1.4 as a statement regarding spectrally decomposing categories with a G -action. For example, Theorem 1.4 says that if \mathcal{C} is a category with a G -action, then for each $[\lambda] \in \mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$, we may consider the eigencategories of its Whittaker invariants $(\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi})_{[\lambda]}$. Unfortunately, some categories do not admit Whittaker invariants. For example, one can show that $\text{Vect}^{N^-, \psi} \simeq 0$, see Example 2.42.

On the other hand, work of [BGO20] (which we will summarize below in Theorem 1.13) shows that if \mathcal{C} is any G -category, the subcategory \mathcal{C}^N (with its natural symmetries) determines \mathcal{C} . It is therefore of interest to relate the N -invariants of a category to the Whittaker invariants. To do this, we recall the following well known result, which we provide a proof for in Section 2.3.2:

Proposition 1.8. The restriction functor provides a canonical equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}(N^-B/N)^{N^-, \psi} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T).$$

¹[\[BZG17\]](#)

Therefore, we may reinterpret the statement of Theorem 1.4 in the language of groups acting on categories. Specifically, Theorem 1.4 in fact says that for the left G -category $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, -\psi}$, the averaging functor $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^N$, after applying cohomological shift, lifts to a fully faithful, t -exact functor:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*} \mathcal{C}^{N, W}.$$

Now let \mathcal{C} be any G -category. Since \mathcal{C}^N determines \mathcal{C} , one may ask whether a similar technique can be applied. Unfortunately, for example, in the universal case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G)$, the category \mathcal{C}^N is not expected to admit a natural W -action. However, as we will see in Corollary 3.37, W does act on any nondegenerate G -category \mathcal{C} , and moreover for such \mathcal{C} the analogue of Theorem 1.4 holds:

Theorem 1.9. For any nondegenerate G -category \mathcal{C} , the category \mathcal{C}^N acquires a canonical W -action and there is an induced, fully faithful functor $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_* : \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{N, W}$.

Example 1.10. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, -\psi}$ with its canonical left G -action. Then \mathcal{C} is nondegenerate, as we will see below in Proposition 2.43. Furthermore, by Proposition 1.8 we have $\mathcal{C}^N \simeq \mathcal{D}(T)$ and a result of Ginzburg's we review in Proposition 3.23 states that this isomorphism is W -equivariant. Thus a special case of Theorem 1.9 gives the fully faithfulness statement in Theorem 1.4.

1.5. A Universal Nondegenerate G -category. Recall that if H is any algebraic group acting on a category \mathcal{C} , we may also define its *weak invariants*. This is defined by forgetting the action of the category $\mathcal{D}(H)$ down to an action of $\text{IndCoh}(H)$ and taking invariants of \mathcal{C} as an $\text{IndCoh}(H)$ -module category. The notion of weak invariants is specific to groups acting on categories (as opposed to vector spaces). Moreover, for any discrete group F the data of a weak action is equivalent to a strong action since the forgetful functor $\text{obv} : \mathcal{D}(F) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(F)$ is an equivalence.

Example 1.11. The category $\mathcal{D}(G)^{G, w} \simeq \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$, while $\mathcal{D}(G)^G \simeq \text{Vect}$. We also note that the category $\mathcal{D}(G)$ obtains two commuting G -actions (one from the left action of G on itself and one from the right). Therefore, we may define the category $\mathcal{D}(G)^{G \times G, w}$, and this category identifies with the *Harish-Chandra category* HC_G the category of $U\mathfrak{g}$ -bimodules with an integrable diagonal action. Note we also see from this example a natural way to interpret the G -action on $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$.

Example 1.12. The category $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ acquires a G -action, and so, in particular, the category $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}^N$ acquires a $T \cong B/N$ action. We can identify the category $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}^{N, (T, w)}$ with the *universal category* \mathcal{O} , see [KS]. We will review other connections to the BGG category \mathcal{O} in Section 4. In particular, we will see that the left adjoint to the functor $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ at a fixed central character can be identified with an enhanced version of Soergel's functor \mathbb{V} . This admits one interpretation of the left adjoint of $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ in the universal case—it is an analogue of Soergel's \mathbb{V} which does not require a fixed character.

The following theorem then states that a category \mathcal{C} with a G -action can be recovered from $\mathcal{C}^{N, (T, w)}$ with its natural symmetries.

Theorem 1.13. [BGO20, Theorem 1.2] The monoidal categories $\mathcal{D}(G)$, $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)$, and $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)^{T \times T, w}$ are all Morita equivalent.

Therefore, to understand results on G -categories, it suffices to understand the monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)^{T \times T, w}$. In particular, via application of Theorem 1.3 of [BGO20], we may similarly understand nondegenerate G -categories via understanding the localized monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w}$.

We are now in a position to recast Theorem 1.2 as an equivalence of monoidal categories:

Theorem 1.14. There are monoidal equivalences of categories

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w} &\simeq \text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*) \\ \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}} &\simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* / X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^* / X^\bullet(T)) \end{aligned}$$

which are t -exact up to cohomological shift.

In particular, the formalism of [BG17, Theorem 1.1] applies² and we obtain an \mathbb{E}_2 functor $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}^G$, where given a monoidal category \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes its *center* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) :=$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{2} & G, \mathfrak{g} \\ \text{IndCoh} & & \text{IndCoh} \\ \text{IndCoh} & & \text{IndCoh} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}} & \xrightarrow{\text{IndCoh}} & G, \mathfrak{g} \\ \text{IndCoh} & & \text{IndCoh} \\ \text{IndCoh} & & \text{IndCoh} \end{array}$$

$\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$. Using this, we may consider the eigencategories for any nondegenerate G -category over the category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})$, see [BG17, Section 2.8.2].

Remark 1.15. The statement of Theorem 1.14 can be interpreted at the level of abelian categories as follows, which we state for G adjoint type for the ease of exposition. Let $\Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$ be the union of the graphs of the affine Weyl group W^{aff} . Then $\Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$ is an ind-scheme, and so, in particular, every compact object in $\text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}})$ can be realized as the pushforward $i_{S,*}^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F}_S)$ for $i_S : \Gamma_S \rightarrow \Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$ the closed embedding of the union of some finite collection graphs of the affine Weyl group, and \mathcal{F}_S an object of the abelian category of coherent sheaves on Γ_S [GR17b, Chapter 3, Section 1]. Therefore, every object of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^{T \times T, w, \heartsuit}$ admits a quotient which can be viewed as a filtered colimit of such sheaves.

Remark 1.16. We can also interpret nondegeneracy as a localization of 2-categories

$$\mathcal{D}(G)\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)\text{-mod}_{\text{nondeg}}.$$

This perspective may prove useful in the local geometric Langlands correspondence, which studies twisted representations of the *loop group*. Our localization can be interpreted as an upgraded version of the functor $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Whit}(\mathcal{C})$. The functor Whit is of importance to the local geometric Langlands program, see [Ras18]. However, one does not need knowledge of this program for the results below.

Remark 1.17. This result, along with Theorem 1.2, admits an interpretation in the theory of 2 ind-coherent sheaves, in upcoming work of Arinkin-Gaitsgory and di Fiore-Stefanich [DS]. In this vein, an informal interpretation of Theorem 1.2 is that we can identify a generic part of G -categories as free of rank one over $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})$, and furthermore we have complete understanding of the singular support behavior which can occur.

Remark 1.18. In [BG17], a \mathbb{E}_2 -functor $\text{Ng}\hat{\circ}_\hbar$ from the cohomologically sheared Whittaker-Hecke category \mathcal{H}_ψ^\hbar to the cohomologically sheared category $\mathcal{D}_\hbar(G/G)$ is constructed using the derived, loop rotation equivariant geometric Satake of [BF08]. Using this, the authors also sketch an argument that there is an \mathbb{E}_2 -functor $\text{Ng}\hat{\circ} : \mathcal{H}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/G)$. Assuming the existence of such an \mathbb{E}_2 -functor, we would obtain that *all* G -categories diagonalize over $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$. The idea that such categories with a strong G -action should diagonalize over $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ is implicitly used in the proofs below, and was inspired by [BG17].

1.6. Equivariance on Very Central \mathcal{D} -modules on G . Using the ideas of categorical representation theory, we can also provide some evidence for a recent conjecture of Ben-Zvi and Gunningham on the essential image of enhanced parabolic restriction, which we state explicitly below after recalling some preliminaries.

1.6.1. *The Horocycle Functor and Parabolic Restriction.* Consider the category

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D}(G)) := \underline{\text{End}}_{G \times G}(\mathcal{D}(G)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)^G.$$

Here, as with all invariants in this subsection, G is acting via the adjoint action. This category is canonically the center of all categories with a G -action. Associated to it is a functor known as *parabolic restriction* $\text{Res} : \mathcal{D}(G)^G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^T$. For an excellent survey on parabolic restriction in many of its guises in representation theory, see [KS]. We will define parabolic restriction in terms of a related functor, known as the *horocycle functor* hc , which is defined as the composite:

$$\mathcal{D}(G)^G \xrightarrow{\text{obl}_B^G} \mathcal{D}(G)^B \xrightarrow{A_{V_*}^{N \times N}} \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T.$$

Let $i : N \backslash B/N \hookrightarrow N \backslash G/N$ denote the closed embedding.

Definition 1.19. The *parabolic restriction* functor is the composite

$$\mathcal{D}(G)^G \xrightarrow{\text{hc}} \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T \xrightarrow{i^!} \mathcal{D}(T)^T.$$

It was proved that parabolic restriction is t -exact in [BY]. In particular, parabolic restriction induces an exact functor of abelian categories

$$\text{Res} : \mathcal{D}(G)^{G, \heartsuit} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^{T, \heartsuit}$$

These abelian categories can often be easier to work with than their corresponding derived counterparts. For example, a standard argument (see, for example [Ras20a, Section 10.3]) shows the forgetful functor identifies $\mathcal{D}(G)^{G, \heartsuit}$ as a full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G)^\heartsuit$ for G any connected algebraic group. On the

other hand, $\mathcal{D}(G)^G$ is not the derived category of its heart for any nontrivial reductive G , see Lemma A.3 for the particular property which fails.

Let Ind denote the left adjoint to parabolic restriction, known as *parabolic induction*. At the level of abelian categories, it was shown in [Che20, Section 3.2] that if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^{W,\heartsuit} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T)^{T \times W,\heartsuit}$, then the sheaf

$$\text{Ind}(\text{oblv}^W(\mathcal{F})) \in \mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit}$$

acquires a canonical W -representation functorial in \mathcal{F} . Using this, it is standard to show that one can lift parabolic restriction to a functor

$$\text{WRes} : \mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^{W,\heartsuit}$$

which Ginzburg computed explicitly and showed identifies $\mathcal{D}(T)^{W,\heartsuit}$ with a quotient category of $\mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit}$ in [Gin22, Theorem 4.4].

1.6.2. Very Central \mathcal{D} -Modules. While parabolic restriction in general has many interesting properties, it is *not* monoidal in general. However, a standard argument (see (12) below) gives that the horocycle functor *is* monoidal. This suggests that a distinguished role is played by those sheaves $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit}$ for which one can recover $\text{hc}(\mathcal{F})$ from $\text{Res}(\mathcal{F})$, which, following [BG17], we call *very central*:

Definition 1.20. We say a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit}$ is *very central* if $\text{oblv}^T \circ \text{hc}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ is supported on $N \backslash B/N$.

We let \mathcal{V} denote the category of very central \mathcal{D} -modules, which is an abelian category by the t -exactness of parabolic restriction. These \mathcal{D} -modules on G have recently appeared in the étale setting in works of Chen. Specifically, in [Che22], the author argues that the acyclicity of ρ -Bessel sheaves follows from the very centrality of certain sheaves obtained from enhanced parabolic induction on the étale analogue of sheaves in $\mathcal{D}(T)^W$ which descend to the coarse quotient. This very centrality is proved in [Che20].

In [BG17], the authors conjecture that very central \mathcal{D} -modules are precisely those given by the Ngô functor (discussed in Remark 1.18) at the level of abelian categories.³ We state the following formulation of the conjecture here:

Conjecture 1.21. [BG17, Conjecture 2.14(2)] The restricted functor of abelian categories

$$\text{WRes} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^{W,\heartsuit}$$

has essential image given by those sheaves descending to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$.

In Section 7.1, we provide some evidence for Conjecture 1.21. Specifically, we show:

Theorem 1.22. If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G)^{G,\heartsuit}$ is very central, then there is a W -equivariant structure on $\text{Res}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\text{oblv}^T(\text{Res}(\mathcal{F})) \in \mathcal{D}(T)^{W,\heartsuit}$$

descends to the coarse quotient.

We note that, while nondegeneracy and Whittaker invariants are used heavily in the proof of Theorem 1.22, the statement of Theorem 1.22 uses neither.

1.7. Outline of Paper. We first discuss the foundations of categorical representation theory and other categorical results in Section 2. We then introduce the notion of a nondegenerate G -category in Section 3, and in particular show that the N -invariants of nondegenerate G -categories admit a W -action in Section 3.3. We then study the nondegenerate version of the BGG category \mathcal{O} in Section 4. Using this, we prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.9 in Section 5 and then prove Theorem 1.14 in Section 6. In Section 7, we then prove Theorem 1.22. This paper also contains one appendix, Appendix A, which shows, informally speaking, that the two possible a priori distinct notions of the derived category \mathcal{O}_λ agree.

³See [Che22] for a discussion of this conjecture.

1.8. Acknowledgements. I am especially grateful to my advisor, Sam Raskin, who originally posed the question of whether the ideas of groups acting on categories could be used to extend the results of [Lon18] and [Gin18] to a general expression of the form (1), and provided a tremendous amount of encouragement and useful insights along the way. Additionally, I would like to thank Rok Gregoric for patiently explaining the details of the theory of ∞ -categories. I would also like to thank David Ben-Zvi, Justin Campbell, Tsao-Hsien Chen, Desmond Coles, Dennis Gaitsgory, Sanath Devalapurkar, Gurbir Dhillon, Victor Ginzburg, Sam Gunningham, Natalie Hollenbaugh, Gus Lonergan, Kendric Schefers, Germán Stefanich, and Yixian Wu for many interesting and useful conversations.

This project was completed while I was a graduate student at the University of Texas at Austin, and I would like to thank everyone there for contributing to such an excellent environment.

2. CATEGORICAL PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Conventions. Derived algebraic geometry provides a convenient framework to define the space $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ and an associated category of sheaves $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})$. Unless otherwise stated, all of our derived algebraic geometry conventions will be following [GR17a]. We highlight some of the conventions here.

2.1.1. Categorical Conventions. We work over a field k of characteristic zero and categories will, by default, be DG categories in the sense of Chapter 1, section 10 of [GR17a]. In other words, in the notation of [GR17a], we are by default working in the category $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$. By definition, the objects of this category are DG categories, which are presentable stable ∞ -categories \mathcal{C} which are equipped with the data exhibiting \mathcal{C} as a module category for the monoidal stable ∞ -category Vect of k -vector spaces, and the functors between them are by definition *continuous*, i.e. they preserve all colimits, and in particular *exact*, by assumption, meaning that they preserve cofiber sequences.⁴ Occasionally, to emphasize our ground field, we will write $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k$ for $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}$.

We always highlight when our categories involved are not DG categories. One prominent example will occur when, in the notation of [GR17a], when we are working in $\text{DGCat}^{\text{non-cocmpl}}$ we will say that we are working with *not necessarily cocomplete* DG categories. Furthermore, when we work with a DG category \mathcal{C} equipped with a t -structure, we regard the categories $\mathcal{C}^{\leq m}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\geq m}$ for each integer m as ordinary ∞ -categories, and the *eventually coconnective subcategory* is $\mathcal{C}^+ := \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^{\geq -n}$ as a not necessarily cocomplete DG category.

In particular, we use cohomological indexing for our t -structure. We will say a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of stable ∞ -categories *reflects* the t -structure if $F(X) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ and $F(X) \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$. In particular, any conservative t -exact functor reflects the t -structure.

Furthermore, for any \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} in a DG category \mathcal{C} , we let the notation $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ denote the internal mapping object in Vect , see Chapter 1, Section 10.3.7 in [GR17a], and similarly for $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}) := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$, and reserve the notation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, for the underlying space of maps. When the underlying category is clear, we also use the notation $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ or $\underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{F})$. Finally, given two DG categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , we let $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ denote the DG category of maps between \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} [GR17a, Chapter 1, Section 10.3.6].

2.1.2. Other DAG Conventions. Since our field k has characteristic 0, our analogue of affine schemes Sch^{aff} is defined so that $\text{Sch}^{\text{aff,op}} := \text{ComAlg}(\text{Vect}^{\leq 0})$, where the right hand side denotes the category of commutative algebra objects in the category of connective vector spaces, see [GR17a, Chapter 2, Section 1]. We will define objects such as $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ as objects in the category of *prestacks*, i.e. the $(\infty, 1)$ category of functors $\text{Fun}(\text{Sch}^{\text{aff,op}}, \text{Spc})$, where Spc denotes the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of spaces. By field, we mean a classical field, which is in particular an object in $\text{ComAlg}(\text{Vect}^{\heartsuit})$.

We also follow the convention of [GR17a] of writing $\mathcal{D}(X) := \text{IndCoh}(X_{dR})$ for the DG category of right \mathcal{D} -modules on a locally almost of finite type prestack X . A *sheaf* on some prestack X will mean an object of $\text{QCoh}(X)$ or $\text{IndCoh}(X)$ (when the latter is defined), and context will always dictate which category we are referring to. In particular, a given sheaf need not lie in the heart of the t -structure.

⁴~~omitted~~

~~omitted~~

~~omitted~~

2.1.3. *Representation Theoretic Notation.* We fix once and for all a split reductive algebraic group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and we additionally fix a choice of Borel B , maximal torus T with Weyl group $W := N_G(T)/T$, and associated character lattice $X^\bullet(T)$. Set $N := [B, B]$ and $N^- := [B^-, B^-]$, and let Φ be the induced root system with root lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Phi$. We set $\Lambda := \{\mu \in X^\bullet(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} : \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi\}$. We also view Λ and $X^\bullet(T)$ as ind-closed subschemes of \mathfrak{t}^* .

We also fix a pinning for G , which we record as a group homomorphism $\psi : N^- \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$, which factors through $N^-/[N^-, N^-]$ and is *nondegenerate* (by definition of pinning) in the sense that its restriction to any one dimensional subscheme of $\text{Lie}(N^-/[N^-, N^-])$ corresponding to a root vector for a simple root is nontrivial. By *reflection* of a vector space, we mean a diagonalizable linear endomorphism of the vector space fixing some hyperplane and having -1 as an eigenvalue, so that the square of any reflection is the identity.

2.2. Groups Acting on Categories. In this subsection, we will review some basic properties of groups acting on categories. For further surveys, see, for example, [Ras] or [CGY19, Section 1.1].

2.2.1. *Definitions.* Let H be any affine algebraic group, and let $\mathcal{D}(H)$ denote the category of (right) \mathcal{D} -modules on H . Note that $\mathcal{D}(H)$ obtains a co-monoidal structure via pullback by the multiplication map. Similarly, let \tilde{H} denote any group ind-scheme.

Definition 2.1. If \mathcal{C} is equipped with the structure of a co-module for the co-monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H})$ (respectively $\text{IndCoh}(\tilde{H})$), we say that the category \mathcal{C} has a *strong* (respectively *weak*) *action* of \tilde{H} . If \mathcal{C} is a category with a strong action of \tilde{H} , we will also say that \mathcal{C} is an \tilde{H} -category.

Remark 2.2. In fact, the notion of an action of any group object of the category of prestacks can be defined using the notion of *sheaves of categories*, see [Gai].

Remark 2.3. One of the major interests in studying groups acting on categories came from the strong action of loop groups on categories, see [Ber13, Remark 1.3.1]. In particular, a strong action of H on a category is often referred to as an *action* of H on the category, and we will continue this practice below.

Remark 2.4. We may equivalently define an action of H on \mathcal{C} as data realizing \mathcal{C} as a module for the monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(H)$ under convolution, see [CGY19, Section 1.1.1]. We will occasionally use this perspective below as well.

Remark 2.5. Assume we have an action of \tilde{H} on some prestack \mathcal{X} such that $\tilde{H}(K)$ and $\mathcal{X}(K)$ are discrete spaces (i.e. sets). (For example, this occurs for the action of the extended affine Weyl group \tilde{W}^{aff} on \mathfrak{t}^* .) Then the notion of *orbit* and *stabilizer* with respect to K are defined in the classical sense.

2.2.2. *Invariants.* If \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are categories with an action of \tilde{H} , we let $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H})}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ denote the DG category of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H})$ -linear maps between them.

Definition 2.6. Given a category \mathcal{C} with an \tilde{H} -action, we define its *invariants* as the category $\mathcal{C}^{\tilde{H}} := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H})}(\text{Vect}, \mathcal{C})$, where Vect acquires a trivial \tilde{H} -structure.

Proposition 2.7. Let X be any ind-scheme, and assume \mathcal{G} is an algebraic group (in particular, a classical scheme) or is a group ind-scheme whose underlying prestack is a discrete set of points. Then

- (1) The category $\text{IndCoh}(X)$ canonically acquires a weak action of \mathcal{G} such that canonical functor $\text{IndCoh}(X/\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{q} \text{IndCoh}(X)$ induced by the quotient $X \xrightarrow{q} X/\mathcal{G}$ lifts to an equivalence $\text{IndCoh}(X/\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}(X)^{\mathcal{G}}$.
- (2) The category $\mathcal{D}(X)$ canonically acquires an action of \mathcal{G} such that canonical functor $\mathcal{D}(X/\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$ induced by the quotient map lifts to an equivalence $\mathcal{D}(X/\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(X)^{\mathcal{G}}$.

Proof. We may identify category $\text{IndCoh}(X/\mathcal{G})$ with the totalization of the cosimplicial object given by $\text{IndCoh}(X^\bullet/(X/\mathcal{G})) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet \times X)$ by the fact that IndCoh satisfies fppf descent by [Gai13, Corollary 10.4.5] (when \mathcal{G} is an algebraic group) or ind-proper descent by [GR17b, Chapter 3, Proposition 3.3.3] (when \mathcal{G} is a discrete set of points). Similarly, by definition, the category $\text{IndCoh}(X)^{\mathcal{G}}$ may be identified with the totalization of the cosimplicial object given by $\text{IndCoh}(H^\bullet) \otimes \text{IndCoh}(X)$. Furthermore, we have a map of cosimplicial objects $\boxtimes : \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \otimes \text{IndCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet \times X)$, and, for any fixed i , the associated

functor $\boxtimes : \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^i) \otimes \text{IndCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^i \times X)$ is an equivalence if $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{G}^i)$ is dualizable, see [Gai13, Corollary 10.3.6].

However, if \mathcal{X} denote any ind-inf-scheme, such as \mathcal{G}^i or $(\mathcal{G}^i)_{dR} \simeq (\mathcal{G}_{dR})^i$, then the category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ is in fact self-dual, see [GR17b, Chapter 3, Section 6.2.3]. Therefore, this equivalence holds for IndCoh , and, furthermore, the analogous proof shows for the associated categories of \mathcal{D} -modules since $\text{IndCoh}(X_{dR}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X)$ and the functor $(-)_dR$ commutes with limits and colimits, see [GR, Lemma 1.1.4]. \square

Definition 2.8. If \mathcal{C} is a category with an H -action, we define the category of *monodromic objects* $\mathcal{C}^{H\text{-Mon}}$ as the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} generated by the essential image of the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^H \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}^H} \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 2.9. In general, the functor oblv^H need not be fully faithful unless H is unipotent, see Lemma 2.39.

Using Remark 2.4, we can similarly define the *coinvariants* of H acting on \mathcal{C} as $\mathcal{C}_H := \text{Vect} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(H)} \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 2.10. We have the following:

- (1) ([Gai20, Appendix B], [Gai20, Corollary 3.1.5]) If \mathcal{C} is a category with an H -action, the forgetful functor $\text{oblv} : \mathcal{C}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a continuous right adjoint, denoted Av_*^H . This functor induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^H$.
- (2) (Gaitsgory-Lurie, [Ber13, Theorem 2.5.7]) If \mathcal{C} is a category with a weak H -action, the forgetful functor $\text{oblv}^{H,w} : \mathcal{C}^{H,w} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a continuous right adjoint, denoted $\text{Av}_*^{H,w}$. This functor induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{H,w} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{H,w}$.

This theorem also holds in the case of an infinite discrete group:

Proposition 2.11. Assume $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a group ind-scheme whose underlying set of points is discrete, and that \mathcal{C} is a category with an action of $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Then the canonical map $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Since the canonical map $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{dR}$ is an equivalence, we need only show this for the weak action of Λ . However, since Λ is proper, the associated action maps are ind-proper, and therefore have left adjoints given by IndCoh pushforward (see chapter 3 of [GR17b]). Therefore, our claim follows by [GR17a, Chapter 1, Corollary 2.5.7]. \square

Remark 2.12. The equivalences of invariants and coinvariants of Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 2.11 have the following trivial but conceptually important consequence. Let M be any closed subgroup of an affine algebraic group H or some discrete group, and assume \mathcal{C} is some category with an H -action. Then we have a canonical equivalence:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_H(\mathcal{D}(H/M), \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^M$$

for which an $F \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_H(\mathcal{D}(H/M), \mathcal{C})$ is canonically isomorphic to the functor $F(\delta_{1M}) \star^H -$, where $F(\delta_{1M}) \in \mathcal{C}^H$. This is because:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_H(\mathcal{D}(H/M), \mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_H(\mathcal{D}(H)^M, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_H(\mathcal{D}(H)_M, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^M$$

where the last step uses the explicit description of coinvariants as a colimit, as well as the dualizability of $\mathcal{D}(H)$. In particular, suppose $F(\delta_{1M})$ is contained in some H subcategory of \mathcal{C} , say \mathcal{C}' . Then the entire essential image of F is also contained in \mathcal{C}' . Of course, this entire discussion also applies to weak invariants and weak actions.

2.2.3. Character Sheaves and Twisted Invariants. We briefly review the ideas of *twisted invariants* of groups acting on categories in this section and Section 2.3, see, for example, [CD21, Section 2] for a more thorough treatment. Let \mathcal{L} be some character sheaf on H . Then we may define the **twisted invariants** $\mathcal{C}^{H,\mathcal{L}}$ associated to \mathcal{L} .

Remark 2.13. The natural analogue to Theorem 2.10, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_{H,\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{H,\mathcal{L}}$, holds for twisted invariants of any H acting on a category, see [Ber17, Section 2.4].

Now fix any field L and let $[\lambda]$ denote some L -point of $\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$. This gives rise to a monoidal functor $\mathcal{D}(T) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_L$ via the Mellin transform. By Remark 2.4, this in turn gives rise to a co-monoidal functor $\text{Vect}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)$, which sends the one dimensional vector space to a character sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{[\lambda]}$. Using this character sheaf, one can similarly define the twisted invariants $\mathcal{C}^{T,\mathcal{L}_{[\lambda]}}$ of any category \mathcal{C} with a T -action or $\mathcal{C}^{B,\mathcal{L}_{[\lambda]}} := (\mathcal{C}^N)^{T,\mathcal{L}_{[\lambda]}}$ for any category with a B -action.

This category admits an alternative description which we now record:

Proposition 2.14. For any category \mathcal{C} with an action of T , choose some lift $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(L)$ of $[\lambda]$. Then the canonical functor $\mathcal{C}^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{T,\mathcal{L}[\lambda]}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X \bullet (T))} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X \bullet (T))} \text{Vect}_L$$

since the $\mathcal{L}[\lambda]$ -invariants and coinvariants agree. \square

Remark 2.15. We recall that to any character $H \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{G}_a$, one can pull back the exponential \mathcal{D} -module to create a character sheaf \mathcal{L}_χ on H , see section 2.4 of [Ber17]. We shift this character sheaf so that it has unique nonzero cohomological degree $-\dim(H)$, and denote this category by $\mathcal{C}^{H,\chi}$.

2.2.4. *Recovering G -Categories From Their Invariants.* In this section, we first recall the main result of [BGO20], which says that all G -categories are highest weight, and then provide an extension in Proposition 2.17.

To do this, let \mathcal{H}_N denote the monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)$ and let $\mathcal{H}_{N,(T,w)}$ denote the monoidal category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)^{(T \times T),w}$. Then the bimodule $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ defines a functor $G\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_N\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat})$, and similarly the bimodule $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{T,w}$ defines a functor $G\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N,(T,w)}\text{-mod}$. These functors are equivalently given by the functors $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^N$ and $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$ respectively, by Theorem 2.10. The main result of [BGO20] states that these functors are Morita equivalences:

Theorem 2.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a G -action.

- (1) [BGO20] The functors $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^N$, $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$ are conservative.
- (2) (Gaitsgory-Lurie, [Ber13, Theorem 2.5.7]) The functor $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^{G,w}$ is conservative.

Equivalently, the canonical functors $\mathcal{D}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_N} \mathcal{C}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{N,(T,w)}} \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$, $\mathcal{D}(G)^{G,w} \otimes_{HC_G} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are equivalences, where HC_G is as in Example 1.11.

We now record the following proposition, which can be viewed as an extension of the results of Theorem 2.16.

Proposition 2.17. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ denote some functor which admits a continuous adjoint. We have the following:

- (1) If F additionally has the structure of a map of $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ -categories, then F is fully faithful (respectively, an equivalence) if and only if the induced functor

$$F \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{id}_{\text{Vect}_L} : \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L$$

is fully faithful (respectively, an equivalence) for all field-valued points $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(L)$.

- (2) If F is a functor of G -categories, then F is a fully faithful (respectively, an equivalence) if and only if the induced functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{B,\mathcal{L}[\lambda]} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{B,\mathcal{L}[\lambda]}$ is fully faithful (respectively, an equivalence) for all field-valued points $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(L)$.

Remark 2.18. We note in passing that there is a symmetric monoidal equivalence $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}^*} : \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ [GR17a, Chater 6] since \mathfrak{t}^* is smooth. In particular, we may replace $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ with $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ in the statement (1) of Proposition 2.17.

We will prove Proposition 2.17 after showing the following lemma:

Lemma 2.19. Let A be a classical Noetherian commutative k -algebra. An object \mathcal{F} of an A -module category \mathcal{C} is zero if and only if $\text{Av}_*^\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ for all field-valued points $A \xrightarrow{\lambda} L$, where we denote the composite

$$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(A))} \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(A)) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \lambda^!} \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(A))} \text{Vect}_L$$

by Av_*^λ .

Proof. Apply a Cousin filtration to $\omega \in \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(A))$ to see that we may write any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ as a successive extension of objects of the form $\text{oblv}^\lambda \text{Av}_*^\lambda(\mathcal{F})$, where oblv^λ is the right adjoint to Av_*^λ and λ is a field-valued point. This is because the field-valued points generate the category $\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(A))$, which is a direct consequence of [Gai13, Proposition 8.1.2]. Since the functor oblv^λ is conservative, the claim follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.17. The statements about equivalences follow from their respective fully faithful statements since if a functor F is a functor of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ -categories (respectively, $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ -categories), then any adjoint is automatically a functor of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ -categories (respectively, $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ -categories) by Theorem 2.22 (respectively, the fact that $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})\text{-Mod}$ is rigid monoidal, see [GR17a, Chapter 1, Section 9]) and the fact that a functor with an adjoint is an equivalence if and only if the functor and its adjoint are fully faithful. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.16, statement (2) of the proposition follows from statement (1), using Proposition 2.14.

Now assume $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor of $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ -module categories which admits an adjoint. We assume that F admits a continuous right adjoint $R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, a dual proof is valid if F admits a (necessarily continuous) left adjoint. To show that F is fully faithful, it suffices to show that the unit map $\text{id} \rightarrow RF$ is an equivalence. This is a natural transformation of functors, and therefore it is a natural isomorphism if and only if the induced map $C \rightarrow RF(C)$ is an equivalence for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

The map $C \rightarrow RF(C)$ is an equivalence if and only if its cofiber K vanishes. By Lemma 2.19, we may check that this cofiber vanishes at all points. However, since R is necessarily $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})$ -linear by the rigid monoidality of $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}$, and so one can check that for any field-valued $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(L)$, $K \otimes L \in \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \text{Vect}_L$ vanishes if and only if the associated unit map $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \text{id}(C \otimes L) \rightarrow RF \otimes \text{id}(C \otimes L)$ is an equivalence, which is true since $RF \otimes \text{id} \simeq (R \otimes \text{id})(F \otimes \text{id})$ and $(F \otimes \text{id})$ is fully faithful by assumption. \square

Corollary 2.20. If \mathcal{C} denotes some DG category with an action of G and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ is nonzero, then there exists some field-valued point λ for which $\text{Av}_*^{B,\lambda}(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero.

