

SINAI-RUELLE-BOWEN MEASURE ENTROPY OF GEODESIC FLOW ON CONVEX PROJECTIVE SURFACES I

PATRICK FOULON AND INKANG KIM

ABSTRACT. We study the entropy of Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure of the geodesic flow on convex real projective surfaces, and shows that the Hilbert area tends to infinity if the entropy tends to zero. For the Blaschke metric, we obtain the converse statement as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{T} be the Teichmüller space of S , a closed surface of genus ≥ 2 , $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/\text{Mod}(S)$ the moduli space, \mathcal{P} the space of the marked strictly convex real projective structures, which can be identified as a holomorphic vector bundle over \mathcal{T} whose fibres are holomorphic cubic differentials. Recently real projective structures on surface have drawn much attention as a special but important case of higher Teichmüller theory. People study its geometric aspect, metric aspect and dynamical aspect using different methods and various perspectives. In this paper, we study its dynamical properties in terms of Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure, abbreviated SRB measure, on the (projectivized) tangent bundle $(TS \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_+^*$ of a convex real projective surface S . Until now, quite a few scholars studied its dynamical properties using Bowen-Margulis measure, but we will use SRB measure to catch a different aspect of the geometry.

If the topological entropy tends to zero, then the Hilbert volume of the convex projective manifold tends to infinity [1], but the converse is not true [14] in general. If we replace Bowen-Margulis measure by SRB measure, the story is quite different. Yet in this paper, we show that a similar result with SRB measure holds.

¹2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A35, 37D40.

²*Key words and phrases.* Real projective structure, Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measure, entropy.

³Research by Inkang Kim is partially supported by Grant NRF-2019R1A2C1083865, KIAS Individual Grant (MG031408) and he also thanks CIRM for the warm support during his visit.

Goldman introduced the deformation of projective structures, called bulging deformation along simple closed curves. In [14], the authors studied its behavior with respect to Bowen-Margulis measure and showed that its topological entropy does not go to zero when the parameter of the bulging deformation goes to infinity. On the contrary, it has been expected that the entropy of such bulging deformation tends to zero with respect to SRB measure [15]. In this article we show that the volume tends to infinity if and only if the SRB measure entropy tends to zero with respect to the Blaschke metric.

Firstly, we study the entropy with respect to the Hilbert metric, and using refined and sophisticated arguments, we show

Theorem 1.1. *Let S_t be a smooth parameter of deformations of strictly convex real projective structures on a surface of negative Euler number. If its measure entropy $h_{SRB}^H(\phi_t) \rightarrow 0$ where ϕ_t is a geodesic flow on $HS_t = (TS_t \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_+^*$ with respect to Hilbert metric, then the Hilbert area tends to infinity.*

Blaschke metric case is a bit easier to deal with, and obtain very satisfying results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let S_t be a continuous parameter of deformations of strictly convex real projective structures on a surface of negative Euler number. Then its SRB measure entropy $h_{SRB}^B(\phi_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where ϕ_t is a geodesic flow on $HS_t = (TS_t \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_+^*$ with respect to Blaschke metric if and only if its Hilbert (equiv. Blaschke) area tends to infinity.*

As we can see in the above theorem, SRB measure better characterizes the relation between the area and the measure entropy. In higher dimensional case, the relation still needs to be explored. The converse statement for Hilbert metric case will be treated in a separate paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Projective structures, Blaschke and Hilbert metrics. Let M be an n -dimensional closed manifold equipped with a strictly convex projective structure so that $D : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a developing map with $D(\widetilde{M}) = \Omega$. Then it inherits a Hilbert metric defined in the following way. Let $x, y \in M$. Then choose \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} in the same fundamental domain, and define $d(x, y) := d_\Omega(D(\tilde{x}), D(\tilde{y}))$ where the Hilbert metric d_Ω defined as below and the corresponding Finsler norm on $T\Omega$ is denoted by F . More precisely, for $x \neq y \in \Omega$, let p, q be the intersection points of the line \overline{xy} with $\partial\Omega$ such that p, x, y, q are in this order. The Hilbert

distance is defined by

$$d_{\Omega}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{|p - y||q - x|}{|p - x||q - y|}$$

where $|\cdot|$ is a Euclidean norm in an affine chart containing Ω . This metric coincides with the hyperbolic metric if $\partial\Omega$ is a conic. Note that the Hilbert metric on M also depends on the boundary of Ω . The Hilbert metric is Finsler rather than Riemannian. The Finsler norm $F = \|\cdot\|$ is given, for $x \in \Omega$ and a vector v at x , by

$$\|v\|_x = \left(\frac{1}{|x - p^-|} + \frac{1}{|x - p^+|} \right) |v|$$

where p^{\pm} are the intersection points of the line with $\partial\Omega$, defined by x and v with the obvious orientation, and where $|\cdot|$ is again a Euclidean norm.

