

# Decay estimate in a viscoelastic plate equation with past history, nonlinear damping, and logarithmic nonlinearity

Bhargav Kumar Kakumani\* and Suman Prabha Yadav

Department of Mathematics, BITS-Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad, India.

June 28, 2022

## Abstract

In this article, we consider a viscoelastic plate equation with past history, nonlinear damping, and logarithmic nonlinearity. We prove explicit and general decay rate results of the solution to the viscoelastic plate equation with past history. Convex properties, logarithmic inequalities, and generalised Young's inequality are mainly used to prove the decay estimate.

**Keywords:** Viscoelasticity, Local existence, Convexity, Decay estimate, Logarithmic nonlinearity.

**2020 MSC:** 35A01, 35B35, 35L55, 74D10, 93D20.

## 1 Introduction

In this article, we consider the decay rate results of the solution to a viscoelastic plate equation with past history. Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u$  denotes the transverse displacement of waves. Assume  $u_0, u_1$  are given initial data, then the partial differential equation is governed by the plate equation is given by:

$$\begin{cases} |u_t|^\rho u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + \Delta^2 u_{tt} + u - \int_0^\infty b(s) \Delta^2 u(t-s) ds + h(u_t) = ku \ln |u|, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, -t) = u_0(x, t), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $b, h$  are functions (defined later) and  $\rho$  is a positive constant ( $\rho > 0$  if  $n \geq 2$  and  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{2}{n-2}$  if  $n \geq 3$ ).

Viscoelasticity takes into account of time independent solid behaviour (namely, elastic) and time dependent fluid behaviour (namely, viscosity). Some properties of viscoelastic materials are similar to those of elastic solids and some with Newtonian viscous fluids. Because of the significant advancements in the rubber and plastics industries, the importance of material viscoelastic characteristics has been recognised.

We begin our review with Dafermos' pioneer paper [5], in which the author presented the following one-dimensional viscoelastic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \rho u_{tt} = cu_{xx} - \int_{-\infty}^t g(t-\tau) u_{xx} d\tau, & x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, & t \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{cases}$$

---

\*bhargav@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

Dafermos' proved that for smooth monotonic decreasing relaxation functions, the solutions go to zero as  $t$  tends to infinity, he also established an existence result. However, no rate of decay has been specified. After that many author tries to prove the local/global existence results and also tries to calculate the explicit decay result. In [7] the authors considered viscoelastic wave equation with infinite memory given by the equation:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta u(t-s)ds = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

and established energy decay result without taking any assumption on the boundedness of initial data and any growth constraint on the damping term. Aissa Guesmia and S.A.Messaoudi in [9] considered:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\operatorname{div}(a_1(x)\nabla u(s))ds + \int_0^\infty g_2(s)\operatorname{div}(a_2(x)\nabla u(t-s))ds = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are two positive nonincreasing functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $a_1, a_2$  are nonnegative bounded function defined on  $\Omega$ . The authors in [9] proved the general decay result. Later, A.M. Mahdi in [2] considered the problem (1) with  $h = 0$  and established an explicit and general decay rate results. For more work related to the past history problems, refer to [8, 4, 12, 6, 11, 3] (and the references there in). Throughout this paper, we consider the following hypothesis:

(H1) Let  $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a nonincreasing  $C^1$ - function which satisfies:

$$0 < b(0), 1 - \int_0^\infty b(\tau)d\tau = l > 0.$$

(H2) Assume that  $B : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a  $C^1$  function which is linear or strictly convex  $C^2$  function and strictly increasing on  $(0, r_1]$ , where  $r_1 \leq b(0)$ ,  $B(0) = B'(0) = 0$  and  $B$  satisfies

$$b'(t) \leq -\xi(t)B(b(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $C^1$  nonincreasing positive function with  $\xi(0) > 0$ .

(H3) Let  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nondecreasing continuous function which satisfies (for some  $c_1, c_2, \epsilon$  are positive constants):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(|t|) &\leq |h(t)| \leq \tilde{h}^{-1}(|t|), \quad \forall |t| \leq \epsilon, \\ c_1 |t| &\leq |h(t)| \leq c_2 |t|, \quad \forall |t| \geq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{h} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $\tilde{h}(0) = 0$  which is strictly increasing function. When  $\tilde{h}$  is nonlinear, define  $H$  to be a strictly convex  $C^2$  function in  $(0, r_2]$ , where  $r_2 > 0$  such that  $H(t) = \sqrt{t}\tilde{h}(\sqrt{t})$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Since  $B$  is strictly convex on  $(0, r_1]$  and  $B(0) = 0$ , then

$$B(\theta t) \leq \theta B(t), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \text{ and } t \in (0, r_1].$$

In this article, we give certain notations and declare existence results in Section 2. In addition, we express a couple of Lemmas in Section 2 that will be useful later. We state and establish the decay rate estimate in Section 3, as well as present an example to demonstrate the decay rate.

