

Configurational entropy as a probe of the stability condition of compact objects

P.S. Koliogiannis,^{*} G.A. Tsalis, C.P. Panos, and Ch.C. Moustakidis[†]

Department of Theoretical Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

We systematically explore the statement that the configurational entropy provides an alternative approach to studying gravitational stability of compact objects, carried out in the previous work of M. Gleiser and N. Jiang, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 044046 (2015). To guarantee the accuracy of the calculations, we first employ two analytical solutions of the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations, i.e. the Uniform and the Tolman VII. The predictions of the analytical solutions are compared to the corresponding numerical calculations, paying special attention on the use of the Fourier transform, which plays the major role for the connection of the configurational entropy to the bulk neutron star properties. Afterwards, we extend our study in order to include a large set of realistic equations of state. All of them are used extensively in the literature for compact star studies. In particular, we focus on neutron stars, quark stars, as well as on the the third family of compact stars (hybrid stars), where a possible phase transition may lead to the existence of twin stars (stars with equal mass but different radius). We found that a general rule to relate the stability region obtained from the traditional perturbation methods to the one obtained by the minimum of configurational entropy, does not hold. We found only one case which confirms this statement, that is the configuration corresponding to the free Fermi gas equation of state. We conclude that the suggested prediction of the stability by the minimization of the configurational entropy, concerning various compact objects, is rather a conjecture that does not have any basis on theoretical arguments and, even more, is not confirmed either empirically.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Dg, 26.60.-c, 97.60.Jd, 03.67.-a

Keywords: information entropy; neutron star; equations of state; stability condition

I. INTRODUCTION

The applications of various information measures to compact objects have been extensively studied in recent years. Firstly, Sañudo and Pacheco [1] were the first ones to extend those studies to an astronomical object i.e. a white dwarf. Specifically, in Ref. [1] the Shannon information entropy S and the statistical complexity C have been calculated in the two kinematics extremes (non-relativistic and relativistic cases) of the electron-degenerate matter of a dwarf. The aforementioned study has been applied in neutron star structure [2]. It was found that the interplay of gravity, the short-range nuclear force, and the very short-range weak interaction shows that neutron stars, under the current theoretical framework, are ordered systems (low complexity). Additional relevant studies in neutron stars are presented in Refs. [3–7].

Herrera *et al.* [8–11] in a series of papers, employed a new definition of complexity factors in self-gravitating systems (for more details see the editorial paper [12] and references therein). Additional applications of the concept of complexity and information measures may be found in Refs. [13–21].

The concept of the configurational entropy (CE) has been introduced by Gleiser and Stamatopoulos [22] in order to study possible relation between the dynamical and information content of physics models with localized en-

ergy configurations. In the next years, the CE has been applied in several similar studies [23–31]. In particular, Gleiser and Jiang [24] trying to investigate the possibility to obtain information about the stability of compact objects from its information-entropic measure, found that the configurational entropy offers an alternative way to compute the critical mass for a variety of stellar objects. This is definitely a noticeable result and worth to be examined in detail.

The stability of relativistic stars is a longstanding problem [32–38]. There are various methods to examine the stability of a relativistic star (for a detailed presentation see Ref. [39]). Briefly, the most used ones are: (a) the method of locating the point that corresponds to the minimization of the binding energy defined as $E_B = (M - m_b N)c^2$ (where m_b is the mass of a single nucleon, M stands for the gravitational mass, and N is the total number of nucleons) [32–38], (b) the variational method developed by Chandrasekhar [40, 41], and (c) the method based on the dependence of the gravitational mass M and the radius R on the central energy density \mathcal{E}_c . The stability condition demands that the mass increases with increasing central energy density $dM/d\mathcal{E}_c > 0$. The extrema in the mass indicate a change in the stability of the compact star configuration [32–38]. In the present work we use the third method in order to investigate a possible relation between the stability of a relativistic star and the corresponding configurational entropy.

