

CONSTRUCTION OF WEAVING AND POLYCATENANE MOTIFS FROM PERIODIC TILINGS OF THE PLANE

MIZUKI FUKUDA, MOTOKO KOTANI, SONIA MAHMOUDI

ABSTRACT. Doubly periodic weaves and polycatenanes embedded in a thickened Euclidean plane are interesting classes of three-dimensional complex entangled networks. The topological properties of such structures can be encoded in a periodic cell of a diagrammatic representation, which can be seen as a specific type of link diagram embedded on a torus called motif. The components of such motifs are essential closed curves in the case of weaves, and null-homotopic for polycatenanes. In this paper, we introduce a systematic way to construct such motifs using the concept of *polygonal link* transformations. This approach generalizes to the two-dimensional plane existing methods to construct polyhedral links in the three-dimensional space. Then, we will state our main result which allows one to predict the type of motif that can be built from a given periodic tiling and a chosen polygonal link method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Doubly periodic *weaves* and *polycatenanes* are complex three-dimensional entangled networks made of non-intersecting and non-self-crossing curves, which are infinite intertwined *threads* or *rings*, respectively. They are fascinating topological objects whose mathematical properties are also very useful for applications in materials science [9, 10, 11, 17, 21, 25, 27, 28]. In our previous work [13], we introduced a novel topological definition of particular classes of weaves, called *untwisted* and *twisted weaves*, as the lift to the Euclidean thickened plane of a quadrivalent planar graph made of infinite open curves, with a crossing information at each vertex. In chemistry, polycatenanes are described as multiple linked rings [21, 29]. The Hopf link, which is the simplest pair of linked rings, is a classical catenane. Polycatenanes are characterized by the presence of more than two linked rings. In [29], a catenane is more generally defined as a disjoint union of knots. Here, we adopt the specific definition of a polycatenane as a disjoint union of trivial knots. As in knot theory, we are interested in the study of the two-dimensional diagrammatic representation of these entangled objects [6, 7]. Recall that a link *diagram* is the natural projection to the Euclidean plane of a three-dimensional link in general position [24]. In the case of a doubly periodic structure, it is more interesting to study a periodic cell of an infinite diagram which encodes all the properties of the corresponding three-dimensional entangled object, as done by Grishanov, H. Morton et al. in [14, 23], as well as A. Kawauchi in [19]. We call such periodic cell, which

Date: June 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57K10, 57K12, 57M15, 05A05.

Key words and phrases. weave, polycatenane, diagram, link in a thickened torus, planar tiling.

This work is supported by a Research Fellowship from JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR17J4 and Grant-in Aid for JSPS Fellows Number 22J13397.

can be seen as a particular type of link diagram on a torus, a *motif*. All the closed curve components are essential in the case of a *weaving motif* and null-homotopic for a *polycatenane motif*. A motif containing both essential and trivial components is called a *mixed motif*.

The first main purpose of this article is to construct weaving and polycatenane motifs. Our strategy is to formalize and generalize to the case of periodic planar tilings [15], the methods to construct *polyhedral links* defined by W.Y. Qiu et al. in [16, 22, 26]. Their methods consist in transforming a polyhedron into a link by replacing each edge with a *single* or *double line covering*, possibly with *twists*, and each vertex with a set of *branched curves* or *crossed curves*. We call these three methods applied in two-dimension *polygonal link methods*. The transformation of a periodic tiling into a weaving diagram by assigning an over or under information to each vertex has also been considered in [2, 3]. Note that we will not discuss the properties of crossings in this paper, and will construct *alternating motifs* by convention (see Section 2 for details). We can summarize in the following definition the transformation of the vertices and edges of a given periodic cell of a doubly periodic tiling of the Euclidean plane \mathcal{T} , that we call a \mathcal{T} -cell.

Definition 1.1. A \mathcal{T} -cell is said to be transformed by the polygonal link method (Λ, L) , $L \in \{s, m\}$ with m being a positive integer, and $\Lambda \in \{C_r, B_r\}$, if all its vertices and all its edges are transformed by the same method with,

$$(\Lambda, L) = \begin{cases} (C_r, s) & : \text{crossed curves and single line covering,} \\ (C_r, m) & : \text{crossed curves and m-twisted double line covering,} \\ (B_r, m) & : \text{branched curves and m-twisted double line covering.} \end{cases}$$

This generalization of the strategy of Qiu et al. to general planar graphs describes a systematic way to construct diagrams of entangled structures. Using a graph-theoretic approach to study the properties of knots and links has shown to be useful in many cases, such as in [4, 5, 8, 18, 20]. In our case of doubly periodic structures, given a \mathcal{T} -cell, we are interested in predicting whether a chosen method (Λ, L) will build a weaving motif, a polycatenane motif or a mixed motif. Indeed, we noticed that each curve component generated by (Λ, L) will cover a closed path in the original skeleton of the tiling periodic cell, called a *characteristic loop* and denoted by $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$, as described in Section 3.2. Therefore, the second objective of this paper is to characterize such characteristic loops in the given \mathcal{T} -cell, using algebraic and combinatorial arguments, in order to predict the type of structure that will be construct. We will detail our following main result in Section 3.3, which can have interesting applications in other disciplines considering a computational approach.

Theorem 1.2. Let $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ be a \mathcal{T} -cell. Then, a pair $(U_{\mathcal{T}}, (\Lambda, L))$ will generate,

- a *polycatenane motif* if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are *trivial polygonal chains*.
- a *mixed motif* if and only if the set of all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at least a *trivial polygonal chain* and a *nontrivial one*.
- a *weaving motif* if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are *nontrivial polygonal chains*, and such that at least two of them are *non-parallel*.

