

Extremely broken generalized \mathcal{PT} symmetry

Francisco M. Fernández*
 INIFTA, DQT, Sucursal 4, C.C 16,
 1900 La Plata, Argentina

Abstract

We discuss some simple tridiagonal matrix representations of non-Hermitian operators with antiunitary symmetries that include generalized \mathcal{PT} symmetry. One of them exhibits extremely broken antiunitary symmetry because of the degeneracy of the operator in the Hermitian limit. These examples illustrate the effect of point-group symmetry on the spectrum of the operators. We construct the necessary unitary matrices by means of simple graphical representations of the operators.

1 Introduction

Since the seminal paper by Bender and Boettcher [1] on parity-time symmetry in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, there has been great interest in all kinds of physical problems that lead to \mathcal{PT} -symmetric equations (see [2] and references therein). Strictly speaking, the parity operation is given by $\mathcal{P}f(\mathbf{x}) = f(-\mathbf{x})$, where \mathbf{x} represents the set of coordinates that describe the system. However, in some applications other operators \mathcal{P} that satisfy $\mathcal{P}^2 = \hat{1}$, where $\hat{1}$ is the identity operator, have served as parity, such as, for example, the 2×2 matrix representation chosen by Bender [2]. In this way, several fancy names emerged, like partial \mathcal{PT} symmetry [3] or the humorous reverse-order partial \mathcal{PT} symme-

*fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

try [4]. Such kind of pseudo-parity operators are particular cases of the unitary operators that lead to antiunitary symmetries [4-6].

Most studies based on parameter-dependent operators discuss the range of model parameter values for which the eigenvalues are real or appear as complex-conjugate pairs, which gives rise to the so-called unbroken or broken \mathcal{PT} symmetry, respectively [2] (and references therein). On the other hand, some authors have searched for \mathcal{PT} -symmetric non-Hermitian operators with complex eigenvalues for all non-trivial values of the model parameter [7-9]. It was argued that the occurrence of this kind of *extremely broken* \mathcal{PT} symmetry is due to the point-group or dynamical symmetry of the physical problem and can be predicted by first-order perturbation theory [7-9].

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the concept of antiunitary symmetry [5] as a generalization of \mathcal{PT} symmetry, as well as the effect of point-group symmetry [10,11] on the occurrence of extremely broken antiunitary symmetry.

In section 2 we summarize the main ideas about antiunitary symmetry; in section 3 we illustrate the effect of point-group symmetry by means of two extremely simple examples; finally, in section 4 we summarize the main results and draw conclusions.

2 Antiunitary symmetry

The concept of antiunitary symmetry was developed by Wigner [5] some time ago and later invoked in some discussions on generalized \mathcal{PT} symmetry [4,6,12]. In this section we introduce it in a way somewhat different from our earlier papers [4,6].

We begin present discussion with the complex conjugation operator K defined by

$$K |f\rangle = |f\rangle^*, \quad (1)$$

where $|f\rangle$ belongs to the physical vector space and $*$ stands for complex conjugation. Obviously, $K^2 = \hat{1}$. From the well known properties of complex

conjugation we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(|f\rangle + |g\rangle) &= K|f\rangle + K|g\rangle, \\ Kc|f\rangle &= c^*K|f\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $|g\rangle$ also belongs to the physical vector space and c is a complex number.

If H is a non-Hermitian operator, then it follows from $KHK|f\rangle = KH|f\rangle^* = H^*|f\rangle$ that

$$KHK = H^*. \quad (3)$$

Suppose that there is a unitary operator U ($U^\dagger = U^{-1}$, U^\dagger being the adjoint of U) that satisfies

$$U^\dagger H U = H^* = KHK. \quad (4)$$

Therefore,

$$A^{-1}HA = H, \quad (5)$$

where $A = UK$ is said to be an antiunitary symmetry of the physical system represented by H . Equation (5) is equivalent to

$$[H, A] = HA - AH = 0. \quad (6)$$

It is worth noticing that A satisfies equations (2).

In some cases, there is a set of unitary operators $S_U = \{U_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ that satisfy equation (4) and we can, therefore, construct a set of antiunitary operators $S_A = \{A_j = U_j K, j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ that leave H invariant as in equation (5). One can easily verify that $U_i U_j \notin S_U$ because $(U_i U_j)^\dagger H U_i U_j = H$. On the other hand, $A_i A_j \in S_A$.

If $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of H with eigenvalue E

$$H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle, \quad (7)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} [H, A]|\psi\rangle &= HA|\psi\rangle - AH|\psi\rangle = HA|\psi\rangle - AE|\psi\rangle \\ &= HA|\psi\rangle - E^*A|\psi\rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In other words: if E is an eigenvalue of H with eigenvector $|\psi\rangle$ then E^* is an eigenvalue of H with eigenvector $A|\psi\rangle$.

