

SYMPLECTIC RIGIDITY OF O'GRADY'S TENFOLDS

LUCA GIOVENZANA, ANNALISA GROSSI, CLAUDIO ONORATI,
AND DAVIDE CESARE VENIANI

ABSTRACT. We prove that any symplectic automorphism of finite order of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of O'Grady's 10-dimensional deformation type is trivial.

1. INTRODUCTION

O'Grady [11] constructs an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold \widetilde{M} of dimension 10 and second Betti number 24 as a crepant resolution of a certain moduli space M of coherent sheaves on a K3 surface. Any complex manifold X which is deformation equivalent to \widetilde{M} is said to be of *type* OG10.

In this short note, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If X is a complex manifold of type OG10, and $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is a symplectic automorphism of finite order, then f is the identity.*

Type OG10 was the only remaining known deformation type of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds that lacked a systematic treatment of symplectic automorphisms in the literature. [Theorem 1.1](#) fills this gap. For references to the works on the other types, see the historical note in [4, §1.1].

A similar theorem holds for symplectic automorphisms of the other sporadic deformation type OG6 found by O'Grady in dimension 6 (see [4, Theorem 1.1]). On the other hand, [Theorem 1.1](#) does not hold for birational transformations. Indeed, it is known that manifolds of type OG10 can admit non-trivial birational transformations (see, for instance, [Remark 2.3](#) for a lattice theoretical argument, or [1, §7.3] for a geometrical example).

Thanks to a result by Mongardi and Wandel [9], the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) consists essentially in showing that there exists no symplectic automorphism f of finite order acting non-trivially on the second integral cohomology lattice. The proof is divided into two parts, according to the action of f on the discriminant group. In the case of trivial action, we use a trick involving the Leech lattice already employed by Gaberdiel, Hohenegger and Volpato [3], and the classification of invariant sublattices of the Leech

Date: June 24, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J42 (14J50).

Key words and phrases. Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold, symplectic automorphism.

Luca Giovenzana was supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) New Investigator Award EP/V005545/1 "Mirror Symmetry for Fibrations and Degenerations". Annalisa Grossi was supported by the DFG through the research grant Le 3093/3-2. Claudio Onorati gratefully acknowledges the PRIN grant with CUP E84I19000500006 for financial support.

lattice by Höhn and Mason [5]. In the case of non-trivial action, we take advantage of some bounds by Rogers [14] and Leech [7] related to the sphere packing problem.

The paper is divided into two sections. In §2, we introduce the notation and recall some known results. In §3, we prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we use exactly the same conventions and notation for lattices as in our previous paper [4, §2]. In particular, the discriminant group of a lattice L is denoted by L^\sharp , and the divisibility of $v \in L$ is denoted by (v, L) . The natural homomorphism $O(L) \rightarrow O(L^\sharp)$ is denoted $g \mapsto g^\sharp$. A *root* is a vector v in a negative definite lattice L such that $v^2 = -2$. The length and the p -length of an abelian group A are denoted by $\ell(A)$ and $\ell_p(A)$, respectively.

2.1. Torelli theorem. We define

$$\mathbf{L} := 3\mathbf{U} \oplus 2\mathbf{E}_8 \oplus \mathbf{A}_2.$$

By a result of Rapagnetta [13], the second integral cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of any manifold X of type OG10, together with the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form, is isomorphic to the lattice \mathbf{L} .

Wall divisors and prime exceptional divisors of manifolds of type OG10 have been characterized by Mongardi and Onorati [8]. We define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\text{OG}^{10}}^{\text{pex}} &:= \{v \in \mathbf{L} \mid v^2 = -2\} \cup \{v \in \mathbf{L} \mid v^2 = -6, (v, \mathbf{L}) = 3\}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\text{OG}^{10}} &:= \mathcal{W}_{\text{OG}^{10}}^{\text{pex}} \cup \{v \in \mathbf{L} \mid v^2 = -4\} \cup \{v \in \mathbf{L} \mid v^2 = -24, (v, \mathbf{L}) = 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

The monodromy group of a manifold of type OG10 coincides with the subgroup $O^+(\mathbf{L})$ of isometries of positive spinor norm [12]. A subgroup $G \subset O(\mathbf{L})$ such that \mathbf{L}_G is negative definite satisfies $G \subset O^+(\mathbf{L})$ (see e.g. [4, Lemma 2.3]). Therefore, a proof analogous to [4, Theorems 2.16 and 2.17] holds for the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *A finite subgroup $G \subset O(\mathbf{L})$ is induced by a group of symplectic automorphisms of a manifold of type OG10 if and only if \mathbf{L}_G is negative definite and*

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{L}_G \cap \mathcal{W}_{\text{OG}^{10}} = \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.2. *A finite subgroup $G \subset O(\mathbf{L})$ is induced by a group of symplectic birational transformations of a manifold of type OG10 if and only if \mathbf{L}_G is negative definite and*

$$\mathbf{L}_G \cap \mathcal{W}_{\text{OG}^{10}}^{\text{pex}} = \emptyset.$$

Note, in particular, that the coinvariant lattice \mathbf{L}_G does not contain roots.

