

**CANONICAL BASES AND NEW APPLICATIONS  
OF INCREASING AND DECREASING SUBSEQUENCES  
TO INVARIANT THEORY**

CHRIS BOWMAN, STEPHEN DOTY, AND STUART MARTIN

ABSTRACT. Recent results show that the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis for Iwahori–Hecke algebras in type A provide “canonical” bases for the centralizer algebras of the Schur algebra and the partition algebra acting on tensor space. We exploit these bases to show that the linear decomposition of an arbitrary invariant (in the appropriate centraliser algebra) depends integrally on its entries, and describe combinatorial rules that pick out such entries.

**Introduction**

We summarise our results in light of classical invariant theory, which for Weyl [Wey39] boiled down to two fundamental problems, for a given algebra of invariants:

- (Q1) Find a “nice” set of generators.
- (Q2) Describe the relations that they satisfy.

Answers to (Q1), (Q2) often go by the names of the “first and second main (or fundamental) theorems of invariant theory”. Answering both questions amounts to a description of the algebra by generators and relations.

This paper is inspired by the invariant-theoretic tradition interpreted in the context of recent advances on canonical bases. Specifically, we focus on the related problems for the given algebra:

- (Q3) Combinatorially identify a “canonical” basis.
- (Q4) Given such a basis, calculate the coefficients expressing a given invariant as a linear combination of the basic ones.

Theorem 2 below (which is recent but not new) answers (Q3) for two related algebras of invariants that have been much studied, namely:

$$\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r}), \quad \text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$$

where  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{k}^n$  is a free  $\mathbb{k}$ -module of rank  $n$ ,  $S(n, r)$  the classical Schur algebra, and  $\mathcal{P}_r(n)$  the partition algebra on  $2r$  vertices at parameter  $n$ . Our contribution in this paper, Theorem 3, is to give a combinatorial/algorithmic answer to (Q4) in each instance.

Both algebras are quotients of the group algebra of an appropriate symmetric group, and this works over an arbitrary unital commutative ring  $\mathbb{k}$ .

In the case of the Schur algebra invariants, if  $\mathbb{k}$  is any infinite field then

$$\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r}) = \text{End}_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r}).$$

Thus we obtain a new insight into a classical problem. Our result should be of interest even in the classical semisimple situation  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$  (or any field of characteristic zero) considered by Weyl.

We believe that the use of the word ‘‘canonical’’ to describe the bases in Theorem 2 is justified by the origins of these bases in the papers [RSS12, Dot21], where it was shown that they arise as leading terms of certain Kazhdan–Lusztig basis elements, complementary to a Kazhdan–Lusztig basis (evaluated at 1) for the ideal of relations in the second fundamental theorem. Those results depend very much on the Iwahori–Hecke algebras of type A. Although Iwahori–Hecke algebras have no role to play in the present paper, they are lurking in the background.

## 1. Main Results

Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a unital commutative ring, and fix a basis  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  for a free  $\mathbb{k}$ -module  $\mathbf{V}$  of rank  $n$ . There are commuting actions of the symmetric groups  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ ,  $W_n$  on the  $r$ th tensor power  $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r} = \mathbf{V} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{V}$ , given by:

$$(\mathbf{v}_{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_r}) \cdot \sigma = \mathbf{v}_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_{\sigma(r)}} \quad (1)$$

$$w \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_r}) = \mathbf{v}_{w(j_1)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{w(j_2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{w(j_r)} \quad (2)$$

for any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ ,  $w \in W_n$ . The action of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  is by place-permutation and the action of  $W_n$  is the diagonal extension of the natural permutation action of  $W_n$  on the given basis. In Theorems 1 and 2 we summarise recent results that underly the question addressed by this paper; in Theorem 3 we state the main result which we prove in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *The images of the representations  $\Phi : \mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{S}_r]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,  $\Psi : \mathbb{k}[W_n] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$  afforded by the actions (1), (2) are equal to the centraliser algebras  $\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ , respectively.*

The statement for  $\Phi$  is [Cru19, Thm. 3.4], extending results of [BD09], and the statement for  $\Psi$  is the main result of [BDM22] (see also [Don22, §6]). The action of the Schur algebra  $S(n, r)$  and the partition algebra  $\mathcal{P}_r(n)$  on  $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r}$  may be found in [Gre07, §2.6] and [HR05, eq. (3.2)], respectively.

