

STRONGLY ISOMORPHIC SYMBOLIC EXTENSIONS FOR EXPANSIVE TOPOLOGICAL FLOWS

YONATAN GUTMAN AND RUXI SHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that finite-dimensional topological flows without fixed points and having a countable number of periodic orbits, have the small flow boundary property. This enables us to answer positively a question of Bowen and Walters from 1972: Any expansive topological flow has a strongly isomorphic symbolic flow extension, i.e. an extension by a suspension flow over a subshift. Previously Burguet had shown this is true if the flow is assumed to be C^2 -smooth.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Structure of the paper	3
Acknowledgements	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Notation	4
2.2. Discrete dynamical systems and topological flows	4
2.3. Cross-sections	5
2.4. Flow boundaries and interiors	5
2.5. Good cross-sections exist	6
2.6. Calculating with flow interiors and boundaries	7
2.7. The small flow boundary property	8
2.8. Suspension flows and symbolic extensions	9
2.9. Some facts from dimension theory	10
3. Establishing the small flow boundary property	11
3.1. Local homeomorphisms between cross-sections	11
3.2. Return time sets and cross-section names	13
3.3. Statement of the Main Theorem	16
3.4. General position	17
3.5. Key Lemma	17
3.6. Proof of the Main Theorem	22
4. Expansive flows have strongly isomorphic symbolic extensions	24
4.1. Background	24
4.2. Bowen and Walters' construction	25

Y.G. was partially supported by the National Science Centre (Poland) grant 2020/39/B/ST1/02329.

4.3. Bowen and Walters' question answered	29
5. Appendix	29
5.1. Existence of a complete family	29
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

Symbolic coding of dynamical systems (X, T) in the form of measurable factor maps into shift spaces over finite alphabets $(X, T) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \subset (\{1, 2, \dots, a\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ has played a prominent role in the theory of dynamical systems since its inception ([Had98, Mor21, MT88]). Requiring the factor maps to be continuous is usually impossible. It is however meaningful to look for a symbolic system $(Y, \sigma) \subset (\{1, 2, \dots, a\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ for which the original system occurs as a continuous factor $(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, T)$. In other words this form of “digitization” corresponds to symbolic extensions. However as (Y, σ) is an extension of (X, T) it is necessarily “more complex” than (X, T) . Viewing (Y, σ) as a model of (X, T) one strives to minimize this “complexity gap”. This may be formalized mathematically by various conditions such as requiring the extension to be principal or strongly isomorphic¹. The associated theory for \mathbb{Z} -systems is deep and extensive ([BD04, Dow05, Dow11]). Recently a symbolic extension theory for \mathbb{R} -systems, i.e. *topological flows* has been put forth ([Bur19]). The present paper is a further contribution in this direction, specifically to the theory of symbolic extensions of expansive topological flows. Our main tool is the *small flow boundary property*.

As a dynamical analog of Lebesgue covering dimension zero, the *small boundary property* for a \mathbb{Z} -system (X, T) was introduced by Shub and Weiss in [SW91] who investigated the question under which conditions a given \mathbb{Z} -system has factors with strictly lower entropy. Later it was realized that the small boundary property has wider applicability. Notably Lindenstrauss and Weiss [LW00] showed that a \mathbb{Z} -system which has the small boundary property must have mean dimension zero. Moreover a \mathbb{Z} -system with the small boundary property has a zero-dimensional strongly isomorphic extension ([Bur19, p. 4338] based on [Dow05]). From [Lin95] it follows that a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -system without periodic points has the small boundary property².

Burguet [Bur19] introduced the *small flow boundary property* for topological flows as an analog to the small boundary property. He showed that flows with the small flow boundary property admit strongly

¹See Definition 2.22

²In effect from [Lin95, Theorem 3.3] it follows that an infinite \mathbb{Z} -system ($|X| = \infty$) with a finite number of periodic points has the small boundary property.

isomorphic zero-dimensional extensions and gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of *symbolic* extensions³ for such flows in terms of the existence of *superenvelopes* ([Bur19, Theorem 3.6]). This can be seen as a certain generalization of the Boyle-Downarowicz symbolic extension entropy theorem ([BD04]). Burguet [Bur19] showed that a C^2 -flow without fixed points⁴ and such that for any $\tau > 0$, the number of periodic orbits of period less than τ is finite has the small flow boundary property. In our main theorem we manage to remove the smoothness assumption:

Theorem A. *Let X be a compact finite-dimensional space. Let Φ be a topological flow on X without fixed points, having a countable number of periodic orbits. Then (X, Φ) has the small flow boundary property.*

Expansive \mathbb{Z} -systems were introduced as early as 1950 by [Utz50]. In [Red68] and [KR69] it was shown that expansive \mathbb{Z} -systems admit symbolic extensions⁵. The notion of expansiveness for flows was introduced by Bowen and Walters [BW72]. Bowen and Walters [BW72] proved that expansiveness is invariant under topological conjugacy and that the topological entropy of an expansive flow is finite. In addition they constructed a symbolic extension for expansive flows. They asked whether this symbolic extension preserves entropy. More precisely, they made use of closed cross-sections to build a symbolic extension and wondered if one could choose carefully these closed cross-sections so that the associated symbolic extension has the same topological entropy as the original system. Burguet [Bur19] gave a positive answer to this question for C^2 -expansive flows. In this paper, we give an affirmative answer for all expansive flows.

Theorem B. *Let (X, Φ) be an expansive flow. Then it has a strongly isomorphic symbolic extension.*

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we recall basic notions related to discrete dynamical systems and topological flows. In Section 3, we prove that finite-dimensional topological flows without fixed points, having a countable number of periodic orbits, satisfy the small flow boundary property (Theorem A). In Section 4, we recall the definition of expansive flows and Bowen-Walters construction of symbolic extensions for expansive flows, and show that any expansive topological flow has a strongly isomorphic symbolic extension (Theorem 4.12). This

³A topological flow is said to admit a symbolic extension, respectively a zero-dimensional extension, if it has an extension by a suspension flow over a subshift, respectively a zero dimensional system, with a positive continuous roof function.

⁴Flows without fixed points are known as *regular flows* but we will not use this terminology in this paper.

⁵From the work of Boyle and Downarowicz [BD04] it follows that an expansive \mathbb{Z} -system admits a symbolic extension of the same entropy.

answers an open question of Bowen and Walters [BW72]. In the Appendix (Section 5), we review the construction of a complete family of cross-sections for a topological flow without fixed points, following Bowen and Walters.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to David Burguet who told us about the main problem of the paper and conveyed to us his strong conviction in the feasibility of a positive solution (see also [Bur19, Remark 2.3]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $x \in S \subset X$. Denote by $B_S(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in S \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ the open ϵ -ball in S around $x \in S$. If S is clear from the context, it may be omitted from the notation. Let $C = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a set of sets $A_i \subset X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote by $\bigcup C = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \subset X$ and $\bigcap C = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \subset X$. Let $S, V \subset X$. Denote by $\partial_S V$ and $\text{Int}_S V$ respectively the **boundary** and **interior** of V w.r.t. the subspace topology induced by S . Let $S \subset X$ be a closed subset and $Q \subset S$ be a subset in S . Fix $\epsilon > 0$. The **open**, respectively **closed ϵ -tube around Q** is the set $\Theta_\epsilon^S(Q) := \{y \in S \mid d(y, \overline{Q}) < \epsilon\}$ respectively $\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon^S(Q) := \{y \in S \mid d(y, \overline{Q}) \leq \epsilon\}$. Let $O \subset S$ be an open subset in S . The **open**, respectively **closed internal $(-\epsilon)$ -tube of O inside S** is the set $\Theta_{-\epsilon}^S(O) := \{y \in O \mid d(y, S \setminus O) > \epsilon\}$ respectively $\overline{\Theta}_{-\epsilon}^S(O) := \{y \in O \mid d(y, S \setminus O) \geq \epsilon\}$. If S is clear from the context, it may be omitted from the notation.

2.2. Discrete dynamical systems and topological flows. A pair (X, T) is called a **(discrete) dynamical system** if X is a compact metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. For Y , a second countable metrizable space we denote by $\dim(A)$ the Lebesgue covering dimension of A .⁶ We use the convention $\dim(B) = -1$ iff $B = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.1. A **topological flow** is a pair (X, Φ) where X is a compact metrizable space and $\Phi : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a continuous flow on X , that is, the map Φ is continuous, $\Phi(\cdot, 0)$ is the identity map on X and $\Phi(\Phi(x, t), s) = \Phi(x, t + s)$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in X$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we sometimes use the notation $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi(x, t)$ and notice that $\Phi_t : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. In addition for $L \subset \mathbb{R}$, $S \subset X$ we denote $\Phi_L(S) = \{\Phi_t(x) \mid t \in L, x \in S\}$. Throughout the text, we fix a compatible metric d on X . Given a set $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$ and $x \in X$, we define $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y)$, as well as $d(x, \emptyset) = \infty$.

⁶For second countable normal spaces (metrizable by the Urysohn metrization theorem), the Lebesgue covering dimension equals the small inductive dimension (see [Fed90, §6.2]).

Definition 2.2. A point $x \in X$ is called a **fixed point** if $\Phi_t(x) = x$ for all t . A point $x \in X$ is a **periodic point** with **period** $\tau > 0$ if $\Phi_\tau(x) = x$ and $\Phi_t(x) \neq x$ for any $0 < t < \tau$. In the later case, the set $\{\Phi_t(x) \mid 0 \leq t \leq \tau\}$ is called the **periodic orbit** associated with x .

Definition 2.3. The flow (X, Φ) is said to be **aperiodic** if it has no periodic orbits, that is, the equation $\Phi_t(x) = x$ implies $t = 0$.

2.3. Cross-sections.

Definition 2.4. A **cross-section of injectivity time** $\eta > 0$ is a subset $S \subset X$ such that the restriction of Φ on $S \times [-\eta, \eta]$ is one-to-one. The cross-section is said to be **global** if there is $\xi > 0$ such that $\Phi(S \times [-\xi, \xi]) = X$. The set $\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]}(S)$ is called the **η -cylinder** associated with S .

Remark 2.5. In [Bur19] a closed cross-section S such that the flow map $\Phi : (x, t) \rightarrow \Phi_t(x)$ is a surjective local homeomorphism from $S \times \mathbb{R}$ to X , is called a *Poincaré cross-section* and it is shown this is strictly stronger than S being a closed and global cross-section.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow. A finite family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of disjoint closed cross-sections each of injectivity time η is said **complete** if $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \Phi_{[-\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\eta}{2}]}(S_i) = X$. It follows that $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N S_i$ is a closed global cross-section.

A trivial observation is if $x \in S$ and $\Phi_t x \in S$ for some $t \neq 0$, where S is a cross-section of injectivity time $\eta > 0$, then $|t| > 2\eta$ as otherwise $\Phi_{-\frac{t}{2}} S \cap \Phi_{\frac{t}{2}} S = \emptyset$.

2.4. Flow boundaries and interiors. As we will see in the sequel, the cylinders associated with cross-sections will play a fundamental role in the analysis of topological flows. The following definition which originates in [BW72, Definition 3] is based upon [Bur19, Lemma 2.1].

Definition 2.7. Let U be a set contained in a closed cross-section of injectivity time η . The **flow interior** $\text{Int}^\Phi(U) \subset U$ of U is the unique set obeying:

$$\Phi_{(-\eta, \eta)}(\text{Int}^\Phi(U)) = \text{Int}(\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]}(U))$$

The **flow boundary** $\partial^\Phi U$ of U is the unique set obeying:

$$\partial\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]}(U) = \Phi_{-\eta}(U) \sqcup \Phi_\eta(U) \sqcup \Phi_{(-\eta, \eta)}(\partial^\Phi U)$$

One can show that for every $0 < \gamma < \eta$:

$$\text{Int}^\Phi(U) = \text{Int}(\Phi_{[-\gamma, \gamma]}(U)) \cap U,$$

$$\partial^\Phi U = \overline{U} \setminus \text{Int}^\Phi(U).$$

Note that $\partial^\Phi U$ is closed as it can be written as $\partial^\Phi U = \overline{U} \setminus \text{Int}(\Phi_{[-\gamma, \gamma]})$. for any $0 < \gamma < \eta$. We remark that under certain circumstances the above notions coincide with the classical notions of interior and boundary under the induced topology on the cross-section. See Proposition 2.15.