## 2 Preliminaries and Existence results

In this section, we review Dafermos' theory (see [5]) and define the energy functional that is relevant to our problem. We also state a local existence result and a couple of additional Lemma's that will be useful later on. We introduce the function  $\eta$  as follows:

$$\eta^t(x, s) = u(x, t) - u(x, t - s), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2)$$

then the initial and boundary conditions are obtained as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \eta^t(x, 0) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \eta^t(x, s) = 0, \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \\ \eta^0(x, s) = \eta_0(x, s) = u_0(x, 0) - u_0(x, s), \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, (2) implies

$$\eta_s^t(x, s) + \eta_t^t(x, s) = u_t(x, t). \quad (3)$$

After combining (1) and (2), we obtain the following system

$$\begin{cases} |u_t|^\rho u_{tt} + l\Delta^2 u + \Delta^2 u_{tt} + u - \int_0^\infty b(s)\Delta^2 \eta^t ds + h(u_t) = ku \ln |u|, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ \eta_s^t(x, s) + \eta_t^t(x, s) - u_t(x, t) = 0, \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with the following initial and boundary data

$$\begin{cases} u(x, -t) = u_0(x, t), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \\ \eta^0(x, s) = \eta_0(x, s) = u_0(x, 0) - u_0(x, s), \quad \eta^t(x, 0) = 0, \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0 \quad \eta^t(x, s) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \partial\Omega, \quad \forall s, t \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The energy functional associated with system (4) is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{\rho+2} \|u_t\|_{\rho+2}^{\rho+2} + \frac{l}{2} \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{k}{2} \int_\Omega u^2 \ln |u| dx + \frac{k+2}{4} \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (b \circ \Delta \eta^t), \quad (6)$$

where  $(b \circ \Delta \eta^t)(t) = \int_0^\infty b(s) |\Delta \eta^t|^2 ds$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2 = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ . Differentiating  $E(t)$  with  $t$  and making use of system (4), we obtain

$$E'(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} (b \circ \Delta \eta^t) - \int_\Omega u_t h(u_t) \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

**Remark 2.1.** For any  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain that

$$(b \circ \Delta \eta^t) = (b \circ \Delta \eta^t)^{\frac{\epsilon_0}{1+\epsilon_0}} (b \circ \Delta \eta^t)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} \leq c (b \circ \Delta \eta^t)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(u_0(\cdot, 0), u_1) \in H_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^2(\Omega)$ , and assume that the hypothesis (H1)-(H3) hold. Then the problem (1) has weak solution on  $[0, T]$ .

The proof of the above theorem can be obtained by following similar lines given in [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** (Cf. [2], Lemma 3.1) There exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\int_t^\infty b(s) (\Delta \eta^t(x, s))^2 ds dx \leq M f_1(t),$$

where  $f_1(t) = \int_0^\infty b(s+t) (1 + \|\Delta u_0(s)\|_2^2) ds$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that (H1)-(H3) holds, for some  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 < E(0) < d^1$ . Define the functional's*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(t) &:= \frac{1}{\rho+1} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^\rho u_t u dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta u_t dx, \\ \psi_2(t) &:= - \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta^2 u_t + \frac{1}{\rho+1} |u_t|^\rho u_t \right) \int_0^\infty b(s) \eta^t(s) ds dx,\end{aligned}$$

and  $L(t) := mE(t) + \epsilon\psi_1(t) + \psi_2(t)$ , where  $m, \epsilon \geq 0$  then  $L$  satisfies the following:

- (I)  $L \sim E$  (i.e.,  $\alpha_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \alpha_2 E(t)$ , for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ ),  
 (II)  $L'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c(b \circ \Delta \eta^t)(t) + c(b \circ \Delta \eta^t)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}(t) + c \int_{\Omega} h^2(u_t) dx$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (Cf. [1], Lemma 4.1) *Let  $h$  satisfies (H3). Then, the solution of (1) satisfies*

$$\int_{\Omega} h^2(u_t) dx \leq c \left( H^{-1}(G(t)) - E'(t) \right),$$

where  $G(t) := \frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \int_{\Omega} u_t h(u_t) dx \leq -cE'(t)$  and  $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : |u_t| \leq \epsilon\}$  for some  $c, \epsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** (Cf. [4], Lemma 3.3) *Let  $\delta_0 > 0$  and assume that (H1)-(H2) holds, then we have  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\int_0^t b(s) |\Delta \eta^t|^2 ds \leq \left( \frac{1+t}{\delta_0} \right) B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 \mu(1+t)}{t\xi(t)} \right),$$

where

$$\mu(t) := \int_0^t b'(s) |\Delta \eta^t|^2 ds \leq -cE'(t).$$

### 3 Decay result

We state and prove the major result in this section. We also provide an example to demonstrate the decay rate result. We introduce a few notations and a function for this purpose:

$$W_1(t) := \int_t^1 \frac{1}{sW'(s)} ds, \quad W_2(t) = tW'(t), \quad W_3(t) = t(W')^{-1}(t), \quad (8)$$

where  $W(t) = ((B^{-1}(t))^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + H^{-1})^{-1}$ . Further, denote  $\mathcal{S}$  to be the class of functions  $\chi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $C^1$  function satisfying  $\chi \leq 1$  and  $\chi' \leq 0$ . Also, for fixed  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , assume that  $\chi$  satisfies the estimate:

$$C_2 W_3^* \left[ \frac{c}{\delta_1} q(t) f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}(t) \right] \leq C_1 \left( W_2 \left( \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)} \right) - \frac{W_2(W_4(t))}{\chi(t)} \right), \quad (9)$$

where  $W_3^*$  (defined in (14)) is convex conjugate of  $W_3$ . Let  $\delta_1, c > 0$  are generic constants,  $q(t) = (t+1)^{-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}$  and

$$W_4(t) = W_1^{-1} \left( C_1 \int_0^t \xi(s) ds \right). \quad (10)$$

**Note:** For  $\epsilon$  small enough with  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ ,  $\epsilon W_4(s) \in \mathcal{S}$ . So, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is non empty.

---

<sup>1</sup>where  $d$  denotes the depth of the potential well, see [10] for such construction of  $d$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that the solution to problem (1) satisfies,*

$$E(t) \leq \frac{CW_4(t)}{\chi(t)q(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* Using Lemmas (2.1)- (2.4), note that

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) &\leq -mE(t) + \left[ \left( \frac{t+1}{\delta_0} \right) B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 \mu(t)}{(t+1)\xi(t)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} \\ &\quad + \left[ c \int_0^\infty b(t+s)(1 + \|\Delta u_0(s)\|_2^2) ds \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + cH^{-1}(G(t)) - cE'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $L_1(t) := (L + cE)(t)$ , then the above inequality can be written as

$$L_1'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \left[ \left( \frac{t+1}{\delta_0} \right) B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 \mu(t)}{(t+1)\xi(t)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + [cf_1(t)]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + cH^{-1}(G(t)).$$

Using Remark (1.1) with  $\theta = \frac{1}{t+1}$  for all  $t > 0$ , we obtain

$$B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 \mu(t)}{(t+1)\xi(t)} \right) \leq B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 q(t)\mu(t)}{\xi(t)} \right).$$

Hence,

$$L_1'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \left( \frac{t+1}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} B^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta_0 q(t)\mu(t)}{\xi(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + [cf_1(t)]^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + \frac{c}{\delta_0 q(t)} H^{-1}(G(t)q(t)). \quad (12)$$

Denote  $\beta(t) := \max \left( \frac{\delta_0 q(t)\mu(t)}{\xi(t)}, G(t)q(t) \right)$  and recall  $W(t) = ((B^{-1})^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} + H^{-1})^{-1}(t)$ .