In Section 2, we will recall and extend the main definitions of weaves, polycatenanes and their diagrams. In Section 3, we will state a formal definition of the polygonal link construction methods, and then prove our main theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON WEAVES AND POLYCATENANES

2.1. Weaves.

We start this section by recalling the main definitions about weaving, stated in our previous work [13]. First, consider a set of geodesics embedded in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 belonging to $N \geq 2$ disjoint color groups. These curves are such that two geodesics are in the *same color group* if they do not intersect each other, namely if they are parallel, or belong to *different color groups* if they intersect once (Fig. 1 (A)). Then, each intersection is given an over or under information (Fig. 1 (B)), called *crossing* as in knot theory, which is encoded into a set of *crossing sequences*. A crossing sequence is a sequence of integers with minimal length describing the number of consecutive over or undercrossings of a given colored geodesic with the curves of a different color group. Since the purpose of this paper is not related to the study of the crossings, we will consider from the next section that all the crossing sequences are equal to $(+1, -1)$ by convention, meaning *alternating*. We refer to [13] for a more specific definition of crossing sequences. An *untwisted weave* (Fig. 1 (C)) is then defined as the lift to the Euclidean thickened plane $\mathbb{X}^3 = \mathbb{E}^2 \times I$, where $I = [-1, 1]$, of a pair consisting of a quadrivalent graph made of these colored geodesics, together with a crossing information to each vertex, using the inverse natural projection map $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{E}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^3$, $(x, y, 0) \mapsto (x, y, z)$.




FIGURE 1. (A) Sets of geodesics belonging to different color groups in the Euclidean plane. (B) Crossing information to each intersection in the Euclidean plane. (C) Untwisted weave in the thickened Euclidean plane view from the top.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_N)$ be a set of geodesics belonging to $N \geq 2$ color groups in \mathbb{E}^2 with a crossing information at each vertex according to a set of crossing sequences $\Sigma = (\Sigma_{i,j})_{i < j}$ with $i, j \in (1, \dots, N)$. Then, we call *untwisted weave* the lift to \mathbb{X}^3 by π^{-1} of the pair (Γ, Σ) , which is an embedding of non-intersecting infinite curves in \mathbb{X}^3 . Each lifted geodesic is called a *thread* and two threads are said to be in the same *set of thread* T_i if they are the lift of geodesics belonging to the same color group Γ_i .

Next, consider that before lifting a same given pair (Γ, Σ) (Fig. 2 (A)) to the three-dimensional space, we allow a local surgery, called *twists* (Fig. 2 (B)), which consists in introducing new crossings between two closest neighboring geodesics of a same color group (Fig. 2 (C)). This twisting operation can be defined as a $\pm k$ -move [1], as details in our previous work [13]. We can therefore define a *twisted weave* as the lift a pair (Γ, Σ) containing at least a twist.




FIGURE 2. (A) Untwisted weaving diagram in the Euclidean plane. (B) Introduction of twists in the untwisted weaving diagram. (C) Twisted weave in the thickened Euclidean plane view from the top.

Definition 2.2. A *twisted weave* is the lift to \mathbb{X}^3 of a pair (Γ, Σ) satisfying Def. 2.1, admitting at least a twist and such that if two threads twist, they cannot twist with any other threads and their total linking number must be even.

Note that in the case of a doubly periodic weave, definitions of untwisted and twisted weaves are naturally reduced to a thickened parallelogram that is copied by translation in two non-parallel directions. In particular, the twisting surgery appears in a such periodic cell, which obviously implied that a doubly periodic twisted weave contains infinitely many twists. Moreover in this particular case, it is well-known that by identification of opposite sides of the quadrilateral, we obtain a particular type of link embedded in a thickened torus [14].

2.2. Polycatenanes.

In the introduction, we have seen that polycatenanes are described as multiple rings joined by crossings by the chemistry community [21, 29]. In the language of knot theory, since a ring can be intuitively seen as an unknot, we can formally defined a polycatenane as a link whose components are all trivial knots. More specifically, in our context of entangled structures embedded in a thickened plane, we suggest a similar topological definition as weaves with closed curve components instead. Let $\Omega = \{R_1, R_2, \dots\}$ be a set of infinitely many simple closed curves, intersecting each other but not themselves, that covers all \mathbb{E}^2 . In this case, note that the notion of color group is not considered since the rings do not need to be

organized in different sets. Assign to each pair of such loops R_i and R_j a crossing sequence $C_{i,j}$, where i and j are distinct positive integers. The definition of a crossing sequence is similar as for weaves, meaning a sequence of integers with minimal length describing the number of consecutive over or undercrossings of a given ring R_i with a distinct ring R_j .

Definition 2.3. Let i, j, k, l be strictly positive integers, and let R_i and R_j be two disjoint oriented simple closed curves of $\Omega = \{R_1, R_2, \dots\}$ in \mathbb{E}^2 , intersecting exactly k times. Then by walking on R_i , the *crossing sequence* $C_{i,j}$ of R_i with R_j is defined either by,

- (1) a sequence $(+1, 0)$ (resp. $(0, -1)$) if all the k intersections are assigned an over (resp. under) information for R_i .
- (2) a sequence $(\dots, +p_l, -p_{l+1}, +p_{l+2}, \dots)$ of minimal length, where p_l are strictly positive integers whose sum equal k , such that there exists a crossing c between R_i and R_j whose closest neighboring crossing in the opposite direction is an undercrossing, and from which R_i will have p_l consecutive overcrossings with R_j , followed by p_{l+1} consecutive undercrossings, followed by p_{l+2} consecutive overcrossings and so forth.