Remark 2.3. Manifolds of type OG10 with non-trivial symplectic birational transformations do exist. Consider for instance the involution $g \in O(\mathbf{L})$ which consists in exchanging the two copies of \mathbf{E}_8 and is the identity elsewhere. Then, \mathbf{L}_g is isomorphic to $\mathbf{E}_8(2)$. In particular, any $v \in \mathbf{L}_g$ satisfies $4 \mid v^2$, so the group generated by g satisfies the conditions of [Theorem 2.2](#).

TABLE 1. Rogers' upper bounds on center density δ , as computed by Leech.

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
b_n	0.5	0.28868	0.1847	0.13127	0.09987	0.08112	0.06981	0.06326
n	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
b_n	0.06007	0.05953	0.06136	0.06559	0.07253	0.08278	0.09735	0.11774
n	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
b_n	0.14624	0.18629	0.24308	0.32454	0.44289	0.61722	0.87767	1.27241

2.2. Leech lattice. The *Leech lattice*, which we denote by Λ_{24} , is the unique even, unimodular, negative definite lattice of rank 24 without roots.

Let $\Lambda_{1,25}$ be the even unimodular lattice of signature $(1, 25)$. The following proposition was originally proved by Gaberdiel, Hohenegger and Volpato [3, §B.2]. The same argument was reproduced by Huybrechts [6, §2.2, p. 398].

Proposition 2.4 ([3], [6]). *Let L be a lattice, and let $G \subset O(L)$ be a subgroup of isometries which act trivially on the discriminant group L^\sharp , such that L_G is negative definite and does not contain roots. If there exists a primitive embedding $L_G \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{1,25}$, then G is isomorphic to a subgroup $G \subset O(\Lambda_{24})$ such that*

$$L_G \cong (\Lambda_{24})_G.$$

2.3. Sphere packings. Let L be a positive definite lattice of rank n . Its *minimal norm* μ and *packing radius* ρ are defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad \mu := \min\{v^2 \mid v \in L \setminus \{0\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho := \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mu}.$$

The *center density* of L is defined as

$$(3) \quad \delta := \frac{\rho^n}{\sqrt{\det L}}.$$

For $n \leq 24$, there exist upper bounds b_n on the center density δ found by Rogers [14], explicitly computed by Leech [7], and reproduced by Conway and Sloane in [2, Table 1.2, p. 15]. For the reader's convenience, we copied these bounds in Table 1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We fix an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X of type OG10 and a symplectic automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ of finite order. We claim that f acts trivially on the second integral cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and is thus the identity, by a result of Mongardi and Wandel [9, Theorem 3.1].

Choose any marking for X , i.e. an isometry $\eta: H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$, and let

$$g := \eta \circ (f^{-1})^* \circ \eta^{-1} \in O(\mathbf{L})$$

be the isometry of \mathbf{L} induced by f . Suppose that g is not the identity. We seek a contradiction on the coinvariant lattice \mathbf{L}_g . We put

$$n := \text{rk } \mathbf{L}_g.$$

Up to taking powers, we can suppose without loss of generality that g has prime order p . Since \mathbf{L}_g is negative definite by [Theorem 2.1](#), and \mathbf{L} has signature $(3, 21)$, we have

$$(4) \quad n \leq 21.$$

We distinguish two cases, treated respectively in [§3.1](#) and [§3.2](#), according to whether g acts trivially on the discriminant group \mathbf{L}^\sharp or not.

3.1. Trivial action on discriminant group. Suppose first that g acts trivially on the discriminant group \mathbf{L}^\sharp .