Another way to describe projective structures is by using hypersurface theory. For a hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with a trivial bundle $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, choose a transverse vector field ξ over M so that $E = TM \oplus \mathcal{L}$ where \mathcal{L} is a trivial line bundle over M spanned by ξ . For the standard affine flat connection ∇ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , its restriction on E gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \nabla_X Y = D_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi \in TM \oplus \mathcal{L}, \\ (2) \quad & \nabla_X \xi = -S(X) + \tau(X)\xi \in TM \oplus \mathcal{L}, \end{aligned}$$

where D is a torsion-free connection on TM , h is a symmetric 2-form on TM , S is a shape operator and τ is a 1-form. If M is locally strictly convex, i.e., it can be written locally as the graph of a function with positive definite hessian, then there exists a unique ξ such that

- $\tau = 0$, h is positive definite and
- $|\det(Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \xi)| = 1$ for any h -orthonormal frame Y_i of TM .

This vector field ξ is called an affine normal, D Blaschke connection, h affine or Blaschke metric. These are invariant under projective transformations. If the shape operator $S = -Id$, the hypersurface M is an affine sphere with affine curvature -1 . For such an affine sphere, $O = x - \xi(x)$ is a point, called the center of the affine sphere. Cheng and Yau [9] solved the following conjecture of E. Calabi [8].

Theorem 2.1. *If $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an open convex cone containing no lines, there exists a unique embedded affine sphere \mathcal{H} whose center is origin and affine curvature -1 , which is asymptotic to the boundary of \mathcal{C} .*

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open convex set so that

$$\mathcal{C} = \{t(1, x) | x \in \Omega, t > 0\}$$

then

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \frac{-1}{u(x)}(1, x) \mid x \in \Omega \right\}$$

where u is the unique convex solution of the real Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det D^2 u = (-1/u)^{n+2}$$

under the condition that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Using a Benzécri's theorem [6], Benoist-Hulin showed [4][Prop 3.4] that there exists a positive constant C_n depending only on the dimension such that for any tangent vector X ,

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{C_n} \|X\|_H \leq \|X\|_B \leq C_n \|X\|_H,$$

where H and B stand for Hilbert and Blaschke respectively.

For strictly convex domain Ω , we consider a Pick form c which is defined as

$$h((D_X - \nabla_X^h)Y, Z) = c(X, Y, Z),$$

where ∇^h is the Riemannian connection defined by the Blaschke metric h . This Pick form is known to be a real part of a cubic holomorphic differential with respect to the underlying conformal hyperbolic metric on the projective surface. Using these datum, Labourie [17] and Loftin [19] independently showed that the space of strictly convex real projective structures on a closed surface of genus at least 2 is a holomorphic vector bundle over Teichmüller space whose fibers are cubic holomorphic differentials.

If g^B is the Blaschke metric determined by the cubic differential $b = b(z)dz^3$ with respect to the conformal structure underlying g^B , Wang's equation states that the curvature of g^B is

$$(4) \quad -1 \leq \kappa_{g^B} = -1 + 2\|b\|_{g^B}^2 < 0,$$

where $\|b\|_{g^B}^2 = e^{-3(\psi+\phi)}|b(z)|^2$ is the pointwise norm of b with respect to $g^B = e^\psi e^\phi |dz|^2$ with $e^\psi |dz|^2$ being the underlying hyperbolic metric. See [5] for strict negativity of the curvature.

2.2. Lyapunov exponents and approximately regular value. Let $\phi = \phi^t$ be a C^1 flow on a Riemannian manifold W . A point $w \in W$ is said to be regular if there exists a ϕ^t -invariant decomposition

$$TW = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_p$$

along $\phi^t w$, and real numbers

$$\chi_1(w) < \cdots < \chi_p(w),$$

such that, for any vector $Z_i \in E_i \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|d\phi^t(Z_i)\| = \chi_i(w),$$

and

$$(5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |\det d\phi^t| = \sum_{i=1}^p \dim E_i \cdot \chi_i(w).$$

The numbers $\chi_i(w)$ associated with a regular point w are called the Lyapunov exponents of the flow at w . Due to Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic theorem [23], the set of regular points has full measure.