2.5. Good cross-sections exist. Here are several important facts regarding cross-sections:

Theorem 2.8. (*Whitney*) [Whi33, page 270] *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow without fixed points, then for each $x \in X$ there is a closed cross-section S such that $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S$.*

Proof. (sketch) Fix $x \in X$. For $y \in X$, define

$$\theta(y) = \int_0^1 d(\Phi_s(y), x) ds,$$

where d is the metric on X compatible with the topology. It is easy to see that for fixed $y \in X$ the function $t \mapsto \theta(\Phi_t(y))$ has a continuous derivative. We denote the derivative at $t = 0$ by $\theta'(y)$. An easy calculation shows:

$$\theta'(y) = d(\Phi_1(y), x) - d(y, x).$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that $\Phi_1(x) \neq x$. Thus $\theta'(x) = d(\Phi_1(x), x) > 0$. Using the inverse function theorem we find $\ell > 0$ such that $\theta(\Phi_{-\ell}(x)) < \theta(x) < \theta(\Phi_\ell(x))$ and $\theta'(\Phi_t(x)) > 0$ for all $-2\ell \leq t \leq 2\ell$. Using the continuity of Φ , θ and θ' we may find an open neighborhood U of x such that for all $y \in \overline{U}$, $\theta'(\Phi_t(y)) > 0$ for all $-2\ell \leq t \leq 2\ell$ and:

$$\theta(\Phi_{-\ell}(y)) < \theta(x) < \theta(\Phi_\ell(y)).$$

Thus for every $y \in \overline{U}$ there is a unique $-\ell < t_y < \ell$ such that $\theta(\Phi_{t_y}(y)) = \theta(x)$. It is not hard to show that the set $S = \{\Phi_{t_y}(y) \mid y \in \overline{U}\}$ is a closed cross-section such that $x \in S \cap U \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S$. Indeed $\ell > 0$ is clearly an injectivity time for S . Moreover $U \subset \Phi_{[-\ell, \ell]}(S)$, as if $t_y < 0$ then $t_y + \ell > 0$ and if $t_y > 0$ then $t_y - \ell < 0$. \square

Based on the previous theorem it is possible to prove:

Theorem 2.9. (*Bowen & Walters*) *A topological flow without fixed points admits a complete family of (closed) cross-sections.*

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 5.1 where a stronger result is proven. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow with $\dim(X) = n \geq 1$. Let $S \subset X$ be a closed cross-section. Then $\dim(S) \leq n - 1$. If in addition S is a global cross-section then $\dim(S) = n - 1$.*

Proof. As $S \times [-\eta, \eta]$ is homeomorphic to a subset of X , one has $\dim(S \times [-\eta, \eta]) \leq n$. By a theorem of Hurewicz in [Hur35], as S is compact, $\dim(S) \leq n - 1$. Now assume in addition that S is a global cross-section. Let $\xi > 0$ such that the natural continuous map $f : S \times [-\xi, \xi] \rightarrow X$ given by $(x, t) \mapsto \Phi(x, t)$ is surjective. Note that f is a closed map between two metric separable spaces and for every $x \in X$, $f^{-1}(x)$ is countable. Thus by [Eng95, Theorem 1.12.4]

$$\dim(X) \leq \dim(S \times [-\xi, \xi]) + \sup_{x \in X} \dim(f^{-1}(x)) \leq \dim(S) + 1 + 0,$$

where we used the product theorem for non-empty metric separable spaces A and B $\dim(A \times B) \leq \dim(A) + \dim(B)$ ([Eng95, Theorem 1.5.16]). Thus $\dim(S) \geq n - 1$ as desired. \square

2.6. Calculating with flow interiors and boundaries. Let $S, V \subset X$. Recall that $\partial_S V$ and $\text{Int}_S V$ denote respectively the boundary and interior of V w.r.t. the subspace topology induced by S .

Lemma 2.11 ([Bur19], Lemma 2.2). *Let S be a set contained in a closed cross-section. Let $U \subset S$. Then*

$$\partial_S U \subset \partial^\Phi U \subset \partial_S U \cup \partial^\Phi S.$$

Example 2.12. *Consider a minimal rotation on the torus (\mathbb{T}^2, Φ) . Identify $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, 1]^2$ in the usual way. The closed set $S = [A, B] \times \{0\}$, where $0 < A < B < 1$ is a global cross-section. Let $A < C < B$ and $U = [C, B] \times \{0\}$ be a strict subset of S . Then $\partial_S U = \{C\} \times \{0\}$, $\partial^\Phi U = \{C, B\} \times \{0\}$ and $\partial^\Phi S = \{A, B\} \times \{0\}$. This is an example for which the inclusion relations for sets in Lemma 2.11 are strict.*

Lemma 2.13. *Let S be a set contained in a closed cross-section. Let $U \subset S$. Then $\partial^\Phi U = \partial_S U \cup (\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11 and Definition 2.7 it follows on the one hand that $\partial^\Phi U \subset (\partial_S U \cup \partial^\Phi S) \cap \overline{U} = \partial_S U \cup (\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S)$. On the other hand, since $\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S = \overline{U} \cap (\overline{S} \setminus \text{Int}^\Phi S) \subset \overline{U} \setminus \text{Int}^\Phi U = \partial^\Phi U$, we obtain by Lemma 2.11 that $\partial_S U \cup (\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S) \subset \partial^\Phi U$. \square

Lemma 2.14. *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow. Let U be a subset of a closed cross-section. Then $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$ implies that U is relatively open in S . If $U \cap \partial^\Phi S = \emptyset$, then the converse holds, i.e. U relatively open in S implies $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$.*

Proof. Assume that $U = \text{Int}^\Phi U$. Fix $0 < \gamma < \eta$. From the definition of cross-section it is clear that $\Phi_{[-\gamma, \gamma]}(U) \cap S \subset U$. Therefore $U = \text{Int}^\Phi U = \text{Int}(\Phi_{[-\gamma, \gamma]}(U)) \cap U = \text{Int}(\Phi_{[-\gamma, \gamma]}(U)) \cap S$, implying that U is relatively open in S . Now assume that U is (relatively) open in S , i.e. $U \cap \partial_S U = \emptyset$. As it follows from Definition 2.7 that $\text{Int}^\Phi U \subset U$ and $\text{Int}^\Phi U \cup \partial^\Phi U = \overline{U}$, it is enough to prove $\partial^\Phi U \cap U = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.13, $\partial^\Phi U \cap U = (\partial_S U \cap U) \cup (U \cap \partial^\Phi S)$. This expression equals the empty set as by assumption $U \cap \partial^\Phi S = \emptyset$. \square

Given a cross-section S and $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S$, we clearly have $\delta := d(x, \partial^\Phi S) > 0$ as $\partial^\Phi S$ is closed. Therefore it is easy to see that $U = B(x, \delta/2) \cap S$ is a relatively open set in S with $x \in U \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S$ and $\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S = \emptyset$. This explains the usefulness of the criterion in the following proposition. Indeed this proposition is key for calculating flow boundaries and interiors in the theory we develop below.

Proposition 2.15. *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow. Let U be a subset of a closed cross-section S such that $\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S = \emptyset$. Then:*

$$\partial^\Phi U = \partial_S U \text{ and } \text{Int}^\Phi U = \text{Int}_S U.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.13, $\partial^\Phi U = \partial_S U$. Thus $\text{Int}_S U = \overline{U} \setminus \partial_S U = \overline{U} \setminus \partial^\Phi U = \text{Int}^\Phi U$. \square

Remark 2.16. A case where the previous remark can be used is when S is a cross-section, $U, V \subset S$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$ and $\text{Int}^\Phi V = V$ and $\partial^\Phi U \subset V$. Indeed

$$\overline{U} = \text{Int}^\Phi U \cup \partial^\Phi U \subset \text{Int}^\Phi U \cup V = \text{Int}^\Phi U \cup \text{Int}^\Phi V \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S$$

Thus $\overline{U} \cap \partial^\Phi S = \emptyset$.

2.7. The small flow boundary property. First, we recall the small boundary property for discrete dynamical system.

Definition 2.17. Let (X, T) a discrete dynamical system. A subset $A \subset X$ has a **small boundary** if $\mu(\partial A) = 0$ for every T -invariant measure μ . The system (X, T) is said to have the **small boundary property** if there is a basis for the topology of X consisting of open sets with small boundary.

Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow.

Definition 2.18. A Borel subset of X is called a **null set** if it has zero measure w.r.t. any Φ -invariant Borel probability measure. A Borel subset is said to be a **full set** when its complement is a null set.

Definition 2.19. A closed cross-section S of injectivity time η has a **small flow boundary** if $\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]}(\partial^\Phi(S))$ is a null set.

For a cross-section $A \subset X$, we define the **counting orbit capacity** of A by

$$\text{ocap}_\#(A) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sup_{x \in X} \#\{0 \leq t < T : \Phi_t(x) \in A\}.$$

The limit exists and is finite as $\sup_{x \in X} \#\{0 \leq t < T : \Phi_t(x) \in A\}$ is subadditive.

Lemma 2.20 ([Bur19], Lemma 2.10). *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow. Suppose that S is a closed cross-section of injectivity time $\eta > 0$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) S has a small flow boundary;
- (2) $\text{ocap}_{\#}(\partial^{\Phi} S) = 0$.

Definition 2.21. Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow without fixed points. The flow (X, Φ) is said to have the **small flow boundary property** if for any $x \in X$ and any closed cross-section S' with $x \in \text{Int}^{\Phi}(S')$, there exists a subset $S \subset S'$ such that $x \in \text{Int}^{\Phi}(S)$ and S has a small flow boundary.

2.8. Suspension flows and symbolic extensions. Let (Z, ρ) be a compact metric space and $T : Z \rightarrow Z$ a homeomorphism. Let $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a continuous map. Let $F_f = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq t \leq f(x), x \in Z\} \subset Z \times \mathbb{R}$. Let R_f be the closed equivalence relation on F_f induced by $\{((x, f(x)), (Tx, 0)) \mid x \in Z\} \subset F_f \times F_f$.

The **suspension flow** of T under f is the flow Φ on the space

$$Z_f := F_f / R_f$$

induced by the time translation T_t on $Z \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $T_t(x, s) = (x, t + s)$. A suspension flow over a zero-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -topological dynamical system is called a **zero dimensional suspension flow** and a topological extension by a zero-dimensional suspension flow is said to be a **zero-dimensional extension**. Similarly a suspension flow over a symbolic \mathbb{Z} -topological dynamical system (a.k.a \mathbb{Z} -**subshift**) is called a **symbolic suspension flow** and a topological extension by a symbolic suspension flow is said to be a **symbolic extension**.

Definition 2.22. Let (X, Φ) and (Y, Ψ) be two topological flows. Suppose that $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a topological extension from (X, Φ) to (Y, Ψ) . A topological extension is said to be (see [Bur19, §2.3])

- **entropy preserving** when it preserves topological entropy i.e. $h_{\text{top}}(X, \Phi) = h_{\text{top}}(Y, \Psi)$.
- **principal** when it preserves the entropy of invariant measures, i.e. $h(\mu) = h(\pi\mu)$ for all Ψ -invariant measures μ ,
- **isomorphic** when the map induced by π on the sets of invariant Borel probability measures is bijective and $\pi : (Y, \Psi, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \Phi, \pi\mu)$ is a measure theoretical isomorphism for all Ψ -invariant measures μ ,
- **strongly isomorphic** when there is a full set E of X such that the restriction of π to $\pi^{-1}E$ is one-to-one.

