

High-frequency solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations: local existence in generalised wave gauge

Arthur Touati *

Abstract

We construct a family $(g_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0,1]}$ of high-frequency metrics solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations in 3+1 dimensions without any symmetry assumptions. In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ this family approaches a fixed background g_0 solution of the Einstein-null dust system, illustrating the backreaction phenomenon. We introduce a precise second order high-frequency ansatz and identify a generalised wave gauge as well as polarization conditions at each order. The validity of our ansatz is ensured by a weak polarized null condition satisfied by the quadratic non-linearity in the Ricci tensor. The Einstein vacuum equations for g_λ are recast as a hierarchy of transport and wave equations, and their coupling induces a loss of derivatives. In order to solve it, we take advantage of the null structure associated to g_0 as well as a Fourier cut-off adapted to our ansatz. The construction of high-frequency initial data solving the constraint equations is the content of our companion paper [Tou22b].

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Presentation of the result	3
1.2	High-frequency gravitational waves	5
1.2.1	Gravitational waves in linearized gravity	5
1.2.2	Gravitational waves and geometric optics	5
1.3	The Burnett conjecture	7
1.3.1	The direct conjecture	7
1.3.2	The indirect conjecture	7
1.4	Acknowledgment	9
1.5	Outline of the article	9
2	Statement of the results	9
2.1	Preliminaries	9
2.2	The background spacetime	10
2.3	Initial data	13
2.4	Local existence	14

*Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz (UMR 7640), Ecole polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France (arthur.touati@polytechnique.edu)

3	Strategy of proof	15
3.1	The high-frequency ansatz	15
3.1.1	The quasi-linearity	16
3.1.2	The gauge part	17
3.1.3	The weak polarized null condition	19
3.1.4	Propagation of the polarization conditions	20
3.2	Solving the hierarchy of equations	21
3.2.1	The background transport equations	21
3.2.2	The quasi-linear coupling and the loss of derivatives	22
3.2.3	Regaining the missing derivative	22
3.2.4	The order of precision of the ansatz	24
3.3	Outline of the proof	24
4	The high-frequency ansatz	25
4.1	High-frequency expansion of the metric	25
4.2	High-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor	26
4.2.1	The wave part	26
4.2.2	The gauge part	28
4.2.3	The quadratic non-linearity	31
5	The hierarchy of equations	33
5.1	A guide to the hierarchy	33
5.2	The background system	34
5.3	The reduced system	35
5.4	Generalised wave gauge and polarization conditions	36
5.4.1	Generalised wave gauge condition	36
5.4.2	Polarization conditions	36
5.5	Definition of $g^{(3)}$	37
5.6	Initial data for the spacetime metric	38
5.6.1	Initial data for the background system	38
5.6.2	Initial data for the reduced system	39
5.6.3	Initial estimates and properties	42
6	Solving the background system	44
6.1	Background transport estimates	44
6.2	Solving for $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$	46
6.3	Algebraic properties of $F^{(1)}$	46
6.3.1	Propagation of the first polarization	46
6.3.2	Propagation of the energy	48
7	Solving the reduced system	49
7.1	Bootstrap assumptions	49
7.2	First consequences	50
7.3	Estimates for \mathfrak{F}	53
7.4	Estimates for $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$	55
7.4.1	Estimates for $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$	55
7.4.2	Estimates for $(g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$	62

7.5	Estimates for \mathfrak{h}	64
7.6	Conclusion of the bootstrap	67
8	Solving the Einstein vacuum equations	67
8.1	The reduced Ricci tensor	67
8.1.1	The zeroth order	68
8.1.2	The first order	68
8.1.3	The higher orders	70
8.2	The Einstein tensor and the contracted Bianchi identities	71
8.2.1	The Einstein tensor	72
8.2.2	The contracted Bianchi identities	73
8.2.3	Divergence of $G(\Upsilon)$	73
8.3	Propagation of the second polarization	75
8.4	Propagation of the generalised wave gauge and conclusion	79
A	The commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$ and proof of Lemma 3.1	80
B	The spectral projections	82
B.1	Littlewood-Paley theory	82
B.2	Definition and first properties of Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq}	84
B.3	Proof of Lemma 3.2	85

1 Introduction

We are interesting in special solutions of the Einstein vacuum equations

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}(g)$ is the Ricci tensor of the Lorentzian metric g defined on a manifold \mathcal{M} . More precisely, we construct a sequence of metrics $(g_\lambda)_\lambda$ solutions of (1.1) converging when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in a particular sense to a background metric g_0 solution of the Einstein equations coupled to a null dust (equations (2.1)-(2.2)-(2.3) below). As is standard when solving the Einstein equations, we need to choose a gauge. In this article, we work in generalised wave gauge, i.e we rewrite (1.1) as a system of quasi-linear wave equations for the metric coefficients. The initial data for this system are given by our companion paper [Tou22b] and in particular they solve the constraint equations on \mathbb{R}^3 (see Theorem 2.1 below for the main result of this article).

1.1 Presentation of the result

The main feature of the sequence $(g_\lambda)_\lambda$ constructed is its high-frequency character. Concretely, the metric g_λ is defined by a high-frequency expansion (λ being a small wavelength) with a non-oscillating remainder in the spirit of geometric optics and the work of Choquet-Bruhat in [CB69]. The system (1.1) is then recast as a hierarchy of equations for each term of the ansatz: transport equations along the background rays for the oscillating terms and a wave equation for the remainder. Our result constitutes the first rigorous justification of the geometric optics approximation for the Einstein vacuum equations in

3 + 1 (see [HL18b] for a similar construction in 2 + 1) and we highlight now its main challenges.

The quasi-linear aspect of (1.1) implies a loss of derivatives in the coupling between the wave equation for the remainder and one of the transport equation. Regaining this derivatives is the main analytical challenge of our proof. It heavily relies on the expansion defining g_λ and on the use of the background null structure, i.e the foliation associated to a solution of the eikonal equation for the background metric g_0 .

The quadratic terms in (1.1) cause the creation of harmonics, which we need to describe precisely in order to define our high-frequency ansatz. We rely on the weak polarized null structure of these terms. This allows us to recover a linear behaviour for the propagation of the waves along the rays, despite the non-linear nature of (1.1). This is known as *transparency* in the geometric optics literature, see [M 09].

Due to their gauge invariance, the Einstein vacuum are not hyperbolic. In the case of high-frequency waves considered here, this implies the presence of *polarization* conditions. They play a role in the control of the semi-linear and quasi-linear terms presented above but they need to be propagated by the evolution. As opposed to [CB69], we propagate the polarization conditions of the second order perturbation in the high-frequency ansatz relying on the contracted Bianchi identities rather than on the transport equations along the rays.

A rough version of our main result can then be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Rough version of Theorem 2.2). *There exists a family of metrics $(g_\lambda)_\lambda$ of the form*

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) + O(\lambda^2) \quad (1.2)$$

solving (1.1) in generalised wave gauge on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ where g_0 is a given background metric, u_0 a solution of $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0$ and $g^{(1)}$ is a periodic tensor in the variable $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$.

The high-frequency character of the perturbation in (1.2) manifests itself when we consider derivatives of the metric. Indeed, one loses one power of λ for each derivatives, which implies that the H^s norms of the coefficients of g_λ explodes in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for $s > 1$ and in particular for $s = 2$. The exponent $s = 2$ is particularly interesting in the context of general relativity since from the seminal work of Klainerman, Rodnianski and Szeftel in [KRS15], it is known that the time of existence of a *generic* solution to (1.1) is controlled by the initial H^2 norm. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 does not follow from standard arguments but rather requires a specific construction adapted to the high-frequency ansatz to ensure that the time of existence is uniform in λ .

High-frequency spacetimes satisfying (1.1) arose first in the physics literature in the work of Isaacson in [Isa68a]-[Isa68b] where he addressed the mathematical understanding of strong gravitational fields and in particular of the gravitational waves resulting from them. We will explain the whys and wherefores of this question in Section 1.2.

Theorem 1.1 also states that a generic small solution to the Einstein-null dust system can arise as an appropriate limit of a sequence of solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations. Thus, this result also shed new light on the Burnett conjecture in 3+1 dimension. This aspect is discussed in Section 1.3.

1.2 High-frequency gravitational waves

High-frequency ansatz as (1.2) are one way of describing the propagation of gravitational waves in a non-linear context. We start by giving a quick overview of gravitational waves in the linearized gravity setting, following Chapter 35 of [MTW73].

1.2.1 Gravitational waves in linearized gravity

Considering a metric of the form $g = m + h$ with m the Minkowski metric and neglecting all non-linear terms in the perturbation h and its derivatives in the Ricci tensor of g , one obtains the linearized gravity equations

$$\square \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\partial^\alpha \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

with $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}m^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}m_{\mu\nu}$ and where the second equation is the Lorentz gauge condition. Plane wave solutions to (1.3)-(1.4) describe the propagation of gravitational waves and are of the form

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu\nu} \cos(k_\alpha x^\alpha)$$

with $k_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$ and $k^\alpha A_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. One can impose extra gauge conditions and obtain the TT-gauge, where $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ is traceless and transverse, i.e. $\text{tr} \bar{h} = 0$ and $h_{t\mu} = 0$. Such a plane wave possesses exactly two degrees of freedom corresponding to two polarizations.

Though being historically crucial, this framework fails to describe gravitational waves in a more physical context. First, the restriction to small perturbations h can't, by definition, describe strong gravitational fields. Moreover, one cannot describe the energy of a gravitational wave since any quadratic expression in the perturbation h is neglected. This has the following consequence: the background spacetime on which the gravitational wave propagates doesn't "see" the wave. Therefore, a mathematical framework is needed to go beyond the linearized gravity setting and to describe the global impact of the wave on the background spacetime.

1.2.2 Gravitational waves and geometric optics

In [BH64] and later in [Isa68a]-[Isa68b], the authors consider averaging schemes allowing the self-interaction of a gravitational wave to affect the background. A rigorous version of these constructions, where the averages are replaced by weak limits, has been given by Choquet-Bruhat in [CB69] (see also Chapter 11 of [CB09]), a seminal article we describe now.

The article [CB69] constitutes the first application of the geometric optics approach to the Einstein vacuum equations. The author considers the WKB ansatz for the metric of the spacetime, i.e. introduces a small parameter $\lambda > 0$ and constructs a metric g_λ of the form

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda^2 g^{(2)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$$

satisfying $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = O(\lambda)$. As in this article, the notation $g^{(1)}(\frac{u_0}{\lambda})$ means here that $g^{(i)}$ are symmetric 2-tensor depending on a extra phase factor $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$. Since the Ricci tensor involves at most two derivatives of the metric, we have formally

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + O(\lambda).$$

Therefore, the goal of Choquet-Bruhat's construction is to obtain conditions on g_0 , $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ so that $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = 0$. These conditions are:

- to ensure $R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = 0$, one must have $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0$ and

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 g_{\alpha\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha u_0 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

- to ensure $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = 0$, one must have

$$2\partial^\alpha u_0 \mathbf{D}_\alpha g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{D}_\alpha u_0) g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

and $R_{\mu\nu}(g_0) = \tau \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu u_0$ with $\tau > 0$ depending quadratically on $g^{(1)}$.

These conditions imply that the perturbation $g^{(1)}$ is transported along the rays of the null phase u_0 , as expected in the geometric optics approach. The polarization condition (1.5) on $g^{(1)}$ are propagated by the transport equation and they imply that the physically significant part of $g^{(1)}$ has two degrees of freedom and is transverse and traceless, as in the TT-gauge of linearized gravity. Note that $g^{(2)}$ also satisfies polarization conditions that we neglect in this introduction.

It is an *a priori* magic property of the Einstein vacuum equations that gravitational waves do not suffer self-distortion, which translates as the linearity of the transport equation (1.6). This is due to particular structure of the semi-linear terms in the Ricci tensor and will be explained in Section 3.1.3.

These semi-linear terms are also at the origin of the non-zero RHS in the equation satisfied by the background metric. This shows how one can associate a stress-energy tensor to the gravitational wave described by $g^{(1)}$ and how it appears as a source term for the background curvature. This is also a first example of the backreaction phenomenon in general relativity, see Section 1.3 for further discussions.

For these reasons, the framework of [CB69] goes indeed beyond the linearized gravity setting. However, Choquet-Bruhat does not solve the *full* Einstein vacuum equations in this article and her computations are formal. The main motivation behind the present article is precisely to fill that gap and our main result, Theorem 2.2 below, provides the first construction of high-frequency gravitational waves solution to the *full* Einstein vacuum equations (1.1), and therefore the first justification of the geometric approximation in general relativity.

Remark 1.1. *WKB ansatz have also been considered in the linearized gravity setting in [AJOR21] in order to describe new geometric effect such as the spin Hall effect.*

1.3 The Burnett conjecture

In [CB69], we can already see a family of *almost* vacuum spacetimes approaching g_0 , that is a non-vacuum spacetime solution. In the case of ansatz (1.2) considered in this article, the uniform limit in L^∞ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of g_λ is g_0 and the weak limit in L^2 of ∂g_λ is ∂g_0 . Since $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = 0$ and $R_{\mu\nu}(g_0) \neq 0$, this is a concrete example of *backreaction* in the Einstein equations, i.e when a matter model is derived as a limit of vacuum spacetimes. The general question of backreaction in general relativity was first raised by Burnett in [Bur89]. In this article, he considered a family of metrics $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$ converging to a metric h_0 in the same way as the family constructed in Theorem 1.1, that is strong convergence in L^∞ and weak convergence in H^1 . Moreover, the metrics h_λ are all assumed to solve the Einstein vacuum equations, that is $G_{\mu\nu}(h_\lambda) = 0$ (where we denote by G the Einstein tensor). Burnett then asks the following: what is $G_{\mu\nu}(h_0)$? In other words, what effective stress-energy tensor can be produced via weak limits of vacuum spacetimes?

1.3.1 The direct conjecture

In [Bur89] Burnett formulates what we call the *direct Burnett conjecture*: the limit metric h_0 satisfies the Einstein-massless Vlasov system, that is

$$G_{\mu\nu}(h_0) = \int_P f p_\mu p_\nu d\mu \quad (1.7)$$

where P is the null cotangent bundle, $d\mu$ is the volume measure on P and where the density $f(x, p)$ satisfies the Liouville-Vlasov equation

$$p^\alpha \partial_{x^\alpha} f - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha p^\mu p^\nu \partial_{p^\alpha} f = 0.$$

The Einstein-massless Vlasov system describes the coupling between gravitation and kinetic theory, and we refer to the Chapter 10 of [CB09] for more details on this system or related ones. This article does not tackle the direct Burnett conjecture so we only mention the most recent results.

In [HL19], Huneau and Luk proved the direct Burnett conjecture assuming $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry, that is when the spacetime is invariant by translation in a spatial direction. Under this symmetry, the 3+1 Einstein vacuum equations reduce to the 2+1 Einstein-wave map system (see [Mon86]). The proof in [HL19] is based on microlocal defect measures and the solution h_0 is a measure-valued solution of the Einstein-massless Vlasov system. It has been improved in [GdC21], where the authors require less regularity for the sequence $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$. While reaching the full direct Burnett conjecture, the proof in [HL19] relies on the special cancellations occurring in $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry. A proof without symmetry has recently been given in [LR20], but since it also tackles the indirect Burnett conjecture we postpone to next section the discussion of this article.

1.3.2 The indirect conjecture

In [Bur89] Burnett also formulates what we call the *indirect Burnett conjecture*: for all solutions h_0 of the Einstein-massless Vlasov system, we can construct a sequence $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$ of vacuum spacetimes converging to h_0 .

In the forthcoming [HL], Huneau and Luk prove the indirect Burnett conjecture still under the $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry assumption. Their strategy is to approach first a solution of the Einstein-massless Vlasov system by null dusts and then approach the null dusts by vacuum solutions (see also [HL18b] for this last step). They choose to work in elliptic gauge to obtain more regularity for the metric coefficients, which solve semi-linear elliptic equations. The key well-posedness result in this gauge is obtained in [Tou21]. Moreover, the elliptic gauge is only available with two spatial dimensions, so the $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry is a fundamental assumption in [HL].

While allowing oscillations in an arbitrarily large number of null directions, the results of [HL18b] and [HL] heavily relies on the chosen symmetry of the spacetime. We now discuss Luk and Rodnianski's [LR20], which is the first proof of Burnett conjecture, both the direct and indirect one, without assuming symmetry for the spacetime. Their result is based on a low-regularity theorem for the characteristic initial value problem obtained in [LR17] and uses the double null foliation setting first introduced in [KN03]. In this setting, the spacetime is foliated by ingoing and outgoing null cones which are level sets of two optical functions u and \underline{u} and which intersect on 2-spheres. The vacuum spacetimes they construct are angularly regular, meaning that they have very low regularity in the $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{u}}$ directions (such derivatives of metric components are only in L^2) while being very regular in the directions tangential to the 2-spheres. This property constraints backreaction to occur only along the two null directions. Therefore, the stress-energy tensor obtained in the limit describes a family of two null dusts.

The results obtained in [LR20] are the first ones tackling the Burnett conjecture without symmetry assumptions in 3+1, and also shed new lights on the Einstein-null dusts system itself as well as the trapped surface formation issue. Nevertheless, it assumes special regularity linked to the double null foliation which forbids any superposition of more than two waves. On the opposite, Theorem 1.1 constructs high-frequency vacuum 3+1 spacetimes without symmetry in generalised wave gauge. Local existence in this gauge does not particularise any directions and we think that our result will allow superposition of an arbitrarily large number of null dusts.

As a final word on the Burnett conjecture, let us mention how the direct and indirect conjecture can be linked, while being two very different mathematical problems. The direct conjecture deals with given sequences of solutions to the Einstein vacuum equations and tries to characterize their limits' Ricci tensor. The singular nature of these vacuum solutions implies that the own existence of the sequence is not trivial. Therefore, by answering the indirect conjecture one actually constructs concrete examples of vacuum solutions exhibiting the singular behaviour required by any theorem dealing with the direct conjecture. In that sense, Theorem 1.1 paves the way for a proof of the direct Burnett conjecture in generalised wave gauge.

1.4 Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank his advisor Cécile Huneau for all the helpful suggestions and encouragement.

1.5 Outline of the article

The remainder of this article is structured as follows.

- In Section 2, we introduce the usual analytical material, define our class of initial data and state the main result on the existence of the sequence $(g_\lambda)_\lambda$, i.e Theorem 2.2.
- In Section 3, we present the strategy of the proof of Theorem 2.2.
- Sections 4 to 8 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

2 Statement of the results

2.1 Preliminaries

We will work on the manifold $\mathcal{M} := [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, we denote by Σ_t the spacelike hypersurface $\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The greek indices go from 0 to 3 and will always refer to the usual global coordinates system (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) on \mathcal{M} , while latin indices go from 1 to 3 and correspond to the three spatial directions.

Let T and S be two symmetric 2-tensors on \mathcal{M} and let g be a Lorentzian metric on \mathcal{M} . We define a scalar product by

$$|T \cdot S|_g = g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} T_{\alpha\mu} S_{\beta\nu}$$

with associated norm $|T|_g^2 = |T \cdot T|_g$. The trace of T with respect to g is defined by $\text{tr}_g T = g^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$.

If f is a scalar function on \mathcal{M} , we denote by ∇f a spatial derivative of f and by $\partial_t f$ a time derivative $\partial_t f$ or ∇f . The wave operator associated to a Lorentzian metric is defined by

$$\square_g f = g^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \partial_\mu f)$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ are the Christoffel symbols. The principal part of this operator is denoted $\tilde{\square}_g$, i.e $\tilde{\square}_g f = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f$.

On each slice Σ_t we consider the usual functions spaces L^p and $W^{k,p}$, by which we always mean $L^p(\Sigma_t)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Sigma_t)$ for some t depending on the context. We will also use the weighted Sobolev spaces defined below.

Definition 2.1 (Weighted Sobolev spaces). For $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the space $W_\delta^{k,p}$ as the completion of C_c^∞ for the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_\delta^{k,p}} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|\langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|} \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p},$$

where the L^p norm is defined with the Euclidean volume element. We extend this definition to tensors of any type by summing over all components in the Euclidean coordinates. Some special cases are $H_\delta^k := W_\delta^{k,2}$ and $L_\delta^p := W_\delta^{0,p}$.

We also define the following L^∞ -based spaces:

Definition 2.2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define C_δ^k as the completion of C_c^∞ for the norm

$$\|u\|_{C_\delta^k} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|\langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|} \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty},$$

Let us recall some usual facts about these spaces (see [CB09] for the proofs).

Proposition 2.1. Let $s, s', s_1, s_2, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta, \delta', \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

1. If $s \leq \min(s_1, s_2)$, $s < s_1 + s_2 - \frac{3}{p}$ and $\delta < \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{3}{p}$ we have the continuous embedding

$$W_{\delta_1}^{s_1,p} \times W_{\delta_2}^{s_2,p} \subset W_\delta^{s,p}.$$

2. If $m < s - \frac{3}{p}$ and $\beta \leq \delta + \frac{3}{p}$ we have the continuous embedding

$$W_\delta^{s,p} \subset C_\beta^m.$$

There won't be any issue of regularity in the time variable so if f is a scalar function defined on \mathcal{M} , then $\|f\|_X \leq C$ without any precision on t will always mean

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|f\|_{X(\Sigma_t)} \leq C$$

where X is one of the function spaces defined above.

2.2 The background spacetime

The background quantities are defined on \mathcal{M} and are composed of a metric g_0 , an optical function u_0 and a density F_0 . They are solution of the Einstein null dust system on \mathcal{M} :

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g_0) = F_0^2 \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu u_0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$-2L_0 F_0 + (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_0 = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}(g_0)$ is the Ricci tensor associated to g_0 and L_0 is the spacetime gradient of u_0 , i.e

$$L_0 = -g_0^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.2), L_0 is null and geodesic, i.e

$$g_0(L_0, L_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0 = 0,$$

where \mathbf{D} denotes throughout this article the covariant derivative associated to g_0 . We also assume that g_0 satisfy in the standard coordinates (t, x^1, x^2, x^3) the wave condition

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that this condition implies that the wave operator associated to g_0 reduces to its principal part, i.e $\square_{g_0} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0}$. A standard computation shows that under the wave condition (2.5) the Einstein type equation (2.1) rewrites as

$$\tilde{\square}_{g_0}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - 2F_0^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0. \quad (2.6)$$

where $P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0)$ is a quadratic non-linearity (see (3.2) for its exact expression). We make the following assumptions on the background quantities (g_0, u_0, F_0) . In what follows, $N \geq 10$, $\delta > -\frac{3}{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is our smallness threshold.

- **Assumptions on the metric g_0 .** There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\|g_0 - m\|_{H_\delta^{N+1}} + \|\partial_t g_0\|_{H_{\delta+1}^N} + \|\partial_t^2 g_0\|_{H_{\delta+2}^{N-1}} \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.7)$$

where m is the Minkowski metric on \mathcal{M} . For convenience, we also assume that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 of g_0 , and that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 is traceless. The former assumption simplifies the construction of the initial data for the high-frequency metric (see Section 5.6) and the latter is only use in [Tou22b] where we solve the constraint equations. The estimates (2.7) means that we work in the asymptotically flat setting.

- **Assumptions on the optical function u_0 .** We assume that there exists a constant non-zero vector field $\mathfrak{z} = (\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{z}_3)$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_0 - \mathfrak{z}\|_{H_{\delta+1}^N} \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.8)$$

where $\nabla u_0 = (\partial_1 u_0, \partial_2 u_0, \partial_3 u_0)$ is the spatial Euclidean gradient of u_0 . This implies that the level sets of u_0 restricted to any Σ_t are asymptotically planes in \mathbb{R}^3 and that if ε is small enough there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|(x) > c. \quad (2.9)$$

This in turn implies that u_0 has no critical point. Finally, we assume that L_0 defined by (2.4) is futur-directed and since ∂_t is assumed to be the unit normal of g_0 to Σ_0 and u_0 solves (2.2), this implies

$$\partial_t u_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\tilde{g}_0} \quad (2.10)$$

on Σ_0 .

- **Assumptions on the density F_0 .** We assume that F_0 is initially compactly supported, i.e there exists $R > 0$ such that $F_0 \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$ is supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$. Since L_0 is null and geodesic, (2.3) shows that

$$\text{supp}(F_0) \subset J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$$

where J_0^+ denotes the causal future associated to g_0 . We can assume for simplicity that

$$J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\}) \subset \{(t, x) \in \mathcal{M} \mid |x| \leq C_{\text{supp}}R\}$$

for some constant $C_{\text{supp}} > 0$. Finally we assume the following estimate:

$$\|F_0\|_{H^N} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

In this article we don't prove the existence of the background solution (g_0, F_0, u_0) on \mathcal{M} since it follows from standard arguments. We will denote by C_0 any numerical constant depending on the background estimates stated here, that is depending on δ, N, R or \mathfrak{z} .

