

Uniqueness and some related estimates for Dirichlet problem with fractional Laplacian^{*}

Congming Li^a, Chenkai Liu^a

^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China;*

Abstract

For the fractional Laplace equation, a surprising observation is the non-uniqueness for the basic Dirichlet type problems. In this paper, a somewhat sharp uniqueness condition for the fractional Laplace equation is established. We derive the L^p -estimate for fractional Laplacian operators to better understand this phenomena. Several weighted fractional Sobolev spaces appear naturally. We then establish the embedding relations between these spaces. These existence-uniqueness conditions and the spaces we introduce here are intrinsically related to the fractional Laplacian. These are basic properties to the fractional Laplace equations and can be useful in the study of related problems.

Keywords: fractional Laplacian, Dirichlet problem, uniqueness and non-uniqueness, L^p -estimate.
2010 MSC: 35A01, 35A02, 35C15, 35S15.

1. Introduction

The $W^{2,p}$ problem plays an essential role in the classical elliptic PDE theory : Consider the following problem [11]:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Assuming $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $f \in L^p(B_1)$, $\vec{b}, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $c \geq 0$ in Ω , one derives the existence and uniqueness of (strong) solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of (1.1). Moreover, the solution u admits the following apriori estimate:

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \quad (1.2)$$

The Dirichlet type problems of fractional Laplacian arose for its applications in physical sciences, probability and finance. Many interesting results have emerged in recent years (see [13, 8, 5, 19, 12, 9, 6, 10, 18]). In this paper, we first consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Very different from case of the classical Laplacian, for the problem (1.3) with fractional Laplace,

^{*}Congming Li & Chenkai Liu are partially supported by NSFC12031012, NSFC11831003 and Institute of Modern Analysis-A Frontier Research Center of Shanghai.

Email addresses: congming.li@sjtu.edu.cn (Congming Li), Lck0427@sjtu.edu.cn (Chenkai Liu)

one can construct a non-trivial solution for $f \equiv 0$:

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} c(n, s) \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{(1 - |x|^2)^s}{|x - y|^n} d\mathcal{H}_y^{n-1} = C(n, s)(1 - |x|^2)^{s-1}, & \text{for } x \in B_1; \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

We search for a sharp condition for the solution to be unique. It is introduced in [17] that maximum principle holds for (1.4) if lower semi-continuity is assumed. As a result, the continuous solution u of (1.3) must be unique. However, the continuous assumption is too strong. Inspired by the work of [1] and [16], we come up with an idea that give a trace-type existence and uniqueness condition for problem (1.3) as follow:

For convenience, we denote $\delta = \text{dist}(\cdot, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)$ and define the weighted L^p -space to be:

$$L_r^p(\Omega) = \{f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \mid \|f\|_{L_r^p(\Omega)} := \|\delta^r f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty\}, \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$, then problem (1.3) has at most one solution $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s}$ that satisfies:*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{\{x \in B_1 \mid \delta(x) \leq \epsilon\}} |u(x)| dx = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, if $f \in L_s^1(B_1)$, then the solution exists.

Remark 1.2. Indeed, for the regular Laplacian case:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

The uniqueness condition $u = 0$ can be understood in the following way:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\{x \in B_1 \mid \delta(x) \leq \epsilon\}} |u(x)| dx = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

In this sense, Theorem 1.1 can be seen as a fractional case generalization.

Remark 1.3. The condition (1.6) in Theorem 1.1 is sharp for the uniqueness to hold. Indeed, one can consider the nontrivial solution (1.4). It is easily shown that u satisfies:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{\{x \in B_1 \mid \delta(x) \leq \epsilon\}} |u(x)| dx = c > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

In the following, we consider a more complicated problem(see applications in [3, 4, 7, 15]):

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu = f & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Here, in order to ensure the ellipticity of this equation, we need $\vec{b} \equiv 0$ if $2s \leq 1$.

In our study, we introduce several subtle estimates and apply the method of continuity to get the following solvability theorem of Dirichlet problem (1.10).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, suppose $\vec{b}, c \in L^\infty(B_1)$, $c \geq 0$ in B_1 and $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s}$ of (1.10) that satisfies the condition*

(1.6). Furthermore, we have the apriori estimate: for a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, s, p and r .

$$\|(-\Delta)^s u\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}. \quad (1.11)$$

The derivative of u can also be estimated:

1. If $p = 1$, then for any q satisfying $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2s+1}$, $|\nabla u| \in L_r^q(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^q(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^1(B_1)}. \quad (1.12)$$

2. If $1 < p < \frac{n}{2s-1}$, then $|\nabla u| \in L_r^{\frac{np}{n-(2s-1)p}}(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^{\frac{np}{n-(2s-1)p}}(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}. \quad (1.13)$$

3. If $p > \frac{n}{2s-1}$, then $|\nabla u| \in L_r^\infty(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^\infty(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}. \quad (1.14)$$

2. Preliminaries

For $0 < s < 1$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s u$ is given by

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(2\pi|\xi|)^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u](\xi)](x) = C_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy, \quad (2.1)$$

Here P.V. stands for the Cauchy principle value, \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform.