Remark 2.23. Clearly, strongly isomorphic \implies isomorphic \implies principal \implies entropy preserving. It is easy to give an example of an extension which is entropy preserving but not principal: Let $\pi : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(T) > h_{\text{top}}(S)$. Then let $f : X \sqcup Y \rightarrow Y$ by $f(x) = \pi(x)$ if $x \in X$ and $f(x) = x$ if $x \in Y$. An example of an extension which is principal but not isomorphic is given by [BD19, Theorem 4.7]. As far as we know, the question whether there exists an example

of an extension which is isomorphic but not strongly isomorphic, is open. Note that the 1-suspensions over the examples above give the analogous examples for flows. Indeed by [Bur19, p. 4328], there is an affine homeomorphism between the simplices of invariant measures $\Theta : \mathcal{M}(X, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S_1(X), \Phi)$ given by $\mu \mapsto \mu \times \lambda$, where λ is the Lebesgue measure on the interval $[0, 1]$.

2.9. Some facts from dimension theory. The following results in dimension theory for a separable metric spaces will be used in the proof of our main result. Recall that an F_σ set is a countable union of closed sets.

Theorem 2.24. ([Eng95, Proposition 1.2.12]) *Let E be a zero-dimensional subset in a separable metric space M . Then for every $x \in M$ and every open neighborhood U of x there is an open set $U' \subset U$ with $x \in U'$ and $\partial U' \cap E = \emptyset$*

Theorem 2.25. ([HW41, Chapter III, Theorem III 2]) *Let $(B_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of closed sets in a separable metric space satisfying $\dim B_i \leq k$ for all i . Then $\dim \bigcup_i B_i \leq k$.*

The following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 2.26. *Let $(B_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of F_σ sets in a separable metric space satisfying $\dim B_i \leq k$ for all i . Then $\dim \bigcup_i B_i \leq k$.*

Lemma 2.27. *Let M be a separable metric space. Let C be closed and U open then $U \cap C$ is F_σ .*

Proof. Note M is second-countable and write U as a countable union of closed balls. \square

The following theorem is well known.

Theorem 2.28. ([Eng95, Theorem 1.5.7]) *Let M be a separable metric space. If $-1 < \dim(M) < \infty$ there is a zero-dimensional subset E of M such that $\dim(M \setminus E) = \dim(M) - 1$.*

We will need the following strengthening of Theorem 2.28.

Theorem 2.29. *Let M be a separable metric space. If $-1 < \dim(M) < \infty$ there is a zero-dimensional F_σ subset E of M such that $\dim(M \setminus E) = \dim(M) - 1$.*

Proof. Denote $n = \dim(M)$. If $n = 0$, set $E = M$. If $n = 1$, let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable basis of M so that $\dim(\partial B_i) = 0$ for all i . Let $E = \bigcup_i \partial B_i$. By Theorem 2.25 E is a zero-dimensional F_σ set. Note $\{B_i \cap (M \setminus E)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a basis of $M \setminus E$ with $\partial(B_i \cap (M \setminus E)) = \emptyset$. Thus $\dim(M \setminus E) = 0$ as desired. Assume the claim has been established for $n - 1 \geq 0$. Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable basis of M so that $\dim(\partial B_i) \leq n - 1$ for all i . Using the inductive assumption let

$F_i \subset \partial B_i$ be a zero-dimensional F_σ set so that $\dim(\partial B_i \setminus F_i) \leq n - 2$. Let $E = \cup_i F_i$. By Theorem 2.25, E is a zero-dimensional F_σ set. Note $\{B_i \cap (M \setminus E)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a basis of $M \setminus E$ with $\partial(B_i \cap (M \setminus E)) \subset \partial B_i \setminus F_i$. Thus $\dim(M \setminus E) \leq n - 1$. By [HW41, Chapter III, 2 B], $\dim(E \cup (M \setminus E)) \leq 1 + \dim(E) + \dim(M \setminus E)$ and thus $\dim(M \setminus E) = n - 1$. \square

As an illustration of Theorem 2.29 consider $M = [0, 1]^2$ and note that $E = M \cap (\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q})$ is zero-dimensional and $M \setminus E$ is one-dimensional. Indeed it is easy to see that balls centered at rational coordinates with a rational radius form a base with zero-dimensional boundary in $M \setminus E$. As an illustration of Theorem 2.24 note that balls centered at rational coordinates with a transcendental radius do not intersect E .

Proposition 2.30. *Let M be a metric compact space and E a zero-dimensional subset of M . Let C be a closed set and U an open subset such that $C \subset U \subset M$. Then there is an open set U' with $C \subset U' \subset \overline{U'} \subset U$ and $\partial U' \cap E = \emptyset$.*

Proof. First fix an open set W so $C \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset U$. Using Theorem 2.24, for each $x \in C$, choose an open set $x \in U_x \subset W$ with $\partial U_x \cap E = \emptyset$. Let U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n} be a cover of C . It is easy to see that $U' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} \subset W$ has the required properties. \square

3. ESTABLISHING THE SMALL FLOW BOUNDARY PROPERTY

3.1. Local homeomorphisms between cross-sections. Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow without fixed points. Suppose that $\dim(X) = d+1$ for $d \geq 0$. By Lemma 5.1 there exists an $\eta > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < \eta$ such that (X, Φ) has two complete families of cross-sections $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of (closed disjoint) cross-sections of injectivity time $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of injectivity time $\eta'_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$,

- $S_i = \overline{S_i} \subset \text{Int}^\Phi(S'_i)$;
- $\eta = \eta_{\mathcal{S}} = \eta_{\mathcal{S}'}$;
- $\max\{\text{diam}(S'_i)\} \leq \alpha$;
- $\Phi_{[0, \alpha]} \mathcal{G} = \Phi_{[-\alpha, 0]} \mathcal{G} = X$, where $\mathcal{G} = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S$.

Definition 3.1. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Denote by $t_{i,j}$ the first positive **hitting time** from S_i to S_j , that is, for $x \in S_i$,

$$t_{i,j}(x) = \min\{t > 0 : \Phi_t(x) \in S_j\},$$

where if the argument set is empty we put $t_{i,j}(x) = \infty$. The functions $t'_{i,j}$ are defined similarly w.r.t. S'_i and S'_j . Note $t'_{i,j}(x) \leq t_{i,j}(x)$ for all $x \in S_i$. Define

$$D_{i,j} = \{x \in S_i : t_{i,j}(x) \leq \eta\}$$

and

$$D'_{i,j} = \{x \in S'_i : t'_{i,j}(x) < \infty\}.$$

Note $D_{i,i} = \emptyset$ for all i . Let $T_{i,j}$ be the first positive **hitting map** from $D_{i,j}$ to S_j , that is, for $x \in D_{i,j}$,

$$T_{i,j}(x) = \Phi_{t_{i,j}(x)}(x),$$

Similarly, we define $T'_{i,j}$ from $D'_{i,j}$ to S'_j .

Definition 3.2. Define the **first return time** map

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)}(x) = t_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$
 by

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(1)}(x) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(x) := \min\{t > 0 \mid \Phi_t(x) \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

As $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a family of closed disjoint cross-sections, there is $\gamma > 0$ so that $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^N S_i$ is a cross-section with injectivity time γ . Thus the argument set in the definition above is never empty.

Inductively for $i \geq 1$ define the $(i+1)$ -**th return time** map

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(i+1)}(x) : \mathcal{G} = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$
 by

$$t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(i+1)}(x) = t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(i)}(t_{\mathcal{G}}(x)) + t_{\mathcal{G}}(x).$$

Define $t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(0)}(x) = 0$ and $t_{\mathcal{G}}^{(-k)}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, similarly to the above.

Definition 3.3. For $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, define:

$$F_{i,j} := \{x \in D_{i,j} \mid t_{i,j}(x) = t_{\mathcal{G}}(x)\}.$$

That is $F_{i,j}$ is the set of $x \in S_i$ such that the first member of \mathcal{S} it hits after leaving S_i is S_j .

Lemma 3.4. *If $x \in F_{i,j}$, then $t_{i,j}(x) > \gamma$.*

Proof. Note $t_{\mathcal{G}}$ is bounded from below by 2γ . □

Lemma 3.5. *For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, it holds that $S_i = \cup_{j=1}^N F_{i,j}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in S_i$. As $\Phi_{[-\alpha, 0]} \mathcal{G} = X$, there exists some j , $t \in [0, \alpha]$ and $y \in S_j$ so that $\Phi_{-t} y = x$, i.e., $\Phi_t x = y \in S_j$. Thus $t_{i,j}(x) \leq \alpha < \eta$ and $x \in D_{i,j}$. Let $K = \{1 \leq k \leq N \mid x \in D_{i,k}\}$. Note $j \in K$. Clearly there is $k \in K$ so that $t_{i,k} = \min_{s \in K} t_{i,s}$. Conclude $x \in F_{i,k}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $1 \leq i, j \leq N$.*

- (1) *If $x \in S'_i$, $y \in S'_j$ and $\Phi_r(x) = y$ for some $0 \leq r \leq 2\eta$, then $t'_{i,j}(x) = r$.*
- (2) *If $x \in D_{i,j}$, then $t'_{i,j}(x) = t_{i,j}(x)$.*

Proof. For (1) assume for a contradiction that $t'_{i,j}(x) < r$. It follows that $\Phi(y, r - t'_{i,j}(x)) \in S'_j$ and $|t'_{i,j}(x) - r| \leq 2\eta$. Since Φ is injective on $S'_j \times [-\eta, \eta]$, this is a contradiction.

For (2) note that by definition $t_{i,j}(x) \leq \eta$ and $\Phi_{t_{i,j}(x)}(x) \in S'_j$, thus by (1), $t'_{i,j}(x) = t_{i,j}(x)$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $x \in D_{i,j}$. Then there is an open neighborhood V of x in S'_i with $\overline{V} \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_i$ such that $t'_{i,j}$ is continuous on V , $t'_{i,j}(x) \leq 2\eta$ for all $x \in V$ and $T'_{i,j}|_V : V \rightarrow S'_j$ is an open map and $T'_{i,j}|_V : V \rightarrow T'_{i,j}(V)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < \eta$. Since $T_{i,j}(x) \in S_j \in \text{Int}^\Phi S'_j$, there is a $\kappa > 0$ such that the open ball $B(T_{i,j}(x), \kappa)$ is contained in $\Phi_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}(\text{Int}^\Phi S'_j)$. Since Φ is continuous, there is an $\delta > 0$ such that for any $y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$, we have that $\Phi(y, t_{i,j}(x)) \in B(T_{i,j}(x), \kappa) \subset \Phi_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}(\text{Int}^\Phi S'_j)$. Let $V_\delta = \{y \in S'_i : d(x, y) < \delta\}$ which is an open neighborhood of x in S'_i . As $x \in S_i$, $d(x, \partial^\Phi S'_i) > 0$, thus taking δ small enough, one may assume w.l.o.g. that $\overline{V}_\delta \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_i$. It follows by Lemma 3.6 that

$$(1) \quad t_{i,j}(x) - \epsilon < t'_{i,j}(y) < t_{i,j}(x) + \epsilon,$$

whenever $y \in V_\delta$. In particular $t'_{i,j}(y) < 2\eta$ for all $y \in V_\delta$ and $T'_{i,j}(V) \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_j$. We claim that after taking δ small enough, the map $t'_{i,j}$ is continuous on $V = V_\delta$. If not, then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset V$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$ but $t_x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t'_{i,j}(y_n) \neq t_{i,j}(x)$. As by definition $0 < t_{i,j}(x) \leq \eta$ and by choice $\epsilon < \eta$, one has that $t_x \leq t_{i,j}(x) + \epsilon < 2\eta$ and $\Phi(x, t_x) \in S'_j$. It follows that $\Phi(T_{i,j}(x), t_x - t_{i,j}(x)) \in S'_j$ and $|t_x - t_{i,j}(x)| < 2\eta$. Since Φ is injective on $S'_j \times [-\eta, \eta]$, this is a contradiction. Let $z = T'_{i,j}(x') \in \text{Int}^\Phi S'_j$ for some $x' \in V$. Let $W_\rho = \{y \in S'_j : d(z, y) < \rho\}$ be an open neighborhood of z in S'_j . Using Equation (1) with $y = x'$, for $\rho > 0$ small enough, there is $0 < \xi < \eta$ such that $\Phi_{-t_{i,j}(x)}(\Phi_{(-\rho, \rho)} W_\rho)$ is an open set in $\Phi_{(-\xi, \xi)}(V)$. Thus for each $w \in W_\rho$, there are unique $v \in V$, $r \in (-\xi, \xi)$, such that $\Phi_{t_{i,j}(x)-r}(v) = w$. By Lemma 3.6, one must have $t'_{i,j}(v) = t_{i,j}(x) - r$ and $T'_{i,j}(v) = w$. This implies $W_\rho \subset T'_{i,j}(V)$ which implies $T'_{i,j}|_V : V \rightarrow S'_j$ is an open map. In addition, as $t'_{i,j}$ is continuous on V so is $T'_{i,j}|_V$. Thus in order to establish that $T'_{i,j}|_V : V \rightarrow T'_{i,j}(V)$ is a homeomorphism, it is enough to show that $T'_{i,j}|_V$ is injective. Indeed if it holds for $z_1, z_2 \in V$, that $T'_{i,j}(z_1) = T'_{i,j}(z_2)$, that is $\Phi_{t'_{i,j}(z_1)}(z_1) = \Phi_{t'_{i,j}(z_2)}(z_2)$, then $z_1 = \Phi_{t'_{i,j}(z_2)-t'_{i,j}(z_1)}(z_2)$ which implies $|t'_{i,j}(z_2) - t'_{i,j}(z_1)| > 2\eta$, contradicting Equation (1). Q.E.D. \square