For 2-dimensional convex domain Ω , the neighborhood of each point of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ can be written as the graph of a convex function f near the origin. Such a function f is said to be *approximately α -regular* at the origin for an $\alpha \in [1, \infty]$, if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \frac{f(t)+f(-t)}{2}}{\log |t|} = \alpha.$$

This quantity is invariant under affine and projective transformations. When f is quasi-symmetric, approximately α -regular for α finite means that the function behaves like $|t|^\alpha$ near the origin.

In general, even though α exists for the set of whole Lebesgue measure on $\partial\Omega$ for the convex domain Ω whose quotient has a finite volume, $\alpha(x)$ might not exist for some points on $\partial\Omega$, hence we define

$$\alpha_{sup}(x) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \frac{f(t)+f(-t)}{2}}{\log |t|},$$

for a convex function f whose graph around the origin is the neighborhood of x on $\partial\Omega$.

Lemma 2.2. *If Ω admits a quotient which is a finite volume strictly convex real projective surface, then $1 < \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} \alpha_{sup}(x) \leq \beta_\Omega < \infty$ where β_Ω is the beta convexity for Ω .*

Proof. Benoist-Hulin showed that the curvature of Blaschke metric is negatively curved (Proposition 3.3 in [5]) and approaches a negative constant deep into a cusp (Proposition 3.1 in [4]), hence the curvature is pinched negative on the surface. Since the Hilbert metric and the Blaschke metric are comparable [5, Corollary 4.7], Ω equipped with the Hilbert metric is Gromov hyperbolic. Furthermore Benoist [3] showed that Ω is quasisymmetrically convex, hence $\partial\Omega$ is β -convex for some $\beta \in (2, \infty)$ (Corollary 1.5 in [3]). Benoist's notion of β -convexity is as

follows. Let f be a C^1 -convex function. Denote $D_x(h) = f(x+h) - f(x) - f'(x)h$. f is β -convex if

$$\inf_{\{(x,h):h \neq 0\}} |h|^{-\beta} D_x(h) > 0$$

and quasisymmetrically convex if there exists $H \geq 1$ such that $D_x(h) \leq HD_x(-h)$. Recall also that a function f is C^η if

$$\sup_{(x,h)|h \neq 0} |h|^{-\eta} |D_x(h)| < \infty.$$

Hence for each $x \in \partial\Omega$, $\partial\Omega$ near x can be represented by the graph of a quasisymmetrically β -convex C^1 function f with $f(x) = f'(x) = 0$.

Let $\alpha_{sup}(x) = \alpha$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then it is easy to see that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $t_n \rightarrow 0$ (see also Lemma 3.4.3, [11]), such that

$$\frac{f(x+t_n) + f(x-t_n)}{2} \leq |t_n|^{\alpha-\epsilon}.$$

Hence

$$f(x+t_n) + f(x-t_n) = D_x(t_n) + D_x(-t_n) \leq 2|t_n|^{\alpha-\epsilon}.$$

By the β -convexity of $\partial\Omega$, $D_x(-t) > c|t|^\beta$ for small t and for some fixed constant $c > 0$. Consequently, for any small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $t_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$2c|t_n|^\beta \leq D_x(t_n) + D_x(-t_n) \leq 2|t_n|^{\alpha-\epsilon}.$$

This is possible only when $\alpha \leq \beta$. Hence the claim follows. \square

Guichard [13] showed that

$$\beta_\Omega = \sup_{\gamma \text{ proximal}} \alpha(\gamma^+)$$

for a convex divisible domain Ω . This shows that

$$\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} \alpha_{sup}(x) = \beta_\Omega.$$


Another useful property of α is as follows.

We consider the set of pairs (Ω, x) with Gromov-Hausdorff topology where $x \in \partial\Omega$ and Ω is strictly convex. Then $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ naturally acts on the set.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\Omega_n/\rho_n(\pi_1(S))$ are strictly convex projective surfaces. Let $(\Omega_n, x_n) \rightarrow (\Omega, x)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology such that Ω is a strictly convex domain admitting a finite volume quotient (and hence $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 by [21]). Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{sup}(x_n) \leq 2\alpha_{sup}(x).$$

Proof. We represent $\partial\Omega_n$ (resp. $\partial\Omega$) around x_n (resp. x) as a graph of a convex C^1 -function f_n (resp. f) near the origin of regular exponent $\alpha_{sup}(x_n) = \alpha_n$. Since f is C^1 , $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $f'_n \rightarrow f'$ uniformly near the origin.