It is worth noticing that there is not any theoretical argument (or proof) to relate the stability point to the minimum of the configurational entropy. However, it is intuitive to expect that since the maximum mass cor-

^{*} pkoliogi@physics.auth.gr

[†] moustaki@auth.gr

responds to the most compact configuration (maximum mass and minimum radius of a stable configuration), the corresponding CE will exhibit an extreme value (in this case a total minimum). The crucial question that needs to be answered is: Is there any one-to-one correspondence between the minimum of the CE and the stability point for each realistic equation of state (EoS)? And even more: Is this rule universal or it depends on the specific character of each EoS? If this is true, then an additional tool enters into the quiver for studying the stability of compact objects. The present work has been inspired by the above questions leading to an effort to investigate and shed light on the issue.

In particular, the main motivation of the present work is to provide an extended examination of the statements of Ref. [24]. To this end, we apply two analytical solutions of the TOV equations, that is the Uniform solution and the Tolman VII solution. Moreover, we employ a large set of realistic EoSs in order to study the dependence of the bulk neutron star properties on the configurational entropy, while inversely relating our focus, mainly, on the stability conditions. In order to enrich our systematic study, we use also a set of EoSs corresponding to interacting quark matter (suitable to describe the properties of a quark star). Finally, we apply a set of EoSs, with a more complex character, constructed to reproduce properties of a third family of compact objects, known as twin stars. Thus, we consider that we have significantly ensured the examination of the findings of Ref. [24], covering a very large range of cases which corresponds to compact objects.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the basic formalism of the configurational entropy while in Sec. III, we review the applications of the analytical solutions. The formalism and methodology of the realistic EoSs are provided in Sec. IV and in Sec. V the results of the present study are presented and discussed. The concluding remarks are given in Sec. VI. Appendix contains calculations of useful integrals and the Fermi gas EoS.

II. HYDRODYNAMIC EQUILIBRIUM AND CONFIGURATIONAL ENTROPY

A. Hydrodynamic equilibrium in compact objects

In the present work we focus on the configurational entropy of compact objects (including mainly neutron stars, hybrid stars, and quark stars) as a probe of stability condition. To construct in each case the related configuration, we employ the Einstein's field equations of a spherical fluid. In particular, for a static spherical symmetric system, the metric can be written as follows [32–37]

$$ds^2 = e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 - e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (1)$$

The energy density distribution $\mathcal{E}(r)$ and the local pressure $P(r)$ are related to the metric functions $\lambda(r)$ and

$\nu(r)$ as follows [32–37]

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \mathcal{E}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda(r)} \right) + e^{-\lambda(r)} \frac{\lambda'(r)}{r}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} P(r) = -\frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda(r)} \right) + e^{-\lambda(r)} \frac{\nu'(r)}{r}, \quad (3)$$

$$P'(r) = -\frac{P(r) + \mathcal{E}(r)}{2} \nu'(r), \quad (4)$$

where derivatives with respect to the radius are denoted by $'$. The mechanical equilibrium of the star matter is determined by the three equations alongside with the EoS $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(P)$ of the fluid. The combination of Eqs. (2), (3), and (4) leads to the well known Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations [32–35]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP(r)}{dr} = & -\frac{G\mathcal{E}(r)M(r)}{c^2 r^2} \left(1 + \frac{P(r)}{\mathcal{E}(r)} \right) \\ & \times \left(1 + \frac{4\pi P(r)r^3}{M(r)c^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2GM(r)}{c^2 r} \right)^{-1}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dM(r)}{dr} = \frac{4\pi r^2}{c^2} \mathcal{E}(r). \quad (6)$$

In general, in order to obtain realistic solutions, it is most natural to solve numerically the TOV equations, by introducing an EoS which describes the relation between pressure and density, anticipated in the fluid interior. The second procedure is to find out analytical solutions of the TOV equations, considering that may be without a physical interest. Actually, there are hundreds of analytical solutions of TOV equations [42, 43]. However, just a few of them are of physical interest. In the present work, we employ two of them, that is the Schwarzschild (constant-density interior solution) and the Tolman VII [42, 43]. It is worth noticing that the analytical solutions are very useful since, in many cases, provide some analytical expression for quantities which are under consideration, and also help greatly to check the accuracy of the numerical calculations and the correctness of the corresponding numerical recipes. In any case, the analytical solutions are a source of very useful insight.