3.2. Return time sets and cross-section names.

Proposition 3.8. *For every $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ there is a finite collection of open sets $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$ in S'_i with the following properties:*

- (1) $F_{i,j} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{i,j} \subset \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{i,j}} \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_i$.
- (2) For all $V \in \mathcal{C}_{i,j}$, $t'_{i,j}$ is continuous on V and $t'_{i,j}(x) \leq 2\eta$ for all $x \in V$.
- (3) For all $V \in \mathcal{C}_{i,j}$, $(T'_{i,j})|_V : V \rightarrow T'_{i,j}(V)$ is a homeomorphism and $T'_{i,j}(V)$ is open in S'_j .

Proof. Fix $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. Let $x \in \overline{F_{i,j}}$. Let $\{x_q\}_{q=1}^\infty \subset F_{i,j} \subset D_{i,j}$ so that $x_q \rightarrow_{q \rightarrow \infty} x$. As $t_{i,j}(x_q) \leq \eta$, we may assume w.l.o.g. $t_{i,j}(x_q)$ converges to some $t \leq \eta$. Clearly $\Phi_t(x) \in S_j$ and therefore $x \in \overline{D_{i,j}}$. Conclude $\overline{F_{i,j}} \subset D_{i,j} \subset S_i \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_i$. Using Proposition 3.7 cover $\overline{F_{i,j}}$ by a finite collection of open sets $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{i,j} \subset \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{i,j}} \subset \text{Int}^\Phi S'_i$. with properties (2) and (3). \square

For each $C \in \mathcal{C}_{i,j}$, we define $T_C : C \rightarrow S'_j$ by $x \mapsto T'_{i,j}(x)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbf{i} = (i_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^{n+1}$ and $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{i}) := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \times \{\mathcal{C}_{i_n, j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq N}$, we define

$$T_{C^n}^k = \begin{cases} T_{C_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ T_{C_0} & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ \text{Id}_{C_0} & \text{for } k = 0; \end{cases}$$

on the set

$$Z_{C^n} := \{x \in C_0 : T_{C_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ T_{C_0}(x) \in C_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Let $x \in Z_{C^n}$. The set Z_{C^n} is called an n -cross-section name of x . Note x may have more than one n -cross-section name for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $V \subset X$, not necessarily contained in the image of T_{C^n} . Following standard notation, we denote

$$(2) \quad T_{C^n}^{-k}(V) := \{x \in Z_{C^n} : T_{C^n}^k(x) \in V\} = (T_{C^n}^k)^{-1}(V \cap T_{C^n}^k(Z_{C^n})).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{C}(n) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^{n+1}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{i}).$$

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathcal{C}_{i,j}.$$

Definition 3.9. We define the **return time set**:

$$I_{C^n} = \{0 \leq k \leq n : i_k = i_0\}.$$

It follows that the image of $T_{C^n}^k$ is contained in S'_{i_0} for $k \in I_{C^n}$. Note $0 \in I_{C^n}$.

Remark 3.10. Note that $\mathcal{C}(0) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \mathcal{C}_{i,j}$. Note that for $C^0 = (C_0) \in \mathcal{C}(0)$ it holds $I_{C^0} = \{0\}$, $Z_{C^0} = C_0$ and $T_{C^0}^0 = \text{Id}_{C_0}$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $C^n \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then the following holds:*

- (1) Z_{C^n} and $T_{C^n}^k(Z_{C^n})$ are open; $T_{C^n}^k$ is a homeomorphism on Z_{C^n} .
- (2) Let V be F_σ . Then $T_{C^n}^{-k}(V)$ is F_σ .

Proof. The first claim is best understood by considering the first cases. Indeed note $T_{C^n}^1 = T_{C_0} : C_0 \rightarrow T'_{i_0, i_1}(C_0)$ and $T_{C^n}^2 : T_{C_0}^{-1}(T_{C_0}(C_0) \cap C_1) \rightarrow T_{C_1}(T_{C_0}(C_0) \cap C_1)$, both have a domain which is an open set in S'_{i_0} and a range which is an open set in S'_{i_1} and S'_{i_2} respectively by Proposition 3.7. Now assume we have proven that the domain of $T_{C^n}^k$ is an open set D_k in S'_{i_0} and that the range of $T_{C^n}^k$ is an open set R_k in

S'_{i_k} . It is easy to see that the domain of $T_{C^n}^{k+1}$ is the open set in D_k and therefore in S'_{i_0} , $(T_{C^n}^k)^{-1}(R_k \cap C_k)$ and that the range of $T_{C^n}^{k+1}$ is the open set in $S'_{i_{k+1}}$, $T_{C_k}(R_k \cap C_k)$. Note that Z_{C^n} equals the domain of $T_{C^n}^n$ which we have seen to be open. Using Proposition 3.7(3), we have that $T_{C^n}^k(Z_{C^n})$ is open. By the above $T_{C^n}^k$ is a homeomorphism on an open domain which contains Z_{C^n} and therefore is a homeomorphism on Z_{C^n} .

For Claim (2), note $T_{C^n}^{-k}(V) = (T_{C^n}^k)^{-1}(V \cap T_{C^n}(Z_{C^n}))$ and $T_{C^n}(Z_{C^n})$ is open by (1). Thus $V \cap T_{C^n}(Z_{C^n})$ is F_σ and on this set $T_{C^n}^{-k}$ is a homeomorphism. \square

Using Proposition 3.8 let $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough so that $2\delta_0$ is a Lebesgue number for each of the open covers $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$ (of $F_{i,j}$). Thus for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$,

$$(3) \quad F_{i,j} \subset \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{C}_{i,j}} \overline{\Theta}_{-\delta_0}^{S'_i}(V).$$

From now on in this section we abbreviate $\overline{\Theta}(\cdot) = \overline{\Theta}^{S'_1}(\cdot)$.

Definition 3.12. We define

$$Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} := \{x \in \overline{\Theta}_{-\delta_0}(C_0) : T_{C_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ T_{C_0}(x) \in \overline{\Theta}_{-\delta_0}(C_k) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

This is clearly a closed set.

The following lemma will be important in the sequel:

Lemma 3.13. *Let $x \in S_1$ so that there are $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_d$ with $\Phi_{t_k}x \in S_1$ for $k = 0, \dots, d$. Then there exists $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $j_0 = 0 < j_1 < \dots < j_d$ such that $x \in Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0}$ and $T_{C^n}^{j_i}x = \Phi_{t_i}x$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, $x \in F_{1,j_1}$ for some j_1 . By Equation (3), we may find $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{1,j_1}$ so that $x \in \overline{\Theta}_{-\delta_0}(C_0)$. A priori $T_{C_0}(x) \in S'_{j_1}$, however by Lemma 3.6(2), $T_{C_0}(x) \in S_{j_1}$. Thus by Lemma 3.5, $T_{C_0}(x) \in F_{j_1,j_2}$ for some j_2 . Repeating the argument so that we may find $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{j_1,j_2}$ so that $T_{C_0}(x) \in \overline{\Theta}_{-\delta_0}(C_1)$. Continuing inductively we construct a sequence $C^n := (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ with the properties:

- (1) $x \in Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0}$.
- (2) $T_{C^n}^k(x) = \Phi_{t_{\mathcal{G}(T_{C^n}^{k-1}(x))}}(T_{C^n}^{k-1}(x))$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$.
- (3) $n\gamma \geq t_d$.

Conditions (2) and (3) guarantee that there exist $j_0 = 0 < j_1 < \dots < j_d$ such that $T_{C^n}^{j_i}x = \Phi_{t_i}x$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. \square

3.3. Statement of the Main Theorem. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 3.14 (=Theorem A). *Let X be a finite-dimensional space. Let Φ be a topological flow on X without fixed points, having a countable number of periodic orbits. Then (X, Φ) has the small flow boundary property.*

We follow the strategy of [Lin95]. Lindenstrauss proved that for any discrete finite dimensional dynamical system (X, T) with (arbitrary) periodic points set $\text{Per}(X)$, for every pair of open sets $U, V \subset X$, such that $\partial U \setminus \text{Per}(X) \subset V$, there is an open set U' with $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$, such that $\partial U'$ is the union of a set of zero (discrete) orbit capacity and a subset of $\text{Per}(X)$. This statement should be compared with Theorem 3.25. Our proof is not a routine generalization. Using the same method, it is very probable one could prove an analog statement to the theorem by Lindenstrauss, imposing no condition on periodic orbits.

Burguet [Bur19, Proposition 2.1] proved that a C^2 -smooth flow without fixed points on a compact smooth manifold (without boundary), satisfying that for any $\tau > 0$ the number of periodic orbits of period less than τ is finite, has the small flow boundary property. A key tool in Burguet's proof is what he calls the n -transverse property (\approx the n -general property defined later in this section). This property is established through successive approximation. A crucial point for the approximation scheme to work is the so-called C^1 -stability of transversality, that is if M_1 and M_2 are compact transverse smooth manifolds then any compact smooth manifolds \tilde{M}_1 and \tilde{M}_2 which are sufficiently small C^1 -perturbations of M_1 and M_2 are transverse ([KH97, Corollary A.3.17]). There are two difficulties in generalizing Burguet's method to topological flows. Firstly transversality is defined in the context of smooth manifolds. Secondly, even if we consider a topological flow on a compact manifold we are faced with the fact that transversality is not C^0 -stable.

Let us give a very rough overview of our proof. We are given $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S$, where S is a closed cross-section. We may find $U, V \subset S$ with $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi U = U$, $\text{Int}^\Phi V = V$ and $\partial^\Phi U \subset V$. Our goal is to find a perturbation of U in the form of $U' \subset S$ with $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ and $\partial^\Phi U' \subset V$ such that $\text{ocap}_\#(\partial^\Phi U') = 0$. Actually we establish the stronger property that for every $y \in S$,

$$(4) \quad \#\{t \geq 0 : \Phi_t(y) \in \partial^\Phi U'\} \leq d$$

Consider a small neighborhood of $x \in \partial^\Phi U'$ and consider the return profile of this neighborhood: i.e., how elements in this neighborhood return to $\partial^\Phi U'$. This can be represented by certain intersections of certain images of this neighborhood. This enables one to use the dimension of this intersection as a proxy to number of returns. Indeed

if the dimension drops below zero, the intersection is empty and no further return is possible. The dimension drop mechanism is the key to the proof and this is captured by the concept of *general position* explained in the next subsection.

3.4. General position. By Lemma 2.10, a global closed cross-section in X has dimension d . We will therefore use the following definition:

Definition 3.15. A collection \mathcal{A} of subsets in a d -dimensional space is said to be in **general position** if for every finite $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$, one has that

$$\dim(\cap_{C \in \mathcal{B}} C) \leq \max\{-1, d - |\mathcal{B}|\}$$

The definition of general position is due to John Kulesza [Kul95]. To acquire a better understanding, we quote the following sentences from Lindenstrauss [Lin95]:

The motivation for this definition is that given a collection of $(d-1)$ -dimensional subsets of an n -dimensional space then generically any two will have intersection with dimension less than $d-2$, etc.