One can show that for the above type functions u , it holds that:

$$|(-\Delta)^s u(x)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}}. \quad (2.2)$$

In order that $(-\Delta)^s w$ make sense as a distribution, it is common to define:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2s} = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2s}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y)|}{1 + |y|^{n+2s}} dy < +\infty \right\}.$$

Then for $w \in \mathcal{L}_{2s}$, $(-\Delta)^s w$ as a distribution is well-defined: $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(-\Delta)^s w[\varphi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, the \mathcal{L}_{2s} assumption just makes the integral on the right hand side of (2.3) converges.

For $w \in \mathcal{L}_{2s}$, $(-\Delta)^s w$ is naturally a distribution on Ω , for $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ we say

$$(-\Delta)^s w = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \quad (2.4)$$

if for any test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, it holds that

$$(-\Delta)^s w[\varphi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) dx. \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, for $w \in \mathcal{L}_{2s} \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, $(-\Delta)^s w$ is pointwisely well-defined on Ω by the formula

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, for $w \in \mathcal{L}_{2s} \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, (2.4) indicates that

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = f(x) \quad \text{pointwisely for } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

Define the Poisson kernel $P(\cdot, \cdot)$ and Green's function $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ for s -Laplacian in B_1 [2] as:

$$P(x, y) = c(n, s) \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - 1} \right)^s \frac{1}{|x - y|^n}, \quad \text{for } x \in B_1, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \quad (2.8)$$

$$G(x, y) = \frac{\kappa(n, s)}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \int_0^{\rho(x, y)} \frac{t^{s-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} dt, \quad \text{for } x, y \in B_1, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\rho(x, y)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}{|x - y|^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $P * g$ and $G * f$ be defined as

$$P * g(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} P(x, y) g(y) dy & \text{in } B_1, \\ g(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

$$G * f(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{B_1} f(y) G(x, y) dy & \text{in } B_1, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

The following two results are introduced in [2]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < s < 1$, $f \in C^{2s+\epsilon}(B_1) \cap C(\overline{B_1})$. Then there exists a unique continuous solution: $u = G * f$ that solves the problem (1.3) pointwisely.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $0 < s < 1$, $g \in \mathcal{L}_{2s} \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a unique continuous solution: $u = P * g$ that solves the following problem (2.13) pointwisely.*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

One easily observe from the formula of Green's function that:

Lemma 2.3.

$$G(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1 - |x|)(1 - |y|)}{|x - y|^2} \right]^s, 1 \right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

In this paper, the mollification operator: J_ϵ is needed:

$$J_\epsilon[u](x) = (j_\epsilon * u)(x) = \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} j_\epsilon(x - y) u(y) dy, \quad (2.15)$$

where $j_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(B_\epsilon)$ is the mollifier given by

$$j_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} j\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right). \quad (2.16)$$

Here, $j \in C_0^\infty(B_1)$ is a positive smooth radially symmetric function supported in B_1 satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} j(x) dx = 1$.

In order to solve the problem, we need to introduce several weighted Sobolev space in both fractional sense and regular sense: for $0 < \alpha < 2$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $-1 < r < 1$, we define

Definition 2.4.

$$\mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathcal{L}_{2s} | (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \text{ sense, } (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \in L_r^p(\Omega)\} \quad (2.17)$$

with norm: $\|u\|_{\mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2s}} + \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u\|_{L_r^p(\Omega)}$.

In sight of Theorem 1.1, the following definition is nature to characterise $\mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ functions with, in some sense, zero boundary data:

Definition 2.5.

$$\mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega) | u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \text{ and satisfies condition (1.6)}\} \quad (2.18)$$

with norm: $\|u\|_{\mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{\mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)}$.

A definition of the weighted $W^{1,p}$ space is also needed to fit the above weighted fractional order spaces:

Definition 2.6.

$$W_r^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) | u \text{ and } Du \in L_r^p(\Omega)\} \quad (2.19)$$

with norm: $\|u\|_{W_r^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L_r^p(\Omega)} + \|Du\|_{L_r^p(\Omega)}$.

Remark 2.7. In this paper, we only consider the case when $\Omega = B_1$.

Remark 2.8. It is easy to verify that space $\mathscr{W}_r^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ and $W_r^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces, the completeness immediately comes from the completeness of L^p -spaces.

Remark 2.9. When we restrict $\Omega = B_1$, then space $\mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(B_1)$ is Banach. Indeed, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 implies:

$$\mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(B_1) = \{u = G * f | f \in L_r^p(B_1)\}, \quad (2.20)$$

one can define the equivalent norm as:

$$\|G * f\|_{\mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(B_1)} = \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}. \quad (2.21)$$

An essential observation of this paper is the embedding theorem between such spaces when $\Omega = B_1$ (see Section 4).

3. Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness theorem for the problem (1.3)

Theorem 3.1 (Uniqueness). *Let $0 < s < 1$, $f = 0$ and suppose $u \in L^1(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.3) satisfying (1.6), then $u = 0$ a.e. B_1 .*

Proof. First we do the mollify, let $u_\epsilon(x) = J_\epsilon u(x)$. Then we know $u_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } B_{1-\epsilon} \\ u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{1+\epsilon} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then we can represent u , by using a rescaled version of Lemma 2.2, as

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} P_{1-\epsilon}(x, y) u_\epsilon(y) dy, \text{ for } x \in B_{1-\epsilon}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $P_r(x, y)$ is the rescaled Poisson kernel:

$$P_r(x, y) = c(n, s) \left(\frac{r^2 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - r^2} \right)^s \frac{1}{|x - y|^n}, \text{ for } x \in B_r \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r, \quad (3.3)$$