If

$$A|\psi\rangle = a|\psi\rangle, \quad (9)$$

we say that the antiunitary symmetry is unbroken because it follows from equation (8) that $H|\psi\rangle = E^*|\psi\rangle$ and $E = E^*$. If, on the other hand, $|\psi\rangle$ and $A|\psi\rangle$ are linearly independent, then there is an eigenvalue E' of H with eigenvector $A|\psi\rangle$ such that $E' = E^*$.

In order to identify the antiunitary symmetries of a given operator H we just look for unitary operators U that satisfy equation (4). In the following section we illustrate this point by means of some simple examples.

3 Simple examples

In this section, we consider simple tridiagonal matrix representations of non-Hermitian operators. Our first illustrative example is given by the matrix

$$\mathbf{H}_P(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -i\gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i\gamma & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -i\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where γ is real, that may be related to a periodic non-Hermitian lattice with 4 sites. To facilitate the construction of unitary matrices that satisfy (see the general equation (4))

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{H}^*, \quad (11)$$

we resort to the graphical representation of \mathbf{H}_P shown in figure 1. It is worth mentioning that we will refer to unitary matrices for the sake of generality, but if truth be told all the matrices discussed in what follows are real and, consequently, orthogonal.

The unitary matrix

$$\mathbf{U}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

that produces the transformation

$$\mathbf{U}_1 \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ c_1 \\ c_4 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

already satisfies equation (11). Since this matrix satisfies $\mathbf{U}_1^2 = \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{I} is the 4×4 identity matrix, it is a good candidate for generalized parity $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{U}_1$. In order to realize how to construct the unitary matrices in a straightforward way note that this one is just a representation of a rotation of π radians about an axis through the middle of the sides 1 – 2 and 3 – 4 of the square in figure 1.

Another candidate for generalized parity is

$$\mathbf{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

that leads to

$$\mathbf{U}_2 \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_4 \\ c_3 \\ c_2 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Note that $\mathbf{U}_2^2 = \mathbf{I}$ because it is a rotation of π about an axis through the middle of the square sides 1 – 4 and 2 – 3. The unitary matrices just derived are not the only ones that satisfy equation (11).

The unitary matrix

$$\mathbf{U}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

gives rise to the cyclic permutation

$$\mathbf{U}_3 \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

It is a representation of a rotation of $\pi/2$ about an axis through the center of the square in figure 1. For this reason, $\mathbf{U}_3^4 = \mathbf{I}$ which gives rise to two more matrices that satisfy equation (11): $\mathbf{U}_4 = \mathbf{U}_3^2$ and $\mathbf{U}_5 = \mathbf{U}_3^3$. It is clear that $\mathbf{U}_3^\dagger \mathbf{U}_1 \mathbf{U}_3 = \mathbf{U}_2$ because the axes associated to \mathbf{U}_1 and \mathbf{U}_2 are perpendicular. Although $\mathbf{U}_4^2 = \mathbf{I}$, it is not a suitable candidate for generalized \mathcal{PT} symmetry because $\mathbf{U}_4^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}_4 = \mathbf{H}$ as argued in section 2.

The existence of more than one candidate for generalized parity is a good reason for speaking of antiunitary symmetry instead of \mathcal{PT} symmetry or generalized \mathcal{PT} symmetry [12], thus avoiding fancy names like partial \mathcal{PT} symmetry [3] or reverse-order partial \mathcal{PT} symmetry [4], even when the former was observed experimentally [13].

There are two matrices that satisfy $\mathbf{U}_j^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}_j = \mathbf{H}$, $j = 6, 7$, which represent unitary symmetries, and are unsuitable for the construction of antiunitary operators. They are the matrix representations of the rotations of π about axes through opposite vertices of the square in figure 1.

The eigenvalues of the matrix (10) are

$$E_1(\gamma) = -E_4(\gamma) = -\sqrt{4 - \gamma^2}, \quad E_2(\gamma) = -E_3(\gamma) = -i\gamma, \quad (18)$$

that show that the antiunitary symmetries constructed above are broken for all $\gamma \neq 0$. The reason is the degeneracy of $\mathbf{H}_P(0)$ as discussed elsewhere for

other non-Hermitian models [7–9]. Note that perturbation theory yields a γ^2 -power series for E_1 and E_4 and a γ -power series (only one term) for E_2 and E_3 . Once again, we appreciate that degeneracy is the cause of extremely broken antiunitary symmetry. The degeneracy when $\gamma = 0$ is due to the point-group symmetry of $\mathbf{H}_P(0)$ as this matrix is invariant under all the transformations $\mathbf{U}_j^\dagger \mathbf{H}_P(0) \mathbf{U}_j = \mathbf{H}_P(0)$ given by $G_8 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{U}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, 7\}$. This set of 8 matrices is a group isomorphic to C_{4v} that exhibits a two-dimensional irreducible representation E [10,11]. figure 2 shows the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}_P(\gamma)$ for some values of the model parameter. It is clear that the two complex eigenvalues stem from the degenerate ones $E_2(0) = E_3(0)$ as argued in previous papers about other non-Hermitian models [7–9].

