

Covering b -Symbol Metric Codes and the Generalized Singleton Bound

Hao Chen *

June 28, 2022

Abstract

Symbol-pair codes were proposed for the application in high density storage systems, where it is not possible to read individual symbols. Yaakobi, Bruck and Siegel proved that the minimum pair-distance of binary linear cyclic codes satisfies $\lceil d_2 \geq \frac{3d_H}{2} \rceil$ and introduced b -symbol metric codes in 2016. In this paper covering codes in b -symbol metrics are considered. Some examples are given to show that the Delsarte bound and the Norse bound for covering codes in the Hamming metric are not true for covering codes in the pair metric. We give the redundancy bound on covering radii of linear codes in the b -symbol metric and give some optimal codes attaining this bound. Then we prove that there is no perfect linear symbol-pair code with the minimum pair distance 7 and there is no perfect b -symbol metric code if $b \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Moreover a lot of cyclic and algebraic-geometric codes are proved non-perfect in the b -symbol metric. The covering radius of the Reed-Solomon code as a b -symbol code is determined. As an application the generalized Singleton bound on the sizes of list-decodable b -symbol codes is also presented. Then an upper bound on lengths of general MDS symbol-pair codes is proved.

Index terms: b -Symbol metric, Covering code, The Delsarte bound, The Norse bound, Redundancy bound, Reed-Solomon code, Perfect b -symbol metric code. List-decodable b -symbol code, MDS symbol-pair code, The generalized Singleton bound.

*Hao Chen is with the College of Information Science and Technology/Cyber Security, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, 510632, China, haochen@jnu.edu.cn. The research of Hao Chen was supported by NSFC Grant 62032009.

1 Introduction

The Hamming weight $wt(\mathbf{a})$ of a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is the number of non-zero coordinate positions. The Hamming distance $d_H(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ between two vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} is the Hamming weight of $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$. The minimum Hamming distance of a code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$,

$$d_H(\mathbf{C}) = \min_{\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}} \{d_H(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}\},$$

is the minimum of Hamming distances $d_H(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ between any two different codewords \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} in \mathbf{C} . Theory of Hamming error-correcting codes has been fully developed and numerous nice constructions have been proposed, we refer to [19]. The Singleton bound for a linear $[n, k, d]_q$ code is $d_H \leq n - k + 1$. When the equality holds, this code is an MDS code. Let \mathbf{F}_q be an arbitrary finite field, P_1, \dots, P_n be $n \leq q$ elements in \mathbf{F}_q . The Reed-Solomon code is defined by

$$RS(n, k) = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in \mathbf{F}_q[x], \deg(f) \leq k - 1\}.$$

This is a $[n, k, n - k + 1]_q$ linear MDS codes attaining the Singleton bound, since a degree $\deg(f) \leq k - 1$ nonzero polynomial has at most $k - 1$ roots.

A code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is called (d, L) list-decodable in the Hamming metric if each ball $B_H(\mathbf{x}, d) = \{\mathbf{y} : d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq d\} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, contains at most L codewords of \mathbf{C} for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$, see [27]. The generalized Singleton bound

$$|\mathbf{C}| \leq Lq^{n - \lfloor \frac{(L+1)d}{L} \rfloor}$$

for (d, L) list-decodable Hamming metric codes was proved in [27]. When $d = \lfloor \frac{d_H(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor$ and the list size $L = 1$, this is the classical Singleton bound.

For a code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, we define its covering radius in the Hamming metric by

$$R_H(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}} \{wt(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})\}.$$

Hence the Hamming balls $B(x, R_H(\mathbf{C}))$ centered at all codewords $x \in \mathbf{C}$, with the radius $R_H(\mathbf{C})$ cover the whole space \mathbf{F}_q^n , and moreover this radius is the smallest possible such radius. For this beautiful topic of coding theory on covering codes, we refer to the nice book [7].

A code in the Hamming metric is perfect if the covering radius of this code is $R_H(\mathbf{C}) = \lfloor \frac{d_H(\mathbf{C})-1}{2} \rfloor$. For a length n perfect code \mathbf{C} , the whole space \mathbf{F}_q^n is the disjoint union of the Hamming balls of the radius $R_H(\mathbf{C}) = \lfloor \frac{d_H(\mathbf{C})-1}{2} \rfloor$ centered at all codewords. Then this is a beautiful intersecting point of both packing and covering problems in the Hamming metric space \mathbf{F}_q^n . Perfect codes in the Hamming metric have the same parameters as parameters of Hamming codes, or the binary $[23, 12, 7]_2$ Golay code or the ternary $[11, 6, 5]_3$ Golay code, see [7, 20, 30]. We cite the comment in page 49, [19], "The classification of perfect codes as summarized in this theorem was a significant and difficult piece of mathematics." Covering codes and in particular perfect codes in rank-metric have been studied in [1, 6, 17]. Another interesting intuition of the generalized covering radii of linear codes was introduced and studied in a recent paper [13].

Hence it seems good to study perfect codes in b -symbol metrics. There have been extensive research on covering radii of codes in the Hamming metric. For example, in a paper [12] published in 1991, covering radii of more than six thousand binary cyclic codes were calculated and determined. On the other hand many MDS symbol-pair codes have been constructed by cyclic codes or constacyclic codes, see [5, 9, 10, 24, 25]. Then what will happen when these well-known linear codes such as cyclic codes, constacyclic codes, Reed-Solomon codes and algebraic-geometric codes are considered as covering b -symbol metric codes?