Note that \mathbf{L}_g is negative definite and does not contain roots by [Theorem 2.1](#). Thanks to [\[10, Proposition 1.15.1\]](#), the length of the abelian group \mathbf{L}_g^\sharp satisfies

$$\ell(\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp) \leq \ell((\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp) + \ell(\mathbf{L}^\sharp) \leq \text{rk } \mathbf{L}^g + 1 = (24 - \text{rk } \mathbf{L}_g) + 1 < \text{rk } \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1,25} - \text{rk } \mathbf{L}_g.$$

Hence, it follows from [\[10, Corollary 1.12.3\]](#) that there exists a primitive embedding $\mathbf{L}_g \hookrightarrow \mathbf{\Lambda}_{1,25}$. Therefore, all hypotheses of [Proposition 2.4](#) are satisfied, and we conclude that there exists an element $g \in \text{O}(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24})$ of order p such that

$$\mathbf{L}_g \cong (\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24})_g.$$

We now use the results of Höhn and Mason [\[5\]](#) on invariant and coinvariant lattices of the Leech lattice $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24}$. By [\[4, Lemma 2.8\]](#), the coinvariant lattice \mathbf{L}_g is a p -elementary lattice. Since $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24}$ is unimodular, the invariant lattice $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24}^g$ is also p -elementary.

By inspection of the column “genus” in [\[5, Table 1\]](#), we find eleven possible p -elementary (co)invariant lattices of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{24}$, namely

- cases no. 2, no. 5 and no. 14, with $p = 2$;
- cases no. 4, no. 22 and no. 35, with $p = 3$;
- cases no. 20 and no. 122, with $p = 5$;
- case no. 52, with $p = 7$;
- case no. 120, with $p = 11$;
- case no. 227, with $p = 23$.

The list of coinvariant lattices can be found in Höhn and Mason’s supplementary text file in Magma format (see [\[5, Appendix A\]](#)).

For the reader’s convenience, we wrote eleven Gram matrices corresponding to these lattices in the arXiv ancillary file `eleven-cases.txt`. (The matrices in the file are positive definite, following Höhn and Mason’s convention, so they actually correspond to $\mathbf{L}_g(-1)$). All of them contain a vector of square -4 . Hence, [Condition \(1\)](#) is not satisfied, and we have a contradiction.

3.2. Non-trivial action on discriminant group. Suppose now that g acts non-trivially on the discriminant group \mathbf{L}^\sharp . Necessarily, $p = 2$.

Consider the embedding $\mathbf{L}^g \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}$. Let $\gamma: H \rightarrow H'$ be the gluing isometry, where H is a subgroup of $(\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp$ and H' is a subgroup of \mathbf{L}_g^\sharp . The isometry is equivariant with respect to the action of g , which is the identity on \mathbf{L}^g and multiplication by -1 on \mathbf{L}_g . Therefore, for every $\xi \in H$ we have

$$\xi = g^\sharp(\xi) = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma(g^\sharp(\xi))) = \gamma^{-1}(g^\sharp(\gamma(\xi))) = \gamma^{-1}(-(\gamma(\xi))) = -\xi,$$

so all elements of H have order 2, i.e. H is a 2-elementary abelian group, say of length ℓ . In particular,

$$|H| = 2^\ell.$$

By [4, Equation (5)], it holds

$$|H|^2 \cdot |\det \mathbf{L}| = |\det \mathbf{L}^g \cdot \det \mathbf{L}_g|,$$

which can be written as

$$3 = |\det \mathbf{L}| = [(\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp : H] \cdot [\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp : H'].$$

Thus, either $[(\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp : H] = 3$ and $\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp = H'$, or $(\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp = H$ and $[\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp : H'] = 3$. In the former case, though, the action of g on \mathbf{L}^\sharp is trivial, because $\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp = H'$ is 2-elementary. Thus, the latter case holds and

$$|\det \mathbf{L}_g| = |\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp| = [\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp : H'] \cdot |H'| = 3 \cdot |H| = 3 \cdot 2^\ell.$$

Note that

$$\ell \leq \ell_2((\mathbf{L}^g)^\sharp) \leq \text{rk } \mathbf{L}^g = 24 - n \quad \text{and} \quad \ell \leq \ell_2(\mathbf{L}_g^\sharp) \leq \text{rk } \mathbf{L}_g = n,$$

where, we recall, $n = \text{rk } \mathbf{L}_g$. Therefore, we obtain the following upper bound:

$$(5) \quad |\det \mathbf{L}_g| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{\min(n, 24-n)}.$$