Moreover, we denote by $\Sigma = (C_{i,j})_{i < j}$, with i, j distinct, the set of crossing sequences associated to the pair (R_i, R_j) .

Remark. The crossing sequence $C_{j,i}$ is naturally deduced from $C_{i,j}$ for any pair (R_i, R_j) , and conversely. Recall that from the next section of this paper, we will restrict ourselves to the case of $C_{i,j} = (+1, -1)$ for all i and j distinct.

As done for weaves, we can now define a *polycatenane* as the lift to the thickened Euclidean plane of these linked planar rings, with respect to a set of crossing sequences. In other words, we reverse the usual operation of projecting of a three-dimensional link to a two-dimensional link diagram to define our polycatenanes.




FIGURE 3. (A) Sets of intersecting simple closed curves in the Euclidean plane. (B) Crossing information to each intersection in the Euclidean plane. (C) Polycatenane in the thickened Euclidean plane view from the top.

Definition 2.4. Let $\Omega = \{R_1, R_2, \dots\}$ be a set of infinitely many simple closed curves covering \mathbb{E}^2 , with a crossing information at each vertex according to a set of crossing sequences $\Sigma = (C_{i,j})_{i < j}$, where i and j are distinct positive integers. Then, we call *polycatenane* the lift to \mathbb{X}^3 by π^{-1} of the pair (Ω, Σ) , which is an embedding of non-intersecting simple closed curves in \mathbb{X}^3 , called *rings*.

2.3. Diagrammatic representation.

A weave or a polycatenane W in a general position in \mathbb{X}^3 can be projected onto the Euclidean plane by the map π , as in knot theory [24]. By general position, we mean that the projection of two components, threads or rings, by π in \mathbb{E}^2 are distinct. This projection leads to a planar quadrivalent connected graph, meaning that all the vertices have a degree four. Moreover, if it is doubly periodic, then any periodic cell can be seen as a link diagram on a torus, as seen in [14].

Definition 2.5. The projection of an entangled structure onto \mathbb{X}^2 by the map $\pi : \mathbb{X}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, 0)$ is called a *regular projection*, and once an over or under information is given at each vertex, we say that this structure is an infinite *diagram*. Moreover, if such a diagram is doubly periodic, then any periodic cell is called a *motif*.

By definition of a motif as a periodic cell of a doubly periodic diagram, the following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 2.6. (*Link diagram of a doubly periodic entangled structure*)

- a *weaving motif* is a link diagram whose components are essential closed curves which lift to simple open curves in its universal cover.
- a *polycatenane motif* is a link diagram whose components are null-homotopic curves which lift to simple closed curves in its universal cover.

Moreover, we call *mixed motif* a link diagram containing both types of components.

We conclude this section by recalling the notion of equivalence adopted for doubly periodic entangled structures, which has been defined by S. Grishanov et al. by generalizing the classical *Reidemeister Theorem* to torus diagrams [14], namely entangled motifs in our case.

Theorem 2.7. (*Reidemeister Theorem for doubly periodic entangled structures* [14]) Two doubly periodic entangled structures in \mathbb{X}^3 are ambient isotopic if and only if their torus diagrams, or motifs, can be obtained from each other by a sequence of Reidemeister moves Ω_1 , Ω_2 , and Ω_3 , isotopies on the surface of the torus, and torus twists.




FIGURE 4. Reidemeister moves

3. WEAVING AND POLYCATENANE MOTIFS CONSTRUCTED BY POLYGONAL LINKS

In this section, we first give a formal mathematical description of the polygonal link methods, which were originally introduced by W.Y. Qiu et al. in [16, 22, 26] to transform polyhedra into links. Then, using algebraic and combinatorial arguments, we will define a strategy to predict the type of entangled structure a given polygonal link method applied to a chosen periodic tiling of the plane can create, namely a weaving motif, a polycatenane motif or a mixed motif. Recall that on a torus, the components of a weaving motif are essential closed curves, a polycatenane motif contains only null-homotopic closed curves, and the set of components of a mixed motif contains at least one of each.

3.1. Polygonal link methods.

From the definitions stated in the previous section, we have seen that an entangled diagram can be constructed by giving an over or under information to each vertex of a particular type of quadrivalent graph. As mentioned above, in this paper, we will not discuss the properties of crossings and will consider that all the crossing sequences of an entangled structure are equal to $(+1, -1)$, which is called an *alternating structure*, by convention. In [16, 22, 26], new methods to transform a polyhedron into a *polyhedral link* are introduced, which consist in transforming each edge and each vertex by one of the methods illustrated in Fig. 5. We extend these transformations to planar graphs, and called them the *polygonal link methods*.




crossed curves and single line covering	crossed curves and m-twisted double line covering	branched curves and m-twisted double line covering
		
		
for a vertex of degree 4	for a vertex of any degree ≥ 3	for a vertex of any degree ≥ 3

FIGURE 5. Polygonal link methods: transformation of a vertex and its adjacent edges.