Symbol-pair codes were introduced for high density data storage, we refer to [2, 3]. Set

$$(\mathbf{F}_q^n)^2 = \{(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3), \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_n), (x_n, x_1)\} : \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{F}_q^n\}.$$

The space $(\mathbf{F}_q^n)^b$ for $b = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ can be defined similarly. The pair metric on \mathbf{F}_q^n is defined as follows. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$, the mapping $\pi_2 : \mathbf{F}_q^n \rightarrow (\mathbf{F}_q^n)^2$ is defined by $\pi_2(\mathbf{x}) = ((x_1, x_2), \dots, (x_n, x_1)) \in (\mathbf{F}_q^n)^2$. The pair weight of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is

$$wt_2(\mathbf{x}) = wt_H(\pi_2(\mathbf{x})) = \{i : (x_i, x_{i+1}) \neq 0\}.$$

The pair distance d_2 is defined by

$$d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = wt_2(\pi_2(\mathbf{x}) - \pi_2(\mathbf{y})),$$

see [2, 3]. It follows from the definition that

$$d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + 1 \leq d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq 2d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

The ball in the pair-metric is $B_2(\mathbf{x}, r) = \{\mathbf{y} : d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq r\}$. For a code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, the minimum pair distance is

$$d_2(\mathbf{C}) = \min\{d_2(\pi_2(\mathbf{x}), \pi_2(\mathbf{y})) : \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}\}.$$

The Singleton bound $|\mathbf{C}| \leq q^{n-d_P+2}$ for symbol-pair codes was proved in [4] and some MDS symbol-pair codes have been constructed in [4,5,9,11,24,25]. In [31] b -symbol metric was introduced and the following nice lower bound of the minimum pair distances for linear binary cyclic codes was proved,

$$d_2 \geq \lceil \frac{3d_H}{2} \rceil.$$

A symbol-pair code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is called (d_{list}, L) list-decodable if each ball $B_2(\mathbf{x}, d_{list}) \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, contains at most L codewords of \mathbf{C} for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$. The list-decodability and list-decoding of symbol-pair codes have been studied in [22]. More constructions and bounds for symbol-pair codes was given in [14]. Generalized pair weights of linear codes were introduced and studied in [21].

We recall the b -symbol metric on \mathbf{F}_q^n for $2 \leq b \leq n-1$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$, set $\pi_b(\mathbf{x}) = ((x_1, \dots, x_b), (x_2, \dots, x_{b+1}), \dots, (x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{b-1})) \in (\mathbf{F}_q^n)^b$. Then the b -symbol Hamming metric on \mathbf{F}_q^n was introduced in [31]. The b -symbol weight of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is

$$wt_b(\mathbf{x}) = wt_H(\pi_b(\mathbf{x})) = \{i : (x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+b-1}) \neq 0\}.$$

The b -symbol distance is defined by

$$d_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = wt_b(\pi_b(\mathbf{x}) - \pi_b(\mathbf{y})).$$

The b -symbol ball in \mathbf{F}_q^n is $B_b(\mathbf{x}, r) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n : d_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq r\}$. It is clear

$$d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + b - 1 \leq d_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq b d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

see Proposition 3 in [31]. The minimum b -symbol distance of a code \mathbf{C} is

$$d_b(\mathbf{C}) = \min\{d_b(\pi_b(\mathbf{x}), \pi_b(\mathbf{y})) : \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}\}.$$

For recent work on minimum b -symbol distances of linear cyclic codes, we refer to [10, 29].

For a b -symbol code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, we define its covering radius in the b -symbol Hamming metric by

$$R_b(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}} \{d_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c})\}.$$

Hence the balls $B_b(x, R_b(\mathbf{C}))$ centered at all codewords $x \in \mathbf{C}$, with the radius $R_b(\mathbf{C})$ cover the whole space \mathbf{F}_q^n , and moreover this radius is the smallest possible such radius. To the best of our knowledge there has been no previous work on covering codes in the b -symbol metric. It is interesting to determine perfect codes in the b -symbol metric, that is these codes $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ satisfying

$$R_b(\mathbf{C}) = \lfloor \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor.$$

A b -symbol code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ is called (d_{list}, L) list-decodable if each ball $B_b(\mathbf{x}, d_{list}) \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, contains at most L codewords of \mathbf{C} for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$. There is no previous work about list-decodable codes in the b -symbol metric.

There are many classical beautiful results about covering codes in the Hamming metric, for example, the Delsarte bound in [8] and the Norse bound in [18]. We give some examples to show these bounds are not true for covering codes in the pair metric. Then the redundancy upper bound on covering radii of linear codes in the b -symbol metric is given and some optimal codes attaining this bound is constructed. The covering radius of the Reed-Solomon code in the b -symbol metric is determined. We prove that perfect b -symbol metric code does not exist when $b \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$ and perfect linear symbol-pair code of the minimum pair distance 7 does not exist neither. Moreover it is proved that a linear $[n, k]_q$ code can not be perfect in the $2(k+1)$ -symbol metric. Many well-known cyclic codes, constacyclic codes and algebraic-geometric codes are proved not perfect in the pair metric. On the other hand the generalized Singleton bound on list-decodable b -symbol codes is proved as an application of covering b -symbol metric codes. From our generalized Singleton bound on symbol-pair codes, we give an upper bound on the lengths of a MDS symbol-pair codes.