In addition to the usual coordinates on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ we will use a frame linked to the optical function u_0 , i.e the null frame, which is defined as follows. We denote by \mathcal{H}_u the level sets of u_0 , i.e

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \{(t, x) \in \mathcal{M} \mid u_0(t, x) = u\}.$$

Since u_0 is a solution of the eikonal equation (2.2), each \mathcal{H}_u is a null hypersurface generated by the geodesic vector field L_0 . Thanks to (2.9) they induce a foliation of the spacetime. For $t \in [0, 1]$ and u in the image of u_0 we define the following 2-surfaces

$$P_{t,u} = \Sigma_t \cap \mathcal{H}_u.$$

Thanks to (2.8), each $P_{t,u}$ have the topology of a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by \bar{g}_0 the induced metric on Σ_t and \dot{g}_0 the induced metric on $P_{t,u}$. We consider a null vector field \underline{L}_0 such that $g_0(\underline{L}_0, L_0) = -2$ and an orthonormal frame (e_1, e_2) of $TP_{t,u}$ for \dot{g}_0 . This defines the *background null frame* $(L_0, \underline{L}_0, e_1, e_2)$, and such a choice is always possible, at least locally. We use the latin upper case letters as indices for the frame (e_1, e_2) on $TP_{t,u}$. We consider the subset

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \{L_0, e_1, e_2\} \quad (2.12)$$

of the background null frame. The use of such a frame originates in the seminal work of Christodoulou and Klainerman on the stability of Minkowski spacetime (see [CK93]) and a concise presentation can be found in [Sze18].

Further geometric objects related to the background null frame will be needed for the proof of Theorem 2.2, linked to the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$. We refer to Lemma 3.1 for the main result on this commutator and to Appendix A for its proof.

2.3 Initial data

We reproduce here the main result of [Tou22b] where we construct high-frequency solutions $(\bar{g}_\lambda, K_\lambda)$ of the constraint equations on \mathbb{R}^3 , that is

$$R(\bar{g}_\lambda) + (\text{tr}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda)^2 - |K_\lambda|_{\bar{g}_\lambda}^2 = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$-\text{div}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda + \text{dtr}_{\bar{g}_\lambda} K_\lambda = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let (g_0, u_0, F_0) be the solution of the Einstein-null dust system described in Section 2.2, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the smallness threshold. There exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, R) > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists for all $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ a solution $(\bar{g}_\lambda, K_\lambda)$ solution of the constraint equations (2.13)-(2.14) on \mathbb{R}^3 of the form*

$$\bar{g}_\lambda = \bar{g}_0 + \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(2,1)} + \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}^{(2,2)} \right) + \lambda^2 \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda, \quad (2.15)$$

$$K_\lambda = K_\lambda^{(0)} + \lambda K_\lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^2 K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}, \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$K_\lambda^{(0)} = K_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}^{(1)}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\left(K_\lambda^{(1)}\right)_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-N_0 \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho(i}^k \bar{F}_{j)k}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \right) \quad (2.18)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \left(\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)} - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)} \right),$$

where K_0 is the second fundamental form of Σ_0 for g_0 . Moreover:

(i) the tensors $\bar{F}^{(1)}$, $\bar{F}^{(2,1)}$ and $\bar{F}^{(2,2)}$ are supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$ and there exists $C_{\text{cons}} = C_{\text{cons}}(\delta, R) > 0$ such that

$$\|\bar{F}^{(1)}\|_{H^N} + \|\bar{F}^{(2,1)}\|_{H^{N-1}} + \|\bar{F}^{(2,2)}\|_{H^{N-1}} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon, \quad (2.19)$$

(ii) the tensor $\bar{F}^{(1)}$ is \bar{g}_0 -traceless, tangential to $P_{0,u}$ and satisfies

$$|\bar{F}^{(1)}|_{\bar{g}_0}^2 = 8F_0^2, \quad (2.20)$$

(iii) the tensors $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda$ and $K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ belong to the spaces H_δ^5 and $H_{\delta+1}^4$ respectively and satisfy

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^{r+1} \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \leq C_{\text{cons}} \varepsilon. \quad (2.22)$$

2.4 Local existence

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (g_0, u_0, F_0) be the solution of the Einstein-null dust system described in Section 2.2, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the smallness threshold. There exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\delta, R) > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ a solution g_λ of the form*

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda^2 g^{(2)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda^2 \tilde{h}_\lambda \quad (2.23)$$

to (1.1) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in generalised wave gauge. Moreover:

(i) the tensors $g^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and are periodic and smooth functions of the argument $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$,

(ii) there exists $C = C(\delta, R) > 0$ such that the following estimates hold

$$\max_{r \in [0, 10]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r g^{(1)}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [0, 6]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r g^{(2)}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [1, 5]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^{r+1} \tilde{h}_\lambda\|_{L^2_{\delta+r}} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad (2.24)$$

(iii) the tensor $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ satisfy the following polarization and energy conditions

$$g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\frac{1}{8} |F^{(1)}|_{g_0}^2 - \frac{1}{16} (\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)})^2 = F_0^2. \quad (2.26)$$

Before we present the strategy behind the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Section 3, let us make some remarks on this result.

- The notation $g^{(i)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ is used in (2.23) to emphasize the oscillating character of $g^{(i)}$, but these tensors also depends on the spacetime variables and this notation actually stands for the following functions on the spacetime

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)(t, x) = g_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)}\left(t, x, \frac{u_0(t, x)}{\lambda}\right)$$

where $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

- The exact expression of the ansatz for g_λ is given in Section 4.1. Compared to (2.23), we will then describe precisely the oscillating behaviour of each $g^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, i.e give a finite frequency decomposition. Note that in Theorem 2.2 we already gave the frequency decomposition of $g^{(1)}$, that is $g^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F^{(1)}$.
- As explained in depth in the introduction, our main goal is to consider high-frequency limits, that is $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Since the smallness threshold ε_0 is independent from λ , our construction is valid in the high-frequency limit and the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_\lambda - g_0\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \lambda, \\ \|\partial(g_\lambda - g_0)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim 1 \end{aligned}$$

hold even for small λ , as well as the time of existence equal to 1. From this perspective, the restriction $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ with λ_0 potentially very small is meaningless and only plays a role in the improvement of one of our bootstrap assumptions (see Proposition 7.1).

- The tensor $g^{(2)}$ also satisfies polarization conditions similar to (2.25) but with complicated RHS depending on $g^{(1)}$. See Section 5.4.2 for more details.
- The generalised wave condition defining our gauge has a complicated expression and we choose not to present it in Theorem 2.2. In particular, it depends on the exact ansatz for g_λ and the coupling between the transport equations for the waves and the wave equation for the remainder. See Section 5.4.1 for its exact expression.
- The oscillating terms in (2.23) depend on the phase $\frac{u_0}{\lambda}$, where u_0 is the solution of the *background* eikonal equation, i.e (2.2). We emphasize the fact that we don't solve the eikonal equation for the metric g_λ , as in [HL18a] but as opposed to [LR20]. This would require the construction of a null foliation in 3+1 dimensions and hence would complicate our proof.

3 Strategy of proof

In this section, we sketch the proof of Theorem 2.2. The challenge we face is twofold: first we need to define the high-frequency ansatz for g_λ in a fully non-linear context, and then we need to solve the hierarchy of equations obtained which in particular amounts to solve an apparent loss of derivatives.

3.1 The high-frequency ansatz

In any coordinates system, the Ricci tensor of a Lorentzian metric g reads

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}(g) = -\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta} + H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho + P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g). \quad (3.1)$$

Let us detail the different terms in this expression.

- $\tilde{\square}_g$ stands for the principal part of the wave operator, i.e $g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$. Because of this term, the Einstein vacuum equations (1.1) are quasi-linear. Moreover, if we think of (1.1) as a system of second order partial differential operators for the metric coefficients, the $-\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ term represents the diagonal part of the Ricci operator.
- The terms involving $H^\rho = g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ are the gauge part of the Ricci operator and they involve second order derivatives of the metric coefficients. They represent the non-diagonal part of the Ricci operator and working in generalised wave gauge precisely means that we prescribe the value of H^ρ in order to solve the loss of hyperbolicity it causes.
- The quadratic non-linearity $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ is given in coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g) &= g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} g_{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} g_{\beta)\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\alpha g_{\rho\sigma} \partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\nu} \partial_\sigma g_{\beta\mu} + g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho g_{\sigma\alpha} \partial_\mu g_{\nu\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

It enjoys what we call the weak polarized null condition defined in Section 3.1.3.

While being highly non-linear, the Ricci tensor and the three parts defining it all enjoy some special structure at the heart of our construction. The precise ansatz we choose is

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 (g^{(2)} + \mathfrak{h}_\lambda) + \lambda^3 g^{(3)} \quad (3.3)$$

The remainder \mathfrak{h}_λ is a symmetric 2-tensor and each $g^{(i)}$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the form $T\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) S_{\alpha\beta}$ for S a symmetric 2-tensor and T a trigonometric function, that is

$$T \in \{s \mapsto \cos(ks) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{s \mapsto \sin(ks) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $g^{(1)}$ has the form $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$, as stated in Theorem 2.2. The Ricci tensor being non-linear, we must incorporate the creation of harmonics into g_λ . Even though terms like $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ are of the form $g^{-1}g^{-1}\partial g\partial g$ and thus corresponds to an interaction of four oscillating terms, the derivatives imply that this term corresponds actually to an interaction of only two oscillating terms, at least at leading order. Therefore, we expect $g^{(2)}$ to oscillate with frequency θ and 2θ . More precisely, by analogy with our toy model in [Tou22a] $g^{(2)}$ oscillates like $\sin(\theta)$ and $\cos(2\theta)$.

The Ricci tensor involves second derivatives of the metric, and $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda)$ admits *a priori* a formal expansion of the form

$$R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \dots$$

In the sequel, when considering the formal expansion of the Ricci tensor in powers of λ , we refer to all the terms multiplied by a certain power λ^k as the λ^k level. Moreover, "solving the λ^k level" will mean obtaining $R_{\mu\nu}^{(k)} = 0$.

In the rest of this section and for the sake of clarity we drop the index λ and only write g and \mathfrak{h} instead of g_λ and \mathfrak{h}_λ .

3.1.1 The quasi-linearity

We start by studying the quasi-linear part of the Ricci tensor, also called the wave part in the sequel, that is $-\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$. We simply compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\square}_g \left(T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) f \right) &= T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{\square}_g f + \frac{1}{\lambda} T' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) [2g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu f + (\tilde{\square}_g u_0) f] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} T'' \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) f \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for any scalar function f and T a trigonometric function. Since $g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = 0$ we have schematically

$$g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = \lambda g_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 g_{L_0 L_0}^{(2)} + O(\lambda^3). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, the contribution to $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda)$ of each $\tilde{\square}_g \lambda^i g^{(i)}$ reads schematically

$$\lambda^0 \left(L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + g_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} \right) + \lambda^1 \left(L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)} + g_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)} + g_{L_0 L_0}^{(2)} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)} \right) \quad (3.7)$$

where we neglect for now \mathfrak{h}_λ . We recover here the main result of the geometric optics approximation: the waves $g^{(i)}$ solve transport equations along the rays of the optical function u_0 (recall (2.4)). These equations will absorb all the terms oscillating as $g^{(i)}$. To make things clearer, we define the notion of *admissible* frequencies:

Definition 3.1. *Let $k = 0, 1$. The **admissible frequencies** at the λ^k level are $\ell\theta$ for $\ell \in \llbracket 1, k+1 \rrbracket$. A non-admissible frequency at a certain level is said to be forbidden at this level.*

Looking at (3.7) and at the oscillating behaviour of each $g^{(i)}$ described above, we see that the transport equations for the $g^{(i)}$ will precisely absorb the admissible frequencies.

The quasi-linearity of the Ricci tensor manifests itself by the presence of the eikonal terms $g_{L_0 L_0}^{(i)}$. More precisely, if $k = 0, 1$, it produces at the λ^k level the terms $g_{L_0 L_0}^{(i)} \partial_\theta^2 g^{(k+2-i)}$, which in turn produces $(k+2)\theta$ oscillations if one consider only the top frequency of each $g^{(i)}$ (θ for $g^{(1)}$ and 2θ for $g^{(2)}$). Looking at Definition 3.1, these are forbidden frequencies and we need to use the structures of the gauge part and the quadratic part of the Ricci tensor to remove them, see the end of Section 3.1.3.

The remainder \mathfrak{h}_λ in (3.3) being non-oscillating, it simply produces $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_\lambda$ at the λ^2 level. Therefore, \mathfrak{h}_λ will solve a wave equation absorbing any frequency appearing at the λ^2 level, which explains why there is no need to write down this level in (3.7), and why $g^{(3)}$ won't be obtained by solving a transport equation.

3.1.2 The gauge part

In the last section, we saw how the second order derivatives of the wave part do not produce a loss of two powers of λ since the eikonal term $g^{-1}(du_0, du_0)$ is of order λ . However, second order derivatives of the metric also appear in the gauge part of the Ricci tensor, that is the one involving first order derivatives of H^ρ whose expression is given by

$$H^\rho = g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \right).$$

Let us compute the action of this term on the waves $g^{(i)}$ as we did in the previous section for the wave part:

$$g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\theta g_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 \partial_\theta g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) + O(1).$$

The leading term in the previous expression will be of great importance in our construction, it corresponds to the polarization tensor already identified in [CB69].

Definition 3.2. Let S a symmetric 2-tensor, we define its polarization tensor by

$$\text{Pol}_\sigma(S) = g_0^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu u_0 S_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 S_{\mu\nu} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Its components in the background null frame (see Section 2.2) are

$$\text{Pol}_{L_0}(S) = -S_{L_0 L_0}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{Pol}_A(S) = -S_{AL_0}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\text{Pol}_{\underline{L}_0}(S) = -\delta^{AB} S_{AB}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since the main term in the gauge part of the Ricci tensor is $g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho$, the main contributions of the waves $g^{(i)}$ to $R_{\mu\nu}(g_\lambda)$ read schematically

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)} (\partial_\theta^2 g^{(1)}) + \lambda^0 \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)} (\partial_\theta^2 g^{(2)}) + \lambda^1 \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)} (\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}) \quad (3.12)$$

Since the quadratic non-linearity only involves first order derivatives, (3.12) already implies that solving the λ^{-1} level of the Ricci tensor implies $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$. Together with (3.9) this implies that

$$g_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

which remove some of the quasi-linear forbidden frequencies in (3.7). The identity (3.13) is called the exceptionality condition by Choquet-Bruhat in [CB69] and is a special feature of the Einstein vacuum equations. It also implies that $g_{L_0 A}^{(1)} = 0$, and we will actually prove that $g_{L_0 \alpha}^{(1)} = 0$.

Let us now try to understand the role of $\text{Pol}(g^{(i)})$ for $i \geq 2$. We introduce the following notions to emphasize the structure at stake:

Definition 3.3. Let S be a symmetric 2-tensor and recall (2.12).

1. We say that S is **non-tangential** if $S_{XY} = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0$. In coordinates this is equivalent to the existence of a 1-form Q such that $S_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 Q_{\beta)}$.
2. We say that S is **fully non-tangential** if the only non-zero null components of S is $S_{\underline{L}_0 \underline{L}_0}$. In coordinates this is equivalent to the existence of a scalar function f such that $S_{\alpha\beta} = f \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0$.

We see in (3.12) that the polarization of the waves $g^{(i)}$ only manifest themselves as non-tangential terms in the Ricci tensor, at one level lower than the transport equations that $g^{(i)}$ satisfy. In terms of oscillations, they add the forbidden frequency $(k+2)\theta$ at the λ^k level, for $k = 0, 1$. Therefore, the gauge part in the Ricci tensor can remove some forbidden frequencies with polarization conditions of the form

$$\text{Pol}(g^{(i)}) = \text{lower order terms}$$

if and only if the problematic terms are non-tangential in the Ricci tensor. This holds for the forbidden frequencies produced by the quadratic non-linearity (see next section) but doesn't hold for the quasi-linear forbidden frequencies in (3.7).

The computation (3.12) also shows the role of $g^{(3)}$. As explained above, it won't solve a transport equation but will only be useful to absorb forbidden frequency at the λ^1 level.

3.1.3 The weak polarized null condition

The semi-linear terms $P_{\alpha\beta}$ (short for $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$) are crucial to our construction since they are the source of backreaction. Indeed we have schematically

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = (\partial g_0)^2 + (\partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)})^2 + \partial g_0 \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + O(\lambda). \quad (3.14)$$

The term $(\partial g_0)^2$ is part of the background equation, while $\partial g_0 \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)}$ corresponds to an admissible frequency and thus can be absorbed by the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$. The middle term in (3.14) is the most important since it contains a non-oscillating term and an harmonic 2θ , that is a forbidden frequency at the λ^0 level. The non-oscillating term produces the backreaction as it is absorbed by the background equation, which thus becomes an Einstein-type equation with a RHS (see (2.1)). Without any additional structure, the harmonic 2θ would be absorbed by the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$, which would therefore be non-linear. However, $P_{\alpha\beta}$ does enjoy additional structure, which we call the *weak polarized null condition* and present now.

The history of null conditions goes back to the work of Klainerman in [Kla86] on the global existence of solutions for semi-linear quadratic wave equations in 3+1 dimensions.

In order to define the weak polarized null condition, we consider the general quadratic form $Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, S)$ acting on symmetric 2-tensors defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, S) &= g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 T_{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} u_0 S_{\beta)\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\alpha u_0 T_{\rho\sigma} \partial_\beta u_0 S_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad - g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho u_0 T_{\alpha\nu} \partial_\sigma u_0 S_{\beta\mu} + g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho u_0 T_{\sigma\alpha} \partial_\mu u_0 S_{\nu\beta} \end{aligned}$$

It corresponds to the leading term in λ when the derivatives $\partial g \partial g$ in $P_{\alpha\beta}$ both hit oscillating parts of the waves $g^{(i)}$ and where T and S denote here the amplitude of the waves. The discussion of Section 3.1.2 shows that each wave $g^{(i)}$ will satisfy polarization conditions through their polarization tensor $\text{Pol}(g^{(i)})$. We can show that

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = \mathcal{E}(T, T) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 + g_0^{\nu\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(T) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 T_{\beta)\nu} - \text{Pol}_\alpha(T) \text{Pol}_\beta(T) \quad (3.15)$$

where we define the following energy

$$\mathcal{E}(T, S) = \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr}_{g_0} T) (\text{tr}_{g_0} S) - \frac{1}{2} |T \cdot S|_{g_0}. \quad (3.16)$$

Let us explain our classification of null conditions.

- The standard null condition introduced by Klainerman in [Chr86] and [Kla86] would imply that $Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = 0$, since L_0 is a null vector field.
- In [CB00], Choquet-Bruhat introduces the polarized null condition, which would imply

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0 \implies Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) = 0.$$

- We now define the *weak polarized null condition* by

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0 \implies Q_{\alpha\beta}(T, T) \text{ is fully non-tangential.}$$

As the computation (3.15) shows, the quadratic non-linearity in the Ricci tensor does satisfy the weak polarized null condition. Note the analogy with the weak null condition of Lindblad and Rodnianski, since the term $\mathcal{E}(T, T) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0$ precisely corresponds to their problematic term $P(\partial_\mu h, \partial_\nu h)$, see Proposition 3.1 in [LR10]. This explains our choice of designation.

The weak polarized null condition is the key structure allowing us to deal with the forbidden frequencies caused by the self-interaction of $g^{(1)}$. Indeed, the self-interaction of $g^{(1)}$ produces only fully non-tangential term since $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$. As explained above, this interaction produces the 2θ forbidden frequency at the λ^0 level (see (3.14)), but thanks to the fully non-tangential structure it can be absorbed by the polarization tensor of $g^{(2)}$ which thus satisfies

$$\text{Pol}_\beta(g^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(\partial_\theta g^{(1)}, \partial_\theta g^{(1)}) \partial_\beta u_0. \quad (3.17)$$

In particular this implies

$$\text{Pol}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(g^{(2)}) = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

This last property (together with (3.9)) implies that $g_{L_0 L_0}^{(2)} = 0$ (if we only consider the top frequency) which remove the 3θ forbidden frequency at the λ^1 level coming from the quasi-linearity (see (3.7)).

We summarize the discussion of Sections 3.1.1-3.1.2-3.1.3 since it describes the key mechanism of our result. Let $k = -1, 0, 1$. The admissible frequencies are absorbed by the transport equation of $g^{(k+1)}$ (for $k \geq 0$). The quasi-linear terms produce forbidden frequencies which rewrite as polarization terms while the semi-linear terms produce forbidden frequencies with the fully non-tangential structure. This allows the polarization tensors of $g^{(k+2)}$ originating from the non-diagonal part of the Ricci tensor to absorb the latter. For this last step, the non-tangential structure would be enough but the *fully* non-tangential structure implies in addition that the quasi-linear forbidden frequencies actually vanish. In particular, this shows how a non-linear theory such as general relativity still implies propagation without deformation since the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$ is linear. This is called the *transparency* phenomenon in the geometric optics literature, see [Mé09].

3.1.4 Propagation of the polarization conditions

As explained above, we need the waves $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ to satisfy both transport equations along the rays and polarization conditions. In [CB69], Choquet-Bruhat proves that the polarization condition for $g^{(1)}$, that is $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$, is propagated by the transport equation $g^{(1)}$ satisfies, which schematically writes $L_0 g^{(1)} = 0$. She shows that if $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$ holds on Σ_0 , and if $g^{(1)}$ solves $L_0 g^{(1)} = 0$, then $\text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0$ holds on the whole spacetime. This is proved by commuting L_0 and Pol which gives an equation of the form

$$L_0 \text{Pol}(g^{(1)}) = 0.$$

Unfortunately, the transport equations and polarization conditions satisfied by $g^{(2)}$ (see Sections 5.2 and 5.4.2) are much more complicated than the one $g^{(1)}$ satisfies and the previous strategy seems unfeasible. Instead of deriving the equations for $\text{Pol}(g^{(2)})$ directly from the equations that $g^{(2)}$ satisfies, we treat the polarization conditions for $g^{(2)}$ as gauge conditions. This means that we first solve the hierarchy of equations as if they were satisfied, and then derive the desired transport equations from the contracted Bianchi identities, which states that the Einstein tensor of a Lorentzian metric is divergence free. This shows how we recover the polarization conditions on the whole spacetime, since our choice of initial data will be such that they hold initially (see Corollary 5.1).

Therefore, we are able to obtain both the transport equations and the polarization conditions for all the waves in g , proving that the latter is a solution of (1.1). It remains to explain how we solve the hierarchy of equations and the challenges its resolution pose from an analytical point of view.

3.2 Solving the hierarchy of equations

In this section, we explain how we solve the hierarchy of equations derived from putting the ansatz (3.3) into the Einstein vacuum equations (1.1). As explained above, the hierarchy of equations is made of transport equations for $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ and a wave equation for \mathfrak{h} .

3.2.1 The background transport equations

As explained above, the transport equation for $g^{(1)}$ is linear and reads schematically

$$L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

If we only focus on the 2θ oscillation in $g^{(2)}$, the second transport equation reads schematically

$$L_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)} = \partial^2 g^{(1)} \quad (3.20)$$

where in the RHS of (3.20) we only consider the worst term from the perspective of regularity. The system (3.19)-(3.20) is triangular and solving it causes no problem. In the sequel, the exact form of this system will be called the *background system* because the regularity of its solution only depends on the background spacetime and does not require any bootstrap.

Remark 3.1. *The threshold for the background regularity, i.e $N \geq 10$ (see Section 2.2), is chosen so that all these background quantities and their derivatives can be ultimately bounded in L^∞ . Let us explain briefly why the value 10 fills this requirement.*

If the background metric coefficients are in H^{N+1} (we neglect the weights at spacelike infinity in this discussion), then (3.19) and (3.20) imply that $g^{(1)} \in H^N$ and $g^{(2)} \in H^{N-2}$. Second derivatives of $g^{(2)}$ will appear as a source term in the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} . In order to prove local well-posedness for this equation we will differentiate it 4 times (see (3.29) below) and our worse term is thus $\partial^6 g^{(2)}$. We want to bound it in L^∞ so the usual Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ implies that we need $N - 2 \geq 8$.

3.2.2 The quasi-linear coupling and the loss of derivatives

Let us now look at what we call the *reduced system*, that is the system solved by the θ oscillation in $g^{(2)}$ and \mathfrak{h} . For a semi-linear equation like the one considered in [Tou22a], this system would also be perfectly triangular. However, due to the quasi-linear terms, our reduced system is not triangular.

As announced, we focus only on the θ oscillation in $g^{(2)}$ and write $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$. Considering now the term proportionnal to \mathfrak{h} in the inverse of g that we neglected in the eikonal term (3.6), the term $\tilde{\square}(\lambda g^{(1)})$ produces a term proportional to $\lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F^{(1)}$. Moreover, the wave part of the Ricci also contains terms of the form

$$\lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) L_0 \mathfrak{F} + \lambda^2 \left(\square_g \mathfrak{h} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \square_g \mathfrak{F} \right).$$

Therefore, in order to solve the λ^1 and λ^2 levels we need to solve the following coupled system

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{h}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\square_g \mathfrak{h} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \square_g \mathfrak{F}, \tag{3.22}$$

where we only write the terms relevant to the present discussion. Performing energy estimates for (3.21) implies schematically that $\partial \mathfrak{F} \sim \partial \mathfrak{h}$ while energy estimates for (3.22) give $\partial \mathfrak{h} \sim \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore, there is an *a priori* loss of derivatives in the coupling between (3.21) and (3.22). Regaining this derivatives is the main analytical challenge of our work.