More specifically, our strategy is to obtain a systematic way to construct weaving and polycatenane motifs, by transforming simultaneously all the vertices and edges of an arbitrary periodic cell of a given doubly periodic topological *polygonal tessellation* of \mathbb{E}^2 , using the same polygonal link method. By a polygonal tessellation, we mean a covering of the plane by polygons, called *tiles*, such that the interior points of the tiles are pairwise disjoint. We call *elements* of a polygonal tessellation its tiles, as well as its vertices and edges, where tiles intersect. We restrict to tilings which are also *edge-to-edge*, meaning that the vertices are corners of all the incident polygons. However, we do not consider metric such as

lengths and angles, but only the degree of the vertices and the number of sides of its adjacent polygons, meaning that tilings by squares or parallelograms are considered as topologically equivalent here, as described in [15]. We will call a doubly periodic tessellation \mathcal{T} satisfying all these conditions a 2-tiling for simpler notation, and a periodic cell is called a \mathcal{T} -cell. We start by introducing a formal definition of the methods illustrated in Fig. 5, considering a right-handed orientation of the plane from now on, and starting with edge transformations.

Definition 3.1. Let $e_{v,v'}$ be the edge of a given \mathcal{T} -cell connecting the vertices v and v' . Then $e_{v,v'}$ is said to be transformed by,

- a *single line covering*, if it is replaced by a unique strand $s_{v,v'}$;
- a *m-twisted double line covering*, if it is replaced by a pair of strands $s_{v,v'}$ and $s'_{v,v'}$ crossing m times in an alternating fashion, with m a positive integer.

Remark. In the case of a m -twisted double line covering, we will decompose the strands into three connected segments,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{v,v'} &= s_{v_\mu,v'} \cup S_{v,v'} \cup s_{v,v'_\mu}, \\ s'_{v,v'} &= s'_{v_\mu,v'} \cup S'_{v,v'} \cup s'_{v,v'_\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mu \in \{l, r\}$, where l stand for *left* and r for *right*. To assign the value μ , we consider that at a neighboring disk D of a vertex v , we give an orientation to $e_{v,v'}$ toward the vertex v , which implies that at a neighboring disk D' of v' , the orientation of $e_{v,v'}$ is opposite, since it is now toward the vertex v' . Such an edge segment separates these neighborhoods into a left and right region, with respect to its orientation. Therefore, once an edge is transformed by a m -twisted double line covering, in the interior of those disks, one of the strand is said to be on the left and the other on the right (Fig. 6.). We denote by $S_{v,v'}$ (resp. $S'_{v,v'}$) the curves that connect the strand on the right (resp. left) in D with one of the strand in D' . We will use the following convention.

- If $m = 0$ or m is even,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{v,v'} &= s_{v_r,v'} \cup S_{v,v'} \cup s_{v,v'_l}, \\ s'_{v,v'} &= s_{v_l,v'} \cup S'_{v,v'} \cup s_{v,v'_r}. \end{aligned}$$

- If m is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{v,v'} &= s_{v_r,v'} \cup S_{v,v'} \cup s_{v,v'_r}, \\ s'_{v,v'} &= s_{v_l,v'} \cup S'_{v,v'} \cup s_{v,v'_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, each vertex v of degree $n \geq 3$ belonging to the given \mathcal{T} -cell will be transformed into an entangled area in three ways. At the neighborhood D_v of v , we label its adjacent edge segments starting from an arbitrary edge $(e_v)_0$. Then, its first counterclockwise adjacent edge is $(e_v)_1$, the second adjacent edge is $(e_v)_2$, and so forth such that $(e_v)_0 = (e_v)_n$. Conversely, by reading clockwise, its first adjacent edge is $(e_v)_{-1}$, the second adjacent edge is $(e_v)_{-2}$, and so forth such that $(e_v)_0 = (e_v)_{-n}$ (See Fig.7).




FIGURE 6. Strand labelling for a m -twisted double line covering.




FIGURE 7. Edge labelling at a neighborhood of a vertex v of degree n .

For a single line covering transformation at the edges, the only option for the adjacent vertex is a *crossed curves* transformation, and is only allowed if the degree of the vertex is four. In that case, each pair of opposite strands are glued into a single strand, and we will use the convention that at the construction time, the strand being the union of $(s_v)_1$ and $(s_v)_3$ will be over the second stand, where a strand $(s_v)_i$ replaced the edge segment $(e_v)_i$ in D_v , for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Such a crossing information can be reverse. However, for a m -twisted double line covering transformation at the edges, there are two options. One possibility is to connect each right strand $(s_{v_r,v'})_i$ in D_v to its closest neighboring left strand $(s_{v_l,v''})_{i+1}$, constructed from the edge adjacent to the vertices v and $v'' \neq v'$, by a *branched curves* transformation. Otherwise, a *crossed curves* transformation is also possible in this case by connected each right strand $(s_{v_r,v'})_i$ to the second counterclockwise adjacent left strand $(s_{v_l,v''})_{i+2}$. For these two transformations, we will use the convention that at the resulting crossings, the left strand is always over, and the right strand under, with the possibility to reverse the crossing information afterwards.

Definition 3.2. Each vertex v of degree $n_v \geq 3$ of a \mathcal{T} -cell is said to be transformed by,

- crossed curves, if $n_v = 4$ and if each strand $(s_{v,v'})_i$ is glued to the strand $(s_{v,v''})_{i+2}$ to become a single strand, where each edge adjacent to v has been replaced by a single line covering, and v, v', v'' are distinct adjacent vertices;
- crossed curves, meaning that each strand $(s_{v,v'})_i$ connects with the strand $(s_{v,v''})_{i+1}$ to become a single strand, where each edge adjacent to v has been replaced by a m -twisted double line covering, $m \geq 0$, and v, v', v'' are distinct adjacent vertices;
- branched curves, meaning that each strand $(s_{v,v'})_i$ connects with the strand $(s_{v,v''})_{i+2}$ to become a single strand, where each edge adjacent to v has been replaced by a m -twisted double line covering, $m \geq 0$, and v, v', v'' are distinct adjacent vertices.