2 The covering codes in the b -symbol metric

We prove the following two upper bounds on the covering radii of linear codes in \mathbf{F}_q^n in the b -symbol metric.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ be a code then $R_H(\mathbf{C}) + b - 1 \leq R_b(\mathbf{C}) \leq \min\{bR_H(\mathbf{C}), n\}$.*

Proof. From the inequality $d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + b - 1 \leq d_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, then $B_b(\mathbf{x}, r) \subset B_H(\mathbf{x}, r - b + 1)$. The second bound $R_b(\mathbf{C}) \leq bR_H(\mathbf{C})$ follows from the inequality $d_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq bd_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

From [23] covering radii of many best known binary covering codes were determined. Then their covering radii in the pair metric are ranging from $R_H + 1$ to $2R_H$, it is interesting to determine their R_2 exactly. It is interesting to ask if these binary codes are best covering codes in the pair metric.

For any given code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$, let $Perm$ be a permutation of n coordinate positions, then the code after permutation is denoted by $Perm(\mathbf{C})$. If \mathbf{C} is a linear $[n, k]_q$ code, then $Perm(\mathbf{C})$ is also a linear $[n, k]_q$ code for any permutation. We recall that the covering radius in the Hamming metric of a linear $[n, k]_q$ code is at most $n - k$, this is the redundancy bound, see page 217 [7].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ be a linear $[n, k]_q$ code, then there exists a permutation $Perm$ of n coordinate positions such that the covering radius in the b -symbol Hamming metric of $Perm(\mathbf{C})$ is at most $n - k + b - 1$, that is,*

$$R_{insdel}(Perm(\mathbf{C})) \leq \min\{n - k + b - 1, n\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that the first k columns of one generator matrix are linear independent. Then for any given vector \mathbf{y} in \mathbf{F}_q^k there is a codeword \mathbf{c} in \mathbf{C} such that the first k coordinates of \mathbf{c} equal to \mathbf{y} . Therefore in each coset $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{C}$ we can find a vector with first k coordinates zero. Then the smallest b -symbol weight in each coset is at most $n - k + b - 1$. If the first k columns of this linear code are not linear independent, suppose that columns at $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ are linear independent. We take a permutation $Perm$ transforming i_1, \dots, i_k to $n - k + 1, \dots, n$. Then the conclusion follows immediately.

Corollary 2.1 (redundancy bound for b -symbol covering codes). *Let \mathbf{C} be linear $[n, k]_q$ code, if there are k consecutive coordinate positions $i, i + 1, \dots, i + k - 1$ such that columns in one generator matrix of \mathbf{C} at these*

positions are linear independent, then $R_b(\mathbf{C}) \leq \min\{n - k + b - 1, n\}$.

For a linear $[n, k]_q$ code \mathbf{C} , let $wt_{\text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})$ be the minimum Hamming weight among all weights of vectors in this coset. Hence

$$R_H(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \{wt_{\text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})\},$$

see Theorem 11.1.2 in [19]. Then $R_H(\mathbf{C})$ is minimum positive integer s such each nonzero vector in \mathbf{F}_q^{n-k} can be represented as linear combinations of at most s columns in its parity check matrix. Moreover such a linear combination corresponds to a vector in one coset of the least Hamming weight. Then redundancy bound about covering radii of linear codes follows immediately. This bound $R_H(\mathbf{C}) \leq n - k$ for a linear $[n, k]_q$ code is attained when \mathbf{C} is a Reed-Solomon code. It seems that it is easier that the above redundancy bound for linear symbol-pair codes to be attained as illustrated in the following example 1 and 3. Similarly for a linear $[n, k]_q$ code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ let $wt_{b,\text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})$ be the minimum b -symbol weight among all weights of vectors in this coset. Then

$$R_b(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \{wt_{b,\text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})\}.$$

Example 1. Let \mathbf{C} be a binary linear self-dual $[2n, n, 2]_2$ code with one generator matrix $(\mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_n)$. Then it is easy to verify that $R_H(\mathbf{C}) = n + 1$. On the other hand the vectors in cosets can be of the form $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{y} are vectors in \mathbf{F}_2^n , Hence the smallest possible pair weight is $n + 1$, and $R_2(\mathbf{C}) = n + 1$. The above redundancy bound for symbol-pair code is attained. The following Example 2 shows that the condition in Corollary 2.1 is necessary.

Example 2. If we use different coordinate ordering of binary linear $[4t, 2t, 2]_2$ code \mathbf{C}_1 with the following generator matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the above observation from the parity check (then the generator matrix since \mathbf{C} is self-dual) matrix, the smallest possible pair weight vectors

in cosets is of the form

$$(0110110110\dots 0110),$$

therefore the smallest possible pair weight of vectors in cosets is $3t$. We have $R_2(\mathbf{C}_1) = 3t$.

Example 3. Let \mathbf{C} be a binary linear $[6, 3, 3]_2$ code with the following parity check matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since (000111) is a codeword, $d_2(\mathbf{C}) = 4$. Hence the covering radius of the above binary linear $[6, 3, 3]_2$ code is 2. From Proposition 2.1, the covering radius of this linear $[6, 3, 3]_2$ code in the pair-metric satisfies $3 \leq R_2(\mathbf{C}) \leq 4$. If $R_2(\mathbf{C}) = 3$, then in each coset $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_2^6$, the vector of Hamming weight 2 has to contain two consecutive support positions. This is not the case, since we can check $(1, 1, 1)$ has no representation as the sum of two consecutive columns in the above matrix. Therefore $R_2(\mathbf{C}) = 4$ attains the above redundancy bound for symbol pair codes and the bound in Proposition 2.1.