Proof. Consider the map given by including the zero category into the full G -subcategory \mathcal{D} generated by \mathcal{F} . By Proposition 2.17(2), because this functor is not an equivalence, there exists some field-valued λ for which $\mathcal{D}^{B,\lambda}$ is nonzero. Therefore, the forgetful functor $\text{oblv} : \mathcal{D}^{B,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is nonzero and therefore its adjoint is nonzero as well. \square

The following lemma gives a related way to recover a category with the action of some torus from its weak invariants:

Lemma 2.21. If \mathcal{C} is a category with a T -action, then $(\mathcal{C}^{T,w})^{X^\bullet(T)} \simeq \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Note there is a T -equivariant equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T) \otimes_T \mathcal{C}$. Taking the (T,w) -invariance, we see $\mathcal{C}^{T,w} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \otimes_T \mathcal{C}$. Finally, taking $X^\bullet(T)$ -invariants on both sides, we see that

$$(\mathcal{C}^{T,w})^{X^\bullet(T)} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^{X^\bullet(T)} \otimes_T \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) \otimes_T \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T) \otimes_T \mathcal{C}$$

where the last step follows from the Mellin transform. \square

2.2.5. Rigidity and Semi-Rigidity of Categories Related to $\mathcal{D}(G)$. We recall that, as defined in [BN15], a monoidal category is *semi-rigid* if it admits a set of compact generators which are dualizable on both the right and left, and, that a compactly generated category is *rigid* in the sense of [GR17a, Chapter 1, Section 9] if and only if it is semi-rigid and the monoidal unit is compact, see [BN15, Proposition 3.3]. In this section, we survey and prove results regarding rigid and semi-rigid monoidality of categories related to $\mathcal{D}(G)$, such as those in Theorem 2.16. We first record the following more general result of Gaitsgory:

Theorem 2.22. ([Gai20, Lemma D.4.4]) Let \mathcal{G} denote any placid group ind-scheme. Then any datum of a lax or oplax equivariance on a functor of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ -module categories is automatically strict. In particular, any adjoint of a $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ -equivariant functor acquires a canonical datum of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ -equivariance.

Furthermore, we have the following theorem of Beraldo:

Theorem 2.23. ([Ber17, Proposition 2.3.11]) For any affine algebraic group H of finite type, the Harish-Chandra category $\mathcal{D}(H)^{H \times H,w}$ is rigid monoidal.

The ‘rigid’ version of the following observation was used in [Ber17] to prove Theorem 2.23; we record it and the semi-rigid variant for future use:

Lemma 2.24. Assume that \mathcal{A} is a compactly generated, monoidal category, \mathcal{B} is a monoidal category, and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a monoidal functor of monoidal categories which admits a continuous, conservative right adjoint. Then if \mathcal{A} is semi-rigid (respectively, rigid), then so too is \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Assume \mathcal{A} is semi-rigid. Then, by definition of semi-rigidity, \mathcal{A} admits a set of compact generators which are both left and right dualizable, say by the collection of $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Because F is a left adjoint with continuous right adjoint, the collection of $F(A_\alpha)$ are compact in \mathcal{B} , and because the right adjoint is conservative, this collection generates. In particular, \mathcal{B} is compactly generated.

Since functors with continuous right adjoints preserve compact objects and monoidal functors preserve the monoidal unit $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$, if the monoidal unit of \mathcal{A} is compact, then so too is the monoidal unit $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of \mathcal{B} . Since a compactly generated monoidal category is rigid if and only if it is semi-rigid and has a compact monoidal unit ([BN15, Proposition 3.3]), it remains to show the semi-rigid version of this claim.

We have seen that $F(A_\alpha)$ are compact generators of \mathcal{B} . Let A_α^L denote the left dual to A_α . We claim $F(A_\alpha^L)$ is the left dual to $F(A_\alpha)$. To see this, note that, by definition of dualizability, there exists a coevaluation map $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{u} A_\alpha^L \star_{\mathcal{A}} A$ and a counit map $A_\alpha^L \star_{\mathcal{A}} A \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the compositions are equivalent to their respective identity maps (see [BN15, Definition 2.4]). Using the monoidality of F , one can check, for example, that the map

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{F(u)} F(A_\alpha^L \star_{\mathcal{A}} A) \simeq F(A_\alpha^L) \star_{\mathcal{B}} F(A)$$

where the last equivalence uses the monoidality of F , gives the coevaluation map of the duality datum. An identical proof (with the roles of left and right reversed) shows that $F(A_\alpha)$ is also right dualizable, and so therefore $F(A_\alpha)$ is a set of compact generators of \mathcal{B} which are both left and right dualizable, and so \mathcal{B} is rigid monoidal. \square

We now prove a related result:

Proposition 2.25. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ is rigid monoidal and compactly generated.

We prove this after first recalling the following result of Ben-Zvi and Nadler:

Theorem 2.26. ([BN15, Theorem 6.2]) The category $\text{IndCoh}(B \setminus G/B)$ is semi-rigid.

Remark 2.27. In [BN15], Theorem 2.26 is originally stated for the category of (right) \mathcal{D} -modules $\mathcal{D}(B \setminus G/B)$. However, the entire proof of this theorem goes through for the category $\text{IndCoh}(B \setminus G/B) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(BB \times_{BG} BB)$, with the standard modifications that the Verdier duality functor \mathbb{D} is replaced with the Serre duality functor on $\text{IndCoh}(B \setminus G/B)$, defined in [DG13, Section 4.4], and the constant sheaf for \mathcal{D} -modules is replaced with the constant sheaf for IndCoh .

In particular, note that the same proper map $p : BB \rightarrow BG$ and diagonal map $BB \rightarrow BB \times_{BG} BB$ are used in both the \mathcal{D} -module and IndCoh cases. The analogue of the semi-rigid monoidality of $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}(BB)$ in [BN15, Section 6] is replaced with the *rigid* monoidality of the compactly generated category $\text{IndCoh}(BB) \simeq \text{Rep}(B)$.

Proof of Proposition 2.25. Note that the adjoint pair given by the standard adjunction of right \mathcal{D} -modules (see [GR])

$$\text{ind}_{dR} : \text{IndCoh}(N \setminus G/N) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) : \text{obl}^r$$

Let $H := N_{dR}T$, so that $H_{dR} = B_{dR}$. We therefore see that the functor q_*^{IndCoh} induced by $q : B \setminus G/B \rightarrow H \setminus G_{dR}/H$ admits a continuous right adjoint.

We also note that q_*^{IndCoh} is monoidal, because, for example, because it is equivalently the functor given by pushforward by the Cartesian product of the quotient maps $BB \times_{BG} BB \rightarrow BH \times_{BG_{dR}} BH$. Moreover the associated right adjoint $q^!$ is conservative—for example, pulling back by the cover $s : G/B \rightarrow B \setminus G/B$, we can identify $s^!q^!$ with the composite of two forgetful functors, one which forgets the \mathcal{D} -module structure to the IndCoh module structure and one which forgets the $N, (T, w)$ -equivariance. Therefore, q_*^{IndCoh} falls into the setup of Lemma 2.24, and furthermore we have seen that $\text{IndCoh}(B \setminus G/B)$ is semi-rigid by Theorem 2.26. Therefore, using Lemma 2.24, we see $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ is semi-rigid and thus in particular compactly generated.

Because $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ is semi-rigid, it is in particular compactly generated. A compactly generated is rigid if and only if it is semi-rigid and the monoidal unit is compact ([BN15, Proposition 3.3]), so it remains to verify the monoidal unit is compact. Note that we have a functor $\mathcal{D}(T)^{T \times T, w} \xleftarrow{i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}} \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ induced by the composite $T \cong N \setminus B/N \xrightarrow{i} N \setminus G/N$ which is monoidal. Furthermore, it admits a continuous right adjoint—the fact that $i^! : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)$ is $T \times T$ -linear follows from Theorem 2.22. Therefore, the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ is mapped to by a compact object in $\mathcal{D}(T)^{T \times T, w}$ (namely, the monoidal

unit, which is compact by Theorem 2.23) via a functor admitting a continuous right adjoint, and thus is compact. \square

Finally, we prove a result analogous to Theorem 2.22:

Corollary 2.28. Assume $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a map of G -categories and denote by $F^N : \mathcal{C}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^N$ the associated functor on the N -invariant subcategory. Assume F^N admits a continuous right adjoint (respectively, left adjoint). Then F itself admits a continuous, G -equivariant right adjoint $R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (respectively, G -equivariant left-adjoint). In particular, the adjoint of F^N is \mathcal{H}_N -linear.

Proof. The induced map $F^N : \mathcal{C}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^N$ is necessarily T -equivariant, and so by Theorem 2.22, the adjoint functor is also T -equivariant. In particular, applying $(-)^{T,w}$ to this adjoint pair, we see that the functor $F^{N,(T,w)} : \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{N,(T,w)}$ admits a continuous right adjoint, and therefore so too does the functor $\text{id} \otimes F : \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{Vect}} \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{Vect}} \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.16, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{Vect}} \mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes F^{N,(T,w)}} & \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{T,w} \otimes_{\text{Vect}} \mathcal{D}^{N,(T,w)} \\ \downarrow \text{act} & & \downarrow \text{act} \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are given by the action maps. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^{T \times T,w}$ is rigid monoidal (Proposition 2.25) and so the action map here admits a continuous right adjoint, see [GR17a, Chapter 1, Lemma 9.3.3]. However, the right adjoint to the action map is also conservative. Therefore, we may check whether the right adjoint to F commutes with colimits after applying the right adjoint to the action map on \mathcal{C} . However, the diagram given by the right adjoints of the above diagram commutes, and the right adjoints given by the upper and rightmost arrows are both continuous. Therefore, we see that the right adjoint R to F commutes with colimits. Since F is G -equivariant, its adjoint is by Theorem 2.22. Therefore, R^N will be $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ -linear, as desired. Now assume F^N admits a left adjoint. Recall the functor hc of Section 1.6. Since hc is defined as a composite of the forgetful functor and averaging functor, we see that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(G) \otimes_G \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D}(G) \otimes_G \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow \text{hc} & & \downarrow \text{hc} \\ \mathcal{D}(G/N) \otimes_T \mathcal{C}^N & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes F^N} & \mathcal{D}(G/N) \otimes_T \mathcal{D}^N \end{array}$$

It is a standard result that the functor hc admits a left adjoint ch —see, for example, [BG17, Section 2.6.1] for an alternate description of this functor and its left adjoint. Moreover, following [BG17, Section 2.6.1] and [Ras20a, Section 4.6], the functor hc is conservative, since, for example, the composite $\text{hc}^L \circ \text{hc} \simeq \text{ch} \circ \text{hc}$ can be identified with the functor which convolves with the Springer sheaf, a sheaf which has the sheaf $\delta_{1G} \in \mathcal{D}(G/G)$ as a direct summand. Therefore, to see that F commutes with limits it suffices to show that $\text{hc}F$ commutes with limits, and by the commutativity of the diagram, this follows since hc and $\text{id} \otimes F^N$ are both right adjoints. \square

2.2.6. Completeness of t -Structures. We now recall the following definition for later use:

Definition 2.29. Let \mathcal{C} be a category equipped with a t -structure. We say an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ is its *right-completion* (respectively, is its *left-completion*) with respect to the t -structure if the natural map $\text{colim}_n \tau^{\leq n} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (respectively, the natural map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{lim}_m \tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F}$) is an equivalence. A t -structure on a stable or DG category \mathcal{C} is said to be *right-complete* (respectively, *left-complete*) if all of its objects are their right-completions (respectively, their left-completions).

Lemma 2.30. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be DG categories equipped with t -structures, and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a (continuous) functor between them which is t -exact and conservative.

- (1) If \mathcal{D} is right-complete with respect to its t -structure, then \mathcal{C} is also right-complete with respect to its t -structure.
- (2) If \mathcal{D} is left-complete with respect to its t -structure, then if F commutes with (small) limits, \mathcal{C} is also left-complete with respect to its t -structure.

Proof. Assume $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and consider the map $C \xleftarrow{\phi} \operatorname{colim}_n \iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(C)$ in \mathcal{C} , where $\iota^{\leq n}$ denotes the inclusion. By the conservativity of F , it suffices to show that $F(\phi)$ is an isomorphism. However, we have:

$$F(\operatorname{colim}_n \iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(C)) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_n F(\iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(C)) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_n \iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(F(\iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(C))) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_n \iota^{\leq n} \tau^{\leq n}(F(C))$$

where the first equivalence uses the continuity of F , the second step uses the right t -exactness of F , and the third step uses the left t -exactness of F . By the right-completeness of \mathcal{D} , this composite map is an equivalence. However, we can identify this composite map with $F(\phi)$, so we see that $F(\phi)$ is an isomorphism, as desired. An argument dual to the above gives (2). Specifically, if $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then we have equivalences:

$$F(\lim_m \iota^{\geq m} \tau^{\geq m}(C)) \simeq \lim_m F(\iota^{\geq m} \tau^{\geq m}(C)) \simeq \lim_m \iota^{\geq m} \tau^{\geq m}(F(\iota^{\geq m} \tau^{\geq m}(C))) \simeq \lim_m \iota^{\geq m} \tau^{\geq m}(F(C))$$

where the first step uses the assumption that F commutes with small limits, the second step uses the fact that F is left t -exact, and the third uses the right t -exactness of F . Therefore, as above, the conservativity of F gives the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is left-complete provided the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is. \square

2.2.7. t -Structures on H -Categories. For later use, we will also recall the t -structure on categories with a group action. We first recall a result of [Gai16]:

Lemma 2.31. ([Gai16, Lemma 4.1.3]) Assume $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}_1$, and \mathcal{D}_2 are DG categories equipped with t -structures and we are given a (continuous) functor $F : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$. Then the categories $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_i$ each inherit a t -structure where the objects of $(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_i)^{\leq 0}$ are generated under colimits by objects of the form $c \otimes d$ where $c \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$. Furthermore:

- (1) If $F : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$ is right t -exact, then so too is the functor $\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes F : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_2$.
- (2) If $F : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$ is left t -exact, then so too is the functor $\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes F : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}_2$, if the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is compactly generated, i.e. $\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ is generated under colimits by the objects of $\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ which are compact in \mathcal{C} .

Now, as above, we let H be any affine algebraic group. We note that in particular $\operatorname{IndCoh}(H)$ and $\mathcal{D}(H)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.31 since, for example, each category is the derived category of its heart since H is smooth—see [GR, Proposition 4.7.3] for the $\mathcal{D}(H)$ case. We make the following definition:

Definition 2.32. ([Ras18] Appendix B.4) We say that a t -structure on a H -category \mathcal{C} is *compatible* with the G action if the coaction map $\operatorname{coact}[-\dim(H)] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is t -exact.

Example 2.33. Assume H acts on some scheme X . Then the action map $a : H \times X \rightarrow X$ is smooth (since there is an isomorphism $H \times X \cong H \times X$ which takes the action map to the projection map, which is smooth), and so in particular the coaction map $a^![-\dim(H)]$ is t -exact.

Let \mathcal{C} denote a DG category with an action of an algebraic group, and let $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ be any character. Recall the construction $\mathcal{C}^{H,\chi}$ of Remark 2.15. If \mathcal{C} is equipped with a t -structure compatible with the action of H , then, following [Ras18, Appendix B1-B4], we can equip $\mathcal{C}^{H,\chi}$ with a unique t -structure such that the forgetful functor is t -exact.

Proposition 2.34. If $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a t -exact functor of G -categories and $H \leq G$ is some closed subgroup of G , then the induced functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{H,\chi}$ is t -exact.

Proof. By assumption, the following diagram canonically commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & \mathcal{D}^{H,\chi} \\ \downarrow \operatorname{oblv} & & \downarrow \operatorname{oblv} \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

where, oblv denotes the forgetful functor. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi,\geq 0}$. Then we have $\text{oblv}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ and so by the commutativity of the diagram above, we see that $\text{oblv}(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{F})) \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$. In particular, we see that $\text{oblv}(\tau^{< 0}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq 0$ by the t -exactness of oblv . Since oblv is conservative, we see that $\tau^{< 0}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, and therefore $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}^{H,\chi,\geq 0}$. Repeating this same argument replacing the coconnective categories with the respective connective categories (and replacing $\tau^{< 0}$ with $\tau^{> 0}$), an identical argument shows \tilde{F} preserves connective objects and thus \tilde{F} is t -exact. \square

Definition 2.35. Let \mathcal{C} be a category equipped with a t -structure.

- (1) We say an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is *cohomologically bounded* if there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau^{> n}C$ and $\tau^{< m}C$ vanish, so that $C \simeq \tau^{\geq n}\tau^{\leq m}C \simeq C$.
- (2) We say \mathcal{C} has *bounded cohomological dimension* if there exists some $n > 0$ such that if $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq n}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$, then the space of maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is connected.
- (3) Let \mathcal{D} be a category equipped with a t -structure, and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between them. We say that F has *cohomological amplitude in $[m, n]$* for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ if for all $C \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$, the cohomology of $F(C)$ given by the t -structure is concentrated in degrees $[m, n]$, and furthermore we say it has *bounded cohomological amplitude* if it has cohomological amplitude in $[m, n]$ for some integers m and n .

Proposition 2.36. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor of DG categories (or any stable ∞ -categories) equipped with t -structures.

- (1) Assume further that the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is right-complete as in Definition 2.29 and the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is compatible with filtered colimits. Then if F maps \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} to $\mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$ (which in particular occurs for some m if F has bounded cohomological amplitude), then F maps $\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$.
- (2) Further assume that F commutes with cofiltered limits. Then if the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is left-complete and the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is compatible with cofiltered limits, then if F maps \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} to $\mathcal{D}^{\leq n}$, then F maps $\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{\leq n}$.

Proof. We first claim that F sends $\mathcal{C}^{[0,q]} := \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\leq q}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$. To see this, we induct on q , noting that the base case follows from definition of having cohomological amplitude in $[m, n]$. By the inductive step, note that any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{[0,q]}$ for $q > 0$ admits a cofiber sequence $\tau^{\leq q-1}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow H^q(\mathcal{F})[-q]$, where we omit the inclusion functors given by the t -structure. The inductive hypothesis implies that $F(\tau^{\leq q-1}\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$ and the fact that F is exact implies that $F(H^q(\mathcal{F})[-q]) \simeq F(H^q(\mathcal{F}))[-q]$ lies in $\mathcal{D}^{[m+q, n+q]} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$. Now, for a general $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$, by right-completeness we may write $\mathcal{F} \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_n \tau^{\geq n}(\mathcal{F})$. Apply F , which commutes with colimits, to see that $F(\mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{colim}_n F(\tau^{\geq n}(\mathcal{F}))$. Therefore, by above, we see that $F(\mathcal{F})$ is a filtered colimit of objects of $\mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$, and therefore, since the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is compatible with filtered colimits, we see that $F(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$.

The dual proof holds to prove claim (2), where one similarly first inducts on q to show that $\mathcal{C}^{[-q,0]} \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq n}$ and uses left-completeness of \mathcal{C} , the fact that F commutes with cofiltered limits, and the fact that $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ is closed under cofiltered limits to show the general claim. \square

We now state two results in Appendix B in [Ras18]:

Proposition 2.37. Assume we are given a category \mathcal{C} which additionally is equipped with an action of H compatible with the t -structure, and let $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ be any character.

- (1) ([Ras18, Lemma B.4.1]) The functor $\text{Av}_*^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi}$ has cohomological amplitude in $[0, \dim(H)]$.
- (2) ([Ras18, Lemma B.6.1]) Assume \mathcal{C} has a compactly generated t -structure. Then the partially defined left adjoint to the forgetful functor, denoted $\text{Av}_!^{H,\chi} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi}$, has cohomological amplitude $[-\dim(H), 0]$.

Proof. We show the methods of [Ras18, Appendix B] adapt to show the more general character case as in (1). Consider the underlying sheaf of our character sheaf, $\mathcal{L}_\chi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$, associated to χ . By our conventions above, \mathcal{L}_χ is in cohomological degree $-\dim(H) = -1 + (-\dim(H) + 1)$. Since we may identify the averaging functor with the functor $m_{*,dR}(- \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\chi[2\dim(H)])$, the fact that m is affine implies that the pushforward $m_{*,dR}$ is right t -exact, and so in particular this functor will have cohomology only in degrees bounded by $\dim(H)$. Since $\text{Av}_*^{H,\chi}$ is a right adjoint to a t -exact functor, it is in particular left t -exact and thus, combining these results, we obtain (1) when $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(H)$.

To show demonstrate the argument for general \mathcal{C} , note that, by assumption, the functor $\text{coact}[-\dim(H)] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is t -exact and therefore in particular by Proposition 2.34 we obtain that the induced functor on invariants $\mathcal{C}^{H,\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H)^{H,\chi} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is t -exact. We also have that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(H) \otimes \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \text{Av}_*^{H,\chi} & & \downarrow \text{Av}_*^{H,\chi} \otimes \text{id} \\ \mathcal{C}^{H,\chi} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(H)^{H,\chi} \otimes \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the shifted coaction maps as above. Since the coaction maps are conservative (for example, one can pull back by the identity morphism $* \rightarrow H$ to obtain the identity map) and t -exact, we obtain our claim by Lemma 2.31. \square

2.3. Whittaker Invariants. We now recall the notion of the Whittaker invariants of a category, discussed above in Section 1.2.

2.3.1. Whittaker Invariants and Unipotent Groups. Recall we have fixed a pinned reductive group in Section 2.1.3; in particular, we have fixed an additive character $\psi : N^- \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ which is nondegenerate.

Definition 2.38. Given a category \mathcal{C} with a G -action, we define its *Whittaker invariants* as the category $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(N^-)}(\text{Vect}_\psi, \mathcal{C})$.

We record one result on the twisted invariance of *unipotent groups*:

Lemma 2.39. ([Ber13] 3.3.5) The forgetful functors $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^N \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{C}$ are fully faithful.

Remark 2.40. Strictly speaking, [Ber13] above does not discuss case $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{C}$. However, after replacing the constant sheaf on N^- with the sheaf ψ gives identical results, largely due to the unipotence of N^- .

Because of these results, we will view \mathcal{C}^N and $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi}$ as full subcategories of \mathcal{C} .

2.3.2. A Universal Example of a Whittaker Category. By Theorem 2.16, the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi}$ provides a universal example of the Whittaker invariants of a G -category. We compute this explicitly by showing $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)$, i.e. by proving Proposition 1.8. We prove Proposition 1.8 after proving the following lemma:

Lemma 2.41. For any category \mathcal{C} with an action of \mathbb{G}_a , if $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is a nontrivial character, then $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{G}_a, \psi\text{-mon}} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{G}_a\text{-mon}} \simeq 0$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{G}_a\text{-mon}}$, there exists some isomorphism $\text{act}^!(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \omega_{\mathbb{G}_a}$. In this case, if $t : \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow *$ is the terminal map, then we see that

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes k \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes t_{*,dR})(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \omega_{\mathbb{G}_a})[-2] \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes t_{*,dR})(\text{act}^!(\mathcal{F}))[-2]$$

Similarly, if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{G}_a, \psi\text{-mon}}$, there is an isomorphism $(\text{act}^!(\mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\psi$, where \mathcal{L}_ψ is the shifted exponential \mathcal{D} -module on $\mathbb{G}_a \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$. Thus, continuing the chain of isomorphisms above, we see that if \mathcal{F} is also ψ -monodromic, then \mathcal{F} is equivalent to

$$(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes t_{*,dR})(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\psi[-2]) \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes t_{*,dR}(\mathcal{L}_\psi)[-2] \simeq 0$$

since de Rham pushforward to a point is given by de Rham cohomology (up to shift), which vanishes on the exponential \mathcal{D} -module on \mathbb{A}^1 . \square

Example 2.42. Consider the category Vect with the trivial G -action where G is not its maximal torus. Then $\text{Vect}^{N^-, \psi} \simeq 0$ since every object is N^- -monodromic.

Proof of Proposition 1.8. Fix some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi}$, and let $j : N^-B/N \hookrightarrow G/N$ denote the open embedding. We wish to show $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_{*, dR} j^!(\mathcal{F})$. To do this, it suffices to show that the restriction to the complementary closed subset vanishes. In turn, by backwards induction on the length of the Weyl group element, it suffices to show that the restriction of \mathcal{F} to each Schubert cell $N^- \dot{w}B/N$ vanishes, where $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$ is some arbitrarily chosen lift of a given element $w \in W$ where $w \neq 1$.

We claim that, furthermore, we have $\mathcal{D}(N^- \dot{w}B/N)^{N^-, \psi} \simeq 0$ if $w \neq 1$. To see this, note that because $\psi : N^- \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ induces a map of group schemes $\tilde{\psi} : N^-/[N^-, N^-] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$, we may equivalently show the identity

$$\mathcal{D}([N^-, N^-] \backslash N^- \dot{w}B/N)^{N^-/[N^-, N^-], \tilde{\psi}} \simeq 0.$$

We may write $N^-/[N^-, N^-] \simeq \prod_{\alpha} \mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha}$ as a product of copies of the additive group \mathbb{G}_a , where α varies over the simple roots. Since $w \neq 1$, there exists some simple root α such that $\dot{w}^{-1} \mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha} \dot{w} \in N$. We therefore see that this action of $\mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha}$ is trivial, since if m is some R -point of $[N^-, N^-] \backslash N$ and b is some R -point of B/N , then if $x \in \mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha}(R)$ then

$$x(m\dot{w}b) = mx\dot{w}b = m\dot{w}(\dot{w}^{-1}x\dot{w})b = m\dot{w}b$$

in G/N , where the last step follows because $(\dot{w}^{-1}x\dot{w}) \in N$ and uses the fact that the action of N on B/N is trivial. We therefore see that the $\mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha}$ action on $\mathcal{D}([N^-, N^-] \backslash N^- \dot{w}B/N)$ is trivial. In particular, all objects are monodromic with respect to this $\mathbb{G}_a^{-\alpha}$, and so no objects are monodromic with respect to some nontrivial character by Lemma 2.41. \square

In fact, Proposition 1.8 can be extended more generally, which we record for later use:

Proposition 2.43. Let \mathcal{C} be some category with an action of a reductive group G , and let α be the negative of a simple root with associated parabolic subgroup P_{α} . Then if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi}$ is $Q_{\alpha} := [P_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha}]$ monodromic, $\mathcal{F} \simeq 0$.

Proof. Let $s \in W$ denote the simple reflection associated to α , and consider the Levi decomposition $P_{\alpha} = U_{w_0 s} \rtimes L_s$. Then we see that Q_{α} contains a connected simple closed algebraic subgroup M_s of rank one. Note that the averaging functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{Q_{\alpha}}} \mathcal{C}^{Q_{\alpha}}$ is conservative on the subcategory of Q_{α} monodromic objects. In particular, the averaging with respect to the M_s action is conservative on this subcategory.

We consider the restriction of the averaging functor $\text{Av}_*^{M_s}$ to the Whittaker subcategory, which is in particular given by the composite:

$$\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{G}_a^{\alpha}, \omega_{\text{exp}}} \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}^{\alpha}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{M_s}} \mathcal{C}^{M_s}$$

where the two leftmost functors are fully faithful by Lemma 2.39. However, by Remark 2.12 we have that the composite functor $\text{Av}_*^{M_s} \text{oblv}^{\alpha}$ is given by convolution with an object in $\mathcal{D}(M_s/M_s)^{\mathbb{G}_a^{\alpha}, \omega_{\text{exp}}} \simeq 0$. Therefore, if an object of \mathcal{C} lies in the subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi}$ and the subcategory of Q_{α} -monodromic objects of \mathcal{C} , it must be the zero object. \square

2.3.3. Averaging Functors. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a G -action, and consider the partially defined left adjoint [DG14, Appendix A] to the forgetful functor $\text{oblv} : \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which we denote, $\text{Av}_!^{\psi}$. We will refer to this functor as the *Whittaker averaging functor* and, in the case of a category with two commuting G -actions (such as $\mathcal{D}(G)$), we will use the term *left Whittaker averaging* and *right Whittaker averaging* to emphasize which action we are averaging with respect to.

Let d denote the dimension of N^- . Then, following [Ber13, Corollary 3.3.8], we obtain a canonical map $\text{Av}_!^{\psi} \rightarrow \text{Av}_*^{\psi}[2d]$. With this, we can now review one of the main results of [BBM04]; see [Ras18, Section 2.7.1], the proof of [Ras18, Corollary 7.3.1], and [Ras16, p14] for further discussion:

Theorem 2.44. The canonical map $\text{Av}_!^{\psi} \rightarrow \text{Av}_*^{\psi}[2d]$ is an equivalence when restricted to the full subcategory \mathcal{C}^N . In particular, the functor $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^N$ admits a left adjoint when restricted to $\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi}$.

Corollary 2.45. In the notation of Theorem 2.44, if \mathcal{C} has a G -action compatible with the t -structure, then the functor $\text{Av}_!^{\psi}[-\dim(N)] \simeq \text{Av}_*^{\psi}[\dim(N)]$ is t -exact.

Proof. We have that $\text{Av}_!^{\psi}[-\dim(N)] \simeq \text{Av}_*^{\psi}[\dim(N)]$ simultaneously has cohomological amplitude $[0, \dim(N)]$ and $[-\dim(N), 0]$ by Proposition 2.37(1) and Proposition 2.37(2) respectively. \square

As a consequence of Corollary 2.45, we see that the right adjoint functor $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ is left t -exact. We also recall the following result of Ginzburg which also gives right t -exactness:

Theorem 2.46. [Gin18, Theorem 1.5.4] The functor $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)] : \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)^N$ is t -exact.

Remark 2.47. To compare the above with the notation of [Gin18], we have $\Omega_N \simeq \omega_N[-\dim(N)] \simeq \underline{k}_N[\dim(N)]$, where \underline{k}_N denotes the constant sheaf. (The notation Ω_N will not be used outside this remark.)

Corollary 2.48. If \mathcal{C} is a G -category equipped with a compactly generated t -structure compatible with the G -action, the functor

$$\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]} \mathcal{C}^N$$

is t -exact.

Proof. When $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G)$, this result follows from Theorem 2.46. For general \mathcal{C} equipped with a compactly generated t -structure compatible with the G -action, this follows since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} & \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]} & \mathcal{C}^N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \otimes \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{oblv} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{D}(G) \otimes \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)] \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathcal{D}(G)^N \otimes \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical arrows are the coaction maps, which are conservative and t -exact (up to shift), as in the proof of Proposition 2.37. \square

2.4. Other Categorical Preliminaries.

2.4.1. *A Base Change Lemma.* As in [GR17a], we let $1\text{-Cat}_{\text{cont}}^{\text{St, cocmpl}}$ denote the category of presentable stable ∞ -categories, and whose functors commute with all colimits. Assume \mathbf{A} is a commutative algebra object in $1\text{-Cat}_{\text{cont}}^{\text{St, cocmpl}}$. Assume \mathcal{A} is an associative algebra object of \mathbf{A} and \mathcal{L} is a commutative algebra object of \mathbf{A} . We have a symmetric monoidal functor $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A})$ given by the left adjoint to the forgetful functor [Lur17, Corollary 4.2.4.8], where the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A})$ is given by [Lur17, Theorem 4.5.2.1], and the symmetric monoidality of the functor is given by [Lur17, Theorem 4.5.3.1]. In particular, $\mathcal{A}' := \text{ind}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$ is an associative algebra object of $\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A})$, and we obtain an induced functor $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}(\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A}))$.

Proposition 2.49. There is an equivalence of categories making the following diagram canonically commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A}) & & \\ \downarrow \widetilde{\text{ind}}_{\mathcal{L}} & \searrow \mathcal{A}' \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (-) & \\ \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}(\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A})) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{A}'\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A}) \end{array}$$

where the bottom arrow is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor induced by $\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathbf{A}$.