Now for a given $r \in (0, 1)$, we choose $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1-r}{3}$ and estimate $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}$. Indeed for $x \in B_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\epsilon(x)| &= c(n, s) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \left(\frac{(1-\epsilon)^2 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - (1-\epsilon)^2} \right)^s \frac{u_\epsilon(y)}{|x - y|^n} dy \right| \\ &= c(n, s) \left| \int_{B_{1+\epsilon} \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \left(\frac{(1-\epsilon)^2 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - (1-\epsilon)^2} \right)^s \frac{1}{|x - y|^n} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} u(z) j_\epsilon(y - z) dz dy \right| \\ &\leq c(n, s) \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} \left[\int_{B_{1+\epsilon} \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \left(\frac{(1-\epsilon)^2 - |x|^2}{|y|^2 - (1-\epsilon)^2} \right)^s \frac{j_\epsilon(y - z)}{|x - y|^n} dy \right] |u(z)| dz \\ &\leq c(n, s) \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} \left[\int_{B_{1+\epsilon} \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{(|y| - 1 + \epsilon)} \right)^s \frac{C \chi_{|y-z| \leq \epsilon}}{\epsilon^n \left(\frac{1-r}{3}\right)^n} dy \right] |u(z)| dz \\ &\leq M(r) \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} \left[\int_{(B_{1+\epsilon} \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}) \cap B_\epsilon(z)} \left(\frac{1}{|y| - 1 + \epsilon} \right)^s dy \right] |u(z)| dz \\ &\leq M(r) \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} |u(z)| dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

i.e.

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \leq M(r) \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}} |u(z)| dz. \quad (3.5)$$

Noting the condition (1.6), one obtain:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (3.5).

Since r in (3.6) is arbitrary, one can drive $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in (3.6) and derive $\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.2 (Existence). *Let $0 < s < 1$ and suppose $f \in L_s^1(B_1)$. Then $u = G * f$ solves the problem (1.3). Furthermore, u satisfies the uniqueness condition (1.6).*

Proof. We first show that such u satisfies the condition (1.6).

Indeed, for $\epsilon < \frac{1}{8}$, one calculates:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} |u(x)| dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \left[\int_{B_1} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy \right] dx \\
& = \int_{B_1} \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} G(x, y) dx \right]}_{\text{denote as } A_\epsilon(y)} |f(y)| dy
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Utilizing Lemma 2.3, one estimates A_ϵ as follow:

- If $y \in B_1 \setminus B_{1-2\epsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\epsilon(y) & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-4\epsilon}} G(x, y) dx \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^s} \left[\int_{(B_1 \setminus B_{1-4\epsilon}) \setminus B_{\frac{1-|y|}{2}}(y)} \frac{(1-|x|)^s (1-|y|)^s}{|x-y|^n} dx + \int_{B_{\frac{1-|y|}{2}}(y)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} dx \right] \\
& \leq \frac{C(1-|y|)^s}{\epsilon^s} \int_0^{4\epsilon} \int_{\partial B_{1-t} \setminus B_{\frac{1-|y|}{2}}(y)} \frac{t^s}{|x-y|^n} d\sigma_x dt + C \frac{(1-|y|)^{2s}}{\epsilon^s} \\
& \leq \frac{C(1-|y|)^s}{\epsilon^s} \left[\int_0^{2(1-|y|)} \frac{t^s}{1-|y|} dt + \int_{2(1-|y|)}^{4\epsilon} \frac{t^s}{t-(1-|y|)} dt \right] + C \frac{(1-|y|)^{2s}}{\epsilon^s} \\
& \leq C(1-|y|)^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

- If $y \in B_{1-2\epsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\epsilon(y) & \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} \frac{(1-|x|)^s (1-|y|)^s}{|x-y|^n} dx \\
& = \frac{C(1-|y|)^s}{\epsilon^s} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\partial B_{1-t}} \frac{t^s}{|x-y|^n} d\sigma_x dt \\
& \leq \frac{C(1-|y|)^s}{\epsilon^s} \int_0^\epsilon \frac{t^s}{1-|y|} dt \quad \left(\text{since } \text{dist}(y, \partial B_{1-t}) \geq \frac{1-|y|}{2} \right) \\
& \leq C \frac{\epsilon}{1-|y|} (1-|y|)^s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, $A_\epsilon(y) \leq C(1-|y|)^s$ and $A_\epsilon(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Now, we know $A_\epsilon(y)|f(y)| \leq \delta(y)^s |f(y)| \in L^1(B_1)$ and $A_\epsilon(y)|f(y)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the Lebesgue convergence theorem assures that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^s} \int_{B_1 \setminus B_{1-\epsilon}} |u(x)| dx \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1} A_\epsilon(y) |f(y)| dy = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

I.e. u satisfies the uniqueness condition (1.6).

The above calculation also gives us a basic estimate:

$$\|G * f\|_{L^1(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_s^1(B_1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $f \in L_s^1(B_1)$, we can choose $f_k \in C^\infty(B_1) \cap L_s^1(B_1)$ that converges to f in $L_s^1(B_1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. According to Theorem 2.1, there exists a corresponding solution sequence $\{u_k = G * f_k\}$. (3.11) implies that u_k converges to u in $L^1(B_1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, u as the distributional limit of u_k satisfies (1.3). \square

Remark 3.3. Theorem 1.1 is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2

4. Embedding of $\mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{\alpha,p}(B_1)$ into other spaces

In this section, we want to give some embedding estimates for our newly defined ‘weighted Sobolev’ spaces in Section 2. While, these estimates are essential during our proof of the solvability theorem, they also shows that the spaces we define in Section 2 do make sense. Indeed, we can see these spaces appears to satisfy a similar embedding property as the ordinary Sobolev space with only a little bit adjustment.