The Norse bound in [18] claims that for a binary linear code \mathbf{C} , if its dual distance is at least 2, that is no two columns in any generator matrix of \mathbf{C} are linear dependent, then the covering radius $R_H(\mathbf{C}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, or see Corollary 11.2.2 in [19]. Example 3 is a counterexample for such claim in pair metric. Hence the Norse bound on covering radii in b -symbol metric is not true.

The Delsarte bound in [8] asserts that the covering radius of a linear code is not more than the number of nonzero weights of its dual. The following example shows that this is not true for covering symbol-pair codes.

Example 4. Let \mathbf{C} be the Hamming $[8, 4, 4]_2$ code with the following generator matrix. This is a binary linear code with $d_H(\mathbf{C}) = 4$ with 16 codewords, Their weights are 4 and 8 and this code have two nonzero weights 4 and 8.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The covering radius of \mathbf{C} in the Hamming metric is $R_H(\mathbf{C}) = 2$, from the Delsarte bound in [8] or from the fact to analysis the minimum weights in each cosets.

It is clear that all pair weights of codewords are in the set $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$. By checking the shape of Hamming weight 4 codewords, the pair weight 7 is not possible. Hence this code have three nonzero pair weights. We observe the vectors of Hamming weight 2 in each coset. It is easy to verify that there are many vectors with two support positions, one support position in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and one support position in $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, in some cosets. Then the smallest pair weight

$$\max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \{wt_{2, \text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})\} = 4.$$

We have $R_2(\mathbf{C}) = 4$. This is a counterexample of the Delsarte bound for covering codes in pair metric. This is also an example with the covering radius in the pair metric smaller than the redundancy upper bound.

A symbol-pair code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ satisfies the Singleton bound

$$|\mathbf{C}| \leq q^{n-d_2+2},$$

we refer to [4]. A code attaining this bound is called MDS symbol-pair code. Many linear MDS codes have been constructed, see [4, 5, 9–11, 24, 25]. Similarly a linear $[n, k]_q$ code satisfying $d_b = n - k + b$ is called MDS b -symbol code and some linear MDS b -symbol codes have been constructed, see [10, 11].

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathbf{C} be a linear MDS b_1 -symbol code for $1 \leq b_1 \leq n-1$, then its covering radius in the b -symbol metric satisfies $R_b(\mathbf{C}) \leq \min\{n - k + b - 1, n\}$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{G} be one generator matrix, then its last k columns have to be linear independent. Otherwise there is a nonzero codeword such that last k coordinates are zero. Then $d_{b_1}(\mathbf{C}) \leq n - k + b_1 - 1$, not MDS b_1 -symbol

codes. The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *The covering radius of Reed-Solomon codes in the b -symbol metric satisfies $R_b(RS(n, k)) = \min\{n - k + b - 1, n\}$.*

Proof. Any k consecutive coordinate positions satisfy the required property in Corollary 2.2 for Reed-Solomon codes. On the other hand it is well-known that the covering radius of $RS(n, k)$ is $n - k$, see [7]. The conclusion follows from $R_H(\mathbf{C}) + b - 1 \leq R_b(\mathbf{C})$.

Since there are many MDS symbol-pair or MDS b -symbol linear cyclic codes constructed, see for example [10, 24], it is an interesting open problem to determine the covering radii of these MDS codes in pair-metric or b -symbol metric, as in Theorem 2.2. In Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.4 some lower bounds on their covering radii in the pair metric are given.

Let \mathbf{X} be an absolutely irreducible projective smooth genus g curve defined over \mathbf{F}_q . Let P_1, \dots, P_n be n distinct rational points of \mathbf{X} over \mathbf{F}_q . Let \mathbf{G} be a rational divisor over \mathbf{F}_q of degree $\deg(\mathbf{G})$ where $2g - 2 < \deg(\mathbf{G}) < n$ and

$$\text{support}(\mathbf{G}) \cap \mathbf{P} = \emptyset.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{G})$ be the function space associated with the divisor \mathbf{G} . The algebraic-geometric code associated with $\mathbf{G}, P_1, \dots, P_n$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{C}(P_1, \dots, P_n, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}) = \{(f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n)) : f \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{G})\}.$$

The dimension of this code is

$$k = \deg(\mathbf{G}) - g + 1$$

follows from the Riemann-Roch Theorem, see [28]. The minimum Hamming distance is

$$d_H \geq n - \deg(\mathbf{G}).$$

The Reed-Solomon codes are just the algebraic-geometric codes over the genus 0 curve. Algebraic-geometric residual code with the dimension $k = n - m + g - 1$ and minimum Hamming distance $d_H \geq m - 2g + 2$ can be defined, we refer to [19, 28] for the detail.

From the Riemann-Roch Theorem any $k - 1$ columns in one generator matrix of an algebraic-geometric $[n, k]_q$ code are linear independent. Hence

we have the following upper bound on the covering radius of an algebraic-geometric code.