B. Configurational entropy in momentum space

The key quantity to calculate the configurational entropy in momentum space is the Fourier transform $F(\mathbf{k})$ of the density $\rho(r) = \mathcal{E}(r)/c^2$, originating from the solution of the TOV equations, that is

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{k}) &= \int \int \int \rho(r) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} d^3\mathbf{r} \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{k} \int_0^R \rho(r) r \sin(kr) dr. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

It is notable that the function $F(k)$ in the case of zero momentum, coincides with the gravitational mass of the compact object, that is $F(0) \equiv M$, since by definition (see Eq. (6))

$$M = 4\pi \int_0^R \rho(r)r^2 dr, \quad (8)$$

where the density $\rho(r)$ derives from the solution of the TOV equations. Moreover, we define the modal fraction $f(\mathbf{k})$ [24]

$$f(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{|F(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\int |F(\mathbf{k})|^2 d^3\mathbf{k}}, \quad (9)$$

and also the function $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) = f(\mathbf{k})/f(\mathbf{k})_{\max}$, where $f(\mathbf{k})_{\max}$ is the maximum fraction, which is given in many cases by the zero mode $k = 0$, or by the system's longest physics mode, $|k_{\min}| = \pi/R$. The above normalization guarantees that $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) \leq 1$ for all values of \mathbf{k} .

Finally, the configurational entropy S_C , as a functional of $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k})$, is given by

$$S_C[\tilde{f}] = - \int \tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}) \ln[\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k})] d^3\mathbf{k}. \quad (10)$$

Summarizing, for each EoS, an infinite number of configurations can appear, leading to the construction of $M - \mathcal{E}_c$ and $S_C - \mathcal{E}_c$ (where \mathcal{E}_c is the central energy density) dependence and therefore, to the investigation of the possible correlation between the minimum of S_C and the stability point of under examination compact objects.

III. ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

A. Uniform solution or Schwarzschild interior solution

In the case of the Schwarzschild interior solution, the density is constant throughout the star. There is no physical justification of this solution and actually, it is not a real one. However, it is a very interesting one because it combines the following: (a) constant density in the interior of neutron stars, (b) simplicity in order to allow an exact solution of Einstein's equations, and (c) usefulness for pedagogic reasons, leading to analytical results in various applications [36, 37]. Thus, we briefly present the basic ingredients of the Schwarzschild interior solution. The metric functions are defined as

$$e^{-\lambda} = 1 - 2\beta x^2, \\ e^\nu = \left(\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{1-2\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-2\beta x^2} \right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where $x = r/R$ and $\beta = GM/Rc^2$ is the compactness of the star. The energy density and the pressure read as

$$\rho = \rho_c = \frac{3M}{4\pi R^3}, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{P(x)}{\rho_c c^2} = \frac{\sqrt{1-2\beta} - \sqrt{1-2\beta x^2}}{\sqrt{1-2\beta x^2} - 3\sqrt{1-2\beta}}, \quad (13)$$

where ρ_c is the central density. The ratio of the central pressure over the central energy density $P_c/\rho_c c^2$, which plays an important role on the stability condition, is given by the expression

$$\frac{P_c}{\rho_c c^2} \equiv \frac{P(0)}{\mathcal{E}(0)} = \frac{\sqrt{1-2\beta} - 1}{1 - 3\sqrt{1-2\beta}}. \quad (14)$$

According to this solution, the central pressure becomes infinite when $\beta = 4/9$ (actually, this upper limit holds for any star [44]). The main drawback of this solution is the infinite value of the speed of sound. For more details about this solution see Ref. [45]. After some algebra, we found the following dependence of configurational entropy S_C on the mass M

$$\frac{S_C}{\rho_c} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{C_U}{M}, \quad C_U = 846.726 \quad (15)$$

where the definition of the constant C_U is given in the Appendix. In order to compare the analytical predictions to the ones originated from realistic EoSs, we formulate S_C as follows

$$\frac{S_C \rho_c^{-1}}{4\pi b^{-1}} = 1.728 \times 10^5 \times \left(\frac{\text{km}}{R} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\rho_c / b a^{-3}} \quad (16)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{h}{mc} \right)^{3/2} \frac{c}{(mG)^{1/2}}, \quad b = \frac{c^2}{G} a.$$

For a specific value of radius R , the dependence of S_C on ρ_c can be compared with other cases.