From Theorem 1.1, we know: for $0 < r \leq s$, $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ is equivalent to saying $u = G * f$ for some $f \in L_r^p$. Hence to show the embedding theorems, we only need to estimate the Green’s potential $G * f$.

To begin with, we need a technical lemma:

Lemma 4.1. For $x, y \in B_1$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and $-\beta \leq \alpha \leq \beta$.

$$\min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\beta, 1 \right\} \leq 4 \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|} \right)^\alpha. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Notice the symmetry of x and y , we only need to prove for the case $\alpha \geq 0$. Notice also that

$$\min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\beta, 1 \right\} \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\alpha, 1 \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

when $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. It suffices to prove for the case $\alpha = \beta$.

Consider the following two cases:

1. If $1 - |x| \geq 2(1 - |y|)$, then $|x - y| \geq (1 - |x|) - (1 - |y|) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - |x|)$, and hence

$$\min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\alpha, 1 \right\} \leq \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\alpha \leq 4 \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|} \right)^\alpha. \quad (4.3)$$

2. If $1 - |x| \leq 2(1 - |y|)$, then

$$\min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^\alpha, 1 \right\} \leq 1 \leq 2^\alpha \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|} \right)^\alpha \leq 4 \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|} \right)^\alpha. \quad (4.4)$$

\square

4.1. Embedding results

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < s < 1$, $-s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and suppose $u = G * f$ for $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Then we have the following estimates:*

1. If $p = 1$, then for any q satisfying $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2s}$, $u \in L_r^q(B_1)$ with

$$\|u\|_{L_r^q(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^1(B_1)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, q .

2. If $1 < p < \frac{n}{2s}$, then $u \in L_r^{\frac{np}{n-2sp}}(B_1)$ with

$$\|u\|_{L_r^{\frac{np}{n-2sp}}(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, p .

3. If $p > \frac{n}{2s}$, then $u \in L_r^\infty(B_1)$ with

$$\|u\|_{L_r^\infty(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, p .

Proof. Hence

$$G(x, y) \leq \underbrace{\frac{C_0}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \min \left\{ \left[\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right]^s, 1 \right\}}_{\text{by Lemma 4.1}} \leq 4C_0 \frac{(1-|y|)^r}{|x-y|^{n-2s}(1-|x|)^r}. \quad (4.8)$$

For $x \in B_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-|x|)^r |G * f(x)| &= \left| (1-|x|)^r \int_{B_1} G(x, y) f(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq (1-|x|)^r \int_{B_1} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq 4C_0 \int_{B_1} \frac{(1-|y|)^r}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality gives directly the desired result. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 indicates that for $0 < s < 1$, $-s \leq r \leq s$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the following embedding holds:

$$\mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} L_r^q(B_1) & p = 1, \quad 1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2s}, \\ L_r^{\frac{np}{n-2sp}}(B_1) & 1 < p < \frac{n}{2s}, \\ L_r^\infty(B_1) & p > \frac{n}{2s}. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1-s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and suppose $u = G * f$ for $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Then we have the following estimates:*

1. If $p = 1$, then for any q satisfying $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2s+1}$, $|\nabla u| \in L_r^q(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^q(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^1(B_1)}, \quad (4.11)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, q .

2. If $1 < p < \frac{n}{2s-1}$, then $|\nabla u| \in L_r^{\frac{np}{n-(2s-1)p}}(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^{\frac{np}{n-(2s-1)p}}(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \quad (4.12)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, p .

3. If $p > \frac{n}{2s-1}$, then $|\nabla u| \in L_r^\infty(B_1)$ with

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^\infty(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \quad (4.13)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, p .

Proof. We first calculate the gradient of the Green's function.

$$\frac{\nabla_x G(x, y)}{\kappa(n, s)} = -\frac{(n-2s)(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-2s+2}} \int_0^{\rho(x, y)} \frac{t^{s-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} dt + \frac{\nabla_x \rho(x, y)}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \frac{(\rho(x, y))^{s-1}}{(1+\rho(x, y))^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x \rho(x, y) &= -\frac{2x(1-|y|^2)}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{2(x-y)(1-|x|^2)(1-|y|^2)}{|x-y|^4} \\ &= -\frac{2x}{(1-|x|^2)} \rho(x, y) - \frac{2(x-y)}{|x-y|^2} \rho(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla_x G(x, y)}{\kappa(n, s)} &= \frac{(y-x)}{|x-y|^{n-2s+2}} \underbrace{\left[\int_0^{\rho(x, y)} \frac{(n-2s)t^{s-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} dt + \frac{2(\rho(x, y))^s}{(1+\rho(x, y))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right]}_{\text{denote as } I_1(x, y)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2x}{(1-|x|^2)|x-y|^{n-2s}} \underbrace{\frac{(\rho(x, y))^s}{(1+\rho(x, y))^{\frac{n}{2}}}}_{\text{denote as } I_2(x, y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{|\nabla_x G(x, y)|(1-|x|)^r}{\kappa(n, s)} \leq \frac{(1-|x|)^r}{|x-y|^{n-2s+1}} I_1(x, y) + \frac{4I_2(x, y)}{(1-|x|)^{1-r}|x-y|^{n-2s}}, \quad (4.17)$$

where for both $i = 1, 2$, we know

$$I_i \leq \frac{C_i}{4} \min\{(\rho(x, y))^s, 1\} \leq C_i \min\left\{\left(\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2}\right)^s, 1\right\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Apply Lemma 4.1 for I_1 , we have:

$$I_1(x, y) \leq 4C_1 \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|}\right)^r. \quad (4.19)$$