Earlier discussions of the effect of point-group symmetry on antiunitary symmetry [7–9] suggest that a model with less symmetry than (10) may exhibit real eigenvalues. One such model is given by the open chain in figure 3 that represents the matrix

$$\mathbf{H}_O(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -i\gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i\gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -i\gamma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

In this case, the unitary matrix (14) is the sole candidate for an antiunitary symmetry because it is the only one that satisfies equation (11); that is to say: $\mathbf{U}_2^\dagger \mathbf{H}_O \mathbf{U}_2 = \mathbf{H}_O^*$. As indicated above, \mathbf{U}_2 is also a candidate for generalized parity.

The eigenvalues of \mathbf{H}_O are

$$E_1 = -E_4 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{-2\gamma^2 + \sqrt{5} + 3}, \quad E_2 = -E_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{-2\gamma^2 - \sqrt{5} + 3}. \quad (20)$$

There are four exceptional points $\gamma_{EP} = \pm(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2, \pm(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ and, consequently, all the eigenvalues are real when $|\gamma| < (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2 \approx 0.618$. They are shown in figure 4 that confirms the argument put forward above. Note that $\mathbf{H}_O(0)$ does not exhibit degenerate states because of its lower symmetry

C_2 (the point-group being $G_2 = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{U}_2\}$) with two one-dimensional irreducible representations [10, 11].

The operator

$$\mathbf{H}_{O_2}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & i\gamma & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -i\gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -i\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

exhibits the same antiunitary symmetry based on \mathbf{U}_2 . The two matrices \mathbf{H}_O and \mathbf{H}_{O_2} are similar because they are related as $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{H}_O\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{H}_{O_2}$ by means of the unitary matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{V}^2 = \mathbf{I}. \quad (22)$$

For this reason they are isospectral.

4 Conclusions

Throughout this paper it has been our purpose to make two points. First, if the chosen candidate for the parity operator \mathcal{P} is not a true parity it is convenient to refer to it simply as a unitary operator and use the term antiunitary symmetry instead of \mathcal{PT} symmetry. The reason is that in many cases there are more than one unitary operator U satisfying $U^2 = \hat{1}$ that may be candidates for generalized \mathcal{P} as shown in section 3 and also in a previous article [4]. In this way we avoid fancy names like partial \mathcal{PT} symmetry or reverse-order partial \mathcal{PT} symmetry [4]. Second, we show another example of a non-Hermitian operator, equation (10), that exhibits extremely broken antiunitary symmetry. As in the case of other models discussed in previous papers [7–9], the reason is the symmetry of the operator in the Hermitian limit ($\gamma = 0$ in the present case) that exhibits degenerate eigenvectors. As argued there, first-order perturbation theory is a

suitable tool for verifying whether the eigenvalues are certainly complex for small values of the model parameter.

References

- [1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 5243-5246.
- [2] C. M. Bender, Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 70 (2007) 947-1018.
- [3] A. Beygi, S. P. Klevansky, and C. M. Bender, Coupled Oscillator Systems Having Partial PT Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. A* 91 (2015) 062101.
- [4] F. M. Fernández, Generalization of parity-time and partial parity-time symmetry, 2015. arXiv:1507.08850v2 [quant-ph].
- [5] E. Wigner, Normal Form of Antiunitary Operators, *J. Math. Phys.* 1 (1960) 409-413.
- [6] F. M. Fernández, On the real matrix representation of PT-symmetric operators, *Acta Polytechnica* 54 (2014) 113-115. arXiv:1301.7639 [quant-ph].
- [7] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with unitary and antiunitary symmetries, *Ann. Phys.* 342 (2014) 195-204. arXiv:1309.0808 [quant-ph].
- [8] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, PT-symmetry broken by point-group symmetry, *J. Math. Phys.* 55 (2014) 042107. arXiv:1308.6179v2 [quant-ph].
- [9] F. M. Fernández and J. Garcia, Three PT-symmetric Hamiltonians with completely different spectra, *Ann. Phys.* 363 (2015) 496-502. arXiv:1507.03644 [quant-ph].
- [10] M Tinkham, *Group Theory and Quantum Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1964).




Figure 1: Simple graphical representation of the periodic chain related to the non-Hermitian operator (10)

- [11] F. A. Cotton, *Chemical Applications of Group Theory*, Third (John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1990).
- [12] C. M. Bender, M. V Berry, and A. Mandilara, Generalized PT symmetry and real spectra, *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002) L467-L471.
- [13] Y. Xue, C. Hang, Y. He, Z. Bai, Y. Jiao, G. Huang, J. Zhao, and S. Jia, Experimental observation of partial parity-time symmetry and its phase transition with a laser-driven cesium atomic gas, *Phys. Rev. A* 105 (2022) 053516.




Figure 2: Eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian operator (10)




Figure 3: Simple graphical representation of the open chain related to the non-Hermitian operator (19)




Figure 4: Eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian operator (19)