B. Tolman VII solution

The Tolman VII solution is the most famous of the analytical ones [46]. It is of great interest because it has the specific property that the pressure and density vanish at the surface of the star. Furthermore, it has been extensively employed in neutron star studies while its physical realization has been examined, very recently, in detail [47]. Actually, the stability of this solution has been examined by Negi *et al.* [48, 49] and also confirmed in Ref. [45]. The metric functions of the Tolman VII solution are defined as follows

$$e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \beta x^2(5 - 3x^2), \quad e^\nu = \left(1 - \frac{5\beta}{3} \right) \cos^2 \phi, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\phi = \frac{w_1 - w}{2} + \phi_1, \quad \phi_1 = \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{3(1-2\beta)}},$$

and

$$w = \ln \left(x^2 - \frac{5}{6} + \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{3\beta}} \right), \quad w_1 = \ln \left(\frac{1}{6} + \sqrt{\frac{1-2\beta}{3\beta}} \right)$$

The density and the pressure read as

$$\frac{\rho(x)}{\rho_c} = (1-x^2), \quad \rho_c = \frac{15M}{8\pi R^3}, \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{P(x)}{\rho_c c^2} = \frac{2}{15} \sqrt{\frac{3e^{-\lambda}}{\beta}} \tan \phi - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{x^2}{5}. \quad (19)$$

There are some constraints related to the validity of the Tolman VII solution. Specifically, the central value of pressure becomes infinite for $\beta = 0.3862$, while the speed of sound remains less than the speed of light only for $\beta < 0.2698$ [50] (for more details see Ref. [45]). After some algebra, we found the following dependence of configurational entropy S_C on the mass M

$$S_C/\rho_c = \frac{8\pi}{15} \frac{C_T}{M}, \quad C_T = 177.521 \quad (20)$$

where the definition of the constant C_T is given in the Appendix. In order to compare, in this case too, the analytical predictions to the ones originated from realistic EoSs, we formulate S_C as follows

$$\frac{S_C \rho_c^{-1}}{4\pi b^{-1}} = 0.145 \times 10^5 \times \left(\frac{\text{km}}{R} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\rho_c / b a^{-3}} \quad (21)$$

Similar to the case of the Uniform solution, for a specific value of radius R the dependence of S_C on ρ_c can be compared with other cases, including those originating from realistic EoSs. In any case, useful insight can be gained by the use of analytical solutions concerning both the qualitative and quantitative behavior of S_C as a function of central density ρ_c .

IV. REALISTIC EQUATIONS OF STATE

A. Hadronic equation of state

We use a set of hadronic EoSs, which have been extensively employed in the literature for applications in neutron star properties (see Ref. [51] and references therein). These EoSs have been collected in order to satisfy the prediction of the maximum observed neutron star mass, that is $M \geq 2M_\odot$. In this case, for a specific EoS and for each M-R configuration, we implement the corresponding density distribution $\rho(r)$. Afterwards, the calculation of $F(k)$, $f(k)$, and $\tilde{f}(k)$ is performed according to the presented recipe (see Section II B). It has to be mentioned that it is well established that the stability point corresponds to the configuration of the maximum mass [32–35].

B. Quark star equation of state

We employ a set of EoSs concerning interacting quark matter (IQM) which has been predicted and applied in Ref. [52]. In this case, the pressure is related to the energy density via the simplified expression [52]

$$\frac{P}{4B_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{4B_{\text{eff}}} - 1 \right) + \frac{4}{9\pi^2} \bar{\lambda} \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\pi^2}{\bar{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{4B_{\text{eff}}} - \frac{1}{4} \right)} \right). \quad (22)$$

In the present work we employed the value for $B_{\text{eff}} = 150 \text{ MeV fm}^{-3}$, while for the dimensionless parameter $\bar{\lambda}$, we apply the values (1, 2, 5, 16).