**Theorem 2.** *Let LLIS (resp., LLDS) denote the length of a longest increasing (resp., decreasing) subsequence of a permutation. The sets*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r \mid \text{LLDS}(\sigma) \leq n\}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \{w \in W_n \mid \text{LLIS}(w) \geq n - r\}$$

*index canonical bases*

$$\{\Phi(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}}, \quad \{\Psi(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{C}}$$

*for the respective centraliser algebras  $\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ .*

The statement for  $\Phi$  is [RSS12, Thm. 1], and the statement for  $\Psi$  is [Dot21, Thm. 1].

The problem considered in this paper is the following: given any invariant  $X$  in  $\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ , find the (unique) scalars  $c(\sigma)$ ,  $c(w)$  in  $\mathbb{k}$  such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} c(\sigma) \Phi(\sigma) = X, \quad \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} c(w) \Psi(w) = X \quad (3)$$

respectively. In other words, we are considering (Q4) for the above centraliser algebras, with respect to the bases of Theorem 2.

We need some notation in order to formulate our main result. Set  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Given any multi-index  $\underline{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$  in  $[n]^r$ , set

$$\mathbf{v}_{\underline{j}} := \mathbf{v}_{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{j_r}.$$

Let  $(\underline{j}, \sigma) \mapsto \underline{j}\sigma$ ,  $(w, \underline{j}) \mapsto w\underline{j}$  denote the actions of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ ,  $W_n$  on  $[n]^r$  such that the equations

$$\mathbf{v}_{\underline{j}\sigma} = \mathbf{v}_{\underline{j}} \cdot \sigma, \quad \mathbf{v}_{w\underline{j}} = w \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\underline{j}}$$

are compatible with equations (1), (2). The set  $\{\mathbf{v}_{\underline{j}} \mid \underline{j} \in [n]^r\}$  is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r}$ . Taking matrix coordinates in equation (3) with respect to this basis, we obtain the (overdetermined, in general) linear systems

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} c(\sigma) \Phi(\sigma)_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = X_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}}, \quad \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} c(w) \Psi(w)_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = X_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} \quad (4)$$

as  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  vary over all pairs of multi-indices in  $[n]^r \times [n]^r$ . What is needed is a method of picking out an efficient consistent linear subsystem of each system in (4). Our contribution is to find combinatorics that do this job. Here is our main result.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $X$  belongs to  $\text{End}_{S(n,r)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ . There exist injective maps  $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [n]^r \times [n]^r$ ,  $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [n]^r \times [n]^r$  such that the respective subsystems*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} c(\sigma) \Phi(\sigma)_{\varphi(\sigma')} = X_{\varphi(\sigma')}, \quad \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} c(w) \Psi(w)_{\psi(w')} = X_{\psi(w')}$$

have unitriangular coefficient matrices

$$A = [\Phi(\sigma)_{\varphi(\sigma')}]_{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{B}}, \quad A = [\Psi(w)_{\psi(w')}]_{w, w' \in \mathcal{C}}$$

if the rows and columns of  $A$  are ordered by any total order of  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  compatible with the Coxeter length function. In consequence, the  $c(\sigma)$ ,  $c(w)$  in (3) are all expressible as integral linear combinations of the various  $X_{\varphi(\sigma')}$ ,  $X_{\psi(w')}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same in each case. The main task is to define combinatorial maps  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  into  $[n]^r \times [n]^r$  appropriate to each situation. This is done in Sections 2, 3 below. We show that the combinatorics satisfy the following two essential properties:

- (i) The maps  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  are injective.