Proof. The arrows are all the left adjoints to the respective forgetful functors, so the diagram commutes. The fact that the bottom functor is an equivalence is a direct consequence of [GR17a, Proposition 8.5.4], where, in their notation, $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2$, and \mathbf{A} correspond to our $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}\text{-mod}, \mathbf{A}, \mathcal{L}\text{-mod}$, and \mathbf{A} , respectively. \square

Let L/k be an extension of (classical) fields. Then we can set $\mathbf{A} := \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k$ and $\mathcal{L} := L\text{-mod}$, so that $\mathcal{L}\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k)$ can be identified with the associated category of DG categories over L , $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^L$. Let $\text{ind}_k^L : \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k \rightarrow \text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^L$ denote the functor $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{L}}$ as above.

Lemma 2.50. Let X be any k -scheme, and let $X_L := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(L)$ denote the base change.

- (1) There are canonical equivalences $\text{ind}_k^L(\text{IndCoh}(X)) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(X_L)$ and $\text{ind}_k^L(\mathcal{D}(X)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X_L)$.

- (2) If X is equipped with an action of an affine algebraic group H and $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is any character, then $\mathrm{ind}_k^L(\mathcal{D}(X)^{H,w}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X_L)^{H_L,w}$ and $\mathrm{ind}_k^L(\mathcal{D}(X)^{H,\chi}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X_L)^{H_L,\chi_L}$, where $\chi_L := \chi \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(L)$.

Proof. Both claims of (1) are general properties of IndCoh . For example, we can show this via the fact that IndCoh on any ind-inf-scheme (such as X or X_{dR}) is dualizable (in fact, it is self dual by [GR17b, Chapter 3, Section 6.2.3]) and therefore the tensor product of the category of ind-coherent sheaves on ind-inf-schemes is IndCoh of the product of the ind-inf-schemes [Gai13, Corollary 10.3.6].

We show the first claim of (2), the second follows by an identical argument. We have that $\mathcal{D}(X)^{H,w} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(X)_{H,w}$ by Theorem 2.10. Write $\mathcal{D}(X)_{H,w}$ as a colimit of maps of objects of the form $\mathrm{IndCoh}(X_{dR}) \otimes \mathrm{IndCoh}(H)^{\otimes i}$. Since ind_k^L is a left adjoint, and therefore commutes with colimits, so we see that $\mathrm{ind}_k^L(\mathcal{D}(X)^{H,w})$ is a colimit of objects of the form $\mathrm{ind}_k^L(\mathrm{IndCoh}(X_{dR}) \otimes \mathrm{IndCoh}(H)^{\otimes i})$. Using the symmetric monoidality of ind_k^L above and Lemma 2.50(1), we see that this colimit diagram is precisely the diagram whose colimit is $\mathcal{D}(X_L)_{H_L,w}$. Applying Theorem 2.10 once again, we obtain our desired claim. \square

Corollary 2.51. For any map $\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow L$, there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}(X)^{T,w} \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L \simeq \mathcal{D}(X_L)^{T_L,w} \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_L(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L$$

of objects in $\mathrm{DGCat}_{\mathrm{cont}}^L$.

Proof. Note that

$$\mathcal{D}(X)^{T,w} \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}_k(\mathfrak{t})}^{\mathrm{Sym}_L(\mathfrak{t})}(\mathcal{D}(X)^{T,w}) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_L(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L$$

and so by applying Proposition 2.49 and then applying Lemma 2.50 we see that the above category is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{ind}_k^L(\mathcal{D}(X)^{T,w}) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_L(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L \simeq \mathcal{D}(X_L)^{T_L,w} \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_L(\mathfrak{t})\text{-mod}} \mathrm{Vect}_L$$

of objects of $\mathrm{DGCat}_{\mathrm{cont}}^L$. \square

2.4.2. *Categorical Lemmas from Adjunctions.* The following lemma will later be used to determine the essential image of a fully faithful functor.

Lemma 2.52. Assume we have an adjoint pair (L, R) where $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Let u (respectively c) denote the unit (respectively counit) of this adjunction such that R is fully faithful. Then we have:

- (1) For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $L(u(X))$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) The essential image of R is precisely those objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$ for which the unit map $C \rightarrow RL(C)$ is an equivalence.

Proof. General properties of adjunction give that the composite

$$L(X) \xrightarrow{L(u(X))} LRL(X) \xrightarrow{c(R(X))} L(X)$$

can be identified with the identity map. However, since R is fully faithful, the counit is an equivalence, and therefore so is $L(u(X))$.

We now show (2). If the unit map is an equivalence, then $C \xrightarrow{\sim} R(L(C))$. Conversely, assume that $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is in the essential image. Then C is isomorphic to $R(D)$ for some $D \in \mathcal{D}$. We then obtain the composite:

$$R(D) \xrightarrow{u(R(D))} RLR(D) \xrightarrow{R(c(D))} R(D)$$

can be identified with the identity, and the rightmost arrow is an isomorphism since R is fully faithful. Therefore, $u(R(D))$ is an isomorphism, and thus so too is the map $u(C)$. \square

2.4.3. *A Lemma on Compact Objects.* We will repeatedly use the following lemma on compact objects of a subcategory of a compactly generated category, so we recall it here:

Lemma 2.53. [Nee92, Lemma 2.2] Assume \mathcal{D} is a compactly generated DG category and R is a subset of compact objects of \mathcal{D}^c closed under cohomological shifts (i.e. suspensions). Let \mathcal{R} denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} generated under colimits by R . Then the inclusion functor $\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves compact objects and in particular admits a continuous right adjoint.

2.4.4. *A Lemma on AB5 Categories.* Recall that an abelian category \mathcal{A} is said to be *AB5* if it is closed under colimits and filtered colimits of short exact sequences exist and are exact. We now present a lemma which is likely well known, but we were unable to locate a reference for. We thank Rok Gregoric for explaining its proof to us:

Lemma 2.54. Fix an AB5 abelian category \mathcal{A} and some filtered colimit $\mathcal{F} := \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{F}_i$ in \mathcal{A} . Let $\phi_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ denote the structure maps, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ denote the image of ϕ_i for each i . Then the canonical filtered colimit $\operatorname{colim}_i \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is equivalent to \mathcal{F} , i.e. \mathcal{F} may be written as an increasing union of the images of the ϕ_i .

Proof. We may realize the image $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ as the limit of the two arrows $\mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F} \coprod_{\mathcal{F}_i} \mathcal{F}$ which are given by the universal property of the coproduct. We then see that

$$\operatorname{colim}_i \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i \cong \operatorname{colim}_i \lim(\mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F} \coprod_{\mathcal{F}_i} \mathcal{F}) \cong \lim(\operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows \operatorname{colim}_i (\mathcal{F} \coprod_{\mathcal{F}_i} \mathcal{F})) \cong \lim(\mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F} \coprod_{\operatorname{colim}_i (\mathcal{F}_i)} \mathcal{F})$$

and since $\operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{F}_i \cong \mathcal{F}$ by assumption, we see that this expression is equivalently given by the image of the identity, i.e. \mathcal{F} . Here, the commutation of limits and colimits is given by the axiom of AB5 categories because, by assumption, the colimit functor is left exact, and left exact functors preserve all finite limits. \square

2.4.5. *t -Structures on Quotient Categories.* We set the following notation for this subsection: Assume $I_* : \mathcal{C}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a fully faithful embedding in $\operatorname{DGCat}_{\operatorname{cont}}^k$ which admits a (continuous) right adjoint $I^!$. Furthermore, assume \mathcal{C} is equipped with an accessible t -structure (i.e. the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ is accessible) which is compatible with filtered colimits, i.e. $\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ is closed under filtered colimits. Furthermore assume the essential image of I_* is closed under truncation functors (and so, in particular, \mathcal{C}_0 admits a unique t -structure so that I_* is t -exact) and the essential image of $I_*^\heartsuit : \mathcal{C}_0^\heartsuit \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ is closed under subobjects. Let $\mathring{\mathcal{C}} := \ker(\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{I^!} \mathcal{C}_0)$. Note that the inclusion functor $J_* : \mathring{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a (necessarily continuous) left adjoint $J^!$ because $I^!$ preserves small limits.

By definition, the functor $J^!$ is a localization:

Definition 2.55. A functor with a fully faithful right adjoint is called a *localization*.

When clear from context, we will refer to $J^!$ as *the quotient functor*.

Example 2.56. If $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ is an open embedding of algebraic varieties, the functor $j^! : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(U)$ is a localization.

We will now recall the following general result of [Ras20a].

Proposition 2.57. [Ras20a, Section 10.2] There is a unique t -structure on $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $J^!$ is t -exact, uniquely determined by setting $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\geq 0}$ as the full subcategory of objects $\mathcal{F} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ for which $J_*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$.

Note that, in particular, this t -structure is accessible, since the category $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\geq 0}$ is the fiber product of accessible categories $\mathring{\mathcal{C}} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ and therefore is accessible by [Lur09, Section 5.4.6].

Corollary 2.58. The inclusion functor J_* identifies $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ with the kernel of $I^!|_{\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+}$, and the inclusion functor I_* identifies \mathcal{C}_0^+ with the kernel of $J^!|_{\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+}$.

Proof. Because I_* is t -exact, its right adjoint $I^!$ is left t -exact and thus preserves the eventually coconnective subcategory. In particular, if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ then $J_*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$, and $I^!(J_*(\mathcal{F})) \simeq 0$. Conversely if $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ lies in the kernel of $I^!$ then $J^!(X) \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ by the t -exactness of $J^!$. Similarly if $Z \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$ then $I_*(Z) \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ by the t -exactness of I_* and if $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^+$ lies in the kernel of $J^!$ then $I^!(X) \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$ since $I^!$ is left t -exact, being the right adjoint to a t -exact functor I_* . \square

Lemma 2.59. The t -structure on $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ is compatible with filtered colimits.

Proof. Assume we are given a filtered diagram I in $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\geq 0}$. Let $X := \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} X_i$ denote the colimit of this diagram. Then we see that the continuity of J_* gives $J_*(X) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_i (J_*(X_i))$, and therefore since the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is compatible with filtered colimits by assumption, we see $\operatorname{colim}_i (J_*(X_i)) \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ and so by Proposition 2.57 we have $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\geq 0}$, and so the t -structure compatible with filtered colimits by definition. \square

Corollary 2.60. If the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is right-complete, then the t -structure on $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ is right-complete.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$, and let $\phi^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{colim}(\tau^{\leq n} \mathcal{F})$ denote the canonical map. Using the fact that the t -structure on $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ is compatible with filtered colimits, we see that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\tau^{\leq n}(\phi^{\mathcal{F}})$ is an equivalence. Therefore, if we denote by \mathcal{K} the fiber of $\phi^{\mathcal{F}}$, we see $\tau^{\leq n}(\mathcal{K}) \simeq 0$ for all n . Thus $J_*(\mathcal{K}) \in \bigcap_n \mathcal{C}^{\geq n} \simeq 0$, so $\phi^{\mathcal{F}}$ is an equivalence, as required. \square

Although J_* is not right t -exact in general, we do have the following statement:

Lemma 2.61. If $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq 0}$, then there is a canonical equivalence $X \simeq J^!(\tau^{\leq 0} J_*(X))$.

Proof. For such an X , we obtain a cofiber sequence $\tau^{\leq 0} J_* X \rightarrow J_* X \rightarrow \tau^{> 0} X$. Applying $J^!$ to this cofiber sequence (which is continuous and thus exact by construction), we obtain the cofiber sequence

$$(2) \quad J^!(\tau^{\leq 0} J_* X) \rightarrow J^! J_* X \rightarrow J^!(\tau^{> 0} X)$$

and furthermore we see that the unit map $X \xrightarrow{\sim} J_* J^!(X)$ identifies the middle term of (2) with X . The left and middle terms of (2) thus both lie in $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq 0}$ while the rightmost term lies in $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{> 0}$, so the rightmost term vanishes. Thus the rightmost term in (2) vanishes and so the composite

$$J^!(\tau^{\leq 0} J_* X) \xrightarrow{\sim} J^! J_* X \xleftarrow{\sim} X$$

gives our desired equivalence. \square

Finally, we record the interaction with the above discussion when our underlying category DGCat is replaced with G -categories:

Proposition 2.62. Assume G acts on \mathcal{C} compatibly with the t -structure and the subcategory \mathcal{C}_0 is closed under the G -action. Then $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ acquires a G -action and moreover G acts compatibly with the t -structure.

Proof. First note that the fact that I_* is a functor of G -categories gives that $I^!$ is a functor of G -categories by Theorem 2.22. Therefore we see that $\mathring{\mathcal{C}} := \ker(I^!)$ acquires a G -action. We have that J_* is G -equivariant and so $J^!$ is as well by Theorem 2.22. Let c, c_0 (respectively) denote the coaction map of G on $\mathcal{C}, \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ (respectively) shifted by $[-\dim(G)]$ so that c is by assumption t -exact.

We now wish to show c_0 is t -exact if c is. To see this, first note if $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\geq 0}$ then $(\text{id}_G \otimes J_*)c_0(X) \simeq c(J_*(X))$ by the G -equivariance of J_* and so $c_0(X) \in (\mathcal{D}(G) \otimes \mathring{\mathcal{C}})^{\geq 0}$ by Lemma 2.31. Now assume $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{\leq 0}$. By Lemma 2.61, we may write $X \simeq J^!(\tau^{\leq 0} J_*(X))$. Since c is t -exact and G -equivariant, we can use this description of X to obtain equivalences

$$c(X) \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J^!)c_0\tau^{\leq 0} J_*(X) \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J^!)\tau^{\leq 0} c_0 J_*(X) \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J^!)\tau^{\leq 0} (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J_*)(c_0(X))$$

so that in particular $c(X) \simeq (\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J^!)(Y)$ for some $Y \in (\mathcal{D}(G) \otimes \mathcal{C})^{\leq 0}$. Therefore, $c_0(X) \in (\mathcal{D}(G) \otimes \mathring{\mathcal{C}})^{\leq 0}$ by the t -exactness of $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \otimes J^!$ given by Lemma 2.31. \square

2.4.6. t -Structures on Quotient Categories Given by the Eventually Coconnective Kernel. Assume \mathcal{C} is a DG category with a t -structure compatible with filtered colimits, and let $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a t -exact functor of stable ∞ -categories equipped with t -structures. Set \mathcal{C}_0 to denote the full subcategory generated under filtered colimits by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of L , i.e. the full subcategory generated by objects $X \in \mathcal{C}^+$ for which $L(X) \simeq 0$. By t -exactness of L , \mathcal{C}_0 is closed under the truncation functors $\tau^{\leq 0}$ and $\tau^{\geq 0}$, where the latter also uses that the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is compatible with filtered colimits. The t -exactness of L also gives essential image of $\mathcal{C}_0^\heartsuit \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ is closed under subobjects. We equip the full subcategory $\mathring{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{J_*} \mathcal{C}$ with the t -structure so that the quotient functor $J^!$ is t -exact as in Proposition 2.57. Let $\tilde{L} := L \circ J_*$.

Proposition 2.63. The functor \tilde{L} is t -exact.

Proof. By definition, \tilde{L} is the composite of two left t -exact functors, and therefore is left t -exact. Now assume that $X \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}^{< 0}$. Then, because $X \xrightarrow{\sim} J^! J_*(X)$, we have that $\tau^{\geq 0}(J_*(X))$ lies in the kernel of $J^!$, since $J^!$ is t -exact, and thus $\tau^{\geq 0}(J_*(X)) \in \mathcal{C}_0$. By definition of \mathcal{C}_0 , we see that $L(\tau^{\geq 0}(J_*(X))) \simeq 0$. Therefore we see that $\tau^{\geq 0}\tilde{L}(X) \simeq \tau^{\geq 0}LJ_*(X) \simeq L(\tau^{\geq 0}J_*(X)) \simeq 0$, so \tilde{L} is also right t -exact. \square

Now, with the above notation, further assume that \mathcal{D} is a DG category and that L is a functor in $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k$ which admits a (continuous) right adjoint $R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Because $I^!R$ is a right adjoint, one can easily verify that $I^!R \simeq 0$, and so the canonical map $R \rightarrow J_* J^!R$ is an equivalence. Let \tilde{R} denote the functor $J^!R$.

Proposition 2.64. In the above notation, \tilde{R} is left adjoint to \tilde{L} .

Proof. We have equivalences, for all $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{L}(X), Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{L}J^1J_*(X), Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(LJ_*(X), Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(J_*(X), R(Y))$$

via the fully faithfulness of J_* , the definition of \tilde{L} , and the adjoint property respectively. Since, $R \xrightarrow{\sim} J_*J^1R$, so the above expression is equivalent to:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(J_*(X), J_*J^1R(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, J^1R(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \tilde{R}(Y))$$

by the fully faithfulness of J_* and the definition of \tilde{R} , respectively, which completes our proof. \square

2.5. Comonadicity.

2.5.1. *Barr-Beck-Lurie.* In the proof of Theorem 1.14, we will use the Barr-Beck-Lurie theorem. We will recall the result here for the reader's convenience—this is summarized in much more depth and proved in [Lur17, Theorem 4.7.3.5]. Given a functor of DG categories $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which admits a right adjoint $R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we can obtain a comonad in \mathcal{D} which we denote LR . The functor L canonically lifts to a functor $L^{\mathrm{enh}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow LR\text{-comod}(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 2.65. If $L : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$ is any adjoint pair of functors, we will always reserve the superscript ‘enh’ for the corresponding lift $L^{\mathrm{enh}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow LR\text{-comod}(\mathcal{D})$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{D} \simeq A\text{-mod}$ for some algebra A , then $LR(A)$ is a coalgebra, and $LR\text{-comod}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq LR(A)\text{-comod}$. We will abuse notation and also denote the composite functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow LR(A)\text{-comod}$ by L^{enh} .

Definition 2.66. We say that L is *comonadic* if L^{enh} is an equivalence.

Theorem 2.67. (Barr-Beck-Lurie Theorem for Comonads) The following are equivalent:

- The functor L is comonadic.
- For all $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the canonical map $C \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(RL)^{\bullet+1}(C)$ is an equivalence.
- The functor L is conservative and the canonical map $L(\mathrm{Tot}((RL)^{\bullet+1}(C))) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(L(RL)^{\bullet+1}(C))$ is an equivalence.

2.5.2. *A Comonadicity Condition.* In this section, we state and prove a condition for the comonadicity of functors, see Corollary 2.70. The results of this subsection are modifications of ideas contained in the proof of [Ras20b, Proposition 3.7.1].

Proposition 2.68. If $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is any functor of DG categories equipped with t -structures such that:

- (1) The t -structures on \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are right-complete in the sense of Definition 2.29
- (2) L is t -exact and
- (3) L is conservative on \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}

then the induced functor $L : \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$ commutes with arbitrary totalizations.

We first begin with a standard lemma on cosimplicial sets, whose proof can be found, for example, in the third paragraph of the proof of [Ras20b, Proposition 3.7.1]:

Lemma 2.69. For any DG category \mathcal{C} equipped with a right-complete t -structure and any cosimplicial object \mathcal{F}^{\bullet} of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{F}^i \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ for all i , the totalization $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})$ exists and we have the identity

$$\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})) \simeq \tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\leq n+1}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}))$$

where $\mathrm{Tot}^{\leq n+1}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})$ denotes the partial totalization, i.e. the limit over $\Delta_{\leq n+1}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.68. We have

$$L(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})) \xleftarrow{\sim} L(\mathrm{colim}_n(\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})))) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_n L(\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}))) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_n L(\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\leq n+1}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})))$$

where the first step uses the right-completeness of the t -structure of \mathcal{D} , the second uses the fact that all functors of DG categories are continuous, and the third uses Lemma 2.69. We may continue this chain of equivalences to obtain

$$L(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_n(\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}^{\leq n+1}(L\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}))) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_n(\tau^{\leq n}(\mathrm{Tot}(L\mathcal{F}^{\bullet})))$$

where the first step follows from the fact that L is t -exact and commutes with finite limits and the second equivalence follows from Lemma 2.69. In particular, by the right-completeness of the t -structure of \mathcal{D} , we see that L preserves these totalizations, as desired. \square

Corollary 2.70. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be DG categories equipped t -structures for which the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is right-complete, and assume that $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a t -exact functor which admits a right adjoint R . Then if L is conservative on \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit , the restricted functor $\mathcal{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+$ is comonadic.

Proof. Because L is a t -exact functor, its right adjoint is left t -exact. Given an object in the eventually coconnective subcategory $C \in \mathcal{C}^+$, by the t -exactness of L we may assume $C \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$. These two facts imply the totalization of the cosimplicial object $\mathcal{F}^\bullet := (RL)^\bullet(C)$ is a cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$. In particular, this totalization exists by the right-completeness assumption on C and by Lemma 2.69, and is preserved by L by Proposition 2.68. \square

3. NONDEGENERATE CATEGORIES AND THE WEYL GROUP ACTION

In this section, we introduce the notion of a nondegenerate G -category and show it admits a Weyl group action.

3.1. Degenerate G -Categories.

3.1.1. *Definition of The Universal Degenerate Category.* Define the category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}}$ to denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of $\text{Av}_!^\psi : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of the right N -invariants of the left Whittaker averaging functor. We can similarly define the category $(\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N, \alpha})_{\text{deg}}$ where $\alpha : N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is any character, or the right N is replaced with N^- . These categories are related as follows:

Lemma 3.1. If $\alpha : N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ is any character then the inclusion $(\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N, \alpha})_{\text{deg}} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}})^{N, \alpha}$ is an equivalence, and an identical result holds if N is replaced with any unipotent subgroup.

Proof. We show this functor is essentially surjective. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in (\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N, \alpha})_{\text{deg}}$ has the property that $\text{oblv}^{N, \alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{colim}_\alpha(C_\alpha)$ where $C_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^+$ and are in the kernel of the left Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^\psi$. We then see that

$$\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Av}_*^{N, \alpha} \text{oblv}^{N, \alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{colim}_\alpha(\text{Av}_*^{N, \alpha}(C_\alpha))$$

where the first step uses Lemma 2.39 and the second step uses the fact that $\text{Av}_*^{N, \alpha}$ is continuous, see Theorem 2.10. Since $\text{oblv}^{N, \alpha}$ is t -exact, its right adjoint is left exact. Therefore, each $\text{Av}_*^{N, \alpha}(C_\alpha)$ is eventually coconnective. Furthermore, since $\text{Av}_*^{N, \alpha}(C_\alpha)$ is the *right* averaging, the left Whittaker averaging vanishes. Thus \mathcal{F} is a colimit of eventually coconnective objects of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N, \alpha}$ which are in the kernel of the left Whittaker averaging functor, as desired. \square

We now justify the use of the subscript ‘deg’ in the notation ‘ $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ ’ as opposed to a subscript such as ‘left-deg’.

Proposition 3.2. The following categories are equivalent:

- (1) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of the left Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^\psi : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G/N)$.
- (2) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of the right Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / -_\psi N^-)$.
- (3) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of the bi-Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^{\psi \times -\psi} : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\psi$.

We will prove this after showing the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. The functor $\mathcal{D}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / -_\psi N^-) \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_!^\psi} \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G / -_\psi N^-)$, where the first arrow is given by Proposition 1.8, is conservative.

Proof. We may equivalently show $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ is conservative. Because both functors $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ below average with respect to the right N action and both $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ below average with respect to the left action, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N) & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi}[-\dim(N)]} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N) \\ \uparrow \text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)] & & \uparrow \text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)] \\ \mathcal{H}_\psi & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / -_\psi N^-) \end{array}$$

and so to verify conservativity of $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ we may verify the composite given by $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ as in the diagram is conservative. Both functors in the diagram are t -exact by Corollary 2.45 and Theorem 2.46. Let $i : T \cong N \backslash B \hookrightarrow N \backslash G$ denote the closed embedding. Since the category $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is the derived category of its heart [GR, Section 4.7], we may equivalently show that the composite $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ on objects of the form $m_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes -_\psi)$, where $m : N \backslash B \times N^- \hookrightarrow G$ is the open embedding induced by multiplication.

By t -exactness of $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ Corollary 2.45, we may verify conservativity for objects $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^\heartsuit$. If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^\heartsuit$ then $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)](m_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes -_\psi))$ contains $i_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}(T)^\heartsuit$ as a subobject, since the composite $\mathcal{D}(T) \simeq \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / -_\psi N^-) \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N} \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N) \xrightarrow{i^!} \mathcal{D}(T)$ is the identity. We similarly see that $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ is the identity when restricted to $\mathcal{D}(T) \xrightarrow{i_{*,dR}} \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ by the uniqueness of left adjoints. Therefore, we see that $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)](\mathcal{F})$ contains $i^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ as a subobject, and therefore if \mathcal{F} is nonzero, so too is $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)](\mathcal{F})$ and therefore $\text{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)](\mathcal{F})$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We show the categories generated as in (1) and (3) are equivalent; a symmetric argument gives that the categories in (2) and (3) are equivalent. Since bi-Whittaker averaging can be realized as a composite of right and left Whittaker averaging, we see that the subcategory as in (1) is contained in the subcategory of (3). This factorization, along with the conservativity of the averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} : \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\psi$ in Lemma 3.3, gives that the category in (3) is contained in the category in (1). \square

We now record some corollaries of the above results.

Corollary 3.4. We have an equivalence of categories $(\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}})^{N^-, \psi} \simeq 0$.

Proof. Any object of $(\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}})^{N^-, \psi}$ can be written as a colimit of eventually coconnective objects of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)^{N^-, \psi}$ which are in the kernel of the left Whittaker averaging functor by Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 3.3, the only such object is zero. \square

Corollary 3.5. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ is closed under the left action of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$.

Proof. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ is compactly generated, and therefore it suffices to show the action of the compact objects $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ preserve the category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$. Since the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ is right-complete (see Definition 2.29), \mathcal{F} is eventually connective. Moreover, the action of convolution of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ on itself preserves the eventually connective subcategory, since the pullback by a smooth map is t -exact up to shift and the pushforward map has finite cohomological amplitude, see [HTT08, Proposition 1.5.29].

Let \mathcal{G} be a generator of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$, i.e. an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of left Whittaker averaging. Since each averaging functor is continuous, by Proposition 3.2 we see that \mathcal{G} is also in the kernel of the *right* averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} : \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / -_\psi N^-)$ as well. Therefore since the right averaging functor is left $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ -equivariant, we see that $\mathcal{F} \star^N \mathcal{G}$ is an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of the right averaging functor, and therefore in $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$. \square

Corollary 3.6. Let $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}}$ denote the full subcategory generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel the left Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^{\psi} : \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N_{\psi}^- \backslash G)$. Then $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}}$ is closed under the left action of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{D} denote the full (left) $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ -subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)$ generated by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of left Whittaker averaging. This naturally acquires a right G -action, and we have a natural inclusion functor of right G -categories $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.16, we may check that this functor is an equivalence after applying the right invariants $(-)^N$. Therefore this result follows directly from Corollary 3.5. \square

3.1.2. Definition of Degenerate G -Categories in General.

Definition 3.7. Let \mathcal{C} denote a G -category. We say \mathcal{C} is *degenerate* if the canonical map $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^N$ is an equivalence.

Proposition 3.8. A G -category \mathcal{C} is degenerate if and only if for any Borel B' with $N' := [B', B']$, the canonical map $\mathcal{C}^{N'} \leftarrow \mathcal{D}(N' \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The ‘if’ direction follows from taking $B' := B$. For the other direction, fix some B', N' as in Proposition 3.8. There exists some $g \in G(k)$ such that $gBg^{-1} \cong B'$ so that $gNg^{-1} \cong N'$. Furthermore, g determines a character ψ' , defined as the composite $N'^-/[N'^-, N'^-] \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_g^{-1}} N^-/[N^-, N^-] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$, which is nondegenerate. Therefore, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(N_{\psi}^- \backslash G) & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^{\psi}} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G) \\ \downarrow \ell_g & & \downarrow \ell_g \\ \mathcal{D}(N'_{\psi'} \backslash G) & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi'}} & \mathcal{D}(N' \backslash G) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrows are given by left multiplication by g , which is manifestly right G -equivariant. The fact that the action of G on itself yields an action on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ compatible with the t -structure implies that Ad_g induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(N' \backslash G)_{\text{deg}}$. Furthermore, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C}^N & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G) \otimes_G \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{I_* \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow := & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_g \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_g \otimes \text{id} \\ \mathcal{C}^{N'} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{D}(N' \backslash G) \otimes_G \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{I'_* \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{D}(N' \backslash G)_{\text{deg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

where the maps I_* and I'_* are the inclusions and the leftmost horizontal arrows are the canonical maps, which are equivalences by Theorem 2.10. We have that all three vertical maps are equivalences, and, assuming that \mathcal{C} is degenerate, all maps in the top row of the diagram are equivalences. Therefore, all maps in the bottom row of the diagram are equivalences. \square

3.2. Nondegenerate G -Categories.

3.2.1. Definition and Basic Properties. Let $I_* : \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ denote the inclusion functor. We first note:

Proposition 3.9. The essential image of I_* is closed under truncation functors.

Proof. Assume \mathcal{F} lies in the essential image of I_* , i.e. \mathcal{F} is a colimit of eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of $\text{Av}_!^{\psi}$. By definition of t -structures, we obtain a cofiber sequence

$$\tau^{\leq 0} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^{> 0} \mathcal{F}$$

where we omit the inclusion functors. Since $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ is t -exact up to shift by Corollary 2.45, we see that $\tau^{>0}\mathcal{F}$ is an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of $\text{Av}_!^\psi$, and thus lies in the essential image of I_* . Rotation gives a cofiber sequence

$$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^{>0}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{F})[1]$$

and so $\tau^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{F})[1]$ is a colimit of objects in the essential image of I_* and thus lies in the essential image of I_* . Finally, since the shift functor $[-1]$ is an equivalence of categories, it commutes with all colimits and so the essential image of I_* is closed under all cohomological shifts. Therefore, we obtain that $\tau^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{F})$ lies in the essential image of I_* , as required. \square

By Proposition 3.9, we see that the inclusion I_* falls into the setup of Section 2.4.5. We defer the proof of the following statement to Section 3.2.2.

Proposition 3.10. The functor I_* admits a continuous, $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ -equivariant right adjoint $I^! : \mathcal{D}(G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$, and the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ is compactly generated.

We set $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ to denote the right orthogonal category to $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$. Following Section 2.4.5, we let J_* denote the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ into $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$. From Proposition 3.10, we therefore obtain:

Proposition 3.11. The inclusion functor of the nondegenerate category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ admits a $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ -equivariant left adjoint $J^! : \mathcal{D}(G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$, and there is a unique t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ for which this quotient functor is t -exact.

Note that the $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ -equivariance follows from Corollary 2.28. We now make the following definition:

Definition 3.12. We say a G -category \mathcal{C} is *nondegenerate* if $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}^N$ (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}} \otimes_G \mathcal{C} \simeq 0$).

We now record some first properties of our category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}}$.

Proposition 3.13. The canonical map $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}})^{(N^-, \psi)}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The map $I^! : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}}$ is manifestly right G -equivariant. Therefore we can identify $(\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}})^{(N^-, \psi)}$ with the kernel of the induced functor on Whittaker invariants $I^{!, N^-, \psi} : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}}^{N^-, \psi}$. By Corollary 3.4, the codomain of this functor vanishes, and so all objects are nondegenerate. \square

Proposition 3.14. The functor $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)$ canonically factors through the nondegenerate subcategory $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}}$, i.e. we have an equivalence $\text{Av}_*^N \xrightarrow{\sim} J_* J^! \text{Av}_*^N$. The functor $J^! \text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ is t -exact.

Proof. This from the fact that, for any $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}}^+$, we have by adjunction that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Av}_*^N(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G)}(\text{Av}_!^\psi(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, and such \mathcal{G} generate $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{deg}}$ by Corollary 3.6. The t -exactness follows from Theorem 2.46 and the fact the quotient functor is t -exact, see Section 2.4.5. \square

For a rank one parabolic P_α associated to a simple root α , let $Q_\alpha := [P_\alpha, P_\alpha]$.