3.2.3 Regaining the missing derivative

The main idea is to show that $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$ is better than $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, i.e better than any second order derivatives of \mathfrak{F} . To show this, we would like to derive a transport operator for $\square_g \mathfrak{F}$ from (3.21). However we can't hope for any structural property of $[L_0, \square_g]$ since L_0 is a null vector for g_0 and not g . One option would be to construct the full null foliation associated to g , that is consider $[L, \square_g]$ for L the spacetime gradient of an optical function u solving $g^{-1}(du, du) = 0$. This would require to consider the null structure equations and the Bianchi equations in 3+1 as part as our bootstrap argument. To avoid this and to stick to the background null foliation, we benefit from the expansion defining $g - g_0$ and write schematically

$$\square_g \mathfrak{F} = \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \lambda \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}. \tag{3.23}$$

We improve the first term in (3.23) by considering the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$ for which we can now hope for structural properties. Formally we have from (3.21)

$$L_0 (\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) = [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F} + \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{h}. \tag{3.24}$$

We need to know what are the second derivatives appearing in the commutator $[L_0, \square_{g_0}]$. This is the content of the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be compactly supported, we have*

$$\|[L_0, \square_{g_0}]f\|_{L^2} \leq C(C_0) (\|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\square_{g_0} f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}). \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, if $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\|\nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}]f\|_{L^2} \leq C(C_0) (\|\nabla^r \partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 f\|_{H^{r-1}}). \quad (3.26)$$

The proof of this lemma is postponed to Appendix A and is based on the null structure induced by the optical function u_0 . In the case of equation (3.24), the term $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ from (3.25) is treated with Gronwall's inequality and the term $\partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}$ rewrites as $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ thanks to (3.21). By using again the expansion for g , we can use equation (3.22) for the term $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{h}$ in (3.24). Therefore, we are able to show that $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ is at the level of $\partial \mathfrak{h}$, which is consistent with (3.22). This is done in details in Section 7.4.1.

It remains to improve the second term in (3.23). As our notation suggests it, we don't use any structure of the second order derivatives involved but rather benefit from the small factor λ in front. The idea is to cancel one derivative with this factor, which rigorously involves a spatial Fourier projector Π_{\leq} , that is a Fourier multiplier supported in $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$. If we also define $\Pi_{\geq} = \text{Id} - \Pi_{\leq}$, we have indeed Bernstein-like estimates in L^2 :

$$\lambda \partial \Pi_{\leq}(f) \sim f \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(f) \sim \partial f.$$

The proof of these estimates and the exact definition of the projectors Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} are postponed to Appendix B.3. In order to benefit from these projectors, we need to introduce them into the system (3.21)-(3.22). Instead of this system, we thus solve

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F} = \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}), \quad (3.27)$$

$$\square_g \mathfrak{h} = \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \square_g \mathfrak{F} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}). \quad (3.28)$$

Using the two Bernstein-like estimates, equation (3.27) now implies that $\lambda \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \sim \partial \mathfrak{h}$ while the extra term in (3.28) is absorbed by the energy estimate for the wave operator. This modification of the system is only possible because (3.21)-(3.22) are obtained as part of the high-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor. This allows us to artificially remove $\Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})$ from the transport equation for \mathfrak{F} by simply rewriting the $\lambda \mathfrak{h}$ term as

$$\lambda \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) + \lambda^2 \times \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

This shows how one can use (3.23) to gain a derivative, solve (3.21)-(3.22) and obtain

$$\|\partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r} \quad (3.29)$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ (we omitted the weight at spacelike infinity for \mathfrak{h}). Note that since we modified the equation for \mathfrak{F}_λ , we need the following commutator estimate to deal with the first term in (3.23):

Lemma 3.2. *Let u and v be two tempered distribution. We have*

$$\| [u, \Pi_{\leq}] \nabla v \|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.30)$$

This lemma will be used to estimate $[\Pi_{\leq}, \square_{g_0}]$. Its proof is postponed to Appendix B.3 and relies on the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, and we introduce in Section B.1 the material needed.

3.2.4 The order of precision of the ansatz

Finally, let us comment on the order of precision of the high-frequency ansatz defining g_λ . As (3.3) shows, the order of precision is 2, since the non-oscillating remainder \mathfrak{h}_λ appears first at the order λ^2 in g_λ . This is similar to the construction of high-frequency ansatz in [HL18b] in $U(1)$ symmetry, but different from the results of [Tou22a], where we are able to construct high-frequency ansatz of arbitrary precision for a toy model.

As in [Tou22a], the value of this order of precision is linked to the method of proof we use to solve the equations at stake. To make this clearer, let us assume that this value, denoted by $K \in \mathbb{Z}$, is not fixed yet.

The transport equations only involve the transport operator L_0 which is part of the background and therefore their resolution is independent from λ . However, we use energy estimates associated to g_λ to solve (3.28). As shown in [Sog95] (see also Lemma 7.9 in this article) this energy estimates reads schematically

$$\|\partial u\|_{L^2}(t) \lesssim \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\partial g_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}\right) \left(\|\partial u\|_{L^2}(0) + \int_0^t \|\tilde{\square}_{g_\lambda} u\|_{L^2}\right). \quad (3.31)$$

Therefore, in order to construct a solution to (3.28) with a time of existence independent of λ , we need $\|\partial g_\lambda\|_{L^\infty}$ to be bounded uniformly in the high-frequency limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, since it appears as coefficient in (3.31). If we focus on the term $\lambda^K \mathfrak{h}_\lambda$ in g_λ , the usual Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ gives

$$\|\lambda^K \partial \mathfrak{h}_\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^K \|\partial \mathfrak{h}_\lambda\|_{H^2} \lesssim \lambda^{K-2}$$

where we used the fact that one loses one power of λ for each derivatives of $\partial \mathfrak{h}_\lambda$ (see (3.29)). See Lemma 7.1 for a more rigorous proof. We see why we need K to be at least equal to 2. The present article then shows that $K = 2$ is sufficient to construct local high-frequency solutions to (1.1) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

An interesting problem would be to construct similar solutions but of greater order of precision, which could pave the way for the study of the long time dynamics of high-frequency waves. Indeed, the main result of [Tou22a] shows with a toy model how the vector field method requires $K \geq 4$.

3.3 Outline of the proof

We give here an outline of the article's remainder which is concerned with the proof of Theorem 2.2 and follows the strategy depicted in the previous sections.

- In Section 4, we give the final expression of the ansatz for g_λ and expand its Ricci tensor.
- In Section 5, we state the hierarchy of equations as well as the polarization and generalised wave gauge conditions. The hierarchy is composed of the background system and the reduced system. We also define the initial data.
- In Section 6, we solve the background system.
- In Section 7, we solve the reduced system.
- In Section 8, we show that the metric g_λ is actually a solution of (1.1) by propagating the polarization and generalised wave gauge conditions.

4 The high-frequency ansatz

In this section, we present the ansatz for the spacetime metric g_λ and compute its Ricci tensor.

4.1 High-frequency expansion of the metric

The metric we consider is given by

$$g_\lambda = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 (g^{(2)} + \mathfrak{h}_\lambda) + \lambda^3 g^{(3)} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(1)} &= F^{(1)} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right), \\ g^{(2)} &= \mathfrak{F}_\lambda \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric 2-tensors $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2,i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are called the background perturbations. They satisfy the background system, defined in the Section 5.2. The symmetric 2-tensors \mathfrak{F}_λ and \mathfrak{h}_λ satisfy the reduced system, defined in Section 5.3. We use a different font to emphasize their special role. The exact definition of $g^{(3)}$ will be given in Section 5.5, let us just say for now that it is a polynomial in terms of the background perturbations and their derivatives and is independent \mathfrak{h}_λ but does depends on \mathfrak{F}_λ without derivatives. The background perturbations tensors don't depend on λ , unlike the tensors \mathfrak{F}_λ , \mathfrak{h}_λ and the metric g_λ itself. However, for the sake of clarity we drop from now on this notation and only write \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{h} and g .

Remark 4.1. *The presence of \mathfrak{F} and $F^{(2,1)}$ in $g^{(2)}$ seems redundant and is not necessary. However it seems useful to the author to distinguish between the part of the θ oscillation in $g^{(2)}$ coupled to \mathfrak{h} (see Sections 3.2.2 for a informal discussion) and the part whose only purpose is to absorb terms coming from $g^{(1)}$ such as $\square_{g_0} F^{(1)}$ and which can thus be treated as a background quantity.*

We can formally compute the high-frequency expansion of the inverse of g . In coordinates it is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu} = g_0^{\mu\nu} + \lambda(g^{\mu\nu})^{(1)} + \lambda^2(g^{\mu\nu})^{(2)} + \lambda^3 H^{\mu\nu} \quad (4.2)$$

where we define

$$(g^{\mu\nu})^{(1)} = -(F^{(1)})^{\mu\nu} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right), \quad (4.3)$$

$$(g^{\mu\nu})^{(2)} = g_0^{\mu\rho}(F^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} \cos^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - \mathfrak{F}^{\mu\nu} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - \mathfrak{H}^{\mu\nu} \\ - (F^{(2,1)})^{\mu\nu} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) - (F^{(2,2)})^{\mu\nu} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \quad (4.4)$$

On the RHS of these expressions, all the inverse are taken with respect of the background metric g_0 . For example we have $(F^{(1)})^{\mu\nu} = g_0^{\mu\rho} g_0^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}$. The quantity $H^{\mu\nu}$ is defined so that (4.2) holds with $(g^{\mu\nu})^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ being defined by (4.3)-(4.4), and one can verify by hand that $H^{\mu\nu} = O(1)$.

Remark 4.2. *Note that we denote by g^{-k} any product of k coefficients of the inverse metric g^{-1} . This also applies to the background inverse metric g_0^{-1} .*

4.2 High-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor

In this section, we compute the Ricci tensor of the metric g given by (4.1). In order to lighten the computations, we assume that $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$, where Pol is the polarization operator defined in (3.8). We also assume $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} = 0$, which altogether gives $F_{L_0 \alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0$. The fact that $F^{(1)}$ satisfy these conditions will be proved in Section 6.3.1.

The expansion of the Ricci tensor is based on (3.1), i.e its decomposition in generalised wave coordinates, and we first decompose the wave part $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$, then the gauge part H^ρ and finally the quadratic non-linearity $P_{\alpha\beta}$. We recall the notion of admissible/forbidden frequencies (see Definition 3.1): at the λ^k level, only the frequencies $\ell\theta$ for $\ell \in \llbracket 1, k+1 \rrbracket$ are admissible, with $k = 0, 1$.

The exact expression of the expansions are complicated and we proceed as follows to present them in the clearest way possible. We start by giving the formal expansions in powers of λ (see (4.5), (4.17) and (4.27)), and then give the oscillating expansion of each coefficients in Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 for the wave part, Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 for the gauge part and Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 for the quadratic non-linearity.

4.2.1 The wave part

We start by the expansion of the wave part of the Ricci tensor of g . Formally we have

$$\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.1 (Expression of $W^{(0)}$). *We have*

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left[-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. The main ingredient is the computation (3.5). We can use the expansion of the inverse given in (4.2)-(4.4) and $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ to expand first the eikonal term $g^{-1}(du_0, du_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \\ &= g_0^{-1}(du_0, du_0) - \lambda F_{L_0 L_0}^{(1)} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) + O(\lambda^3) \\ &= -\lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} \right) + O(\lambda^3) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where we also used (2.2). The absence of a λ^0 term in (4.7) explains why there is no λ^{-1} term in the expansion of $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ given by (4.5). Similarly we have

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u_0 \partial_\nu f = -L_0 f + O(\lambda^2) \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\tilde{\square}_g f = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} f - \lambda \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) (F^{(1)})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu f + O(\lambda^2) \quad (4.9)$$

for f a scalar function. Using the general formula (3.5) and the expansions (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) we can expand $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ and obtain the different terms in (4.5). In particular the λ^0 terms in $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ come from $\partial^2 g_0$ and $\partial u_0 \partial_\theta \partial g^{(1)}$. \square

Remark 4.3. *Note that the absence of the forbidden frequency 2θ is due to $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$.*

Lemma 4.2 (Expression of $W^{(1)}$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $W^{(1,1)}$ and $W^{(1,2)}$ are given in (4.11) and (4.12).

Proof. The λ terms in $\tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}$ come from $\partial^2 g^{(1)}$ and $\partial u_0 \partial_\theta \partial g^{(2)}$, which explains the oscillating decomposition of $W^{(1)}$ in (4.10). More precisely, the terms $W^{(1,i)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} &= -2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} \\ &\quad - 2L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} = -2 \left(-2L_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + (\tilde{\square}_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} - \frac{1}{4} F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

with $\tilde{W}^{(1,i)}$ being some irrelevant lower order terms formally admitting the following expression

$$\tilde{W}^{(1,i)} = g_0^{-2} F^{(1)} \partial^2 g_0 + g_0^{-2} \partial^2 F^{(1)} + g_0^{-2} (F^{(1)})^2 \partial^2 u_0. \quad (4.13)$$

\square

Remark 4.4. Note the appearance of the eikonal terms $\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 L_0}$ and $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)}$ in $W^{(1)}$, which will require a special treatment. The exact expression in front of $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)}$ in (4.10) is irrelevant, the only important remark to be made is that it involves the 3θ frequency, which is forbidden at the λ level.

Lemma 4.3 (Expression of $W^{(\geq 2)}$). We have

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$ contains only lower order terms and is given in (4.15).

Proof. Since the λ^2 terms in the Ricci tensor will be absorbed by the wave equation for \mathfrak{h} , there is no need for a decomposition in frequencies and (4.14) simply follows from considering first all the second derivatives of \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{h} and $g^{(3)}$ and then putting all the lower order quantities into $\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$. This gives the following schematic expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}^{(\geq 2)} = & (g^{-1})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(1)} + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 2)} (\partial^2 g_0 + \partial^2 u_0 + \partial u_0 \partial F^{(1)} + (\partial u_0)^2 F^{(2,i)}) \\ & + (g^{-1})^{(\geq 1)} (\partial^2 F^{(1)} + \partial^2 u_0 F^{(2,i)} + \partial u_0 \partial F^{(2,i)}) + g^{-1} \partial^2 F^{(2,i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

□

As explained in Section 3.1.1, the forbidden frequency 3θ at the λ^1 level comes from the eikonal term in (3.5). Moreover, it is caused by the "top frequency term", i.e $F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)}$. See the end of Section 5.4.2 to see how we deal with these quasi-linear forbidden frequencies.

4.2.2 The gauge part

In Section 3.1.2, we explained how the main part in the gauge term H^ρ are the polarization tensors Pol of each wave in (4.1). These tensors will play an important role in the consistency of our ansatz by absorbing forbidden frequencies. The gauge term is also responsible for a potential loss of hyperbolicity. Indeed, the two non-oscillating terms in (4.1), i.e g_0 and \mathfrak{h} will solve wave equations, but the gauge term H^ρ contains first order derivatives of these tensors which leads to the loss of hyperbolicity announced (since the Ricci tensor contains derivatives of H^ρ). The generalised wave gauge choice is precisely made to cancel these problematic terms. We already assume the usual wave gauge condition for the background in (2.5), and for \mathfrak{h}_λ the problematic terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon^\rho = & g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{F}_{\mu\nu} \right) + \lambda \left(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} \right) \right) \\ & - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Concretely, Υ^ρ contains all derivatives of \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} . Though it doesn't satisfy a wave equation, we also put $\partial \mathfrak{F}$ in (4.16), its presence will be justified by the analysis of the

hierarchy of equations, which will show that $\partial\mathfrak{F}$ are at the level of $\partial\mathfrak{h}$. Note that in the first line, $\partial g^{(3)}$ is the non-frequential derivative of $g^{(3)}$, i.e we don't differentiate the oscillating parts of $g^{(3)}$. These $\partial g^{(3)}$ terms are here since they involve $\partial\mathfrak{F}$. The presence of the last line in (4.16) is linked to $g^{(3)}$ and will be explained at the end of this section.

We now introduce the formal high-frequency expansion of H^ρ :

$$H^\rho = \lambda \left((H^{(1)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) \right) + \lambda^2 \left((H^{(2)})^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \right) + \lambda^3 (H^{(\geq 3)})^\rho. \quad (4.17)$$

Note that there is no λ^0 term thanks to (2.5) and $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$. For clarity, we denote by \mathring{H} the part of H containing only allowed terms from the wave equation perspective, that is

$$\mathring{H}^\rho = H^\rho - \lambda^2 \Upsilon^\rho. \quad (4.18)$$

Lemma 4.4 (Expression of $H^{(1)}$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{(1)})^\rho &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(F^{(2,1)}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho \right) \\ &\quad + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-2g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(F^{(2,2)}) + (\tilde{H}^{(1,2)})^\rho \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $\tilde{H}^{(1,1)}$ and $\tilde{H}^{(1,2)}$ are given in (4.20) and (4.21).

Proof. We decompose $(H^{(1)})^\rho$ into frequencies and highlight its dependence on $g^{(2)}$. This gives the expression (4.19). We only need a formal expression of $\tilde{H}^{(1,1)}$:

$$\tilde{H}^{(1,1)} = g_0^{-2} (\partial F^{(1)} + g_0^{-1} F^{(1)} \partial g_0). \quad (4.20)$$

However, we need the precise expression of $\tilde{H}^{(1,2)}$, which comes from $g_0^{-1} (g^{-1})^{(1)} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)}$ and is given by

$$(\tilde{H}^{(1,2)})^\rho = -\frac{1}{4} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma u_0 \left| F^{(1)} \right|_{g_0}^2. \quad (4.21)$$

□

Remark 4.5. *Note that \mathfrak{F} doesn't appear in $H^{(1)}$ (even though it plays a similar role as $F^{(2,i)}$) since its polarization contribution is already in (4.17). As Lemma 8.1 will show, this contribution actually vanishes.*

Lemma 4.5 (Expression of $H^{(2)}$). *We have*

$$(H^{(2)})^\rho = g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\partial_\theta g^{(3)}) + (\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho \quad (4.22)$$

where $\tilde{H}^{(2)}$ is given in (4.23).

Proof. Since $H^{(2)}$ will be absorbed at the λ^1 level by $g^{(3)}$, we don't need to specify its decomposition in frequency. The expression (4.22) only means that we highlight the dependency of $H^{(2)}$ on $g^{(3)}$, meaning that the quantity $(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho$ is defined so that (4.22) holds, and does not depend on $g^{(3)}$. Moreover, thanks to our choice of Υ^ρ (see (4.16)), $(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho$ also does not depend on \mathfrak{h} . Indeed, $(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho$ could depend on \mathfrak{h} through two types of terms.

- The $\partial\mathfrak{h}$ appearing in $g_0^{-1}(\partial g)^{(2)}$, which corresponds to the first line in (4.16). The latter also ensures that $(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho$ does not depend on $\partial\mathfrak{F}$.
- The \mathfrak{h} appearing in $(g^{-1})^{(2)}(\partial g)^{(0)}$, which corresponds to the last line in (4.16).

The term $(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho$ does however depend in a polynomial fashion on the quantities g_0 , $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first derivatives. Therefore, we can write schematically

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{(2)} &= g_0^{-3} \left((F^{(1)})^2 + F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{F} \right) (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + g_0^{-3} F^{(1)} (\partial F^{(1)} + \partial u_0 F^{(2,i)} + \partial u_0 \mathfrak{F}) + g_0^{-2} \partial F^{(2,i)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where we used a schematic version of (4.4), that is

$$(g^{-1})^{(2)} = g_0^{-2} \left((F^{(1)})^2 + F^{(2,i)} + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{F} \right).$$

□

Lemma 4.6 (Expression of $H^{(\geq 3)}$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(\geq 3)} &= (g^{-2})^{(\geq 3)} (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + (g^{-2})^{(\geq 2)} (\partial F^{(1)} + \partial u_0 F^{(2,i)} + \partial u_0 \mathfrak{F}) + (g^{-2})^{(\geq 1)} \partial F^{(2,i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Since $H^{(\geq 3)}$ will only appear at the RHS of the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} , its only important property is its independancy from $\partial\mathfrak{h}$ and $\partial\mathfrak{F}$. This holds thanks to (4.16), where in particular we put the $\partial g^{(3)}$ in Υ^ρ since $g^{(3)}$ contains linear terms in \mathfrak{F} (see Section 5.5 below). It does depend on g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$, and their first derivatives, and on \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{h} and $g^{(3)}$. The terms depending on $g^{(3)}$ in $H^{(\geq 3)}$ are still $O(1)$ with respect to λ , since we lose one λ power when the derivatives hit the oscillating parts of $g^{(3)}$, producing the $\partial_\theta g^{(3)}$ already included in $H^{(2)}$. This justifies the schematic expression (4.24). □

The gauge term H^ρ is differentiated in the Ricci tensor, and we now give the first two orders of the high-frequency expansion of these terms. We have

$$(H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho)^{(0)} = (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)}(\mathfrak{F}), \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) H^\rho)^{(1)} \\ &= \left((H^{(1)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) \right) \left(\partial_\rho (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\rho u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \left(\partial_\beta) (H^{(1)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\beta) (g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F})) \right) + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_\beta) u_0 \partial_\theta \left((H^{(2)})^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \right) \\ &\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_\beta) u_0 \left(\partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \text{Pol}_\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where by $\partial_\beta (H^{(1)})^\rho$ in (4.26) we denote the derivative of $(H^{(1)})^\rho$ without differentiating its oscillating parts (i.e the $\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ in (4.19)).

4.2.3 The quadratic non-linearity

In this section we expand $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ (see (3.2) for an exact expression). Formally we have

$$P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g) = P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (4.27)$$

The main structural remarks on $P_{\alpha\beta}$ were already made in Section 3.1.3, where we defined the weak polarized null condition. We recall the main consequences of this structure: the self-interaction of a wave T produces fully non-tangential terms and $\text{Pol}(T)$ terms (see (3.15)).

Lemma 4.7 (Expression of $P^{(0)}$). *We have*

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} [g^{(1)}] + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} [g_0] \quad (4.28)$$

where

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} [g_0] = P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0), \quad (4.29)$$

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} [g^{(1)}] = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E} (F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 + \cos \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_\beta^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}] \\ - \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^\nu F_{\beta)\nu}^{(1)} + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_\beta^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}] \right), \quad (4.30)$$

and where $\hat{P}_\beta^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}]$ and $\hat{P}_\beta^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}]$ are given in (4.31) and (4.32).

Proof. The λ^0 terms in $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ are of the form $g_0^{-2}(\partial g)^{(0)}(\partial g)^{(0)}$, with $(\partial g)^{(0)}$ being itself of the form $\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)}$. We decompose first $P^{(0)}$ in terms depending on $g^{(1)}$ which gives (4.28). The background dependent terms are simply given by $g_0^{-1} g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 \partial g_0$, that is the quadratic non-linearity appearing on the RHS of (2.6), hence (4.29). The terms depending on $g^{(1)}$ come from the self-interaction of $g^{(1)}$ and from the interaction between $g^{(1)}$ and the background. Since $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ we obtain (4.30) where

$$\hat{P}_\beta^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}] = g_0^{-2} F^{(1)} \partial g_0. \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$\hat{P}_\beta^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}] = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E} (F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) \partial_\beta u_0. \quad (4.32)$$

□

Remark 4.6. *The forbidden frequency in (4.28) has the non-tangential structure, allowing the polarization tensors of $g^{(2)}$ to absorb it.*

Lemma 4.8 (Expression of $P^{(1)}$). *We have*

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}] + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(\leq 1)}] \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(\leq 1)}] = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,3)} \quad (4.34)$$

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}] = -2L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{\alpha\rho}^\nu \left(\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{\nu\beta} + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\nu\beta}^{(2,1)} - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\nu\beta}^{(2,2)} \right) \\ + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}] \quad (4.35)$$

and where $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)}$, $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)}$ and $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,3)}$ are given in (4.37) and (4.38), and $\hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}]$ is given in (4.40) (see also (4.41)).