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathbf{C} be an algebraic-geometric $[n, k]_q$ code, then its covering radius in the b -symbol metric is at most $n - k + b$.*

Proof. This conclusion follows from a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathbf{C} be a linear $[n, k]_q$ code, then its covering radius in the b -symbol metric is at least*

$$(b + 1) \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2(k + 1)} \rfloor$$

Proof. Divide $t = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to $\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor$ parts A_1, \dots, A_t , each part have consecutive $k + 1$ coordinate positions. Since any $k + 1$ columns in one generator matrix of \mathbf{G} are linear dependent. Then we can find a vector \mathbf{y} in \mathbf{F}_q^n such that in the coset $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{C}$, has at least one nonzero coordinate in A_1, \dots, A_t . In every consecutive two parts, the least b -weight happens when the vector in the coset is of the form $(\dots 0110\dots)$. Then the conclusion follows directly.

From the calculations of pair distances and b -symbol distances of some cyclic codes and constacyclic codes in [9, 10] we can give lower bounds on the covering radii of these codes in the pair or b -symbol metric as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathbf{C}_{i,i}$ be the cyclic code over \mathbf{F}_{p^m} of the length $2p^s$ generated by $(x^2 - 1)^i$. Suppose that $p^s - p^{s-e} + \tau p^{s-e-1} + 2 \leq i \leq p^s - p^{s-e} + (\tau + 1)p^{s-e-1} + 1$, where $0 \leq \tau \leq p - 2$, $0 \leq e \leq s - 1$. Then*

$$R_2(\mathbf{C}_{i,i}) \geq 2(\tau + 1)p^e.$$

Proof. The cyclic code satisfies $\mathbf{C}_{i,i} \subset \mathbf{C}_{i-1,i-1}$ and these two codes are not the same. Then in the coset $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C}_{i,i}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}_{i-1,i-1} - \mathbf{C}_{i,i}$, the pair weight of each vector is at least $d_2(\mathbf{C}_{i-1,i-1})$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 9 in [9].

Let p be a prime and s, m be two positive integers, $s = r_1 m + r$, where r_1 and r are two nonnegative integers satisfying $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$. λ be a nonzero element $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{p^m}$. Set $\gamma = \lambda^{p^{(r_1+1)m-s}}$. Then length p^s λ -constacyclic code \mathbf{C}_i is generated by $(x - \gamma)^i$, $0 \leq i \leq p^s$, see [10] page 2.

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that i satisfies $p^s - p^{s-e} + \tau p^{s-e-1} + \beta + 1 \leq i \leq p^s - p^{s-e} + (\tau + 1)p^{s-e-1} + 1$ where $0 \leq e \leq s - 2$, $0 \leq \tau \leq p - 2$ and $b \leq \beta(\tau + 1)$. Then the covering radius of \mathbf{C}_i in the b -symbol metric satisfies*

$$R_b(\mathbf{C}_i) \geq b(\tau + 2)p^e.$$

Proof. The conclusion follows from a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 9 in [10].

3 Perfect b -symbol metric code

When $b = 2$, $B_2(\mathbf{x}, 2)$ is exactly the $B_H(\mathbf{x}, 1)$, we refer to [2] Example 2. Hence binary Hamming $[2^n - 1, 2^n - 1, 3]_2$ code with the pair distance 5 is a perfect pair-metric code as showed in Theorem 19 in [2]. It is interesting to study the perfect b -symbol metric codes in general.

Proposition 3.1. *$B_b(\mathbf{x}, r) \subset B_H(\mathbf{x}, r - b + 1)$ and $B_H(\mathbf{x}, r) \subset B_b(\mathbf{x}, br)$. Moreover $B_b(\mathbf{x}, r) = \mathbf{x}$ for $r \leq b - 1$, $B_b(\mathbf{x}, b) = B_H(\mathbf{x}, 1)$.*

Proof. This is a direct analysis about the shape of balls.

The following result is a direct generalization of Theorem 19 in [2].

Proposition 3.2. *The minimum b -symbol distance of the Hamming $[\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}, m, 3]_q$ code over \mathbf{F}_q is at most $2b + 1$. If the minimum b -symbol distance of this q -ary Hamming code is $2b + 1$, it is a perfect b -symbol metric code.*

Proof. It is clear there is one Hamming weight 3 codeword

$$\mathbf{c} = (110 \dots 0x \dots 0)$$

in the q -ary Hamming code for some nonzero $x \in \mathbf{F}_q$. Then from the definition of b -symbol distance, we have $d_b(\pi_b(\mathbf{c}), \pi_b(\mathbf{0})) \leq 2b + 1$. The second conclusion follows from Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *For any given finite field \mathbf{F}_q , there is no perfect symbol-pair code with the cardinality q^k and the minimum pair distance 7.*

Proof. We analysis the shape of the ball

$$B_2(\mathbf{0}, 3) = B_2(\mathbf{0}, 2) \cup \{\mathbf{x} : d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) = 3\}.$$

Moreover $B_2(\mathbf{0}, 2)$ and the sphere $\{\mathbf{x} : d_2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}) = 3\}$ is disjoint. For any \mathbf{x} satisfying $d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) = 3$, it is clear $d_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) = 2$ and \mathbf{x} has two consecutive support positions. Then $|B_2(\mathbf{0}, 3)| = 1 + n(q - 1) + n(q - 1)^2$. If there is a size q^k symbol-pair code with the minimum pair distance 7 which is perfect in the pair-metric, then $|B_2(\mathbf{0}, 3)|q^k = q^n$. Hence $nq = n(q - 1) + n = q^{n-k-1} + q^{n-k-2} + \dots + q + 1$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. *If \mathbf{C} is a perfect b -symbol metric code, then*

$$d_b(\mathbf{C}) \geq d_H(\mathbf{C}) + 2b - 1.$$

In particular If $b \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, there is no perfect b -symbol metric code.