- (ii) If  $\varphi(\sigma) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ ,  $\psi(w) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  then  $\sigma, w$  is the unique permutation in  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  of minimal Coxeter length such that  $\underline{i}\sigma = \underline{j}$ ,  $w\underline{j} = \underline{i}$ , respectively.

Property (i) implies that we are picking out the correct number of equations, and Property (ii) implies the unitriangularity statement. These properties for  $\varphi$  are proved in Proposition 2, and for  $\psi$  in Proposition 3. This concludes the proof, modulo the definition of the combinatorics and the proof that they do satisfy the above properties.  $\square$

Observe that the matrix  $A$  in Theorem 3 is always a  $(0, 1)$ -matrix. To see this, observe that for any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ ,  $w \in W_n$ , we have

$$\Phi(\sigma)_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = \delta_{i_{\sigma(1)}, j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_{\sigma(r)}, j_r}, \quad \Psi(w)_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}} = \delta_{i_1, w(j_1)} \cdots \delta_{i_r, w(j_r)} \quad (5)$$

for any  $\underline{i}, \underline{j}$  in  $[n]^r$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the usual Kronecker delta symbol. Inverting a unitriangular  $(0, 1)$ -matrix is a routine computation, involving only addition and subtraction operations. Thus, Theorem 3 can be said to “solve” the problem of computing the  $c(\sigma)$ ,  $c(w)$  in equations (3), by reducing it to something that we know how to compute.

**Remark 1.** When a permutation is written in the one-line notation, reversing its order interchanges the notions of increasing and decreasing subsequences. So there is a version of Theorem 2 in which LLIS, LLDS have been interchanged in the defining conditions for  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ . Hence, there is another “dual” version of Theorem 3 in which these conditions have been interchanged. We leave the details to the interested reader.

## 2. The map $\varphi$

We now define a map  $\varphi' : \mathfrak{S}_r \rightarrow [r]^r \times [r]^r$  which, for any positive integer  $n$ , restricts to a map  $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [n]^r \times [n]^r$  having the desired properties. The map  $\varphi'$  is independent of  $n$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r)$  be given finite sequences of the same length, each consisting of *distinct* real numbers (or elements of any linearly ordered set), such that the first sequence is increasing:  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_r$ . We may display the pair of sequences in a two-line notation by writing

$$(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_r \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The standard way of computing the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of  $y$  is the tableau-based Robinson–Schensted algorithm [Sch61, Ful97]. But there are other (less efficient) methods of computing that statistic. Our combinatoric  $\varphi$  is based on one such method. As we show in Proposition 1, it computes the length of a longest decreasing subsequence.

Let  $(y_1, y_{i_2}, \dots, y_{i_\ell})$  be the longest increasing subsequence of the sequence  $y$  that starts with  $y_1$ , and let  $(x_1, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_\ell})$  be the corresponding (necessarily increasing) subsequence of  $x$ . Write

$$\pi_1(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_{i_2} & \cdots & x_{i_\ell} \\ y_1 & y_{i_2} & \cdots & y_{i_\ell} \end{pmatrix}$$

for the resulting pair of increasing subsequences of  $(x/y)$ . The *complement*  $(x'/y') = (x/y) \setminus \pi_1(x/y)$  is obtained by excising the columns of  $\pi_1(x/y)$  from  $(x/y)$  and reindexing. This produces a new pair of sequences  $(x'/y')$  satisfying the same conditions as  $(x/y)$ . So we may apply  $\pi_1$  again to the pair  $(x'/y')$ , obtaining  $\pi_2(x/y) = \pi_1(x'/y')$ , a second pair of increasing subsequences of  $(x/y)$ . Continuing in this manner, we obtain the *canonical factorisation* of the given pair  $(x/y)$ , as the configuration

$$\pi(x/y) := (\pi_1(x/y) \mid \pi_2(x/y) \mid \cdots \mid \pi_k(x/y))$$

consisting of pairs of increasing subsequences of  $(x/y)$ . The integer  $k$  is the *length* of the canonical factorisation.