We can summarize the transformation of the vertex and edges of our given \mathcal{T} -cell by one of the three different methods, and use the following new symbols, see Fig.8 for an example.

Definition 3.3. A \mathcal{T} -cell is said to be transformed by the polygonal link method (Λ, L) , $L \in \{s, m\}$, m being a positive integer, and $\Lambda \in \{C_r, B_r\}$, if all its vertices and all its edges are transformed by the same method with,

$$(\Lambda, L) = \begin{cases} (C_r, s) & : \text{crossed curves and single line covering,} \\ (C_r, m) & : \text{crossed curves and } m\text{-twisted double line covering,} \\ (B_r, m) & : \text{branched curves and } m\text{-twisted double line covering.} \end{cases}$$







crossed curves and single-line covering	4-crossed curves and 1-twisted double-line covering	4-branched curves and 1-twisted double-line covering
 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(C_r, 1)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>	 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(4C_r, 1)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>	 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(4B_r, 1)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>
4-crossed curves and double-line covering	4-crossed curves and 2-twisted double-line covering	4-branched curves and 2-twisted double-line covering
 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(4C_r, 0)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>	 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(4C_r, 2)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>	 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> $(4B_r, 2)$ $+$ \rightarrow  $-$ \rightarrow  </div>

FIGURE 8. Examples of weaves and polycatenanes constructed from the square tiling.

Remark. In this paper, we discussed the transformation of doubly periodic tilings of the Euclidean plane. However, we can also apply the same polygonal link methods to hyperbolic periodic tilings to construct hyperbolic motifs and diagrams, as it is discussed in [28]. Moreover, such transformations can also be applied to non-periodic planar tilings or graphs.

3.2. Characteristic loops of polygonal link methods.

The second main purpose of this paper is to predict whether a (Λ, L) method applied to a chosen \mathcal{T} -cell will generate a weaving motif, a polycatenane motif or a mixed motif. We indeed observed cases where closed curve components were created in the universal cover, which do not match with our definition of weaves [13] and motivated this study, see Fig. 8, bottom right picture for an example a polycatenane. This however gives the opportunity to characterize different types of entangled doubly periodic objects. The strategy is to predict the type of *polygonal chains* [12] on the \mathcal{T} -cell that will be covered by the curve components created from the chosen (Λ, L) method. Such a polygonal chain is homotopic to a closed curve on a torus by definition, and is therefore either null-homotopic or essential by periodic conditions [12]. We call such a closed curve a *characteristic loop of (Λ, L)* and characterize it combinatorially.

Definition 3.4. Let $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{T} -cell and (Λ, L) a chosen polygonal link method. A *characteristic loop of (Λ, L)* is an oriented curve isotopic to a *polygonal chain* in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by an ordered and reduced sequence of edges arbitrary oriented,

$$\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)} = (\delta_0^{\pm}, \delta_1^{\pm}, \dots, \delta_k^{\pm}),$$

where δ_k^+ and δ_k^- denote the same edge with opposite orientation, and δ_1 and δ_k being edges of $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ sharing a common vertex, with k a positive integer.

We define such a closed polygonal chain for the three distinct (Λ, L) methods independently, see Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 for two examples on a triangular tiling.




FIGURE 9. Triangular tiling. On the left: A simple closed polygonal chain that would be covered by applying $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, 2m)$. On the top: A (part of a) simple open polygonal chain that would be covered by applying $(\Lambda, L) = (B_r, 2m + 1)$. On the right: A periodic cell with the vertex and edges labelled.

3.2.1. Crossed curves and single line covering: $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, s)$.

Let $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{T} -cell satisfying the above conditions. Select an arbitrary vertex v of $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ from which we will start and end a characteristic polygonal chain $\Delta_{(C_r, s)}$. Then,




FIGURE 10. Periodic cell of a triangular tiling. On the left: Characteristic loop corresponding to Fig. 9 (left). On the right: Characteristic loop corresponding to Fig. 9 (top).

by Def. 3.2 and using the above notations, the arbitrary strand covering an edge adjacent to v and v' is glued to the strand covering its second counterclockwise adjacent edge in v' , also adjacent to another vertex v'' . This last is itself glued to the strand covering its second counterclockwise adjacent edge in v'' , and so forth. In other words, each element of $\Delta_{(C_r, s)}$ is therefore the second clockwise adjacent edge to the previous one at their common vertex. So by Def. 3.4 and with the notation of Fig. 7, for all $i \in (1, \dots, k, k+1=0)$,

$$\delta_i = (\delta_{i-1})_2,$$

where each δ_i is an edge that would be covered by a single line covering when applying the polygonal link method (C_r, s) to $U_{\mathcal{G}}$.

