

FRANKEL'S PROPERTY FOR FREE BOUNDARY MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN THE RIEMANNIAN SCHWARZSCHILD MANIFOLDS

JAEHOON LEE AND EUNGBEOM YEON

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior of minimal hypersurfaces in the Schwarzschild n -manifolds that intersect the horizon orthogonally along the boundary. We show that a free boundary minimal hypersurface and a totally geodesic hyperplane must intersect when the distance between them is achieved in a bounded region. In particular, when $n = 3$, a free boundary minimal surface with an end of logarithmic growth must meet a plane through the origin.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of general relativity, the Schwarzschild solution to an Einstein field equation is an important model in which one can describe local geometry of space-time in the solar system. It represents an empty space-time outside the spherical mass, which also has spherical symmetry and asymptotically flat behavior ([10]). The Schwarzschild solution can be described as follows:

$$M^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \geq \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \right\}, \quad g_{Sch}^n = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Here, $|x| = g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and m is defined as an ADM mass of the manifold (see [3] for the definition). The spherical mass body is called a horizon, denoted as ∂M^n throughout the paper, and it is a totally geodesic hypersurface in M^n . Additional examples of embedded minimal hypersurfaces are totally geodesic hyperplanes orthogonal to ∂M^n . It should be noted that the Schwarzschild solution is the only radially symmetric solution to the Einstein equation. As the term on the metric is the Green function of Laplacian, we see that M^n is scalar flat.

One of the most important aspects of the Riemannian Schwarzschild manifold M^n is to study minimal submanifolds in M^n . Schoen and Yau in [14] proved that asymptotically planar stable minimal surfaces cannot exist in asymptotically Schwarzschild manifolds. In [3], it was generalized to non-existence results of complete stable properly embedded minimal surfaces. As mentioned in [3], properness in the theorem can be omitted if there exists a horizon boundary in the manifold ([4]). In fact, positivity of ADM mass plays an important role in obstructing the existence of stable minimal surfaces. In [5], again non-existence results of minimal

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A10, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotically flat manifold, Schwarzschild manifold.

surfaces in asymptotically Schwarzschild 3-manifolds were given. They showed that there is no such a minimal surface perturbing the Euclidean catenoid in asymptotically Schwarzschild 3-manifolds.

We showed in Corollary 3.4 that a free boundary minimal surface in a half-space cannot have logarithmic growth provided that it has quadratic area growth and finite total curvature. It is worthwhile noting that the obstruction does not hold for higher dimensional manifolds in [3]. In [6], obstruction of the horizon became more clear as they showed that there exist complete properly embedded minimal planes passing through an arbitrary given point in asymptotically flat 3-manifolds assuming the absence of closed minimal surfaces. One can also consider uniqueness and existence problems on complete minimal surfaces with a number of boundaries supported on some constraint surface. It was noted in [3] that the above non-existence results also apply to the stable free boundary minimal surfaces with weakly mean convex boundary supported on the horizon in asymptotically Schwarzschild 3-manifolds.

Indeed, a question was raised in [6] that asks if the horizon and totally geodesic planes are the only embedded minimal surfaces in the Riemannian Schwarzschild manifold M^n . Brendle [2] showed the analogous version of the problem for closed constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in manifolds with certain warped product metrics, including the Schwarzschild metric. The above question is interesting in the following manner. In this paper, we are mainly interested in existence and non-existence results on free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in the Schwarzschild manifolds. First, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. (Theorem 3.1) *Let Σ^{n-1} be a smooth connected two-sided properly immersed minimal hypersurface in the Riemannian Schwarzschild n -manifold (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) such that $\partial\Sigma^{n-1} \subset \partial M^n$ and Σ^{n-1} meets ∂M^n orthogonally along $\partial\Sigma^{n-1}$. Suppose that the distance between Σ^{n-1} and a totally geodesic plane P is achieved in a bounded region in M^n . Then Σ^{n-1} and P must intersect.*

As an immediate corollary to the above theorem, we get the following half-space theorem.