**Example 1.** If  $(x/y)$  is the pair of sequences given by

$$(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 6 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

then we have

$$\pi_1(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 6 & 7 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_2(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pi_3(x/y) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write this succinctly in the form

$$\pi(x/y) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc|cc} 1 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 3 & 9 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right)$$

in which vertical lines are used to separate the various  $\pi_i(x/y)$  in their natural order  $\pi_1(x/y), \dots, \pi_k(x/y)$ .

We apply the above observations to permutations. By writing  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$  in the usual two-line notation, we obtain a pair of sequences  $(x/y)$  as above (with the first-line sequence  $x = (1, 2, \dots, r)$  increasing). For instance, the pair of sequences  $(x/y)$  written in Example 1 is the two-line notation for the permutation  $\sigma = (4, 2, 1, 7, 3, 8, 9, 6, 5)$  in the one-line notation. Write

$$\pi(\sigma) = (\pi_1(\sigma) \mid \pi_2(\sigma) \mid \cdots \mid \pi_k(\sigma))$$

with the obvious interpretation, namely, that  $\pi_i(\sigma) = \pi_i(x/y)$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $k$  is the length of the canonical factorisation.

We now define the promised map  $\varphi' : \mathfrak{S}_r \rightarrow [r]^r \times [r]^r$ , which will soon be used to define the map  $\varphi$ . Given  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ , let  $k$  be the length of its canonical factorisation, and set  $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ ,  $j = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$  be the sequences in  $[k]^r$  defined by the conditions

$$i_\alpha = c \iff \alpha \text{ appears in the first line of } \pi_c(\sigma)$$

$$j_\alpha = c \iff \alpha \text{ appears in the second line of } \pi_c(\sigma).$$

In other words, we colour each number in  $\pi_c(\sigma)$  by the colour  $c$ , for each  $c$  in  $[k]$ , and let  $\underline{i}, \underline{j}$  be the corresponding sequences of colours in the first and second line of  $\pi(\sigma)$ , resp. Let  $\varphi'(\sigma) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ . Evidently,  $\varphi'(\sigma)$  is in  $[r]^r \times [r]^r$ .

If  $\sigma_0$  is the element of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  of longest Coxeter length, then

$$\varphi'(\sigma_0) = ((1, 2, \dots, r), (1, 2, \dots, r)),$$

which shows that the image of  $\varphi'$  is not contained in any  $[n]^r \times [n]^r$ , for  $n < r$ . At the opposite extreme, if  $id$  is the identity permutation then

$$\varphi'(id) = ((1, 1, \dots, 1), (1, 1, \dots, 1)).$$

For the permutation  $\sigma = (4, 2, 1, 7, 3, 8, 9, 6, 5)$  of Example 1, we have

$$\varphi'(\sigma) = ((1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3), (3, 2, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1)).$$

The following lemma is similar to Lemma 5 in [Sch61].

**Lemma 1.** *Given any term of the second line of any  $\pi_c(\sigma)$ , there exists a term of the second line of  $\pi_{c-1}(\sigma)$  which is larger and appears further to the left in  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If not, then the term in question would have already been included in the second line of  $\pi_{c-1}(\sigma)$ .  $\square$

In the combinatorics literature, it is customary to write permutations in one-line notation, as sequences  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$  with  $\sigma_i = \sigma(i)$  for  $i \in [r]$ . Such sequences are also called *words* [Lot02]. Increasing and decreasing subsequences of a permutation are always interpreted with respect to the one-line notation (equivalently, the second line of the two-line notation).

**Proposition 1.** *The length  $k$  of the canonical factorisation of a permutation  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  is always equal to the length of a longest decreasing subsequence of  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 1 it follows that there is a decreasing subsequence with at least one term taken from each of the second lines of  $\pi_1(\sigma), \dots, \pi_k(\sigma)$ . This is necessarily of maximal length, as no decreasing subsequence can be formed using two terms from the second line of the same  $\pi_c(\sigma)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If the terms of any increasing subsequence of a permutation are permuted in a non-trivial way, the Coxeter length of the permutation strictly increases.*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that the Coxeter length of a permutation is equal to the number of inversions. We leave the details to the reader.  $\square$

The *weight* of a sequence  $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  in  $[k]^r$  is the composition  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ , where  $\mu_c$  counts the number of terms in  $\underline{i}$  equal to  $c$ , for each  $c$  in  $[k]$ . It is clear by construction that each of the sequences  $\underline{i}, \underline{j}$  such that  $\varphi'(\sigma) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  is of the same weight  $\mu$ .