For I_2 , we first observe:

$$I_2(x, y) \leq C_2 \left(\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \min \left\{ \left(\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, 1 \right\}, \quad (4.20)$$

And since $|r - \frac{1}{2}| \leq s - \frac{1}{2}$, apply Lemma 4.1

$$I_2(x, y) \leq 4C_2 \left(\frac{(1-|x|)(1-|y|)}{|x-y|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-|y|}{1-|x|} \right)^{r-\frac{1}{2}} = 4C_2 \frac{(1-|x|)^{1-r} (1-|y|)^r}{|x-y|}. \quad (4.21)$$

Combining this two observations with (4.17), we get

$$|\nabla_x G(x, y)|(1-|x|)^r \leq C_3 \frac{(1-|y|)^r}{|x-y|^{n-2s+1}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Then for given $x \in B_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u(x)|(1-|x|)^r &= \left| (1-|x|)^r \int_{B_1} \nabla_x G(x, y) f(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_1} |\nabla_x G(x, y)|(1-|x|)^r |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq C_3 \int_{B_1} \frac{(1-|y|)^r |f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-2s+1}} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality gives directly the desired result. \square

Remark 4.5. Theorem 4.2 and 4.4 indicates that for $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1-s \leq r \leq s$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the following embedding holds:

$$\mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \hookrightarrow \begin{cases} W_r^{1,q}(B_1) & p = 1, \quad 1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2s+1}, \\ W_r^{1, \frac{np}{n-(2s-1)p}}(B_1) & 1 < p < \frac{n}{2s-1}, \\ W_r^{1,\infty}(B_1) & p > \frac{n}{2s-1}. \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

The above embedding results lead to a continuity result:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1-s \leq r \leq s$, $p > \frac{n}{2s-1}$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$. Then $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\gamma}(B_1)$ for $0 < \gamma \leq 2s - 1 - \frac{n}{p}$.*

Proof. Fix an $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, define

$$f_\epsilon(x) = f(x) \chi_{B_{1-\epsilon}}(x). \quad (4.25)$$

Since $\delta^r f \in L^p(B_1)$, we derive $f \in L^p(B_{1-\epsilon})$ and hence $f_\epsilon \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, define

$$v_\epsilon(x) = c(n, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_\epsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} dy. \quad (4.26)$$

We know $v_\epsilon \in C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(-\Delta)^s v_\epsilon = f_\epsilon = f$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B_{1-\epsilon})$.

Hence, $(-\Delta)^s(u - v_\epsilon) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B_{1-\epsilon})$. This indicates $u - v_\epsilon \in C^\infty(B_{1-2\epsilon})$ [14], and consequently

$$u \in C^{1,\gamma}(B_{1-2\epsilon}). \quad (4.27)$$

□

Theorem 4.7. *Let $0 < r < 1$ and $u \in W_r^{1,\infty}(B_1)$. Then $u \in C^{1-r}(\overline{B_1})$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{1-r}$ for all $x, y \in B_1$.

Indeed, from $u \in W_r^{1,\infty}(B_1)$, we know:

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq M(1 - |x|)^{-r} \quad \text{a.e. } B_1 \quad (4.28)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $|x| \geq |y|$. Consider the following two cases:

1. If $|x - y| \leq 1 - |x| \leq 1 - |y|$, then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq M|x - y|^{1-r}. \quad (4.29)$$

2. If $1 - |x| \leq |x - y| < 1 - |y|$, define

$$x' = \frac{|y|}{|x|}x.$$

Then, $|x'| = |y| > 1 - |x - y|$, $|x' - y| \leq |x - y|$ and $|x - x'| \leq |x - y|$. Consequently:

$$|u(x') - u(y)| \leq M|x - y|^{-r}|x' - y| \leq M|x - y|^{1-r} \quad (4.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(x')| &\leq M \int_{1-|x|}^{1-|y|} t^{-r} dt \leq \frac{M}{1-r} [(1 - |y|)^{1-r} - (1 - |x|)^{1-r}] \\ &\leq \frac{M}{1-r} (|x| - |y|)^{1-r} \leq \frac{M}{1-r} |x - y|^{1-r} \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

and $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq M|x - y|^{1-r} + \frac{M}{1-r}|x - y|^{1-r} \leq C|x - y|^{1-r}$.

3. If $1 - |x| < 1 - |y| \leq |x - y|$, define

$$x' = \frac{1 - |x - y|}{|x|}x, \quad y' = \frac{1 - |x - y|}{|y|}y.$$

Then $1 - |x'| = 1 - |y'| = |x - y|$ and $|x' - y'| \leq |x - y|$. Consequently

$$|u(x') - u(y')| \leq M|x - y|^{-r}|x' - y'| \leq M|x - y|^{1-r}. \quad (4.32)$$

$$|u(x) - u(x')| \leq M \int_{1-|x|}^{|x-y|} t^{-r} dt \leq \frac{M}{1-r} [(|x - y|)^{1-r} - (1 - |x|)^{1-r}] \leq \frac{M}{1-r} |x - y|^{1-r}. \quad (4.33)$$

$$\text{Similarly } |u(y) - u(y')| \leq \frac{M}{1-r} |x - y|^{1-r}. \quad (4.34)$$

and $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq M|x - y|^{1-r} + \frac{M}{1-r}|x - y|^{1-r} + \frac{M}{1-r}|x - y|^{1-r} \leq C|x - y|^{1-r}$.