Proposition 3.15. The left Whittaker averaging functor $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ sends objects of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)$ which are Q_α -monodromic for some simple root α (i.e. objects which are equivalent to objects of the form $q^!(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(Q_\alpha \setminus G)$ and $q : N \setminus G \rightarrow Q_\alpha \setminus G$ the quotient map) to zero. Furthermore, $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ vanishes on the full G -subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)$ generated under shifts and colimits by the various Q_α -monodromic objects.

Proof. Let α denote a simple root. Since the composite of the G -functors $\text{Av}_*^{Q_\alpha^r} \text{Av}_*^N$ has integral kernel in the right Q_α -monodromic objects of $\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G/N)$, we see that its integral kernel is zero by Proposition 1.8. Therefore, its left adjoint $\text{Av}_!^\psi \text{oblv}^{Q_\alpha}$ vanishes. The latter claim follows from the continuity and exactness of the left adjoint $\text{Av}_!^\psi$. \square

3.2.2. *Proof of Proposition 3.10.* In this section, we prove Proposition 3.10 after showing the following proposition:

Proposition 3.16. The following categories are equivalent:

- (1) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ generated under colimits by the compact objects in the kernel of Av_1^ψ .
- (2) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ generated under colimits by cohomologically bounded objects in the kernel of Av_1^ψ .
- (3) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ generated under colimits by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of Av_1^ψ .

Proof. The compact objects in $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ are in particular cohomologically bounded [DG13, Section 5.1.17], and cohomologically bounded objects are in particular eventually coconnective. Now, assume we are given an eventually coconnective \mathcal{F} . Since the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ is right-complete (see Definition 2.29), we may write $\mathcal{F} \simeq \text{colim}_{\tau \leq n} \mathcal{F}$ as the colimit of objects which have nonzero cohomology in only finitely many degrees, and therefore we assume \mathcal{F} has nonzero cohomology in only finitely many degrees. Furthermore, we have that Av_1^ψ is t -exact, so $\text{Av}_1^\psi(\mathcal{F})$ vanishes if and only if Av_1^ψ takes each cohomology group to zero. Therefore, we may assume \mathcal{F} is concentrated in a single cohomological degree, and, since Av_1^ψ commutes with shifts, we may assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)^\heartsuit$. However, $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^\heartsuit$ is a Grothendieck abelian category, and furthermore it is compactly generated, see [GR, Corollary 3.3.3]. Furthermore, the compact objects are closed under subquotients (see [GR, Section 4.7.7]) and so by Lemma 2.54 we see that every object is a union of compact subobjects. Since Av_1^ψ is t -exact, we therefore see that this object \mathcal{F} is a colimit of compact objects in the kernel of Av_1^ψ . \square

Proof of Proposition 3.10. The compact generation follows by Proposition 3.16. By Lemma 2.53 with R taken to be the compact objects concentrated in a single cohomological degree, we see further that the inclusion functor of the degenerate subcategory $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ preserves compact objects and therefore admits a continuous right adjoint. Finally, the right adjoint $I^!$ to the inclusion functor $I_* : \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ is necessarily $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ -linear by Corollary 2.28. \square

3.2.3. *t -Structures on Universal Nondegenerate Categories.* We equip $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ with t -structures as in Section 2.4.5.

Remark 3.17. A priori, we may equip $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}} \simeq (\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^N$ with a t -structure determined by the condition that the forgetful functor $(\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^N \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}^N} \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ is t -exact. However, we claim these two t -structures are equivalent. To see this, assume we equip $(\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^N$ with the other t -structure. Since the map $J_* : \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ is G -equivariant, we see that the following diagram canonically commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}} & \xrightarrow{J_*} & \mathcal{D}(G/N) \\ \text{oblv} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{oblv} \\ \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^N & \xrightarrow{J_*} & \mathcal{D}(G/N)^N \end{array}$$

and, by assumption on the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ and $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^N$, the forgetful functors reflect the t -structure. Therefore, we see that the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ determined by the requirement that oblv^N is t -exact also has the property that $X \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, \geq 0}$ if and only if $J_*(X) \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N, \geq 0}$, and thus these two t -structures agree.

Since $T \times T$ acts on $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ compatibly with the t -structure by Proposition 2.62, we may equip $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w}$ with a t -structure such that the forgetful functor is t -exact.

Proposition 3.18. The t -structures on the categories $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$, $(\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^N$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w}$ are right-complete.

Proof. The t -structures on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ and $(\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^N$ are right-complete by construction and Corollary 2.60, since the t -structures on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ are right-complete. Here, the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)$ is right-complete because it admits a t -exact conservative functor $\text{obl}^N : \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ to a category for which the t -structure is right-complete. Since the forgetful functor is t -exact and conservative, it reflects the t -structure. Therefore, we also obtain the right-completeness of the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T \times T, w}$. \square

3.3. The Weyl Group Action on Nondegenerate Categories.

3.3.1. *Algebraic Construction of Gelfand-Graev Action.* We will soon argue that for nondegenerate categories \mathcal{C} (defined in Definition 3.12), the T -action on the invariants \mathcal{C}^N lifts to a $T \rtimes W$ action. We will first construct this $T \rtimes W$ action for the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$. To do this, we recall the following theorem of [GK]:

Theorem 3.19. [GK] If G is simply connected, we have a natural algebra isomorphism:

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \cong (\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_T) \otimes_{Z_{\mathfrak{g}}} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/\psi N^-}))^{Z_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{G/\psi N^-}$ denotes the Whittaker differential operators on G/N^- with respect to the character $-\psi$.

Additionally, this isomorphism respects the G, T action of both sides, and the W -action on the right hand side gives rise to the W -action on the ring $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$ given by the Gelfand-Graev action. The Harish-Chandra datum (see [Ras20b, Section 1.2]) is also explicitly computed, and so in particular we may rephrase this result in the language of groups acting on categories:

Corollary 3.20. The group $G, T \rtimes W$ acts strongly on $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-Mod}$, where the W action is given by the Gelfand-Graev action.

We note in passing that the results of Theorem 3.19 and Corollary 3.20 also hold when G is the product of a simply connected group and a torus, and we will use this in what follows. To show that $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ acquires a $G, (T \rtimes W)$ -action, we will relate this category to the category $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-Mod}$. We do so in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.21. We have the following:

- (1) The global sections functor induces an equivalence $\mathcal{D}(G/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-mod}$.
- (2) The forgetful functor $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\text{obl}^v} \mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-mod}$ induced by the ring map $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$ is fully faithful.

Remark 3.22. It is known that G/N is a locally closed subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, see, for example, [RW21, Section 29.2]. In particular, G/N is quasi-affine, and so we may realize G/N as an open subset of its affine closure $\overline{G/N}$. Furthermore, since $\overline{G/N}$ is known to be normal (which follows directly from the smoothness of G and [Gro97, Theorem 18.4], for example), we have an equivalence $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{D}_{G/N}}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$. However, this affine closure is not smooth if G is semisimple and not a product of copies of SL_2 . In particular, the category $\mathcal{D}(\overline{G/N}) := \text{IndCoh}(\overline{G/N}_{dR})$ need not be equivalent to the category of right modules for the ring $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$, and we do not know if there is such an equivalence.

Proof of Lemma 3.21. To show (1), we note that since G/N is quasi-affine (see Remark 3.22), the global sections functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(G/N)}(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}, -)$ is conservative. Therefore, since the global sections functor also commutes with colimits, by Barr-Beck we have a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{D}(G/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-mod}$.

Now, to show (2), consider the map of rings $\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$. We obtain a standard adjunction

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \otimes_{\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})} (-) : \mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-Mod} \rightleftarrows \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})\text{-Mod} : \text{obl}^v$$

and therefore it suffices to show that the counit of the adjunction is an equivalence on compact generators, i.e. the natural map

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \otimes_{\mathrm{H}^0 \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$$

is an equivalence. Note this map is filtered, and so in particular to show this map is an isomorphism we may show the induced map on associated graded is an isomorphism. However, the associated graded of $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$ is the (not necessarily classical) ring A of global functions on the cotangent bundle $T^*(G/N)$, and

the associated graded of $H^0\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N})$ is similarly the ring $H^0(A)$. In particular, we wish to show that the natural map:

$$A \otimes_{H^0 A} A \rightarrow A$$

is an equivalence.

First, note the fact that G/N is quasi-affine (Remark 3.22) also implies that $T^*(G/N)$ is quasi-affine, since the map $T^*(G/N) \rightarrow G/N$ is affine (as $T^*(G/N)$ is a vector bundle as G/N is smooth), affine morphisms are quasiaffine [Sta22, Lemma 29.13.3], and so the composite morphism $T^*(G/N) \rightarrow G/N \rightarrow *$ is quasi-affine since the composite of quasi-affine morphisms is quasi-affine [Sta22, Lemma 29.13.4]. In particular, $T^*(G/N)$ is an affine open subset of $\text{Spec}(H^0(A))$ [Sta22, Lemma 29.13.3]. Let j denote this open embedding. Since the terminal map $T^*(G/N) \rightarrow *$ is quasi-affine, by [GR17a, Chapter 3, Proposition 3.3.3] we obtain an equivalence $\text{QCoh}(T^*(G/N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec}(A)) := A\text{-Mod}$. Then, in particular, our natural map may be identified with the counit of the adjunction

$$j^* j_*(A) \rightarrow A$$

applied to the compact generator $A \in A\text{-Mod}$. However, j_* is fully faithful (see, for example, the proof of [GR17a, Chapter 3, Proposition 3.3.3]) and so this map is an equivalence. \square

Recall that $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$, see Lemma 2.39. We record one result of interest with respect to the Gelfand-Graev action, see [Gin18, Proposition 5.5.2]:

Proposition 3.23. The Gelfand-Graev functors on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi}$ are compatible with the (W, \cdot) -action on $\mathcal{D}(T)$. In particular, this category is a full W -subcategory of $H^0(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}_{G/N}})\text{-mod}$.

Remark 3.24. Since the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)$ is the derived category of its heart, the result of Proposition 3.23 in [Gin18, Proposition 5.5.2], stated for the classical derived category $\text{ho}(\mathcal{D}(T))$, immediately gives W -equivariance of the equivalence of DG categories $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)$ as well.

3.3.2. Reduction to Simply Connected Derived Subgroup Case. Let $\tilde{G} := G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ$, where G_{sc} denotes the simply connected cover of the semisimple group $[G, G]$ and $Z(G)^\circ$ denotes the connected component of the identity. The following result is well known; however, we were unable to locate a reference for the exact formulation we will use, so we provide a proof here:

Lemma 3.25. The canonical map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ has kernel Z for Z a finite central subscheme, and thus induces an isomorphism $\tilde{G}/Z \xrightarrow{\sim} G$. Furthermore, $Z(G)^\circ$ is a split torus, so any reductive group in particular admits a central isogeny from a group which is the product of a semisimple simply connected group and a split torus.

Proof. By [Mil17, Remark 19.30], we may write G as the quotient $(G_{sc} \times Z(G))/\tilde{F}$, where \tilde{F} is the finite group scheme given by the scheme theoretic image of the map $Z(G') \rightarrow G_{sc} \times Z(G)$ defined by $\xi \mapsto (\xi, \xi^{-1})$. Now let F denote the scheme theoretic image of the closed subscheme $Z(G_{sc}) \times_{Z(G)} Z(G)^\circ$ under the above map to $G' \times Z(G)$. Then the map $G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ \rightarrow (G' \times Z(G))/\tilde{F}$ induces an isomorphism $(G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ)/F \xrightarrow{\sim} (G_{sc} \times Z(G))/\tilde{F}$ (see [Mil17, Theorem 5.82] with $H := G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ$ and $N := \tilde{F}$).

Let $\mathcal{S} := Z(G)^\circ$. Then \mathcal{S} is smooth, since k has characteristic zero, and connected by assumption. Furthermore, \mathcal{S} is diagonalizable since $Z(G)$ is [Mil17, Proposition 21.8], and subgroups are diagonalizable groups are diagonalizable [Mil17, Theorem 12.9(c)]. Therefore, we see that \mathcal{S} is a smooth connected diagonalizable group. We therefore see that \mathcal{S} is a split torus by [Mil17, Chapter 12, Section e]. \square

We let \tilde{G} and let $\phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ denote the central isogeny of Lemma 3.25. Let $\tilde{B} := \phi^{-1}(B)$, $\tilde{T} := \phi^{-1}(T)$, Furthermore, let $\tilde{N} := [\phi^{-1}(B), \phi^{-1}(B)]$ denotes the unipotent radical of \tilde{B} (which is not necessarily the same group as $\phi^{-1}([B, B])$), and let $\tilde{N}^- := [\phi^{-1}(B^-), \phi^{-1}(B^-)]$. Given any parabolic subgroup P of G , we set $\tilde{P} := \phi^{-1}(P)$ to be the corresponding parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , and, given a P , we set $\tilde{Q} := [\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}]$. If P is the rank one parabolic subgroup P_α , we will also denote this subgroup by \tilde{P}_α and $\tilde{Q}_\alpha := [\tilde{P}_\alpha, \tilde{P}_\alpha]$. This notation is justified by the following:

Proposition 3.26. With the above notation, we have the following:

- (1) [Bor91, Theorem 22.6] The group \tilde{B} is a Borel subgroup of \tilde{G} containing a maximal torus \tilde{T} , and \tilde{B} (respectively \tilde{T}, \tilde{P}) is the unique Borel (respectively, torus, parabolic subgroup) for which the image under ϕ is B (respectively, T, P). Furthermore, ϕ induces an isomorphism of Weyl groups.
- (2) The group \tilde{N} is the unipotent radical of \tilde{B} , and ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi|_{\tilde{N}} : \tilde{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} N$.
- (3) The closed subgroup scheme \tilde{N} factors through the subgroup scheme $G_{sc} \times 1$.
- (4) Let $\alpha : N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a$ be any character, and let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the additive character $\alpha\phi|_{\tilde{N}}$. Then the pullback functor $\mathcal{D}(G/\alpha N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{N})$ induces an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{D}(G/\alpha N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{N})^Z$.

The analogous claims to these statements also hold when \tilde{N} is replaced with \tilde{N}^- and \tilde{B} with $\tilde{B}^- := \phi^{-1}(B^-)$.

Proof. As noted above, the first claim is precisely [Bor91, Theorem 22.6], using the fact that central isogenies are in particular surjective. The first part of claim (2) follows by definition, and the second part follows from the fact the kernel of the map $\phi|_{\tilde{N}}$ in particular lies in the kernel of ϕ , which contains only semisimple elements. Thus any element in the kernel of $\phi|_{\tilde{N}}$ both semisimple and unipotent, and thus trivial. This also shows the third claim, since there are no nontrivial semisimple elements of a torus.

Let Z denote the kernel of ϕ . Then, using the fact that ϕ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{G}/Z \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ and Theorem 2.10, we obtain (4) via:

$$\mathcal{D}(G/\alpha N) \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)^{N,\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{D}((G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ)/Z)^{\tilde{N},\tilde{\alpha}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G_{sc} \times Z(G)^\circ)^{(\tilde{N} \times Z, \tilde{N}, \tilde{\alpha} \times 1)} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G_{sc}/\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{N} \times Z(G)^\circ)^Z$$

where the final step uses the fact that any element of \tilde{N} lies in \tilde{G} . \square

Remark 3.27. The result of Proposition 3.26 in particular implies that we have a canonical induced non-degenerate character given by the composite $\tilde{N}^- \xrightarrow{\phi|_{\tilde{N}^-}} N \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{G}_a$. We will lightly abuse notation and also denote this character by ψ .

3.3.3. Symplectic Fourier Transform Construction and Reminders. In this section, we recall the construction of the symplectic Fourier transformations associated to each simple reflection s and recall some basic properties of the various Fourier transformations which will be used later. Let P_s denote the associated rank one parabolic subgroup, and let $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ denote the associated root. Following [KL88] and [Pol01], we can construct a rank two symplectic vector bundle on $G/[P_s, P_s]$ in the case $[G, G]$ is simply connected as follows.

Let $N_w := N \cap \dot{w}^{-1}\dot{w}_0 N \dot{w}_0 \dot{w}$, where, for each $w \in W$, \dot{w} is some arbitrarily chosen lift of w . Choose a Levi decomposition of the parabolic $P_s \cong L_s \ltimes N_{w_0s}$ and set $Q_s := [P_s, P_s]$ and $M_s := [L_s, L_s]$. Our choice of ψ in particular determines an isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} N_s$, so we can identify $M_s \cong \mathrm{SL}_{2,\alpha}$. With this choice, set $V_s := G/N_{w_0s} \times^{\mathrm{SL}_{2,\alpha}} \mathbb{A}^2$. Then the projection map $V_s \rightarrow G/[P_s, P_s]$ can be upgraded to the structure of a rank two symplectic vector bundle, and the vector bundle V_s has complement to the zero section $G/Q_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tilde{z}} V_s$ given by the universal flag variety $G/N \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} V_s$ [KL88]. Furthermore, the composite πj may be identified with the quotient map $q : G/N \rightarrow G/Q_\alpha$. Let $F_s := j^! \mathbf{F} j_{*,dR}$, where \mathbb{F} is the usual Fourier transform and $\mathbf{F} := \omega^! \mathbb{F}$ is the symplectic Fourier transform for the symplectic form $\omega : V_s \xrightarrow{\sim} V_s^\vee$. To emphasize which vector bundle \mathcal{V} we are taking the Fourier transform with respect to, we write $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)$ for the usual Fourier transform.

We can also define a line bundle \mathcal{L}_s over G/Q_s and a map of G/Q_s -schemes $\ell_s : \mathcal{L}_s \rightarrow V_s$ whose fiber at every k -point of G/Q_s yields the inclusion of a one dimensional vector space into a two dimensional vector space. To do this, let $G^{(s)}$ denote the locally closed subscheme of G given by those Bruhat cells labelled by those $w \in W$ which are minimal in their right $\langle s \rangle$ cosets. Note that our above notations give an identification $N_{w_0s} \setminus (B \cap Q_s) \cong B_\alpha$, a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2,s}$. Set $\mathcal{L}_s := G^{(s)}/N_{w_0s} \times^{B_\alpha} \mathbb{A}^1$. Then we similarly see that we have a map $\mathcal{L}_s \rightarrow G/[P_s, P_s]$ and the locally closed embedding $G^{(s)} \rightarrow G$ induces our desired map $\ell_s : \mathcal{L}_s \rightarrow V_s$ over $G/[P_s, P_s]$.

Remark 3.28. Occasionally, to emphasize the root α associated to a simple reflection s , we will denote the objects P_s, Q_s, M_s and V_s as $P_\alpha, Q_\alpha, M_\alpha$ and V_α .

We record two observations in the following remark.

Remark 3.29. By light abuse of notation, let ω denote the isomorphism $V_s \xrightarrow{\sim} V_s^\vee$. Duality gives a canonical map $\ell_s^\vee : V_s^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_s^\vee$. Furthermore, note that the composite $p\omega\ell_s$ vanishes. Therefore there exists

an isomorphism of schemes a over G/Q_s making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_s & \xrightarrow{\omega} & V_s^\vee \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \ell_s^\vee \\ V_s/G_a & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathcal{L}_s^\vee \end{array}$$

where a is an isomorphism since V_s has rank two. Dually, there is an isomorphism of schemes $\omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}$ over G/Q_s making the following diagram commute:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_s & \xrightarrow{\omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}} & (V_s/G_a)^\vee \\ \downarrow \ell_s & & \downarrow p^\vee \\ V_s & \xrightarrow{\omega} & V_s^\vee \end{array}$$

We now a result on the usual Fourier transform, which can be proven by taking Verdier dual versions of all the functors in the proof of [Lau87, Théorème 1.2.2.4]:

Proposition 3.30. Assume $f : E \rightarrow V$ is a morphism of vector bundles, where E has rank e and V has rank r . Then there is an isomorphism of functors $\mathbb{F}_E(f_{*,dR}(-)) \simeq f^\vee! \mathbb{F}_V(-)[e-r]$, where $f^\vee : V^\vee \rightarrow E^\vee$ is the induced morphism.

3.3.4. *The Symplectic Fourier Transformations Preserve the Universal Nondegenerate Category.* Using the notation of Section 3.3.3 and Section 3.3.2, we show that the symplectic Fourier transformation preserves the nondegenerate category:

Lemma 3.31. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{nondeg}}$. Then the canonical map $\mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_{*,dR}j^!\mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha)$. We may equivalently show that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(z_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$. The category $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha)$ is compactly generated [GR, Corollary 3.3.3], and therefore it suffices to show this vanishing when \mathcal{G} is compact. We also have

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(z_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}), \mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(\mathbf{F}z_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}), j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(\pi^!(\mathcal{G})[-2], j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}))$$

where $\pi : V_s \rightarrow \tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha$ is the projection map, and the second equivalence follows applying Proposition 3.30 to the inclusion map of the zero section. Therefore, if $q : \tilde{G}/\tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha$ is the quotient map, we see that this expression above is equivalent to $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})}(q^!(\mathcal{G})[-2], \mathcal{F})$. However, the compact objects of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha)$ are cohomologically bounded (this holds if $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Q}_\alpha$ is replaced with any smooth, classical scheme X and is an immediate consequence of the t -exactness of the composite $\text{oblv} : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{QCoh}(X)$ of conservative functors, [GR, Proposition 4.2.11], and so $q^!(\mathcal{G})$ is in particular eventually coconnective since $q^!$ is t -exact up to shift. Furthermore, $q^!(\mathcal{G})[-2]$ is in the kernel of Av_1^ψ by Proposition 3.15, so $q^!(\mathcal{G})[-2]$ is a generator of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{deg}}$. Therefore, $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})}(q^!(\mathcal{G})[-2], \mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$. \square

First, note that the symplectic Fourier transform is t -exact [KL88, Theorem 1.2.1(iii)], so the action of $\langle s \rangle$ on $\mathcal{D}(V_s)$ reduces to the action of $\langle s \rangle$ on the abelian subcategory $\mathcal{D}(V_s)^\heartsuit$, since $\mathcal{D}(V_s)$ is the derived category of its heart. This action is computed in [Pol01, Section 4]. Statement (1) in the following proposition may be viewed as a derived, D -module variant [Pol01, Lemma 6.1.1]:

Proposition 3.32. We temporarily use the notation $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}$ to denote the full subcategory of objects $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ for which $q_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$ vanishes, which we may equivalently view as a quotient category by Section 2.4.5.

- (1) The category $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}} \xrightarrow{j_{*,dR}} \mathcal{D}(V_s)$ is closed under the action of the order two group $\langle s \rangle$.

- (2) The functor Av_*^N lifts to a functor $\mathrm{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/_{-\psi}\tilde{N}^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}^{(s)}$.
- (3) The functor $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi : \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/_{-\psi}\tilde{N}^-)$ has the property that, for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi(F_s(\mathcal{F}))$.

Proof. Consider the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(V_s)$ generated by elements in the essential image of $z_{*,dR}$ and $\pi^!$. Since $\pi^![-2] \simeq \mathbf{F}z_{*,dR}$ (Proposition 3.30), this subcategory is closed under the symplectic Fourier transform. The right orthogonal complement can be identified with those objects $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(V_s)$ for which $z^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ and $\pi_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$. The first condition is equivalent to the condition that the canonical map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_{*,dR}(j^!(\mathcal{F}))$ is an equivalence, and therefore this subcategory may be identified with the full subcategory of objects of those $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ such that $\pi_{*,dR}(j_{*,dR}\mathcal{F}') \simeq q_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}')$ vanishes, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}$.

For claim (2), note that $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}$ is a full G -subcategory by construction, and therefore it is a full $G \times W$ subcategory. Therefore the functor Av_*^N is given by an integral kernel in $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{N}_\psi^- \setminus \tilde{G}/\tilde{N}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T})$. Direct computation (or Proposition 5.4 below) shows that the kernel is given by $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T})$ up to cohomological shift. Since the isomorphism $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{N}_\psi^- \setminus \tilde{G}/\tilde{N}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T})$ is compatible with the Gelfand-Graev action (see Proposition 3.23), we see that we may lift this kernel to an object of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{N}_\psi^- \setminus \tilde{G}/\tilde{N})^{(s)}$, and therefore we have obtained our lift.

Claim (3) follows since the left adjoint $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi : \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/_{-\psi}\tilde{N}^-)$ factors through $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}$ by Proposition 3.15 and the adjoint is necessarily $\tilde{G} \times W$ linear by Theorem 2.22 and (2), so that in particular $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$ factors through the coinvariant category. Equivalently, by Theorem 2.10, we have that $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$ factors through the category of invariants $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\tilde{Q}_\alpha\text{-nondeg}}^{(s)}$. \square

Corollary 3.33. The functor F_s preserves the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\mathrm{deg}}$.

Proof. First, let Z denote the kernel of the map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ as in Section 3.3.2. Then the forgetful functor $\mathrm{oblv}^Z : \mathcal{D}(G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ (see Proposition 3.26) is t -exact because Z acts on G compatibly with the t -structure, and is conservative. Therefore, the forgetful functor oblv^Z reflects the property of being an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of the associated $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$ functor, and thus we may prove this claim for those groups with $[G, G]$ simply connected, so that F_s acts by a symplectic Fourier transform.

In this case, note that F_s preserves the eventually coconnective subcategory because $j_{*,dR}$ has finite cohomological amplitude [HTT08, Proposition 1.5.29], the symplectic Fourier transform is t -exact [KL88, Theorem 1.2.1(iii)], and, if j is *any* open embedding of smooth schemes, $j^!$ is t -exact. Therefore F_s preserves the generating set of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\mathrm{deg}}$ by claim (3) of Proposition 3.32. \square

Corollary 3.34. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\mathrm{nondeg}}$ and write $\mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}\mathcal{F} \simeq j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}')$ for some $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ via Lemma 3.31. Then $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\mathrm{nondeg}}$.

Proof. Now assume $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\mathrm{deg}}$. Then

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}), \mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}')) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(\mathbf{F}j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}), j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}'))$$

where the first equivalence follows since $j_{*,dR}$ is an open embedding and therefore fully faithful, the second follows since \mathbf{F} is canonically its own adjoint. Therefore, we see that this space of maps is equivalent to $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})}(F_s(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{F}')$, since $j^!$ is the left adjoint to $j_{*,dR}$, and this vanishes by Corollary 3.33. \square

Since each F_w for a given w may be written as a composite of symplectic Fourier transformations preserves the degenerate and nondegenerate subcategories, we obtain the following theorem for the case $G = \tilde{G}$:

Corollary 3.35. The full subcategory $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}$ of $H^0(\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/N}))$ -mod is preserved by the action of T and the Gelfand-Graev action. In particular, the category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}$ obtains a $G, T \rtimes W$ -action, where the W -action is given by the Gelfand-Graev action, and in particular each simple reflection acts by F_s .

Proof. We have shown that W acts on $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ in a manner which, in the notation of Proposition 3.26, commutes with the Z -action. Taking the Z -invariants and again using Proposition 3.26, we obtain our claim for general G . \square

Remark 3.36. See also [BK99], where an analytic version of this W -action on the Schwartz space of the universal flag variety is constructed.

3.3.5. *Weyl Group Action on Nondegenerate Categories.* Using Corollary 3.35, by the definition of nondegenerate G -categories, we obtain:

Corollary 3.37. For any nondegenerate G -category \mathcal{C} , the category \mathcal{C}^N admits a canonical $T \times W$ action.

We also have the following:

Corollary 3.38. For any nondegenerate G -category \mathcal{C} , the category $\mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$ is a module for the monoidal category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^*/\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$, given a monoidal structure by the convolution formalism. In particular, $\mathcal{C}^{N,(T,w)}$ is acted on by the group \tilde{W}^{aff} .

Proof. We claim, in fact, for any category \mathcal{D} with a $T \times W$ action, the category $\mathcal{D}^{T,w}$ acquires an action of \tilde{W}^{aff} . This follows since $\mathcal{D}^{T,w} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T \times W)^{T,w} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(T \times W)} \mathcal{D}$ and because of an equivalence of categories

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{T \times W}(\mathcal{D}(T \times W)^{T,w}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(T \times W)}(\mathcal{D}(T)^{T,w}) \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$$

where the first functor is given by $(-)^W : \mathcal{D}(T \times W)\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T \times W)^{W \times W}\text{-mod}(\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k)$, which is an equivalence by Theorem 2.16, and the second equivalence is given by the Mellin transform. The convolution formalism [GR17a, Chapter 5, Section 5] therefore yields a monoidal functor from $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^*/\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$ to this endomorphism category. The second claim follows since we have a monoidal functor $\text{IndCoh}(\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^*/\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$, which yields a weak \tilde{W}^{aff} action. This weak action automatically upgrades to a strong action since the canonical map $\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \tilde{W}_{dR}^{\text{aff}}$ is an isomorphism, which in turn follows because the de Rham functor commutes with colimits and \tilde{W}^{aff} is a colimit of points. \square

3.3.6. *Symplectic Fourier Transformations and Stalks.* For the remainder of this section, fix a simple reflection $s \in W$ and write $W^s := \{w \in W : \ell(w) \leq \ell(ws)\}$ so that $W = W^s \sqcup W^s s$. For any subset R of the Weyl group, set $X_R \xrightarrow{l_R} G/N$ to denote the union of the cells NwB/N for every $w \in R$. In particular, this variety need not be closed. Let \mathbb{G}_m^α denote the image of the closed subscheme given by $\alpha^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$.

Proposition 3.39. Fix an $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)$.

- (1) Assume that the average with respect to the right \mathbb{G}_m^α -action vanishes on \mathcal{F} . Then if $l_{W^s s}^!(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, we have $l_{W^s}^!(F_s(\mathcal{F})) = 0$.
- (2) Assume that \mathcal{F} is left N -equivariant (which, by Lemma 2.39, is a property and not additional structure). If $l_{W^s}^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, then $l_{W^s}^!(F_s(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero.

We prove this after proving the following lemma, which will be used only in the proof of (2):

Lemma 3.40. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(V_s)^N$ and let $\ell_s : \mathcal{L}_s \hookrightarrow V_s$ denote the map and line bundle in Section 3.3.3. Then if $\ell_s^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{G}_a -equivariant.

Proof. Consider the decomposition

$$V_s \cong \bigsqcup_{w \in W^s} (N_w \dot{w} P_s / N_{w_0 s} \times^{\text{SL}_{2,\alpha}} \mathbb{A}^2)$$

induced by the parabolic Bruhat decomposition, where $N_w \leq N$ is some closed subgroup depending on w . The condition of being \mathbb{G}_a -equivariant is closed under colimits, since the forgetful functor commutes with colimits. Therefore we may check that the $!$ -restriction to each locally closed subscheme $C^s(w)$ of this decomposition. Furthermore, by assumption that $\ell_s^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$, we may check this upon further $!$ -restriction to the open subset of $C_0^s(w)$. However, on this subset, the right \mathbb{G}_a -action agrees with left multiplication by some dimension one subgroup of N . Therefore, the property of N invariance immediately gives the property of right \mathbb{G}_a -invariance, where again by Lemma 2.39 this is a property by the fact that \mathbb{G}_a is unipotent. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.39. We use the notation of Section 3.3.3. Assume that \mathcal{F} is such that $\text{Av}_{*}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ and that $l_{s,*} l_{dR}^!(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}' := j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$ where $j : G/N \rightarrow V_s$ is the open embedding. We now claim

that $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_a}(\mathcal{F}') \simeq 0$ as well. To see this, note the following diagram commutes:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{L}_s \\ & \searrow & \downarrow p|_{\mathcal{L}_s} \\ & & G/Q_s \end{array}$$

where $U := \mathcal{L}_s \setminus (G/Q_s)$ is the complement of the zero section in \mathcal{L}_s and both downward pointing arrows are the structural maps. Pushing forward by the left arrow gives the \mathbb{G}_m -averaging and the pushforward by the right arrow gives the \mathbb{G}_a -averaging. Therefore we see that

$$\ell_s^!(\mathbf{F}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}))) \simeq (\omega \ell_s)^! \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F}') \simeq \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! p^{\vee,!} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F}') [1] \simeq \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_a} \mathcal{F}') [1] \simeq 0$$

where the first step uses the definition of the symplectic Fourier transform and of \mathcal{F}' , the second step uses the functoriality of $!$ -pullback and the commutivity of Eq. (4), and the third step uses Proposition 3.30 and, in particular, $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s} : \mathcal{D}(V_s/\mathbb{G}_a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}((V_s/\mathbb{G}_a)^\vee)$ is the Fourier transform. Because $l_{W_s}^!$ is given by the composite $j^! \ell_s^!$, we obtain our first claim.