Proof. The λ terms in $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ are of the form

$$g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)} \left((\partial g_0)^2 + \partial g_0 \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} + (\partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)})^2 \right) \\ + g_0^{-2} \partial g_0 (\partial g^{(1)} + \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)}) + g_0^{-2} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} (\partial g^{(1)} + \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)}). \quad (4.36)$$

We decompose $P^{(1)}$ into a part depending on $g^{(2)}$ and a part depending only on the background and on $g^{(1)}$, hence (4.33). Next, we can decompose the two terms in (4.33) in frequencies following (4.36). For $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(\leq 1)}]$, this gives (4.34). Since the frequencies θ and 2θ are admissible at the λ level, a formal expression of $P^{(1,1)}$ and $P^{(1,2)}$ is enough:

$$P^{(1,k)} = (g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)} \partial g_0 + g_0^{-2} \partial g^{(1)}) (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)}) \quad (4.37)$$

for $k \in \llbracket 1, 2 \rrbracket$. The frequency 3θ is forbidden at the λ level, therefore we need the exact expression of $P^{(1,3)}$. It comes from the term $g_0^{-1}(g^{-1})^{(1)} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(1)}$ and is given by

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,3)} = \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)} \quad (4.38)$$

with

$$\hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,3)} = -\frac{1}{8} \partial_\beta u_0 g_0^{\mu\rho} (F^{(1)})^{\nu\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)} F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}. \quad (4.39)$$

Let us now look at the part of $P^{(1)}$ depending on $g^{(2)}$, denoted in (4.33) by $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}]$. We first decompose it into terms coming from the interaction between $g^{(2)}$ and the background, i.e terms of the form $g_0^{-2} \partial g_0 \partial u_0 \partial_\theta g^{(2)}$, and terms coming from the interaction between $g^{(2)}$ and $g^{(1)}$, i.e terms of the form $g_0^{-2} (\partial u_0)^2 \partial_\theta g^{(1)} \partial_\theta g^{(2)}$. This gives (4.35) with

$$\hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}] = \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,1)} [g^{(2)}] + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,2)} [g^{(2)}] + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,3)} [g^{(2)}]. \quad (4.40)$$

We don't need a precise expression for $\hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,i)} [g^{(2)}]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and only write down the following schematic expression

$$\hat{P}_{\beta}^{(1,i)} [g^{(2)}] = g_0^{-2} (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)}) (\mathfrak{F} + F^{(2,k)}). \quad (4.41)$$

□

Since $P^{(\geq 2)}$ will be absorbed by the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} , we don't need to know its frequency decomposition. As all the terms coming from $P_{\alpha\beta}(g)(\partial g, \partial g)$ it is of the form $g^{-2} \partial g \partial g$.

5 The hierarchy of equations

In this section, we present the equations that the different terms in (4.1) solve. We divide them into two groups of equations, the background system presented in Section 5.2 and the reduced system presented in Section 5.3. Note that $g^{(3)}$ is defined in Section 5.5 but doesn't solve a differential equation. The background and reduced system are chosen so that (4.1) satisfy the Einstein vacuum equations, this will be proved in Section 8.

Before we present the equations, we define the following transport operator:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := -2\mathbf{D}_{L_0} + \square_{g_0} u_0 \quad (5.1)$$

where we recall that \mathbf{D} is the covariant derivative associated to g_0 . It acts on tensor fields of all type, including scalar functions.

5.1 A guide to the hierarchy

The exact expression of the equations satisfied by the different terms in (4.1) are quite complicated and in this section we break down the role these equations play in cancelling the different parts of the Ricci tensor. First note that the assumptions that $\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0$ (which has been made in Section 4.2) implies that there is no λ^{-1} terms in the Ricci tensor of g . Therefore, we have the following decomposition

$$R_{\alpha\beta}(g) = R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}.$$

The λ^0 terms in $R_{\alpha\beta}(g)$ are given by

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + (H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho)^{(0)}, \quad (5.2)$$

where these different terms are given by Lemmas 4.1, 4.7 and (4.25). In order to obtain $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = 0$, we proceed as follows:

- the non-oscillating terms in (5.2) are absorbed by the background equation (2.6) for g_0 ,
- the terms in $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ (which corresponds to an admissible frequency) are absorbed by a transport equation for $F^{(1)}$ (see (5.4) below), except for the terms with the non-tangential structure which are absorbed by the polarization tensor of $F^{(2,1)}$,
- the terms in $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ (which corresponds to a forbidden frequency and all have the non-tangential structure thanks to the weak polarized null condition) are absorbed by the polarization tensor of $F^{(2,2)}$.

The polarization conditions that $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ needs to solve are precisely given in Section 5.4.2 below.

The λ^1 terms in $R_{\alpha\beta}(g)$ are given by

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (H^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} H^\rho)^{(1)}, \quad (5.3)$$

where these different terms are given by Lemmas 4.2, 4.8 and (4.26). In order to obtain $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0$, we proceed as follows. First we look at the terms independent from \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{F} :

- the terms in $\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ (which corresponds to admissible frequencies) are absorbed by transport equations for $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ (see (5.5) and (5.6) below), except for the terms with a non-tangential structure which are absorbed by the polarization tensor of $g^{(3)}$,
- the terms in $\cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ (which corresponds to a forbidden frequency) with the non-tangential structure are absorbed by the polarization tensor of $g^{(3)}$,
- the term $\cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ without the non-tangential structure (see (4.10)) rewrites itself as a polarization term (see (5.17)) which eventually vanish at the end of the proof.

The polarization condition that $g^{(3)}$ needs to solve is precisely given in Section 5.5. The main terms depending on \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} are all multiplied by $\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and are absorbed by the transport equation for \mathfrak{F} (see (5.7) below).

In order to solve the Einstein vacuum equations, it remains to obtain $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = 0$. This is done by putting all the remaining terms on the RHS of a wave equation for \mathfrak{h} (see (5.8) below) except for the Υ^ρ terms coming from the λ^2 terms in (4.17).

Remark 5.1. *Following this procedure, we don't actually solve the full Einstein vacuum equations. It will remain some polarization conditions and generalised wave gauge terms which are proved to vanish in Section 8.*

5.2 The background system

We present now the system solved by the background perturbations, called the *background system*. The equation for $F^{(1)}$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

The equations for $g^{(2)}$ are

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}], \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} - \frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{4} \left(F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} + L_0^\sigma \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}] \right) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}] \quad (5.6)$$

The background system is a coupled transport-wave system which admits a triangular structure. It will be solved in Section 6 and all the estimates and support properties of the background perturbations are given in Theorem 6.1. The purpose of the equations (5.4)-(5.6) is given in Section 5.1. Their exact expression follows from the computations performed in Section 4.2.

Remark 5.2. *Note that the terms*

$$-\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}]$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4}L_0^\sigma \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}] F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}]$$

in (5.5) and (5.6) are obtained from the gauge terms (4.26) after having replaced the polarization tensors $\text{Pol}(F^{(2,i)})$ coming from $H^{(1)}$ by the non-tangential terms they absorbed in $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$.

Since (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) are first order transport equation, the initial data for the background system consist in

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0.$$

They are given in Section 5.6.1.

5.3 The reduced system

We present now the system solved by the tensors \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} , called the *reduced system*. As explained in Section 3.2.3, we introduce the Fourier cut-offs Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} :

Definition 5.1. Let $\chi_1 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function supported in

$$\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \leq 2\}$$

and such that $\chi_1(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 1$. For $\lambda > 0$ we define $\chi_\lambda(\xi) = \chi_1(\lambda\xi)$, thus χ_λ is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\}$ and $\chi_\lambda(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$. We define Π_{\leq} by

$$\Pi_{\leq}(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\lambda \mathcal{F}(f))$$

for $f \in L^2$. We also define

$$\Pi_{\geq} = \text{Id} - \Pi_{\leq}.$$

The equations for \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} are then

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = -\Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \tilde{\square}_g g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \mathcal{R}(g) \tag{5.8}$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{R}(g) = -\tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + \left(\mathring{H}^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \mathring{H}^\rho \right)^{(\geq 2)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}. \tag{5.9}$$

with \mathring{H} defined in (4.18).

Equations (5.7)-(5.8) form a coupled transport-wave system and its purpose is to solve the tangential part of the remaining orders of the Ricci tensor of g , i.e some part of its order 1 and the orders superior or equal to 2, as explained in Section 5.1. The spectral projectors Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} are used to avoid the loss of derivatives described in the introduction, see Section 3.2.3.

Since (5.7) is a first order transport equation and (5.8) is a second order wave equation the initial data for the reduced system consist in

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0.$$

They are given in Section 5.6.2.

5.4 Generalised wave gauge and polarization conditions

As it is usual when solving the Einstein equations, we impose gauge conditions. Since we solve a wave equation for \mathfrak{h} we need a generalised wave gauge. Moreover, as the study of first order high-frequency waves by Choquet-Bruhat shows, the oscillating parts of the ansatz need to satisfy polarization conditions, i.e their polarization tensor (see (3.8) for its definition) is prescribed.

5.4.1 Generalised wave gauge condition

The tensor \mathfrak{h} solves the wave equation (5.8) and we associate to it a generalised wave gauge condition. In Section 4.2.2 we already defined Υ^ρ , see (4.16).

Definition 5.2. *The generalised wave gauge considered in Theorem 2.2 is defined by*

$$\Upsilon^\rho = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Remark 5.3. *For later use, we define $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$ as the terms in Υ^ρ independent from $\partial\mathfrak{h}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho = & g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\mu\nu} \right) + \lambda \left(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} \right) \right) \\ & - g_0^{\rho\sigma} \mathfrak{h}^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu (g_0)_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma (g_0)_{\mu\nu} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_\mu u_0 F_{\sigma\nu}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

5.4.2 Polarization conditions

The polarization conditions prescribe the polarization tensor of $F^{(2,i)}$ in terms of $F^{(1)}$ and the background metric.

Definition 5.3. *We define the following tensors:*

$$V_\beta^{(2,1)} = \text{Pol}_\beta (F^{(2,1)}) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta} (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho + \hat{P}_\beta^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}], \quad (5.12)$$

$$V_\beta^{(2,2)} = \text{Pol}_\beta (F^{(2,2)}) - \frac{1}{2} (g_0)_{\rho\beta} (\tilde{H}^{(1,2)})^\rho - \frac{1}{4} \hat{P}_\beta^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}]. \quad (5.13)$$

The polarization conditions are

$$V_\beta^{(2,j)} = 0 \quad (5.14)$$

together with

$$\text{Pol}_\beta (F^{(1)}) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

We don't introduce the notation $V^{(1)}$ since the proof of (5.15) and (5.14) are different. They are both based on the same idea: computing transport equations satisfied by either $\text{Pol}_\beta (F^{(1)})$ or $V^{(2,j)}$ and use the fact that these quantities vanish on Σ_0 (see Corollary 5.1 below). However, the method used to derive these transport equations differs, see Section 3.1.4 for more details.

The exact expression of $V^{(2,1)}$ is irrelevant. However, (4.21) and (4.32) imply

$$L_0^\beta \left(-\frac{1}{2}(g_0)_{\rho\beta}(\tilde{H}^{(1,2)})^\rho - \frac{1}{4}\hat{P}_\beta^{(0,2)}[g^{(1)}] \right) = 0 \quad (5.16)$$

which together with (3.9) and (5.13) implies

$$F_{L_0L_0}^{(2,2)} = -V_{L_0}^{(2,2)}. \quad (5.17)$$

This shows how we deal with the forbidden frequency coming from the quasi-linearity in the wave part of the Ricci tensor. The frequency 3θ at the λ level (see (4.10)) is proportional to $F_{L_0L_0}^{(2,2)}$ which thanks to (5.17) rewrite as V terms, i.e it vanishes if we are able to prove that the polarization conditions (5.14) hold.

5.5 Definition of $g^{(3)}$

The tensor $g^{(3)}$ is introduced in the ansatz (4.1) in order to absorb the non-tangential part of the λ^1 level in the Ricci tensor of g . Unlike the other terms in (4.1) it doesn't solve any differential equation but only a polarization condition. More precisely we want $g^{(3)}$ to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pol}_\beta(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}) &= -(g_0)_{\rho\beta} \partial_\theta(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho\beta}^{(1)} \partial_\theta(H^{(1)})^\rho \\ &\quad - \hat{P}_\beta^{(1)}[g^{(2)}] - \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \hat{P}_\beta^{(1,3)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for (5.18) to be satisfied is that its RHS is purely oscillating, this holds thanks to (4.19) and (4.40). Indeed, (4.40) implies that $\hat{P}_\beta^{(1)}[g^{(2)}]$ is purely oscillating while (4.19) implies that the product $\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\theta(H^{(1)})^\rho$ is also purely oscillating.

We are left with the question of solving an equation of the form

$$\text{Pol}_\beta(T) = \Omega_\beta \quad (5.19)$$

with Ω_β a 1-form for T a symmetric 2-tensor. According to (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we just need to set

$$\begin{aligned} T_{L_0L_0} &= -\Omega_{L_0} \\ T_{L_0A} &= -\Omega_A \\ T_{11} &= -\Omega_{\underline{L}_0} \end{aligned}$$

with all the other coefficients of T being zero. This implies that we can solve (5.19) for T satisfying $|T| \lesssim |\Omega|$ with an implicit constant depending only on the background spacetime.

As it is clear from the RHS of (5.18), $g^{(3)}$ depends on the background quantities g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first derivatives and on the non-background field \mathfrak{F} through the terms $\tilde{H}^{(2)}$ and $\hat{P}_\beta^{(1)}[g^{(2)}]$ (see (4.23) and (4.41)). However, it does not depend on \mathfrak{h} . We summarize this discussion in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Given $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and \mathfrak{F} , there exists a symmetric 2-tensor $g^{(3)}$ solution of (5.18) of the form*

$$g^{(3)} = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) (g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}) + g^{(3,T,BG)})$$

where \mathcal{A} is a finite subset of trigonometric functions (see (3.4)), $g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F})$ satisfy schematically

$$g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}) = g_0 g_0^{-3} (\partial g_0 + \partial u_0 F^{(1)}) \mathfrak{F} \quad (5.20)$$

and where $g^{(3,T,BG)}$ is a polynomial in the background quantities g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first derivatives. In the rest of this article, we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{(3,T)}(\mathfrak{F}), \\ g^{(3,BG)} &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}} T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) g^{(3,T,BG)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $g^{(3)}$ only absorb oscillating terms, it has the same support as $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ or \mathfrak{F} , which will be proved to be compact in this article.

5.6 Initial data for the spacetime metric

In this section, we define the initial data on Σ_0 for the different tensors appearing in the high-frequency ansatz (4.1). They are based on the solution of the constraint equations presented in Theorem 2.1, which is the main result of [Tou22b].

5.6.1 Initial data for the background system

We start with the tensors $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$. For the spatial components, we simply set

$$F_{ij}^{(1)} = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)}, \quad F_{ij}^{(2,1)} = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)}, \quad F_{ij}^{(2,2)} = \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)}. \quad (5.21)$$

For the time components of $F^{(1)}$, we set

$$F_{0\alpha}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

For the time components of $F^{(2,i)}$, we need to ensure that $F^{(2,i)}$ satisfy on Σ_0 the polarization conditions (5.14). For clarity, we define the 1-forms $\Omega^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ so that

$$V_{\beta}^{(2,i)} = \text{Pol}_{\beta} (F^{(2,i)}) - \Omega_{\beta}^{(i)}. \quad (5.23)$$

Note from (5.12), (5.13), (4.20), (4.21), (4.31) and (4.32) that $\Omega^{(i)}$ involve only g_0 and its derivatives or $F^{(1)}$ and its first derivatives $\partial F^{(1)}$. For $\nabla F^{(1)}$, the initial data on Σ_0 are obtained by differentiating directly $F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ defined in (5.21) and (5.22) and for $\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$

they are obtained by rewriting the equation we want $F^{(1)}$ to satisfy, that is (5.4) which rewrites as

$$\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = -N_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^\rho \Gamma(g_0)^\mu_{\rho(\alpha} F_{\mu\beta)}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \quad (5.24)$$

where we used the fact that on Σ_0 we have $L_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} (\partial_t + N_0)$. At this stage, the RHS of (5.24) is fully defined on Σ_0 , which implies that this is also the case for $\Omega^{(i)}$. It remains to define the initial data for $F_{0\alpha}^{(2,i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by

$$F_{0A}^{(2,i)} = -\bar{F}_{AN_0}^{(2,i)} - \frac{1}{|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} \Omega_A^{(i)}, \quad (5.25)$$

$$F_{0N_0}^{(2,i)} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_{N_0 N_0}^{(2,i)} - \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}^2} \Omega_{L_0}^{(i)}, \quad (5.26)$$

$$F_{00}^{(2,i)} = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Note that these definitions only ensure

$$V_{\mathcal{T}_0}^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0 \quad (5.28)$$

thanks to (3.9) and (3.10). The fact that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ also vanishes on Σ_0 is the content of Lemma 8.9.

5.6.2 Initial data for the reduced system

We define here the initial data for the solution of the reduced system. For the tensor \mathfrak{F} we simply set

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

Before we look at \mathfrak{h} , note that with (5.29) we can apply Lemma 5.1 and fully define $g^{(3)}$ on Σ_0 . Indeed it is independent from \mathfrak{h} and depends only on $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,i)}$ and their derivatives which can be computed on Σ_0 by looking at the transport equations we want them to satisfy, that is (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6).

Since \mathfrak{h} solves a second order equation we need to prescribe \mathfrak{h} and $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}$ on Σ_0 . We start with the spatial components of \mathfrak{h} , defined so that the induced metric on Σ_0 of the spacetime metric g defined by (4.1) is precisely the Riemannian metric \bar{g} obtained in Theorem 2.1. This imposes

$$\mathfrak{h}_{ij} = \bar{h}_{ij} - \lambda g_{ij}^{(3)}. \quad (5.30)$$

where \bar{h} is given in (2.15). For the time components of \mathfrak{h} , we choose them so that they compensate the one of $g^{(3)}$, that is

$$\mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha} = -\lambda g_{0\alpha}^{(3)}. \quad (5.31)$$

Note that we can now obtain the initial data for the derivatives of \mathfrak{F} . Indeed, (5.29) obviously gives us that $\nabla \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ and since we want \mathfrak{F} to solve (5.7), the time derivative of \mathfrak{F} is initially given by

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} \Pi_{\leq} (\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \quad (5.32)$$

where we also used (5.29) to simplify (5.7).

We now want to define the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{\alpha\beta}$. The spatial components, i.e $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$, are chosen so that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 as an hypersurface in (\mathcal{M}, g) is given by K obtained in Theorem 2.1. The second fundamental form is defined by $-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_T g$ where T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g and \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative. *A priori*, the unit normal T depends on λ . This shows that in order to obtain the dependence of $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ on λ , we need to know more about T .

Lemma 5.2. *The future unit normal T to Σ_0 for the metric g given by (4.1) satisfies*

$$T = \partial_t + Z \quad (5.33)$$

with the vector field $Z = Z^t \partial_t + \bar{Z}^i \partial_i$ given by

$$Z^t = \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1, \quad (5.34)$$

$$\bar{Z}^i = -\lambda^2 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0j}^{(2)} \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.35)$$

Proof. Since the unit normal to a spacelike hypersurface for a given Lorentzian metric is unique, we just need to prove that we can construct the vector field Z such that T defined by (5.33) satisfies

$$g(T, T) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(T, \partial_i) = 0.$$

Note that thanks to (5.22), (5.27), (5.29) and (5.31) and the fact that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g_0 we have $g_{00} = -1$ and $g_{0i} = \lambda^2 g_{0i}^{(2)}$. This gives

$$g(T, \partial_i) = \lambda^2 g_{0i}^{(2)} (1 + Z^t) + \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{Z}^j$$

so we impose

$$\bar{Z}^i = -\lambda^2 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0j}^{(2)} (1 + Z^t). \quad (5.36)$$

Moreover, we have

$$g(T, T) = -1 + 2g_{0\alpha} Z^\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta$$

so we impose $2g_{0\alpha} Z^\alpha + g_{\alpha\beta} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = 0$. After expanding the quadratic term and inserting (5.36) this condition rewrites as a quadratic equation for Z^t

$$\left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right) (Z^t)^2 + 2 \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right) Z^t + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)} = 0.$$

The two roots of this equation are

$$\pm \left(1 + \lambda^4 \bar{g}^{ij} g_{0i}^{(2)} g_{0j}^{(2)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1.$$

Since we want T to be future directed, we choose the root where $\pm = +$, i.e (5.34). Inserting this into (5.36) gives (5.35). \square

We now compute the expression of the second fundamental form of Σ_0 as an hypersurface in (\mathcal{M}, g) with g being given by (4.1).

Lemma 5.3. *If g is given by (4.1) and if $\partial_t F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ is given by (5.24) then on Σ_0 the following holds*

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij} = K_\lambda^{(0)} + \lambda K_\lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} + \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)}\right)$$

where $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}$ are given by (2.17) and (2.18). Moreover, we have schematically

$$\lambda^2 \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)} = Z^\alpha \partial g_0 + \lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 (\partial \mathfrak{F} + \partial F^{(2,i)} + Z^\alpha \partial g^{(2)}) + \lambda^3 T g^{(3)}. \quad (5.37)$$

Proof. Recalling that g is defined by (4.1) we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_0 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g^{(1)} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g^{(2)} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} - \frac{\lambda^3}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g^{(3)}.$$

We compute or schematically estimate each term in this expression, using the expansion of T given in Lemma 5.2. The latter gives

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_0 = K_0 + O(Z^\alpha \partial g_0)$$

where Z^α denotes either Z^t or \bar{Z}^i and where we used the fact that ∂_t is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g_0 . Similarly, using $\partial_t u_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}$ on Σ_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_t F_{ij}^{(1)} + O(\lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-N_0 \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)} + (\partial_t + N_0)^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho(i}^k \bar{F}_{kj}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2|\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \bar{F}_{ij}^{(1)}\right) \\ &\quad + O(\lambda Z^\alpha \partial g^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.24) and (5.22) to compute $\partial_t F_{ij}^{(1)}$ and (5.21) to compute $F_{ij}^{(1)}$. Using in addition (5.29) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}^{(2)} &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,1)} + \lambda \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} \bar{F}_{ij}^{(2,2)} \\ &\quad + O(\lambda^2 (\partial \mathfrak{F} + \partial F^{(2,i)} + Z^\alpha \partial g^{(2)})). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma, by recalling the expression of $K_\lambda^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ given in (2.17) and (2.18). \square

As explained above, we want the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ to ensure that the second fundamental form of Σ_0 for g , i.e $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T g_{ij}$, matches the tensor K_λ given by Theorem 2.1. According to the previous lemma, this is equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T \mathfrak{h} + \tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)} = K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)} \quad (5.38)$$

where $K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ is given in Theorem 2.1. Using the expansion of T , this defines the initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$ on Σ_0 by

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij} = \frac{1}{1+Z^t} \left(-\bar{Z}^k \partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{ij} + \mathfrak{h} ([Z, \partial_{[i}, \partial_{j]}) - 2(K_\lambda^{(\geq 2)})_{ij} + 2(\tilde{K}_{evol}^{(\geq 2)})_{ij} \right). \quad (5.39)$$

It remains to define the initial value for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$. They are chosen so that the generalised wave condition (5.10) holds on Σ_0 . This condition rewrites as

$$g^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} \right) = -g_{\sigma\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho. \quad (5.40)$$

Note that the initial data for $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$ are fully defined. Indeed the last line in (5.11) depends on background quantities and on $g \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$. For the first line, we use (5.29) for the tangential derivatives or (5.32) for the initial value of $\partial_t \mathfrak{F}$. A similar argument using Lemma 5.1 allows us to deal with $\partial g^{(3)}$ in $\tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho$.

Thanks to the decomposition of $g^{\mu\nu}$ on Σ_0 (5.40) rewrites as

$$-T^\mu T^\nu \left(\partial_\mu \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} \right) = -g_{\sigma\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho - \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right).$$

where the RHS is fully defined thanks to (5.30), (5.31) and (5.39). We project this expression onto the initial frame (T, ∂_i) and rearrange terms to obtain the initial value of $T\mathfrak{h}_{TT}$ and $T\mathfrak{h}_{iT}$:

$$T\mathfrak{h}_{TT} = 2\mathfrak{h}_{\mu T} T T^\mu + 2g_{T\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho + 2T^\sigma \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right), \quad (5.41)$$

$$T\mathfrak{h}_{iT} = \mathfrak{h}_{i\nu} T T^\nu + \frac{1}{2} T^\mu T^\nu \partial_i \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} + g_{i\rho} \tilde{\Upsilon}^\rho + \bar{g}^{k\ell} \left(\partial_k \mathfrak{h}_{i\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \mathfrak{h}_{k\ell} \right). \quad (5.42)$$

Using the decomposition of T given by Lemma 5.2 we can deduce from (5.41) and (5.42) the initial value of $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$ (see how we obtain (5.39) from (5.38)).

5.6.3 Initial estimates and properties

In the following corollary, we summarize the formal properties satisfied by the initial data defined in the previous sections and give the estimates they satisfy.

Corollary 5.1. *The initial data for the background and reduced systems defined in Sections 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 are such that*

(i) the first and second fundamental forms of the hypersurface Σ_0 are given by (\bar{g}, K) from Theorem 2.1 and therefore solve the constraint equations,

(ii) the tensors $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ are initially supported in $\{|x| \leq R\}$ and there exists a constant $C_{\text{in}} > 0$ such that

$$\|F^{(1)}\|_{H^N(\Sigma_0)} + \|F^{(2,1)}\|_{H^{N-1}(\Sigma_0)} + \|F^{(2,2)}\|_{H^{N-1}(\Sigma_0)} \leq C_{\text{in}}\varepsilon, \quad (5.43)$$

$$\max_{k \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\partial \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}(\Sigma_0)} \leq C_{\text{in}}\varepsilon, \quad (5.44)$$

(iii) the following initial polarization conditions hold

$$\text{Pol}(F^{(1)}) = 0, \quad (5.45)$$

$$V_{\mathcal{T}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0, \quad (5.46)$$

$$\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) = 0, \quad (5.47)$$

(iv) the initial backreaction condition hold

$$\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) = -4F_0^2, \quad (5.48)$$

where \mathcal{E} is defined in (3.16),

(v) the initial generalised wave gauge condition hold

$$\Upsilon^\rho = 0. \quad (5.49)$$

Proof. The first item of the corollary holds thanks to (5.21), (5.29), (5.30) and (5.38). We now turn to the second item the corollary. The support property of $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ follows directly from the support property of $\bar{F}^{(1)}$, $\bar{F}^{(2,1)}$ and $\bar{F}^{(2,2)}$ stated in Theorem 2.1 and (5.21), (5.22), (5.25), (5.26) and (5.27). Similarly, we deduce (5.43) from (2.19).