□

The following compact embedding is also true:

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \subset\subset W_r^{1,p}(B_1)$*

Proof. Observe that $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ indicates that

$$u(x) = G * g = \int_{B_1} G(x,y)g(y) dy \chi_{B_1}(x) \quad \text{for some } g \in L_r^p(B_1) \quad (4.35)$$

Hence, it suffices to prove that each of the following integral operators $\{\Psi_i : L^p(B_1) \rightarrow L^p(B_1)\}_{i=0}^n$ are compact. Here $\{\Psi_i\}$ are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0[f](x) &= \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{1-|x|}{1-|y|} \right)^r G(x,y)f(y) dy, \\ \Psi_j[f](x) &= \int_{B_1} \left(\frac{1-|x|}{1-|y|} \right)^r \partial_{x_j} G(x,y)f(y) dy, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Take Ψ_1 for example. Write $K(x,y) = \left(\frac{1-|x|}{1-|y|} \right)^r \partial_{x_1} G(x,y)$.

It's clear that $K : B_1 \times B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous whenever $x \neq y$. As we have derived in Theorem 4.4, we also have: $|K(x,y)| \leq C \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s+1}}$. Define:

$$K_N(x,y) = \begin{cases} K(x,y) & \text{if } K(x,y) \leq N, \\ \text{continuously extended, and } \leq N & \text{if } K(x,y) > N. \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

Then clearly, $K_N \in C(B_1 \times B_1) \cap L^\infty(B_1 \times B_1)$.

Then, it is a classical result that: for each N , the integral operator $\Omega_N : L^p(B_1) \rightarrow L^p(B_1)$ is a compact operator, where

$$\Omega_N[f](x) := \int_{B_1} K_N(x,y)f(y) dy. \quad (4.38)$$

Another important observation is that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega_N[f] - \Psi_1[f]\|_{L^p(B_1)} &\leq C \left\| \int_{B_1} |K_N(x,y) - K(x,y)| |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L^p(B_1)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_{B_1} \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \chi_{|x-y|^{2s-n} > N} \right| |f(y)| dy \right\|_{L^p(B_1)} \\ &\leq C \| |x|^{2s-n} \chi_{|x|^{2s-n} > N} \|_{L^1(B_2)} \|f\|_{L^p(B_1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Since $\| |x|^{2s-n} \chi_{|x|^{2s-n} > N} \|_{L^1(B_2)} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we know $\Omega_N[f]$ converges uniformly (does not depend on f) to $\Psi_1[f]$ in $L^p(B_1)$ whenever $\|f\|_{L^p(B_1)}$ is uniformly bounded. Hence, a direct diagonal method gives the compactness of Ψ_1 . \square

5. Dirichlet problem for equations with general lower order terms

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4

5.1. A maximum principle for C^1 -solution

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $\vec{b}, c \in L^\infty(B_1)$ and $c \geq 0$ in B_1 . Suppose $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^1(B_1) \cap \mathcal{L}_{2s}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Then $u \geq 0$ in B_1 .

Proof. We prove by contradiction. Denote $u_\delta(x) = J_\delta u(x)$ be the mollification of u . Define:

$$M_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \frac{C_{n,s}}{|y|^{n-2s}} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \frac{C_{n,s}}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} dy \quad \text{for } x \in B_1. \quad (5.2)$$

Suppose that

$$\min_{B_1} u = -2m < 0 \quad \text{and let } K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | u(x) = -2m\} \subset B_1.$$

Step 1. We show that for sufficiently small δ the minimum point of u_δ must be near K .

Indeed, from the continuity of u , K is closed and compact. Let

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(K, \partial B_1) > 0 \quad (5.3)$$

For $0 < \epsilon < \rho_0$, define the ϵ -neighborhood of K as:

$$K_\epsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \text{dist}(x, K) < \epsilon\} \subset B_{1-\rho_0}.$$

Observe that u and ∇u are uniformly continuous in $B_{1-\rho_0}$ with $u = -2m$ and $\nabla u = 0$ in K . Then, there exists $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{\rho_0}{3})$ such that for any $x \in K_{3\epsilon_0}$:

$$u(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u(x)| < \frac{M_0 m}{\|\vec{b}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}}. \quad (5.4)$$

On the one hand, there exist $\sigma \in (0, m)$ such that

$$H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | u(x) < -2m + \sigma\} \subset K_{\epsilon_0}. \quad (5.5)$$

On the other hand, H as an open set containing K satisfies

$$H \supset K_{\delta_0} \quad \text{for some } 0 < \delta_0 < \epsilon_0. \quad (5.6)$$

Consequently, if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and x_0 is a minimum point of u_δ in $\overline{B_2}$, then one must have $x_0 \in K_{2\epsilon_0}$. Further $u_\delta(x_0) \leq -2m + \sigma < m$.

Step 2. We consider u_δ at its minimum point and derive a contradiction.