We now show the second claim. We first note that $j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$ is left N equivariant since $j : G/N \hookrightarrow V_s$ is left G -equivariant, and the assumption that $l_{W_s}^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ vanishes implies that $\ell_s^! \mathcal{F} \simeq 0$ as well. Therefore, by Lemma 3.40, we have that $\mathcal{F}' \simeq p^!(\mathcal{G})$ for some $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}(V_s/\mathbb{G}_a)$. In particular, we see that

$$\ell_s^! \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{F}') \simeq (\omega \ell_s)^! \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F}') \simeq \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! p^{\vee,!} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{F}') \simeq \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(p_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}')) \simeq \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\mathcal{G})$$

where the first step is the definition of \mathbb{F} , the second step follows by the functoriality of $!$ -pullback and the commutivity of Eq. (4), and the third follows from the above diagram, and the fourth follows from Proposition 3.30, and the fifth follows from Lemma 2.39. Note also that, if $z : G/Q_s \hookrightarrow V_s$ denotes the zero section of V_s and $\tilde{z} : G/Q_s \hookrightarrow V_s/\mathbb{G}_a$ denotes the zero section of V_s/\mathbb{G}_a , then

$$z^! \omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \tilde{z}^!(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\mathcal{G})) \simeq \tilde{t}_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq t_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$$

where \tilde{t} and t are the respective maps to the base G/Q_s . Here, the first step uses the fact that the relevant map of zero sections commutes, the second equivalence follows from Proposition 3.30, and the third equivalence is by the fact that $\mathcal{G} \simeq p_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}')$. In particular, if $\omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\mathcal{G}) \leftarrow z_{*,dR} z^!(\omega|_{\mathcal{L}_s}^! \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s}(\mathcal{G}))$, then the sheaf \mathcal{G} would be \mathbb{G}_a -monodromic since $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s} z_{*,dR} \simeq t_1^!$ by Proposition 3.30, where $t_1 : \mathcal{L}_s^\vee \rightarrow G/Q_s$ is the structural map. In particular, $\mathcal{F}' \simeq p^!(\mathcal{G})$ would be monodromic with respect to the $\mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a$ action. This violates the assumption that $l_{W_s}^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ if \mathcal{G} is nonzero, so $\mathcal{G} \simeq 0$. \square

Induction on length in the Weyl group gives the following result, which will be used below.

Corollary 3.41. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ is generated as a W category by the essential image of the functor $\mathcal{D}(B/N)^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ given by composing the pushforward of the inclusion map $N \setminus B/N \xrightarrow{i} N \setminus G/N$ with the quotient functor.

Corollary 3.42. The category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, \mathfrak{w})}$ is generated by the objects in the set $\{\lambda \delta : \lambda \in X^\bullet(T)\}$, where δ is the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, \mathfrak{w})}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the set $\{\lambda \delta' : \lambda \in X^\bullet(T)\}$ is a set of compact generators in the Harish-Chandra category $\mathcal{D}(T)^{(T \times T, \mathfrak{w})}$, where δ' denotes the monoidal unit, and Corollary 3.41. \square

3.3.7. Triviality of Gelfand-Graev Action on Equivariant Categories. In this section, we make precise and prove in Corollary 3.45 that the Gelfand-Graev action is trivial on equivariant categories associated to $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$. Recall the map of vector bundles $\mathcal{L}_s \xrightarrow{\ell_s} V_s$ as Section 3.3.3, a morphism of vector bundles over the base $S := G/[P_s, P_s]$. We now prove a variation of [Lau87, Proposition 1.2.3.1] in our context.

Corollary 3.43. Let $t : \mathcal{L}_s \rightarrow S$ be the terminal map of S -schemes. Then we have a canonical $B \times T$ -equivariant isomorphism of functors $\omega^! \mathbb{F}_{V_s} \ell_{s,*} \ell_{s,dR} t^! \simeq \ell_{s,*} \ell_{s,dR} t^!$.

Proof. Since our vector bundle is a rank two symplectic vector bundle, we obtain maps such that each square of the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{L}_s & \xrightarrow{\ell_s} & V_s & \xrightarrow{\omega} & V_s^\vee \\
\downarrow t & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \ell_s^\vee \\
S & \xrightarrow{z} & V_s/\mathbb{G}_a & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{L}_s^\vee
\end{array}$$

where we use the notation of Remark 3.29. Therefore, setting \tilde{z} to denote the composite of the two lower horizontal arrows, we obtain isomorphisms via various base change morphisms

$$\omega^! \mathbb{F}_{V_s} \ell_{s,*} dR t^! \simeq \omega^! \ell_s^{\vee,!} \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{L}_s} t^! [1] \simeq \omega^! \ell_s^{\vee,!} \tilde{z}_{*,dR} \simeq \ell_{s,*} dR t^!$$

where, specifically, the first two isomorphisms are given by the base changes required in constructing the functor of Proposition 3.30 and the third is given by base changing along our Cartesian diagram above. \square

Proposition 3.44. Fix a simple reflection $s \in W$, and, as above, let $F_s : \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ denote the endofunctor given by the W -action above indexed by some simple root α (which, for $[G, G]$ simply connected, is the symplectic Fourier transformation given by s). Then the induced map on right \mathbb{G}_m^α -equivariant objects $F_s : \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}$ can be identified with the identity functor.

Proof. Since the W -action commutes with the central action, it suffices to prove this in the case where the canonical map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ of Section 3.3.2 is an isomorphism. Let $H := \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha N$. By Remark 2.12, it suffices to provide an isomorphism $F_s(J^!(\delta_{1H})) \cong J^!(\delta_{1H})$ in $\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}^H$. Since the forgetful functor is fully faithful at the level of abelian categories (see, for example [Ras20a, Section 10.3]), we may equivalently show that $F_s(J^!(i_{*,dR}\omega_{H/N})) \cong J^!(i_{*,dR}\omega_{H/N})$, where by Kashiwara's lemma we identify $\omega_{H/N} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)$. Note that we may identify $J^!(i_{*,dR}\omega_{H/N})$ with $J^!(\tilde{i}_{*,dR}(\omega_{\overline{H/N}}))$, where $\tilde{i} : \overline{H/N} \hookrightarrow V_s$ is the closure of H/N in V_s . Therefore, we see:

$$F_s(J^!(i_{*,dR}\omega_{H/N})) \xleftarrow{\sim} F_s(J^!(\tilde{i}_{*,dR}(\omega_{\overline{H/N}}))) \simeq J^!(\omega^! \mathbb{F}(\tilde{i}_{*,dR}(\omega_{\overline{H/N}}))) \simeq J^!(\tilde{i}_{*,dR}(\omega_{\overline{H/N}})) \simeq J^!(i_{*,dR}\omega_{H/N})$$

where the second equivalence follows from Corollary 3.35 and the definition of the symplectic Fourier transform, the second to last equivalence is given by Corollary 3.43, since $\overline{H/N}$ is naturally a closed subscheme of \mathcal{L}_s . \square

Corollary 3.45. The $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -action on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}$ is the trivial action.

As above, let $H := N\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha$. We prove this after first proving the following lemma:

Lemma 3.46. For δ_{1H} the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{D}(H \setminus G/H)$, then $H^0 \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(H \setminus G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}} (J^!(\delta_{1H})) \cong k$.

Proof. We may equivalently show that the only maps $\delta_{1H} \rightarrow J_* J^!(\delta_{1H})$ are the scalar multiples of unit of the adjunction $(J_*, J^!)$. Since the functor which forgets H -equivariance is fully faithful at the level of abelian categories (see, for example [Ras20a, Section 10.3]) we may equivalently show the the space of maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/H)^\heartsuit}(\delta_{1H}, Y)$ is one dimensional, where $Y := \text{oblv}^H \tau^{\leq 0} J_* J^!(\delta_{1H})$.

Let $\delta_{1H} \rightarrow Y$ denote any map. We note that δ_{1H} is simple (for example, it is the pushforward by a simple holonomic \mathcal{D} -module at a point). Furthermore, note that δ_{1H} is not degenerate, since it does not lie in the kernel of Av_1^ψ . By the long exact sequence associated to the cofiber sequence $I_* I^! \delta_{1H} \rightarrow \delta_{1H} \rightarrow J_* J^! \delta_{1H}$ see that the quotient $J_* J^!(L)/\delta_{1H}$ lies in the kernel of $J^!$. However, if the composite $\delta_{1H} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y/\delta_{1H}$ were nonzero, by the simplicity of δ_{1H} we would see that this map is an injection. This would, however, imply that δ_{1H} lies in $\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{deg}}$, since this category is closed under subobjects—a contradiction. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.45. Since the W -action commutes with the central action, it suffices to prove this in the case where the canonical map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ of Section 3.3.2 is an isomorphism. The action of $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ on $\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}$ is entirely determined by the map of pointed spaces $A : B\langle s_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow G\text{-Cat}^\sim$, where the basepoint goes to the category $\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}$. We identify $B\langle s_\alpha \rangle \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ with its standard cell structure. By Proposition 3.44, we see that the one cell of $B\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ is sent to an object $I \in \underline{\text{End}}_G(\mathcal{D}(G/H))$ equivalent to the identity. Since

the identity of $\mathbf{End}_G(\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}})$ is sent to the sheaf $J^!(\delta_{1H}) \in \mathcal{D}(H \backslash G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}$ under the equivalence $\mathbf{End}_G(\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}) \simeq \mathcal{D}(H \backslash G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}$ of Theorem 2.10, we see that the endomorphisms of the identity functor are discrete. Therefore, our map A is entirely determined by the object I and the image of the two cell, say $E \in \text{Hom}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}}, I^2)$. Moreover, any two such pairs (I_1, E_1) and (I_2, E_2) are equivalent if there exists some natural transformation $i : I_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} I_2$ such that $E_1 i^2 \simeq E_2$. In particular, since we have shown that F_s acts by the identity functor, it suffices to show that the canonical functor

$$(6) \quad \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}} \xrightarrow{E_s} F_s F_s \xrightarrow{F_s E_s^{-1}} F_s \xrightarrow{E_s^{-1}} \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(G/H)}$$

is equal to the identity natural transformation of G -categories, where E_s is the equivalence of Proposition 3.44. By Lemma 3.46, we see that this endomorphism is given by scaling by some nonzero $x \in k$. However, the category $\mathcal{D}(G/H)_{\text{nondeg}}$ contains the full $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -subcategory $\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N)_{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}$ by Proposition 1.8 and Proposition 3.23. In particular, for objects of this category, the natural transformation of (6) scales by 1. Therefore, we see that $x = 1$ and so the natural transformation of (6) is the identity, and thus the $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -action is trivial. \square

4. NONDEGENERATE CATEGORY \mathcal{O}

Fix some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$. In this section, we study the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$. A priori, this category is defined as a limit of DG categories. However, it turns out that this category is the derived category of its heart, which we show in Appendix A. The category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$ identifies with the ind-completion of the category \mathcal{O}_λ , the abelian subcategory of the BGG category \mathcal{O} of objects⁵ whose central character is given by χ_λ , where χ denotes the Harish-Chandra map, [Hum08, Chapter 1.9].

By the Beilinson-Bernstein localization theorem, we then see that the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ is the (unbounded) derived category of the ind-completion of the BGG category \mathcal{O}_λ . Using this, we can provide an explicit description of the nondegenerate and degenerate subcategories associated to $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$. We do so after reviewing a few results about the BGG category \mathcal{O} .

Remark 4.1. Note that, in particular, \mathcal{O}_λ is not a block if λ is not integral. However, the fact that \mathcal{O}_λ is generated by projective modules implies that one has the block decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in S} \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{(T, \mathcal{L}_{[\lambda']})\text{-mon}}$$

where S denotes the set of elements in $\mathfrak{t}^*/\Lambda(k)$ in the $W(k) = W$ orbit of λ (for a direct explanation of this in terms of sheaves on $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)$, see [LY20, Lemma 2.10]). In particular, this set is finite.

Remark 4.2. Often, the results and definitions for the BGG (abelian) category \mathcal{O} are only stated for semisimple Lie algebras. However, once a central character $\lambda : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow k$, is fixed, we note that the category $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$, defined with the same axioms in [Hum08, Section 1.1] but for our reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , is equivalent to the category of representations at a given central character for the associated semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}^{\mathfrak{g}'}$, where $\mathfrak{g}' := \text{Lie}([G, G])$.

This follows since $U\mathfrak{g} \simeq U\mathfrak{g}' \times U(\text{Lie}(Z(G)^\circ))$ by Lemma 3.25, and so the generalized central character requirement, as well as the axiom that the maximal torus acts by a character on any object of $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ implies that the action of $U(\text{Lie}(Z(G)^\circ))$ is entirely determined by the central character. Therefore $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -representation is entirely determined by its restriction to the $U\mathfrak{g}'$ -factor, as desired.

In particular, while some references below only refer to the BGG category \mathcal{O} for representations of semisimple Lie algebras at a given central character, the results all hold *mutatis mutandis* for reductive Lie algebras.

4.1. Soergel's Classification of \mathcal{O}_λ . We now briefly recall Soergel's classification of \mathcal{O}_λ for some field-valued point λ of \mathfrak{t}^* . Fix some antidominant λ' of \mathfrak{t}^* in the W, \cdot orbit of λ , and let $I_{\lambda'}$ be the indecomposable injective hull of the simple indexed by λ' .

Theorem 4.3. [Soe90] For λ as above, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda \simeq \text{ind}_{\mathfrak{g}^M}^{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ind}_{N, (T, w)}^{\mathfrak{g}^M} U\mathfrak{g}^{\lambda'} \oplus \mathcal{O},$$

- (1) (Endomorphismensatz) The canonical map $Z\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/\lambda B)}(I_{\lambda'}) \in \text{Vect}^\heartsuit$ surjects and has the same kernel as the surjective composite $Z\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\chi} \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow C_{\lambda'}$, where $C_{\lambda'} := \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})/\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})_+^{W_{[\lambda]}}$ is the coinvariant algebra associated to $W_{[\lambda]}$, and therefore induces an isomorphism of classical vector spaces $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/\lambda B)}(I_{\lambda'}) \cong C_{\lambda'}$.
- (2) (Struktursatz) Let $\underline{I} := \bigoplus_{\mu} I_{\mu}$ denote the direct sum of the indecomposable injective hulls of all simple objects indexed by antidominant μ of \mathfrak{t}^* in the W, \cdot orbit of λ , and let $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_I : \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/\lambda B) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{\lambda}$ -mod denote the contravariant functor $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_I(-) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(-, \underline{I})$, where $\tilde{C}_{\lambda} := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}(\underline{I})$. Then the functor $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}$ is fully faithful on injective objects in \mathcal{O}_{λ} .

Remark 4.4. Soergel's Struktursatz is often phrased for a block indexed by antidominant λ' of \mathfrak{t}^* as the claim that the functor $\mathbb{V} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}}(P_{\lambda'}, -)$, where $P_{\lambda'}$ is the indecomposable projective cover associated to λ' , is fully faithful on projective objects in the block of \mathcal{O} containing $P_{\lambda'}$. However, this is equivalent to our formulation above, as we explain now.

Recall that any indecomposable projective cover of some L_{λ} labelled by an antidominant λ is also its injective hull [Hum08, Theorem 4.11]. Therefore, the duality functor \mathbb{D} gives an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_I \simeq \tilde{\mathbb{V}}_P \circ \mathbb{D}$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_P := \underline{\text{Hom}}(P_{\lambda}, -)$ for P_{λ} is the direct sum of the indecomposable projective cover associated to antidominant k -points of \mathfrak{t}^* whose images agree under the Harish-Chandra map.

Furthermore, each block of \mathcal{O}_{λ} contains a unique antidominant projective [Hum08, Chapter 4.9]. We therefore have that, in the notation of the Struktursatz in Theorem 4.3, we have that $\tilde{C}_{\lambda} \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}(I_{\mu}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}(P_{\mu})$, and so, in particular, $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_P \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} \underline{\text{Hom}}(P_{\mu}, -)$.

Soergel's results will allow us to realize the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ as the category R_{λ} -mod for some classical ring R_{λ} as follows. Specifically, let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ denote the direct sum of all $|W|$ -many indecomposable projectives in \mathcal{O}_{λ} . Then \mathcal{G} is a compact generator for $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \simeq \text{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}))$, and in particular setting $R_{\lambda} := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}}(\mathcal{G})$, we see that R_{λ} is concentrated in degree zero by the projectivity of \mathcal{G} and so $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \simeq R_{\lambda}$ -mod. The projectivity of our generators gives that this equivalence t -exact, and so we recover the equivalence of abelian categories $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \simeq R_{\lambda}$ -mod $^{\heartsuit, c}$. Through the struktursatz, one can provide an alternate description of the ring R_{λ} , which we will not use here (for an excellent recent survey of this in the case where λ is integral, see [Eli+20, Chapter 15]).

4.2. The Functor Av_1^{ψ} on \mathcal{O}_{λ} . Fix some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$.

Proposition 4.5. The left action of $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T))$ on the category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/\lambda B)$ factors through the action of C_{λ} -mod via the monoidal functor

$$\text{QCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_{\lambda})) \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\text{Spec}(C_{\lambda})}} \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_{\lambda})) \xrightarrow{f^!} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T))$$

where C_{λ} is as in Theorem 4.3 and f denotes the composite $\text{Spec}(C_{\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T)$.

Proof. The block decomposition $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \simeq \bigoplus_{[\lambda'] \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{(T, \mathcal{L}[\lambda'])\text{-mon}}$ discussed above implies that the action of $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T))$ factors through the action of

$$\text{IndCoh}((\mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T))_{\mathcal{S}}^{\wedge}) \simeq \text{IndCoh}\left(\prod_{\lambda'} (\mathfrak{t}^*/X^{\bullet}(T))_{[\lambda']}^{\wedge}\right) \simeq \text{IndCoh}\left(\prod_{\lambda'} \mathfrak{t}_{\lambda'}^{*, \wedge}\right)$$

where λ' are arbitrarily chosen antidominant lifts of $[\lambda']$ and the second equivalence is a general fact about formal completions with actions of discrete groups, see [Gan22]. We forget this action to an action of $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}^*} : \text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ by the pullback map induced by $\prod_{\lambda'} \mathfrak{t}_{\lambda'}^{*, \wedge} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a direct sum of all indecomposable projective objects of \mathcal{O}_{λ} . Then \mathcal{G} is a projective generator of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$, the action of $\text{QCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})$ -mod is determined by the map $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N}(\mathcal{G})$. The projectivity of \mathcal{G} implies that this endomorphism ring is concentrated in degree zero. The functor of $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}$ can be canonically equipped with a $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{t})$ -linear structure, and so in particular the following diagram

commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{t}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/\lambda B)}(\mathcal{G}) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \sim \\
 C_\lambda & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{C_\lambda\text{-mod}}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{G}))
 \end{array}$$

where the right equivalence is given by the struktursatz. Therefore, the action of $\mathrm{QCoh}(\coprod_\lambda \mathfrak{t}_\lambda^{*,\wedge})$ factors through an action of $C_\lambda\text{-mod}$, and so the claim follows since Υ intertwines pullbacks for QCoh and IndCoh for left prestacks [GR17b, Chapter 6, Section 3.3.5]. \square

Proposition 4.6. Using the notation of Theorem 4.3, we have the following:

- (1) The induced functor $\mathrm{Vect} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]} \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ sends $k \in \mathrm{Vect}$ to an object isomorphic to \underline{I}_λ .
- (2) We may identify the left adjoint to this composite functor (which by abuse of notation we also denote $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$) with the functor $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Vect}} \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$, where \mathbb{D} denotes the Verdier duality functor on $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$.

Proof. The composite is t -exact by Theorem 2.46, and so if we let A denote the object $\mathrm{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)](k)$, $A \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$. Because the adjoint to $\mathrm{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ is also t -exact by Corollary 2.45, A is an injective object. Every injective object of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$ is a direct sum of indecomposable injective objects and therefore it suffices to compute $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L, A) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)](L), k) \cong \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)](L)$ for each simple object L .

Direct computation shows that $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)] : \mathcal{D}(G/N)^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G/N) \simeq \mathcal{D}(T)$ is the identity upon restriction to the torus. Therefore, we see that in our case, $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ sends the Verma Δ_λ to the one dimensional vector space of $\mathrm{Vect} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G/_{-\psi} N^-)^{B[\lambda]}$. For any other Verma module Δ , there exists some bi-equivariant sheaf $\tilde{\Delta}$ for which $- \star \tilde{\Delta}$ is an equivalence and that the sheaf $\Delta_\lambda \star \tilde{\Delta}$ is concentrated in degree zero and isomorphic to Δ [LY20, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5]. The residual equivariance from the fact that $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)] : \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)$ is G -equivariant therefore implies that the images of *all* Verma modules are isomorphic to the unique one dimensional vector space concentrated in degree zero. Furthermore, we have that $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ preserves projective objects since it is t -exact Corollary 2.45 and all objects in Vect^\heartsuit are projective.

Now, assume we are given a simple $L(\mu) \in \mathcal{O}$ for which μ is not antidominant. Then $L(\mu)$ can be written as a subobject of a quotient of a Verma module by another Verma module by Verma's theorem [Hum08, Theorem 4.6]. Therefore, by t -exactness of $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)]$ and the fact that this injective map of Verma modules is sent to an isomorphism, $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi(L(\mu))$ vanishes. Furthermore, the simples $L(\mu)$ corresponding to antidominant μ are isomorphic to their corresponding Verma modules, and therefore, by the above, $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$ sends them to the one dimensional vector space. Thus we see that A is an injective object whose vector space of maps from antidominant simples is one dimensional, and whose vector space of maps from any other simple is zero, implying claim (1).

The above argument also implies that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Vect}} \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)] \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-, \underline{I}_\lambda)$. Projectives associated to such antidominant objects of $\mathfrak{t}^*(k)$ are self dual [Hum08, Chapter 7.16], and the Beilinson-Bernstein localization intertwines the duality functor on \mathcal{O} with Verdier duality. Therefore, we see that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{Vect}} \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi[-\dim(N)] \mathbb{D} \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\underline{P}_\lambda, -)$. Since Verdier duality is an equivalence, we obtain (2). \square

4.3. Nondegenerate Category \mathcal{O} . We now proceed to explicitly classify the category $(\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\mathrm{nondeg}})^N$, which we informally think of as the nondegenerate BGG category \mathcal{O}_λ or, more accurately, the derived category of its ind-completion. We provide an alternate description of this category in Section 4.3.1 and use this to provide an explicit description of nondegenerate category \mathcal{O}_λ .

4.3.1. Equivalence of Alternate Definition of Nondegenerate Category \mathcal{O}_λ . In this section, we prove the following technical result which may be skipped at first pass. Let $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \setminus G/N)_{\mathrm{cecko}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \setminus G/N)$ generated under colimits by eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of the right

Whittaker averaging functor Av_1^ψ . This category admits a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{cecko}} \xrightarrow{I_{*, \text{cecko}}} \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{deg}}$, for any object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)$ which is a colimit of eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of $\text{Av}_1^\psi : \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B \backslash_\lambda G /_{-\psi} N^-)$ has the property that $\text{oblv}^{B_\lambda}(\mathcal{F})$ is a colimit of eventually coconnective objects in the kernel of $\text{Av}_1^\psi : \mathcal{D}(G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G /_{-\psi} N^-)$ since this forgetful functor is t -exact and continuous. A priori, this map need not be an equivalence. However, we have the following result:

Proposition 4.7. The inclusion functor $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{cecko}} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}})^{B_\lambda}$ is an equivalence.

We will now reduce the proof of Proposition 4.7 to Proposition 4.8 after setting some notation. By right-completeness of the category $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{cecko}}$ with respect to its t -structure and Lemma 2.54, we can identify $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{cecko}}$ as the full subcategory generated by *compact* objects in the kernel of the right Whittaker averaging functor, using the fact that Av_1^ψ is t -exact up to cohomological shift i.e. Corollary 2.45. Therefore, Lemma 2.53 shows that the inclusion functor also preserves compacts, and thus the inclusion functor $I_{*, \text{cecko}}$ falls into the setup of Section 2.4.5. Let $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}}$ denote the resulting quotient category. Since we can identify $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}}$ as the kernel of the right adjoint to the inclusion functor $I_{\text{cecko}}^!$, the proof of Proposition 4.7 immediately reduces to the following result:

Proposition 4.8. The canonical quotient functor $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}})^{B_\lambda}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. We first note that the forgetful functor $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N) \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{D}(G/N)$ reflects the property of being an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of right Whittaker averaging $\text{Av}_1^{-\psi}$. Therefore, we obtain a canonical equivalence $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{cecko}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}})^{B_\lambda, +}$. By Corollary 2.58, we see that the quotient functor of Proposition 4.8 is an equivalence on the eventually coconnective subcategories.

We claim that both categories of Proposition 4.8 have a compact generator which is eventually coconnective. For the category $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}}$, this is a direct consequence of the fact that $J^!$ kills any non-antidominant simple because non-antidominant simples are eventually coconnective objects of $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)$ in the kernel of the right Whittaker averaging functor, and so $J^!(\bigoplus_a L_a)$ is a compact generator where a varies over all antidominant weights in the (W, \cdot) -orbit of λ . An identical argument says the image under the quotient functor $J_{\text{non-cecko}}^! : \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}}$ of the non-antidominant simples form a set of compact generators for $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{non-cecko}}$. However, the image of any object in the heart is in particular eventually coconnective, we see that the quotient functor of Proposition 4.8 is fully faithful and maps a compact generator to a compact generator, and thus is an equivalence. \square

4.3.2. *Nondegeneracy at a Fixed Character - The Eventually Coconnective Case.* We now study the eventually coconnective objects of the nondegenerate and degenerate category \mathcal{O}_λ :

Lemma 4.9. The following not necessarily complete DG categories are equivalent:

- (1) The subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda, +}$ given by those objects \mathcal{F} for which $\text{oblv}^{B_\lambda}(\mathcal{F})$, which lies in $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^+$ since the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda}$ is such that the forgetful functor is t -exact, lies in $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}^+$.
- (2) The subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda, +}$ given by those objects \mathcal{F} for which $\text{Av}_1^\psi(\mathcal{F}) \simeq 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(G /_{-\psi} N^-)^{B_\lambda, +}$.
- (3) The full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda, +}$ generated under filtered colimits and extensions⁶ by the simples L_μ such that μ lies in the (W, \cdot) -orbit of λ and μ is not antidominant.

Proof. The forgetful functor oblv^{B_λ} is t -exact and conservative by assumption, and furthermore we have $\text{oblv}^{B_\lambda} \text{Av}_1^\psi \simeq \text{Av}_1^\psi \text{oblv}^{B_\lambda}$ since the functor Av_1^ψ averages with respect to the right action. Therefore, the functor oblv^{B_λ} reflects the property of being an eventually coconnective object of the kernel of the respective right Whittaker averaging Av_1^ψ . Therefore, by the definition of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ (see Corollary 3.6), we see the equivalences of the not necessarily cocomplete DG categories of (1) and (2).

It remains to show the equivalence of the not necessarily cocomplete DG categories of (2) and (3). By the identification $\text{Av}_1^\psi : \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G /_{-\psi} N^-)^{B_\lambda}$ with dual of the functor $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}$ (Proposition 4.6), all of the simples L_μ as in (3) lie in the kernel of this functor. Thus, by exactness and continuity of Av_1^ψ , we see that the category of (3) lies in the subcategory of (2).

⁶by filtered colimits

Conversely, assume we are given some eventually coconnective object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda,+}$. This category is the derived category of its heart by Proposition A.2 and the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda}$ is right-complete by Lemma 2.30, since it admits a t -exact, conservative functor oblv^{B_λ} to a category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ with a right-complete t -structure. In particular, we can write \mathcal{F} as a filtered colimit of objects with finitely many nonzero cohomology groups. In turn, this implies that we can write \mathcal{F} as a filtered colimit of objects obtained by successive extensions (i.e. cofiber sequences) of objects in the heart. Because $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ also commutes with cohomological shifts, it suffices to show that if $M \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda,\heartsuit}$ lies in the kernel of $\text{Av}_!^\psi$, then M is a successive extension of objects L_μ as in (3).

The category $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda,\heartsuit}$ can be identified with the ind-completion of the BGG category \mathcal{O}_λ Appendix A, see Remark A.7. In particular, by Lemma 2.54, we may write M as a union of its compact subobjects. Since \mathcal{O}_λ is closed under direct summands, this implies that we may write M as a union of objects of \mathcal{O}_λ . In particular, either M lies in the abelian category generated by extensions of the simples as in (3) or there exists some compact subobject which has some L_ν such that ν is antidominant and in the (W, \cdot) -orbit of λ . In the latter case, though, we see that by Proposition 4.6 that $\text{Av}_!^\psi(L_\nu)$ does not vanish. Therefore this latter case does not occur, so M can be realized as a filtered colimit of simple objects labeled by non-antidominant weights, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.10. The induced functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -) : \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N,+} \rightarrow \text{Vect}^+$ is monadic, and induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N,+} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\vee}} \bigoplus_w C_{w\lambda\text{-mod}}^+$$

where w varies over the $w \in W$ such that $w\lambda$ is antidominant.

Proof. We check the conditions of Barr-Beck. We have that all indecomposable projective covers of simples are in the abelian category \mathcal{O} itself, and therefore the direct sum of all indecomposable projective covers is compact in $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$ by Appendix A, and in particular Lemma A.8. Therefore this functor commutes with geometric realizations, and thus it remains to verify its conservativity. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N,+}$ is nonzero. By the exactness of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$ (i.e. because this functor commutes with shifts), it suffices to assume that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N,\geq 0}$ and that $H^0(\mathcal{F}) \cong \tau^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. Note that this uses the fact that \mathcal{F} is bounded by below—in any category \mathcal{D} with a t -structure and some $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}^+$, we may choose a maximal m such that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq m}$, so that in particular $\tau^{\geq m}\mathcal{G} \simeq H^0(\mathcal{G})$ does not vanish.

Therefore, we similarly see that $H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero, since $J^!$ is t -exact and so $J^!(H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))) \cong H^0(J^!J_*(\mathcal{F})) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F})$, where the last step follows by the fully faithfulness of J_* . Then, since we have a right adjoint J_* to a quotient functor $J^!$ we have seen is t -exact in Proposition 2.57, we have that $J_*(\mathcal{F})$ lies in the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,\geq 0}$ and $H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero.

By the t -exactness of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$, we have that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))) \simeq H^0 \underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, J_*(\mathcal{F}))$, and so in particular it suffices to show that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F})))$ is nonzero. However, $H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))$ is a nonzero object in the ind-completion of \mathcal{O}_λ . In particular, by Lemma 2.54, it can be written as an increasing union of objects of \mathcal{O}_λ . It cannot be the case that all objects in this increasing union have composition factors L_μ for μ not antidominant, for this would imply that $J^!(H^0(\mathcal{F}))$ vanishes, which we have seen above cannot happen. Therefore, there exists some object $M \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ which is a subobject of $H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))$ and such that there exists some antidominant μ for which L_μ is a subquotient of M . By the t -exactness of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$, we see that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, M)$ contains $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, L_\mu)$ as a subquotient, and therefore $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, M)$ cannot vanish. Therefore, again using t -exactness of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$, we see that $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, H^0(J_*(\mathcal{F}))) \simeq H^0 \underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, J_*(\mathcal{F}))$ cannot vanish either, thus verifying the conservativity condition of Barr-Beck. Since the conditions of Barr-Beck apply and that the left adjoint to $\underline{\text{Hom}}(P_\lambda, -)$ is $P_\lambda \otimes_k -$, we see that the Endomorphismensatz of Theorem 4.3 gives our desired equivalence. \square

4.3.3. Nondegenerate Category \mathcal{O}_λ - The General Case. We now use the above to give a coherent description of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$:

Proposition 4.11. There is an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N \simeq \text{IndCoh}(W \times^{\text{W}[\lambda]} \text{Spec}(C_\lambda))$.