Theorem 2. (Corollary 3.3) *Let Σ^{n-1} and (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) be as above. Suppose that Σ^{n-1} is on one side of a totally geodesic hyperplane P and the distance between Σ^{n-1} and P is achieved in a bounded region in M^n . Then $\Sigma^{n-1} = P$.*

Note that Theorem 2 could be seen as a half-space theorem in the Schwarzschild manifold. Contrary to cases of minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n where we can get half-space theorems applying maximum principle at infinity using catenoidal piece ([11]), the Schwarzschild manifolds are harder to apply maximum principle since there are few minimal examples known in addition to the fact that the minimality is not invariant under translations and scaling in M^n . The main idea of proving Theorem 1 was to apply Frankel's argument on geodesics in M^n . As in the literature (for example, see [8, 13]), Frankel's argument was normally adapted under the variation of geodesics when the ambient manifold has positive Ricci curvature. It is very unlikely to expect the argument could be applied to prove the result.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a detailed description of the Riemannian Schwarzschild manifolds, including properties of geodesics. New observations on Ricci curvature along geodesics are given so that one can see how the Schwarzschild metric affects the geometry of minimal hypersurfaces in a global way. With the help of these observations, we prove our main result (Theorem 3.1) which directly allows us to prove the half-space theorem (Corollary 3.4) in Section 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Jaigyoung Choe for his interest and valuable comments. We also wish to express our gratitude to Hojoo Lee for raising a question on minimal cones in Remark 3.2. J. Lee was supported by a KIAS Individual Grant MG086401 at Korea Institute for Advanced Study. E. Yeon was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea NRF-2022R1C1C2013384 and in part by NRF-2021R1A4A1032418.

2. GEOMETRY OF THE RIEMANNIAN SCHWARZSCHILD MANIFOLD

2.1. Doubled Schwarzschild manifolds. Let $\widehat{M}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ ($n \geq 3$) be a Riemannian manifold with a metric $\widehat{g}^n := \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, where $g_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the Euclidean metric and $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm. Consider a map $I : \widehat{M}^n \rightarrow \widehat{M}^n$ given by

$$I(x) = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} \cdot \frac{x}{|x|^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots, 0)\},$$

which is an inversion with respect to the Euclidean sphere $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right\}$. Then it gives rise to an isometry of \widehat{M}^n . This follows from the fact that I is a conformal map in the Euclidean space with the conformal factor $\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \frac{1}{|x|^4}$ and I satisfies

$$\left(1 + \frac{m}{2|I(x)|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \frac{1}{|x|^4} = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}.$$

Since the Riemannian Schwarzschild n -manifold (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) is defined by

$$M^n = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \geq \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right\}, \quad g_{Sch}^n = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

we may write $\widehat{M}^n = M^n \cup I(M^n)$. Therefore \widehat{M}^n is often called the *doubled Schwarzschild n -manifold*. There are totally geodesic hypersurfaces in M^n as sets of fixed points under the isometries:

- The horizon $\partial M^n = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right\}$.
- Hyperplanes passing through the origin.

One can prove that these are the only totally geodesic hypersurfaces in \widehat{M}^n . Indeed, being a totally geodesic in \widehat{M}^n implies being a totally umbilic in the Euclidean space, thus becoming part of an $(n-1)$ -sphere or a hyperplane. Among spheres and hyperplanes, it can be seen that only the horizon and hyperplanes passing through the origin are totally geodesic in the doubled Schwarzschild manifold.