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, $R_b(\mathbf{C}) \geq R_H(\mathbf{C}) + b - 1 \geq \lfloor \frac{d_H(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor + b - 1$, we have

$$\lfloor \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor \geq \lfloor \frac{d_H(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor + b - 1,$$

since for a perfect b -symbol code $R_b(\mathbf{C}) = \lfloor \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C}) - 1}{2} \rfloor$. Then the conclusion follows immediately.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathbf{C} be a linear $[n, k]_q$ code. Then \mathbf{C} is not perfect in the $2(k + 1)$ -symbol metric.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2.3, there is a vector in some coset, every consecutive $(2k + 2)$ coordinate positions can not be zero. Therefore $R_{2(k+1)}(\mathbf{C}) = n$ from $R_{2(k+1)}(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \{wt_{2(k+1), \text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})\}$. The conclusion follows immediately.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{C}' \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ be two linear codes satisfying $d_2(\mathbf{C}') \geq \frac{b}{2} \cdot d_H(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathbf{C} \neq \mathbf{C}'$. Then \mathbf{C} is not perfect in the b -symbol metric.*

Proof Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}' - \mathbf{C}$, then any vector in the coset $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C}$ has its pair weight at least $d_b(\mathbf{C}')$. Therefore $R_b(\mathbf{C}) \geq d_b(\mathbf{C}') \geq \frac{b}{2}d_H(\mathbf{C}) > \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C})-1}{2}$. The conclusion follows directly.

The following result follows from Theorem 3.4 for the pair metric case.

Corollary 3.1. *Let \mathbf{C} be an MDS symbol-pair $[n, k]_q$ linear code, then any linear subcode \mathbf{C}' in \mathbf{C} of dimension $k - 1$ is not perfect in the pair metric.*

Proof. From the Singleton bound on the Hamming metric $d_H(\mathbf{C}') \leq n - (k - 1) + 1 = n - k + 2 = d_2(\mathbf{C})$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4 immediately.

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathbf{C} be a linear subcode of a linear code \mathbf{C}_1 and these two codes are not the same. Assume $d_2(\mathbf{C}_1) \geq d_H(\mathbf{C})$ or $d_H(\mathbf{C}_1) \geq d_H(\mathbf{C}) - 1$. Then \mathbf{C} is not perfect in the pair metric.*

Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.2 can be used to exclude a lot of linear codes as perfect codes in the pair metric. For example from the computation of optimum distance profiles (ODPs) of self-dual binary codes in [15, 16], many codimension 1 linear subcode of some self-dual binary codes are not perfect in the pair metric. Moreover a lot of cyclic codes and algebraic-geometric codes are not perfect in the b -symbol metric, as proved in the following two results.

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathbf{C} be an algebraic-geometric residual code with the dimension $n - m + g - 1$ and the minimum Hamming distance $m - 2g + 2$, defined by a degree m divisor of the form $m\mathbf{Q}$, where \mathbf{Q} is a rational point of the curve. Then \mathbf{C} is not perfect in the pair metric.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{C}_1 be the residual code defined by the divisor $(m - 1)\mathbf{Q}$. Then \mathbf{C} is a real subcode of \mathbf{C}_1 . Since $d_H(\mathbf{C}_1) \geq m - 1 - 2g + 2 \geq d_H(\mathbf{C}) + 1$. The conclusion follows from Corollary 3.2.

The Hermitian curve $x^q z + z^q x = y^{q+1}$ over \mathbf{F}_{q^2} is a genus $g = \frac{q^2 - q}{2}$

curve and it has $1+q^3$ rational points. Let m be a positive integer satisfying $2g-2 < m \leq q^3-1$. The Hermitian code \mathbf{C}_m is a linear $[q^3, q^3-m+g-1, \geq m-2g+2]_{q^2}$ code, see [26]. When $m \geq 2q^2-2q-2$, the true minimum Hamming distance is $m-2g+2$. From Corollary 3.3 these Hermitian codes are not perfect symbol-pair codes.

From Theorem 8 and Theorem 9 in [9] most cyclic codes of the length $2p^s$ over \mathbf{F}_{p^m} of the form $\mathbf{C}_{i,i}$ as in Section 2 can not be perfect. We give the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{C}_{i,i}$ be the cyclic code over \mathbf{F}_{p^m} of the length $2p^s$ generated by $(x^2-1)^i$. Suppose that $p^s - p^{s-e} + \tau p^{s-e-1} + 2 \leq i \leq p^s - p^{s-e} + (\tau+1)p^{s-e-1} + 1$, where $0 \leq \tau \leq p-2$, $0 \leq e \leq s-1$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{i,i}$ can not be perfect code in the pair metric.*

Proof. From Theorem 8 and Theorem 9 in [9], $d_2(\mathbf{C}_{i,i}) = 2d_H(\mathbf{C}_{i-1,i-1})$. The conclusion follows from Corollary 3.2.

Similarly many constacyclic codes studied in [10] are not perfect in the b -symbol metric from Corollary 3.2, and the exact determination of their minimum Hamming distances and minimum pair distances in [10].