Proving (5.44) amounts to bound the Sobolev norm of the initial data for \mathfrak{h} and $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}$. First, from (5.30) and (5.31) we can schematically write $\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \lambda g^{(3)}$. On Σ_0 , $g^{(3)}$ is compactly supported and depends only on background quantities (see the discussion following (5.29)). The estimate

$$\|\nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}(\Sigma_0)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r} \quad (5.50)$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ thus follows from (2.21). We now look at $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{ij}$, defined in (5.39). Since $Z = O(\lambda^2)$ and only contains background quantities (see (5.35) and (5.34)) the worse terms in (5.39) are the last two (the first two can be estimated with (5.50)). For them we use (2.22) and (5.37) (note that $\tilde{K}_{\text{evol}}^{(\geq 2)}$ involves the non-background quantities $\partial_t \mathfrak{F}$ for which we need to use (5.32)). This proves that

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}_{ij}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}(\Sigma_0)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}$$

for $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. We proceed similarly for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\alpha}$ using (5.41) and (5.42). This concludes the proof of (5.44).

The initial polarization conditions (5.46) and (5.47) are consequences of (5.25)-(5.26)-(5.27) and (5.29), while (5.45) holds since $F^{(1)}$ is initially $P_{0,u}$ -tangent and g_0 -traceless (see the corresponding properties of $\bar{F}^{(1)}$ in Theorem 2.1). Samewise, (5.48) follows from (2.20). The initial generalised wave gauge condition (5.49) follows from (5.41) and (5.42). \square

6 Solving the background system

In this section we solve the background system (5.4)-(5.6) defined in Section 5.2. The following theorem summarizes the estimates and the support properties of the background perturbations.

Theorem 6.1. *Given initial data as in Corollary 5.1 and if ε is small enough, there exists a unique solution*

$$(F^{(1)}, F^{(2,1)}, F^{(2,2)}) \tag{6.1}$$

of the background system (5.4)-(5.6) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover:

(i) the tensors in (6.1) are supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}}R\}$,

(ii) there exists $C_1 = C_1(C_0) > 0$ such that the following estimates hold

$$\|F^{(1)}\|_{H^N} + \|F^{(2,1)}\|_{H^{N-2}} + \|F^{(2,2)}\|_{H^{N-2}} \leq C_1\varepsilon,$$

(iii) $F^{(1)}$ satisfies (2.25) and (2.26).

The proof of this theorem is the content of Sections 6.2 and 6.3.

6.1 Background transport estimates

We want the background perturbations to solve the equations (5.4)-(5.5)-(5.6). The equations solved by their coefficients in any basis are thus of the form

$$(L_0 + \eta)h = f \tag{6.2}$$

for f and η given scalar functions on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ (recall (5.1)). Moreover, thanks to Corollary 5.1 the initial data on Σ_0 for the background perturbations are compactly supported. For the model equation (6.2) this allows us to consider f supported in $[0, 1] \times K$ for K a compact of \mathbb{R}^3 and $h \upharpoonright \Sigma_0$ compactly supported. Since L_0 is the spacetime gradient of the background phase u_0 and thanks to (2.2) and (2.8) we can define characteristics for the equation (6.2) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The existence of a solution h to this equation can thus be proved using the method of characteristics, we don't give the details.

A solution h of (6.2) can be proved to be as regular as f using its expression given by the characteristics method. However, since our proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on Sobolev spaces, we now derive energy estimates for the transport operator L_0 . As a byproduct, this standard estimate proves the unicity of a solution to (6.2) and therefore to the solutions of (5.4)-(5.5)-(5.6).

Lemma 6.1. *Let h and f two scalar functions on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for some $T \leq 1$, compactly supported and such that*

$$L_0 h = f. \quad (6.3)$$

Then the following holds for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|h\|_{L^2}^2(t) \leq C(C_0) \left(\|h\|_{L^2}^2(0) + \int_0^t (\|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) + \|f\|_{L^2}^2(s)) \, ds \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. We start by decomposing L_0 in the (∂_t, ∂_i) basis:

$$L_0 = L_0^t \partial_t + L_0^i \partial_i.$$

Recalling (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^t &= -g_0^{tt} \partial_t u_0 - g_0^{it} \partial_i u_0, \\ L_0^i &= -g_0^{ti} \partial_t u_0 - g_0^{ji} \partial_j u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_0 satisfies the eikonal equation (2.2) we have

$$g_0^{tt} (\partial_t u_0)^2 + 2g_0^{ti} \partial_t u_0 \partial_i u_0 + |\nabla u_0|_{g_0}^2 = 0$$

Taking ε small enough in (2.7) and (2.8) then ensures that

$$\left| \frac{1}{L_0^t} \right| + |L_0^i| + |\partial L_0^i| \leq C(C_0) \quad (6.5)$$

on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We now prove the energy estimate. After multiplication by h , the equation (6.3) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t h^2 = \frac{f h}{L_0^t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \partial_i h^2. \quad (6.6)$$

For $s \in [0, T]$ we integrate (6.6) on Σ_s with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure and integrate by part in the last integral to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_t h^2 \, dx = \int_{\Sigma_s} \frac{f h}{L_0^t} \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_i \left(\frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \right) h^2 \, dx. \quad (6.7)$$

In the integral in the LHS, we recognize the time derivative of an energy for h . Therefore (6.7) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) = 2 \int_{\Sigma_s} \frac{f h}{L_0^t} \, dx + \int_{\Sigma_s} \partial_i \left(\frac{L_0^i}{L_0^t} \right) h^2 \, dx.$$

Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the first integral in the RHS and (6.5) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) \leq C(C_0) (\|h\|_{L^2}^2(s) + \|f\|_{L^2}^2(s))$$

Integrating this inequality on $[0, t]$ for $t \leq T$ concludes the proof. \square

6.2 Solving for $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$

Equations (5.4)-(5.5)-(5.6) have a triangular structure:

- (5.4) only depends on the background quantities through the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{L}_0 ,
- the RHS of (5.5) depends in addition on $F^{(1)}$,
- the RHS of (5.6) depends on the background quantities, $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2,1)}$.

Moreover, thanks to the support properties stated in Corollary 5.1, we obtain that the background perturbations are supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}}R\}$.

The conclusion of this discussion is that the estimates stated in Theorem 6.1 follow directly from Lemma 6.1, the estimates of the RHS of (5.5)-(5.6) we prove in the following lemma and the initial regularity stated in Corollary 5.1, see (5.43).

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

$$\|RHS \text{ of (5.5)}\|_{H^{N-2}} \lesssim C(C_0) \|F^{(1)}\|_{H^N}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$\|RHS \text{ of (5.6)}\|_{H^{N-2}} \lesssim C(C_0) (\|F^{(1)}\|_{H^N} + \|F^{(2,1)}\|_{H^{N-2}}). \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. The estimates (6.8) and (6.9) follow from (4.13), (4.37), (4.31) and (4.32) and the background regularity stated in Section 2.2. \square

6.3 Algebraic properties of $F^{(1)}$

In this section we prove that $F^{(1)}$ satisfies (2.25) and (2.26). To this purpose, we define

$$\underline{\zeta}_A = \frac{1}{2}g(\mathbf{D}_{L_0}\underline{L}_0, e_A).$$

Thanks to the properties of the background null frame, we obtain the following decomposition of $\mathbf{D}_{L_0}X$ for X a vector field of the null frame:

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}L_0 = 0, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}\underline{L}_0 = 2\underline{\zeta}_A e_A, \quad (6.11)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0}e_A = \underline{\zeta}_A L_0 + \nabla_{L_0}e_A, \quad (6.12)$$

where $\nabla_{L_0}X$ is the projection of $\mathbf{D}_{L_0}X$ onto $TP_{t,u}$. Note that the regularity of the background stated in Section 2.2 is enough to ensure that $\underline{\zeta}_A$ as well as $g_0(\nabla_{L_0}e_A, e_B)$ are bounded on \mathcal{M} .

6.3.1 Propagation of the first polarization

We state a general commutation result.

Lemma 6.3. *Let T be a symmetric 2-tensor. If $\text{Pol}(T) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ and $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 T) = 0$ on \mathcal{M} , then*

$$\text{Pol}(T) = 0$$

on \mathcal{M} .

Proof. We start by using the structure equations of the background null frame to compute a transport equation for $\text{Pol}(T)$. Using (6.10) we have

$$L_0 T_{L_0 L_0} = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{L_0 L_0} + 2T_{L_0 \alpha} \mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0^\alpha = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{L_0 L_0}.$$

Using in addition (6.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 T_{L_0 A} &= (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{L_0 A} + T_{L_0 \alpha} \mathbf{D}_{L_0} e_A^\alpha + T_{A\alpha} \mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0^\alpha \\ &= (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{L_0 A} + \underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0 L_0} + \delta^{BC} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_A, e_B) T_{L_0 C}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 (\delta^{AB} T_{AB}) &= \delta^{AB} (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{AB} + \delta^{AB} T_{A\alpha} \mathbf{D}_{L_0} e_B^\alpha \\ &= \delta^{AB} (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T)_{AB} + 2\delta^{AB} \underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0 B} \end{aligned}$$

where we also use

$$\delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} T_{AC} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_B, e_D) = 0$$

which holds because T is symmetric and $g_0(\nabla_{L_0} \cdot, \cdot)$ is antisymmetric. Recalling (5.1), this gives the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 T_{L_0 L_0} &= (\mathcal{L}_0 T)_{L_0 L_0}, \\ \mathcal{L}_0 T_{L_0 A} &= (\mathcal{L}_0 T)_{L_0 A} - 2\underline{\zeta}_A T_{L_0 L_0} - 2\delta^{BC} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_A, e_B) T_{L_0 C}, \\ \mathcal{L}_0 (\delta^{AB} T_{AB}) &= \delta^{AB} (\mathcal{L}_0 T)_{AB} - 4\delta^{AB} \underline{\zeta}_A T_{B L_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, thanks to (3.9)-(3.10)-(3.11), the assumption $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 T) = 0$ can be used to rewrite the previous system:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 \text{Pol}_{L_0}(T) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_0 \text{Pol}_A(T) &= -2\underline{\zeta}_A \text{Pol}_{L_0}(T) - 2\delta^{BC} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_A, e_B) \text{Pol}_C(T), \\ \mathcal{L}_0 \text{Pol}_{\underline{L}_0}(T) &= -4\delta^{AB} \underline{\zeta}_A \text{Pol}_B(T). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

This system is a homogeneous and linear transport system for the null components of $\text{Pol}(T)$. If we define the energy

$$E = \sum_{X \in \{L_0, \underline{L}_0, e_1, e_2\}} \|\text{Pol}_X(T)\|_{L^2}^2$$

then Lemma 6.1 applied to the system (6.13) and $\text{Pol}(T) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ implies that

$$E(t) \lesssim \int_0^t E(s) ds$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and with a constant depending only on the background null frame. Gronwall's inequality then implies that $E(t) = 0$ on the whole spacetime, which concludes the proof. \square

When applied to $F^{(1)}$ this lemma gives the following.

Corollary 6.1. *We have*

$$\text{Pol} (F^{(1)}) = 0 \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = 0. \tag{6.15}$$

Proof. The equation (5.4) directly implies that $\text{Pol} (\mathcal{L}_0 F^{(1)}) = 0$ and $\text{Pol} (F^{(1)}) \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ holds (see (5.45)). We can thus apply Lemma 6.3 to obtain (6.14). The proof of (6.15) is similar: using the null structure equations (6.10) and (6.11) we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0} F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} F^{(1)})_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} + 2\delta^{AB} \zeta_{\underline{L}_A} F_{L_0 B}^{(1)} = (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} F^{(1)})_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}$$

where we used $F_{L_0 A}^{(1)} = 0$ (which follows from (6.14)). Together with (5.4) this last computation implies that $F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_0 F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} = 0.$$

Since $F_{L_0 \underline{L}_0}^{(1)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ (see (5.22) and (5.21)), this implies (6.15) if one uses the energy estimate of Lemma 6.1 and Gronwall's inequality (see the end of the proof of the previous lemma for the details). \square

Remark 6.1. *This lemma shows the consistency of the computations of Section 4.2, which were made under the assumptions that (6.14) and (6.15) hold. Note that (6.14) is actually the same as (2.25) and that (6.14) and (6.15) are equivalent to $F_{L_0 \alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0$.*

The proof of Lemma 6.3 shows that we can obtain a transport equation on the polarization tensors $\text{Pol}(T)$ of a tensor if we know $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 T)$. In the case of $F^{(1)}$ it is obviously the case thanks to (5.4), and we easily obtained the first part of Corollary 6.1. However, the transport equations for $F^{(2,i)}$, i.e (5.5) and (5.6), are not as simple as (5.4) and we can't hope to compute $\text{Pol}(\mathcal{L}_0 F^{(2,i)})$ easily. A second difficulty comes from the fact that the polarization conditions for $F^{(2,i)}$ are not simply $\text{Pol}(F^{(2,i)}) = 0$ but rather involve non-linear expressions depending on $F^{(1)}$ (see (5.12) and (5.13)). For these two reasons, the propagation of the second polarization, i.e the propagation of the polarization conditions satisfied by $F^{(2,i)}$, can't be proved with Lemma 6.3 and is postponed to Section 8.3.

6.3.2 Propagation of the energy

We now prove that the energy of the gravitational wave described by $g^{(1)}$ is propagated by the evolution.

Lemma 6.4. *We have*

$$\mathcal{E} (F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) = -4F_0^2 \tag{6.16}$$

on \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Thanks to (3.16) and Corollary 6.1, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) = -\frac{1}{2} |F^{(1)}|_{g_0}^2 = - \left[(F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)})^2 + (F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)})^2 \right].$$

We use (5.4), $F_{L_0 A}^{(1)} = 0$ (consequence of (6.14)), the null structure equation (6.12) and the fact that $g_0(\nabla_{L_0} \cdot, \cdot)$ is antisymmetric to derive a transport equation for the quantities involved:

$$\begin{aligned} -L_0 (F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)})^2 + (\square_{g_0} u_0) (F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)})^2 &= -4F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)} F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2), \\ -L_0 (F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)})^2 + (\square_{g_0} u_0) (F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)})^2 &= 2F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)} F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2) - 2F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)} F_{e_2 e_2}^{(1)} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $F_{e_2 e_2}^{(1)} = -F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)}$ (another consequence of (6.14)) the RHS of the second equation becomes $4F_{e_1 e_2}^{(1)} F_{e_1 e_1}^{(1)} g_0(\nabla_{L_0} e_1, e_2)$. Therefore, we obtain the following transport equation for the energy:

$$-L_0 \mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) + (\square_{g_0} u_0) \mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) = 0.$$

Together with (2.3) this last equation implies

$$(-L_0 + \square_{g_0} u_0) (\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) + 4F_0^2) = 0. \quad (6.17)$$

Moreover, (5.48) implies that $\mathcal{E}(F^{(1)}, F^{(1)}) + 4F_0^2$ vanishes on Σ_0 , equation (6.17) then implies (6.16). \square

7 Solving the reduced system

In this section we solve the reduced system (5.7)-(5.8). This is done by a bootstrap argument, i.e by showing that we can improve *a priori* estimates using the equations.

7.1 Bootstrap assumptions

As explained in Section 3.2.2, the reduced system presents a loss of derivatives. The addition of the Fourier cut-off Π_{\leq} in the equation for \mathfrak{F} implies that two derivatives of \mathfrak{F} are actually at the level of one derivative of \mathfrak{h} , which is consistent with what we would obtain from an energy estimates on the equation for \mathfrak{h} . Indeed, by commuting (5.7) with ∇^2 (the case of time derivatives is similar) we obtain broadly from (B.12)

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}.$$

Putting this in the energy estimate for \mathfrak{h} would give $\|\nabla \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} T$ with T the time of existence. By compensating the largeness of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ by the smallness of T would prove well-posedness for the system (5.7)-(5.8) on $[0, T_\lambda] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for $T_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ when λ tends to 0. This is far from we want since it doesn't allow us to consider the high-frequency limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of g_λ . For this we need a time of existence independent of λ . In other words, the addition of Π_{\leq} remove the loss of derivatives but does not remove the "loss of λ ". This discussion explains our choice of bootstrap assumptions on \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{h} :

- \mathfrak{F} is supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and satisfies

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 1, 6 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 5 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq A_1 \varepsilon, \quad (\mathbf{BA1})$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq A_2 \varepsilon, \quad (\mathbf{BA2})$$

- \mathfrak{h} satisfies

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left(\|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} + \|\nabla \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1+r}^2} \right) + \max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+2+r}^2} \leq A_3 \varepsilon. \quad (\mathbf{BA3})$$

These bootstrap assumptions solve the "loss of λ " since **(BA2)** implies that $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$ is bounded by λ^0 in L^2 while **(BA1)** implies boundedness by $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. Recovering **(BA2)** is the main challenge we face. Note that the support property of \mathfrak{F} just follows from the transport equation it satisfies (5.7), the fact that its RHS is supported in $J_0^+(\{|x| \leq R\})$ and that $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$.

As explained above, a local in time solution to (5.7)-(5.8) exists *a priori* and by taking the constants A_i large enough (compared to C_{in} of Corollary 5.1) we can assume that the set of times t such that **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** are satisfied on $[0, t]$ is non-empty. We define T to be the supremum of these times. We have $0 < T \leq 1$ but *a priori* T depends on λ . In the next sections, we prove that actually **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** holds with better constant on $[0, T]$. This will contradict the definition of T and prove that a solution to (5.7)-(5.8) exists and satisfy **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** on $[0, 1]$, that is the interval of existence of g_0 , $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$.

In the sequel, we will always precise the dependence on λ of our estimates. For X a numerical quantity, the notation $C(X)$ will denote any function of X . The symbol \lesssim will denote $\leq C$ for C depending only on δ , R and other irrelevant numerical constants. We already assume that $A_1 \ll A_2 \ll A_3$ and that $A_i \gg C(C_0)$ for all the $C(C_0)$ that will appear in the proofs.

Remark 7.1. *Since λ can be chosen as small as we want, the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** imply that $\|X\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla^s X\|_{L^2}$ for $X \in \{\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h}\}$ (for \mathfrak{h} this holds with the appropriate weights at spacelike infinity), i.e. that the main term in each H^s norm is the norm of the top derivative. Therefore in the sequel, when using (weighted) Sobolev embedding we will only write down the norm of the top derivative.*

7.2 First consequences

We give here the first consequences of the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)**. Note first that the inequality

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2}(t) \lesssim \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2}(0) + \int_0^t \|\partial_s \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+1}^2} ds$$

together with **(BA3)** implies $\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1}} \lesssim C_{\text{in}}\varepsilon + TA_3\varepsilon$. Since $T \leq 1$ and A_3 is assumed to be larger than C_{in} we obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1}} \lesssim A_3\varepsilon. \quad (7.1)$$

We now deduce from the bootstrap assumptions some estimates for the metric g . We introduce the following split of the metric g :

$$g = g_{\text{BG}} + \tilde{g} \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$g_{\text{BG}} = g_0 + \lambda g^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \left(F^{(2,1)} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + F^{(2,2)} \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \right) + \lambda^3 g^{(3,\text{BG})}, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\tilde{g} = \lambda^2 \left(\mathfrak{F} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) + \mathfrak{h} + \lambda g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \right). \quad (7.4)$$

Remark 7.2. Note that the term $g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F})$ in \tilde{g} will be omitted in the sequel (with the exception of Lemma 7.5) since it is basically a linear term in \mathfrak{F} (see Lemma 5.1) with an extra λ , and thus behaves at least better than $\mathfrak{F} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$.

On the one hand, the metric g_{BG} contains the background metric and all the background perturbations. Thanks to Theorem 6.1 it is very regular but because of $g^{(1)}$ its behaviour with respect to λ is bad. On the other hand, the metric \tilde{g} is less regular than g_{BG} but satisfies better estimates in terms of λ . The following lemma gathers the required properties of g , g_{BG} and \tilde{g} .

Lemma 7.1. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in [0,3]} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r(g - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim A_3\varepsilon, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\|\nabla^4(g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^3}. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. Let $r \leq 3$. We have $g - g_0 = g_{\text{BG}} - g_0 + \tilde{g}$. For $g_{\text{BG}} - g_0$ we simply use (7.3), the estimates of Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\|\nabla^r(g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}}.$$

The proof of (7.6) is similar. For \tilde{g} we use the weighted Sobolev embedding $H^2_{\delta+r} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ of Proposition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^r \tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^{r+2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+2}} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1+2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \frac{A_3\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r+1}} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_2-2}} \times \frac{A_1\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1+1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA3)**, **(BA1)** and $r \leq 3$. □

Also note that the estimates in Lemma 7.1 also holds for the inverse of g , which we can also decompose as in (7.2). In what follows we won't make any difference between a coefficient of g or a coefficient of its inverse.

We also deduce from the bootstrap assumptions an estimate for $\mathcal{R}(g)$, i.e the term in (5.8) containing only non-problematic terms.

Lemma 7.2. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L^2_{\delta^{2+r}}} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. In terms of expansions in powers of λ , the definition of $\mathcal{R}(g)$ (see (5.9)) ensures the correct behaviour, that is $\mathcal{R}(g) = O(\lambda^0)$ but since it contains oscillating terms one loses a power of λ for each derivatives. This explains why

$$\|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L^2_{\delta^{2+r}}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.8)$$

In terms of its dependency on the metric coefficients, we don't need to be very precise about the exact expression of $\mathcal{R}(g)$, its only important property is that it does not contain second order derivatives of either \mathfrak{F} , $g^{(3)}$ or \mathfrak{h} . Indeed:

- the quadratic non-linearity term $P^{(\geq 2)}$ is of the form $g^{-2} \partial g \partial g$,
- the $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, $\partial^2 g^{(3)}$ and $\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the wave part of the Ricci tensor are already in (5.8) and are thus absent from $\tilde{W}^{(\geq 2)}$,
- the $\partial \mathfrak{F}$, $\partial g^{(3)}$ and $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the H^ρ term in the Ricci tensor are already put into Υ^ρ and therefore absent from \tilde{H}^ρ , which implies that the $\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$, $\partial^2 g^{(3)}$ and $\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}$ coming from the gauge part are absent from $\mathcal{R}(g)$.

Therefore, the terms in $\mathcal{R}(g)$ can be put into two categories:

1. the purely background terms, i.e depends only on g_0 , $F^{(1)}$ or $F^{(2,i)}$ and their first and second derivatives,
2. the non-background terms, depending quadratically on zeroth or first order derivatives of \mathfrak{F} or \mathfrak{h} with background coefficients.

We can bound all of terms from the first category in L^∞ thanks to the background regularity, Theorem 6.1 and the fact that $N \geq 10$ (see Remark 3.1). Since these terms are all background, the constant appearing in the estimate is of the form $C(C_0)$.

The terms in the second category can all be estimated using the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** and by bounding the background coefficients in L^∞ . We only give the details for the worse term in terms of λ behaviour and spatial support, i.e $\lambda^2 g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2$:

$$\|\lambda^2 \nabla^r (g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2)\|_{L^2_{\delta^{2+r+1}}} \lesssim C(C_0) \lambda^2 \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h} \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta^{2+r+1}}}.$$

If $r_1 \leq 2$, we put $\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h}$ in L^∞ , i.e we use the product law of weighted Sobolev spaces in Proposition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h} \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} &\lesssim \|\partial \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{H_{\delta+r_1+1}^2} \|\partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L_{\delta+r_2+1}^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1+2}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $r_1 \geq 3$, then $r_2 \leq 2$ (since $r \leq 4$) and we proceed similarly. Finally we obtain

$$\|\lambda^2 \nabla^r (g_0^{-2} (\partial \mathfrak{h})^2)\|_{L_{\delta+r+1}^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

□

7.3 Estimates for \mathfrak{F}

We start by studying \mathfrak{F} , which solves the transport equation (5.7). For clarity, we simply write \mathfrak{h} instead of $\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}$ appearing in this equation.

Proposition 7.1. *The following estimate holds*

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim A_3 \varepsilon^2, \quad (7.9)$$

$$\max_{r \in [1, 6]} \lambda^{r-1} \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim (\lambda A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2), \quad (7.10)$$

$$\max_{r \in [0, 5]} \lambda^r \|\partial_t \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim (\lambda A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2). \quad (7.11)$$

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 6.1, so we need to estimate the L^2 norm of the RHS of (5.7), which we rewrite

$$L_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\leq} (\mathfrak{h}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} (\square_{g_0} u_0) \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + L_0^\mu \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu(\alpha} \mathfrak{F}_{\nu\beta)}. \quad (7.12)$$

The RHS of (7.12) is supported in $\{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}} R\}$ thanks to the support property of \mathfrak{F} and $F^{(1)}$. We estimate all the background quantities (see Theorem 6.1) in L^∞ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{RHS of (7.12)}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim C(C_0) (\varepsilon \|\Pi_{\leq} (\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} + \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3 \varepsilon^2 + C(C_0) \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

where we forgot about the projector Π_{\leq} and used (7.1). Therefore, $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ and Lemma 6.1 imply that for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds$$

which gives (7.9) after applying Gronwall's inequality.