2.2. Geodesics. Let us introduce a function ϕ_n and express the conformal factor as $e^{2\phi_n(x)} = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}$ for notational convenience. We describe geodesics in (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) that are perpendicular to totally geodesic hyperplanes. Note that each of them is contained in a 2-plane determined by the initial position vector and the normal direction of the totally geodesic hyperplane. Therefore it is sufficient to consider the following forms:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(s) = r(s) (\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s), 0, \dots, 0), \\ \theta(0) = 0, \dot{\theta}(0) > 0, r(0) = r_0, \dot{r}(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Here, s is an arclength and $r_0 \geq \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$. Since

$$\dot{\gamma} = \dot{r} (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0, \dots, 0) + r \dot{\theta} (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (2.2)$$

we have

$$1 = g_{Sch}^n(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = e^{2\phi_n(\gamma)} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = e^{2\phi_n(\gamma)} (\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$r_0 \dot{\theta}(0) = e^{-\phi_n(\gamma(0))} = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r_0^{n-2}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n-2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Recall that the Riemannian connection ∇ of M^n is given by

$$\nabla_X Y = \bar{\nabla}_X Y + d\phi_n(X)Y + d\phi_n(Y)X - g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X, Y)\bar{\nabla}\phi_n, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of the Euclidean space and X, Y are tangent vector fields. Now the geodesic equation for γ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} &= \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} + 2d\phi_n(\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} - g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})\bar{\nabla}\phi_n \\ &= \ddot{\gamma} + 2g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\bar{\nabla}\phi_n, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} - e^{-2\phi_n(\gamma)}\bar{\nabla}\phi_n = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where we used (2.3) in the second equality. (2.6) together with

$$\bar{\nabla}\phi_n = -\frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} \gamma,$$

we obtain

$$\ddot{\gamma} - \frac{2m\dot{r}}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} \dot{\gamma} + \frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}} \gamma = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.1), (2.2) and

$$\ddot{\gamma} = \left(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2\right) (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0, \dots, 0) + \left(2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta}\right) (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0, \dots, 0),$$

(2.7) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{2m\dot{r}^2}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} + \frac{m}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}} \right) (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & + \left(2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta} - \frac{2m\dot{r}\dot{\theta}}{r^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} \right) (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0, \dots, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{2m\dot{r}^2}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} + \frac{m}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta} - \frac{2m\dot{r}\dot{\theta}}{r^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

It follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \dot{\theta} \right) \\ & = r \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \left(2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta} - \frac{2m\dot{r}\dot{\theta}}{r^{n-2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)} \right) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \dot{\theta} = r_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r_0^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \dot{\theta}(0) =: C_0. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that $C_0 = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r_0^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}$ by (2.4).

It is well known that geodesics are complete in M^n because the metric on M^n is conformal to the Euclidean one. However, we prove the following lemma to see a more detailed aspect of such geodesics:

Lemma 2.1. *If $r_0 > \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$, then $r(s)$ is a strictly increasing function of s and $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} r(s) = +\infty$.*

Proof. By (2.1), (2.4) and (2.8),

$$\ddot{r}(0) = \frac{r_0^{n-2} - \frac{m}{2}}{r_0^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r_0^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}} > 0.$$

Hence $\dot{r}(s) > 0$ for s near 0. Using (2.3) and (2.10), we derive

$$\dot{r}^2 = \frac{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - C_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{8}{n-2}}}$$

and therefore

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - C_0^2}}{r \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} \quad (2.11)$$

for s near 0. Observing (2.11) $r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - C_0^2$ is a strictly increasing function of r if $r > \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$ and is zero at $s = 0$, so it cannot achieve zero again as long as (2.11) holds. Thus, we may conclude that (2.11) holds for all $s \geq 0$. Moreover, the right hand side of (2.11) is also an increasing function of r , so it is clear that $r \rightarrow +\infty$ as s increases. \square

2.3. Ricci curvature along geodesics. We continue to deal with geodesics as in (2.1) and show a new observation in Proposition 2.3 that plays an essential role in this paper.