Given ϵ , there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$ and N such that for any $n > N$ and $|t| < \delta$,

$$\frac{f_n(t) + f_n(-t)}{2} - \frac{f(t) + f(-t)}{2} < \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\frac{f_n(t) + f_n(-t)}{f(t) + f(-t)} - 1 < \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{f(t) + f(-t)}{2}}$$

for $t \neq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n - \alpha_{sup}(0) &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \frac{f_n(t) + f_n(-t)}{2} - \log \frac{f(t) + f(-t)}{2}}{\log |t|} \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{f(t) + f(-t)}{2}})}{|\log |t||} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \frac{f(t) + f(-t)}{2}}{\log |t|} = \alpha_{sup}(0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lim \alpha_n \leq \alpha_{sup}(0) + \alpha_{sup}(0).$$

Hence the claim holds. \square

From the proof of the above lemma we obtain

Corollary 2.4. *If we have a sequence of strictly convex β_s -convex Ω_s , which converges in Hausdorff topology to a β -convex domain Ω , then $\beta_s \leq 2\beta$.*

2.3. SRB measure. It is known that the Bowen-Margulis measure maximizes the entropy among the geodesic flow invariant probability measures [12]. This entropy is equal to the topological entropy $h_{top}(\phi)$ of the geodesic flow ϕ [12] and it is also equal to the exponential growth of the lengths of closed geodesics:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#\{[\gamma] \mid \ell(\gamma) \leq R\}}{R}.$$

For strictly convex real projective case, this topological entropy is also equal to the volume entropy of the Hilbert metric [12]. It is proved by

Crampon [12] that the entropy of Bowen-Margulis measure of strictly convex real projective structures on a closed surface is between 0 and 1.

There exists another important invariant probability measure whose existence is proven at least for Anosov flows. The following are some characterizations of SRB measure.

- (1) The measure attains the equality in Ruelle inequality

$$h_\mu(\phi) \leq \int \chi^+ d\mu,$$

where $\chi^+ = \sum \dim E_i \cdot \chi_i^+$ denotes the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents.

- (2) There exists a set V of full Lebesgue measure such that for each continuous function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for every $x \in V$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\phi^s(x)) ds = \int f d\mu_{SRB}.$$

- (3) The measure has Lebesgue absolutely continuous conditional measures on unstable manifolds. This characterization is due to Ledrappier-Young [18].

For hyperbolic surface, Bowen-Margulis measure equals SRB measure, but in general they are quite different. If there exists an invariant normalized volume form ω , then it coincides with SRB measure. Even when volume is not preserved, SRB measures are somehow the invariant measures most compatible with volume. They provide a mechanism for explaining how local instability on attractors can produce coherent statistics for orbits starting from large sets in the basin. See the survey article [25].

3. HOLOMORPHIC CUBIC DIFFERENTIALS AND DEGENERATION OF CONVEX PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES ON SURFACE

3.1. Cubic holomorphic differentials. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a convex bounded open domain such that its corresponding hyperbolic affine sphere \mathcal{H} in \mathbb{R}^3 can be described as a conformal map $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the standard conformal structure on Ω and the conformal structure induced from the affine metric on \mathcal{H} . By choosing a local complex coordinates z on Ω , the structure equation (1) of Blaschke connection and Blaschke (affine) metric give rise to

$$(6) \quad \Delta\phi + 4e^{-2\phi} \|U\|^2 - 2e^\phi + 2 = 0,$$

where the affine metric is $e^\phi g$, $g = e^\psi |dz|^2$ is the hyperbolic metric on Ω and U is a holomorphic cubic differential. Here $\Delta = 4e^{-\psi} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}$ is

the Laplacian and $\|\cdot\|^2 = |\cdot|^2 e^{-3\psi}$ is the induced norm from g . Note that the cubic differential $U = 0$ implies $\phi = 0$, and in this case, the affine structure is just a Riemannian hyperbolic structure. Again by Benzécri's compactness theorem, with the notations from Section 2.1, if we write $U(z) = f(z)dz^3$,

Proposition 3.1 (Benoist-Hulin, [4]). *There exists a universal constant C independent of the projective structure such that the ratio $|U|^{2/3}/\mu_h$ between the affine measure $\mu_h = (-u)^{-3}|dz|^2$ and the Pick measure $|U|^{2/3} = |f(z)|^{2/3}|dz|^2$ is uniformly bounded by C .*