Then (12) becomes, for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$L_1'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \frac{1}{\delta_0 q(t)} W^{-1}(\beta(t)) + cf_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}.$$

Let  $0 < \epsilon_1 < r := \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ , define the functional  $L_2$  as

$$L_2(t) := W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) L_1(t),$$

then it is easy to observe that  $L_2 \sim E$ , also

$$L_2'(t) \leq -mE(t) W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + c \frac{1}{\delta_0 q(t)} W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) W^{-1}(\beta(t)) + cf_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right), \quad (13)$$

Let the convex conjugate of  $W$  is denoted by  $W^*$  and is defined as

$$W^*(\tau) = \tau(W')^{-1}(\tau) - W[(W')^{-1}(\tau)], \quad \tau \in (0, W'(r)). \quad (14)$$

Using Generalized Young's inequality,  $W^*$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{B} \leq W^*(\tilde{A}) + W(\tilde{B}), \quad \tilde{A} \in (0, W'(r)], \text{ and } \tilde{B} \in (0, r]. \quad (15)$$

Therefore with  $\tilde{A} = W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right)$  and  $\tilde{B} = W^{-1}(\beta(t))$ , (13) leads to

$$L_2'(t) \leq -mE(t) W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) + \frac{c}{\delta_0 q(t)} W^* \left( W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right) \right) + c \frac{\beta(t)}{\delta_0 q(t)} + cf_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} W' \left( \epsilon_1 q(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right).$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\xi(t)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(t)L'_2(t) &\leq -m\xi(t)E(t)W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{c\xi(t)}{\delta_0q(t)}W^*\left(W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + c\xi(t)\frac{\beta(t)}{\delta_0q(t)} + c\xi(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Define a functional  $L_3 := \xi L_2 + cE \sim E$ . Since  $\xi(t)\beta(t) \leq -cE'(t)$  and  $W^*(W'(t)) \leq tW'(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L'_3(t) &\leq -mE(t)\xi(t)W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{c}{\delta_0}\epsilon_1\xi(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + c\xi(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{mE(0)}{\epsilon_1} - \frac{c}{\delta_0}\right)\epsilon_1\xi(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + c\xi(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (8) and choosing  $\epsilon_1$  such that  $k := \left(\frac{mE(0)}{\epsilon_1} - c\right) > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L'_3(t) &\leq -k\epsilon_1\xi(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + c\xi(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \\ &\leq -k\frac{\xi(t)}{q(t)}W_2\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + c\xi(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Since  $W'_2(t) = W'(t) + tW''(t)$ , using the property of  $W$ , we conclude that  $W_2(t), W'_2(t) > 0$  on  $(0, r]$ . Making use of (15) with  $\tilde{A} = W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)$  and  $\tilde{B} = \left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right]$  where  $\delta_1 > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}cf_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) &= \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right]W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}W_3\left(W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)\right) + \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}W^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)W'\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}W_3^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}W_2\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{\delta_1}{q(t)}W_3^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right].\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Now, combining (16) and (17), choose  $\delta_1$  small enough so that  $k_1 = (k - \delta_1) > 0$ , we have

$$L'_3(t) \leq -k_1\frac{\xi(t)}{q(t)}W_2\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{\delta_1\xi(t)}{q(t)}W_3^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right],\tag{18}$$

using nonincreasing property of  $W_2$  and for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$  which satisfies  $\gamma_1 L_3(t) \leq E(t) \leq \gamma_2 L_3(t)$ . We have, for some  $\alpha = \frac{\gamma_1}{E(0)} > 0$ ,

$$W_2\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \geq W_2\alpha L_3(t)q(t).$$

Assume  $L_4(t) := \alpha L_3(t)q(t)$ , then using (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}L'_4(t) &\leq \alpha q(t)\left(-k_1\frac{\xi(t)}{q(t)}W_2\left(\epsilon_1q(t)\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) + \frac{\delta_1\xi(t)}{q(t)}W_3^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right]\right) \\ &\leq -C_1\xi(t)W_2(L_4(t)) + C_2\xi(t)W_3^*\left[\frac{c}{\delta_1}q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}}\right],\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where  $C_1 = \alpha k_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 = \alpha \delta_1 > 0$ . Since,  $L_3 \sim E$ , we have  $L_4(t) \leq \alpha_0 E(t)q(t)$  for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$ . From the definition of  $\chi$ , we can estimate  $E(t)$  by considering two cases:

**Case I:** If  $\alpha_0 E(t)q(t) \leq 2 \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)}$ , then we get

$$E(t) \leq \left( \frac{2}{\alpha_0} \right) \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)q(t)}. \quad (20)$$