We now turn to the proof of (7.10), for which we need to commute (7.12) with spatial derivatives. For $r \in [1, 6]$ we schematically obtain

$$L_0 (\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}) = [L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F} + \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} (\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\leq} (\mathfrak{h}) \nabla^{r_2} F^{(1)} + \nabla^{r_1} (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}). \quad (7.13)$$

From Lemma 6.1, (7.13) and $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ we have for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) \int_0^t \left(\| [L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F} \|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{r' \leq r} \left(\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right) ds \quad (7.14)$$

where we again estimate all the background quantities in L^∞ .

We estimate the commutator $[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}$ using the following formula, true for any linear operators:

$$[A, B^n] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^{n-1-k} [A, B] B^k. \quad (7.15)$$

With the usual Leibniz rule this gives

$$|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}| \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\nabla^{r-1-k} ((\nabla L_0^\alpha) \partial_\alpha \nabla^k \mathfrak{F})| \lesssim C(C_0) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}| \quad (7.16)$$

where we used the background regularity.

We first treat the case $r = 1$. In order to treat the case of a time derivative in (7.16), we rewrite the equation satisfied by \mathfrak{F}

$$L_0^t \partial_t \mathfrak{F} = L_0^i \nabla \mathfrak{F} + \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) F^{(1)} + (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \mathfrak{F} \quad (7.17)$$

which together with (7.1) gives $\|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + C(C_0) A_3 \varepsilon^2$. Therefore, using (7.9) and (7.1) we obtain from (7.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2) ds \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

where we also forgot about Π_{\leq} and used **(BA3)**. Using Gronwall's lemma, this proves (7.10) in the case $r = 1$.

If now $r \geq 2$, we have from **(BA1)**

$$\begin{aligned} \|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim C(C_0) \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-2)}} + C(C_0) \|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term in this last expression we rewrite the equation for ∇^{r-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^t \partial_t \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F} &= [L_0, \nabla^{r-1}] \mathfrak{F} + L_0^i \nabla^r \mathfrak{F} \\ &+ \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} (\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) \nabla^{r_2} F^{(1)} + \nabla^{r_1} (\square_{g_0} u_0 + L_0 \Gamma(g_0)) \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

which thanks to (7.16), **(BA1)**, **(BA3)** and (B.12) gives

$$\|\partial \nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-2)}}. \quad (7.19)$$

Therefore, we have estimated the commutator in (7.14):

$$\|[L_0, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim C(C_0) \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(C_0) \frac{\lambda^2 A_3^2 \varepsilon^4 + \lambda^2 A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}}.$$

For the first sum in the time integral in (7.14), we distinguish between $r' = r$ and $r' \leq r-1$ and use (B.12) and **(BA3)** (and $r-1 \leq 5$):

$$\sum_{r' \leq r} \varepsilon^2 \left\| \nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^2} \|\nabla^{r-1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{r' \leq r-1} \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}}.$$

For the second sum in the time integral in (7.14), we simply use **(BA1)** if $r' \leq r-1$. Putting everything together gives

$$\|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2(t) \lesssim C(C_0) \left(\frac{\lambda^2 A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}} + \frac{A_3^2 \varepsilon^4}{\lambda^{2(r-1)}} \right) + C(C_0) \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}^2 ds$$

which gives (7.10) after applying Gronwall's lemma. Now that (7.10) is proved, we notice that (7.19) implies the first part of (7.11). For the second part, we apply ∂_t to (7.18) and estimate the result with (7.10). \square

7.4 Estimates for $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$

In this section, we derive the estimates for $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$. This is the most crucial part of the proof, where we deal with the loss of derivatives exhibited in Section 3.2.2. As explained in Section 3.2.3, we start by using the high-frequency character of g to decompose $\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ as in

$$\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + (g^{\mu\nu} - g_0^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (7.20)$$

We are going to estimate separately the two terms in (7.20).

7.4.1 Estimates for $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$

In this section, we prove by a finite strong induction argument on the value $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.21)$$

The proof of the induction step or the base case are similar. Therefore, we will prove (7.21) for a fixed value of r and assume that it holds for all value strictly less than r in $\llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. This induction assumption will only be used in the proof of Lemma 7.8.

In order to estimate $\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$, we start by deriving a transport equation from (5.7):

$$L_0(\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) = \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F} + [L_0, \nabla^r] \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \nabla^r \square_{g_0} L_0 \mathfrak{F}. \quad (7.22)$$

We give names to the three terms in (7.22):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_r &:= \nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F}, \\ \mathbf{B}_r &:= [L_0, \nabla^r] \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}, \\ \mathbf{C}_r &:= \nabla^r \square_{g_0} L_0 \mathfrak{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.3. *These notations and the induction argument presented above will allow us to use the triangular structure of the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{C}_0 \\ L_0(\nabla \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{C}_1 \\ &\vdots \\ L_0(\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}) &= \mathbf{A}_r + \mathbf{B}_r + \mathbf{C}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, during the proof of Lemma 7.8, we will estimate \mathbf{B}_r in terms of the \mathbf{A}_k , \mathbf{B}_k and \mathbf{C}_k for $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ (see (7.36)).

We start by estimating \mathbf{A}_r .

Lemma 7.3. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{A}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We start with the case $r \geq 1$. We apply (3.26) to \mathfrak{F} :

$$\|\nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^{r-1}}.$$

Thanks to (BA1) and $r \leq 4$ we have

$$\|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{H^{r-1}} \lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Since the equation (5.7) schematically reads $L_0 \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{h} F^{(1)}$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^r \partial L_0 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^r (\|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|\partial \nabla^k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} \end{aligned}$$

where we used (BA1), (BA3) and $r \leq 4$. This concludes the proof of the lemma in the case $r \geq 1$. The case $r = 0$ is treated similarly with (3.25) instead of (3.26). \square

We now estimate \mathbf{C}_r . Using (5.7) we see that

$$|\mathbf{C}_r| \lesssim |\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) F^{(1)}| + |\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}| + |\mathcal{Q}| \quad (7.23)$$

where \mathcal{Q} contains the terms where at most $r+1$ derivatives (out of the $r+2$ involved in $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0}$) hit either \mathfrak{F} or \mathfrak{h} . In this case, the last derivative must therefore hit some background quantity. This implies that \mathcal{Q} contains only lower order terms and using the background regularity we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{r' \leq r-1} \left(\|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

where the ε in front of $\|\partial^2 \nabla^{r'} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}$ comes from $F^{(1)}$ and where we used (BA1), (BA3) and $r-1 \leq 3$.

We now turn to the estimates of the first term in (7.23), i.e $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$. Forgetting about Π_{\leq} and g_0 , this term is of the form $\square \mathfrak{h}$, for which we would like to use (5.8). In order to do this, we need first to commute $\tilde{\square}_{g_0}$ and Π_{\leq} and second to replace $\tilde{\square}_{g_0}$ by $\tilde{\square}_g$. This give the following decomposition:

$$\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) = \nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h} + \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}(\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}) - \nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}(\tilde{\square}_{g-g_0} \mathfrak{h}). \quad (7.25)$$

The lemmas 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6 below estimate the three different terms in the decomposition (7.25). Since $\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$ is multiplied by $F^{(1)}$ in (7.23), giving an extra ε , we don't need to be very precise in terms of the bootstrap constants A_i . For the same reason, the support property of $F^{(1)}$ implies that we can forget about the weight at spacelike infinity for \mathfrak{h} or g (except in Lemma 7.5 below).

Lemma 7.4. *We have*

$$\|\nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Proof. Thanks to $[\nabla^r, \Pi_{\leq}] = 0$ and to the Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\|\nabla^r [\tilde{\square}_{g_0}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|[\nabla^{r_1} g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}.$$

We first study the case where $r_2 \leq 3$. We use $[\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] = 0$ and (B.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\nabla^{r_1} g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2}, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} &= \|[\nabla^{r_1} g_0, \Pi_{\leq}] \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \lambda \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the background regularity and **(BA3)** (with $r_2 \leq 3$). If now $r_2 = 4$, then $r_1 = 0$ and $r = 4$. Since we can't estimate $\partial^2 \nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}$, we need to gain one spatial derivative, and for this purpose we use (3.30) instead of (B.14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[g_0 \partial^2 \nabla^4, \Pi_{\leq}] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} &= \|[g_0, \Pi_{\leq}] \partial^2 \nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \|\partial^2 \nabla^3 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^4} \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the background regularity and **(BA3)**. \square

In the next lemma, we estimate the term $\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h})$ in (7.25) and therefore we need to estimate the L^2 norm of

$$\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\text{RHS of (5.8)}). \quad (7.26)$$

In the forthcoming Section 7.5 we will improve **(BA3)** with energy estimates for the wave operator. Therefore, we will apply ∇^r to (5.8) and will need an estimate for the *weighted* L^2 norm of (7.26) *without* the projector Π_{\leq} (in addition to a commutator estimate, see Proposition 7.5). This explains why in the next lemma we project ourself and first prove (7.27) and then get (7.28) as a consequence.

Lemma 7.5. *We have*

$$\|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_2 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} \quad (7.27)$$

which in particular implies

$$\|\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq} (\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.28)$$

Proof. We only need to prove (7.27). As explained above, we rely on the equation satisfied by \mathfrak{h} , that is (5.8). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} &\lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} + \lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla^{r_1} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} + \|\nabla^r \mathcal{R}(g)\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} \\ &=: I + II + III + IV \end{aligned} \quad (7.29)$$

where the ε in front of $\Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h})$ comes from $F^{(1)}$. For I we simply use **(BA2)** to get

$$I \lesssim \frac{A_2 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.30)$$

For II , we first note that $g^{(3)}$ is oscillating and therefore one loses potentially two powers of λ in $\tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}$. However this is not the case since if T is a trigonometric function (recall (3.4)) and f a scalar function then we have schematically

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\square}_g \left(\lambda T \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) f \right) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} g^{-1} (du_0, du_0) f + g \partial f + \lambda \tilde{\square}_g f \\ &= g \partial f + \lambda (\tilde{\square}_g f + g f) \end{aligned} \quad (7.31)$$

where we used $g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) = O(\lambda^2)$ (see (4.7)) and did not write the trigonometric functions. Therefore, we use the decomposition of Lemma 5.1 and obtain from (7.31) on the one hand

$$\lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3, \text{BG})}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}$$

and on the other hand

$$\lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$$

where for the sake of clarity we replaced each $g^{(3, \text{T})}(\mathfrak{F})$ by \mathfrak{F} (see (5.20)). We study the sum. If $r_1 \leq 3$, then we use (7.5) and $\|g_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1$ and **(BA1)** to write

$$\|\nabla^{r_1} g \partial \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r-1}}.$$

If $r_1 = 4$, then we use the decomposition (7.2) and write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 g \partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}} \partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g}\|_{L^2} \|\partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^3} + \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4} \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA1)**. Using in addition **(BA2)** we obtain

$$\lambda \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F})\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

We have proved that

$$II \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.32)$$

For *III*, we use (B.13) to obtain

$$III \lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \frac{\|\nabla \nabla^{r_1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}}{\lambda^{r_2}} \lesssim \frac{A_3\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} \quad (7.33)$$

where we used **(BA3)** and $r_1 \leq 4$. For *IV*, we simply use (7.7). Together with (7.30), (7.32) and (7.33) this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 7.6. *We have*

$$\|\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}(\square_{g-g_0} \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

Proof. We first treat the case $r = 0$. We forget about the projector Π_{\leq} and use (7.5) and **(BA3)** to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_{\leq}((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|g - g_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda A_3 \varepsilon \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $r \geq 1$ we use (B.12) to get rid of one derivative and the Leibniz rule to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^r \Pi_{\leq}((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\nabla^{r-1}((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{h})\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} \|\nabla^{r_1}(g - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1-1}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\
&\lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}
\end{aligned}$$

where we again use (7.5) in addition to (BA3) and the fact that $r_i \leq 3$. \square

Putting together Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6 and the estimate (7.24) we obtain

Lemma 7.7. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{C}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

We now estimate \mathbf{B}_r .

Lemma 7.8. *We have*

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{B}_0 = 0$ so we can assume $r \geq 1$. We first use (7.16) to obtain

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\partial_t \nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \quad (7.34)$$

where we distinguished between time and spatial derivatives. For the first sum in (7.34), we note that if $k \leq r - 2$ we have

$$\|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{k+1} \|\partial \nabla^\ell \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}$$

where we used (BA1). This gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.35)$$

For the second sum in (7.34) we need to proceed differently since we didn't include time derivative of $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ in the bootstrap assumptions. We use the equation satisfied by $\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ which schematically reads

$$\partial_t \nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} = \nabla^{k+1} \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F} + \mathbf{A}_k + \mathbf{B}_k + \mathbf{C}_k$$

Therefore, if we sum these equalities from 0 to $r - 1$ and use (7.35) again we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{B}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}). \quad (7.36)$$

We can now use Lemmas 7.3 and 7.7:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}) &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{A_1 \varepsilon + A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^k} + \|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^{r-1}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the induction assumption (7.21) for $\|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ with $k \leq r - 1$. Since we can assume $\lambda \leq \varepsilon$ this shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (\|\mathbf{A}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{C}_k\|_{L^2}) \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}.$$

Going back to (7.36) this gives

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \|\mathbf{B}_k\|_{L^2}.$$

Thanks to an iterative argument, this shows that

$$\|\mathbf{B}_r\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{A_1 \varepsilon + C(A_i) \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}$$

where we again used the induction assumption (7.21) for $\|\nabla^k \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ with $k \leq r - 1$ and the fact that $\mathbf{B}_0 = 0$. □

Putting everything together, we finally obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 7.2. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon. \quad (7.37)$$

Proof. We want to apply Lemma 6.1 to the equation (7.22). For this we estimate its RHS, by putting together Lemmas 7.3, 7.8 and 7.7:

$$\|\text{RHS of (7.22)}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Lemma 6.1 then implies

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(t) \lesssim \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) + \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} ds. \quad (7.38)$$

Let us now estimate the initial data term in (7.38). Since $\mathfrak{F} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$, the only cases we need to consider are $\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}$ and $\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}$. The initial data for such terms are obtained by differentiating (5.32). Using the background regularity this gives

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) \lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{r' \leq r+1} \left\| \nabla^{r'} \Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h}) \right\|_{L^2}(0)$$

where the ε comes from $F^{(1)}$ in front of $\Pi_{\leq}(\mathfrak{h})$ in (5.32). Using $r \leq 4$ and **(BA3)** we obtain

$$\|\partial_t \nabla^{r+1} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}(0) \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}$$

The proof is similar for $\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F}$ and we omit the details.

Going back to (7.38) we have proved that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r} + \int_0^t \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} ds.$$

Gronwall's inequality then implies that

$$\|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

This concludes the induction proving that (7.21) holds for all values of $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$. Since $\square_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tilde{\square}_{g_0} \mathfrak{F}$ only differs by lower order terms, this also concludes the proof of (7.37). \square

7.4.2 Estimates for $(g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}$

We now estimate the second term in (7.20).

Proposition 7.3. *For $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$ we have*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^r \left\| \nabla^r ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2. \quad (7.39)$$

Proof. We start by noticing that **(BA1)** imply

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \left\| \partial^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim A_1 \varepsilon \quad (7.40)$$

which in turn implies

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 2 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+3} \left\| \partial^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim A_1 \varepsilon \quad (7.41)$$

with the usual $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ embedding. To prove the proposition, we start with the Leibniz rule:

$$\left\| \nabla^r ((g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{r_1 + r_2 = r} \left\| \nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0) \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2}.$$

If $r_1 \leq 3$, then we use (7.5) and (7.40) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0) \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^{r_1} (g - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1-1}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the fact that $r_2 \leq 4$.

If now $r_1 = 4$, we have $r = 4$ and $r_2 = 0$. In this case we use the decomposition (7.2) to write

$$\|\nabla^4 (g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}. \quad (7.42)$$

For the first term in (7.42) we put the background quantities in L^∞ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla^4 (g_{\text{BG}} - g_0)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \\ &\lesssim C(C_0) \frac{A_1 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4} \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

where we used (7.6) and (7.40). For the second term in (7.42) we use (7.4) to decompose it further:

$$\|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \leq \lambda^2 \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} + \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \lambda^3 \|\nabla^4 g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \quad (7.44)$$

Using **(BA3)** and (7.41) we first obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda^2 \|\nabla^4 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^2 \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1 A_3 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.45)$$

Now, by using the Leibniz rule on the product $\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F}$ and putting aside the case where 4 derivatives hit $\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \mathfrak{F} \right) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\mathfrak{F} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \|\nabla^b \mathfrak{F} \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|\mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \|\nabla^b \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda} + \sum_{\substack{a+b=4 \\ a \leq 3}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{a-2}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{b-1}} \times \frac{A_1 \varepsilon}{\lambda^3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_1^2 \varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4} \end{aligned} \quad (7.46)$$

where we used (7.40) and (7.41). We treat the better term $\lambda^3 \|\nabla^4 g^{(3)}(\mathfrak{F}) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$ in (7.44) similarly. Putting (7.42), (7.43), (7.45) and (7.46) together gives

$$\|\nabla^4 (g - g_0) \partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^4}.$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

Together with the decomposition (7.20), the results of Propositions 7.2 and 7.3 finally imply

Proposition 7.4. *The following estimate holds*

$$\max_{r \in [0, 4]} \lambda^r \|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim C(A_i) \varepsilon^2 + A_1 \varepsilon.$$

7.5 Estimates for \mathfrak{h}

We start by deriving a weighted energy estimate for the principal part of the wave operator associated to g , i.e. $\tilde{\square}_g$. For clarity we define

$$E_\sigma(h) = \|\partial_t h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2$$

for h a scalar function and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 7.9. *Let h be a scalar function on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$E_\sigma(h)(t) \lesssim E_\sigma(h)(0) + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t E_\sigma(h)(s) ds + \int_0^t \|\tilde{\square}_g h\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2(s) ds.$$

Proof. Let $w(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^\sigma$. We set $f = \tilde{\square}_g h$ and multiply this identity by $w \partial_t h$ and integrate over Σ_t for $t \in [0, T]$ with the usual Lebesgue measure:

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{tt} \partial_t h \partial_t^2 h dx + 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{ti} \partial_t h \partial_i \partial_t h dx + \int_{\Sigma_t} w g^{ij} \partial_t h \partial_i \partial_j h dx = \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial_t h f dx.$$

We rewrite the first integral and integrate by parts in the second and third integrals to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dE}{dt} - \int_{\Sigma_t} w (\partial_t g^{tt}) (\partial_t h)^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma_t} \partial_i (w g^{0i}) (\partial_t h)^2 dx \\ - \int_{\Sigma_t} \partial_i (w g^{ij}) \partial_t h \partial_j h dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} w (\partial_t g^{ij}) \partial_i h \partial_j h dx = \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial_t h f dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7.47)$$

where

$$E(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} w (-g^{tt} (\partial_t h)^2 + g^{ij} \partial_i h \partial_j h).$$

Taking ε small enough in (2.7) we obtain the existence of a universal constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C'} E_\sigma(h) \leq E \leq C' E_\sigma(h). \quad (7.48)$$

Using the background regularity and the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** as in the proof of Lemma 7.1 we obtain $\|\partial g\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim A_3 \varepsilon$. Using in addition $|\nabla w| \lesssim w$ we obtain $|\partial(wg)| \lesssim (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon)w$. Going back to (7.47), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt}(t) &\lesssim C(A_i)\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial h \partial h dx + \int_{\Sigma_t} w \partial_t h f dx \\ &\lesssim (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) E_\sigma(h)(t) + \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Integrating this inequality from 0 to $t \in [0, T]$ we obtain

$$E(t) \leq E(0) + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t E_\sigma(h)(s) ds + \int_0^t \|f\|_{L^2_\sigma}(s) ds$$

Using (7.48) concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 7.5. *We have*

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket} \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+1+r}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}) \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + (C_{\text{in}}^2 + A_2^2)\varepsilon^2), \quad (7.49)$$

$$\max_{r \in \llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket} \lambda^{r+1} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+2}} \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + (C_{\text{in}} + A_2)\varepsilon). \quad (7.50)$$

Proof. We start with the proof of (7.49). We commute ∇^r and $\tilde{\square}_g$ (with $r \in \llbracket 0, 4 \rrbracket$) to obtain the equation satisfied by $\nabla^r \mathfrak{h}$ from (5.8):

$$\tilde{\square}_g \nabla^r \mathfrak{h} = [\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h} + \nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}. \quad (7.51)$$

From the energy estimate in Lemma 7.9 we need to estimate the $L^2_{\delta+1+r}$ norm of the RHS of (7.51). We estimate the commutator first. We have

$$\|[\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r-1} \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}}$$

We have $r_1 \leq 3$. If $r_1 \leq 2$, then mimicking the proof of Lemma 7.1 gives $\|\nabla^{r_1+1} g\|_{L^\infty_{r_1}} \lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1}}$ which in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g \partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} &\lesssim \|\nabla^{r_1+1} g\|_{L^\infty_{r_1}} \|\partial^2 \nabla^{r_2} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+2+r_2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_1}} \times \frac{A_3 \varepsilon}{\lambda^{r_2+1}} \\ &\lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r} \end{aligned}$$

where we used **(BA3)**. If $r_1 = 3$, then $r = 4$ and $r_2 = 0$ we use the decomposition (7.2):

$$\|\nabla^4 g \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+5}} \lesssim \|\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}} \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+5}} + \|\nabla^4 \tilde{g} \partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+5}}.$$

For the first term, we put $\nabla^4 g_{\text{BG}}$ in L^∞_3 as in the proof of Lemma 7.1 and use **(BA3)**. For the second term, we do as in the proof of Proposition 7.3, see (7.45) and (7.46). This proves that the commutator in (7.51) satisfies

$$\|[\tilde{\square}_g, \nabla^r] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+1+r}} \lesssim C(A_i) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.52)$$

We now estimate the second term in (7.51). This has already been done in Lemma 7.5, we recall (7.27):

$$\|\nabla^r \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

Together with (7.52) this implies that

$$\|\tilde{\square}_g \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}} \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}. \quad (7.53)$$

We now apply Lemma 7.9 to (7.51) with $\sigma = \delta + r + 1$, this gives for $t \in [0, T]$ (after multiplication by λ^{2r})

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(t) \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) \\ & \quad + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(s) ds + \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} \|\tilde{\square}_g \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+1}}^2(s) ds \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) + C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + A_2^2\varepsilon^2 \\ & \quad + (1 + C(A_i)\varepsilon) \int_0^t \lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

We apply Gronwall's lemma to obtain

$$\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(t) \lesssim e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) + C(A_i)\varepsilon^4 + A_2^2\varepsilon^2).$$

In order to estimate $E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0)$, we use (5.44):

$$\lambda^{2r} E_{\delta+r+1}(\nabla^r \mathfrak{h})(0) \leq C_{\text{in}}^2 \varepsilon^2.$$

This concludes the proof of (7.49).

We now turn to the proof of (7.50). For this we write the operator $\tilde{\square}_g$ in the following schematic way:

$$\tilde{\square}_g = g^{tt} \partial_t^2 + g \partial \nabla.$$

Thanks to the background regularity we can divide by g^{tt} and therefore obtain for $r \leq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla^r \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+2}} & \lesssim \sum_{r_1+r_2=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g \nabla^{r_2} \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+2}} + \sum_{r_1+r_2+r_3=r} \|\nabla^{r_1} g \nabla^{r_2} g \partial \nabla^{r_3+1} \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2_{\delta+r+2}} \\ & =: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

For I , we use (7.5), the background regularity and (7.27):

$$I \lesssim \frac{C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + A_2\varepsilon}{\lambda^r}.$$

For II we proceed similarly but use (7.49) instead:

$$II \lesssim \frac{e^{1+C(A_i)\varepsilon} (C(A_i)\varepsilon^2 + (C_{\text{in}} + A_2)\varepsilon)}{\lambda^{r+1}}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

7.6 Conclusion of the bootstrap

Looking at Propositions 7.1-7.4-7.5, we see that a choice of constants A_i such that $A_1 \ll A_2 \ll A_3$ together with ε and λ small enough compared to 1 (but with ε still independent from λ) allows us to improve the bootstrap assumptions **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)**, as long as their time of existence of $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ is less than 1. This shows that the time of existence of the solution $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ is actually equal to 1. We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Given initial data as in Corollary 5.1 and if ε and λ are small enough (but with ε still independent from λ), there exists a solution $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ to the reduced system (5.7)-(5.8) on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover there exists a numerical constant $C > 0$ such that **(BA1)**-**(BA2)**-**(BA3)** hold with the A_i replaced by C .*

The estimates that $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{h})$ satisfied, along with the ones satisfied by $F^{(1)}$, $F^{(2,1)}$ and $F^{(2,2)}$ (see Theorem 6.1) prove the main estimate (2.24) in Theorem 2.2 if one define

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_\lambda = \mathfrak{h} + \lambda g^{(3)}.$$

It only remains to prove that g given by (4.1) solves the Einstein vacuum equations.