Let γ be a geodesic in M^n as in (2.1). To begin with, we compute the Ricci curvature of M^n along γ . It is not difficult to derive from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) &= \overline{\text{Ric}}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) - \overline{\text{Hess}}\phi_n(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) + (d\phi_n(\dot{\gamma}))^2 \\ &\quad - |\overline{\nabla}\phi_n|^2 g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) - \overline{\Delta}\phi_n \cdot g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}), \end{aligned}$$

where all the over-lined terms correspond to the Euclidean metric. The following result is just a simple computation, so we only state the formula without proof:

Lemma 2.2.

$$\text{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = \frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \left[\frac{nC_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} + \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \frac{m}{r^{n-2}} - (n-1) \right],$$

where C_0 is a constant defined in (2.10).

Using (2.10), (2.11) and the behavior of $r = r(s)$ proved in Lemma 2.1, one can easily confirm that γ eventually approaches asymptotically to Euclidean geodesic lines. However, the Ricci curvature has a negative sign in radial directions. Therefore the following inequality is not straightforward to predict and depends heavily on the global properties of the Schwarzschild metrics.

Proposition 2.3. For any $r_0 \geq \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$ and $d > 0$,

$$\int_0^d -\text{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds < 0.$$

Proof. If $r_0 = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$, the geodesic will continue to exist on the horizon. In this case, $\text{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$ is a positive constant $\frac{n+2}{2^{\frac{n}{n-2}} m^{\frac{2}{n-2}}}$, so the inequality immediately follows.

Suppose that $r_0 > \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$. As it can be seen from Lemma 2.2, the Ricci curvature is positive at the beginning and changes to be negative when r is sufficiently large. Because we proved that r is an increasing function of s in Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to prove the inequality for the case when $d \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty -\text{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \left[(n-1) - \frac{nC_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} - \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \frac{m}{r^{n-2}} \right] ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \left[(n-1) - \frac{nC_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

we can finish the proof if we show the following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{m}{r^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \left[(n-1) - \frac{nC_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} \right] ds < 0. \quad (2.12)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we may substitute s by r . We have

$$ds = \frac{1}{\dot{r}} dr = \frac{r \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}}{\sqrt{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - C_0^2}} dr$$

from (2.11), and the integral in (2.12) becomes

$$\int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{m}{r^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^2} \left[(n-1) - \frac{nC_0^2}{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - C_0^2}} dr.$$

We again substitute $r \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}$ by u . Then

$$r^{n-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u^{n-2} - m + \sqrt{u^{n-2}(u^{n-2} - 2m)} \right)$$

and

$$dr = \frac{u^{n-3}}{2r^{n-2}} \left(1 + \frac{u^{n-2} - m}{\sqrt{u^{n-2}(u^{n-2} - 2m)}} \right) du = \frac{ru^{n-3}}{\sqrt{u^{n-2}(u^{n-2} - 2m)}} du.$$

Therefore we can express the integral as

$$\int_{C_0}^\infty \frac{m}{u} \left[(n-1) - \frac{nC_0^2}{u^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 - C_0^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^{n-2}(u^{n-2} - 2m)}} du.$$

Finally, if we substitute u by $C_0 t$, the integral is expressed as

$$\frac{m}{C_0^{n-1}} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left[(n-1) - \frac{n}{t^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^{n-2}(t^{n-2}-\alpha)}} dt,$$

where $\alpha := \frac{2m}{C_0^{n-2}} \in (0, 1)$. Now proving (2.12) is equivalent to proving

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left[(n-1) - \frac{n}{t^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t^2-1)t^{n-2}(t^{n-2}-\alpha)}} dt < 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

We show this inequality in Lemma 2.4 below, and we have the result. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left[(n-1) - \frac{n}{t^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t^2-1)t^{n-2}(t^{n-2}-\alpha)}} dt < 0.$$