Letting $\delta < \delta_0$ and mollifying the inequality (5.1), we have

$$(-\Delta)^s u_\delta(x) + J_\delta(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu)(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in B_{1-\delta} \supset B_{1-\rho_0}. \quad (5.7)$$

Taking $x = x_0 \in K_{2\epsilon_0} \subset B_{1-\rho_0}$ to be the minimum point of u_δ in B_2 , we know:

- (a) $u(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in B_\delta(x_0) \subset B_{3\epsilon_0}$, and hence $J_\delta(cu)(x_0) \leq 0$.
- (b) $|\vec{b}(x)| |\nabla u(x)| < M_0 m$ for $x \in B_\delta(x_0) \subset B_{3\epsilon_0}$, and hence $J_\delta(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u)(x_0) < M_0 m$.
- (c) $(-\Delta)^s u_\delta(x_0) = C_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x_0) - u(y)}{|x_0 - y|^{n-2s}} dy < - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2} \frac{m C_{n,s}}{|x_0 - y|^{n-2s}} dy \leq -M_0 m$.

Combining this three facts and (5.7), we derives a contradiction:

$$0 \leq (-\Delta)^s u_\delta(x_0) + J_\delta(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u)(x_0) + J_\delta(cu)(x_0) < -M_0 m + M_0 m + 0 = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

As a consequence of the above contradiction, $u \geq 0$ in B_1 . \square

5.2. The uniqueness

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$ and suppose $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,1}(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.10) for $f = 0$, where $\vec{b}, c \in L^\infty(B_1)$, and $c \geq 0$ in B_1 . Then $u = 0$ in B_1 .*

Proof. Since $f = 0$, we can rewrite (1.10) as

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = -(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu) & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Since $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,1}(B_1)$, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4 ensures that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^q(B_1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L_r^q(B_1)} < \infty, \quad (5.10)$$

for $1 < q < \frac{n}{n-2s+1}$.

Hence, $\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu \in L_r^q(B_1)$, $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,q}(B_1)$. Theorem 4.2 and 4.4 once more gives:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_r^{\frac{nq}{n-(2s-1)q}}(B_1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L_r^{\frac{nq}{n-(2s-1)q}}(B_1)} < \infty. \quad (5.11)$$

Iterating this procedure, we get $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s, \frac{nq}{n-k(2s-1)q}}(B_1)$ for all integer $k < \frac{n}{(2s-1)q}$, and finally $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,\infty}(B_1)$.

Then, by Theorem 4.6 and 4.7 $u \in C^{1-r}(\overline{B_1}) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,2s-1}(B_1)$. The maximum principle (Theorem 5.1) shows that $u = 0$ in B_1 . \square

5.3. Apriori Estimate

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.10), for $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Assume that $\|\vec{b}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|c\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \Lambda$. Then*

$$\|(-\Delta)^s u\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \leq \Lambda \{ \|u\|_{W_r^{1,p}(B_1)} + \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \}. \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. Trivial. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose $u \in \mathscr{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ is a solution of (1.10), for $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Assume that $\|\vec{b}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|c\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \Lambda$. Then*

$$\|(-\Delta)^s u\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \quad (5.13)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, s, p, r and Λ .

Proof. We prove by contradiction.

Suppose that the estimate is not true. Then there exists a sequence of u_k , \vec{b}_k , c_k and f_k with $u_k \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$, $\|\vec{b}_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \|c_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \Lambda$ and $f_k \in L_r^p(B_1)$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^s u_k + \vec{b}_k \cdot \nabla u_k + c_k u_k = f_k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \quad (5.14)$$

but $\|(-\Delta)^s u_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \geq k \|f_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}$. By the linearity, we can also assume $\|u_k\|_{W_r^{1,p}(B_1)} = 1$.

Then, we estimate from Theorem 5.3 that,

$$\|(-\Delta)^s u_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \leq \Lambda \{\|u_k\|_{W_r^{1,p}(B_1)} + \|f_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}\} \leq \Lambda + \frac{\Lambda}{k} \|(-\Delta)^s u_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}. \quad (5.15)$$

Then we know $\|(-\Delta)^s u_k\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \leq 2\Lambda$ for $k \geq 2\Lambda$. Consequently, $\|u_k\|_{\mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)} \leq C$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L_r^p(B_1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By weak compactness, we can choose subsequences and assume:

$$u_k \xrightarrow{w} u_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{W}_r^{2s,p}(B_1), \quad \vec{b}_k \xrightarrow{w^*} \vec{b}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_k \xrightarrow{w^*} c_0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(B_1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, u_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u_0 + \vec{b}_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 + c_0 u_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1, \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

By compact embedding (see Theorem 4.8), $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $W_r^{1,p}(B_1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and consequently $\|u_0\|_{W_r^{1,p}(B_1)} = 1$. This together with (5.16) clearly contradicts the uniqueness (see Theorem 5.2).

This contradiction proves our desired estimate. \square

5.4. The existence

With the uniqueness theorem and the apriori estimate, we are now ready to give a existence theorem to the problem (1.10) by using the continuity method.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $1 - s \leq r \leq s$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, suppose $\vec{b}, c \in L^\infty(B_1)$, $c \geq 0$ in B_1 and $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$. Then there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ that solves the problem (1.10)*

Proof. To prove this theorem, we use the method of continuity.