C. Third family of stars (twin stars) equation of state

In order to enrich our study we employed a more complex EoS, constructed to reproduce properties of a third family of compact objects, known as twin stars [53–58]. To be more specific, we employed the Maxwell construction, suitable to describe phase transitions in the interior of a compact object and is formulated as follows [54]

$$\mathcal{E}(P) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(P), & P \leq P_{\text{tr}} \\ \mathcal{E}(P_{\text{tr}}) + \Delta\mathcal{E} + (P - P_{\text{tr}}) c_s^{-2}, & P \geq P_{\text{tr}}. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

In the above formula $\mathcal{E}(P)$ denotes the energy density, P is the pressure, $c_s = \sqrt{\partial P / \partial \mathcal{E}}$ is the speed of sound (in units of the speed of light), and $\Delta\mathcal{E}$ is the magnitude of the energy density jump at the transition point. Subscript “tr” denotes the corresponding quantity at this point. In the region $P \leq P_{\text{tr}}$, we employed the GRDF-DD2 EoS [59] while in the region $P \geq P_{\text{tr}}$, the value of the speed of sound is fixed at $c_s = 1$. Specifically, the quantity $\Delta\mathcal{E}$, in the present work, is defined as

$$\Delta\mathcal{E} = 100 + \frac{3P_{\text{tr}} + \mathcal{E}_{\text{tr}}}{2} (\text{MeV fm}^{-3}), \quad \mathcal{E}_{\text{tr}} \equiv \mathcal{E}(P_{\text{tr}}) \quad (24)$$

in order to satisfy the Seidov criterion [60]. This is an appropriate condition for the existence of an intermediate instability in the mass–radius relation due to the imposing of a jump in energy density (for an extensive discussion see Chapter 9 in Ref. [38]).

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main motivation of the present work is to study to what extent the finding in Ref. [24] is justified, which is that the configurational entropy provides an alternative approach to the study of gravitation stability with broad applicability.

Firstly, we used two analytical solutions in order to calculate the CE. It is worth pointing out that although it is more natural to use a realistic EoS for the fluid interior in order to solve the Einstein's field equations, the use of analytical solutions has the advantage that by having an explicit form, it then becomes easier to examine the implied physics. We should remark that the analytical solutions are a source of infinite number of EoSs (plausible or not). Consequently, they can be used extensively, in order to introduce and to establish some universal approximations (for more details and discussion see also Ref. [45]). In both cases, we found a dependent on the form

$$\frac{S_C \rho_c^{-1}}{4\pi b^{-1}} \sim \left(\frac{\text{km}}{R}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\rho_c/ba^{-3}}.$$

Although the above expression does not ensure the location of the stability point, it is very useful for two reasons. The first one is for comparison with the results produced by using realistic EoSs, for a fixed value of the radius R (see Fig. 1(c)), and the second one is to check and ensure the accuracy of our numerical codes.

The second step was to employ a large set of realistic EoSs predicted from various nuclear models. In Fig. 1(a) we display the dependence of the gravitational mass on the radius for the hadronic EoSs, including the Uniform and Tolman VII analytical solutions for $R = 12$ km. The diamonds indicate the stability points due to the traditional method (TM, which corresponds to the maximum mass configuration), while the open circles correspond to the minimum of the CE. It is obvious that in all cases, the location of the stability points does not coincide with the one corresponding to the minimum of the CE. Moreover, in some cases, no configuration appears to minimize CE, even at high values of central density, far from the stability point. In order to further clarify this point, in Fig. 1(b) we indicate the dependence of the mass on the central density. The non-coincidence of the corresponding stability points is evident in this case as well. Finally, in Fig. 1(c) we present the CE as a function of the central density. In all cases, we found that the configurational entropy is a decreasing function of the central density, where the appearance of the minimization is at high-values of density (if it exists). Therefore, the minimization point of CE and the maximum mass configuration of TM do not coincide, since the first one is located at high-values of density, far from those corresponding to the stability point. It has to be noted that the high-values of density that correspond to the minimization of CE, denote neutron stars in the instability region. However, there is only one case where the two mentioned points nearly coincide. This limiting case is the free Fermi gas which is shown in Fig. 1.

It is worth noticing that our findings confirm with high accuracy the results of Ref. [24], where only the free Fermi gas EoS was employed. It is important to emphasize that this EoS is not realistic and only as a mathematical application has some value in the present

study.