Proof. Substitute t by $\frac{1}{v}$. Then

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left[(n-1) - \frac{n}{t^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t^2-1)t^{n-2}(t^{n-2}-\alpha)}} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{v^{n-2} ((n-1) - nv^2)}{\sqrt{(1-v^2)(1-\alpha v^{n-2})}} dv,$$

and by letting $v = \sin \psi$, we derive

$$\int_0^1 \frac{v^{n-2} ((n-1) - nv^2)}{\sqrt{(1-v^2)(1-\alpha v^{n-2})}} dv = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(n-1) \sin^{n-2} \psi - n \sin^n \psi}{\sqrt{1-\alpha \sin^{n-2} \psi}} d\psi.$$

Using the fact that the series

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2j+1}} \binom{2j+1}{j} x^{j+1}$$

converges uniformly in $|x| \leq \alpha$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(n-1) \sin^{n-2} \psi}{\sqrt{1-\alpha \sin^{n-2} \psi}} d\psi &= (n-1) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{n-2} \psi d\psi \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2j+1}} \binom{2j+1}{j} \alpha^{j+1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (n-1) \sin^{(j+2)(n-2)} \psi d\psi \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{n \sin^n \psi}{\sqrt{1-\alpha \sin^{n-2} \psi}} d\psi &= n \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^n \psi d\psi \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2j+1}} \binom{2j+1}{j} \alpha^{j+1} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} n \sin^{(j+2)(n-2)+2} \psi d\psi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$n \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^n \psi d\psi = (n-1) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{n-2} \psi d\psi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} n \sin^{(j+2)(n-2)+2} \psi d\psi &= n \frac{(j+2)(n-2)+1}{(j+2)(n-2)+2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{(j+2)(n-2)} \psi d\psi \\ &> \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (n-1) \sin^{(j+2)(n-2)} \psi d\psi, \quad \forall j \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we can conclude that

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(n-1) \sin^{n-2} \psi - n \sin^n \psi}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha \sin^{n-2} \psi}} d\psi < 0$$

and the inequality is obtained. \square

Remark 2.5. In the literature, asymptotically flat metrics similar to the Schwarzschild ones also have been considered. They are defined as

$$g = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{\frac{n-2k}{k}}} \right)^{\frac{4k}{n-2k}} g_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$

where n is a dimension and k is a positive integer. We remark that a similar calculation also yields the same inequality as in Proposition 2.3 provided that $n > 2k \geq 2$. One may refer to [7] for the physical background of these examples.

3. FREE BOUNDARY MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN M^n

We prove that totally geodesic hyperplanes attract free boundary minimal hypersurfaces by applying Frankel's argument([8]):

Theorem 3.1. *Let Σ^{n-1} be a smooth connected two-sided properly immersed minimal hypersurface in the Riemannian Schwarzschild n -manifold (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) such that $\partial\Sigma^{n-1} \subset \partial M^n$ and Σ^{n-1} meets ∂M^n orthogonally along $\partial\Sigma^{n-1}$. Assume that the distance between Σ^{n-1} and a totally geodesic hyperplane P is achieved in a bounded region, i.e.,*

$$\inf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{M^n}(\Sigma^{n-1} \cap B_R, P \cap B_R) = \text{dist}_{M^n}(\Sigma^{n-1} \cap B_{R_0}, P \cap B_{R_0}) \quad (3.13)$$

for some $R_0 \in \left[\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}, \infty \right)$. Here, B_R denotes the n -dimensional Euclidean ball of radius R centered at the origin. Then Σ^{n-1} and P must intersect.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is, the distance is positive:

$$\inf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{M^n}(\Sigma^{n-1} \cap B_R, P \cap B_R) = \text{dist}_{M^n}(\Sigma^{n-1} \cap B_{R_0}, P \cap B_{R_0}) > 0.$$