Lemma 3.2. *If (U_n, g) is a sequence of real projective structures whose Blaschke metrics are given by $e^{\psi_n}g$. Then $\|U_n\|^2 \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if the corresponding Hilbert metric area tends to infinity.*

Proof. If we write the affine measure $h = (-u)^{-3}|dz|^2 = e^{\phi+\psi}|dz|^2$ as above where $g = e^{\psi}|dz|^2$ is the underlying hyperbolic measure, by Proposition 3.1, $|f(z)|^{2/3} < C'e^{\phi+\psi}$ for some universal constant C' . Hence $\|U(z)\|^2 = |f(z)|^2 e^{-3\psi} < C e^{3\phi}$ for some universal constant C . Let $U_n(z) = f_n(z)dz^3$ in a local holomorphic coordinates. From

$$\|U_n\|^2 = \int_S \|U_n(z)\|^2 dvol_g \rightarrow \infty,$$

$|f_n(z_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $z_n \in S$. Since S is compact, after passing to a subsequence, $z_n \rightarrow z \in S$. By the continuity of f_n , $f_n(z) \rightarrow \infty$. Since f_n is holomorphic, by the maximal principle, there exists \mathcal{O} around z , such that $f_n|_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly. Hence on \mathcal{O} , $e^{3\phi_n} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\phi_n} e^{\psi} |dz|^2 \leq \int_S e^{\phi_n} e^{\psi} |dz|^2 = \text{Blaschke area} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence the Blaschke area tends to infinity, so does the Hilbert area.

Conversely if the Hilbert area tends to infinity, by integrating the equation (4) of the curvature of the Blaschke metric with respect to the Blaschke area, and using $|f(z)|^{2/3} < C'e^{\phi_n+\psi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Blaschke area} &= 2\pi|\chi(S)| + 2 \int_S |f_n(z)|^2 e^{-3(\phi_n+\psi)} e^{(\phi_n+\psi)} |dz|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi|\chi(S)| + 2 \int_S |f_n(z)|^2 (C')^2 |f_n(z)|^{-\frac{4}{3}} |dz|^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi|\chi(S)| + 2(C')^2 \int_S |f_n(z)|^{\frac{2}{3}} |dz|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we get $f_n(z_n) \rightarrow \infty$ for some $z_n \in S$. Then the rest of the argument is similar as above. \square

3.2. Degeneration of projective structures. If Ω is not a triangle, the elements of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ acting on a properly convex domain Ω are classified as follows (see [21]):

(1) **Hyperbolic** : the matrix is conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda^+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^- \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \lambda^+ > \lambda^0 > \lambda^- > 0 \\ \text{and } \lambda^+ \lambda^0 \lambda^- = 1.$$

(2) **Quasi-hyperbolic** : the matrix is conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha^2 \beta = 1 \\ \text{and } \alpha, \beta \neq 1.$$

(3) **Parabolic** : the matrix is conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(4) **Elliptic** : the matrix is conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \theta < 2\pi.$$

Choose coordinates in \mathbb{RP}^2 so that the hyperbolic action is given by the diagonal action $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. The three fixed points of this action are the attracting fixed point $[1, 0, 0]$, the repelling fixed point $[0, 0, 1]$, and the saddle fixed point $[0, 1, 0]$. Define the **principal triangle** T as the projection onto \mathbb{RP}^2 of the first octant in \mathbb{R}^3 . The quotient of this principal triangle by the hyperbolic isometry is called the **principal half-annulus**, which has an infinite length (and an infinite volume) with respect to the Hilbert metric. The **principal geodesic** \tilde{l} associated to this matrix is the straight line in the boundary of T from the repelling to the attracting fixed point.

The Hilbert length of the action of the hyperbolic isometry γ is given by

$$\ell(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}.$$

If one pinches down a simple closed geodesic in a hyperbolic surface, the hyperbolic surface will converge to a hyperbolic surface with a neck along that closed geodesic in Mumford compactification of the

Teichmüller space. Such a phenomenon occurs even in the real projective structures even though the Hilbert length along the neck is not necessarily zero.

An end of a convex \mathbb{RP}^2 surface with hyperbolic holonomy has **bulge** ∞ if the image of the developing map contains a principal triangle T . Similarly, an end of a convex \mathbb{RP}^2 surface with hyperbolic holonomy has **bulge** $-\infty$ if the image of the developing map has the principal geodesic \tilde{l} in its boundary. See the statement before Section 2.4 in [20].