Remark 3.16. For the purposes of the proof the most important consequence of Definition 3.15 is that every finite sub-collection $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $d+1$ elements has *empty intersection*.

Recall the definition of $T_{C^n}^{-k}$ in Equation (2) and the definition of I_{C^n} in Definition 3.9 in Subsection 3.2.

Definition 3.17. Let $n \geq 0$. A set $V \subset S_1$ is called C^n -**general** for $C^n \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ if $(T_{C^n}^{-k}(\partial^\Phi V))_{k \in I_{C^n}}$ is in general position. It is called **n-general** if it is C^n -general for every $C^n \in \mathcal{C}(n)$. Moreover, we say that it is C^n -**general** (or **n-general**) **on a set** U if $(T_{C^n}^{-k}(\partial^\Phi W) \cap U)_{k \in I_{C^n}}$ is in general position (or respectively for all $C^n \in \mathcal{C}(n)$).

The following remark is easy to establish:

Remark 3.18. If a set $V \subset S_1$ is n -general then it is m -general for all $0 \leq m \leq n$.

Lemma 3.19. *If $W \subset S_1$ with $\dim(\partial^\Phi W) \leq d-1$, then W is 0-general.*

Proof. Note that for $C^0 = (C_0) \in \mathcal{C}(0)$, $I_{C^0} = \{0\}$. Therefore $\dim(\partial^\Phi W) \leq d-1$ implies the result. \square

3.5. Key Lemma. In this subsection, we prove the key technical lemma of the paper:

Lemma 3.20. *Let U be a subset in S_1 with $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$ and $n \geq 0$. Then for every subset V in S_1 with $\text{Int}^\Phi V = V$ and $\partial^\Phi U \subset V$, there is a subset $U' \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U' = U'$ such that*

- (1) $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$;
- (2) $\partial^\Phi U' \subset V$;

- (3) U' is n -general;
- (4) $\partial^\Phi U' \cap P = \emptyset$.

Proof of Lemma 3.20. If $\partial^\Phi V = \emptyset$, then we set $U' = U \cup V$. By Lemma 2.14 and the fact that $\partial^\Phi U \subset \text{Int}^\Phi(V) \subset \text{Int}^\Phi(U \cup V)$, we see that $\partial^\Phi U' = \emptyset$. Thus U' is n -general for all $n \geq 0$.

Let us assume $\partial^\Phi V \neq \emptyset$. We will prove the lemma by induction on n . Note that by assumption the number of periodic orbits is countable. As a periodic orbit intersects a cross-section a finite number of times, we conclude $P \cap S_1$ is countable. By Theorem 2.25, the F_σ set $P \cap S_1$ is at most zero-dimensional. By Lemma 2.10, $\dim(S_1) \leq d$. By Theorem 2.29, one may find a F_σ subset E of S_1 with $\dim(E) \leq 0$ such that $\dim(S_1 \setminus E) \leq d - 1$. By Corollary 2.26 $\dim(E \cup P \cap S_1) \leq 0$. We now treat the base case $n = 0$. By Theorem 2.24 we may pick for every $x \in \partial^\Phi U$ an open neighborhood $U_x \subset V$ such that $\partial^\Phi U_x \cap (P \cup E) = \emptyset$. Let $U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, \dots, U_{x_m}$ be a cover of $\partial^\Phi U$. Define $U' = U \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{x_i}$. Clearly $\partial^\Phi U' \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \partial^\Phi U_{x_i}$ and therefore Condition (4) holds. In addition it is easy to see that Conditions (1) and (2) hold. As $\partial^\Phi U' \subset S_1 \setminus E$, $\dim(\partial^\Phi U') \leq d - 1$. By Lemma 3.19, Condition (3) holds.

By induction we suppose that we have constructed $A_0 \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi A_0 = A_0$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (A1) $U \subset A_0 \subset U \cup V$.
- (A2) $\partial^\Phi A_0 \subset V$.
- (A3) A_0 is $(n - 1)$ -general⁷.
- (A4) $\mathcal{C}(n) = \mathcal{F}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \neq \emptyset$ and A_0 is C^n -general for all $C^n \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
- (A5) $\partial^\Phi A_0 \cap P = \emptyset$.

Now fix $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}_1$. We will construct U' such that

- (B1) $\text{Int}^\Phi U' = U'$.
- (B2) $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ and $\partial^\Phi U' \subset V$.
- (B3) U' is $(n - 1)$ -general.
- (B4) U' is C^n -general for all $C^n \in \mathcal{F}_0 \sqcup \{\mathcal{D}\}$.
- (B5) $\partial^\Phi U' \cap P = \emptyset$.

Assuming that such U' has been constructed, we may complete the proof by inducting on \mathcal{F}_1 , finally obtaining $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{C}(n)$. Thus it remains to construct U' that satisfies the conditions (B1) – (B5) which will be achieved in the sequel. Indeed set $U' = A_m$ of Lemma 3.23. \square

Definition 3.21. Denote by $B(x, r)$ with $x \in S_1'$ and $r > 0$ the intersection of S_1' and the open ball centered at x with radius r . Also, denote by B' the **doubling ball** of B , i.e. if $B = B(x, r)$ then $B' = B(x, 2r)$.

Lemma 3.22. *There exists open sets $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfying the following conditions:*

⁷Recall Remark 3.18.

- (D1) for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and for any distinct $j, \ell \in I_C$, one has that $T_{C^n}^{-j}(B'_i) \cap T_{C^n}^{-\ell}(B'_i) = \emptyset$;
- (D2) $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is an open cover of $\partial^\Phi A_0$;
- (D3) $\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i \subset V$.

Proof. Let $x \in \partial^\Phi A_0$. We will now construct a ball around x , $B_x = B(x, r)$ for some $r > 0$, such that $B_x \subset V$ and for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and for any distinct $j, \ell \in I_C$, one has that $T_{C^n}^{-j}(B'_x) \cap T_{C^n}^{-\ell}(B'_x) = \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{C}(n)$ is a finite collection, it is sufficient to consider a fixed $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and fixed $(j, \ell) \in I_{C^n} \times I_{C^n}$ with $j > \ell$ (as one may take the intersection of the open balls associated to each C^n and each $j > \ell$). Assume for a contradiction that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we may find an open neighborhood W_p in S'_1 of x with $\text{diam}(W_p) < \frac{1}{p}$ and $w_p \in X$ such that $w_p \in T_{C^n}^{-j}W_p \cap T_{C^n}^{-\ell}W_p$. Let $z_p := T_{C^n}^j w_p$, $y_p := T_{C^n}^\ell w_p \in W_p$. This implies we have found sequences $(y_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(z_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X converging to x such that $T_{D^{j-\ell}}^{j-\ell} y_p = z_p$, where $D^{j-\ell} = (C_\ell, \dots, C_j) \in \mathcal{C}(j-\ell)$. Thus by Proposition 3.8 there exist $t_p \leq 2\eta(j-\ell)$ such that $\Phi_{t_p} y_p = z_p$. W.l.o.g. $t_p \rightarrow t$, for $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some $t \leq 2\eta(j-\ell)$. We conclude that $\Phi_t x = x$ which is a contradiction to the fact that $x \notin P$ as $\partial^\Phi A_0 \cap P = \emptyset$. Let now $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a finite subcover of $\partial^\Phi A_0$ extracted from $\{B_x\}$. Clearly properties (D1)-(D3) hold. \square

Lemma 3.23. *There exists sets $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^m$ such that for $k \geq 1$:*

- (E1) $A_{k-1} \subset A_k$ and $A_k \setminus A_{k-1} \subset B'_k$;
- (E2) $\text{Int}^\Phi A_k = A_k$;
- (E3) $\partial^\Phi A_k \subset V$;
- (E4) $\partial^\Phi A_k \cap P = \emptyset$;
- (E5) $\partial^\Phi A_k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$;
- (E6) A_k is $(n-1)$ -general and C^n -general for all $C^n \in \mathcal{F}_0$;
- (E7) A_k is \mathcal{D} -general on $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k} B_j$.

Proof. We will construct sets $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^m$ by induction on k . As A_0 is used as the base case we notice from properties (A1)-(A5) that A_0 satisfies (E2)-(E6) and (E7) (in an empty fashion).

Suppose that $(A_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ have been already defined, satisfying the listed proprieties. Define:

$$\mathcal{A}_C = \{T_C^{-j}(\partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) : j \in I_C\},$$

for every $C \in \mathcal{C}(n-1) \cup \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{\mathcal{D}\}$. By Theorem 2.29, for every $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_C$ with $\bigcap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, there exists an F_σ subset $E_{\mathcal{A}}^C \subset Z_C$ of dimension zero such that

$$(5) \quad \dim\left(\bigcap \mathcal{A} \setminus E_{\mathcal{A}}^C\right) = \dim\left(\bigcap \mathcal{A}\right) - 1.$$

Define

$$E = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}(n-1) \cup \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{\mathcal{D}\}, \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_C, j \in I_C} T_C^j E_{\mathcal{A}}^C,$$

Clearly, E is a zero-dimensional set in S'_1 by Corollary 2.26 and Lemma 3.11(2). Note that by the inductive assumption $\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$. Thus one may find $\epsilon > 0$ so that:

$$\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon(\overline{B}_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i,$$

where recall from Subsection 2.1 that the *closed ϵ -tube* around a closed set $Q \subset S'_1$ is the set $\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon(Q) := \{y \in S'_1 \mid d(y, Q) \leq \epsilon\}$. Clearly $\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon(\overline{B}_k) \subset B'_k$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough. Thus $\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon(\overline{B}_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) \subset B'_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$. Note $P \cap S_1$ is a F_σ set with $\dim(P \cap S_1) \leq 0$. By Corollary 2.26, $\dim(E \cup P \cap S_1) \leq 0$. By Lemma 2.30, there exists a set W with $\text{Int}^\Phi W = W$ such that

- (F1) $\overline{W} \subset B'_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i$;
- (F2) $\overline{\Theta}_\epsilon(\overline{B}_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) \subset W$;
- (F3) $\partial^\Phi W \cap (E \cup P) = \emptyset$.

Let $A_k = A_{k-1} \cup W$. We will show that A_k is the set we look for. By (F1), Conditions (E1) and (E5) hold. Since $\text{Int}^\Phi W = W$, (E2) follows by Lemma 2.14. Note:

$$(6) \quad \partial^\Phi A_k \subset \partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \cup \partial^\Phi W \subset \partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \cup \overline{W} \subset V.$$

This gives (E3). By (F3) and (E4) for $k-1$ (E4) holds for k . Using (6) and (F3), we have (E7). It remains to check (E6) and (E7). We start by proving (E6). Fix $C \in \mathcal{C}(n-1) \cup \mathcal{F}_0$. Assume for a contradiction that A_k is not C -general. We can thus find $I \subset I_C$ with

$$\dim(\bigcap_{j \in I} T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi A_k) > \max\{-1, d - \#I\}.$$

By Equation (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{j \in I} T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi A_k &\subset \bigcap_{j \in I} (T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \cup T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi W) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^I} (\bigcap_{j \in I} K_j^{\alpha_j}), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_j^0 = T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}$ and $K_j^1 = T_C^{-j} \partial^\Phi W$ for $j \in I$. By (D1) and the fact that $W \subset B'_k$, we see that $\bigcap_{j \in I} K_j^{\alpha_j} = \emptyset$ if $\alpha_j = 1$ for at least two $j \in I$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\dim(\bigcap_{j \in I} K_j^0) \leq \max\{-1, d - \#I\}$. By Lemmas 2.27 and 3.11 the sets $K_j^{\alpha_j}$ are F_σ . As the intersection of finitely many F_σ sets is an F_σ set, we may apply the sum theorem for F_σ sets (Corollary 2.26). It follows that there is $\ell \in I$ such that

$$(7) \quad \dim((\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) \cap K_\ell^1) > \max\{-1, d - \#I\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{K_j^0\}_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}}$. Assume there is $x \in K_\ell^1 \cap E_{\mathcal{A}}^C$, then $T_C^\ell x \in \partial^\Phi W \cap T_C^\ell E_{\mathcal{A}}^C$ which is a contradiction to (F3). We conclude

$$(\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) \cap K_\ell^1 \subset (\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) \setminus E_{\mathcal{A}}^C.$$