Furthermore, in order to enrich our study of compact objects, we included the configurations corresponding to quark stars by employing suitable EoSs. Quark stars have different configurations compared to neutron stars, since at the surface while the pressure is vanishing, that is not the case for the density. This behavior is well reflected on the dependence of the gravitational mass on the radius. In this case too, for a set of 4 EoSs, the predictions confirm the discrepancy between TM and CE stability points, as shown in Fig. 2.

Finally, in order to investigate an even more complex configuration of compact objects, we employed EoSs constructed to reproduce properties of a third family of compact objects (hybrid stars), the well-known twin stars. In this case, two stability points exist for the 6 EoSs under consideration. From Fig. 3 the first stability point coincide with the first minimum of the CE (less than 2% error from the stability point). However, the latter could be justified, since at this point a discontinues phase change appears as a large gap in the central energy. Consequently, this minimum can be identified as an artificial one. As far as concerning the second minimum of CE, in this case too, it appears very far from the second stability point (more than two to three times the central density).

Summarizing, the results lead to the conclusion that the CE can only be used partially as a measure of the stability of compact objects. The latter indicates that we cannot trust CE as a safe way to calculate their stability. We could speculate that CE can only qualitatively predict, in some way, some configurations, which can be correlated to the stability points. However, this approach, although may be fascinating, is only qualitative and not quantitative and therefore, far from being an accurate method of locating stability of compact objects in an alternative way.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is worth noticing that the existence of a stable configuration is a property of gravity and does not depend on the EoS. However, the specific location of the stability point depends on the EoS [53]. In view of the above comments, ones expects, according to the statement of Ref. [24], the minimization of the CE to be a consequence of gravity (in the framework of general relativity). If this is true, then we expect the existence of the relevant minimum to be independent of the applied EoS. Nonetheless, we found that this is not a general rule for the case of neutron stars. To be more specific, while there are cases where the CE is minimized, there are also cases where the CE is monotonically decreasing, with no appearance of a minimum. In addition, even in the first case scenario, the location of the minimum does not coincide with the stability point. In spite of the latter, there is only one exception, which is the free Fermi gas.

Similarly, in the case of quark stars, the stability point




FIG. 1. (a) Gravitational mass as a function of the radius for a set of hadronic EoSs. (b) The corresponding dependence of the gravitational mass as a function of the central density. (c) The corresponding configurational entropy as a function of the central density and two analytical solutions of TOV equations (for more details see text). The inset figure indicates the location of the minimization of the CE. The black diamonds indicate the stability points due to the TM while the open circles correspond to the minimum of the CE. The hadronic EoSs are presented with the dashed lines, and the analytical solutions with the solid ones.




FIG. 2. (a) Gravitational mass as a function of the radius for a set of quark star EoSs. (b) The corresponding dependence of the gravitational mass as a function of the central density. (c) The corresponding configurational entropy as a function of the central density. The inset figure indicates the location of the minimization of the CE. The black diamonds indicate the stability points due to the TM while the open circles correspond to the minimum of the CE.




FIG. 3. (a) Gravitational mass as a function of the radius for a set of twin-star EoSs. (b) The corresponding dependence of the gravitational mass as a function of the central density. (c) The corresponding configurational entropy as a function of the central density. The inset figure indicates the location of the minimization of the CE. The black diamonds indicate the stability points due to the TM while the open circles correspond to the minimum of the CE. In (a) and (b) the twin star EoSs are presented with the solid lines, where the dashed lines represent the intermediate unstable region, and in (c) the corresponding EoSs are presented with the dashed lines.

does not coincide with the point where CE is minimized. Additionally, an interesting case is also the one that corresponds to the third family of a compact objects, where even more complex configurations appear. In twin stars, the location of the first minimum of the CE is almost coincide with the corresponding stability point. However, the location of the second minimum of the CE is located far away from the corresponding stability point and therefore, one can not assume that these two points are directly related.