4 The generalized Singleton bound

When $b = n-1$, $B_{b-1}(\mathbf{x}, n-1) = B_H(\mathbf{x}, 1)$, hence any code is $(n-1, (1+n(q-1)))$ list-decodable $(n-1)$ -symbol code. It is not interesting to discuss list-decodable b -symbol codes when b is big.

From the definitions as above, for an (d_{list}, L) list-decodable code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ in the b -symbol metric, and a covering code $\mathbf{C}_1 \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ in the b -symbol metric with the radius at most d_{list} , we have the following upper bound on the size of the list-decodable code or the lower bound on the list size,

$$|\mathbf{C}| \leq L|\mathbf{C}_1|.$$

Actually balls centered at codewords of \mathbf{C}_1 of the radius d_{list} cover the whole space \mathbf{F}_q^n . Then the number of all codewords in \mathbf{C} is not bigger than the number of codewords in these $|\mathbf{C}_1|$ balls. From this simple observation and some good covering codes in the b -symbol metric the generalized Singleton

bound on list-decodable b -symbol metric codes can be obtained.

Proposition 4.1. *An (d, L) list-decodable b -symbol code $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ has its cardinality $|\mathbf{C}| \leq L \cdot q^{n-d+b-1}$.*

Proof. We take a linear $[n, n-d+b-1]_q$ code \mathbf{C}_1 with linear independent first k columns in one of its generator matrix. Then the covering radius of \mathbf{C}_1 in the b -symbol metric is at most $n - (n-d+b-1) + b - 1 = d$. The conclusion follows from the above simple observation.

When $L = 1$ and $d = \lfloor \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C})-1}{2} \rfloor$, this bound is weaker than the Singleton bound $|\mathbf{C}| \leq q^{n-d_b(\mathbf{C})+b}$. However it holds for any list size.

This bound can be improved significantly as follows. Let \mathbf{H} be the following $tm \times n$ matrix where $n = \frac{t(q^m-1)}{q-1}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{H}_2 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{H}_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_t \end{pmatrix}$$

Here \mathbf{H}_i are the parity check $m \times \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ matrix for the $[\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^m-1}{q-1} - m]_q$ Hamming code. Let \mathbf{C} be the linear $[n = \frac{t(q^m-1)}{q-1}, k = \frac{t(q^m-1)}{q-1} - tm]_q$ code with the above parity check matrix \mathbf{H} . From the equality

$$R_b(\mathbf{C}) = \max_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{F}_q^n} \{wt_{b, \text{coset}}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{C})\},$$

we have $R_b(\mathbf{C}) = bt = \frac{b(n-k)}{m}$ if $b \leq q^m - 1$. Then from the above observation about list-decodable codes from covering codes, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let q be a prime power, m be a positive integer, t be an even positive integer, and set $n = \frac{t(q^m-1)}{q-1}$. Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ be a (bt, L) list-decodable b -symbol code, where $b \leq \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$. Then*

$$|\mathbf{C}| \leq Lq^{n-\frac{md}{b}}.$$

When $\frac{m}{b}$ is larger than 2, this is better than the Singleton bound for the list-decodable codes with list size $L = 1$ (and then $d = \lfloor \frac{d_b(\mathbf{C})-1}{2} \rfloor$). This is the generalized Singleton bound for list-decodable b -symbol codes, which can be argued simply from a covering b -symbol metric code.

Corollary 4.1. *Let q be a prime power and n be a positive integer satisfying $n = \frac{t(q^5-1)}{q-1} + v$, where t is a positive integer and $0 \leq v \leq \frac{q^5-1}{q-1} - 1$. Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q^n$ be a length n symbol-pair code with the minimum pair distance $D \leq 4t + 1$. Then*

$$|\mathbf{C}| \leq q^{\min\{n - \frac{5(D-1)}{4} + \frac{v}{4}, n - \frac{5(D-1)}{4} + \frac{q^5-1}{q-1} - v\}}.$$

Proof. Puncturing last v coordinates or adding $\frac{q^5-1}{q-1} - v$ zero coordinates, and then applying Theorem 4.1 we get the conclusion.

The above results asserts that for a given minimum pair distance when the code length is long, the above generalized Singleton bound is much stronger than the Singleton bound $|\mathbf{C}| \leq q^{n-d_2(\mathbf{C})+2}$ in [4] on the sizes of the symbol-pair codes.

Since the construction of MDS symbol-pair codes in two papers [4, 24] there have been many constructions on the MDS symbol-pair codes in [5, 9–11, 25] from cyclic or constacyclic codes. However no upper bound on the lengths of general MDS symbol-pair codes has been given. It was proved in [11] that lengths of linear MDS symbol-pair codes over \mathbf{F}_q of the minimum pair distance 5 or 6 can not larger than $q^2 + q + 1$ or q^2 . From Corollary 4.1 we give the following upper bound on the lengths of (even no linear) MDS symbol-pair codes.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{F}_q$ be a symbol-pair code with the length n and the minimum pair distance D . If n and D satisfy $D > 2q^5 + 1$ and $n > \frac{(D-1)(q^5-1)}{2(q-1)}$. Then the symbol-pair code \mathbf{C} is not MDS. In particular there is no MDS symbol-pair binary code with the minimum pair distance $D \geq 63$ and the length $n \geq \frac{31(D-1)}{2}$.*

5 Conclusion

In this paper covering codes and covering radii of some famous linear codes in the b -symbol metric are considered. First of all some classical beautiful results about covering codes in the Hamming metric, such as the Delsarte bound and the Norse bound, are not true in the pair metric. Some highly nontrivial upper and lower bounds on covering radii of some cyclic, constacyclic and algebraic-geometric codes in the b -symbol metric are given. The covering radius of the Reed-Solomon code as a b -symbol code is determined. As an application of covering b -symbol metric codes, the generalized Singleton bound on list-decodable b -symbol codes is proved and an upper bound on the lengths of general MDS symbol-pair codes is given. We give a simple sufficient condition of non-perfect code in the b -symbol metric and prove that many well-known codes are not perfect in the b -symbol metric. It is an interesting open problem to classify all perfect codes in b -symbol metric for small $b = 2$ or 3 . In particular is there any perfect symbol-pair code of the minimum pair distance bigger than or equal 9?