The following result gives the main properties of the map  $\varphi'$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The map  $\varphi' : \mathfrak{S}_r \rightarrow [r]^r \times [r]^r$  is injective. Given any  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ , let  $\varphi'(\sigma) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ . Then  $\sigma$  is the unique permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  of minimal length such that  $\underline{i}\sigma = \underline{j}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the injectivity, let  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  be a pair of multi-indices in  $[r]^r \times [r]^r$  of the same weight  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ . For each  $c$  in  $[k]$ , let  $p(c) = (x(c)/y(c))$  be the pair of increasing sequences defined by letting  $x(c)$  (resp.,  $y(c)$ ) be the positions in the sequence  $\underline{i}$  (resp.,  $\underline{j}$ ) having value  $c$ , in order. Then the configuration  $(p(1) \mid p(2) \mid \dots \mid p(k))$  defines, up to column reordering, the original permutation  $\sigma$  that produced the pair  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ . Sending  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) \mapsto \sigma$  defines a left inverse to  $\varphi'$ , and thus  $\varphi'$  is injective. For instance, if

$$(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) = ((1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3), (3, 2, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1))$$

then we have

$$(p(1) \mid p(2) \mid p(3)) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|ccc} 1 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 3 & 9 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right)$$

which recovers the permutation  $\sigma$  of Example 1.

Now we prove the second claim. Suppose that  $\underline{i}\sigma' = \underline{j}$  for some  $\sigma' \neq \sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ . Then  $\sigma'$  is obtainable from  $\sigma$  by permuting the first (or, equivalently, second) line of one or more parts of its canonical factorisation. If more than one part is involved, they can be permuted independently, so it suffices to consider such a permutation of a single part of the canonical factorisation. This amounts to a reordering of the terms of an increasing subsequence of  $\sigma$ , which by Lemma 2 necessarily increases the Coxeter length.  $\square$

Now we can define the map  $\varphi$ . If  $n \geq r$ , we have  $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{S}_r$ . In that case, it is well known [Gre07, (2.6c)] that the representation  $\Phi$  is faithful. If  $n < r$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r \mid \text{LLDS}(\sigma) \leq n\}$  is a proper subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ , and we define

$$\varphi = \text{the restriction of } \varphi' \text{ to } \mathcal{B}.$$

If  $n \geq r$  then we define  $\varphi = \varphi'$ . The properties of Proposition 2 are invariant under restriction, thus are applicable to  $\varphi$  in all cases.

**Remark 2.** In the stable case  $n \geq r$ , we can do better than the unitriangular subsystem provided by  $\varphi = \varphi'$ . Namely, for each  $\sigma$ , simply set  $\underline{i} = (1, 2, \dots, r)$  and  $\underline{j} = \underline{i}\sigma$ . Since  $n \geq r$ , the values in  $\underline{j}$  are all distinct, so  $\sigma$  is the unique element of  $\mathfrak{S}_r$  such that  $\underline{i}\sigma = \underline{j}$ . Sending  $\sigma$  to  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  instead of using  $\varphi = \varphi'$  picks out a subsystem of (4) having a diagonal coefficient matrix  $A$ . In fact, as it is a  $(0, 1)$ -matrix,  $A = I$  is the identity matrix.