3.2.2. Crossed curves and m -twisted double line covering: $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, m)$.

With the same notations, the strategy for this method is similar to the previous one, the main difference concerning the m -twisted double line covering. Indeed, the transformation of $U_{\mathcal{G}}$ by the (C_r, m) method can possibly create close components, depending on the parity of the positive integer m representing the number of twists between the two strands replacing each edge of $U_{\mathcal{G}}$. Again, by Def. 3.2 and using the notations above, as well as the remark below Def. 3.1, we can state that,

- if m is even, each curve constructed after transforming all the edges and vertices by (C_r, m) is an alternating union of a right strand, followed by a left strand, and so forth which cover edges that are consecutive second counterclockwise adjacent edge, at each vertex they cross.

This means that for all $i \in (1, \dots, k, k+1=0)$, we have from Def. 3.4,

$$\delta_i = (\delta_{i-1})_2,$$

- if m is odd, each curve constructed after transforming all the edges and vertices by (C_r, m) is an alternating union of two consecutive right strands, followed by two consecutive left strands, and so forth. In this case, the consecutive edges forming the polygonal chain alternate between second counterclockwise adjacent edge and

second clockwise adjacent edge from the previous one. This means that there exists a positive integer i such that in Def. 3.4, for all $i \in (1, \dots, k, k+1=0)$,

$$\delta_{2i} = (\delta_{2i-1})_2 \text{ and } \delta_{2i+1} = (\delta_{2i})_{-2}.$$

3.2.3. Branched curves and m -twisted double line covering: $(\Lambda, L) = (B_r, m)$.

In this case, the strategy is the same than the previous method (C_r, m) . The only modification is that we consider the first clockwise or counterclockwise adjacent edge, instead of the second one. The polygonal loop can therefore be written in the same fashion,

- if m is even, then in Def. 3.4 we have for all $i \in (1, \dots, k, k+1=0)$,

$$\delta_i = (\delta_{i-1})_1,$$

- if m is odd, then there exists a positive integer i such that in Def. 3.4, for all $i \in (1, \dots, k, k+1=0)$,

$$\delta_{2i} = (\delta_{2i-1})_1 \text{ and } \delta_{2i+1} = (\delta_{2i})_{-1}.$$

3.3. Weaving motifs, polycatenanes motifs or mixed motifs.

By definition of a weave, a pair $(U_{\mathcal{T}}, (\Lambda, L))$, consisting of a \mathcal{T} -cell $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ of a planar edge-to-edge doubly periodic tiling \mathcal{T} and a polygonal open link method (Λ, L) , will generate a weaving motif if and only if it none of its characteristic loops $\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ is null-homotopic in the torus graph, and such that at least two distinct sets of threads, or axes of direction, can be identified in the set of these polygonal loops. Similarly, we can characterize a polycatenanes motif and a mixed motif by the type of their components.

Proposition 3.5. *A pair $(U_{\mathcal{T}}, (\Lambda, L))$ will generate*

- *a polycatenanes motif if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are null-homotopic.*
- *a mixed motif if and only if the set of all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at least a null-homotopic closed component and an essential closed curve.*
- *a weaving motif if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are homotopic to essential closed curves, and such that at least two of them are non-parallel, up to isotopy.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition is immediate. By isotopy, any weaving, polycatenanes or mixed motif can have its components covering the edges of $U_{\mathcal{T}}$, and can therefore be decomposed into a set of essential, null-homotopic closed curves or both types, respectively. The particularity of a weaving motif is that those components can be organized in at least two disjoint sets of threads by definition. Conversely, if one characteristic loop is null-homotopic, then the curve component created by the method (Λ, L) that covers it on the torus will lift as a closed component in the universal covering \mathbb{E}^2 and the result cannot be a weaving motif. It is therefore either a polycatenanes motif if all the characteristic loops are null-homotopic, or a

mixed motif otherwise. Finally, if all the component are essential closed curves on the torus, then there are isotopic to geodesic curves, each of them characterized by a set of straight line segment on a flat torus. Therefore, it suffices to verify the existence of at least two such segments with different slopes, which implies the existence of at least two distinct axes of direction, and confirm the case of a weaving motif. \square

To identify if a characteristic loop is null-homotopic or essential on a torus, we will use the polygonal description of characteristic loops given on the previous subsection. We will prove our main theorem using algebraic arguments.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ be a \mathcal{T} -cell. Then, a pair $(U_{\mathcal{T}}, (\Lambda, L))$ will generate,*

- *a polycatenane motif if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are trivial polygonal chains.*
- *a mixed motif if and only if the set of all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains at least a trivial polygonal chain and a nontrivial one.*
- *a weaving motif if and only if all the characteristic loops $\delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ in $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ are nontrivial polygonal chains, and such that at least two of them are non-parallel.*

Proof. Let $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{T} -cell embedded on a flat torus. First, label all the edges and vertices of $U_{\mathcal{T}}$, and give each edge an arbitrary orientation. For a chosen method (Λ, L) , define a first characteristic loop as described in Def.3.4, denoted by $\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$, such that the polygonal loop encodes the orientation of the edges with a sign $+$ or $-$, if the orientation of the loop follows the given orientation of the edge or not, respectively,

$$\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)} = (\delta_0^{\pm}, \delta_1^{\pm}, \dots, \delta_k^{\pm}).$$