References

- [1] E. Byrne and A. Ravagnani, Covering radius of matrix codes endowed with rank metric, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 927-944, 2018.
- [2] Y. Cassuto and M. Blaum, Codes for symbol-pair read channels, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 8011-8020, 2011.
- [3] Y. Cassuto and S. Litsyn, Symbol-pair codes: Algebraic constructions and asymptotic bounds, *Proc. IEEE Int. Sym. Inf. Theory Process.*, St. Peterburg, pp. 2348-2352, Jun. 2011.
- [4] Y. M. Chee, L. Ji, H. M. Kiah, C. Wang and J. Yin, Minimum distance separable codes for symbol-pair read channels, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 7259-7267, 2013.
- [5] B. Chen, L. Lin and H. Liu, Constacyclic symbol-pair codes: Lower bounds and optimal constructions, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 7661-7666, 2017.
- [6] K. Chen, On the non-existence of perfect codes with rank distance, *Math. Nachr.*, vol. 182, pp. 89-98, 1996.

- [7] G. D. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn and A. Lobstein, Covering codes, North-Holland Math, Library, Elsevier, 1997.
- [8] P. Delsarte, Four fundamental parameters of a code and their combinatorial significance, Inform. and Control, vol. 23, pp.407-438, 1973.
- [9] H. Q. Dinh, B. T. Nguyen and S. Sriboonchitta, MDS symbol-pair cyclic codes of length $2p^s$ over \mathbf{F}_{p^m} , IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 240-262, 2020.
- [10] H. Q. Dinh, X. Wang, H. Liu and S. Sriboonchitta, On the b -distances of repeated-root constacyclic codes of prime power lengths, Discrete Mathematics, vol. 343, no. 4, 11780, 2020.
- [11] B. Ding, G. Ge, J. Zhang, T. Zhang and Y. Zhang, New constructions of MDS symbol-pair codes, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 841-859, 2018.
- [12] R. Dougherty and H. Janwa, Covering radius computations for binary cyclic codes, Mathematics of Computation, vol. 57, no. 195, pp. 415-434, 1991.
- [13] D. Elimelech, M. Firer and M. Schwartz, The generalized covering radii of linear codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 8070-8085, 2021.
- [14] O. Elishco, R. Gabrys and E. Yaakobi, Bounds and constructions of codes over symbol-pair read channels, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1385-1395, 2020.
- [15] F. J. Freibert and J-L. Kim, Optimal subcodes and optimum distance profiles of self-dual codes, Finite Fields and Their Applications, vol. 25, pp. 146-164, 2014.
- [16] F. J. Freibert, Self-dual codes, subcode structures and applications, University of Louisville Dissertation, 2012.
- [17] M. Gadouleau and Z. Yan, Packing and covering properties of rank-metric codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 3873-3883, 2008.
- [18] T. Helleseth, T. Klove and J. Mykkeltveit, On the covering radius of binary codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 24, pp. 627-628, 1978.

- [19] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K., 2003.
- [20] J. H. van Lint, Nonexistence theorems for perfect error-correcting codes, *Computers in Algebra and Theory*, vol. IV (SIAM-AMS Proceedings), 1971.
- [21] H. Liu and X. Pan, Generalized pair weights of linear codes and linear isomorphisms preserving pair weights, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 105-117, 2022.
- [22] S. Liu, C. Xing and C. Yuan, List decoding of symbol-pair codes, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 4815-4821, 2019.
- [23] S. Litsyn, Tables of the best known binary covering codes, <http://www.eng.tau.il/~litsyn/>.
- [24] X. Kai, S. Zhu and P. Li, A construction of new MDS symbol-pair codes, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 5828-5834, 2015.
- [25] J. Ma and J. Luo, MDS symbol-pair codes from repeated-root cyclic codes, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 90, pp. 121-137, 2022.
- [26] C. Marcolla and M. Roggero, Hermitian codes and complete intersections, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 62, 101621, 2020.
- [27] C. Shangguan and I. Tamo, Combinatorial list-decoding of Reed-Solomon codes beyond the Johnson radius, *Proceedings of the 52th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pp. 538-551, 2020.
- [28] M. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlăduț, *Algebraic-geometric codes*, Vol.58, Springer Science and Business Media, Netherland, 2013.
- [29] M. Shi, F. Özbudak and P. Solé, Geometric approach to b -symbol Hamming weights of cyclic codes, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 3735-3751, 2021.
- [30] A. Tietäväinen, On the nonexistence of perfect codes over finite fields, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 24, pp. 88-96, 1973.
- [31] E. Yaakobi, J. Bruck and P. H. Siegel, Construction and decoding of cyclic codes over b -symbol read channels, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1541-1551, 2016.