We prove this after proving the following lemma:

Lemma 4.12. Let C be a local ring with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $\mathfrak{m}^N = 0$ for $N \gg 0$. Then any classical C -module (i.e. an element of $C\text{-mod}^\heartsuit$) contains a submodule isomorphic to C/\mathfrak{m} .

Proof. Let M be any nonzero C -module. Then since M is not zero, there exists a nonzero map $C \rightarrow M$. Let I denote its kernel, so that we have an induced injection $C/I \hookrightarrow M$. Let $\mathfrak{m} := C^+$; we wish to exhibit a nonzero map $C/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow C/I$. There exists a unique nonnegative n such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \subseteq I \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}^n$, since $\mathfrak{m}^N = 0$ for $N \gg 0$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}^n \setminus I$, and consider the map of C -modules $C \rightarrow C/I$ sending 1 to x . Then the kernel of this map is precisely \mathfrak{m} since the kernel contains \mathfrak{m} and does not contain 1 . Therefore, we obtain an injective map $C/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow C/I$. Composing with our injection $C/I \hookrightarrow M$, we obtain our claim. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.11. By construction, we have a quotient functor $J^! : \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ admitting a continuous right adjoint. In particular, $J^!$ preserves the set of compact generators $\oplus_w L_{w \cdot \lambda}$ where w varies over W . However, we see that for any w such that $w \cdot \lambda$ is not antidominant, $\text{Av}_!^\psi(L_{w \cdot \lambda})$ vanishes by Proposition 4.6 and the fact that all indecomposable injective hulls of simples of \mathcal{O}_λ are distinct. Therefore, we see that $\underline{L}_a^\lambda := \oplus_w J^!(L_{w \cdot \lambda})$ is a compact generator, where w varies only over those $w \in W$ such that $w \cdot \lambda$ is also antidominant. Furthermore, since each block of the abelian category \mathcal{O}_λ contains precisely one simple labeled by an antidominant weight, we see that the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ is equivalent to modules over the ring

$$A_\lambda := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N}(\underline{L}_a^\lambda) \simeq \oplus_w \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N}(J^!(L_{w \cdot \lambda}))$$

where we note that there are precisely $[W : W_\lambda]$ many (nonzero) objects in the right direct sum. Furthermore, we may compute the right hand side explicitly as follows. Let L_a be any simple indexed by an antidominant weight. Then, by Lemma 4.10, we see that these endomorphisms are equivalently given by the C_λ -module structure on $\tilde{V}(L_a) \simeq k$. However, since C_λ is an Artinian ring with a unique maximal ideal, we see that, by Lemma 4.12, there is precisely one module structure which we may place on $\tilde{V}(L_a)$ —namely, the trivial one. Therefore we see that the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ is a direct sum of $[W : W_\lambda]$ many copies of $E_\lambda\text{-mod}$ for $E_\lambda := \underline{\text{End}}_{C_\lambda\text{-mod}}(k)$.

An identical description holds for $\text{IndCoh}(W \times^{\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)} \text{Spec}(C_\lambda))$. Specifically, we note that this category is equivalent to a direct sum of $[W : W_\lambda]$ many copies of $\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda))$ and that moreover if $i : \text{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(C_\lambda)$ denotes the closed embedding of the unique closed point, then $i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(k)$ is a compact generator of $\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda))$, which, for example, directly follows from [GR17a, Chapter 4, Proposition 6.2.2]. Furthermore, since $i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(k) \in \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda))^\heartsuit$ and the quotient functor

$$\Psi_{\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)} : \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)) \simeq C_\lambda\text{-mod}$$

is t -exact and induces an equivalence on the heart [GR17a, Chapter 4, Proposition 1.2.2] and is compatible with pushforwards [GR17a, Chapter 4, Proposition 2.1.2], we see that $\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda))}(i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(k)) \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{C_\lambda\text{-mod}}(k) =: E_\lambda$. Thus $\text{IndCoh}(W \times^{\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)} \text{Spec}(C_\lambda))$ is a direct sum of $[W : W_\lambda]$ many copies of $E_\lambda\text{-mod}$, as desired. \square

4.4. Classification of Degenerate Subcategory of Universal Flag Variety. In this section, we provide an alternate description of $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$, see Theorem 4.13. Using the notation of Section 3.3.2, we are able to set the following notation. Let $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{mon}}$ denote those objects which are \tilde{Q}_α -monodromic for some simple root α , and let $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\overline{\text{mon}}}$ denote the full right $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ -subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ generated by $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{mon}}$. (This notation will not be used outside this section.) The main result of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 4.13. There is an equivalence of right $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{N} \setminus \tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\overline{\text{mon}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{deg}}$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{mon}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})_{\text{deg}}$ given by Proposition 3.15.

We prove this theorem below after proving some preliminary statements.

Lemma 4.14. Fix a simple root α , and let $j : \tilde{G}/\tilde{N} \hookrightarrow V_s$ be the open embedding as in Section 3.3.3. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})$ is right $(\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha, \chi)$ -monodromic for some non-integral $\chi \in (\text{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha)/\mathbb{Z})(k)$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $j_!(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$ (and, in particular, $j_!(\mathcal{F})$ is defined).

Proof. We equip V_s with a \mathbb{G}_m -action by scalar multiplication. This makes the embedding $\tilde{G}/\tilde{N} \xrightarrow{j} V_s$ \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant, where \mathbb{G}_m acts by \mathbb{G}_m^α on \tilde{G}/\tilde{N} . In particular, the object $j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F})$ is $(\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha, \chi)$ -monodromic. Similarly, let $z : \tilde{G}/Q_s \hookrightarrow V_s$ denote the embedding of the complementary closed subscheme, which is the zero section of V_s . Then we see that any object of the form $z_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G})$ has the property that the essential image is \mathbb{G}_m^α -monodromic. In particular, because χ is not integral, we see $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}), z_{*,dR}(\mathcal{G})) \simeq 0$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{D}(V_s)$ we see that the composite

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(V_s)}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}), j_{*,dR}j^!(\mathcal{F}')) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})}(\mathcal{F}, j^!(\mathcal{F}'))$$

is an isomorphism, where the second equivalence follows from the fully faithfulness of $j_{*,dR}$ and the first arrow can be seen to be an isomorphism by applying $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(j_{*,dR}(\mathcal{F}), -)$ to the cofiber sequence $i_{*,dR}i^!(\mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow j_{*,dR}j^!(\mathcal{F}')$. \square

Lemma 4.15. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$ be such that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \mu \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu) \cong L_{s_\alpha\mu}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})^{\tilde{B}_\lambda}$, we may assume that G is semisimple and simply connected. Recall the block decomposition of [LY20], $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in \mathrm{orbit}_W(\lambda)} \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G)^{B_{\lambda'}\text{-mon}}$. We have that L_μ is $(T, \mathcal{L}_{[\mu]})$ -monodromic, so, in particular, with respect to the \mathbb{G}_m^α action, we have that L_μ is $(\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{[\bar{\mu}]})$ -monodromic, where $\bar{\mu}$ is the image of μ under the projection map $\mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha)^*$. Note that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \bar{\mu} \rangle = \langle \alpha^\vee, \mu \rangle$ is not an integer. In particular, we see that $F_{s_\alpha, *}(L_\mu) \in \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)^\heartsuit$ since the canonical map $F_{s_\alpha, !}(L_\mu) \rightarrow F_{s_\alpha, *}(L_\mu)$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.14 and the functor $F_{s_\alpha, !} \simeq j^! \mathbf{F}j_!$ is left t -exact and the latter functor $F_{s_\alpha, *}$ is right t -exact.

Now, any object of $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)^\heartsuit$ is a union of its compact subobjects by Lemma 2.54. Let L_ν be a simple subobject of $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu)$. Then, since F_{s_α} preserves those sheaves for which the \mathbb{G}_m^α -averagings vanish (because the pushforward of the sheaf $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}$ to the torus is canonically $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -equivariant), we see that ν has the property that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \nu \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we may apply an identical argument to see that $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\nu) \simeq H^0 F_{s_\alpha}(L_\nu)$ is a subobject of $F_{s_\alpha} F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu)$. However, the canonical map $F_{s_\alpha} F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu) \rightarrow L_\mu$ is an isomorphism because the kernel is $\mathrm{SL}_{2, \alpha}$ -monodromic (in other words, the fiber of the map $F_{s_\alpha}^2(\delta_1) \rightarrow \delta_1$ is the constant sheaf up to shift, and therefore the fiber of this map is given by $\mathrm{SL}_{2, \alpha}$ -averaging up to shift, which vanishes on our L_μ). Therefore, we see that $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\nu)$ is a subobject of L_μ , and therefore $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\nu) \simeq L_\mu$ since $F_{s_\alpha}^2(L_\nu) \simeq L_\nu$ is nonzero.

We now show $\nu = s_\alpha \cdot \mu$. Write $\mu = w \cdot \lambda$ for some $w \in W$, which is unique because, by assumption, λ is regular with respect to the (W, \cdot) -action. We first assume that w is such that $s_\alpha w > w$ in the Bruhat ordering. Consider the locally closed embedding given by the union of Schubert cells of elements in the subset $\{w\} \cup \{s_\alpha w\}$. We note this subset contains an element of maximal length, namely $s_\alpha w$. Furthermore, by (1) of Proposition 3.39 we have that the restriction to the Schubert cell labeled by w vanishes. Therefore, the restriction to the Schubert cell labeled by $s_\alpha w$ does not vanish, since the symplectic Fourier transform is a transformation over G/Q_{s_α} . Thus, $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu)$ is a simple object in the heart whose restriction to the cell labeled by $s_\alpha w$ is nonzero and whose restriction to any other cell for u with $\ell(u) \geq \ell(w)$ vanishes. Therefore, we see that this simple object is the simple $L_{s_\alpha w \cdot \lambda} = L_{s_\alpha \mu}$.

If instead we had $s_\alpha w < w$ in the Bruhat ordering, then we may repeat the above argument swapping the roles of ν and μ to see that $F_{s_\alpha}(L_{s_\alpha \mu}) = L_\mu$, so the claim follows by applying F_{s_α} to this equality since $F_{s_\alpha}^2(L_{s_\alpha \mu}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{s_\alpha \mu}$. \square

Corollary 4.16. Fix some non-antidominant weight μ . Then there exists some expression s_1, \dots, s_r such that, letting $F_{s_i} : \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda}$ denote the induced functor on B_λ -invariant categories, we have $F_{s_1} \dots F_{s_r}(L_\mu) \cong L_\nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$ such that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \nu \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for some simple root α . In particular, $L_\nu \in \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G)^{Q_{\alpha\text{-mon}}}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}(G/N)^{B_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{N})^{\tilde{B}_\lambda}$, we may assume that G is semisimple and simply connected. For any given $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$, let $\Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$ denote the set of positive coroots γ such that $\langle \gamma, \mu \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Fix an antidominant weight μ . By definition of antidominance, μ has the property that $\Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$ is nonempty. We induct on the minimal height of an element in $\Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$. For the base case, we note that if there is an element of $\Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$ of height one, then it contains a simple coroot α^\vee and so setting $\nu = \alpha^\vee$ gives the claim.

Now assume $\gamma \in \Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$ is some minimal height coroot of height larger than one. In particular, γ is not simple and so there exists some simple coroot α^\vee such that $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$, so that the height of s_{α^\vee} is smaller

than the height of γ . Note that this in particular implies that $\gamma - \alpha^\vee$ is a positive coroot since otherwise we would have that $\alpha^\vee = \gamma + (\alpha^\vee - \gamma)$, contradicting the simplicity of α^\vee .

We claim for such α^\vee that $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ is not an integer. indeed, if $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ were a negative integer then $\langle \mu, \gamma - \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \mu, \gamma \rangle - \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ would be a positive integer, and so $\gamma - \alpha^\vee \in \Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$, and if $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ were a nonnegative integer then $\alpha^\vee \in \Xi_\mu^{\geq 0}$, both of which violate minimality of γ .

Therefore, by Lemma 4.15, we see that $F_{s_\alpha}(L_\mu) \simeq L_{s_\alpha \mu}$. By induction, since we have the inclusion $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\gamma) \in \Xi_{s_\alpha \mu}^{\geq 0}$ and $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\gamma)$ has smaller height than γ , we may write $F_{s_1} \dots F_{s_{r-1}}(L_{s_\alpha \mu}) \cong L_\nu$. Setting $s_r = s_{\alpha^\vee}$, we obtain our desired isomorphism.

The final sentence follows from [Hum08, Theorem 9.4]. Specifically, this theorem states that our simple $L_\nu \in (\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod})_{\chi_\lambda}^{N, \heartsuit}$ is in particular locally finite for the action of $\text{Lie}(Q_\alpha)$, where we recall that Q_α is the unipotent radical of the associated parabolic P_α . Any $\text{Lie}(Q_\alpha)$ -module in the heart of the associated category which is $\text{Lie}(Q_\alpha)$ -locally finite can, essentially by definition, be written as a filtered colimit of finite dimensional $\text{Lie}(Q_\alpha)$ -representations. The assumption that G is simply connected gives that Q_α is simply connected (we have seen that in this case Q_α may be non-canonically written as a semidirect product of simply connected groups $U_{w_0 s} \rtimes \text{SL}_2$) so finite dimensional $\text{Lie}(Q_\alpha)$ -representations are precisely those which lift to representations of Q_α . Therefore we see that $L_\nu \in (\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod})_{\chi_\lambda}^{Q_\alpha\text{-mon}, \heartsuit} \simeq \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G)^{Q_\alpha\text{-mon}}$ which establishes our claim. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.13. We show the functor is essentially surjective. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}^{N, +}$. Since the essential image is closed under colimits, we may assume that \mathcal{F} is eventually coconnective, and, since the inclusion functor is a map of left $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T))$ -categories, we may check that the essential image of the associated B_λ -invariants agree for all field-valued λ by Proposition 2.17. Using Proposition 2.49, we may assume that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$. Furthermore, any eventually coconnective object can be written as a colimit of its cohomology groups since the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)$ is right-complete by Lemma 2.30.

Note further that by Proposition 4.6 the functor Av_1^ψ has the same kernel as the contravariant functor $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_I$. An object $M \in \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)^\heartsuit$ is in the kernel of $\tilde{\mathbb{V}}_I$ if and only if it does not admit a subquotient of some antidominant simple. Therefore by Lemma 4.9, it suffices to prove that each L_μ for which μ lies in the (W, \cdot) -orbit of λ and μ is not antidominant, L_μ lies in the right $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)$ -orbit of some object of $\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G)^{Q_\alpha\text{-mon}}$ for some simple root α . However, this is precisely the content of Corollary 4.16. \square

In particular, Theorem 4.13 gives that if G is simply connected that a nondegenerate G -category in the sense of Definition 3.12, it is equivalently nondegenerate in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Remark 4.17. If $G = \text{PGL}_2$, it is not the case that $\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{deg}}$ is not generated by right G -monodromic objects. For example, an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6 is the fact that the two dimensional representation k^2 of \mathfrak{pgl}_2 , is an eventually coconnective object in the kernel of Av_1^ψ ; however, it is not G -monodromic since, for example, if we let μ denote the central character of the two dimensional representation, then we have that $k^2 \in \mathcal{D}(B_\mu \backslash G/N)^\heartsuit$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)^{G\text{-mon}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}_{\chi_\mu}^G \simeq \text{Rep}(\text{PGL}_2)_{\chi_\mu}$$

is zero.

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.4 AND THEOREM 1.9

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.9.

5.1. Reminder on Descent to the Coarse Quotient. In this section, we summarize the contents of [Gan22, Section 4]. Specifically, recall the existence of the *coarse quotient* $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$. This space admits a quotient map $\tilde{s} : \mathfrak{t}^* / \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ for which the pullback $s^!$ is fully faithful, and if an object of $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ lies in the essential image of this functor, we say it *descends to the coarse quotient*. There are some equivalent conditions on a given sheaf descending to the coarse quotient. The ones that will be used here are the following:

Proposition 5.1. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ descends to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ if and only if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) For every field-valued point $x \in \mathfrak{t}^*(K)$, the canonical W_x^{aff} -representation on $\bar{x}^!(\text{oblv}_{W_x^{\text{aff}}}(\mathcal{F}))$ is trivial.
- (2) The object $\text{oblv}_{\langle s \rangle}^{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/\langle s \rangle)$ descends to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \langle s \rangle$ for every simple reflection $s \in W$.
- (3) For every simple coroot γ with associated simple reflection s of the (finite) Weyl group W and associated closed subgroup scheme $\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma \hookrightarrow T$, the functor

$$\mathcal{D}(T)^W \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} \mathcal{D}(T)^{\langle s \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}} \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma)^{\langle s \rangle} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s \rangle)$$

maps $M(\mathcal{F})$ into the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \simeq \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \otimes \text{Vect}_{\text{triv}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s \rangle)$, where \mathbb{G}_m^γ is the rank 1 subgroup scheme of T associated to γ , and $\text{Vect}_{\text{triv}}$ is the full subcategory generated by the trivial representation of the order two group $\langle s \rangle$.

Proof. The equivalence of an \mathcal{F} descending to the coarse quotient, (1), and (2) are given in [Gan22]. We now show that the full subcategories given by (2) and (3) of Proposition 5.1 are equivalent. To this end, fix a simple reflection s , and note that if F denotes the composite functor

$$\mathcal{D}(T)^{\langle s \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}} \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma)^{\langle s \rangle} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s \rangle)$$

then for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^W$, $F(\mathcal{F})$ lies in the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \simeq \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma) \otimes \text{Vect}_{\text{triv}}$ if and only if the object $\text{Av}_*^{T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma, w} F(\mathcal{F})$ lies in the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma)^{T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma, w} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma)^{T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma, w} \otimes \text{Vect}_{\text{triv}}$, by the conservativity of weak averaging, see [Gai15]. Furthermore, if we assume s has associated coroot γ such that s reflects across the hyperplane $\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma=0}^* := \{\gamma = 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, the Mellin transform allows us to identify the functor $\text{Av}_*^{T/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma, w} F$ with the composite:

$$\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T))^{\langle s \rangle} \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}}^*/X')^{\langle s \rangle} \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}}^*/X') \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s \rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}^{X'} \otimes \text{id}} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}}^*) \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s \rangle)$$

where X' is the lattice of weights generated by the fundamental weights distinct from the fundamental weight associated to γ . Therefore, any sheaf satisfying (2) immediately satisfies (3), and any sheaf satisfying (3) also satisfies (2). \square

As in [Gan22], we can define a t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})$ by declaring $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})^{\leq 0}$ to be the full ordinary ∞ -subcategory closed under colimits and containing $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$. We also can similarly define a t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})$ (respectively, $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T))$) by declaring $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})^{\leq 0}$ to be the full ordinary ∞ -subcategory closed under colimits and containing the respective IndCoh pushforward given by the quotient map of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{t}^*}$. Let $\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ denote the balanced product $\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \times^{W^{\text{aff}}} \Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$, where $\Gamma_{W^{\text{aff}}}$ denotes the union of graphs of the affine Weyl group. We recall some results of [Gan22] which will be used below.

Theorem 5.2. The following diagram is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathfrak{t}^* \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow \bar{s} \\ \mathfrak{t}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{s}} & \mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \end{array}$$

where s , respectively t , denote the source and target maps, and \bar{s} denotes the quotient map. The base change theorem holds for this Cartesian diagram, and the functors $s_*^{\text{IndCoh}}, s^!, t_*^{\text{IndCoh}}, t^!, \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}, \bar{s}^!$ are all t -exact.

Proposition 5.3. Analogous results to Theorem 5.2 also hold if we replace $\mathfrak{t}^* // W^{\text{aff}}$ with $\mathfrak{t}^*/W^{\text{aff}}$ or $\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$. For example,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}} & \xrightarrow{\text{act}} & \mathfrak{t}^* \\ \downarrow \text{proj} & & \downarrow q \\ \mathfrak{t}^* & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathfrak{t}^*/\widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and base change holds. Moreover, the pullback and pushforward functors given by this diagram are t -exact.

5.2. Monoidality of Averaging Functor. In this section, we prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.4. The composite functor $\mathcal{H}_\psi \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N} \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)^W \simeq \mathcal{D}(T)^W$ is monoidal.

Proof. The functoriality of Av_*^N gives that the following diagram canonically commutes:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{End}}_G(\mathcal{D}(G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)) & \xrightarrow{(-)^N} & \underline{\text{End}}_{T \rtimes W}(\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)) \\ \downarrow \text{ev}_{\delta_{N^-, \psi}} & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})} \\ \mathcal{H}_\psi & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}} & \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)^W \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the evaluation maps and the top vertical arrow is the functor induced by Proposition 3.23. Similarly, note if I denotes the isomorphism of Proposition 1.8 (which we recall is W -equivariant by Proposition 3.23) then we have a canonical identification of the following diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{End}}_{T \rtimes W}(\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)) & \xrightarrow{I \circ \circ I^{-1}} & \underline{\text{End}}_{T \rtimes W}(\mathcal{D}(T)) \\ \downarrow \text{ev}_{\text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})} & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\delta_1} \\ \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G / {}_{-\psi}N^-)^W & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathcal{D}(T)^W \end{array}$$

since $I(\text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})) \simeq \delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. Now, note that the functors given by the top horizontal arrows of (7) and (8) are monoidal, the left vertical arrow of (7) is a monoidal equivalence, and the right vertical arrow of (8) is a monoidal equivalence. Thus, since these diagrams commute, we see that $I\text{Av}_*^N$ is a composite of monoidal functors. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.9. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.9. Let \mathcal{C} be a G -category. Then, using the fact that invariants and coinvariants agree (see Theorem 2.10) and that tensor products commute with colimits, we may identify Av_*^N with the functor

$$\mathcal{C}^{N^-, \psi} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{N^-, \psi} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \otimes_{G\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N \otimes_{G\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}}} \mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W} \otimes_{G\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G)_{N, W, \text{nondeg}} \otimes_{G\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{N, W, \text{nondeg}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}$$

and similarly for the adjoint $\text{Av}_!^\psi$. We therefore obtain that the fully faithfulness Av_*^N follows by proving the general universal case:

Theorem 5.5. The functor of G -categories $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)^N$ lifts to a fully faithful functor of G -categories $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}$. Moreover, the functor $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ lifts to a fully faithful t -exact functor of G -categories $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_* : \mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}$.

Proof. Note that all functors of DG categories (or any stable ∞ -categories) are by definition exact, so they commute with cohomological shifts. Therefore to construct the lift of $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ it suffices to construct the lift of Av_*^N , where the t -exactness of $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ follows since the forgetful functor oblv^W reflects the t -structure.

To construct the lift of Av_*^N , note that the G -functor Av_*^N is given by an integral kernel in $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N^-, -\psi}$, and, under the equivalences $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N^-, -\psi} \simeq \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G)^{N^-, -\psi} \simeq \mathcal{D}(T)$ given by Proposition 3.14 and Proposition 1.8 respectively, this kernel is given by $\delta_1[-\dim(N)] \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ by Proposition 5.4. Furthermore, these equivalences are canonically W -equivariant by Proposition 3.23, and therefore the integral kernel can be canonically equipped with W -equivariant structure since $* \hookrightarrow T$ is W -equivariant.

We wish to show this lift is fully faithful. By Proposition 2.17, it suffices to show that the resulting functor on invariants $\text{Vect} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N^-, \psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}$ is fully faithful. By Lemma 2.50, it suffices to assume that λ is a k -point. By continuity of Av_*^N , we may show the counit of the adjunction is an isomorphism on the one dimensional vector space $k \in \text{Vect}$. By Proposition 4.6(1), this object is a cohomological shift of the direct sum of the indecomposable antidominant injectives \underline{I} , or, equivalently by self duality, the direct sum of the antidominant projectives \underline{P} . Using the results of Theorem 4.3, we have $W \times^{W[\lambda]} C_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/B_\lambda)_{\text{nondeg}}^N}(\underline{P})$. Therefore, since $T \rtimes W$ acts on $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)_{\text{nondeg}}^N$ we have that by Proposition 4.5 that this equivalence of classical algebras is W -equivariant. Therefore we see

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/B_\lambda)_{\text{nondeg}}^{N, W}}(\underline{P}_\lambda) \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/B_\lambda)_{\text{nondeg}}^N}(\underline{P}_\lambda)^W \simeq (W \times^{W[\lambda]} C_\lambda)^W \simeq k$$

where the second to last equivalence follows since $\underline{P}_\lambda \simeq \text{Av}_*^N(k)$ lies in the nondegenerate subcategory, and the last equivalence follows since C_λ^W can be identified with the regular W -representation by the endomorphism theorem, see Theorem 4.3. Therefore, we see our functor is fully faithful. \square

5.4. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.9. In this subsection, we verify the essential image of our shifted and lifted functor $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ and complete the proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.3. We first make the following computation on the essential image:

Proposition 5.6. Fix some simple root α . The composite given by

$$\mathcal{D}(G)^{N^-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^N} \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\langle s_\alpha \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}} \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha \rtimes \langle s_\alpha \rangle} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s_\alpha \rangle)$$

where the final equivalence is given by Corollary 3.45, factors through the subcategory labelled by the trivial representation.

Proof. Let A denote the composite functor. By Remark 2.12, it suffices to show that

$$A(\delta_{N^-, \psi}) \in \mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(N^-, \psi), \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \otimes \text{Rep}(\langle s_\alpha \rangle)$$

lies in the full (G) -subcategory labelled by the trivial representation. However, by direct computation or Proposition 5.4 below, we have that the sheaf $\text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})$ can be identified with $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ by Proposition 1.8. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.23 we see that the given W -equivariance on $\text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})$ can be identified with the W -equivariance on δ_1 given by the W -equivariant closed embedding $* \hookrightarrow T$. However, for the equivariant sheaf $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(T)^W$, we see that $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}(\delta_1)$ acquires a trivial $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -representation. Therefore, the $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ action is trivial and so the same holds for $A(\delta_{N^-, \psi}) \simeq \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \text{Av}_*^N(\delta_{N^-, \psi})$. \square

Corollary 5.7. The functor $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{H}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^W$ factors through the full subcategory of objects of $\mathcal{D}(T)^W$ which descend to the coarse quotient under the Mellin transform.

Proof. By the final point of Proposition 5.1, it suffices to show that if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_\psi$ then the canonical $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -representation on $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \text{Av}_*^N(\mathcal{F})$ is trivial. However, this directly follows from taking (N^-, ψ) -invariants of the composite functor of Proposition 5.6. \square

Lemma 5.8. Fix some field-valued point λ . We have a canonical isomorphism of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T,w} & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} \text{oblv}^{N,(T,w)}} & \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G / -_\psi N^-) \simeq \text{Vect} \\
\uparrow \text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}} & & \uparrow \text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, -\psi}} \\
\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N)^{T,w} & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} \text{oblv}^{N,(T,w)}} & \mathcal{H}_\psi
\end{array}$$

Proof. In the above diagram, the horizontal arrows are averaging with respect to the right action, and the vertical arrows are averaging with respect to the left action. Therefore since all four functors are maps of G -categories, the diagram canonically commutes. \square

In the diagram of Lemma 5.8, we claim that the associated right adjoints to the horizontal arrows are functors of \tilde{W}^{aff} -categories, where we take the \tilde{W}^{aff} -action to be trivial on the categories of the right side of the diagram. To see this, note that via the Mellin transform, we may identify the right adjoint to the bottom functor as the composite of a W -equivariant functor and, via the Mellin transform, the forgetful functor $\text{oblv}^{X^\bullet(T)}$. In particular, the fact that \tilde{W}^{aff} is placid allows us to apply Theorem 2.22 to show that the adjoint is also \tilde{W}^{aff} -equivariant and induces a functor on coinvariants. By Proposition 2.11, we therefore see:

Lemma 5.9. Fix some field-valued $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Then the following diagram canonically commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^W & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi}} & \mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G / -_\psi N^-) \simeq \text{Vect} \\
\uparrow \text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}} & & \uparrow \text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}} \\
\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N)^W & \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_!^{-\psi}} & \mathcal{H}_\psi
\end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We have seen in Theorem 5.5 that the functor $\text{Av}_*^N : \mathcal{H}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N)^{N^-, \psi, W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)^W$ is fully faithful, and it is t -exact up to cohomological shift in Theorem 2.46. Furthermore, we have shown that this functor factors through the full subcategory of sheaves descending to the coarse quotient in Corollary 5.7. Therefore it remains to show that the adjoint $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ is conservative on this the subcategory of sheaves descending to the coarse quotient. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^W$ be a sheaf which descends to the coarse quotient. By considering the fully faithful embedding of the zero category into the full G -subcategory $\mathcal{D}(G/N)$ generated by $\text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}(\mathcal{F})$, by Corollary 2.20 we see that there exists some field-valued point λ such that $\text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish. By applying the categorical extension of scalars of Section 2.4.1, it suffices to assume λ is a k -point.

Now note that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G)^{N^-, -\psi} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{IndCoh}(\ast) \\
\uparrow \text{Av}_!^{-\psi}[-\dim(N)] & & \uparrow (\alpha_\ast^{\text{IndCoh}(-)})^W \\
\mathcal{D}(B_\lambda \backslash G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} & \text{IndCoh}(\text{Spec}(C_\lambda)) \overset{W_\lambda^{\text{aff}}}{\times} W^W
\end{array}$$

since again we may identify the images the left adjoints via the image of $k \in \text{Vect}$. Thus since by assumption $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^W$ descends to the coarse quotient, by Proposition 5.1(1) that the sheaf $\text{Av}_!^{-\psi} \text{Av}_*^{B_\lambda \text{oblv}^{N^-, \psi}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish. Thus by Lemma 5.9, we see that $\text{Av}_!^\psi(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish. \square

Finally, note that to derive the exact equivalence of abelian categories in Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.4, as in Remark 1.5 it suffices to show that each functor in Theorem 1.4 is t -exact. We have seen in Theorem 2.46

that $\text{Av}_*^N[\dim(N)]$ is t -exact, and $\widetilde{\text{Av}}_*$ is t -exact since oblv^W reflects the t -structure. Furthermore, the pullback map $\phi^!$ is t -exact, see [Gan22, Proposition XXX]. Therefore it remains to show the following, completing the proof of an exact equivalence of abelian categories as in Theorem 1.3:

Proposition 5.10. The shifted Mellin transform $\text{FMuk}[d]$ is t -exact, where $d := \dim(\mathfrak{t}^*)$.

Proof. It is standard that the functors

$$\text{IndCoh}(T_{dR}) \xrightarrow{\phi^!} \text{IndCoh}(T) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{\text{IndCoh}}} \text{Vect}$$

correspond, under the associated Fourier-Mukai transformations, to the functors

$$\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^{\text{IndCoh}}[-d]/X^\bullet(T)} \text{IndCoh}(* / X^\bullet(T)) \xrightarrow{c^!} \text{Vect}$$

where $c : * \rightarrow * / X^\bullet(T)$ is the quotient map, see the proof of [Lau96, Théorème 6.3.3(ii)], whose proof also applies to IndCoh in the DG categorical context.

Let F denote the composite $\Gamma^{\text{IndCoh}}\phi^!$ and let G denote the composite $\Gamma^{\text{IndCoh}}[-d]/X^\bullet(T) \circ c^!$. Then by this observation we see that there is a canonical identification exhibiting that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)) & \xrightarrow{G} & \text{Vect} \\ \downarrow \text{FMuk} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \mathcal{D}(T) := \text{IndCoh}(T_{dR}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Vect} \end{array}$$

since the Fourier-Mukai transform for the trivial group is the identity. Because the functors F , $G[-d]$, and id are t -exact, we see that $\text{FMuk}[d]$ is t -exact as well. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.14

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.14. We first identify the two categories as DG categories in Section 6.1. Then, in Section 6.2, after some preliminary categorical recollections we prove this equivalence can be equipped with a monoidal structure by relating both categories of Theorem 1.14 to the category $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$.

6.1. Identification of Theorem 1.14 as DG Categories. Let $s_*^{\text{IndCoh}} : \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ be the pushforward associated to the projection map $s : \mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, and, as before, we denote the canonical functor $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)} \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ given by the composite $\text{Av}_!^\psi \text{oblv}^N$, Proposition 1.8, and the Mellin transform also by $\text{Av}_!^\psi$. We first state the eventually coconnective version of Theorem 1.14.