It is necessary to state that, in the present work, we have not exhausted the investigation of the correlation of CE to the stability conditions of compact objects. A future perspective is to consider the above correlation in the case of rapidly rotating or anisotropic stars (where the pressure is anisotropic, see for example Ref. [61]). Therefore, we can not completely rule out a possible correlation between stability and CE. This can only be done when the corresponding research is carried out in every detail and covers all possible cases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Nan Jiang for the correspondence and useful comments. All numerical calculations were performed on a workstation equipped with 2 Intel Xeon Gold 6140 Processors (72 cpu cores in total) provided by the MSc program “Computational Physics” of the Physics Department, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

VII. APPENDIX

A. The constants C_U and C_T

The constants C_U and C_T , which appear in Eqs.(15) and (20) respectively, are defined as (and take the specific values)

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_U &= -4\pi \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^4}{x^6} [\sin(x) - x \cos(x)]^2 \\
 &\quad \times \ln \left(\frac{\pi^4}{x^6} [\sin(x) - x \cos(x)]^2 \right) x^2 dx \\
 &\simeq 846.726
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_T &= -4\pi \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^8}{36x^{10}} [2(3 - x^2) \sin(x) - 6x \cos(x)]^2 \\
 &\quad \times \ln \left(\frac{\pi^8}{36x^{10}} [2(3 - x^2) \sin(x) - 6x \cos(x)]^2 \right) x^2 dx \\
 &\simeq 177.521
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

B. Equation of state of free Fermi gas

We provide the EoS for an ideal (non-interacting) neutron gas. In this case the baryonic density n , the energy density \mathcal{E} , and the pressure P are expressed as a function of the parameter $x = p_F/mc$, where p_F is the Fermi momentum and m the rest mass [46, 62], as

$$n(x) = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar c} \right)^3 x^3, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{mc^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar c} \right)^3 \nu(x), \quad (28)$$

$$P(x) = \frac{mc^2}{3\pi^2} \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar c} \right)^3 \left(x^3(x^2+1)^{1/2} - \frac{3}{8}\nu(x) \right), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\nu(x) = x(2x^2+1)(x^2+1)^{1/2} - \ln \left(x + (x^2+1)^{1/2} \right). \quad (30)$$