A pair of ends of a convex projective surface forms a **regular separated neck** in three categories: See the statement before Theorem 2.6.1 in [20]

- (1) The holonomy around each end is parabolic
- (2) The holonomy around each end is quasi-hyperbolic,
- (3) The holonomy around each end is hyperbolic; the oriented holonomies around each end are, up to conjugation, inverses of each other; and the \mathbb{RP}^2 structure about one of the two ends has bulge ∞ , while the other end has bulge $-\infty$.

Note that in the first case, the Hilbert length of the holonomy is zero, and for the last two cases, the Hilbert length of the holonomy is positive.

A simple end of a convex projective surface is called regular if it forms half of a regular separated neck. It is shown in [20] that all the neck-separating degenerations of convex projective structure on a surface fall into the above three categories. The degenerated structure carries a regular cubic differential U over a nodal surface Σ , where each end is determined by the residue R , where $U = R\frac{dz^3}{z^3} + O(z^{-2})$. The residue R corresponds to the three cases above as follows: See Theorem 4.1.1 in [20]

- (1) $R = 0$, then the end is parabolic.
- (2) $\operatorname{Re}R \neq 0$, then the end is hyperbolic.
- (3) $R \neq 0$ but $\operatorname{Re}R = 0$, then the end is quasi-hyperbolic.

In the latter two cases, in the universal cover Ω , **either the axis of (quasi)-hyperbolic isometry is a part of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, or the principal triangle is attached along the principal geodesic \tilde{l} depending on whether the bulge is $-\infty$ or ∞ .**

Note that if a sequence of projective structures degenerate to the one with a (quasi)-hyperbolic regular separated neck l , the Hilbert length of any curve transversal to l must go to infinity since the neck will be separated in the limit. Note also that the corresponding domains Ω_s converge to the limit domain Ω_∞ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology under degeneration and we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let S_t be a smooth deformation of strictly convex projective structures on S , which develops a regular separated neck along a simple closed curve c with (quasi)-hyperbolic holonomy at the limit structure. Then the Hilbert volume of S_t tends to infinity.*

Proof. Since the Hilbert length of a simple closed curve c tends to the translation length of a limit (quasi)-hyperbolic isometry, and the length of any transversal curve to c tends to infinity, the volume of this embedded cylinder tends to infinity, so does the volume of S_t . \square

4. HILBERT METRIC CASE

In [15], it is shown that $h_{SRB}^s = 1 + \eta^s$ along the smooth deformation where h_{SRB}^s is the SRB measure entropy and $\eta^s \leq 0$ is the sum of the parallel Lyapunov exponents. Furthermore, there exists a subset of full Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{E} \subset \partial\Omega_s$ such that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{E}$, the approximately regular exponent

$$\beta^s \geq \alpha^s = \alpha(\psi) = \frac{2}{1 + \eta^s} \geq 2.$$

In addition, η^s and α^s are continuous functions in s . Indeed, the denominator $1 + \eta^s$ is equal to χ_s^+ , the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents, which is again a continuous function in s . Note that $\alpha^s, \beta^s \rightarrow \infty$ if $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow 0$.

In this section, we show that; if $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow 0$, then the Hilbert area tends to infinity. By [15], we know that

- (1) $\alpha^s \rightarrow \infty$ on the full Lebesgue measure subset of $\partial\Omega_s$,
- (2) α^s is a continuous function in s .

Recall that \mathcal{T} is the Teichmüller space of S , $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}/Mod(S)$ the moduli space, \mathcal{P} the space of the marked strictly convex projective structures, which can be identified as a holomorphic vector bundle over \mathcal{T} whose fibres are holomorphic cubic differentials.

Let S_s be such a smooth family of deformations of projective structures with $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow 0$. Let S_s correspond to (g_s, U_s) where U_s is a holomorphic cubic differentials on the hyperbolic surface with metric g_s .

CASE i) (g_s, U_s) is contained in a compact set of \mathcal{P} .

In this case there is a limit $S_s \rightarrow S_\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ after passing to a subsequence. Then by the continuity of the entropy map, $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow h_{SRB}^\infty > 0$, which is a contradiction to the assumption that $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow 0$.

CASE ii) (g_s, U_s) is not contained in a compact set of \mathcal{P} .