Theorem 6.1. We have the following:

- (1) The functor $s_*^{\text{IndCoh}} : \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)^+ \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^+$ is comonadic.
- (2) The functor $\text{Av}_!^\psi : \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w), +} \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^+$ is comonadic.
- (3) The coalgebras given by s_*^{IndCoh} and $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ are canonically isomorphic.

We will prove Theorem 6.1 in Section 6.1.2 and Section 6.1.4, and show how it implies the non-monoidal version of Theorem 1.14 in Section 6.1.5. To prove Theorem 6.1, we will use Corollary 2.70, which requires us to argue that the t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \widetilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)^+$ is right-complete. We will argue more generally that the t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ is right-complete for any ind-scheme \mathcal{X} in Section 6.1.1.

6.1.1. Right-Completeness of t -Structure of Ind-Coherent Sheaves on Ind-Scheme. Let \mathcal{X} denote any ind-scheme. Recall the standard t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ characterized by the property that $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\geq 0}$ contains precisely those $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ for which $i^!(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{IndCoh}(X)^{\geq 0}$ for any closed subscheme $X \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{X}$, and which is compatible with filtered colimits [GR17a, Chapter 4, Section 1.2].

Proposition 6.2. The t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ is right-complete.

Proof. Given some $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$, let $\phi^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{colim}_n \tau^{\leq n} \mathcal{F}$ denote the canonical map, and let \mathcal{K} denote the fiber of $\phi^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since the t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ is compatible with filtered colimits, we see that $\tau^{\leq n_0}(\phi^{\mathcal{F}})$ is an equivalence for all $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that, in particular, $\mathcal{K} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\geq 0}$.

We first prove this in the case where \mathcal{X} is itself a scheme X . In this case, we have a t -exact equivalence $\Psi_X : \text{IndCoh}(X)^{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{QCoh}(X)^{\geq 0}$. Because Ψ_X is exact, we see that $\Psi_X(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \text{fib}(\Psi_X(\phi^{\mathcal{F}}))$. Because Ψ is continuous and t -exact, we obtain a canonical identification $\Psi_X(\phi^{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \phi^{\Psi_X(\mathcal{F})}$. However, $\phi^{\Psi_X(\mathcal{F})}$ is an equivalence since the t -structure on $\text{QCoh}(X)$ is right-complete [GR17a, Chapter 3, Corollary 1.5.7]. Thus $\Psi_X(\mathcal{K}) \simeq 0$, and since Ψ_X is in particular conservative on $\text{IndCoh}(X)^{\geq 0}$, we see that $\mathcal{K} \simeq 0$ in this case.

We now assume the result of Proposition 6.2 for schemes. For any closed subscheme $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, we therefore obtain equivalences

$$i^!(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\phi^{i^!(\mathcal{F})}} \text{colim}_n \tau^{\leq n} i^!(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \text{colim}_n \tau^{\leq n} i^!(\tau^{\leq n} \mathcal{K}) \simeq \text{colim}_n \tau^{\leq n} i^!(0)$$

where the first map is an equivalence t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(X)$ is right-complete, the second step uses the fact that $i^!$ is right t -exact, and the third equivalence is a direct consequence of the above fact that $\tau^{\leq n}(\phi^{\mathcal{F}})$ is an equivalence for all n . \square

6.1.2. Conservativity of IndCoh Side for Union of Graphs. We have seen in Corollary 2.45 that $L_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{Av}_!^{\psi}$ is t -exact, and $L_I = \pi_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$ is t -exact because it is ind-affine [GR17b, Chapter 3, Lemma 1.4.9]. Therefore, by Corollary 2.70, to prove points (1) and (2) of Theorem 6.1 it suffices to verify that both functors are conservative, since the t -structure on both categories are right-complete by Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 3.18. The functor $\text{Av}_!^{\psi}$ is conservative on the eventually coconnective subcategory by construction, so we prove the analogous conservativity for π_*^{IndCoh} in this subsection.

We first prove a preliminary result regarding a locally almost finite type ind-scheme⁷ \mathcal{X} with colimit presentation ${}^{\text{cl}}\mathcal{X} = \text{colim}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ and associated closed embeddings $i_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$. This allows us to place a t -structure on the category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})$, see Chapter 3 of [GR17b].

Corollary 6.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\heartsuit}$. Then there exists some closed subscheme $X := X_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{X}$ such that $H^0(i^!(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero.

Proof. Pick a nonzero $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\heartsuit}$. By [GR17b, Chapter 3, Corollary 1.2.7], there exists some closed subscheme $X_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{X}$ and some $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X)^{\heartsuit}$ such that the map $i_{S,*}^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is nonzero, so that the space $\text{Hom}_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})}(i_{S,*}^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{F})$ is a discrete space (as both objects lie in the heart of a t -structure) with more than one point. Therefore, by adjunction, the same holds for $\text{Hom}_{\text{IndCoh}(X_{\alpha})}(\mathcal{G}, i^! \mathcal{F})$. However, since i_*^{IndCoh} is t -exact, its right adjoint is left t -exact, and so we see that this implies that there exists a nonzero map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \tau^{\leq 0} i^! \mathcal{F} \simeq H^0(i^! \mathcal{F})$ which obviously implies our claim. \square

Corollary 6.4. Assume $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a map from an ind-affine scheme \mathcal{X} to a scheme Y . Then q_*^{IndCoh} is conservative on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\heartsuit}$.

Proof. Pick $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathcal{X})^{\heartsuit}$. Note that, by Corollary 6.3, there exists some closed subscheme $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for which $H^0(i^!(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero, and, by ind-affineness, we may assume X is affine. Let π denote the composite $q \circ i : X \rightarrow Y$. Then we have that $H^0(\pi_*^{\text{IndCoh}} i^!(\mathcal{F})) \simeq H^0(q_*^{\text{IndCoh}} i_*^{\text{IndCoh}} i^!(\mathcal{F}))$ is a subobject of $H^0(q_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F}))$, as q_*^{IndCoh} is ind-affine and thus is t -exact by [GR17b, Chapter 3, Lemma 1.4.9]. However, we see that π_*^{IndCoh} is conservative (it is the pushforward of an affine morphism) and so $H^0(\pi_*^{\text{IndCoh}} i^!(\mathcal{F}))$ is nonzero, and therefore so too is $H^0(q_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F}))$. \square

Of course, as a special case of this, we obtain our desired conservativity:

Corollary 6.5. The functor $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}_{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*) \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ is conservative when restricted to the full subcategory $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}_{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)^{\heartsuit}$.

We now record a consequence of Corollary 6.4 for later use.

Corollary 6.6. The functor $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$ is conservative.

⁷[IndCoh](#)

$\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{B}$ laft.

Proof. It suffices to show that the functor $\bar{s}_*^! \text{IndCoh}$ is conservative. By base change (Theorem 5.2), it suffices to show that $t_*^{\text{IndCoh}} s^!$ is conservative on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$. For a nonzero $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$, there exists some i for which $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. Since, by Theorem 5.2, $t_*^{\text{IndCoh}} s^!$ is t -exact, we see that $H^i(t_*^{\text{IndCoh}} s^! \mathcal{F}) \cong t_*^{\text{IndCoh}} s^! H^i(\mathcal{F})$ and so we may assume $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)^\heartsuit$. For such an \mathcal{F} , we have $s^!(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)^\heartsuit$ by Theorem 5.2 and is nonzero, since for example $\Delta^! s^!(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ for Δ the diagonal map. Thus by Corollary 6.4 we see that $t_*^{\text{IndCoh}} s^!(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero, as required. \square

Remark 6.7. Using Theorem 1.4, one can derive the conservativity and t -exactness of the pushforward map $(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}})_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$.

6.1.3. *Conservativity for Quotient Pushforward.* Let

$$\mathring{\phi} : \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$$

denote the projection map to the left factor. To use Corollary 2.70, we require the following proposition:

Proposition 6.8. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T))^+$ denote some nonzero object. Then $\mathring{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish.

Proof. By the conservativity of the forgetful functor, we have that $\text{oblv}^{X^\bullet(T) \times X^\bullet(T)}(\mathcal{F}) \in \text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\bar{W}^{\text{aff}}})$ is also nonzero, and so since $\Gamma_{\bar{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ is an ind-scheme we see that there exists some K -point $\lambda_0 \in \Gamma_{\bar{W}^{\text{aff}}}(K)$ for which $\lambda_0^!(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. In particular, for some K -point λ of $\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$, the pullback of \mathcal{F} via the morphism

$$\text{Spec}(K) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$$

does not vanish. Now, note that the following diagram is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(K) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) & \xrightarrow{\lambda \times \text{id}} & \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \mathring{\phi} \\ \text{Spec}(K) & \xrightarrow{x} & \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the projection maps onto the left factor.

In particular, we see that the pullback $(\lambda \times \text{id})^!$ is left t -exact as well, since we may check this after pulling back to $\text{Spec}(K) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*$ and, by functoriality of $!$ -pullback, we may identify this with the composite of the functor which forgets the $X^\bullet(T) \times X^\bullet(T)$ equivariance and then pullbacks back by the map $\text{Spec}(K) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \Gamma_{\bar{W}^{\text{aff}}}$, both of which are left t -exact. Therefore, we have that

$$\mathring{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}((\lambda \times \text{id})^!(\mathcal{F}))$$

does not vanish since the pushforward map to a point from $\text{Spec}(K) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T)$ can be identified with the pushforward to a point of an Artinian scheme, and this pushforward does not vanish on nonzero eventually coconnective objects. By base change, we see that $x^! \mathring{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish, and therefore in particular $\mathring{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\mathcal{F})$ does not vanish. \square

6.1.4. *Identification of Coalgebras.* Now we carry out the explicit identification of the coalgebras given by Barr-Beck and Theorem 6.1. Note that, in the notation of Theorem 5.2, we have:

$$(9) \quad t_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(t^!(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})) \simeq \bar{s}_* s^! \bar{s}^!(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}}) \simeq \bar{s}_*^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} \bar{s}^!(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \bar{W}^{\text{aff}}}) \simeq \text{Av}_*^{N_r, (T_r, w)} \text{Av}_!^{N_\ell^-, \psi} \text{Av}_*^{N_\ell, (T_\ell, w)}(\delta_\psi)$$

where the subscripts ℓ and r refer to the left and right averaging, and the last step follows from Theorem 1.4. Continuing this chain of equivalences, and using the fact that left and right averaging canonically commute, we obtain:

$$(10) \quad t_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(t^!(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})) \simeq \text{Av}_!^{N_\ell^-, \psi} \text{Av}_*^{N_\ell, (T_\ell, w)} \text{Av}_*^{N_r, (T_r, w)}(\delta_\psi) \simeq \text{Av}_!^{N_\ell^-, \psi} \text{Av}_*^{N_\ell, (T_\ell, w)}(\delta_1^{T, w})$$

where $\delta_1^{T, w} \in \mathcal{D}(T)^{T, w}$ refers to the skyscraper sheaf at the identity with the trivial T -representation structure, i.e. the essential image of $i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(k_{\text{triv}})$ under the functor $\text{Rep}(T) \simeq \text{Vect}^{T, w} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T)^{T, w}$. Similar analysis shows that this is an isomorphism of coalgebras, where, since the composite functors in (9) and

(10) are all t -exact (using Corollary 2.45, Theorem 2.46, and Theorem 5.2), this is a property of this identification and not additional structure. An identical argument gives the identification of coalgebras for other equivalence in Theorem 1.14.

Remark 6.9. The structure of the comonad $\text{Av}_*^N \text{Av}_!^\psi$ was previously known on the full subcategory of B -bimonodromic objects of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^\heartsuit$, see [Bez16, Section 5.1].

6.1.5. *Identification of Compact Objects.* Note that we have seen in Corollary 3.42 that $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$ has a canonical set of compact generators labeled by \tilde{W}^{aff} given by the set $\{\delta_{\mathcal{D}} w : w \in \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}\}$, where $\delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$. We obtain a similar description for $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$ and use it to complete the proof of the non-monoidal version of Theorem 1.14:

Proposition 6.10. The category $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$ has a canonical set of compact generators given by $\{\delta w : w \in \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}\}$, where $\delta := i_*^{\text{IndCoh}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ and $i : \mathfrak{t}^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*$ the diagonal map, so that δ is the monoidal unit.

Proof. These objects are compact since the IndCoh pushforward by a closed embedding is a left adjoint with a continuous right adjoint, and thus preserves compact objects. We now show this set generates; fix a nonzero $\mathcal{F} \in \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$. There exists some finite subset $S \subseteq \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ so that $i_S^!(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. Note also that that for each finite $S \subseteq \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$, the map $\coprod_{w \in S} \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \Gamma_S$ is surjective at the level of geometric points, and so in particular, by [GR17a, Proposition 6.2.2], there exists some $w \in \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ such that $i_w^!(\mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. Therefore $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*}, i_w^!(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(i_{w,*}^{\text{IndCoh}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*}), \mathcal{F}) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(\delta w, \mathcal{F})$ is nonzero. \square

Proof of Non-Monoidal Version of Theorem 1.14. We have seen in Proposition 6.10 and Corollary 3.42 that both categories $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)^{T \times T, w}$ are generated by the objects δw , where δ is the monoidal unit in the respective category and $w \in \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$. Each of the functors $t_*^{\text{IndCoh,enh}}$ and $\text{Av}_!^{\psi, \text{enh}}$ sends the monoidal unit to equivalent comodules, as we have seen in Section 6.1.4. Therefore, because the functors $t_*^{\text{IndCoh,enh}}$ and $\text{Av}_!^{\psi, \text{enh}}$ are both \tilde{W}^{aff} -equivariant, we see that these functors identify a collection of compact generators. Thus these functors identify the (not necessarily cocomplete) subcategories of the compact objects of $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*)$ and the compact objects of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$, since both categories may be identified as the objects Karoubi-generated by the compact generators [DG, Corollary 1.4.6]. Both categories are compactly generated and thus may be identified with the ind-completion of their compact objects [DG, Corollary 1.3.4], and therefore are equivalent as DG categories.

Similarly, we have seen in Corollary 3.41 that the category $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ has a set of compact generators labelled by W . An identical description holds for the category

$$\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T))$$

since, for example, the IndCoh pushforward from $\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}}$ (which admits a continuous right adjoint by ind-properness) sends the \tilde{W}^{aff} -compact generators of $\text{IndCoh}(\Gamma_{\tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}})$ to the W objects generating the category. Therefore, we see that the non-monoidal variant of the other equivalence in Theorem 1.14 holds. \square

6.2. **Monoidality.** Let \mathcal{E} denote the category $\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$ (or, equivalently by Theorem 1.4, $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G/N)^{T, w})$). Note that we have a canonical, monoidal functor

$$F_I : \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

given by the formalism of convolution [GR17a, Chapter 5.5]. We similarly have a monoidal functor $F_{\mathcal{D}}$ given by the composite

$$\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)} \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_G(\mathcal{D}(G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{T, w}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G/N)^{T, w}) \simeq \mathcal{E}$$

where the left equivalence is given by Theorem 2.10 and Remark 2.12, the right arrow is given by tensoring with the $\mathcal{D}(G)$, \mathcal{H}_ψ bimodule $\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \setminus G)$, and the right equivalence is given again by Theorem 1.4.

We will give our equivalence of categories $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$ monoidal structure by relating both categories to the category \mathcal{E} . We first give some general results on computing limits, and then in Section 6.2.3 we show that the evaluation functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ is comonadic. Using this, we show how to equip the equivalence of Theorem 1.14 with a monoidal structure in Section 6.2.4.

6.2.1. *Reminders on Lax Limits.* We summarize the following useful proposition on computing limits in a limit of categories in DGCat , see [AG18, Section 4.1] for more information. Assume $I \rightarrow \text{DGCat}$ is a diagram of categories for some index ∞ -category I , which we denote $i \mapsto \mathcal{C}_i$, and let $\lim \mathcal{C}_i$ denote a limit category. We recall the notion of a *lax-limit category* $\text{lax-lim } \mathcal{C}_i$, which is defined using co-Cartesian fibrations and, in particular, whose objects consist of objects $\mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for each $i \in I$ and for every map $i_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} i_2$ in I , the corresponding map $\Phi_\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{i_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i_2}$.

Proposition 6.11. Assume $I \rightarrow \text{DGCat}$, $i \mapsto \mathcal{C}_i$ is defined as above.

- [AG18, Section 4.1.1] There is a natural, fully faithful functor $\lim \mathcal{C}_i \hookrightarrow \text{lax-lim } \mathcal{C}_i$, and an object is in the essential image if and only if the associated maps $\Phi_\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{i_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i_2}$ are equivalences for all α .
- [AG18, Section 4.1.8] For each $i \in I$, the natural evaluation functor $\text{ev}_i : \text{lax-lim } \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ admits a left adjoint, and in particular commutes with limits.

Corollary 6.12. Assume we are given a diagram $J \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{C}_i$, which we write $j \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{j,i} \in \mathcal{C}_i$, such that for each j and for each map $i_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} i_2$ in I , the corresponding map $\Phi_\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{j,i_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,i_2}$ is an equivalence. Then the corresponding limit is computed termwise.

Proof. The condition that each corresponding map $\Phi_\alpha(\mathcal{F}_{j,i_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j,i_2}$ is an equivalence implies that the limit over our J -shaped diagram, computed in the category $\text{lax-lim } \mathcal{C}_i$, lies in the category $\lim \mathcal{C}_i$. Since the evaluation functor is a right adjoint, it commutes with limits, thus giving our claim. \square

6.2.2. *Nilpotent Towers and Effective Limits.* In this section, we recall the DG-analogue of ideas of Akhil Mathew (see, for example, [Mat18, Subsection 2.3]) which will be used later. For this subsection, fix two DG categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} .

Definition 6.13. Assume we are given a *tower* in \mathcal{C} , or, equivalently, a sequence $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$ in \mathcal{C} . We say this tower is *weakly nilpotent* if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 0}$ there exists an N such that for all $m \geq N$, the natural map $\mathcal{F}^{m+n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n$ is nullhomotopic.

Definition 6.14. Let \mathcal{C} be some DG category (or, more generally, any stable ∞ -category, and fix some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{F}_\bullet := (\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0)$ be a tower in \mathcal{C} , and let $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the constant tower. We say the map of towers $\underline{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ forms an *effective limit* (or, more informally, the maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ form an *effective limit*) if the tower $n \mapsto \text{cofib}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n)$ is weakly nilpotent.
- (2) Let S^\bullet denote some cosimplicial object of a category \mathcal{C} and temporarily denote by \mathcal{F}^\bullet the constant cosimplicial object. We say the map of cosimplicial objects $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow S^\bullet$ (or, more informally, the maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow S^\bullet$) form an *effective limit* if the maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Tot}^{\leq n}(S^\bullet)$ form an effective limit.

Remark 6.15. By definition, a tower in \mathcal{C} is an object of the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of functors $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$. Since colimits in functor categories are computed termwise, the cokernel of a map of towers is the tower of cokernels. Note also that if the tower $n \mapsto \text{cofib}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n)$ is weakly nilpotent, then its limit is zero.

We therefore see that, if the tower $n \mapsto \text{cofib}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n)$ is weakly nilpotent, the canonical map $\mathcal{F} \simeq \lim(\underline{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \lim_n \mathcal{F}_n$ is an equivalence, so the term ‘effective limit’ is justified. By abuse of notation, we sometimes say that the maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^i$ form an effective limit.

We now record a basic property of effective limits, see [Mat18, Proposition 2.20]:

Lemma 6.16. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is some exact functor of stable ∞ -categories (which is always satisfied if F is a map in $\text{DGCat}_{\text{cont}}^k$), and let $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^i$ be a compatible family of maps as in Definition 6.14. Then if the maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^i$ form an effective limit in \mathcal{C} , then the maps $F(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{F}^i)$ form an effective limit in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. By definition of effective limit, the tower of cofibers given by $C_i := \text{cofib}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i)$ is weakly nilpotent. By the definition of exactness, F commutes with finite colimits, so that $F(C_i) \simeq \text{cofib}(F(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{F}_i))$. Therefore, since F preserves the class of maps which are equivalent to the 0 map, our claim follows, since exact functors preserve the zero object. \square

6.2.3. *An Intermediate Comonadic Category.* This subsection will be devoted to the proof of the following Proposition:

Proposition 6.17. The forgetful functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{oblv}^{\mathcal{H}_\psi} : \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)) &\rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)) \\ \text{oblv}^{\mathcal{H}_\psi} : \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\mathcal{D}(T)) &\rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{D}(T)) \end{aligned}$$

are comonadic.

We prove this after showing the following lemma and deducing a corollary from it. Let $\overset{\circ}{\phi} : \mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ denote the quotient map.

Lemma 6.18. The maps $\text{id}_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)} \rightarrow (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$ and $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \rightarrow (\overset{\circ}{\phi}^! \overset{\circ}{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$ form an effective limit.

Proof. Using the identification $\text{ev}_{\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*}} : \underline{\text{End}}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*)$ this claim is equivalent to the claim that the maps $\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \rightarrow (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ form an effective limit. Let $c_n : \omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \rightarrow \text{Tot}^{\leq n}(\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ denote the canonical map for each n . We claim that for each n , we have that $\tau^{\leq n-1} c_n$ is an equivalence. To see this, note that by the conservativity of $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$ (Corollary 6.6) it suffices to show that $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} \tau^{\leq n-1} c_n$ is an equivalence. The t -exactness of $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$ (Theorem 5.2) allows us to identify this map with $\tau^{\leq n-1} \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} c_n$. Since $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$ is exact, it commutes with finite limits, so we may furthermore identify $\tau^{\leq n-1} \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} c_n$ with the map

$$\tau^{\leq n-1} \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} \omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \rightarrow \tau^{\leq n-1} \text{Tot}^{\leq n} \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$$

and by Lemma 2.69 we may further identify this map with the canonical map

$$\tau^{\leq n-1} \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} \omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \rightarrow \tau^{\leq n-1} \text{Tot}(\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*}))$$

using the fact that $(\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{j+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ lies in the heart for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, see Theorem 5.2. However, this map is an equivalence since the cosimplicial object $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$ is split by $\bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}}$. We therefore see that $\tau^{\leq n-1} c_n$ is an equivalence.

We also have that $\text{Tot}^{\leq n} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ is a totalization of objects in the heart of a category equivalent to $A\text{-mod}$ for some classical ring A , again using the exactness of Theorem 5.2. We thus see $\text{Tot}^{\leq n} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}(\omega_{\mathfrak{t}^*})$ lies in cohomological degree $[0, n]$. Therefore, if K^n denotes the cofiber of the map c_n , this cofiber is concentrated in degree n since $\tau^{\leq n-1} c_n$ is an equivalence. In particular, we may choose $N \gg 0$ so that the space $\text{Hom}_{\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*)}(K^{N+n}, K^n)$ is connected (by the finite cohomological dimension of the t -structure on $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathfrak{t}^*)$), so the maps from the identity to the tower of partial totalizations of our cosimplicial object form an effective limit by definition. Since we have obtained the analogous conservativity and t -exactness of the pushforward $(\mathfrak{t}^*/X^\bullet(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}})^{\text{IndCoh}}$, an identical argument holds for the latter functor as well, since $\mathcal{D}(T)$ also has finite cohomological dimension. \square

Corollary 6.19. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$, the maps $\text{id} \rightarrow (\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi^{\otimes i-1}} \otimes \bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$ and the maps $\text{id} \rightarrow (\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi^{\otimes i-1}} \otimes \overset{\circ}{\phi}^! \overset{\circ}{\phi}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$ form an effective limit.

Proof. We show the first claim, the second follows from an identical argument. First note that the functor

$$\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi^{\otimes i}} \otimes - : \underline{\text{End}}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)) \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$$

is exact since it is continuous [Lur17][Proposition 1.1.4.1]. Thus this functor preserves effective limits by Lemma 6.16, so we see that the maps

$$\text{id} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi^{i-1}} \otimes \text{Tot}^{\leq n} (\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1}$$

form an effective limit. Our claim then follows from the fact that the functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi^{i-1}} \otimes -$ is exact and thus commutes with finite limits. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.17. We show the first claim, the second follows from an identical argument. We identify $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}(\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$ as the limit $\lim_{\Delta} (\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^\bullet \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*), \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)))$. Let F_\bullet denote an object of this limit category, and let I denote the inclusion into the lax-limit category $\text{lax-lim}_{\Delta} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^\bullet \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*), \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$. We compute the limit $\lim_{\Delta} I(F(\bar{s}^! \bar{s}_*^{\text{IndCoh}})^{\bullet+1})$ and show it lies in the subcategory $\lim_{\Delta} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^\bullet \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*), \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$. Fix some map in Δ , say $i_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} i_2$, and let

$$\Phi_\alpha : \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^{i_1} \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*), \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*)) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}_\psi^{i_2} \otimes \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*), \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^*))$$

denote the canonical map obtained by pullback. Since this map is exact and the maps $\text{id} \rightarrow (\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}^\psi} \otimes \bar{s}_*^! \text{IndCoh})^{\bullet+1}$ form an effective limit (Corollary 6.19), by Lemma 6.16 we obtain a canonical equivalence

$$\Phi_\alpha \lim_\Delta IF_{\bullet, i_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_\Delta \Phi_\alpha IF_{\bullet, i_1}$$

where we denote IF_{\bullet, i_1} the object $\text{ev}_{i_1}(IF_{\bullet, i_1})$. We obtain an equivalence

$$\lim_\Delta \Phi_\alpha IF_{\bullet, i_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_\Delta IF_{\bullet, i_2}$$

since F_{\bullet, i_1} lies in the limit category. Thus by Proposition 6.11 we see that the canonical map

$$I(\lim_\Delta F(\bar{s}_*^! \text{IndCoh})^{\bullet+1}) \rightarrow \lim_\Delta I(F(\bar{s}_*^! \text{IndCoh})^{\bullet+1})$$

is an equivalence. In particular, this limit is computed termwise by Proposition 6.11(2). Thus we see that our left adjoint $\text{ev}_0 \simeq \text{oblv}^{\mathcal{H}^\psi}$ satisfies Theorem 2.67(3), and thus the Barr-Beck-Lurie theorem gives the desired comonadicity. \square

6.2.4. Identification of Monoidal Categories. In this section, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.14. Note that we now have:

Theorem 6.20. If S denotes the coalgebra $\text{Av}_!^\psi \text{Av}_*^N(\omega_{t^*}) \simeq \bar{s}_*^! \text{IndCoh} \bar{s}_*^!(\omega_{t^*})$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{IndCoh}(t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*)^+ & \xrightarrow{\pi_*^{\text{IndCoh, enh}}} & S\text{-Comod}(\text{IndCoh}(t^*)^+) & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^{\psi, \text{enh}}} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w), +} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{IndCoh}(t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*) & \xrightarrow{F_I} & \mathcal{E} & \xleftarrow{F_{\mathcal{D}}} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)} \end{array}$$

where the middle arrow is given by the composite $S\text{-Comod}(\text{IndCoh}(t^*)^+) \hookrightarrow S\text{-Comod}(\text{IndCoh}(t^*)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ (see Proposition 6.17) and the other the vertical arrows are the obvious inclusions, and an identical statement for the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{IndCoh}(t^* / X^\bullet(T) \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* / X^\bullet(T)) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*^{\text{IndCoh, enh}}} & S'\text{-comod}(\mathcal{D}(T)^+) & \xleftarrow{\text{Av}_!^{\psi, \text{enh}}} & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{IndCoh}(t^* / X^\bullet(T) \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* / X^\bullet(T)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}' & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}} \end{array}$$

also holds.

Note that each of F_I and $F_{\mathcal{D}}$ are monoidal, and we have seen that the top two arrows are comonadic. We further claim that the categories $\text{IndCoh}(t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*)^+$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w), +}$ are monoidal subcategories. This directly follows from the following proposition:

Proposition 6.21. The convolution structure on the categories

$\text{IndCoh}(t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*)$, $\text{IndCoh}(t^* / X^\bullet(T) \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* / X^\bullet(T))$, $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$, and $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ preserve the respective eventually coconnective subcategories.

Proof. Convolution is given by the pullback by a closed embedding and the pushforward of $(\text{id}, \bar{s}, \text{id}) : t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* \rightarrow t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}} \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*$. We have seen that the latter is exact by Theorem 5.2, and the former is exact since the pullback by a closed embedding is a right adjoint to a t -exact functor and therefore left t -exact. Thus convolution preserves the eventually coconnective subcategory $\text{IndCoh}(t^* \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^*)^+$. Since forgetting $X^\bullet(T)$ reflects the t -structure, we see by base change that the convolution monoidality on $\text{IndCoh}(t^* / X^\bullet(T) \times_{t^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}} t^* / X^\bullet(T))$ preserves the eventually coconnective subcategories as well.

For $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{(T \times T, w)}$ and $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}$, we note that the convolution is given by the composite of a forgetful functor and an averaging functor, which are t -exact and left t -exact (again as the right adjoint of a right t -exact functor is left t -exact) respectively, so the claim follows. \square

We have therefore constructed a monoidal equivalence of the respective eventually coconnective subcategories. Since the convolution structures commute with colimits, all compact objects are eventually coconnective, and the four categories of Theorem 1.14 are compactly generated, we in particular can equip our earlier ‘non-monoidal’ equivalences with a monoidal structure, thus completing the proof of monoidality and thus the proof of Theorem 1.14. \square

Remark 6.22. We expect similar methods yield equivalences of categories

$$\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}_{\text{nondeg}}^N \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // W \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // W^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^* / X^\bullet(T))$$

compatible with the T action and the $\text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // W)$ action, and a monoidal equivalence

$$\mathcal{HC}_{\text{nondeg}} := \underline{\text{End}}_G(\mathcal{D}(G)_{\text{nondeg}}^{G,w}) \simeq \text{IndCoh}(\mathfrak{t}^* // W \times_{\mathfrak{t}^* // W^{\text{aff}}} \mathfrak{t}^* // W).$$

7. THE NONDEGENERATE HOROCYCLE FUNCTOR

In this section, we construct a nondegenerate variant of the horocycle functor and show in Section 7.2 that it can be used to equip $\text{Res}(\mathcal{F})$ with a W -equivariance which descends to the coarse quotient $\mathfrak{t}^* // \tilde{W}^{\text{aff}}$ for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G)^{G, \heartsuit}$ very central.

7.1. The Nondegenerate Horocycle Functor. We now construct a functor on $G \times G$ categories \mathcal{C} which for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G)$ recovers the usual horocycle functor. To define such a functor, it suffices to define it in the ‘universal case’ $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(G \times G)$. We consider the category $\mathcal{D}(G \times G)$ as a right $G \times G$ category and let Ψ denote the composite functor

$$\mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_G} \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}_{\Delta_B}^{\Delta_G}} \mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_B} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{N \times N}} \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)^{\Delta_T}$$

where the group Δ_G denotes the diagonal copy of G and the rightmost functor is induced by the averaging functor of Theorem 2.10. Let $J^!$ denote the quotient functor $\mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}$ which projects onto the nondegenerate subcategory, again taken with respect to the right action. Since this nondegenerate category is closed under the action of $T \times T$, we may equivalently view $J^!$ as a functor $\mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)^{\Delta_T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T}$.

Theorem 7.1. The functor $J^! \Psi : \mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T}$ lifts to a functor of $G \times G$ categories:

$$\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T \times W}.$$

Furthermore, fixing a simple coroot α , we have the following:

- (1) The action of the Klein four group $\langle s_\alpha \times s_\alpha \rangle$ on $\mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha}$ is trivial.
- (2) The composite

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_G} \xrightarrow{\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \text{oblv}_{(s_\alpha)}^W \tilde{\Psi}} \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha, \langle s_\alpha \rangle} \simeq \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T \mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \otimes \text{Rep} \langle s_\alpha \rangle$$

where the second equivalence is given by (2), lies entirely in the summand indexed by the trivial representation.

- (3) If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G)^G \simeq \mathcal{D}(G \times G)^{\Delta_G^\ell \times \Delta_G^r}$ is very central, then the sheaf

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G / N)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_T \times W} \simeq \mathcal{D}((G/N \times G/N)/T)_{\text{nondeg}}^{\Delta_G, \Delta_W}$$

has the property that the canonical $\langle s_\alpha \rangle$ -representation on $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{F})$ is trivial.