**Example 2.** (i) Suppose that  $r = 3$ . The pairs  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  corresponding to each  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  under  $\varphi'$  are tabulated below:

$\sigma$	(1, 2, 3)	(2, 1, 3)	(1, 3, 2)	(2, 3, 1)	(3, 1, 2)	(3, 2, 1)
$\underline{i}$	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 2, 1)	(1, 1, 2)	(1, 1, 2)	(1, 2, 2)	(1, 2, 3)
$\underline{j}$	(1, 1, 1)	(2, 1, 1)	(1, 2, 1)	(2, 1, 1)	(2, 2, 1)	(3, 2, 1)

Order the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  as indicated in the table; this is a linear order compatible with Coxeter length. The map  $\varphi'$  picks out the subsystem of (4) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(1, 2, 3) + c(2, 1, 3) + \cdots + c(3, 2, 1) &= X_{(1,1,1),(1,1,1)} \\
 c(2, 1, 3) + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} \\
 c(1, 3, 2) + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} \\
 c(2, 3, 1) + c(3, 2, 1) &= X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} \\
 c(3, 1, 2) + c(3, 2, 1) &= X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} \\
 c(3, 2, 1) &= X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

with coefficient matrix  $A$  of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ & & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By inverting the matrix  $A$ , we conclude that, for any  $X$  in  $\text{End}_{S(n,3)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ , with  $n \geq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(1, 2, 3) &= X_{(1,1,1),(1,1,1)} - X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} - X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} \\
 &\quad - X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} + X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} - X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)} \\
 c(2, 1, 3) &= X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} - X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} + X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)} \\
 c(1, 3, 2) &= X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} - X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} + X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)} \\
 c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} - X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)} \\
 c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} - X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)} \\
 c(3, 2, 1) &= X_{(1,2,3),(3,2,1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $(n, r) = (2, 3)$  then restricting the above to  $\varphi$  picks out the subsystem of (4) given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(1, 2, 3) + c(2, 1, 3) + \cdots + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,1,1),(1,1,1)} \\
 c(2, 1, 3) + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} \\
 c(1, 3, 2) + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} \\
 c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} \\
 c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)}
 \end{aligned}$$



with coefficient matrix  $A$  of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ & & 1 & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \cdot \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $A$  is a submatrix of the matrix in part (i). By inverting  $A$ , we conclude that, for any  $X$  in  $\text{End}_{S(2,3)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c(1, 2, 3) &= X_{(1,1,1),(1,1,1)} - X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} - X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} \\ &\quad - X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} + X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} \\ c(2, 1, 3) &= X_{(1,2,1),(2,1,1)} - X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} \\ c(1, 3, 2) &= X_{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)} - X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)} \\ c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{(1,1,2),(2,1,1)} \\ c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{(1,2,2),(2,2,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. The map $\psi$

Now we consider the other symmetric group  $W_n$ . The defining condition in the set  $\mathcal{C} = \{w \in W_n \mid \text{LLIS}(w) \geq n - r\}$  is vacuous if  $n - r \leq 1$ , equivalently, if  $r \geq n - 1$ . The condition  $r \geq n - 1$  determines the stable case in this situation. Note that (by e.g., [BDM22b, BDM22]) the representation  $\Psi : \mathbb{k}[W_n] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{P}_r(n)}(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes r})$  is faithful if and only if  $r \geq n - 1$ , in which case  $\mathcal{C} = W_n$ .

Unlike  $\varphi$ , which depended only on  $r$ , the combinatoric  $\psi$  always depends on both  $n, r$ . We deal with the case  $r \leq n - 1$  first. Suppose that  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ , where  $w_i = w(i)$  for all  $i$  in  $[n]$ . Choose (any way we like) an increasing subsequence of  $w$  of length  $n - r$ , and let

$$(w_{j_1}, w_{j_2}, \dots, w_{j_r}), \quad j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r$$

be its *complement* in  $w$ . Set  $i_\alpha = w_{j_\alpha} = w(j_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha = 1, \dots, r$ . If  $w$  is written in two-line notation then  $(i_1, \dots, i_r)$  is the chosen increasing subsequence in the second line and  $(j_1, \dots, j_r)$  the corresponding subsequence in the first line.