We now look for a lower bound. By Condition (1) of [Theorem 2.1](#), \mathbf{L}_g does not contain vectors of square -2 or -4 . Since $\mathbf{L}_g(-1)$ is an even (positive definite) lattice, its minimal norm μ satisfies

$$(6) \quad \mu \geq 6.$$

We let b_n be Rogers' bound on the center density in dimension n , as in [Table 1](#). Then, denoting δ and ρ respectively the center density and the packing radius of $\mathbf{L}_g(-1)$, it follows from (2), (3) and (6) that

$$(7) \quad |\det \mathbf{L}_g| = \frac{\rho^{2n}}{\delta^2} \geq \frac{\rho^{2n}}{b_n^2} = \frac{\mu^n}{2^{2n} b_n^2} \geq \frac{3^n}{2^n b_n^2}.$$

Since \mathbf{L} has signature $(3, 21)$, we have $n \leq 21$. Now, an explicit computation shows that

$$3 \cdot 2^{\min(n, 24-n)} < \frac{3^n}{2^n b_n^2}$$

for all $n \in \{2, \dots, 21\}$, so the two bounds (5) and (7) contradict each other. Hence, \mathbf{L}_g can only exist in rank $n = 1$, in which case $\mathbf{L}_g \cong [-6]$. Let v be a generator of \mathbf{L}_g and w be any other vector in \mathbf{L} . Since g is an involution, $w + g(w) \in \mathbf{L}^g$ and $w - g(w) \in \mathbf{L}_g$. Therefore, given that $(v, \mathbf{L}_g) = 6$, we have

$$(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}(v, w + g(w)) + \frac{1}{2}(v, w - g(w)) = \frac{1}{2}(v, w - g(w)) \in 3\mathbb{Z},$$

i.e. $(v, \mathbf{L}) = 3$. In particular, Condition (1) of [Theorem 2.1](#) is not satisfied and this case cannot occur either. This finishes the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). \square

REFERENCES

1. Thorsten Beckmann and Georg Oberdieck, *Equivariant categories of symplectic surfaces and fixed loci of Bridgeland moduli spaces*, preprint, [arXiv:2006.13899v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.13899v2) (2020).
2. John H. Conway and Neil J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, third ed., Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999, with additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov. MR 1662447
3. Matthias R. Gaberdiel, Stefan Hohenegger, and Roberto Volpato, *Symmetries of K3 sigma models*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **6** (2012), no. 1, 1–50. MR 2955931
4. Annalisa Grossi, Claudio Onorati, and Davide Cesare Veniani, *Symplectic birational transformations of finite order on O’Grady’s sixfolds*, Kyoto J. Math., to appear, [arXiv:2009.02120v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.02120v4) (2021).
5. Gerald Höhn and Geoffrey Mason, *The 290 fixed-point sublattices of the Leech lattice*, J. Algebra **448** (2016), 618–637. MR 3438323
6. Daniel Huybrechts, *On derived categories of K3 surfaces, symplectic automorphisms and the Conway group*, Development of moduli theory—Kyoto 2013, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 69, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2016, pp. 387–405. MR 3586514
7. John Leech, *Notes on sphere packings*, Canadian J. Math. **19** (1967), 251–267. MR 209983
8. Giovanni Mongardi and Claudio Onorati, *Birational geometry of irreducible holomorphic symplectic tenfolds of O’Grady type*, Math. Z. **300** (2022), no. 4, 3497–3526. MR 4395101
9. Giovanni Mongardi and Malte Wandel, *Automorphisms of O’Grady’s manifolds acting trivially on cohomology*, Algebr. Geom. **4** (2017), no. 1, 104–119. MR 3592467
10. Viacheslav V. Nikulin, *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), no. 1, 111–177 (Russian), English translation: Math USSR-Izv. **14** (1979), no. 1, 103–167 (1980). MR 525944
11. Kieran G. O’Grady, *Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **512** (1999), 49–117. MR 1703077
12. Claudio Onorati, *On the monodromy group of desingularised moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **31** (2022), 425–465.
13. Antonio Rapagnetta, *On the Beauville form of the known irreducible symplectic varieties*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 1, 77–95. MR 2349768
14. Claude Ambrose Rogers, *The packing of equal spheres*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **8** (1958), 609–620. MR 102052

(Luca Giovenzana) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY, LOUGHBOROUGH, LEICESTERSHIRE, LE11 3TU, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: l.giovenzana@lboro.ac.uk

(Annalisa Grossi) FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, TU CHEMNITZ, REICHENHAINER STR. 39, 09126 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY

Email address: annalisa.grossi@math.tu-chemnitz.de

(Claudio Onorati) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, 00133 ROMA, ITALY

Email address: onorati@mat.uniroma2.it

(Davide Cesare Veniani) INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE STRUKTUREN UND SYMBOLISCHES RECHNEN, UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART, PFAFFENWALDRING 57, 70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

Email address: davide.veniani@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de