Suppose the image of g_s lie in a compact set of the moduli space \mathcal{M} of S . By applying elements in the mapping class group to S_s , we

may assume that the set $\{g_s\}$ lie in a compact set of Teichmüller space. Hence $\|U_s\|^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Then the Hilbert area of S_s must diverge to ∞ by Lemma 3.2. In this case, even the topological entropy tends to zero by [22].

Suppose that g_s does not lie in a compact set of moduli space \mathcal{M} . By Mumford compactness theorem, the systole length of g_s must go to zero. Since the action of the mapping class group on the curve complex is cocompact (even on the pants complex), by applying mapping class group again and passing to a subsequence, we can assume that the hyperbolic length $\ell_{g_s}(c) \rightarrow 0$ for any c in a finite system of disjoint, non-homotopic simple closed curves C on S . Then the degenerating projective structure is regular as described in Section 3.2, see [20]. Let $\Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

SUBCASE I) Suppose all of the Hilbert lengths of c in C tend to zero.

In this case, all the necks become parabolic, and the corresponding Ω is strictly convex admitting a finite volume quotient. Thus Ω is β convex for a finite $\beta > 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Then by Corollary 2.4, this case is forbidden.

SUBCASE II) Some of the Hilbert length of c in C tend to positive numbers.

These correspond to two last cases of regular degenerating cases, and the area of at least one end tends to infinity by Corollary 3.3.

In conclusion, the area will tend to infinity if the SRB measure entropy tends to zero. This finishes the proof.

Remark 4.1. For any dimension, if $h_{SRB}^s \rightarrow 0$, then $\alpha^s, \beta^s \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is not difficult to show that harmonic quadruplets tend to ∞ as well [3] [Section 5.4]. Then by Prop. 3.2 of [3], the delta hyperbolicity $\delta^s \rightarrow \infty$.

5. PROOF FOR BLASCKE METRIC

Let (M, σ) be a Riemann surface and h_σ^λ the measure entropy with respect to the Liouville measure on the unit tangent bundle. The total area of the surface is denoted by V_σ and $d\mu_\sigma = \frac{d\text{vol}_\sigma}{V_\sigma}$ the normalized volume form. Let ρ be a function coming from the conformal equivalence theorem, i.e., $\rho\sigma$ has constant negative curvature with the same volume as σ , such that $\int \rho d\mu_\sigma = 1$. We set $\int \rho^{1/2} d\mu_\sigma = \rho_\sigma \leq 1$. Then it is proved in [16]

Theorem 5.1. *If σ is a metric without focal points, then*

$$h_\sigma^\lambda \leq \rho_\sigma (-2\pi\chi(M)/V_\sigma)^{1/2}.$$

It the Hilbert area of projective surface S_s with respect to Hilbert metric tends to infinity, by Benoist-Hulin, the area of S_s with respect to Blaschke metric tends to infinity, hence by the previous theorem, the metric entropy tends to zero.

Lemma 5.2. *The measure entropy with respect to Blaschke metric is equal to the measure entropy of SRB measure of Blaschke metric.*

Proof. Since the Blaschke metric is strictly negative, its geodesic flow is Anosov, hence μ_{SRB} is equal to Liouville measure. \square

In the proof above, we only used the fact that the area of the surface with respect to strictly negatively curved Riemannian metrics tends to infinity. Hence we have

Theorem 5.3. *The entropy of SRB measures of Riemann surface with curvature $-1 \leq \kappa < 0$, tends to zero if and only if the area of surface tends to infinity. Especially, this holds for the strictly convex real projective surfaces with respect to Blaschke metrics whose Hilbert area tends to infinity.*

Proof. Suppose that the SRB entropy tends to zero. By Osserman-Sarnak [24], if $h_\sigma^\lambda \rightarrow 0$, then the following integral tends to zero.

$$h_\sigma^\lambda \geq \int \sqrt{-\kappa} d\mu_\sigma \geq \int -\kappa d\mu_\sigma.$$

Then by Gauss-Bonnet theorem

$$\frac{\int -\kappa d\text{vol}_\sigma}{V_\sigma} = \frac{-2\pi\chi(S)}{V_\sigma},$$

hence $V_\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. \square

In [16], it is also shown that the asymptotic Cheeger isoperimetric constant C_σ , which is defined as the lower limit of the ratios of the length of a rectifiable closed Jordan curve on \tilde{M} to the area bounded by the curve as the area goes to infinity, satisfies

$$C_\sigma \leq \rho_\sigma(-2\pi\chi(S)/V_\sigma)^{1/2}.$$

Hence as a corollary we get

Corollary 5.4. *The asymptotic Cheeger isoperimetric constant with respect to both Hilbert and Blaschke metrics goes to zero as the Hilbert area tends to infinity.*