For example, suppose that  $w = (4, 2, 1, 7, 3, 8, 9, 6, 5)$  in  $W_9$ . Then we have  $\text{LLIS}(w) = 4$ . Since the condition  $\text{LLIS}(w) \geq n - r$  is equivalent to  $r \geq n - \text{LLIS}(w)$ , we may take any  $r \geq 5$ , up to and including  $r = 8$ .

- (i) The choice  $r = 5$  with the increasing subsequence  $(2, 3, 8, 9)$  yields the pair  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) = ((4, 1, 7, 6, 5), (1, 3, 4, 8, 9))$ .
- (ii) The choice  $r = 6$  with the increasing subsequence  $(2, 3, 8)$  yields the pair  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j}) = ((4, 1, 7, 9, 6, 5), (1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9))$ .

The pair  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  clearly depends on the chosen increasing subsequence of  $w$ . Notice that  $\underline{j}$  is necessarily increasing, and that is always the case.

In the edge case  $r = n - 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{C} = W_n$  and the map  $\psi$  sends  $W_n$  into  $[n]^{n-1} \times [n]^{n-1}$ . For any  $r > n - 1$  we extend this to a map  $W_n \rightarrow [n]^r \times [n]^r$  by appending an additional  $r - (n - 1)$  values of 1,  $w_1 = w(1)$  to the end of each  $\underline{j}, \underline{i}$  respectively. The choice of 1 here is purely arbitrary, and it can be replaced by any other number in  $[n]$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The map  $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [n]^r \times [n]^r$  is injective. Given any  $w$  in  $W_n$ , let  $\psi(w) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ . Then  $w$  is the unique permutation in  $W_n$  of minimal length such that  $w_{\underline{j}} = \underline{i}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the first claim, we need to show that  $\psi(w)$  determines  $w$ . In the stable case  $r \geq n - 1$ , this is clear because any permutation of  $n$  items is determined once its values on any  $n - 1$  of them is specified.

Now suppose that  $r < n - 1$ . Given any pair of sequences  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  in  $[n]^r \times [n]^r$ , each consisting of distinct integers, with  $\underline{j}$  increasing. We will construct a two-line array  $(x/y)$  defining a unique permutation  $w$  in  $W_n$ . To do this we set  $x = (1, 2, \dots, n)$  and let  $y_a = i_b$  if and only if  $j_b = a$ . This defines values in  $y$  corresponding to all indices in  $\underline{j}$ ; the remaining values in  $y$  are inserted, in their natural order, from the increasing sequence consisting of the values in  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  which do *not* appear in  $\underline{i}$ . The resulting array  $(x/y)$  is the two-line notation for  $w$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have  $\psi(w) = (\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ . Since  $w$  is determined by the pair  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$ , the map  $\psi$  is injective. This completes the proof of the first claim.

Finally, we consider the second claim. It is vacuous in the stable range  $r \geq n - 1$ , in which case there is only one  $w$  such that  $w_{\underline{j}} = \underline{j}$ . If  $r < n - 1$ , let  $w' \neq w$  be any permutation in  $W_n$  such that  $w'_{\underline{j}} = \underline{i}$ . Then  $w', w$  necessarily agree on  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r\}$ . This means that  $w'$  may be obtained from  $w$  by permuting its chosen increasing subsequence of length  $n - r$ , indexed by the complement  $[n] \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ . Such a permutation necessarily increases the total number of inversions, and thus the Coxeter length.  $\square$

**Example 3.** (i) Take  $(n, r) = (3, 2)$ . If we always choose the initial term of  $w$  as the increasing subsequence of length  $n - r = 1$ , the pairs  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  corresponding to each  $w$  in  $\mathcal{C} = W_3$  under  $\psi$  are:

$w$	(1, 2, 3)	(2, 1, 3)	(1, 3, 2)	(2, 3, 1)	(3, 1, 2)	(3, 2, 1)
$\underline{i}$	(2, 3)	(1, 3)	(3, 2)	(3, 1)	(1, 2)	(2, 1)
$\underline{j}$	(2, 3)	(2, 3)	(2, 3)	(2, 3)	(2, 3)	(2, 3)

Order the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  as indicated in the table; this is a linear order compatible with Coxeter length. Then the matrix  $A$  produced by  $\varphi$  is just  $A = I$ , the identity matrix.