By the inductive hypothesis (E6) holds for $k - 1$ and may be applied on $\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0$. Thus, using Equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \dim((\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) \cap K_\ell^1) &\leq \dim((\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) \setminus E_{\mathcal{A}}^C) \\ &= \dim(\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} K_j^0) - 1 \\ &\leq \max\{-1, d - \#I\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to Equation (7). We now prove (E7). We notice from (F2) and the fact that $A_k = A_{k-1} \cup W$ that $\partial^\Phi A_k \cap (B_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) = \emptyset$, equivalently $\partial^\Phi A_k \setminus (B_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) = \partial^\Phi A_k$. In addition we conclude from (F1) that $\partial^\Phi W \setminus B'_k = \emptyset$. Thus $\partial^\Phi A_k = \partial^\Phi A_k \setminus (B_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) \subset (\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \cup \partial^\Phi W) \setminus (B_k \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) \subset (\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \setminus B_k) \cup (\partial^\Phi W \cap B'_k)$. Finally:

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \cap \partial^\Phi A_k \subset (B_k \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i) \cap ((\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \setminus B_k) \cup (\partial^\Phi W \cap B'_k))$$

The (RHS) equals the union of the four following expressions, one of which is empty:

- $B_k \cap (\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \setminus B_k) = \emptyset$,
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap (\partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \setminus B_k) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}$,
- $B_k \cap \partial^\Phi W$
- $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i) \cap (\partial^\Phi W \cap B'_k)$

Fix $I \subset I_{\mathcal{D}}$. One can write $\bigcap_{j \in I} T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j}(\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \cap \partial^\Phi A_k)$ as the union of $3^{|I|}$ expressions. Notice that as above each one of these expressions is a finite intersection of F_σ sets, thus F_σ by itself. Therefore by Corollary 2.26, it is enough to show that each of these expressions has dimension less or equal than $d - |I|$. Let us analyze each of these expressions. If the expression $B_k \cap \partial^\Phi W$ appears twice, then the expression is empty as $T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j_1}(B_k) \cap T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j_2}(B_k) = \emptyset$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$. The same is true for the expression $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i) \cap (\partial^\Phi W \cap B'_k)$ as $T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j_1}(B'_k) \cap T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j_2}(B'_k) = \emptyset$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$. Thus the expressions involving $\partial^\Phi W$ appear at most once. If such expression do not appear at all, then we are only left with the expression $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1}$ and the dimension estimate is handled by the inductive assumption that condition (E7) holds for $k - 1$. Finally we treat the case where an expression involving $\partial^\Phi W$ appears exactly once. We thus analyze an expression contained in an expression of the form

$$T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-\ell} \partial^\Phi W \cap \bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^\Phi A_{k-1} \right)$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j}(\partial^\Phi A_{k-1}) : j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}\}$, Assume there is $x \in T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-\ell} \partial^\Phi W \cap E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{D}}$, then $T_{\mathcal{D}}^{\ell} x \in \partial^\Phi W \cap T_{\mathcal{D}}^{\ell} E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{D}} \subset \partial^\Phi W \cap E$ which is a contradiction to

(F3). Thus, using condition (E7) for $k - 1$ and Equation (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim(T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-\ell} \partial^{\Phi} W \cap \bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j} (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^{\Phi} A_{k-1})) \\
& \leq \dim((\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j} (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^{\Phi} A_{k-1})) \setminus E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{D}}) \\
& = \dim(\bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{\ell\}} T_{\mathcal{D}}^{-j} (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i \cap \partial^{\Phi} A_{k-1})) - 1 \\
& \leq \max\{-1, d - |I|\},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

3.6. Proof of the Main Theorem. The proof of the main theorem necessitates an approximation lemma. Recall the definition of δ_0 in Definition 3.12.

Lemma 3.24. *Let A and V be subsets such that $A = \overline{A} \subset V = \text{Int}^{\Phi} V \subset \text{Int}^{\Phi} S_1$. Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for all $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and $I \subset I_C$ with $0 \in I$ and $\#I = d + 1$, it holds,*

$$(8) \quad \bigcap_{k \in I} T_{C^n}^{-k} A = \emptyset,$$

Then there exists $U \subset \overline{U} \subset V \subset S_i$ with $\text{Int}^{\Phi} U = U$ and $A \subset U$ such that

$$(9) \quad Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} \cap \bigcap_{k \in I} T_{C^n}^{-k} U = \emptyset,$$

for all $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ and $I \subset I_C$ with $0 \in I$ and $\#I = d + 1$.

Proof. We claim there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, so that for all $m \geq m_0$, $U = U_m := \Theta_{1/m}(A)$ fulfills the sought after requirements. Assume for a contradiction that this is not the case. We may thus find $x_m \in Z_{C_m^n}^{\delta_0}$, $C_m^n = (C_{0,m}, C_{1,m}, \dots, C_{n,m}) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, $0 = j_0^m < j_1^m < \dots < j_d^m$, $\{j_i^m\}_{i=0}^d \subset I_{C_m^n}$ so that $T_{C_m^n}^{j_i^m} x_m \in \Theta_{1/m}(A)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. As $\mathcal{C}(n)$ is finite, by passing to a subsequence we may assume w.l.o.g. $C_m^n = C^n$ for some fixed $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, and $j_i^m = j_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$, for some fixed $I := \{j_i\}_{i=0}^d \subset I_{C^n}$. By passing to a subsequence we may assume w.l.o.g. that $x_m \rightarrow x \in Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0}$. In particular $T_{C^n}^{j_i} x$ is well defined for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Conclude $T_{C^n}^{j_i} x \in A$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Thus $x \in \bigcap_{k \in I} T_{C^n}^{-k} A$ which is a contradiction. Q.E.D. \square

Theorem 3.25. *Let X be a compact finite-dimensional space. Let Φ be a topological flow on X without fixed points, having a countable*

number of periodic orbits. Then for any $U, V \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$, $\text{Int}^\Phi V = V$ and $\partial^\Phi U \subset V$, there exists $U' \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U' = U'$ and $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ such that U' has a small flow boundary.

Proof. By induction, we will construct $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{V_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ satisfying for all $k \geq 0$,

- (1) $U_0 = U$ and $V_0 = V$;
- (2) $\text{Int}^\Phi U_k = U_k \subset S_1$ and $\text{Int}^\Phi V_k = V_k \subset S_1$;
- (3) $\partial^\Phi U_k \subset V_k$;
- (4) $\overline{V_{k+1}} \subset V_k$ and $U_k \subset U_{k+1}$;
- (5) $U_{k+1} \subset U_k \cup V_k$;
- (6) for every $C^k = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_k) \in \mathcal{C}(k)$, one has that $Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} T_{C^k}^{-j} V_k = \emptyset$ for all $I \subset I_{C^k}$ with $0 \in I$ and $\#I = d + 1$.

Assuming that such $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{V_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ have been constructed, we set $U' = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty U_i$. It is clear that U' is relatively open in S_1 . By (4) and (5), we have that

$$U_{k+\ell} \cup V_k \subset U_{k+\ell-1} \cup V_{k+\ell-1} \cup V_k \subset U_{k+\ell-1} \cup V_k,$$

for all $k, \ell > 0$. It follows that

$$U_{k+\ell} \cup V_k \subset U_k \cup V_k,$$

and thus as by (4) $U' = \bigcup_{\ell \geq k} U_\ell$ for all k ,

$$U_{k+1} \subset U' \subset U_{k+1} \cup V_{k+1},$$

for all $k > 0$. Then by (2), (3), (4) we obtain that

$$(10) \quad \partial^\Phi U' \subset \overline{U_{k+1} \cup V_{k+1}} \setminus U_{k+1} \subset \partial^\Phi U_{k+1} \cup \overline{V_{k+1}} \subset V_k,$$

for all $k > 0$. In order to establish $\text{ocap}_\#(\partial^\Phi U') = 0$, it is sufficient to show that any orbit of the flow hits at most d times the set $\partial^\Phi U'$. Let $x \in \partial^\Phi U' \subset S_1$ and assume for a contradiction that there are $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_d$ such that $\Phi_{t_i} x \in \partial^\Phi U' \subset S_1$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

By Lemma 3.13, for some n , there is $C^n = (C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n) \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ with $x \in Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0}$ and $I = \{j_i\}_{i=0}^d \subset I_{C^n}$ with $j_0 = 0 < j_1, \dots, j_d$ such that $T_{C^n}^{j_i} x = \Phi_{t_i} x$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Thus

$$x \in Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} T_{C^n}^{-j} \partial^\Phi U' \subset Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} T_{C^n}^{-j} V_n \neq \emptyset,$$

which is a contradiction to (6).

It remains to construct $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{V_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$. By (1), the sets U_0 and V_0 are well defined for $k = 0$. Suppose that $(U_i)_{i=0}^k$ and $(V_i)_{i=0}^k$ have been constructed. Applying Lemma 3.20 to U_k, V_k , we have a subset $U_{k+1} \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U_{k+1} = U_{k+1}$ such that

- (A1) $U_k \subset U_{k+1} \subset U_k \cup V_k$;
- (A2) $\partial^\Phi U_{k+1} \subset V_k$;
- (A3) U_{k+1} is $(k + 1)$ -general.

Applying Lemma 3.24 and Remark 3.16 to $A = \partial^\Phi U_{k+1}$, we have a set $V_{k+1} \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi V_{k+1} = V_{k+1}$ such that

$$(B1) \quad \partial^\Phi U_{k+1} \subset V_{k+1} \subset \overline{V_{k+1}} \subset V_k;$$

$$(B2) \quad \text{for all } C^{k+1} = (C_0, \dots, C_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{C}(k+1), \text{ it holds that } Z_{C^n}^{\delta_0} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} T_{C^{k+1}}^{-j} V_{k+1} = \emptyset \text{ for all } I \subset I_{C^{k+1}} \text{ with } 0 \in I \text{ and } \#I = d+1.$$

Note that the set U_{k+1} and V_{k+1} satisfy the conditions (2) – (6). \square

We can now prove the main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 3.14. Fix a point $x \in X$ and a closed cross-section S with $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S$. Using Lemma 5.1, one may find two complete families of cross-sections $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_i\}_{i=1}^N$ obeying the conditions in the beginning of Section 3.1, with $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi(S_1) \subset S_1 \subset S$. We now choose U and V in S_1 with $\text{Int}^\Phi U = U$ and $\text{Int}^\Phi V = V$ such that $x \in U \subset S_1$ and $\partial^\Phi U \subset V \subset S_1$. Applying Theorem 3.25 to U and V , we have an open set $U' \subset S_1$ with $\text{Int}^\Phi U' = U'$ and $U \subset U' \subset U \cup V$ such that U' has a small flow boundary and $x \in U'$. We thus conclude that (X, Φ) has the small flow boundary property. \square

4. EXPANSIVE FLOWS HAVE STRONGLY ISOMORPHIC SYMBOLIC EXTENSIONS

4.1. Background. In this section, we are interested in expansive flows. We first recall the definition of expansive flows and several properties of such flows. Then we build the symbolic extension of an expansive flow following Bowen and Walters. Finally we give a positive answer to an open question by Bowen and Walters, showing that any expansive topological flow has a strongly isomorphic symbolic extension.

The system (X, T) is said to be **expansive** if there exists an $\delta > 0$ such that $d(T^n x, T^n y) < \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies $x = y$ where d is a metric on X . For example, Anosov diffeomorphisms are expansive (see [Ano67]). Keynes and Robertson [KR69] proved that every expansive homeomorphism has a symbolic extension. Moreover, when X is 0-dimensional, it is conjugate to a subshift. The expansive systems have been extensively investigated and many interesting properties have been proven. For example, expansiveness is invariant under topological conjugacy; the *periodic growth*⁸ and the topological entropy of an expansive discrete system is finite [Con68]. Mañé [Mañ79] showed that an expansive \mathbb{Z} -system must be finite-dimensional. From this it follows that an expansive \mathbb{Z} -system without fixed points has the small boundary property ([Lin95]). By [Bur19, Proposition C.1] an expansive

⁸The periodic growth of (X, T) is defined as $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log |P_n|$ where P_n is the set of n -periodic points.