Proof. Since Blaschke metric and Hilbert metric are comparable, the above inequality holds for both metrics. \square

We also have

Corollary 5.5. *If SRB measure entropy tends to zero for convex projective surface with Hilbert metric, the SRB measure entropy of Blaschke metric tends to zero also.*

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Adeboye, H. Bray, and D. Constantine, Entropy rigidity and Hilbert volume, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 39 (2019), no. 4, 1731–1744.
- [2] D. Anosov and Y. Sinai, Some smooth ergodic systems, *Russ. Math. Survey.* 22 (1967), 103–167.
- [3] Y. Benoist, Convexes hyperboliques et fonctions quasisymétriques, *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 97 (2003), no. 1, 181–237.
- [4] Y. Benoist and D. Hulin, Cubic differentials and finite volume convex projective surfaces, *Geometry & Topology* 17 (2013), no. 1, 595–620.
- [5] Y. Benoist and D. Hulin, Cubic differentials and hyperbolic convex sets, *JDG* 98 (2014), 1–19.
- [6] J-P. Benzécri, Sur les variétés localement affines et localement projectives, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 88 (1960), 229–332.
- [7] F. Bonahon and I. Kim, The Goldman and Fock-Goncharov coordinates for convex projective structures on surfaces, *Geom. Dedicata*, 192 (2018), 43–55.
- [8] E. Calabi, Complete affine hyperspheres I., In *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. X, pages 19–38, 1972.
- [9] S. Cheng and S. Yau, On the regularity of the monge-ampère equation $\det(\partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j) = F(x, u)$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30 (1977), 41–68.
- [10] B. Colbois, C. Vernicos, and P. Verovic, Area of ideal triangles and Gromov hyperbolicity in Hilbert geometry, *Illinois Jour. Math.* 52 (2008), 319–343.
- [11] M. Crampon, Dynamics and entropies of Hilbert metrics, Thèse, Université de Strasbourg, 2011.
- [12] M. Crampon, Entropies of strictly convex projective manifolds, *J. Mod. Dyn.* 3 (2009), 511–547.
- [13] O. Guichard, Sur la régularité Holder des convexes divisibles, *Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys.*, 25 (2005), 1857–1880.
- [14] P. Foulon and I. Kim, Topological entropy and bulging deformation of real projective structures on surface, to appear. arXiv:1608.06799
- [15] P. Foulon and I. Kim, Continuity of the SRB entropy of convex projective structures, *Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys.*, 41 (2021), 2369–2381.
- [16] A. Katok, Four applications of conformal equivalence to geometry and dynamics, *Erg. Th. & Dyn. Sys* (1998), 8, 139–152.
- [17] F. Labourie, Flat projective structures on surfaces and cubic holomorphic differentials, *Pure Appl. Math. Q*, 3 (2007), 1057–1099.
- [18] F. Ledrappier and L.-S. Young, The metric entropy of diffeomorphism, *Ann. of Math.*, 122 (1985), 509–574.
- [19] J. Loftin, Affine spheres and convex RP^n manifolds, *American Journal of Math.* 123 (2) (2001), 255–274.
- [20] J. Loftin, Convex \mathbb{RP}^2 structures and cubic differentials under neck separation, *JDG*. 113 (2019), 315–383.
- [21] L. Marquis, Surface projective convexe de volume fini, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 62 (2012), 325–392.

- [22] X. Nie, Entropy degeneration of convex projective surfaces, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* 19 (2015), 318-322.
- [23] V.I. Oseledec, A multiplicative ergodic theorem, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 19 (1968), 197-231.
- [24] R. Osserman and P. Sarnak, A new curvature invariant and entropy of geodesic flows, *Invent. Math.* 77, (1984), 455-462.
- [25] L. Young, What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them?, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, Vol. 108, Nos. 516, September 2002.
- [26] T. Zhang, The degeneration of convex \mathbb{RP}^2 structures on surfaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc* 111 (2015), 967-1012.

Patrick Foulon

Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Marseille, France.

UMR 822, 163 avenue de Luminy

13288 Marseille cedex 9, France

`foulon@cirm-math.fr`

Inkang Kim

School of Mathematics

KIAS, Heogiro 85, Dongdaemen-gu

Seoul, 02455, Korea

`inkang@kias.re.kr`