(ii) Take  $(n, r) = (3, 1)$ . If we always choose the leftmost increasing subsequence of  $w$  as the increasing subsequence of length  $n - r = 2$ , the

pairs  $(\underline{i}, \underline{j})$  corresponding to each  $w$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  under  $\psi$  are:

$w$	(1, 2, 3)	(2, 1, 3)	(1, 3, 2)	(2, 3, 1)	(3, 1, 2)
$\underline{i}$	3	1	3	1	2
$\underline{j}$	3	2	2	3	1

Order the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  as indicated in the table; this is a linear order compatible with Coxeter length. Then the subsystem of (4) picked out by  $\psi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} c(1, 2, 3) + c(2, 1, 3) &= X_{3,3} \\ c(2, 1, 3) + c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{1,2} \\ c(1, 3, 2) + c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{3,2} \\ c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{1,3} \\ c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{2,1} \end{aligned}$$

with coefficient matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 & \cdot \\ & & & 1 & \cdot \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inverting  $A$  yields the equations

$$\begin{aligned} c(1, 2, 3) &= X_{3,3} - X_{1,2} + X_{2,1} \\ c(2, 1, 3) &= X_{1,2} - X_{2,1} \\ c(1, 3, 2) &= X_{3,2} - X_{1,3} \\ c(2, 3, 1) &= X_{1,3} \\ c(3, 1, 2) &= X_{2,1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements.** The first author was funded by EPSRC fellowship grant EP/V00090X/1. The second and third authors thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for providing support during the 2022 programme *Groups, representations and applications: new perspectives*, under the EPSRC grant EP/K032208/1.

## REFERENCES

- [BD09] D. Benson and S. Doty, *Schur-Weyl duality over finite fields*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **93** (2009), no. 5, 425–435.
- [BDM22a] C. Bowman, S. Doty, and S. Martin, *Integral Schur–Weyl duality for partition algebras*, Algebraic Combinatorics **5** (2022), no. 2, 371–399.
- [BDM22b] C. Bowman, S. Doty, and S. Martin, *An integral second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for partition algebras*, Represent. Theory **26** (2022), 437–454.
- [Cru19] T. Cruz, *Schur-Weyl duality over commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), no. 4, 1619–1628.

- [Don22] S. Donkin, *Double centralisers and annihilator ideals of Young permutation modules*, J. Algebra **591** (2022), 249–288.
- [Gre07] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of  $GL_n$* , Second corrected and augmented edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 830, Springer, Berlin, 2007. With an appendix on Schensted correspondence and Littelmann paths by K. Erdmann, Green and M. Schocker.
- [Dot21] S. R. Doty, *Doubly stochastic matrices and Schur–Weyl duality for partition algebras* (2021), preprint, available at [arXiv.org](https://arxiv.org).
- [Ful97] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [HR05] T. Halverson and A. Ram, *Partition algebras*, European J. Combin. **26** (2005), no. 6, 869–921.
- [Lot02] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 90, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [RSS12] K. N. Raghavan, P. Samuel, and K. V. Subrahmanyam, *RSK bases and Kazhdan-Lusztig cells*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **62** (2012), no. 2, 525–569.
- [Sch61] C. Schensted, *Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences*, Canadian J. Math. **13** (1961), 179–191.
- [Wey39] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups. Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1939.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK, YO10 5DD, UK

*Email address:* [Chris.Bowman-Scargill@york.ac.uk](mailto:Chris.Bowman-Scargill@york.ac.uk)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60660 USA

*Email address:* [doty@math.luc.edu](mailto:doty@math.luc.edu)

DPMMS, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE, CB3 0WB, UK

*Email address:* [S.Martin@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:S.Martin@dpmms.cam.ac.uk)