8 Solving the Einstein vacuum equations

By proving Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, we construct a metric g given by (4.1) solution to both the background and the reduced system on the manifold $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. In this section, we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.2 by proving that g is actually a solution to the Einstein vacuum equations, i.e that $R_{\mu\nu}(g) = 0$ on the same manifold. We will show in Section 8.1 that $R_{\mu\nu}(g)$ contains only polarization condition tensors (see Section 5.4.2) or the generalised wave gauge term Υ^ρ . The fact that these terms vanish will be proved in Sections 8.3 and 8.4 using the contracted Bianchi identities, i.e the fact that the Einstein tensor of g is divergence free.

8.1 The reduced Ricci tensor

In this section we compute the Ricci tensor of the solution g to the background and reduced systems. We show that it contains only $V^{(2,i)}$ or Υ terms, which explains afterwards the exact expression of the equations (5.4)-(5.8). This is based on the high-frequency expansion of the Ricci tensor performed in Section 4.2, whose notations we follow. The results of this section imply that the Ricci tensor of g formally admits the following expansion

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \lambda R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 R_{\mu\nu}^{(\geq 2)}. \quad (8.1)$$

Before we start computing the terms in (8.1), let us obtain the polarization of \mathfrak{F} . Thanks to the RHS of (5.7) having a polarization tensor equal to 0 and thanks to (5.29) and (5.47), it is easy to mimic the proof of Lemma 6.1 and to obtain the following:

Lemma 8.1. *We have $\text{Pol}(\mathfrak{F}) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{L_0 \underline{L}_0} = 0$ on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.*

Recalling (4.10) and (4.17), this lemma implies in particular that the expression of $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ and H^ρ simplify and become

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} + \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}, \\ H^\rho &= \lambda(H^{(1)})^\rho + \lambda^2((H^{(2)})^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho) + \lambda^3(H^{(\geq 3)})^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

8.1.1 The zeroth order

We start by computing the zeroth order of $R_{\mu\nu}(g)$, denoted $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ and which satisfies

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}. \quad (8.2)$$

Lemma 8.2. *Given $F^{(1)}$ solution of (5.4) we have*

$$R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)}.$$

Proof. We use (4.6), (4.19) and (4.28) to rewrite (8.2). We note that the Christoffel symbols in $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}[g^{(1)}]$ combined with the transport operator in $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ actually give the operator \mathcal{L}_0 since in coordinates we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{L_0} T_{\alpha\beta} = L_0 T_{\alpha\beta} - L_0^\rho \Gamma(g_0)_{(\alpha\rho}^\nu T_{\beta)\nu}$$

for any symmetric 2-tensor T . Moreover we use (6.16). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} &= -\tilde{\square}_{g_0}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + P_{\alpha\beta}(g_0)(\partial g_0, \partial g_0) - 2F_0^2 \partial_\alpha u_0 \partial_\beta u_0 + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(\text{Pol}_{\beta)}(F^{(2,1)}) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} (\tilde{H}^{(1,1)})^\rho + \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)}[g^{(1)}] \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \left(-2\text{Pol}_{\beta)}(F^{(2,2)}) + (g_0)_{\rho\beta)} (\tilde{H}^{(1,2)})^\rho + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,2)}[g^{(1)}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (5.4) and the equation (2.6) satisfied by the background metric g_0 , the first line of this expression vanishes. Moreover, the second and third line can be rewritten with $V^{(2,1)}$ and $V^{(2,2)}$, see (5.12) and (5.13). This concludes the proof. \square

8.1.2 The first order

In the following computations of $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ we will encounter a lot of terms proportional to the polarization terms $V^{(2,j)}$ for which a precise expression is useless. Therefore, we introduce the following practical notation: we denote by $O(V^{(2)})$ any combination of the tensors $V^{(2,j)}$ or its derivatives for $j = 1, 2$. This notation extends to tensors, in the sense that $O^\alpha(V^{(2)})$ will denote any 1-tensor with coefficients of the form $O(V^{(2)})$.

Let us compute $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$. Since $(\partial g)^{(0)} = \partial g_0 - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial u_0 F^{(1)}$ we have

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + (H^{(1)})^\rho \left(\partial_\rho (g_0)_{\alpha\beta} - \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_\rho u_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} (H^{(1)})^\rho \quad (8.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(2)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{\rho(\alpha}^{(1)} \partial_{\beta)} u_0 \partial_\theta (H^{(1)})^\rho + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ &+ 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

where by $\partial_\beta(H^{(1)})^\rho$ we denote the derivative of $(H^{(1)})^\rho$ without differentiating its oscillating parts and where $2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon)$ contains all the contributions of Υ^ρ to the λ^1 level of the Ricci tensor. We don't give the exact form of these contributions yet.

Lemma 8.3. *Given $F^{(2,1)}$, $F^{(2,2)}$, \mathfrak{F} and $g^{(3)}$ solutions to (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.18) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We decompose $W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ using first (4.10) and then (4.11) and (4.12), and we decompose $P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$ using first (4.33) together with (4.34), (4.38) and (4.35). Again we note that the Christoffel symbols in (4.35) with the transport operator in (4.11) and (4.12) form $\mathcal{L}_0 F^{(2,i)}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= -\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,1)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} - P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,1)} \right) \\ &\quad - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathcal{L}_0 \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha\beta} + \mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathcal{L}_0 F_{\alpha\beta}^{(2,2)} + \tilde{W}_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} + \frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1,2)} - \frac{1}{4} F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,1)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1)} [g^{(2)}] + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)} \\ &\quad - \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) F_{L_0 L_0}^{(2,2)} F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

In this expression, the last two lines include forbidden frequencies at the λ level, the first one will be absorbed by the polarization of $g^{(3)}$ and we can rewrite the second one in terms of polarization conditions, thanks to (5.17). Therefore the last line in (8.5) is of the form $O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$.

Let us now look at the terms in (8.3) depending on $H^{(1)}$ or $H^{(2)}$. For $H^{(2)}$, we use (4.22) and for $H^{(1)}$ we rewrite it using $V^{(2,i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see (5.12) and (5.13)):

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{(1)})^\rho &= -\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,1)} [g^{(1)}] - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,2)} [g^{(1)}] \\ &\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} V_\sigma^{(2,1)} - 2 \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} V_\sigma^{(2,2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

We can split the terms in (8.3) depending on $H^{(1)}$ or $H^{(2)}$ into three categories:

- admissible frequencies, i.e θ and 2θ , which only depends on $g^{(1)}$,
- terms depending on $g^{(\leq 2)}$ with both admissible and forbidden frequencies but with the non-tangential structure,

- terms depending on $V^{(2,i)}$ and $\mathbf{D}V^{(2,i)}$, the former are of the form $O(V^{(2)})$ but we keep the latter as they are.

More precisely, using (8.6) and (4.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + W_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - P_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon) \\
&= -\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}\hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,1)}[g^{(1)}] - \frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}\hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(0,2)}[g^{(1)}] + L_0^\sigma \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,1)}[g^{(1)}] F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
&\quad + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)}(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}) + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0(g_0)_{\rho\beta})\partial_\theta(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 F_{\rho\beta)}^{(1)}\partial_\theta(H^{(1)})^\rho \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) L_0^\sigma \hat{P}_\sigma^{(0,2)}[g^{(1)}] F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where we also used the following simple fact true for all Ω 1-tensor:

$$g_0^{\rho\sigma}\Omega_\sigma\partial_\rho(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} + (g_0)_{\rho(\alpha}\partial_{\beta)}(g_0^{\rho\sigma}\Omega_\sigma) = \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}\Omega_{\beta)}. \tag{8.8}$$

In this expression, note that the last line corresponds to a forbidden frequency without the non-tangential structure, but thanks to (4.32) this line vanishes. This is due to the weak polarized null condition. With this in mind, we add (8.5) and (8.7) and use (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) to cancel the admissible frequencies:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} - 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon) \\
&= -\cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2\sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha}V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \\
&\quad + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 \text{Pol}_{\beta)}(\partial_\theta^2 g^{(3)}) + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0(g_0)_{\rho\beta})\partial_\theta(\tilde{H}^{(2)})^\rho + \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 F_{\rho\beta)}^{(1)}\partial_\theta(H^{(1)})^\rho \\
&\quad + \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1)}[g^{(2)}] + \cos\left(\frac{3u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha}u_0 \hat{P}_{\beta)}^{(1,3)}
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof using (5.18), which shows how $g^{(3)}$ absorbs the terms with the non-tangential structure. \square

8.1.3 The higher orders

Since the higher levels of the Ricci tensor are canceled via the wave equation for the remainder \mathfrak{h} , we don't need a very precise expression of $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$ and we simply write

$$2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = -W_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} + \left(\mathring{H}^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \mathring{H}^\rho \right)^{(\geq 2)} + 2R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}(\Upsilon) + P_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}$$

where we recall that $\mathring{H}^\rho = H^\rho - \lambda^2 \Upsilon^\rho$ and where $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}(\Upsilon)$ contains all the contributions from Υ^ρ at the order λ^2 or higher in the Ricci tensor. Note that

$$\lambda R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon) + \lambda^2 R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}(\Upsilon) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho) \tag{8.9}$$

where on the RHS of (8.9) the derivatives in $\partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\partial_\beta \Upsilon^\rho$ also hit the oscillating parts (producing $R_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\Upsilon)$).

Lemma 8.4. *Given \mathfrak{h} solution to (5.8) we have*

$$R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)} = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + R_{\alpha\beta}^{(\geq 2)}(\Upsilon)$$

The term proportional to $\frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi_{\geq}(\mathfrak{h}_{L_0 L_0})$ in $R^{(\geq 2)}$ cancels out with the same term present in $R^{(1)}$ so that it disappears from the final Einstein tensor computed in the next sections.

8.2 The Einstein tensor and the contracted Bianchi identities

As Lemmas 8.2-8.3-8.4 shows, the Ricci tensor of g given by (4.1) contains only gauge terms or the polarization conditions, i.e terms depending only on Υ and $V^{(2,i)}$. In order to show that g is solution of the Einstein vacuum equations, it thus remains to show that they vanish.

This is the content of Sections 8.3 and 8.4 and it is proved using the contracted Bianchi identities, i.e the fact that the Einstein tensor of any metric is divergence free, which will give us extra equations satisfied by $V^{(2,i)}$ and Υ . Even though this is standard when working with the (generalised or not) wave coordinates, the high-frequency character of g (which manifests at this point with the presence of the polarization conditions tensors $V^{(2,i)}$) changes the situation. Schematically, we will show that the divergence of G satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_g G = T\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$$

with T a trigonometric function (see (3.4)). The contracted Bianchi identities precisely state that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]$ we have

$$T\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon = 0 \tag{8.10}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)}$ independant of λ and $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ depending on λ through g and Υ . Our goal is to extract from (8.10) the two equations

$$\mathcal{L}_0 V^{(2,i)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon = 0.$$

However, because of the oscillating term in (8.10) and the fact that $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ depends on λ (since Υ depends on λ through \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{F}), we can't simply consider the expression in (8.10) as a polynomial in λ vanishing on the interval $(0, \lambda_0]$. Instead we multiply (8.10) by $T\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and consider the weak limit in L^2 when λ tends to 0 (see the following remark). In order to consider this limit for $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon$ we need precise estimates obtained in Lemma 8.6 and which follows from our bootstrap assumptions for \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{F} . This strategy allows us to show that $V^{(2,i)} = \Upsilon = 0$ on the whole spacetime, see Propositions 8.1 and 8.2.

Remark 8.1. *Let T be a trigonometric function, i.e an element of (3.4). Let us be more precise on the convergence of the family $\left(T\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\right)_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]}$ when λ tends to 0. This*

convergence will be crucially used in Lemma 8.9 and Proposition 8.1 for terms of the form $\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) f$ with f compactly supported and \mathcal{C}^1 , and therefore we only care about the convergence of $\left(\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\right)_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]}$ in $L^2(K)$ for K a compact of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let ψ a test function supported in K . Thanks to (2.9), we can use a stationnary phase argument and prove

$$\left| \int_K \mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \psi \right| \lesssim \lambda \|\psi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(K)}. \quad (8.11)$$

Using now the density of test functions in $L^2(K)$, we deduce from (8.11) that

$$\int_K \mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \psi$$

tends to 0 as λ tends to 0 for all $\psi \in L^2(K)$. This shows that the family $\left(\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)\right)_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0]}$ converges weakly to 0 in $L^2(K)$. In the sequel, the compact K will be

$$K := \{|x| \leq C_{\text{supp}} R\},$$

i.e the maximal support of all the background perturbations, and in particular the support of $V^{(2,i)}$.

8.2.1 The Einstein tensor

For g the metric solution of the background and reduced systems on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ we define its Einstein tensor by

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\alpha\beta} \quad (8.12)$$

where $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar curvature of g . The terms $V^{(2,i)}$ and Υ will be treated differently so it is useful to decompose G in the following way:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = G(V)_{\alpha\beta} + G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (8.13)$$

with

$$G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (8.14)$$

and $G(V)$ depending only on $V^{(2,i)}$. Thanks to Lemmas 8.2-8.3-8.4, the tensor $G(V)$ admits a high-frequency expansion

$$G(V)_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} + \lambda G_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + O(\lambda^2).$$

The following lemma gives the expression of $G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}$ and $G_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}$, we leave the proof to the reader as it is a direct application of Lemmas 8.2-8.3 (which in particular allow us to compute the high-frequency expansion of the scalar curvature).

Lemma 8.5. *We have*

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right), \quad (8.15)$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ - \sin\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} - \operatorname{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\beta} \right) + O(V^{(2)}) F_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}. \quad (8.16)$$

8.2.2 The contracted Bianchi identities

The (contracted) Bianchi identities precisely reads

$$\operatorname{div}_g G = 0. \quad (8.17)$$

From the decomposition (8.13) it suffices to compute $\operatorname{div}_g G(\Upsilon)$ and $\operatorname{div}_g G(V)$. The former is computed and studied in Section 8.2.3 while the latter formally admits the following high-frequency expansion:

$$\operatorname{div}_g G(V)_\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} + \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)} + O(\lambda) \quad (8.18)$$

The terms $\mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(i)}$ for $i = -1, 0$ will be computed in Section 8.3.

8.2.3 Divergence of $G(\Upsilon)$

In this section, we compute and study the divergence of the gauge terms associated to the wave equation, i.e $G(\Upsilon)$, whose expression is given by (8.14). The expression in coordinates

$$\operatorname{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu G(\Upsilon)_{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu(\alpha}^\rho G(\Upsilon)_{\rho\nu)}$$

and a standard computation first gives

$$\operatorname{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho\alpha} \tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha \right) \quad (8.19)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{(\alpha} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\mu g_{\rho\nu)} - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} \\ + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \left(\Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \Upsilon^\gamma \partial_\gamma g_{\rho\sigma} g_{\alpha\nu} \right) - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu(\alpha}^\rho G(\Upsilon)_{\rho\nu)}.$$

Recall the definition of Υ given in (4.16). We study $\operatorname{div}_g G(\Upsilon)$ in the following lemmas where we are careful about the amount of derivatives involved and the orders in λ . In the proof, we only consider the $\partial\mathfrak{h}$ and $\partial\mathfrak{F}$ terms in Υ^ρ (i.e the first line in (4.16)) since they satisfy the worst estimates. Moreover, since we only care about $L^2(K)$ norms with K compact we can neglect the weights for \mathfrak{h} .

Lemma 8.6. *We have*

$$\|\operatorname{div}_g G(\Upsilon)_\alpha\|_{L^2(K)} \lesssim \lambda.$$

Proof. In this proof, we use the notation $L^2 = L^2(K)$ for clarity. We start with $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$. Recall that g and ∂g are bounded in L^∞ irrespective of λ . Moreover, $\partial \mathfrak{h}$ and $\partial \mathfrak{F}$ are bounded in L^2 irrespective of λ thanks to **(BA1)** and **(BA3)**. Therefore, the only terms potentially losing one power of λ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ are $\partial \Upsilon$ and $\partial^2 g$. Indeed thanks to (4.1), **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** these terms are bounded by $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ in L^2 , which shows that

$$\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.20)$$

Let us now look at $\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho(\mathfrak{h})$. Again, we only focus on the main terms in $\Upsilon^\rho(\mathfrak{h})$, that is $\partial \mathfrak{h} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial \mathfrak{F}$. For $\partial \mathfrak{h}$, we use the equation (5.8) and commute it with one derivative to obtain

$$\tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{h} = \partial \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h} + [\tilde{\square}_g, \partial] \mathfrak{h}.$$

We have

$$\|[\tilde{\square}_g, \partial] \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial g\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

where we used **(BA3)**. We now use the equation (5.8) (again, we only write down the terms depending on \mathfrak{F} with the highest derivative):

$$\|\partial \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} + \|\partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2}$$

where we used **(BA2)**. This estimate can't directly be used to bound $\partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}$, instead we use (7.17):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|[\partial_t, \tilde{\square}_g] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\square}_g \partial_t \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \tilde{\square}_g \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We proved that

$$\|\tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.21)$$

For the $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial \mathfrak{F}$ term in $\Upsilon^\rho(\mathfrak{h})$ we use (3.5) to deduce that we need to estimate

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \cos\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{L}_0 \partial \mathfrak{F} + \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(\tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{F} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right).$$

First, using (4.7), **(BA1)** and **(BA2)** we obtain

$$\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \partial \mathfrak{F} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} g^{-1}(du_0, du_0) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, using (5.7) commuted with one derivative we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}_0 \partial \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|[\mathcal{L}_0, \partial] \mathfrak{F}\|_{L^2} + \|\partial \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \lesssim 1$$

where we used **(BA1)** and **(BA3)**. We proved that

$$\left\| \tilde{\square}_g \left(\sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \partial \mathfrak{F} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (8.22)$$

Together with (8.21), (8.22) proves that $\|\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho\|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}$, which concludes the proof. \square

8.3 Propagation of the second polarization

In this section, we prove that $V^{(2,i)} = 0$ on the whole spacetime. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 8.7. *We have $\mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} = 0$.*

Proof. The $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ terms in $\text{div}_g G(V)_\alpha$ comes from the differentiation of the oscillating parts of $G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)}$, i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(-1)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \cos \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,1)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,1)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \sin \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) \partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,2)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,2)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we recall (8.15). Now If $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\partial^\nu u_0 \left(\partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\alpha\nu} \right) = -\partial_\nu u_0 V_{L_0}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)} \partial_\nu u_0 = 0$$

which concludes the proof. \square

In order to propagate the polarization condition $V^{(2,i)} = 0$, we compute $\mathcal{B}_\alpha^{(0)}$.

Lemma 8.8. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(V)_\alpha^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cos \left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda} \right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition (8.18) we obtain

$$\text{div}_g G(V)_\alpha = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu G(V)_{\nu\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho G(V)_{\rho\alpha} - g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\rho G(V)_{\rho\nu} \quad (8.23)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ denotes the Christoffel symbols associated to g , which admits the following expansion

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \Gamma(g_0)_{\mu\nu}^\rho + (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^\rho + O(\lambda)$$

where

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\rho\sigma} (\partial_{\mu} u_0 F_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)} + \partial_{\nu} u_0 F_{\mu\sigma}^{(1)} - \partial_{\sigma} u_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}). \quad (8.24)$$

Note that $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\text{tr}_{g_0} F^{(1)} = 0$ imply $g_0^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} = 0$. This gives

$$\mathcal{B}(V)_{\alpha}^{(0)} = g_0^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} u_0 \partial_{\theta} G_{\nu\alpha}^{(1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{D}_{\mu} G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)} - g_0^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\alpha}^{\rho} G_{\rho\nu}^{(0)} \quad (8.25)$$

where in $\mathbf{D}_{\mu} G^{(0)}$ we don't differentiate the oscillating functions. We start by looking at the term depending on $G^{(1)}$, whose expression is given by (8.16). Thanks to $F_{L_0\alpha}^{(1)} = 0$ the terms proportional to $O(V^{(2)})$ in (8.16) don't contribute to $\mathcal{B}(V)_{\alpha}^{(0)}$. We are left with

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} u_0 \partial_{\theta} G_{\nu\alpha}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(L_0^{\nu} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\nu)}^{(2,1)} - \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)}(L_0)_{\alpha} \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(L_0^{\nu} \mathbf{D}_{(\alpha} V_{\nu)}^{(2,2)} - \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)}(L_0)_{\alpha} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

Let us now look at the third term in (8.25). Let $i = 1, 2$, we expand using (8.24):

$$\begin{aligned} (g_0)^{\mu\nu} (\tilde{\Gamma}^{(0)})_{\mu\alpha}^{\rho} \left(\partial_{(\rho} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} + V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\rho\nu} \right) & \quad (8.27) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_{\sigma} u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) \partial_{(\rho} u_0 V_{\nu)}^{(2,i)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_{\sigma} u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}(g_0)_{\rho\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) g_0^{\mu\nu} g_0^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_{(\mu} u_0 F_{\sigma\alpha)}^{(1)} - \partial_{\sigma} u_0 F_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} \right) \partial_{\nu} u_0 V_{\rho}^{(2,i)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the third term in (8.25) vanishes. Finally, let us look at the second term in this expression. From $\mathbf{D}g_0 = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{D}_{\mu} G_{\nu\alpha}^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-g_0^{\mu\nu} V_{\nu}^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha} - V_{\alpha}^{(2,1)} \text{div}_{g_0} L_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (L_0)_{\alpha} \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,1)} - \mathbf{D}_{L_0} V_{\alpha}^{(2,1)} + \partial_{\alpha} V_{L_0}^{(2,1)} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-g_0^{\mu\nu} V_{\nu}^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha} - V_{\alpha}^{(2,2)} \text{div}_{g_0} L_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (L_0)_{\alpha} \text{div}_{g_0} V^{(2,2)} - \mathbf{D}_{L_0} V_{\alpha}^{(2,2)} + \partial_{\alpha} V_{L_0}^{(2,2)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.28)$$

Adding this to (8.26) concludes the proof. \square

Before proving the propagation of the second polarization, we first prove that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ on Σ_0 . Recall that the tangential components of $V^{(2,i)}$ already vanish on Σ_0 thanks to our choice of initial data for $F^{(2,i)}$, see (5.46). Since $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ only involves the projection of the metric on Σ_0 (see (3.11)), $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ can't be ensured by well-chosen initial data, it has to be directly satisfied by the solution of the constraint equations. This means that we

could have performed this computation in [Tou22b]. However, it seems more consistent with the splitting between [Tou22b] and the present article to study $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)}$ here. Moreover, we will benefit from the reduced form of the Einstein tensor obtained in Lemma 8.5, and the fact that the constraint equations are solved on the initial hypersurface.

Lemma 8.9. *We have*

$$V^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0.$$

Proof. Thanks to (5.28), it remains to show that $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = 0$ on Σ_0 . In fact, it is enough to show that

$$V_0^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0. \quad (8.29)$$

Indeed, if (8.29) holds then $V_{\underline{L}_0}^{(2,i)} = -V_{L_0}^{(2,i)}$ (where we used that $L_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}(\partial_t + N_0)$ and $\underline{L}_0 = |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0}(\partial_t - N_0)$ on Σ_0) and (5.28) then gives the result. Therefore, let us prove (8.29).

Lemma 8.5 and (5.28) imply that on Σ_0

$$G(V)_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(\lambda).$$

Moreover, Υ^ρ contains oscillating terms (see (4.16)) and also terms depending on λ like \mathfrak{h} or \mathfrak{F} . More precisely, if we only write the top derivatives of the non-background terms we have

$$|G(\Upsilon)| \lesssim \lambda |\partial \mathfrak{F}| + \lambda^2 (|\partial^2 \mathfrak{F}| + |\partial^2 \mathfrak{h}|).$$

Estimates **(BA1)** and **(BA3)** then imply that $G(\Upsilon)$ is $O(\lambda)$ in $L^2(K)$. Conclusion, we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,1)} - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \partial_{(\alpha} u_0 V_{\beta)}^{(2,2)} + O(\lambda) \quad (8.30)$$

where the $O(\lambda)$ has to be understood in $L^2(K)$. Now, Corollary 5.1 ensures that the constraint equations are satisfied on Σ_0 , which in particular gives $G_{TT} = 0$ on Σ_0 , where T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g . Thanks to Lemma 5.2, we have $T = \partial_t + O(\lambda^2)$ so the previous identity implies that $G_{00} = O(\lambda^2)$ on Σ_0 . Thanks to (8.30) and (2.10) this rewrites as

$$-\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} V_0^{(2,1)} - 4 \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} V_0^{(2,2)} = O(\lambda). \quad (8.31)$$

We multiply this identity by $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and take the weak limit in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0. Remark 8.1 shows that the weak limit of $\sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ are respectively $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0. Moreover $V_0^{(2,1)}$ does not depend on λ so we obtain $V_0^{(2,1)} = 0$. Then (8.31) becomes

$$\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) |\nabla u_0|_{\bar{g}_0} V_0^{(2,2)} = O(\lambda). \quad (8.32)$$

Multiplying this identity by $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$ and taking the weak limit in $L^2(K)$ when λ tends to 0 gives similarly that $V_0^{(2,2)} = 0$. This proves (8.29) and concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

We can now prove the propagation of the second polarization.