The final point of Theorem 7.1 should be compared to the condition of descending to the coarse quotient given by the final point of Proposition 5.1. Note that we may identify $\text{hc} := \Psi^{\Delta_G^\ell}$.

Proof. Note that the functor Ψ itself is G -equivariant and by Remark 2.12 it suffices to construct a lift of $\mathrm{hc}(\delta_{\Delta_G})$. However, hc is monoidal. This fact as well known, and can be seen by identifying the monoidal functor

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{D}(G)^G \simeq \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{G \times G}(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Av}_*^N} \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{G \times T}(\mathcal{D}(G/N)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T$$

with hc . Therefore, we see that we may identify $\Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G})$ (with its left Δ_G -equivariance) in the category $\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T$ with the monoidal unit equipped with its canonical T -equivariance. In particular, $J^1 \Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G})$ may be identified with $J^1(\delta_1)$, where $\delta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T$ is the monoidal unit. Under the equivalence Theorem 1.14, the sheaf $J^1(\delta_1)$ corresponds to the pushforward $\Delta_*^{\mathrm{IndCoh}}(\omega_{t^*}/X^\bullet(T))$. In particular, this sheaf is equivariant with respect to the diagonal W -action. Thus we see that $J^1 \Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G}) \in \mathcal{D}(G/N \times G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}^{T, \Delta_G} \simeq \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}^T$ may be equipped with a canonical W -equivariant structure, showing (1). Point (2) follows directly from Corollary 3.45.

To show (3), note that we have identifications

$$(13) \quad \mathrm{oblv}_{(s_\alpha)}^W J^1 \Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G}) \simeq \mathrm{oblv}_{(s_\alpha)}^W J^1 \mathrm{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G})$$

since the quotient functor is $T \times T$ -equivariant. Under the Mellin transform, the sheaf $\mathrm{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha} \Psi(\delta_{\Delta_G}) \in \mathcal{D}(T/\mathbb{G}_m^\alpha)$ corresponds to the monoidal unit. In particular, (13) gives that the integral kernel of the $G \times G$ -equivariant functor given by (11) lies in the full $G \times G$ -subcategory indexed by the trivial representation, establishing (3). Finally, (4) is a special case of (3). \square

Remark 7.2. Note that the integral kernel of the composite $ch \circ hc$ on the level of nondegenerate categories canonically acquires a W -representation structure, and the sheaf $ch \circ hc(\delta)$ is known to be the Springer sheaf. This sheaf has endomorphisms which may be identified with the group ring of W —for a recent survey of this, see [Ben+21]. Therefore we expect that, at least on the level of nondegenerate categories, the functor $\tilde{hc} : \mathcal{D}(G)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}}^{T \times W}$ is fully faithful.

Of course, one did not need to pass to nondegenerate categories to obtain that the composite $ch \circ hc$ is given by convolution with the Springer sheaf. Therefore, one might hope that the functor hc factors through some subcategory $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)^T$ which acquires a W -action, giving rise to a fully faithful functor $\tilde{hc} : \mathcal{D}(G)^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^W$. We do not yet know what to make of this.

7.2. Construction of W -Equivariance for Parabolic Restriction of Very Central Sheaves. We now use the computations on the nondegenerate horocycle functor above to prove Theorem 1.22.

Proof of Theorem 1.22. Because T is connected, the forgetful functor induces an exact equivalence of abelian categories

$$\mathrm{oblv}^T : \mathcal{D}(T)^{T \times W, \heartsuit} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(T)^{W, \heartsuit}$$

and so, in particular, to prove Theorem 1.22, it suffices to exhibit the required W -equivariant structure on $\mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F})$. Since \mathcal{F} is very central, the canonical map $i_{*, dR} \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{hc}(\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism by definition. In particular, the canonical map

$$(14) \quad J^1 i_{*, dR} \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow J^1 \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{hc}(\mathcal{F})$$

is also an isomorphism. Then, by taking the left diagonal G invariants of the functor $\tilde{\Psi}$ of Theorem 7.1, we see that we may equip $J^1 \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{hc}(\mathcal{F})$ with a W -equivariant structure, and thus we may also equip $J^1 i_{*, dR} \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F})$ with a W -equivariant structure. Furthermore, the functor

$$\mathcal{D}(N \backslash G/N)_{\mathrm{nondeg}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi} \mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N)$$

given by *left* Whittaker averaging is W -equivariant, where W acts on the domain diagonally and the codomain via the usual W -action, see Proposition 3.23.⁸ We lightly abuse notation and denote the composite of $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$ with the W -equivariant equivalence of Proposition 1.8 by $\mathrm{Av}_!^\psi$. Since this functor is a map of W -categories, we obtain a W -equivariant structure on

$$(15) \quad \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi (J^1 i_{*, dR} \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \mathrm{Av}_!^\psi (i_{*, dR} \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \mathrm{oblv}^T \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{F})$$

⁸ ~~Substitution~~
~~with~~

~~with~~

$t^*/X^\bullet(T) \times_{t^*/\mathbb{A}^1} t^*/X^\bullet(T) \rightarrow t^*/X^\bullet(T)$
 $t^*/X^\bullet(T)$

where the first equivalence is given by the definition of nondegeneracy and the second is given by direct computation.

We now show that the sheaf $\mathcal{R} := \text{oblv}^T(\text{Res}(\mathcal{F}))$ descends to the coarse quotient when equipped with the W -equivariance above. To see this, by Proposition 5.1(3) it suffices to show that, for every simple coroot γ , the $\langle s_\gamma \rangle$ -representation on $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(\mathcal{R})$ is trivial, where \mathbb{G}_m^γ acts on $\mathcal{D}(N_\psi^- \backslash G/N)$ the right. However, we see that we have W -equivariant equivalences

$$\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma} \text{Av}_!^\psi(J^! i_{*,dR}(\mathcal{R})) \simeq \text{Av}_!^\psi \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(J^! i_{*,dR}(\mathcal{R})) \simeq \text{Av}_!^\psi \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(\text{oblv}^T J^! \text{hc}(\mathcal{F}))$$

where the first equivalence follows from (15), the second equivalence follows from the fact that $\text{Av}_!^\psi$ is right T -equivariant, and the third equivalence follows from (14) and the fact that $J^!$ is $T \times T$ -equivariant. We therefore see that we have a W -equivariant equivalence

$$(16) \quad \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \text{Av}_!^\psi \text{oblv}^T \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(J^! \text{hc}(\mathcal{F}))$$

by base changing along the Cartesian diagram of quotient maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X/\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X/\Delta_T & \longrightarrow & X/\Delta_T \mathbb{G}_m^\gamma \end{array}$$

where $X := G/N \times G/N$. Now, by Theorem 7.1(3) we see that the $\langle s_\gamma \rangle$ -representation on $\text{Av}_!^\psi \text{oblv}^T \text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(J^! \text{hc}(\mathcal{F}))$ is trivial. Thus by (16) we obtain the $\langle s_\gamma \rangle$ -representation on $\text{Av}_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^\gamma}(\mathcal{R})$ is trivial, as desired. \square

APPENDIX A. TWISTED D-MODULES ARE DERIVED CATEGORY OF THE HEART

We fix some (W, \cdot) -antidominant regular $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*(k)$. We now show in Proposition A.2 that $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ is the derived category of its heart. Here, by derived category, we mean the canonical DG structure on the derived category of its heart in the sense of [Lur17, Definition 1.3.5.8]. To define the (unbounded) derived category of an abelian category \mathcal{A} in this sense, we must first argue that \mathcal{A} is a Grothendieck abelian category.

Lemma A.1. The category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$ is a Grothendieck abelian category.

Proof. Note that t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is compatible with filtered colimits [GR, Section 4.3.2]. In particular, the connective (respectively, coconnective) objects can be identified with the ind-completion of subcategory of connective (respectively, coconnective) objects which are compact [GR17a, Chapter 4, Lemma 1.2.4], and so we also see that the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is accessible. Therefore, since the forgetful functor $\text{oblv} : \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$ is t -exact and conservative, we also see that the t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$ is compatible with filtered colimits and accessible. Therefore $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N, \heartsuit}$ is a Grothendieck abelian category by [Lur17, Remark 1.3.5.23]. \square

Proposition A.2. The category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ is the unbounded derived category of its heart.

This entire appendix will be devoted to the proof of Proposition A.2. The strategy will proceed as follows: in Appendix A.1, we show that the bounded-below derived categories agree. Then, in Appendix A.2 and Appendix A.3, we show that both categories of Proposition A.2 are left-complete, thus proving Proposition A.2.

A.1. Bounded By Below Twisted D-Modules are Bounded Derived Category of Heart. In Corollary A.6, we will show that the bounded below variant of Proposition A.2 holds. We will do this via the following general claim:

Lemma A.3. [Lur17, Proposition 1.3.3.7, Dual Version] Assume we are given a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} equipped with a right-complete t -structure for which \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit has enough injectives. Then we have an induced t -exact functor $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^+$, and this functor is an equivalence if and only if for any object $I \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ which is injective at the abelian categorical level (i.e. the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit}(-, I)$ is an exact functor of abelian categories), and $Y \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$, there exists some $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ and an epimorphism $Z \twoheadrightarrow Y$ such that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^i(Z, I) \cong 0$ for all $i > 0$.

We first show this Ext vanishing for objects of \mathcal{O}_λ :

Lemma A.4. Assume $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,c,\heartsuit}$ and $J \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,c,\heartsuit}$ is injective. Then $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N}(\mathcal{F}, J)$ is concentrated in degree 0.

The proof below closely follows ideas from [BGS96].

Proof of Lemma A.4. The category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,c,\heartsuit}$ is of finite length, and each object admits a filtration by simple objects of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,c,\heartsuit}$. Each of these simples is given by the intermediate extension of the generator of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus NwB)^{B\lambda,\heartsuit}$. We will denote this intermediate extension by L_w . We show, by induction on the ordering in W , that for a fixed $w \in W$ any object which admits a filtration by simples L_v for $v \leq w$ has this Ext vanishing property. We recall that our injective object J admits a filtration by costandard objects ∇_w , i.e. those objects which are the $(*, dR)$ -pushforward of a generator of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus NwB)^{B\lambda}$ (in \mathcal{O}_λ , for example, this is the Verdier dual version of [Hum08, Theorem 3.10]).

For the base case, when $\ell(w) = 1$ (and therefore $w = 1$), we let M be any object which admits a filtration whose subquotients are all of the form L_1 . Then, since $\Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} L_1$, any such M is the direct sum of the Δ_1 . Therefore our desired Ext vanishing follows from the fact that there are no extensions between any Δ_1 and objects which admit filtrations whose subquotients are ∇_w (which, in turn, follows by induction on the length of a filtration and the fact that $i_1^!(\nabla_w) \simeq 0$ if $w \neq 1$). Finally, for a general $w \in W$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \Delta_w \rightarrow L_w \rightarrow 0$$

where S admits a filtration of objects L_v for $v < w$. We then obtain an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}^{j-1}(\Delta_w, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{j-1}(S, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^j(L_w, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^j(\Delta_w, J)$$

for any $j > 0$. Note that the last term in this sequence vanishes because, in $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$, there are no extensions between any Δ_w and objects which admit filtrations whose subquotients are ∇_w . If $j = 1$, then, we may identify the third term as the cokernel of the map $\text{Hom}(\Delta_w, J) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{j-1}(S, J)$, where the maps are equivalently taken in $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,\heartsuit}$, a full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$. In particular, the injectivity of J shows that this map is a surjection so the cokernel vanishes. If $j > 1$, we similarly obtain that $\text{Ext}^{j-1}(\Delta_w, J)$ vanishes and so that our inductive hypothesis shows that $\text{Ext}^j(L_w, J) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^{j-1}(S, J)$ vanishes, since S admits a filtration by simple objects L_v for $v < w$. \square

We now use the following general lemma to reduce to the above computations.

Lemma A.5. Let \mathcal{A}' be an abelian category closed under subquotients in its ind-completion \mathcal{A} with enough injectives such that every injective object of \mathcal{A}' is a finite direct product of indecomposable injective objects. Then, for every injective object $I \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists some injective $M \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $I \times M \cong \prod_n I_n$ for $I_n \in \mathcal{A}'$ indecomposable injectives.

Proof. Assume $I \in \mathcal{A}$ is some injective object. By assumption and Lemma 2.54, we can write I as an increasing union of objects of \mathcal{A}' , say $I = \cup_n A_n$. By assumption that \mathcal{A}' has enough injectives, we may choose an injection $A_n \hookrightarrow I_n$ into an injective object $I_n \in \mathcal{A}'$. Note that \mathcal{A} is a Grothendieck abelian category, so I_n is an injective object of \mathcal{A} and so the inclusion map $i_n : A_n \subseteq I$ extends to a map $f_n : I \rightarrow I_n$.

Consider the canonical induced map $f : I \rightarrow \prod_n I_n$ with projection maps $pr_m : \prod_n I_n \rightarrow I_m$. The map f is injective, since if K denotes the kernel of f , then $pr_n f|_{A_n \cap K} = i_n|_{A_n \cap K}$ so $A_n \cap K = 0$ for all n , and thus $K = \cup_n (A_n \cap K) = 0$. Write each I_n as a product of indecomposable injectives and relabel, if necessary, so that each I_n is an indecomposable injective object; we may do this since every object of \mathcal{A}' is a finite direct product of indecomposable injective objects. However, $\prod_n I_n$ is injective since the property of being an injection is closed under products, and so the injective map $I \xrightarrow{f} \prod_n I_n$ splits, using:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_n I_n \\ \downarrow \text{id} & \nearrow \exists & \\ I & & \end{array}$$

and so we can write $\prod_n I_n \cong I \times M$ for some $M \in \mathcal{A}$. The fact that $\prod_n I_n$ and I are both injective implies that M is, which follows from the fact that a product in an abelian category is injective only if each factor is. \square

Corollary A.6. The induced functor from the left-bounded derived category of the heart of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ to the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,+}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. By Lemma A.3, it suffices to show that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N}(\mathcal{F}, I)$ is concentrated in degree 0 if $\mathcal{F}, I \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ and I is injective. However, the category $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,\heartsuit}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma A.5, so we can find some injective M of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,\heartsuit}$ for which

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, I) \times \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, M) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, I \times M) \simeq \prod \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, I_n)$$

for I_n compact injective objects of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^{N,\heartsuit}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that I is a compact injective object. Furthermore, by compact generation of $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$, we may write \mathcal{F} as a filtered colimit of compact objects. Since the t -structure on Vect is compatible with filtered limits, to show that $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, I)$ is concentrated in degree 0, it suffices to assume that \mathcal{F} is compact. The claim then follows from Lemma A.4. \square

A.2. Left-Completeness of Derived Category. Let $\mathcal{O}_\lambda := \mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/\lambda B)^\heartsuit$. Note that the category $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)$ is canonically equipped with a t -structure, so that $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda))$ is equipped with a canonical t -structure such that the inclusion functor $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}_\lambda))$ is t -exact and the heart of the t -structure is $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)$, see e.g. [GR17a, Chapter 4, Lemma 1.2.4].

Remark A.7. We note in passing that the ind-completion of any ordinary (1,1)-category is always an ordinary (1,1)-category. This is because, by definition, the ind-completion of an ordinary category C is defined as the full subcategory of presheaves on C , i.e. $\mathrm{Fun}(C^{op}, \mathrm{Spc})$ containing the representable functors and closed under filtered colimits. In particular, since all representable objects map to discrete spaces (i.e. sets) and discrete spaces are closed under filtered colimits, we see that the classical definition of the ind-completion of C agrees with the higher categorical definition as in [Lur09, Section 5.3].

We now claim the following:

Lemma A.8. If \mathcal{O} is some small abelian category of finite cohomological dimension with a compact projective generator, then we have an equivalence of DG categories $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O})) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{O}))$, where the right hand category denotes the canonical DG model on the derived ∞ -category of the Grothendieck abelian category $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ given by the ind-completion of \mathcal{A} .

Proof of Lemma A.8. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{O}$ denote the compact projective generator. Then every object $M \in \mathcal{O}$ admits a finite length projective resolution, so the smallest subcategory containing \mathcal{G} and all finite colimits is $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O})$. Since, by definition, every object of $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))$ is a filtered colimit of objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O})$, we see that the smallest subcategory containing \mathcal{G} and all colimits is $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))$ itself. Therefore, the functor

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))}(\mathcal{G}, -) : \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow E\text{-mod}$$

where $E := \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))}(\mathcal{G})$, gives an equivalence of categories by Barr-Beck. Furthermore, the fact that \mathcal{G} is a projective object implies that E is a discrete (i.e. classical) algebra and that this equivalence is t -exact. Thus $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))$ is equivalent to a category which is the unbounded derived category of its heart by a t -exact functor. We therefore see that $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{O}))$ is itself the unbounded derived category of its heart. \square

By [Ari+20, Theorem B.1.3], we therefore see:

Corollary A.9. The unbounded derived category of the ind-completion of \mathcal{O}_λ is left-complete in its t -structure.

A.3. Identification of Derived Categories. Recall in Corollary A.9 that we showed the unbounded derived category of $\mathcal{D}(N \setminus G/\lambda B)^\heartsuit$ is left-complete. Therefore, as we have identified the bounded by below subcategories in Corollary A.6, to identify the unbounded derived categories it suffices to show the following claim:

Proposition A.10. The t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$ is left-complete.

We will prove Proposition A.10 after showing the following general lemma:

Lemma A.11. Let $R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ denote a conservative functor between categories with t -structures such that R commutes with limits (i.e. is a right adjoint) and has bounded cohomological amplitude. Then if the t -structure on \mathcal{D} is left-complete, then the t -structure on \mathcal{C} is also left-complete.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$. We wish to show the canonical map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \lim_m \tau^{\geq m}(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence, where m varies over the nonpositive integers. By conservativity of R and the fact that R commutes with limits, we see that we may equivalently show the canonical map $R(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \lim_m R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence. However, note that

$$\lim_m R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \lim_m \lim_n \tau^{\geq n} R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \lim_n \lim_m \tau^{\geq n} R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F})$$

where the first expression uses the left-completeness of t and the second uses the fact that limits commute with limits. Now let $[p, q]$ denote the cohomological amplitude of R for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. we see that for any fixed n we have that if $m < n + p$ then

$$\tau^{\geq n} R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \tau^{\geq n} R(\tau^{\geq n+p} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \tau^{\geq n} R(\mathcal{F})$$

where both steps follow from the definition of bounded cohomological amplitude. Therefore, continuing the above chain of equivalences, we see

$$\lim_m R(\tau^{\geq m} \mathcal{F}) \simeq \lim_n \tau^{\geq n} R(\mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\sim} R(\mathcal{F})$$

where the last step follows by the left-completeness of \mathcal{D} , as desired. \square

Proof of Proposition A.10. By Lemma A.11, we may exhibit a finite set of compact generators of finite cohomological amplitude, since then we may take the direct sum \mathcal{G} and set $R := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N}(\mathcal{G}, -)$. However, we make take our compact generators as the standard objects $\Delta_w \in \mathcal{D}(G/\lambda B)^N$. Then maps from each standard object are given by a !-restriction by a locally closed map of smooth schemes, which in particular has finite cohomological amplitude [HTT08, Proposition 1.5.13, Proposition 1.5.14]. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB09] Sergey Arkhipov and Roman Bezrukavnikov. “Perverse Sheaves on Affine Flags and Langlands Dual Group”. In: *Israel J. Math.* 170 (2009). With an appendix by Bezrukavnikov and Ivan Mirković, pp. 135–183. ISSN: 0021-2172. DOI: 10.1007/s11856-009-0024-y. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s11856-009-0024-y>.
- [AG18] D. Arinkin and D. Gaitsgory. “The category of singularities as a crystal and global Springer fibers”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31.1 (2018), pp. 135–214. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: 10.1090/jams/882. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/jams/882>.
- [Ari+20] D. Arinkin et al. *The stack of local systems with restricted variation and geometric Langlands theory with nilpotent singular support*. 2020. arXiv: 2010.01906 [math.AG].
- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. “Faisceaux pervers”. In: *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*. Vol. 100. Astérisque. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, pp. 5–171.
- [BBM04] Roman Bezrukavnikov, Alexander Braverman, and Ivan Mirkovic. “Some results about geometric Whittaker model”. In: *Adv. Math.* 186.1 (2004), pp. 143–152. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2003.07.011. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1016/j.aim.2003.07.011>.
- [Ben+21] David Ben-Zvi et al. “Coherent Springer Theory and the Categorical Deligne-Langlands Correspondence”. In: (2021). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2010.02321.pdf>.
- [Ber13] Dario Beraldo. *Loop group actions on categories and Whittaker invariants*. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Berkeley. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013, p. 86. ISBN: 978-1303-83193-5. URL: http://gateway.proquest.com.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/openurl?url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&res_dat=xri:pqm&rft_dat=xri:pqdiss:3616350.
- [Ber17] Dario Beraldo. “Loop group actions on categories and Whittaker invariants”. In: *Adv. Math.* 322 (2017), pp. 565–636. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2017.10.024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1310.5127.pdf>.

- [Bez16] Roman Bezrukavnikov. “On two geometric realizations of an affine Hecke algebra”. In: *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 123 (2016), pp. 1–67. ISSN: 0073-8301. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-015-0077-x. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s10240-015-0077-x>.
- [BF08] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Michael Finkelberg. “Equivariant Satake category and Kostant-Whittaker reduction”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 8.1 (2008), pp. 39–72, 183. ISSN: 1609-3321. DOI: 10.17323/1609-4514-2008-8-1-39-72. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.17323/1609-4514-2008-8-1-39-72>.
- [BG17] David Ben-Zvi and Sam Gunningham. “Symmetries of Categorical Representations and the Quantum Ngô Action”. In: (2017). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01963>.
- [BGO20] David Ben-Zvi, Sam Gunningham, and Hendrik Orem. “Highest weights for categorical representations”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 24 (2020), pp. 9988–10004. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rny258. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1093/imrn/rny258>.
- [BGS96] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. “Koszul duality patterns in representation theory”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9.2 (1996), pp. 473–527. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00192-0. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00192-0>.
- [BK99] Alexander Braverman and David Kazhdan. “On the Schwartz space of the basic affine space”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 5.1 (1999), pp. 1–28. ISSN: 1022-1824. DOI: 10.1007/s000290050041. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s000290050041>.
- [BN15] David Ben-Zvi and David Nadler. *The Character Theory of a Complex Group*. 2015. arXiv: 0904.1247 [math.RT].
- [Bor91] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*. Second. Vol. 126. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. xii+288. ISBN: 0-387-97370-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0941-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0941-6>.
- [BY] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Alexander Yom Din. “On Parabolic Restriction of Perverse Sheaves”. In: (). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.03297.pdf>.
- [BY13] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Zhiwei Yun. “On Koszul duality for Kac-Moody groups”. In: *Represent. Theory* 17 (2013), pp. 1–98. DOI: 10.1090/S1088-4165-2013-00421-1. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/S1088-4165-2013-00421-1>.
- [CD21] Justin Campbell and Gurbir Dhillon. “Affine Harish-Chandra Bimodules and Steinberg-Whittaker Localization”. In: (2021). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.02806>.
- [CGY19] T.-H. Chen, D. Gaitsgory, and A. Yom Din. “On the Casselman-Jacquet functor”. In: *Representations of reductive groups*. Vol. 101. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019, pp. 73–112.
- [Che20] Tsao-Hsien Chen. *On the conjectures of Braverman-Kazhdan*. 2020. arXiv: 1909.05467 [math.RT].
- [Che22] Tsao-Hsien Chen. “A vanishing conjecture: the GL_n case”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 28.1 (2022), Paper No. 13, 28. ISSN: 1022-1824. DOI: 10.1007/s00029-021-00726-2. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-021-00726-2>.
- [DG] Vladimir Drinfeld and Dennis Gaitsgory. “Compact Generation of the Category of \mathcal{D} -Modules on the Stack of G -Bundles on a Curve”. In: (). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1112.2402v8.pdf>.
- [DG13] Vladimir Drinfeld and Dennis Gaitsgory. “On some finiteness questions for algebraic stacks”. In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 23.1 (2013), pp. 149–294. ISSN: 1016-443X. DOI: 10.1007/s00039-012-0204-5. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s00039-012-0204-5>.
- [DG14] V. Drinfeld and D. Gaitsgory. “On a theorem of Braden”. In: *Transform. Groups* 19.2 (2014), pp. 313–358. ISSN: 1083-4362. DOI: 10.1007/s00031-014-9267-8. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s00031-014-9267-8>.
- [DS] Carlos Di Fiore and Germán Stefanich. “Singular Support for Sheaves of Categories”. In: *In Preparation* ().
- [Eli+20] Ben Elias et al. *Introduction to Soergel bimodules*. Vol. 5. RSME Springer Series. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020, pp. xxv+588. ISBN: 978-3-030-48825-3; 978-3-030-48826-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-48826-0. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/978-3-030-48826-0>.

- [Gai] Dennis Gaitsgory. “Universal Constructions of Crystals: The Categorical Meaning of the Sugawara Construction”. In: (). URL: [http://people.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/Seminar11/UnivConstr\(Nov1\).pdf](http://people.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/Seminar11/UnivConstr(Nov1).pdf).
- [Gai13] Dennis Gaitsgory. “ind-coherent sheaves”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 13.3 (2013), pp. 399–528, 553. ISSN: 1609-3321. DOI: 10.17323/1609-4514-2013-13-3-399-528. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.17323/1609-4514-2013-13-3-399-528>.
- [Gai15] Dennis Gaitsgory. “Sheaves of Categories and the Notion of 1-Affineness”. In: *Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra*. Vol. 643. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, pp. 127–225. DOI: 10.1090/conm/643/12899. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.4304>.
- [Gai16] Dennis Gaitsgory. “Functors given by kernels, adjunctions and duality”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 25.3 (2016), pp. 461–548. ISSN: 1056-3911. DOI: 10.1090/jag/654. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/jag/654>.
- [Gai20] Dennis Gaitsgory. “The Local and Global Versions of the Whittaker Category”. In: *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 16.3 (2020), pp. 775–904. ISSN: 1558-8599. DOI: 10.4310/PAMQ.2020.v16.n3.a14. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.4310/PAMQ.2020.v16.n3.a14>.
- [Gan22] Tom Gannon. *The coarse quotient for affine Weyl groups and pseudo-reflection groups*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2206.00175. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.00175>.
- [Gin18] Victor Ginzburg. “Nil-Hecke algebras and Whittaker \mathcal{D} -modules”. In: *Lie groups, geometry, and representation theory*. Vol. 326. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 137–184.
- [Gin22] Victor Ginzburg. “Parabolic induction and the Harish-Chandra \mathcal{D} -module”. In: *Represent. Theory* 26 (2022), pp. 388–401. DOI: 10.1090/ert/603. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/ert/603>.
- [GK] Victor Ginzburg and David Kazhdan. “Differential operators on G/U and the Gelfand-Graev action”. In: (). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.05295v3>.
- [GR] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. “Crystals and \mathcal{D} -Modules”. In: (). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.2087.pdf>.
- [GR17a] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Vol. I. Correspondences and duality*. Vol. 221. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, xl+533pp. ISBN: 978-1-4704-3569-1. DOI: 10.1090/surv/221.1. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/surv/221.1>.
- [GR17b] Dennis Gaitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Vol. II. Deformations, Lie theory and formal geometry*. Vol. 221. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, pp. xxxv+436. ISBN: 978-1-4704-3570-7. DOI: 10.1090/surv/221.2. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/surv/221.2>.
- [Gro97] Frank D. Grosshans. *Algebraic homogeneous spaces and invariant theory*. Vol. 1673. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, pp. vi+148. ISBN: 3-540-63628-5. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0093525. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/BFb0093525>.
- [HL22] Quoc P. Ho and Penghui Li. *Revisiting mixed geometry*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2202.04833. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04833>.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. *\mathcal{D} -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*. Vol. 236. Progress in Mathematics. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, pp. xii+407. ISBN: 978-0-8176-4363-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-8176-4523-6. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/978-0-8176-4523-6>.
- [Hum08] James E. Humphreys. *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* . Vol. 94. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008, pp. xvi+289. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4678-0. DOI: 10.1090/gsm/094. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1090/gsm/094>.
- [KL88] D. Kazhdan and G. Laumon. “Gluing of perverse sheaves and discrete series representation”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 5.1 (1988), pp. 63–120. ISSN: 0393-0440. DOI: 10.1016/0393-0440(88)90014-9. URL: [https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1016/0393-0440\(88\)90014-9](https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1016/0393-0440(88)90014-9).

- [KS] Artem Kalmykov and Pavel Safronov. “A Categorical Approach to Dynamical Quantum Groups”. In: (). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.09081>.
- [Lau87] G. Laumon. “Transformation de Fourier, constantes d’équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 65 (1987), pp. 131–210. ISSN: 0073-8301. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1987__65__131_0.
- [Lau96] Gerard Laumon. *Transformation de Fourier generalisee*. 1996. arXiv: alg-geom/9603004 [alg-geom].
- [Lon18] Gus Lonergan. “A Fourier transform for the quantum Toda lattice”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 24.5 (2018), pp. 4577–4615. ISSN: 1022-1824. DOI: 10.1007/s00029-018-0419-x. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/s00029-018-0419-x>.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. DOI: 10.1515/9781400830558. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1515/9781400830558>.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. *Elliptic Cohomology I: Spectral Abelian Varieties*. 2018. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-I.pdf>.
- [LY20] George Lusztig and Zhiwei Yun. “Endoscopy for Hecke categories, character sheaves and representations”. In: *Forum Math. Pi* 8 (2020), e12, 93. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2020.9. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1017/fmp.2020.9>.
- [Mat18] Akhil Mathew. “Examples of descent up to nilpotence”. In: *Geometric and topological aspects of the representation theory of finite groups*. Vol. 242. Springer Proc. Math. Stat. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 269–311. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-94033-5_11. URL: https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/978-3-319-94033-5_11.
- [Mil17] J. S. Milne. *Algebraic groups*. Vol. 170. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017, pp. xvi+644. ISBN: 978-1-107-16748-3. DOI: 10.1017/9781316711736. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1017/9781316711736>.
- [Nee92] Amnon Neeman. “The connection between the K -theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel”. en. In: *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure* Ser. 4, 25.5 (1992), pp. 547–566. DOI: 10.24033/asens.1659. URL: <http://www.numdam.org/articles/10.24033/asens.1659/>.
- [Pol01] Alexander Polishchuk. “Gluing of perverse sheaves on the basic affine space”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 7.1 (2001). With an appendix by R. Bezrukavnikov and the author, pp. 83–147. ISSN: 1022-1824. DOI: 10.1007/PL00001399. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.1007/PL00001399>.
- [Ras] Sam Raskin. “Tutorial: Groups Acting on Categories”. In: (). URL: [http://www.iecl.univ-lorraine.fr/~Sergey.Lysenko/notes_talks_winter2018/T-1a\(Raskin\).pdf](http://www.iecl.univ-lorraine.fr/~Sergey.Lysenko/notes_talks_winter2018/T-1a(Raskin).pdf).
- [Ras16] Sam Raskin. “A Generalization of the b-function Lemma”. In: (2016). URL: <https://web.ma.utexas.edu/users/sraskin/b-function.pdf>.
- [Ras18] S. Raskin. “ \mathcal{W} -Algebras and Whittaker Categories”. In: (2018). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.04937v1>.
- [Ras20a] S. Raskin. “Affine Beilinson-Bernstein Localization at the Critical Level for GL_2 ”. In: (2020). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.01394v1>.
- [Ras20b] Sam Raskin. “Homological Methods in Semi-Infinite Contexts”. In: (2020). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.01395v1.pdf>.
- [RW21] Anna Romanov and Geordie Williamson. *Langlands correspondence and Bezrukavnikov’s equivalence*. 2021. arXiv: 2103.02329 [math.RT].
- [Soe90] Wolfgang Soergel. “Kategorie \mathcal{O} , perverse Garben und Moduln über den Koinvarianten zur Weylgruppe”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3.2 (1990), pp. 421–445. ISSN: 0894-0347. DOI: 10.2307/1990960. URL: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lib.utexas.edu/10.2307/1990960>.
- [Sta22] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2022.