\mathbb{Z} -system with the small boundary property admits an *essential uniform generator* which induces a strongly isomorphic symbolic extension ([Bur19, Proposition 3.1]).

Definition 4.1. The flow (X, Φ) is said to be **expansive** if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(\Phi_t(x), \Phi_{s(t)}y) < \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for a pair of points $x, y \in X$ and a continuous map $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $s(0) = 0$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| < \epsilon$ such that $y = \Phi_t(x)$.

The notion of expansiveness of topological flows was introduced by Bowen and Walters [BW72]. We remark that the definition of expansiveness is clearly independent of the metric. By [BW72, Theorem 5], the entropy of an expansive flow is finite and for every $t \geq 0$ the number of periodic orbits of period in $[0, t]$ is finite. By [BW72, Lemma 1], an expansive flow has only a finite number of fixed points and each of them is an isolated point⁹. This reduces the study of expansive flows to those without fixed points. Another important property of expansiveness which Bowen and Walters proved is that expansiveness is a conjugacy invariant for flows [BW72, Corollary 4]. One may therefore argue that the definition of expansiveness by Bowen and Walters is the correct one (see also the discussion of other attempts in [BW72, Page 180-181]).

Keynes and Sears [KS81] extended the above mentioned result of Mañé, showing that expansive flows must be finite-dimensional. It can be shown that Anosov flows are expansive ([Ano67]). The geodesic flow on a compact smooth manifold of negative curvature is an Anosov flow and thus expansive; also, Axiom A flows are expansive on their nonwandering sets [Bow72].

4.2. Bowen and Walters' construction. In this section, we recall the construction of Bowen and Walters, i.e. the symbolic extension of an expansive flow. Let (X, Φ) be an expansive flow without fixed points. The following exposition is based on [BW72, Section 5].

Theorem 4.2 ([BW72], Theorem 3). *A topological flow without fixed points (X, Φ) is expansive if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha = \alpha(\epsilon) > 0$ such that the following holds: if $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $t_0 = u_0 = 0$, $0 < t_{i+1} - t_i \leq \alpha$, $|u_{i+1} - u_i| \leq \alpha$, $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $t_{-i} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and if $x, y \in X$ satisfy $d(\Phi_{t_i}(x), \Phi_{u_i}(y)) \leq \alpha$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| \leq \epsilon$ such that $y = \Phi_t(x)$.*

Let η be as in Lemma 5.1. W.l.o.g. we assume $\alpha < \eta$. Choose $\alpha = \alpha(\eta) > 0$ as in Theorem 4.2. By Lemma 5.1, we can find a complete family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of injectivity time $\eta > 0$ with $\Phi_{[0, \alpha]} \mathcal{G} = \Phi_{[-\alpha, 0]} \mathcal{G} = X$, where $\mathcal{G} = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N} S_i$. Since S_i are pairwise disjoint and

⁹Note that an expansive \mathbb{Z} -system has also only a finite number of fixed points. However, these points need not be isolated as witnessed by the (expansive) full shift on two letters.

compact, there is $\beta > 0$ so that $\Phi_{[0,\beta]}(S_i)$ are pairwise disjoint for all i . For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, let $r_i(x)$ be the i -th return time to \mathcal{G} (see Definition 3.2). As $\Phi_{[-\alpha,0]}\mathcal{G} = X$, every $y \in \mathcal{G}$, has some $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $0 \leq s \leq \alpha$ so that $\Phi_{-s}x = y$, i.e. $\Phi_s y = x \in \mathcal{G}$. It follows that $0 < \beta \leq r_{i+1}(x) - r_i(x) \leq \alpha$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Denote by $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and σ the (left) shift on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Define $\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\{(x, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} : \exists \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ so that } t_0 = 0, t_{i+1} - t_i \in [\beta, \alpha], \Phi_{t_i}(x) \in S_{q_i}\}.$$

In the definition \mathbf{t} is called a **return-time sequence** for (x, \mathbf{q}) ; \mathbf{q} is called a **return-name sequence** for x and x is called a **realization** of \mathbf{q} . A return-time sequence \mathbf{t} for x is any return-time sequence of $(x, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some \mathbf{q} .

Note that the sequence $\mathbf{r} = \{r_i(x)\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is the **maximal** return-time sequence for x in the sense that if $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a return-time for x , then $\{t_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \{r_i(x)\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Other return-time sequences than \mathbf{r} for x may exist. This is the case if for example for some i , $r_{i+1} - r_{i-1} \leq \alpha$, as one can remove r_i from \mathbf{r} and still have a return-time sequence for x . In contrast Lemma 4.4 shows that (x, \mathbf{q}) has a unique return-time sequence. In addition in Lemma 4.6 it is shown that the return-name sequence determines a realization uniquely.

Lemma 4.3. $\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is closed in $\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. Let $(x^n, \mathbf{q}^n) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which converge to (x, \mathbf{q}) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let \mathbf{t}^n be a return-time sequence of (x^n, \mathbf{q}^n) . Since $|t_i^n| \leq |i|\alpha$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may assume that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_i^n$ exists, say t_i for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since Σ is finite, we see that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q_i^n = q_i$ for large $n > n(i)$. Since S_{q_i} is closed for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that $\Phi_{t_i}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{t_i^n}(x^n) \in S_{q_i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $(x, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with return-time sequence $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. \square

Lemma 4.4. For each $(x, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the return-time sequence \mathbf{t} is unique.

Proof. Suppose that \mathbf{t} and \mathbf{t}' are two distinct return-time sequences of $(x, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(t_i)_{i>0} \neq (t'_i)_{i>0}$. Let $k = \min\{i > 0 : t_i \neq t'_i\}$. It follows that $t_{k-1} = t'_{k-1}$ and $\Phi_{t_k}(x), \Phi_{t'_k - t_k}(\Phi_{t_k}(x)) \in S_{q_k}$. Since $t_k - t_{k-1} \leq \alpha$ and $t'_k - t'_{k-1} \leq \alpha$, we have that $|t'_k - t_k| \leq \alpha < \eta$ and consequently $t_k = t'_k$. This is a contradiction. \square

Thus we may define the map:

$$\mathbf{t} : \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Lemma 4.5. The map \mathbf{t} is continuous.

Proof. It suffices to show that $t_i : \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [-|i|\alpha, |i|\alpha] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $(x^n, \mathbf{q}^n) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ converge to (x, \mathbf{q}) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{t_i(x^{n_j}, \mathbf{q}^{n_j})\}_j$ be an arbitrary converging subsequence.

By the argument of Lemma 4.3, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} t_i(x^{n_j}, \mathbf{q}^{n_j}) = t_i(x, \mathbf{q})$. This establishes continuity. \square

Let $P_2 : \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the projection.

Lemma 4.6. *The map P_2 is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $(x, \mathbf{q}), (y, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Since (X, Φ) is expansive, by Theorem 4.2, we see that $x = \Phi_t(y)$ for some t with $|t| \leq \eta$. Since $x, y \in S_{q_0}$ and S_{q_0} is a cross-section of injectivity time η , we have that $x = y$. \square

Let $\mathcal{Z} := \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N} \partial^{\Phi}(S_i)$ and \mathcal{W} be the set of points whose orbit does not intersect \mathcal{Z} . In other words,

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{W} = X \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \Phi_r(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Clearly, the set \mathcal{W} is Φ -invariant, i.e. $\Phi_t(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{G}.$$

Recall the definition of $r_i(x)$ above. Define $Q : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $Q(x) = (Q_i(x))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $Q_i(x) = j$ if $\Phi_{r_i(x)}(x) \in S_j$. Note that the map Q is not necessarily continuous. If $x \in \mathcal{V}$, then also $\Phi_{r_1(x)}(x) \in \mathcal{V}$, thus $Q(\mathcal{V})$ is σ -invariant. Define

$$\Lambda = \overline{Q(\mathcal{V})}.$$

Clearly (Λ, σ) is a subshift. Let $P_1 : \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the projection on the first coordinate. Note that the map $P_2^{-1} : P_2(\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is continuous. Clearly, for $x \in \mathcal{V}$, the point $(x, Q(x)) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and consequently $Q(x) \in P_2(\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Since $P_2(\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is compact and contains $Q(\mathcal{V})$, we see that Λ , which is the closure of $Q(\mathcal{V})$, is contained in $P_2(\mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}})$. We define the continuous map $P : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by

$$P(\mathbf{q}) = P_1(P_2^{-1}(\mathbf{q}))$$

for $\mathbf{q} \in \Lambda$. Thus, P associates with a return-name sequence in Λ its realization in $\mathcal{G} \subset X$. Clearly, $P \circ Q$ is the identity on \mathcal{V} .

We define the continuous function $f : \Lambda \rightarrow [\beta, \alpha]$ by

$$f(\mathbf{q}) = t_1(P_2^{-1}\mathbf{q}).$$

Remark 4.7. From the proof of Lemma 4.4 it is clear that $f(\mathbf{q})$ is the first positive time when the realization of \mathbf{q} in \mathcal{G} returns to S_{q_1} but it is possible that it returns to \mathcal{G} earlier. However, if $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{V})$ then $f(\mathbf{q})$ is the first positive return time to \mathcal{G} of the realization of \mathbf{q} (due to the definition of Q).

Definition 4.8. Denote by (Λ_f, Ψ) the suspension flow over (Λ, σ) . Define $\pi : \Lambda_f \rightarrow X$ by

$$\pi((\mathbf{q}, t)) = \Phi_t(P(\mathbf{q})).$$

Theorem 4.9 (Theorem 10, [BW72]). *The flow (X, Φ) is a factor of (Λ_f, Ψ) via π .*

Proof. First, we show that π is well defined, i.e. that it holds $\pi(\mathbf{q}, f(\mathbf{q})) = \pi(\sigma(\mathbf{q}), 0)$. This implies easily that π is \mathbb{R} -equivariant. By the definition of π , one has to show $\pi((\mathbf{q}, f(\mathbf{q}))) = \Phi_{f(\mathbf{q})}(P(\mathbf{q})) = P(\sigma(\mathbf{q})) = \pi((\sigma(\mathbf{q}), 0))$. It is enough to show $(\Phi_{f(\mathbf{q})}(P(\mathbf{q})), \sigma(\mathbf{q})) \in \mathcal{G} \times \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as the return-name sequence determines the realization. Indeed, we claim that the associated return-time sequence of $(\Phi_{f(\mathbf{q})}(P(\mathbf{q})), \sigma(\mathbf{q}))$ is $\{t_{i+1}(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) - t_1(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q})\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, which is confirmed by:

$$\Phi_{t_{i+1}(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) - t_1(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q})}(\Phi_{f(\mathbf{q})}(P(\mathbf{q}))) = \Phi_{t_{i+1}(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q})}(P(\mathbf{q})) \in S_{q_{i+1}},$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we used that $t_1(P(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = f(\mathbf{q})$ (see Remark 4.7).

Finally, we note that the image $\pi(\Lambda_f)$ is a Φ -invariant closed subset of X which contains \mathcal{V} as for $x \in \mathcal{V}$ it holds $\pi((Q(x), 0)) = P \circ Q(x) = x$.