Recall that the inversion I with respect to ∂M^n is an isometry of the doubled Schwarzschild manifold \widehat{M}^n (see Section 2). Both Σ^{n-1} and P meet ∂M^n orthogonally, so we obtain smooth minimal hypersurfaces $\widehat{\Sigma}^{n-1} := \Sigma^{n-1} \cup I(\Sigma^{n-1})$ and $\widehat{P} := P \cup I(P)$ in \widehat{M}^n . By the assumption, the distance between $\widehat{\Sigma}^{n-1}$ and \widehat{P} is also achieved in a bounded region in \widehat{M}^n and is positive. Therefore there exists a geodesic $\gamma = \gamma(s) : [0, d] \rightarrow \widehat{M}^n$ realizing the distance, where s is an arclength

parametrization and $\gamma(0) \in \widehat{P}$. Note that γ is orthogonal to both $\widehat{\Sigma^{n-1}}$ and \widehat{P} . Then, by the uniqueness of geodesics, we may assume that γ lies in M^n . (This can also be followed by the fact that I is an isometry and γ is minimizing.)

Now we apply Frankel's argument([8]) to γ . Choose orthonormal basis of $T_{\gamma(0)}P$ and extend them to parallel normal vector fields $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$ along γ . For each j , consider a variation of γ generated by E_j . The second variation formula for the arclength reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 L_\gamma(E_j, E_j) &= g_{Sch}^n(\nabla_{E_j} E_j(\gamma(d)), \dot{\gamma}(d)) \\ &\quad - g_{Sch}^n(\nabla_{E_j} E_j(\gamma(0)), \dot{\gamma}(0)) - \int_0^d \mathbf{R}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, E_j, E_j, \dot{\gamma}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up for all j ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta^2 L_\gamma(E_j, E_j) \\ &= g_{Sch}^n(\vec{H}_\Sigma(\gamma(d)), \dot{\gamma}(d)) - g_{Sch}^n(\vec{H}_P(\gamma(0)), \dot{\gamma}(0)) - \int_0^d \mathbf{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds \\ &= - \int_0^d \mathbf{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where \vec{H} is the mean curvature vector. Note that the first inequality comes from the fact that γ is minimizing, and we used minimality of Σ^{n-1} and P in the last equality. However, we proved in Proposition 2.3 that

$$- \int_0^d \mathbf{Ric}_{M^n}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds < 0$$

for any $d > 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus Σ^{n-1} and P must intersect. \square

Remark 3.2. We see that dimension of P can be less than $n - 1$ since it is totally geodesic. On the other hand, cones over minimal submanifolds in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} also give us nontrivial minimal examples in M^n . In fact, we can set P as codimension 1 minimal cones to have the same result since tangent spaces of minimal cones are totally geodesic hyperplanes and minimizing geodesics are identical to the ones shown in Section 2.

Normally, Frankel's argument was applied to manifolds with positive Ricci curvature contrary to our cases ([9, 8, 13]). On the other hand, Frankel's property has been generalized to f -minimal hypersurfaces in smooth metric measure spaces $(M, g, e^{-f} d\text{Vol})$. In many cases, the following Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature is assumed to be positive:

$$\mathbf{Ric}_f := \mathbf{Ric} + \text{Hess}f.$$

For related results, see [12] and the references therein.

A minimal hypersurface in the Schwarzschild manifold can be considered as a f -minimal hypersurface in the smooth metric measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{\mathbb{R}^n}, e^{-f} d\text{Vol})$, where

$$f = -\log \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}.$$

We can calculate the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature in this case as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_f(A, B) &= \frac{2m}{|x|^n \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}} \right)} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A, B) \\ &\quad - \frac{2m(n|x|^{n-2} + m)}{|x|^{2n} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}} \right)^2} g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X, A) g_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X, B). \end{aligned}$$

We see that it is negative in radial directions. Furthermore as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ we get $|\text{Ric}_f| \rightarrow 0$, so there is no positive lower bound for $|\text{Ric}_f|$. Therefore our result shows that Frankel's argument also can be applied to some non-positively curved manifolds.