A characteristic loop, being a closed curve on a torus, can be characterized by a reduced cyclic word using the fundamental group of the torus $\pi_1((T)^2)$, [12]. Let α and β be the two generators of $\pi_1((T)^2)$, representing respectively a meridian and a longitude of the torus along which we cut to obtain a flat torus. The identity element is therefore given by the word $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$, by commutativity of $\pi_1((T)^2)$, which also characterizes a null-homotopic curve. Therefore, given such a presentation of the torus, it becomes possible to associate to each characteristic loop a word $w(\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)})$, and deduce that it represents an essential closed curve, if and only its reduced word represents a nontrivial element of $\pi_1((T)^2)$. Let $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ be the oriented flat torus, with opposite sides characterized by the same symbol and oriented in opposite direction, in which the given labelled \mathcal{T} -cell is embedded. Let i and j being two positive integers used to label the edges. Then, if an edge δ_i^{\pm} of $\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ intersects a boundary of the flat torus denoted by α , and such that the orientation of the edge matches with (resp. is opposite to) the orientation given to β , then δ_i^{\pm} will be assigned the value β (resp. β^{-1}) in the word $w(\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)})$. The same holds conversely, by exchanging the roles of α and β . However, an edge δ_j^{\pm} of $\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ that does not intersect any boundary of the flat torus will not contribute to $w(\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)})$. More generally, if between two oriented edges of $\Delta_{(\Lambda, L)}$ that intersect a boundary of the flat torus, there exists a finite subsequence of edges that do not intersect any such boundary and such that the vertices of this subsequence are also all distinct, then its word is the identity I . In other words, if there is a simple arc

in $\Delta_{(\Lambda,L)}$ that does not intersect the boundary of $U_{\mathcal{F}}$, then this arc is contractible and therefore does not contribute to the word of the characteristic loop. The last case to consider is when none of the edges of the characteristic loop $\Delta_{(\Lambda,L)}$ intersect a boundary of the flat torus, which characterizes immediately a null-homotopic component. Notice that a word containing as many elements α as elements α^{-1} , as well as as many elements β as elements β^{-1} is trivial. This implies that in a characteristic loop, if for all indices i , the number of edges δ_i^+ is equal to the number of edges δ_i^- , then this loop is null-homotopic. We say in this case that the polygonal chain is trivial, or nontrivial otherwise. Therefore, the polygonal chain of a characteristic loop, described by an ordered sequence of vertices and oriented edges as described above, characterizes the type of the closed component of the motif that will cover it. By defining the polygonal chain of all the characteristic loops of the \mathcal{F} -cell, it is therefore possible to predict the type of motif that can be generated by a pair $(U_{\mathcal{F}}, (\Lambda, L))$. Note that in the case of a set of essential components, the additional argument that there must exist at least two characteristic loops with distinct words is necessary to conclude about a weaving motif, two such loops are said to be non-parallel. Finally, recall that even if the words of the characteristic loops depend on the choice of the generators of $\pi_1((T)^2)$ and on the orientation and label of the elements $U_{\mathcal{F}}$, the fact that these words are trivial or not is obviously independent of such choice, by definition of the mapping class group of the torus [12], and therefore do not affect our result, which ends the proof of the theorem. \square

We can end this section with an example of application of our theorem.

Example. Let $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a periodic cell of an hexagonal lattice and label and orient its edges as illustrated in Fig. 11. Note that this labelling is arbitrary.




FIGURE 11. Periodic cell of an hexagonal tiling.

- If we want to predict the type of motif that would be created by applying $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, 2)$ to $U_{\mathcal{F}}$, then we must list the characteristic loop that would be covered for each strand after transformation, using the polygonal chain description given in Section 3.2.2. In this case, all the characteristic loop are equivalent, up to cyclic permutation and reversing of all orientations, to the following polygonal chain,

$$\Delta_{(C_r, 2)} = (e_1^+, e_3^-, e_2^-, e_1^-, e_3^+, e_2^+)$$

Here the symbols \pm relate to the orientation of the edges given in Fig.1, with a sign $+$ for the natural direction and $-$ for the reversed one. For simpler notations, we can

also borrow from homotopy theory the notation of loop composition,

$$\Delta_{(C_r,2)} = e_1^+ . e_3^- . e_2^- . e_1^- . e_3^+ . e_2^+$$

We can read that this loop is null-homotopic by Th. 3.6. Therefore, the crossed curves and 2-twisted double line covering method applied to an hexagonal tiling will always generate a polycatenane. The same conclusion actually holds for any even number of twists.

- for $(\Lambda, L) = (B_r, 3)$, we can describe all the characteristic loops by the polygonal chains, up to cyclic permutation and reversing all orientations,

$$\Delta_{(B_r,3)}^1 = e_1^+ . e_3^-,$$

$$\Delta_{(B_r,3)}^2 = e_1^+ . e_2^+,$$

$$\Delta_{(B_r,3)}^3 = e_3^+ . e_2^+,$$

We can read that these loops are essential by Th. 3.6. Their words are also all distinct. Therefore, the branched curves and 3-twisted double line covering method applied to an hexagonal tiling will always generate a twisted weave. The same conclusion actually holds for any odd number of twists.

Finally, we can end this section with some properties that can be proved immediately.