Proposition 8.1. *The following holds on the whole spacetime:*

$$V^{(2,i)} = 0 \quad (8.33)$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. We look at the quantity $\sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha$, which thanks to Lemmas 8.7 and 8.8 rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin\left(\frac{u_0}{\lambda}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) \\ &\quad + O(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (8.34)$$

where we use the Bianchi identities (8.17), Lemma 8.6 and where the $O(\lambda)$ has to be understood in $L^2(K)$. The quantity

$$-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}$$

only involves the background perturbations so it doesn't depend on λ . Therefore the weak limit when λ tends to 0 of the first two lines in (8.34) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right). \quad (8.35)$$

Thanks to (8.17), the weak limit of (8.34) is zero and we obtain the following equation for $V^{(2,1)}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,1)} = g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,1)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}. \quad (8.36)$$

If we now multiply $\operatorname{div}_g G_\alpha$ by $\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right)$, Lemma 8.8 and (8.36) imply

$$\cos\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{B}_\alpha = 2 \cos^2\left(\frac{2u_0}{\lambda}\right) \left(-\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} + g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}\right) + O(\lambda).$$

We again take the weak limit in L^2 when λ tends to 0 and thanks to (8.17) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_0 V_\alpha^{(2,2)} = g_0^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^{(2,2)} \mathbf{D}_{[\mu}(L_0)_{\alpha]}. \quad (8.37)$$

Equations (8.36) and (8.37) are transport equations for the quantities $V^{(2,i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Thanks to Lemma 8.9 we know that

$$V^{(2,i)} \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0 \quad (8.38)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Together with the energy estimate for the transport operator L_0 (see Lemma 6.1) and Gronwall's inequality, (8.37) and (8.38) lead to (8.33). \square

8.4 Propagation of the generalised wave gauge and conclusion

In the previous sections, we proved that $V^{(2,i)} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. In order to prove that g is a solution of the Einstein vacuum equations, it remains to prove that $\Upsilon^\rho = 0$. Indeed, the Einstein tensor of g now reduces to $G(\Upsilon)$, i.e

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left(g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho \Upsilon^\rho + \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \Upsilon^\rho \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \quad (8.39)$$

where in $\partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho$ we also differentiate the oscillatory parts of Υ^ρ . At this point, it is standard to deduce from the Bianchi identities (8.17) the fact that Υ^ρ solves a system of linear wave system on the spacetime.

Proposition 8.2. *The following holds on the whole spacetime:*

$$\Upsilon^\rho = 0.$$

Proof. The main ingredient is already contained in (8.19). Since $V^{(2,i)} = 0$, we have $G_{\alpha\beta} = G(\Upsilon)_{\alpha\beta}$ and (8.19) together with (8.17) then implies

$$\tilde{\square}_g \Upsilon^\rho + A_\nu^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \Upsilon^\nu + B_\alpha^\rho \Upsilon^\alpha = 0. \quad (8.40)$$

As announced, Υ solves (8.40), i.e a system of linear wave equations on the spacetime. If Υ^ρ and $T\Upsilon^\rho$ vanish on Σ_0 , then Υ^ρ vanishes on the whole spacetime (recall that T is the unit normal to Σ_0 for g , see Lemma 5.2). From Corollary 5.1 and our choice of initial data for g , we deduce what we need.

- Our choice of initial data for $\partial_t \mathfrak{h}_{0\mu}$ implies that $\Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$, see the end of Section 5.6.2 or Corollary 5.1. Thanks to (8.39) this also implies that on Σ_0 we have

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} (g_{\rho(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)} \Upsilon^\rho - g_{\alpha\beta} \partial_t \Upsilon^0) \quad (8.41)$$

- Corollary 5.1 states that the constraint equations are solved on Σ_0 , which reads $G_{TT} = 0$ and $G_{Ti} = 0$. Since $T = (1 + Z^t) \partial_t + \bar{Z}^i \partial_i$ and $g(T, \partial_i) = 0$ the previous components of the Einstein tensor rewrite

$$G_{TT} = 2T^\alpha g_{\rho\alpha} T \Upsilon^\rho + \partial_t \Upsilon^0 = -\frac{T \Upsilon^0}{1 + Z^t}$$

and

$$G_{Ti} = g_{0i} T \Upsilon^0 + \bar{g}_{ij} T \Upsilon^j$$

where we used (8.41) and $\Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$ to cancel any spatial derivative of Υ^ρ . Since $Z^t = O(\lambda^4)$ (see (5.34)) the constraint equations indeed imply that $T \Upsilon^\rho \upharpoonright \Sigma_0 = 0$. □

Propositions 8.1 and 8.2 concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2, by showing that the metric g solution of the background and reduced systems is actually a solution of the Einstein vacuum equations.

A The commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$ and proof of Lemma 3.1

This first appendix is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.1, which estimates the commutator $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]$, where L_0 is defined by (2.4) and g_0 is the background metric.

A general computation using the expression of the wave operator in coordinates shows that if X is any vector field and f a scalar function we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\square_{g_0}, X]f &= 2g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha X)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f + \partial_\alpha f (\square_{g_0} X^\alpha + X (g_0^{\rho\sigma} \Gamma(g_0)_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha)) \\ &= 2g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha X)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f + \partial_\alpha f \square_{g_0} X^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where we also used the wave coordinate condition for the background (2.5). In the context of Lemma 3.1, we consider $X = L_0$ and f compactly supported and we are only interested in the principal terms in $[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f$. Indeed the non-principal part simply satisfies

$$|\partial_\alpha f \square_{g_0} L_0^\alpha| \lesssim |\partial f|. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with a constant only depending on the background spacetime. It remains to estimate the second derivatives of f in (A.1), i.e

$$g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha L_0)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f,$$

by using the expression of the background metric in the background null frame defined in Section 2.2:

$$g_0^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} L_0^{(\alpha} \underline{L}_0^{\beta)} + \delta^{AB} e_A^\alpha e_B^\beta. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using (A.3) twice and the fact that L_0 is geodesic, that is $\mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_0^{\alpha\beta} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\alpha L_0)_\mu \partial_\beta \partial_\nu f &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{L_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu \underline{L}_0 f - \frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f \\ &\quad + \delta^{AB} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu e_B f \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} L_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu \underline{L}_0 e_B f \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \underline{L}_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu L_0 e_B f + \delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} e_C^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu e_D e_B f \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g_0^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_{\underline{L}_0} L_0)_\mu \partial_\nu L_0 f - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \underline{L}_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu L_0 e_B f \\ &\quad + \delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} e_C^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu e_D e_B f \end{aligned}$$

where we also used $L_0^\mu (\mathbf{D}_{e_A} L_0)_\mu = 0$, which follows from the fact $g_0(L_0, L_0) = 0$ is constant. Using now the fact that

$$|L_0 e_B f| \lesssim |\partial L_0 f| + |\partial f|$$

and recalling (A.1) and (A.2) we obtain

$$\|[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we also used the compact support of f to switch from pointwise estimates to L^2 norms. Therefore, in order to prove Lemma 3.1 it remains to estimate $\|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2}$.

This follows from elliptic estimates on $P_{t,u}$. If K denotes the Gauss curvature of $P_{t,u}$ and if $d\mu_{t,u}$ denotes the volume form on $P_{t,u}$ induced by \mathring{g}_0 (the induced metric on $P_{t,u}$), then the scalar Bochner identity (Proposition 3.5 in [Sze18]) reads

$$\int_{P_{t,u}} |\text{Hess}f|_{\mathring{g}_0}^2 d\mu_{t,u} = \int_{P_{t,u}} (\mathring{\Delta}f)^2 d\mu_{t,u} - \int_{P_{t,u}} K |\nabla f|_{\mathring{g}_0}^2 d\mu_{t,u}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\Delta}f &= \delta^{AB} e_A e_B f + \delta^{AB} \delta^{CD} g(\nabla_{e_A} e_C, e_B) e_D f, \\ \nabla f &= \delta^{AB} (e_A f) e_B. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the regularity assumptions stated in Section 2.2 and the fact that the 2-surfaces $P_{t,u}$ foliates Σ_t , we can integrate (A.5) in the N_0 direction and obtain

$$\|e_A e_B f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathring{\Delta}f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}$$

where we recall that $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ denotes $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ and where the implicit constant depends only on background quantities. Together with (A.4) this gives

$$\|[\square_{g_0}, L_0]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathring{\Delta}f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

We now use Lemma 2.5 of [Sze12] which gives the expression of the wave operator in the null frame and implies

$$\|\mathring{\Delta}f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\square_{g_0} f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{L^2}.$$

Together with (A.6) this concludes the proof of the first part of Lemma 3.1.

The second part is proved in a similar way. For $r \geq 1$, we apply (3.25) to $\nabla^r f$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[L_0, \square_{g_0}] \nabla^r f\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\partial L_0 \nabla^r f\|_{L^2} + \|\square_{g_0} \nabla^r f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{H^r} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^r \partial L_0 f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^r \square_{g_0} f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{H^r} \\ &\quad + \|[\partial L_0, \nabla^r] f\|_{L^2} + \|[\square_{g_0}, \nabla^r] f\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\|[\partial L_0, \nabla^r] f\|_{L^2} + \|[\square_{g_0}, \nabla^r] f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial^2 f\|_{H^{r-1}}$$

where we recall that $r \geq 1$. It remains to notice that

$$\|\nabla^r [L_0, \square_{g_0}] f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|[L_0, \square_{g_0}] \nabla^r f\|_{L^2} + \|\partial f\|_{H^r} + \|\partial^2 f\|_{H^{r-1}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

B The spectral projections

In this section, we prove Lemma 3.2. The proof is based on the dyadic decomposition at the heart of Littlewood-Paley theory, which we present shortly.

B.1 Littlewood-Paley theory

This presentation of the Littlewood-Paley theory is based on [BCD11]. We start by considering two smooth radial functions χ and φ from \mathbb{R}^3 to the interval $[0, 1]$ supported in $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\{\frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ respectively and such that

$$\chi + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) = 1, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$|j - i| \geq 2 \implies \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j} \cdot)) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-i} \cdot)) = \emptyset,$$

$$j \geq 1 \implies \text{supp}(\chi) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j} \cdot)) = \emptyset,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \chi^2 + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-j} \cdot) \leq 1. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The existence of χ and φ is the content of Proposition 2.10 in [BCD11]. They define a dyadic partition of unity, with which we can define the dyadic blocks Δ_j . If u is a tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^3 we set $\Delta_i u = 0$ if $i \leq -2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{-1} u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi \mathcal{F}(u)) \\ \Delta_j u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j} \cdot) \mathcal{F}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 0$ and where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^3 . We also define

$$S_j u = \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \Delta_{j'} u.$$

for $j \geq 0$. For u a tempered distribution, we use the notation $\text{spect}(u) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(u))$. Therefore the support properties of χ and φ imply

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_{-1} u) \subset \left\{ |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3} \right\}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_j u) \subset 2^j \left\{ \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

for $j \geq 0$. The following proposition contains all the basic estimates we need on the dyadic blocks.

Proposition B.1. *Let u be a tempered distribution.*

1. The so-called Bernstein estimates hold

$$\|X_j \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{\alpha j} \|X_j u\|_{L^p} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

for $X_j \in \{S_j, \Delta_j\}$, $\alpha = 0, 1$ and for all $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$.

2. Moreover, the following estimates hold

$$\|X_j u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|X_j u\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

for $X_j \in \{S_j, \Delta_j\}$.

Proof. For $j \geq -1$, we have $\text{spect}(X_j \nabla u) \subset 2^j B$ for B a ball centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let ϕ be a compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\phi \upharpoonright B = 1$. This implies

$$\mathcal{F}(X_j u) = \phi(2^{-j} \cdot) \mathcal{F}(X_j u).$$

Therefore, for $\alpha = 0, 1$ we have

$$X_j \nabla^\alpha u = 2^{(3+\alpha)j} (\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi))(2^j \cdot) * X_j u \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where the symbol $*$ denotes the convolution between two functions. The Young inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j \nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p} &\lesssim 2^{(3+\alpha)j} \|(\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi))(2^j \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|X_j u\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\alpha j} \|\nabla^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)\|_{L^1} \|X_j u\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

where we change variable in the last step. This proves (B.5). Now, if $\alpha = 0$ in (B.7), another case of Young inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j u\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{3j} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)(2^j \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|X_j u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)\|_{L^2} \|X_j u\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

The property (B.1) allows us to decompose each function u into an infinite sum of smooth and localized in Fourier space functions:

$$u = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j u. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The series (B.8) is *a priori* purely formal, and though each dyadic blocks $\Delta_j u$ is smooth the function u might have to be defined merely as a distribution. However, one can link the regularity of u and the summability properties of the series. The next proposition gives a characterization of the Sobolev spaces in terms of the convergence of the series (B.8).

Proposition B.2. *If $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and u is a tempered distribution, then*

$$u \in H^s \iff (2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$$

Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all tempered distribution u we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C \sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Proof. By definition of the space H^s , we have $\|u\|_{H^s} = \|\langle \cdot \rangle^s \mathcal{F}(u)\|_{L^2}$. Using the first inequality in (B.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \chi^2(\xi) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{j \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \varphi^2(2^{-j}\xi) |\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2s} \|\Delta_{-1}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{2js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the support properties of χ and φ . This proves half of (B.9), the other half is proved similarly using the other inequality in (B.2). \square

The decomposition (B.8) is also very useful to study products of functions. Indeed, formally we have

$$uv = \sum_{j, j' \geq -1} \Delta_j u \Delta_{j'} v$$

for u and v two tempered distributions. As it is well known the product uv could not even be a tempered distribution. In order to understand the product's properties, Bony introduced in [Bon81] what is now called the Bony decomposition:

Definition B.1. For u and v two tempered distributions, we define the paraproduct $T_u v$ and the remainder $R(u, v)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_u v &= \sum_j S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v, \\ R(u, v) &= \sum_{|j-k| \leq 1} \Delta_j u \Delta_k v. \end{aligned}$$

The Bony decomposition of uv is then

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v).$$

In the sequel, we don't directly use Bony's decomposition but rather the spectral property of the general terms in the series defining $T_u v$ and $R(u, v)$, namely if $i \geq -1$ and $|j - k| \leq 1$:

$$\text{spect}(S_{i-1} u \Delta_i v) \subset 2^i \mathcal{C}', \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\text{spect}(\Delta_j u \Delta_k v) \subset 2^j B', \quad (\text{B.11})$$

with $\mathcal{C}' = \{\frac{1}{12} \leq |\xi| \leq 3\}$ and $B' = \{0 \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{32}{3}\}$.

B.2 Definition and first properties of Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq}

Recall Definition 5.1, where we define the operators Π_{\leq} and Π_{\geq} . Their behaviour with respect to derivatives is similar to the one of dyadic blocks (see Proposition B.1) thanks to the support property of their symbols.

Lemma B.1. *Let f be a scalar function. We have*

$$\lambda \|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\|\Pi_{\geq}(f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Proof. To prove (B.12), we use Parseval's identity twice and the support property of χ_{λ} :

$$\|\nabla \Pi_{\leq}(f)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The proof of (B.12) is similar:

$$\|\Pi_{\geq}(f)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{\lambda|\xi| \geq 1} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\xi|^2 |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \lambda^2 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

The following lemma is a special case of Lemma 2.97 in [BCD11]. For the sake of completeness, we redo the proof.

Lemma B.2. *Let u and v be two tempered distribution. We have*

$$\|[u, \Pi_{\leq}]v\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Proof. Using the usual notation of pseudo-differential calculus we have $\Pi_{\leq} = \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)$. For f a function we have $\chi_1(\lambda \nabla) f = \lambda^{-3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1} \cdot) * f$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} ([u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v)(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1}(x-y)) (u(y) - u(x)) v(y) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(\lambda^{-1}z) \langle \nabla u(x-z\tau), z \rangle v(x-z) dz d\tau \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Taylor expansion for formula for u . Defining $\Psi(z) = z(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_1)(z)$ we obtain

$$|([u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v)(x)| \leq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda^2} \int_{[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3} |\Psi(\lambda^{-1}z)| |v(x-z)| dz d\tau.$$

We take the L^2 norm of this inequality to obtain after a last change of variable in Ψ :

$$\|[u, \chi_1(\lambda \nabla)]v\|_{L^2} \leq \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \|\Psi\|_{L^1}.$$

This concludes the proof, noting that $\|\Psi\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\chi_1\|_{C^k}$ for k large enough. □

B.3 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the unique integer such that $\frac{1}{\lambda} \leq 2^N < \frac{2}{\lambda}$. In this proof, we will mainly use the fact that $\chi_{\lambda}(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 2^{N-1}$ and $\chi_{\lambda}(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \geq 2^{N+1}$ (recall that χ_{λ} is defined

in Definition 5.1). To benefit from this, we use the dyadic decompositions of u and v and regroup terms following the paraproduct decomposition (see Definition B.1) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [u, \Pi_{\leq}] \nabla v &= \sum_j [S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v + \sum_j [\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v + \sum_{|i-j| \leq 1} [\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v \\ &=: A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned}$$

We start with A_1 . We have

$$[S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v = S_{j-1}u \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v). \quad (\text{B.15})$$

If j is such that $2^j \times \frac{1}{12} \geq 2^{N+1}$ then (B.4) implies $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = 0$ and (B.10) implies that $\Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v) = 0$. Therefore in this case the two terms in (B.15) vanish. If $3 \times 2^j \leq 2^{N-1}$ then $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = \Delta_j$ and $\Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v) = S_{j-1}u \Delta_j \nabla v$, so the two terms in (B.15) are equal. Therefore there exists $C > 0$ independent from λ such that

$$\|A_1\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[S_{j-1}u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

We now use (B.14) and (B.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|\nabla S_{j-1}u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^j \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for finite sums, the characterization of L^2 given in Proposition B.2 and $\left(\sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^{pj}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \lambda^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\|A_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

For A_2 , we have

$$[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v = \Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq} (S_{j-1} \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v). \quad (\text{B.17})$$

If $3 \times 2^j \leq 2^{N-1}$ then $\Pi_{\leq} S_{j-1} = S_{j-1}$ and (B.10) still implies $\Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v) = \Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v$, so the two terms in (B.17) are equal. If $2^j \times \frac{1}{12} \geq 2^{N+1}$, then $\Pi_{\leq} S_{j-1} = \Pi_{\leq}$ and $\Pi_{\leq} (\Delta_j u S_{j-1} \nabla v) = 0$. Therefore there exists C independent from λ such that

$$\|A_2\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\frac{C}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq} (\nabla v)\|_{L^2}.$$

We treat the first sum as we treated A_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|[\Delta_j u, \Pi_{\leq}] S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} \|\nabla \Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \|S_{j-1} \nabla v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2} \lambda \sum_{\frac{1}{C\lambda} \leq 2^j \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}} 2^j \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second sum, we use (B.12) and then (B.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u \Pi_{\leq}(\nabla v)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2} \sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2} \sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{-2j} 2^{\frac{7}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \|v\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , the characterization of $H^{\frac{7}{2}}$ given in Proposition B.2 and $\left(\sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{-2j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda^2$. We finally obtain

$$\|A_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}}\right) \|v\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

For A_3 , we define

$$R_j = \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} [\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v = \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} (\Delta_i u \Pi_{\leq}(\Delta_j \nabla v) - \Pi_{\leq}(\Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v)) \quad (\text{B.19})$$

so that $A_3 = \sum_j R_j$. If $2^j \times \frac{32}{3} \leq 2^{N-1}$ then (B.11) implies $\Pi_{\leq}(\Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v) = \Delta_i u \Delta_j \nabla v$ and (B.4) implies $\Pi_{\leq} \Delta_j = \Delta_j$, so that the two terms in (B.19) are equal and $R_j = 0$. Therefore there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent from λ such that

$$\|A_3\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} \|[\Delta_i u, \Pi_{\leq}] \Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^2}.$$

We use (B.14), (B.5) and (B.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_3\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} \sum_{i=j-1}^{j+1} 2^{\frac{5}{2}i+j} \|\Delta_i u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \lambda \sum_{\frac{c}{\lambda} \leq 2^j} 2^{\frac{7}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j v\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we neglect the sum over i . Using again the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , the characterization of $H^{\frac{7}{2}}$ and L^2 given in Proposition B.2 we finally obtain

$$\|A_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda \|u\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}} \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Together with (B.16) and (B.18) this last estimate concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

References

- [AJOR21] Lars Andersson, Jérémie Joudioux, Marius A. Oancea, and Ayush Raj. Propagation of polarized gravitational waves. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103:044053, Feb 2021.

- [BCD11] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [BH64] Dieter R. Brill and James B. Hartle. Method of the self-consistent field in general relativity and its application to the gravitational geon. *Phys. Rev.*, 135:B271–B278, Jul 1964.
- [Bon81] Jean-Michel Bony. Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 14(2):209–246, 1981.
- [Bur89] Gregory A. Burnett. The high-frequency limit in general relativity. *J. Math. Phys.*, 30(1):90–96, 1989.
- [CB69] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. Construction de solutions radiatives approchées des équations d’Einstein. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 12:16–35, 1969.
- [CB00] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. The null condition and asymptotic expansions for the Einstein equations. volume 9, pages 258–266. 2000. Journées Relativistes 99 (Weimar).
- [CB09] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat. *General relativity and the Einstein equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009.
- [Chr86] Demetrios Christodoulou. Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(2):267–282, 1986.
- [CK93] Demetrios Christodoulou and Sergiu Klainerman. *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, volume 41 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [GdC21] André Guerra and Rita Teixeira da Costa. Oscillations in wave map systems and homogenization of the einstein equations in symmetry. *arXiv:2107.00942*, 2021.
- [HL] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. High-frequency backreaction for the Einstein equations under $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry: from Einstein-dust to Einstein-Vlasov. *Work in progress*.
- [HL18a] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. Einstein equations under polarized $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry in an elliptic gauge. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 361(3):873–949, 2018.
- [HL18b] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. High-frequency backreaction for the Einstein equations under polarized $\mathbb{U}(1)$ -symmetry. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(18):3315–3402, 2018.
- [HL19] Cécile Huneau and Jonathan Luk. Trilinear compensated compactness and Burnett’s conjecture in general relativity. *arXiv:1907.10743*, 2019.

- [Isa68a] Richard A. Isaacson. Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency. I. The Linear Approximation and Geometrical Optics. *Phys. Rev.*, 166:1263–1271, 1968.
- [Isa68b] Richard A. Isaacson. Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency. II. Nonlinear Terms and the Effective Stress Tensor. *Phys. Rev.*, 166:1272–1279, 1968.
- [Kla86] Sergiu Klainerman. The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations. In *Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984)*, volume 23 of *Lectures in Appl. Math.*, pages 293–326. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [KN03] Sergiu Klainerman and Francesco Nicolò. *The evolution problem in general relativity*, volume 25 of *Progress in Mathematical Physics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [KRS15] Sergiu Klainerman, Igor Rodnianski, and Jérémie Szeftel. The bounded L^2 curvature conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 202(1):91–216, 2015.
- [LR10] Hans Lindblad and Igor Rodnianski. The global stability of Minkowski space-time in harmonic gauge. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(3):1401–1477, 2010.
- [LR17] Jonathan Luk and Igor Rodnianski. Nonlinear interaction of impulsive gravitational waves for the vacuum Einstein equations. *Camb. J. Math.*, 5(4):435–570, 2017.
- [LR20] Jonathan Luk and Igor Rodnianski. High-frequency limits and null dust shell solutions in general relativity. *arXiv:2009.08968*, 2020.
- [Mon86] Vincent Moncrief. Reduction of Einstein’s equations for vacuum space-times with spacelike $U(1)$ isometry groups. *Annals of Physics*, 167(1):118 – 142, 1986.
- [MTW73] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John Archibald Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1973.
- [Mé09] Guy Métivier. The mathematics of nonlinear optics. In C.M. Dafermos and Milan Pokorný, editors, *Handbook of Differential Equations*, volume 5 of *Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Equations*, pages 169–313. North-Holland, 2009.
- [Sog95] Christopher D. Sogge. *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations*. Boston, MA: International Press, 1995.
- [Sze12] Jérémie Szeftel. Parametrix for wave equations on a rough background iv: control of the error term. *arXiv:1204.1771*, 2012.
- [Sze18] Jérémie Szeftel. Parametrix for wave equations on a rough background III: Space-time regularity of the phase. *Astérisque*, (401):viii+321, 2018.

- [Tou21] Arthur Touati. Einstein vacuum equations with $\mathbb{U}(1)$ symmetry in an elliptic gauge: local well-posedness and blow-up criterium. *arXiv:2101.09093*, 2021.
- [Tou22a] Arthur Touati. Global existence of high-frequency solutions to a semi-linear wave equation with a null structure. *Asymptotic Analysis*, Preprint:1–42, 2022. Preprint.
- [Tou22b] Arthur Touati. High-frequency solutions to the constraint equations. *arXiv:2206.13062*, 2022.