The above theorem implies the following half-space theorem for a subclass of free boundary minimal hypersurfaces in M^n :

Corollary 3.3 (Half-space theorem). *Let Σ^{n-1} and (M^n, g_{Sch}^n) be as in Theorem 3.1. Suppose that Σ^{n-1} is on one side of a totally geodesic hyperplane P and satisfies (3.13) with respect to P . Then $\Sigma^{n-1} = P$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\Sigma^{n-1} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Since Σ^{n-1} is on one side of P , Σ^{n-1} should touch P at some points. Then the result follows from the boundary or interior maximum principle. \square

According to the result in [1], an embedded minimal end in M^3 , that has finite total curvature and quadratic area growth, eventually becomes a graph over some totally geodesic plane. Moreover, it can have only two types of asymptotic behavior; it either has logarithmic growth or is bounded. Now consider a free boundary minimal surface with one complete embedded end that has logarithmic growth over a totally geodesic plane P . It is clear that such a minimal surface satisfies (3.13) with respect to P since the height above P goes to infinity as it moves away from the horizon. Therefore the following statement immediately follows from Corollary 3.3:

Corollary 3.4. *Let Σ^2 and (M^3, g_{Sch}^3) be as in Theorem 3.1. Assume that Σ^2 has finite total curvature and quadratic area growth. Assume further that Σ^2 has only one complete embedded end. If Σ^2 is on one side of a totally geodesic plane P , then it cannot have logarithmic growth.*

Remark 3.5. It is generally difficult to classify asymptotic behaviors of complete minimal ends without additional assumptions such as conditions on total curvature, volume growth, or stability (see [1, 3, 5] for more details). Therefore it can be said that (3.13) of Theorem 3.1 covers, in a sense, a wide class of minimal ends.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Bernard and T. Rivière, *Ends of immersed minimal and Willmore surfaces in asymptotically flat spaces*. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **28** (2020), no. 1, 1–57.
- [2] S. Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, **117** (2013), 247–269.
- [3] A. Carlotto, *Rigidity of stable minimal hypersurfaces in asymptotically flat spaces*. *Calc. Var. Partial. Differ. Equ.*, **55** (2016), no. 54, 53–73.
- [4] A. Carlotto, O. Chodosh and M. Eichmair, *Effective versions of the positive mass theorem*. *Invent. Math.*, **206** (2016), 975–1016.
- [5] A. Carlotto and A. Mondino, *A non-existence result for minimal catenoids in asymptotically flat spaces*. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **2** (2017), no. 95(2), 373–392.
- [6] O. Chodosh and D. Ketover, *Asymptotically flat three-manifolds contain minimal planes*. *Adv. Math.*, **337** (2018), 171–192.
- [7] J. Crisóstomo, R. Troncoso and J. Janelli, *Black hole scan*. *Phys. Rev. D*, **62** (2000), no. 8, 084013.
- [8] T. Frankel, *On the fundamental group of a compact minimal submanifold*. *Ann. of Math.*, **83** (1966), 68–73.
- [9] A. Fraser and M. Li, *Compactness of the space of embedded minimal surfaces with free boundary in three-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and convex boundary*. *J. Differ. Geom.*, **96** (2014), no. 2, 183–200.
- [10] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*. Cambridge University Press (1973).
- [11] D. Hoffman and W. Meeks, *The strong half-space theorem for minimal surfaces*. *Invent. Math.*, **101** (1990), 373–377.
- [12] D. Impera, S. Pigola and M. Rimoldi, *The Frankel property for self-shrinkers from the viewpoint of elliptic PDEs*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **2021** (2021), no. 773, 1–20.
- [13] H.B. Lawson, *The unknottedness of minimal embeddings*. *Invent. Math.*, **11** (1970), 183–187.
- [14] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **65** (1979), 45–76.

JAEHOON LEE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOE-GIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: jaehoonlee@kias.re.kr

EUNGBEOM YEON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: ebeom.yeon@pusan.ac.kr