- For any \mathcal{T} -cell, $(\Lambda, L) = (B_r, 2m)$ always generates a polycatenane, with the particular case of a trivial structure (no crossings) if $m = 0$. This result generalizes to non-periodic tilings. Indeed, each tile is isotopic to a characteristic loop itself.
- Similarly, for any \mathcal{T} -cell such that all the vertices have degree 3, $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, 2m)$ always generates a polycatenane by definition. This result generalizes to non-periodic tilings. Indeed, once again, each tile is isotopic to a characteristic loop itself.
- A characteristic loop isotopic to a polygonal chain containing edges of same slope is essential by definition. Therefore, for any \mathcal{T} -cell such that all the vertices have degree 4, $(\Lambda, L) = (C_r, 2m)$ always generates a polycatenane by definition. This result generalizes to non-periodic tilings. Indeed, once again, each tile is isotopic to a characteristic loop itself.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank K. Shimokawa (Ochanomizu University) and M. Chas (Stony Brook University) for their precious comments and advice during this study. This work is supported by a Research Fellowship from JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR17J4 and Grant-in Aid for JSPS Fellows Number 22J13397.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Adams. The knot book: an elementary introduction to the mathematical theory of knots. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004).
- [2] C. Adams, C. Albors-Riera, B. Haddock, Z. Li, D. Nishida, B. Reinoso, L. Wang. Hyperbolicity of links in thickened surfaces. *Topology and Its Applications*. **256** (2019), 262–278.
- [3] C. Adams, A. Calderon, N. Mayer. Generalized bipyramids and hyperbolic volumes of alternating k -uniform tiling links. *Topology and Its Applications*. **271** (2020), 107045.
- [4] A. Champanerkar, I. Kofman, J.S. Purcell. Volume bounds for weaving knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **16** (2016), 3301–3323.
- [5] A. Champanerkar, I. Kofman, J.S. Purcell. Geometrically and diagrammatically maximal knots. *J. London Math. Soc.* **94** (2016), 883–908.
- [6] P.R. Cromwell. Knots and Links, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- [7] S. De Toffoli, V. Giardino. Forms and Roles of Diagrams in Knot Theory. *Erkenn.* **79** (2014), 829–842.
- [8] T. Endo, T. Itoh, K. Taniyama. A graph-theoretic approach to a partial order of knots and links. *Topology and Its Applications*. **157** (2010), 1002–1010.
- [9] M.E. Evans, V. Robins and S.T. Hyde. Periodic entanglement I: networks from hyperbolic reticulations. *Acta Cryst.* **A69** (2013), 241–261.
- [10] M.E. Evans, V. Robins and S.T. Hyde. Periodic entanglement II: weavings from hyperbolic line patterns. *Acta Cryst.* **A69** (1984), 262–275.
- [11] M.E. Evans and S.T. Hyde. Periodic entanglement III: tangled degree-3 finite and layer net intergrowths from rare forests. *Acta Cryst.* **A71** (2015), 599–611.
- [12] B. Farb and D. Margalit. A primer on mapping class groups. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012).
- [13] Mizuki Fukuda, Motoko Kotani, Sonia Mahmoudi, Classification of doubly periodic untwisted (p,q) -weaves by their crossing number. *arXiv:2202.01755*.
- [14] S. Grishanov, V. Meshkov and A. Omelchenko. Kauffman-type polynomial invariants for doubly periodic structures. *J. Knot Theory Its Ramif.* **16** (2007), 779–788.
- [15] B. Grünbaum and G.C. Shephard. Tilings and Patterns (second edition). (Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2016).
- [16] G. Hu, X.-D. Zhai, D. Lu and W.-Y. Qiu. The architecture of Platonic polyhedral links. *J. Math. Chem.* **46** (2009), 592–603.
- [17] S.T. Hyde, B. Chen and M. O’Keeffe. Some equivalent two-dimensional weavings at the molecular scale in 2D and 3D metal–organic frameworks. *CrystEngComm.* **18** (2016), 7607–7613.
- [18] S.T. Hyde, M.E. Evans. Symmetric tangled Platonic polyhedra. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **119** (2022), e2110345118.
- [19] A. Kawauchi. Complexities of a knitting pattern. *Reactive and Functional Polymers.* **131** (2018) 230–236.
- [20] K. Kobata, T. Tanaka. A circular embedding of a graph in Euclidean 3-space. *Topology and Its Applications*. **157** (2010), 213–219.
- [21] Y. Liu, M. O’Keeffe, M.M.J. Treacy and O.M. Yaghi. The geometry of periodic knots, polycatenanes and weaving from a chemical perspective: a library for reticular chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **47** (2018), 4642–4664.
- [22] D. Lu, G. Hu, Y.-Y. Qiu and W.-Y. Qiu. Topological transformation of dual polyhedral links. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **63** (2010), 67–78.
- [23] H.R. Morton, S.A. Grishanov. Doubly periodic textile structures. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications.* **18** (2009), 1597–1622.
- [24] K. Murasugi. Knot theory and its applications (Birkhäuser, Boston 2008).
- [25] E. Panagiotou, K.C. Millett, S. Lambropoulou, The linking number and the writhe of uniform random walks and polygons in confined spaces. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010), 045208.
- [26] W.-Y. Qiu, X.-D. Zhai and Y.-Y. Qiu. Architecture of Platonic and Archimedean polyhedral links. *J. Math. Chem.* **51** (2008), 13–18.
- [27] K. Shimokawa, K. Ishihara, Y. Tezuka. Topology of polymers. (Springer Nature, Tokyo, 2019).

- [28] B. Thompson and S.T. Hyde. A theoretical schema for building weavings of nets via colored tilings of two-dimensional spaces and some simple polyhedral, planar and three-periodic examples. *Isr. J. Chem.* **58** (2018), 1144–1156.
- [29] N. Wakayama, K. Shimokawa. On the classification of polyhedral links. *Submitted.* (2022).

ADVANCED INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, 2-1-1 KATAHIRA, AOBA-KU, SENDAI, 980-8577, JAPAN
Email address: `sonia.mahmoudi.mines@gmail.com`