From Definition 2.7 it is easy to see that the closed set $\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]} \mathcal{Z}$ has empty interior (see also [Bur19, Lemma 2.4(1)]). By Baire category theorem this implies that $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \Phi_r(\mathcal{V})$ is dense in X . We conclude that $\pi(\Lambda_f) = X$. \square

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.11 in the sequel.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(\mathbf{q}, t) \in \Lambda_f$ for some $0 \leq t < f(\mathbf{q})$. If $\pi((\mathbf{q}, t)) = z \in \mathcal{V}$, then $t = 0$ and $\mathbf{q} = Q(z)$.*

Proof. By definition $\pi(\Lambda_f \times \{0\}) \subset \mathcal{G}$. Thus $x := \Phi_{-t}(z) \in \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}$. Moreover $x = P(\mathbf{q})$. We will show $\mathbf{q} = Q(x)$. This will imply that $t = 0$ as $f(\mathbf{q})$ is the first positive return time to \mathcal{G} (see Remark 4.7) and $0 \leq t < f(\mathbf{q})$. This will also imply, as desired, that $\mathbf{q} = Q(x) = Q(z)$. To show $\mathbf{q} = Q(x)$, pick $x_n \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $Q(x_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As P is continuous, we see that $x_n = P(Q(x_n)) \rightarrow P(\mathbf{q}) = x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}(x, Q(x))$. For $N > 0$, let

$$E_N = \bigcup_{i=-N}^{N-1} [t_i + \beta/2, t_{i+1} - \beta/2]$$

and define the open set

$$O_N = \left(\bigcap_{i=-N}^N \Phi_{-(t_i - \beta/2, t_i + \beta/2)} \text{Int}^\Phi(S_{Q_i(x)}) \right) \setminus \Phi_{-E_N} \mathcal{G}.$$

Note that for $i = -N, \dots, N$, $\Phi_{(t_i - \beta/2, t_i + \beta/2)} x \in Q_i(x)$ and $x \notin \Phi_{[t_i + \beta/2, t_{i+1} - \beta/2]} \mathcal{G}$. As $x \in \mathcal{V}$, it holds that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi_t x \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Thus, if $\Phi_t x \in S_i$, then in effect $\Phi_t x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S_i$. We conclude $x \in O_N$ is open and contains x .

Note that if $y \in O_N \cap \mathcal{V}$, then $Q_i(y) = Q_i(x)$ for $-N \leq i \leq N$. It follows that for each N , there exists $m(N)$ such that for $n > m(N)$, $Q_i(x_n) = Q_i(x)$ for $-N \leq i \leq N$. This implies that $Q(x_n) \rightarrow Q(x)$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that $Q(x_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we obtain that $\mathbf{q} = Q(x)$ as desired. \square

4.3. Bowen and Walters' question answered. Bowen and Walters asked the following question:

Question. ([BW72, Problem, p. 192]) *Let (X, Φ) be an expansive topological flow without fixed points. Can the symbolic extension π in Theorem 4.9 be made entropy preserving by choosing carefully the cross-sections $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$?*

Theorem 4.11. *Let (X, Φ) be an expansive flow without fixed points. Then π is strongly isomorphic.*

Proof. By [KS81, Theorem 4.2] an expansive flow is finite-dimensional. By [BW72, Theorem 5], an expansive flow has the property that for any $\tau > 0$, the number of periodic orbits of period less than τ is finite. Since (X, Φ) has no fixed points, we can now apply Main Theorem 3.14 to conclude (X, Φ) has the small flow boundary property. Adapting the notation and results of Subsection 4.2, we notice that the cross-sections S_i in Lemma 5.1 may be chosen such that the S_i have small flow boundaries for each i . Thus $\Phi_{[-\eta, \eta]}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a null set and by σ -additivity of measures, \mathcal{W} defined in equation 11 is a full set. It therefore suffices to show that π is one-to-one when restricted to $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$. In other words, if $\pi((\mathbf{q}_1, t_1)) = \pi((\mathbf{q}_2, t_2)) = y \in \mathcal{W}$, then $(\mathbf{q}_1, t_1) = (\mathbf{q}_2, t_2)$. Write $y = \Phi_t x$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathcal{V}$. By applying Φ_{-t} , we may assume w.l.o.g. $\pi((\mathbf{q}_1, t_1)) = \pi((\mathbf{q}_2, t_2)) \in \mathcal{V}$. It is thus enough to show that π is one-to-one when restricted to $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. This follows from Lemma 4.10. \square

The following theorem gives a strong positive answer to Bowen and Walters' question above.

Theorem 4.12. (=Theorem B) *Let (X, Φ) be an expansive flow. Then it has a strongly isomorphic symbolic extension.*

Proof. If (X, Φ) has no fixed points then the result follows from Theorem 4.11. If (X, Φ) has fixed points then they are isolated ([BW72, Lemma 1]), so the result follows from the previous case. \square

5. APPENDIX

5.1. Existence of a complete family. The following lemma is obtained by a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 7 of [BW72]. See also [KS81, Lemma 2.4] for a similar construction.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (X, Φ) be a topological flow without fixed points. There is an $\eta > 0$ so that the following holds. For each $\alpha > 0$, $z \in X$ and cross-section S with $z \in \text{Int}^\Phi(S)$, there are two finite families $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of pairwise disjoint closed cross-sections of injectivity time η and diameter at most α so that*

- $z \in \text{Int}^\Phi(S_1) \subset S'_1 \subset S$,
- $\overline{S'_i} \subset \text{Int}^\Phi(S'_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$;
- $\Phi_{[0,\alpha]}\mathcal{G} = \Phi_{[-\alpha,0]}\mathcal{G} = X$,

where $\mathcal{G} = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq N} S_i$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.8, for each $x \in X$ there is a cross-section S_x of injectivity time $2\eta_x > 0$ such that $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi S_x$. By compactness of X , there are $x_i \in X$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) with $x_1 = z$ and $S_{x_1} = S$ such that

$$X = \cup_{i=1}^n \Phi_{(-\eta_{x_i}, \eta_{x_i})} \text{Int}^\Phi S_{x_i}.$$

Let $\eta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\eta_{x_i}\}$. Then for each x there is an x_i and an $\rho_x \in (-\eta_{x_i}, \eta_{x_i})$ with $x \in \Phi_{\rho_x} \text{Int}^\Phi S_{x_i}$. Let $T_x := \Phi_{\rho_x} S_{x_i}$ which is a cross-section of injectivity time at least $\eta_{x_i} \geq 2\eta$ and $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi T_x$.

Let $\alpha > 0$ be given. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\epsilon \leq \min\{\alpha/4, \eta\}$ and $\text{diam}(\Phi_r(A)) \leq \alpha$ whenever $|r| \leq \epsilon$ and $A \subset X$ with $\text{diam}(A) < \epsilon$. For each $x \in X$, let $V_x, V'_x \subset \text{Int}^\Phi T_x$ be closed neighborhoods of x in T_x with $V_x \subset \text{Int}^\Phi V'_x$ and $\text{diam}(V'_x) < \epsilon$. Then $x \in \text{Int}^\Phi V_x \subset V'_x$ and V_x, V'_x are cross-sections of injectivity time 2η and diameter at most α . As X is compact, we can find $y_i \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ with $y_1 = z$ such that

$$X = \cup_{i=1}^k \Phi_{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \text{Int}^\Phi V_{y_i}.$$

We construct finite pairwise disjoint families \mathcal{S}_i and \mathcal{S}'_i of closed cross-sections recursively. Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{V_{y_1}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}'_1 = \{V'_{y_1}\}$. Suppose \mathcal{S}_{i-1} and \mathcal{S}'_{i-1} have been defined. For each $y \in V'_{y_i}$, $\Phi_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]}(y) \cap \cup_{S' \in \mathcal{S}'_{i-1}} S'$ is a finite set of points since \mathcal{S}'_{i-1} consists of cross-sections. As Φ is continuous and $\cup_{S' \in \mathcal{S}'_{i-1}} S'$ is closed, there exists a non-empty open interval $I_y \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and closed neighborhoods W_y, W'_y of y in V_{y_i} and V'_{y_i} respectively with $W_y \subset \text{Int}^\Phi W'_y$ such that

$$(12) \quad \Phi_{I_y}(W'_y) \cap \cup_{S' \in \mathcal{S}'_{i-1}} S' = \emptyset$$

Let y^1, y^2, \dots, y^ℓ be points in V'_{y_i} such that $W_{y^1}, \dots, W_{y^\ell}$ cover V_{y_i} and $W'_{y^1}, \dots, W'_{y^\ell}$ cover V'_{y_i} . Pick distinct $\rho_1 \in I_{y^1}, \dots, \rho_\ell \in I_{y^\ell}$ and set

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S}_{i-1} \cup \{\Phi_{\rho_1}(W_{y^1}), \dots, \Phi_{\rho_\ell}(W_{y^\ell})\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}'_i = \mathcal{S}'_{i-1} \cup \{\Phi_{\rho_1}(W'_{y^1}), \dots, \Phi_{\rho_\ell}(W'_{y^\ell})\}.$$

By Equation (12) and as ρ_1, \dots, ρ_ℓ are distinct, the members of \mathcal{S}_i are pairwise disjoint. The same holds for \mathcal{S}'_i . Set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}'_k$. Clearly $X = \Phi_{[-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon]}\mathcal{G}$. For every $x \in X$, $\Phi_{2\epsilon}x \in \Phi_{[-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon]}\mathcal{G}$. Thus $x \in \Phi_{[-4\epsilon, 0]}\mathcal{G} \subset \Phi_{[-\alpha, 0]}\mathcal{G}$. Thus $X = \Phi_{[-\alpha, 0]}\mathcal{G}$. Similarly $X = \Phi_{[0, \alpha]}\mathcal{G}$. Q.E.D. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ano67] Dmitry Victorovich Anosov. Geodesic flows on closed riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 90(5), 1967.
- [BD04] Mike Boyle and Tomasz Downarowicz. The entropy theory of symbolic extensions. *Invent. Math.*, 156(1):119–161, 2004.
- [BD19] David Burguet and Tomasz Downarowicz. Uniform generators, symbolic extensions with an embedding, and structure of periodic orbits. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 31(2):815–852, 2019.
- [Bow72] Rufus Bowen. Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 94(1):1–30, 1972.
- [Bur19] David Burguet. Symbolic extensions and uniform generators for topological regular flows. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 267(7):4320–4372, 2019.
- [BW72] Rufus Bowen and Peter Walters. Expansive one-parameter flows. *Journal of differential Equations*, 12(1):180–193, 1972.
- [Con68] Jean-Pierre Conze. Points périodiques et entropie topologique. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. AB*, 267:A149–A152, 1968.
- [Dow05] Tomasz Downarowicz. Entropy structure. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 96(1):57–116, 2005.
- [Dow11] Tomasz Downarowicz. *Entropy in dynamical systems*, volume 18 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [Eng95] Ryszard Engelking. Theory of dimensions, finite and infinite. *Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics*, 10, 1995.
- [Fed90] Vitaly V Fedorchuk. The fundamentals of dimension theory. In *General Topology I*, pages 91–192. Springer, 1990.
- [Had98] Jacques Hadamard. Les surfaces à courbures opposées et leurs lignes géodésiques. *J. Math. pures appl.*, 4:27–73, 1898.
- [Hur35] W. Hurewicz. Sur la dimension des produits cartésiens. *Annals of Mathematics*, 36(1):194–197, 1935.
- [HW41] Witold Hurewicz and Henry Wallman. *Dimension Theory*. Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 4. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.
- [KH97] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54. Cambridge university press, 1997.
- [KR69] Harvey B. Keynes and James B. Robertson. Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness. *Math. Systems Theory*, 3:51–59, 1969.
- [KS81] HB Keynes and M Sears. Real-expansive flows and topological dimension. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 1(2):179–195, 1981.
- [Kul95] John Kulesza. Zero-dimensional covers of finite dimensional dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 15(5):939–950, 1995.
- [Lin95] Elon Lindenstrauss. Lowering topological entropy. *J. Anal. Math.*, 67:231–267, 1995.
- [LW00] Elon Lindenstrauss and Benjamin Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.*, 115:1–24, 2000.
- [Mañ79] Ricardo Mañé. Expansive homeomorphisms and topological dimension. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 252:313–319, 1979.
- [Mor21] Harold Marston Morse. Recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 22(1):84–100, 1921.
- [MT88] John Milnor and William Thurston. On iterated maps of the interval. In *Dynamical systems*, pages 465–563. Springer, 1988.

- [Red68] William L Reddy. Lifting expansive homeomorphisms to symbolic flows. *Mathematical systems theory*, 2(1):91–92, 1968.
- [SW91] M. Shub and B. Weiss. Can one always lower topological entropy? *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 11(3):535–546, 1991.
- [Utz50] WR Utz. Unstable homeomorphisms. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(6):769–774, 1950.
- [Whi33] Hassler Whitney. Regular families of curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 34(2):244–270, 1933.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH
8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
Email address: `y.gutman@impan.pl`

SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, LPSM, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE
Email address: `ruxi.shi@upmc.fr`