First, we define $\mathcal{L}_\tau : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow L_r^p(B_1)$, as: $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = (-\Delta)^s u + \tau(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla u + cu)$. and consider the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\tau u = f & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1), \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1. \end{cases} \quad (5.17)$$

We say $\mathcal{L}_\tau : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow L_r^p(B_1)$ is invertible, if for any given $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$ there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ that solves (5.17). Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_0 = (-\Delta)^s : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow L_r^p(B_1)$ is invertible (see Corollary 1.1). Hence, we can define the non-empty set:

$$E = \{\sigma \in [0, 1] | \mathcal{L}_\sigma : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow L_r^p(B_1) \text{ is an invertible operator}\} \ni 0. \quad (5.18)$$

Suppose that $\sigma \in E$, write $\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1} : L_r^p(B_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ as the inverse of \mathcal{L}_σ . For any $\tau \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$, we know $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ is a solution of (5.17) if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma u = f + (\mathcal{L}_\sigma - \mathcal{L}_\tau)u = f + (\sigma - \tau)(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla + c)u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_1). \quad (5.19)$$

i.e.

$$u = \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1}f + (\sigma - \tau)\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1}(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla + c)u \quad (5.20)$$

Define an operator $T : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ as:

$$Tv = \mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1}f + (\sigma - \tau)\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1}(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla + c)v. \quad (5.21)$$

Then for any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$, we know from Theorem 5.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^s(Tv_1 - Tv_2)\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} &= |\sigma - \tau| \left\| (-\Delta)^s [\mathcal{L}_\sigma^{-1}(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla + c)(v_1 - v_2)] \right\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \\ &\leq C_1 |\sigma - \tau| \|(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla + c)(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \\ &\leq C_1 \Lambda |\sigma - \tau| \left(\|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_{L_r^p(B_1)} \right) \\ &\leq C_2 |\sigma - \tau| \|(-\Delta)^s(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L_r^p(B_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Hence, $T : \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ is a contraction for $|\sigma - \tau| < \epsilon_0 = C_2^{-1}$.

For any $\tau \in [0, 1]$ with $|\sigma - \tau| < \epsilon_0$, the Banach fixed point theorem guarantees a unique $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ such that $u = Tu$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = f$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B_1)$. Hence, $\tau \in E$ whenever $|\sigma - \tau| < \epsilon_0$ for some $\sigma \in E$.

Then, by dividing $[0, 1]$ into $[\epsilon_0^{-1}] + 1$ parts, we derive $[0, 1] \subseteq E$. Now we have $1 \in E$, i.e. for all $f \in L_r^p(B_1)$ there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{W}_{r,0}^{2s,p}(B_1)$ that solves (1.10). \square

References

- [1] N. ABATANGELO, S. JAROHS, AND A. SALDAÑA, *Green function and Martin kernel for higher-order fractional Laplacians in balls*, Nonlinear analysis, 175 (2018), pp. 173–190.
- [2] C. BUCUR, *Some observations on the Green function for the ball in the fractional Laplace framework*, COMMUNICATIONS ON PURE AND APPLIED ANALYSIS, 15 (2016), pp. 657–699.
- [3] X. CABRÉ AND Y. SIRE, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, i: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, in Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis, vol. 31, Elsevier, 2014, pp. 23–53.
- [4] L. CAFFARELLI AND L. SILVESTRE, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Communications in partial differential equations, 32 (2007), pp. 1245–1260.
- [5] L. CAFFARELLI AND L. SILVESTRE, *Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations*, Communications on Pure & Applied Mathematics, 62 (2010).
- [6] W. CHEN AND C. LI, *Maximum principles for the fractional p -Laplacian and symmetry of solutions*, (2017).
- [7] W. CHEN, C. LI, AND Y. LI, *A direct method of moving planes for the fractional Laplacian*, Advances in Mathematics, 308 (2017), pp. 404–437.
- [8] W. CHEN, C. LI, AND B. OU, *Classification of solutions for an integral equation*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 59 (2006), pp. 330–343.

- [9] J. DVILA, L. DUPAIGNE, AND J. WEI, *On the fractional Lane-Emden equation*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 369 (2016), pp. 6087–6104.
- [10] M. FAZLY AND J. WEI, *On finite Morse index solutions of higher order fractional Lane-Emden equations*, American Journal of Mathematics, 139 (2017), pp. 433–460.
- [11] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 224 (1991), pp. 469–484.
- [12] S. KIM, M. MUSSO, AND J. WEI, *Existence theorems of the fractional Yamabe problem*, Analysis & PDE, 11 (2016).
- [13] C. LI, *Local asymptotic symmetry of singular solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 123 (1996), pp. 221–231.
- [14] C. LI, C. LIU, Z. WU, AND H. XU, *Non-negative solutions to fractional Laplace equations with isolated singularity*, Advances in Mathematics, 373 (2020), p. 107329.
- [15] C. LI, Z. WU, AND H. XU, *Maximum principles and Bôcher type theorems*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, (2018), p. 201804225.
- [16] X. ROS-OTON AND J. SERRA, *The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 101 (2014), pp. 275–302.
- [17] L. SILVESTRE, *Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 60 (2007), pp. 67–112.
- [18] Z. WU AND H. XU, *Symmetry properties in systems of fractional Laplacian equations*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 39 (2019), pp. 1559–1571.
- [19] R. ZHANG, *Non-local curvature and topology of locally conformally flat manifolds*, Advances in Mathematics, 335 (2015), pp. 587–613.