**Case II:** If  $\alpha_0 E(t)q(t) \geq 2 \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)}$ , then observe that for any  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , we have  $\alpha_0 q(s)E(s) > 2 \frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}$ . Therefore, we get

$$L_4(s) > 2 \frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (21)$$

Using Remark (1.1),  $0 < \chi(t) \leq 1$  and the property of  $W_2$ , we have for any  $0 < \epsilon_2 \leq 1$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(\epsilon_2 \chi(s)L_4(s) - \epsilon_2 W_4(s)) &= W_2\left(\epsilon_2 \chi(s)L_4(s) - \frac{\epsilon_2 \chi(s)W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right) \\ &\leq \epsilon_2 \chi(s)W_2\left(L_4(s) - \frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right) \\ &\leq \epsilon_2 \chi(s)\left(L_4(s) - \frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right)W'\left(L_4(s) - \frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (21), we have

$$W_2(\epsilon_2 \chi(t)L_4(s) - \epsilon_2 W_4(s)) \leq \epsilon_2 \chi(s)L_4(s)W'(L_4(s)) - \epsilon_2 \chi(s)\frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}W'\left(\frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (22)$$

Denote the functional  $L_5$  as

$$L_5(t) := \epsilon_2 \chi(t)L_4(t) - \epsilon_2 W_4(t), \quad (23)$$

where  $\epsilon_2$  is chosen in such a way that  $L_5(0) \leq 1$ . Using (8), inequality (22) can be written as,

$$W_2(L_5(s)) \leq \epsilon_2 \chi(s)W_2(L_4(s)) - \epsilon_2 \chi(s)W_2\left(\frac{W_4(s)}{\chi(s)}\right), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (24)$$

Since,  $L_5'(t) = \epsilon_2 \chi'(t)L_4(t) + \epsilon_2 \chi(t)L_4'(t) - \epsilon_2 W_4'(t)$ , using (19) we obtain

$$L_5'(t) \leq -C_1 \xi(t) \epsilon_2 \chi(t) W_2(L_4(t)) + C_2 \epsilon_2 \xi(t) \chi(t) W_3^* \left[ \frac{c}{\delta_1} q(t) f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} \right] - \epsilon_2 W_4'(t),$$

applying (22) to the above inequality, we get

$$L_5'(t) \leq -C_1 \xi(t) W_2(L_5(t)) + C_2 \epsilon_2 \xi(t) \chi(t) W_3^* \left[ \frac{c}{\delta_1} q(t) f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} \right] - C_1 \epsilon_2 \xi(t) \chi(t) W_2\left(\frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)}\right) - \epsilon_2 W_4'(t). \quad (25)$$

From the definition of  $W_1$  and  $W_4$  we have  $W_4'(t) = -C_1 \xi(t) W_2(W_4(t))$ , and using (9) observe that

$$\epsilon_2 \xi(t) \chi(t) \left( C_2 W_3^* \left[ \frac{c}{\delta_1} q(t) f_1^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}} \right] - C_1 W_2\left(\frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)}\right) + C_1 \frac{W_2(W_4(t))}{\chi(t)} \right) \leq 0,$$

therefore (25) leads to,

$$L_5'(t) \leq C_1 \xi(t) W_2(L_5(t)). \quad (26)$$

From (8) and (26) we obtain

$$C_1 \xi(t) \leq (W_1(L_5(t)))'.$$

Integrating the above inequality over  $[0, t]$ , observe that

$$W_1(L_5(t)) \geq C_1 \int_0^t \xi(s) - W_1(L_5(0)).$$

Since  $W_1$  is decreasing,  $L_5(0) \leq 1$  and  $W_1(1) = 0$ , we have

$$L_5(t) \leq W_1^{-1}\left(C_1 \int_0^t \xi(s) ds\right) = W_4(t).$$

From (23), we get

$$L_4(t) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2}\right) \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)}.$$

Similarly, recalling the definition of the functional  $L_4$ , we get

$$L_3(t) \leq \left(\frac{1 + \epsilon_2}{\alpha_0 \epsilon_2}\right) \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)q(t)}, \quad (27)$$

since  $L_3 \sim E$ , for some  $c > 0$  we have  $E(t) \leq cL_3$ , then (27) becomes,

$$E(t) \leq \left(\frac{c(1 + \epsilon_2)}{\alpha_0 \epsilon_2}\right) \frac{W_4(t)}{\chi(t)q(t)}, \quad (28)$$

from (20) and (28), we conclude that

$$E(t) \leq \frac{CW_4(t)}{\chi(t)q(t)}, \quad \text{where } C = \max\left(\frac{2}{\alpha_0}, \frac{c(1 + \epsilon_2)}{\delta_0 \epsilon_2}\right).$$

Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Example 3.1.** Here we present an example which demonstrates the main theorem of this paper. Assume that  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $c$  is a positive generic constant and

$$b(t) = c_0(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \xi(t) = \frac{c_0^{1-p}}{p-1}, \quad H(t) = t^{p(1+\epsilon_0)},$$

where  $0 < c_0 < \frac{2-p}{p-1}$  and  $p$  is defined later. Moreover, assume that  $u_0$  satisfies

$$[1 + \|\Delta u_0\|_2^2] \sim (1+t)^\lambda, \quad \text{for } \lambda < \frac{2-p}{p-1}.$$

Then recalling the definitions from (8) and (10), we obtain:

$$W(t) = ct^{p(1+\epsilon_0)}, \quad W_1(t) = c(t^{1-p(1+\epsilon_0)} - 1), \quad W_2(t) = ct^{p(1+\epsilon_0)}, \quad W_3(t) = ct^{\frac{p(1+\epsilon_0)}{p(1+\epsilon_0)-1}}, \\ W_3^*(t) = ct^{p(1+\epsilon_0)}, \quad W_4(t) = c(t+1)^{\frac{1}{1-p(1+\epsilon_0)}}.$$

Note that  $q(t)f_1^{\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon_0)}}(t) \sim (t+1)^{\left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)}$ .

Now, choose  $\chi(t) = (t+1)^\gamma$ , where  $\gamma < \min\left(0, -\frac{1}{p(1+\epsilon_0)-1} + \frac{1+\lambda-\lambda p}{(p-1)(1+\epsilon_0)}\right)$ . Then it is easy to observe that  $\chi(t)$  satisfies (9). Therefore (11) implies

$$E(t) \leq \begin{cases} c(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0}\left(\frac{2-p}{p-1}-\lambda\right)}, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \text{ and } 1 < p < 2, \\ c(t+1)^{-\left(\frac{2-p+\epsilon_0(1-p)}{(1+\epsilon_0)(p-1+p\epsilon_0)}\right)}, & \text{if } \lambda \leq 0 \text{ and } 1 < p < \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, from the above estimate we conclude that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = 0$ .



## References

- [1] M.M. Al-Gharabli, A.M. Al-Mahdi, and S. A. Messaoudi. General and optimal decay result for viscoelastic problem with nonlinear boundary feedback. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 25:551–572, 2019.
- [2] A. M. Al-Mahdi. Stability result of a viscoelastic plate equation with past history and a logarithmic nonlinearity. *Boundary Value Problems*, 84(2020), 2020.
- [3] A. M. Al-Mahdi and M. M. Al-Gharabli. New general decay results in an infinite memory viscoelastic problem with nonlinear damping. *Boundary Value Problems*, 140, 2019.
- [4] A.M. Al-Mahdi and M.M.Al-Gharabli. Energy decay in a viscoelastic equation with past history and boundary feedback. *Applicable Analysis*, 2021.
- [5] C. M. Dafermos. Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 37:297–308, 1970.
- [6] C. Giorgi, M. Grasselli Grasselli, and P. Vittorino. Well-posedness and longtime behavior of the phase-field model with memory in a history space setting. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, 59:701–736, 12 2001.
- [7] A. Guesmia. New general decay rates of solutions for two viscoelastic wave equations with infinite memory. *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, 25(3):351–373, 2020.
- [8] Aissa Guesmia. Asymptotic stability of abstract dissipative systems with infinite memory. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 382(2):748–760, 2011.
- [9] Aissa Guesmia and S. A. Messaoudi. A general decay result for a viscoelastic equation in the presence of past history and finite history memories. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 13:476–485, 2012.
- [10] B. K. Kakumani and S. P. Yadav. Global existence and decay estimates for a viscoelastic plate equation with nonlinear damping and logarithmic nonlinearity. *Communicated in Arxiv*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.00983.pdf>.
- [11] Jum-Ran Kang. Long-time behavior of a suspension bridge equations with past history. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 265:509–519, 2015.
- [12] M. A. Jorge Silva and T. F. Ma. On a viscoelastic plate equation with history setting and perturbation of p-laplacian type,. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 78(6):1130–1146, 2013.