-
- [1] J. Sanudo and A.F. Pachero, Phys. Lett. A **373**, 807-810 (2009).
- [2] K.Ch. Chatzisavvas, V.P. Psonis, C.P. Panos, Ch.C. Moustakidis, Phys. Lett. A **373**, 3901-3909 (2009).
- [3] M.G.B de Avellar and J.E. Horvath, Phys. Lett. A **376**, 1085-1089 (2012).
- [4] C. Posada, J. Hladík, and Z. Stuchlík, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 104067 (2021).
- [5] M.G.B de Avellar, R.A. de Souza, J.E. Horvath, and D.M. Paret, Phys. Lett. A **378**, 3481-3487 (2014).
- [6] H. Adhitya and A. Sulaksono, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **572**, 012012 (2020).
- [7] E. Contreras and E. Fuenmayor, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 124065 (2021).
- [8] L. Herrera, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 044010 (2018).
- [9] L. Herrera, A. D. Prisco, and J. Ospino, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 104059 (2018).
- [10] L. Herrera, A. D. Prisco, and J. Ospino, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 044049 (2019).
- [11] L. Herrera, A. D. Prisco, and J. Carot, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 124028 (2019).
- [12] L. Herrera, Entropy **23**, 802 (2021).
- [13] M. Sharif, I. Butt, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 688 (2018).
- [14] M. Sharif, I. Butt, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 850 (2018).
- [15] M. Sharif, A. Majid, and M. Nasir, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **34**, 19502010 (2019).
- [16] M. Sharif and K. Hassan, Chin. J. Phys. **77**, 1479 (2022).
- [17] Z. Yousaf, M. Bhatti, and T. Nasser, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 323 (2020).
- [18] Z. Yousaf, M.Y. Khlopov, M. Bhatti, and T. Nasser, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **495**, 4334 (2020).
- [19] Z. Yousaf, M. Bhatti, and T. Nasser, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **29**, 2050061 (2020).
- [20] Z. Yousaf, K. Bamba, M.Z. Bhattia, and K. Hassan, New Astron. **84**, 101541 (2021).
- [21] Z. Yousaf, M.Z. Bhatti, and M.M.M. Nasir, Chin. J. Phys. **77**, 2078 (2022).
- [22] M. Gleiser and N. Stamatopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 304-307 (2012).
- [23] M. Gleiser and D. Sowiński, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 272-275 (2013).
- [24] M. Gleiser and N. Jiang, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 044046 (2015).
- [25] M. Gleiser and D. Sowiński, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 125-128 (2015).
- [26] N.R.F. Braga, Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134919 (2019).
- [27] N.R.F. Braga and R. da Mata, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 105016 (2020).
- [28] S.H. Alexander, K. Yagi, and N. Yunes, Clas. Quant. Grav. **36**, 015010 (2019).
- [29] R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **823**, 136729 (2021).
- [30] G. Karapetyan, Phys. Lett. B **786**, 418 (2018).
- [31] R.A.C. Correa, P.H.R.S. Moraes, A. de Souza Dutra, and W. de Paula, T. Frederico, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 083509 (2016).
- [32] S.L. Shapiro and S.A. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1983).
- [33] N.K. Glendenning, Compact Stars: Nuclear Physics, Particle Physics, and General Relativity (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [34] P. Haensel, A.Y. Potekhin, and D.G. Yakovlev, Neutron Stars 1: Equation of State and Structure (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007).
- [35] Ya.B. Zel'dovich and I.D. Novikov, *Stars and Relativity* (Dover Publications, INC, Mineapolis New York, 1971).
- [36] S. Weinberg, Gravitational and Cosmology: Principle and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity (Wiley, New York, 1972).
- [37] B.F. Schutz, A First Course in General Relativity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).
- [38] J. Schaffner-Bielich, Compact Star Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2020).
- [39] J.M. Bardeen, K.S. Thorne, and D.W. Meltzer, Astrophys. J. **145**, 505 (1966).
- [40] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. **140**, 417 (1964).
- [41] S. Chandrasekhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 114 (1964).
- [42] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M.A. MacCallum, and E. Hertl, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Deutsche Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin/Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1980)).
- [43] M.S.R. Delgaty and K. Lake, Comp. Phys. Commun. **115**, 395 (1998).
- [44] H.A. Buchdahl, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1027 (1959).
- [45] Ch.C. Moustakidis, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **49**, 68 (2017).
- [46] J.R. Oppenheimer and G.M. Volkoff, Phys. Rev. **55**, 374 (1939).
- [47] A.M. Ragoonundun and D.W. Hobill, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 124005 (2015).
- [48] P.S. Negi and M.C. Durgapal, Gen. Relativ. Grav. **31**, 13 (1999).

- [49] P.S. Negi and M.C. Durgapal, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **275**, 185 (2001).
- [50] J.M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, *Astrophys. J.* **550**, 426 (2001).
- [51] P.S. Koliogiannis and Ch.C. Moustakidis, *Phys. Rev. C* **101**, 015805 (2020).
- [52] C. Zhang and R.B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 063018 (2021).
- [53] N.K. Glendenning and C. Kettner, *Astron. Astroph.* **353**, L9-L12 (2000).
- [54] M.G. Alford, S. Han, and M. Prakash, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 083013 (2013).
- [55] G. Montana, L. Tolos, M. Hanauske, and L. Rezzolla, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 103009 (2019).
- [56] D. Blaschke, D. E. Alvarez-Castillo, and S. Benic, *Proc. Sci., CPOD2013* **063** [arXiv:1310.3803] (2013).
- [57] D.E. Alvarez-Castillo and D. Blaschke, arXiv:1304.7758.
- [58] D. Blaschke, D. E. Alvarez-Castillo, A. Ayriyan, H. Grigorian, N. K. Lagarni, and F. Weber, *Astrophysical Aspects of General Relativistic Mass Twin Stars* (2020), pp. 207–256 [arXiv:1906.02522]
- [59] S. Typel, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **45**, 114001 (17pp) (2018).
- [60] Z.F. Seidov, *Theory. Soviet Astronomy*, **15**, 347 (1971).
- [61] A. Rahmansyah, A. Sulaksono, A. B. Wahidin, and A. M. Setiawan, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 769 (2020).
- [62] M. Nauenberg and G. Chapline, *Astrophys. J* **179**, 277 (1973).