

# NON-ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRICALLY TRIVIAL COHOMOLOGY CLASSES OVER FINITE FIELDS

FEDERICO SCAVIA AND FUMIAKI SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. We give the first examples of smooth projective varieties  $X$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  admitting a non-algebraic torsion  $\ell$ -adic cohomology class of degree 4 which vanishes over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ . We use them to show that two versions of the integral Tate conjecture over  $\mathbb{F}$  are not equivalent to one another and that a fundamental exact sequence of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn does not necessarily split. Some of our examples have dimension 4, and are the first known examples of fourfolds with non-vanishing  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2(2))$ . In the opposite direction, we introduce an  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map in codimension 2 and use the interaction of the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations to show that  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$  for a large class of rationally chain connected threefolds over a finite field, as predicted by a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety. We let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $G := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/\mathbb{F})$  and  $\overline{X} := X \times_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}$ . The third unramified cohomology group  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is a stable birational invariant of  $X$  related to the following two  $\ell$ -adic cycle maps:

$$(1.1) \quad CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)),$$

$$(1.2) \quad CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))^G,$$

According to a theorem of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn [14, Theorem 2.2], if  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$  then the cokernel of (1.1) is torsion-free, hence surjective if the Tate conjecture in codimension 2 is true. They showed that the converse follows from the Beilinson conjecture and a strong form of the Tate conjecture [14, Théorème 3.18]. The surjectivity of (1.1) implies that of (1.2) (see (1.3) below), and the converse holds in all known examples [6, 17, 40, 53, 54, 57, 59]. In particular, the following question remained open.

**Question 1.1.** *Is it true that, as  $X$  ranges over all smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -varieties, (1.1) is surjective if and only if (1.2) is surjective?*

Question 1.1 may be studied by means of the following commutative diagram with exact rows, induced by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & & \\ & & \downarrow \text{cl}_{AJ} & & \downarrow (1.1) & \searrow (1.2) & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))^G \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

---

*Date:* June 25, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C25, 14G15, 55R35.

Here  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  is the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map constructed by Jannsen [35], and the commutative square on the left is Cartesian. Thus the surjectivity of the map (1.1) is equivalent to the surjectivity of the two maps (1.2) and  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$ .

The map  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  is surjective in all of the examples mentioned above. Over the complex numbers, Green [30] and Voisin showed that for a very general hypersurface of degree  $\geq 6$  in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  the classical Abel-Jacobi map has torsion image in a non-zero intermediate Jacobian, hence is not surjective. However, this method does not apply to  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$ , because the target group  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))$  is always finite by Deligne's theorem (the Weil conjecture) [19].

The group  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  and the map  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  also appear in the following exact sequence of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn [14, Theorem 6.8]:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ker}(H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Coker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X})^G)\{\ell\} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\varphi_\ell$  fits into the commutative square:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ \downarrow \varphi_\ell & & \downarrow \text{cl}_{AJ} \\ H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))). \end{array}$$

The following basic question was open.

**Question 1.2.** *Is it true that, for every smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety  $X$ , the map  $\varphi_\ell$  of (1.4) is surjective?*

If  $\varphi_\ell$  were surjective, that is, an isomorphism, the sequence (1.4) would split and give even more precise information about the Galois descent of codimension 2 cycles on  $X$ . In [16], several equivalent conditions for the surjectivity of  $\varphi_\ell$  were established, and it was proved that  $\varphi_\ell$  is surjective if  $X$  is the product of a smooth projective surface and an arbitrary number of smooth projective curves.

**1.1. Non-algebraic geometrically trivial cohomology classes.** Our first theorem shows that, despite the above expectations, the answers to Question 1.1 and Question 1.2 are negative in general.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity. There exists a smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety  $X$  of dimension  $2\ell + 2$  such that:*

- (i) *the map (1.2) is surjective whereas the map (1.1) is not, and*
- (ii) *the homomorphism  $\varphi_\ell$  is not an isomorphism for  $X$ .*

More precisely, we construct examples where the image of the composition

$$H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$$

contains a class  $\alpha$  whose mod  $\ell$  reduction is not killed by an odd-degree étale Steenrod operation over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and use this to deduce that  $\alpha$  is not algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Similar methods, inspired by the classical counterexamples of Atiyah–Hirzebruch to the integral Hodge conjecture, have been used by Totaro [17] and Pirutka–Yagita [54] but only over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ : a novelty of our method is the use of Steenrod operations over  $\mathbb{F}$  to obstruct algebraicity. A key technical ingredient in our argument is the proof of the compatibility of étale Steenrod operations over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  with the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, which we prove by appeal to

étale homotopy theory to reduce the compatibility problem to the analogous (classical) problem for the Serre spectral sequence for Serre fibrations; see Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.5.

Theorem 1.3 provides new examples with  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \neq 0$ , though the construction only works for  $\dim(X) \geq 6$ . It is a challenging problem to construct examples with  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \neq 0$  of dimension as small as possible; see e.g. [14, Question 5.4]. The known examples of smallest dimension are due to Pirutka [53] and have dimension 5. On the other hand,  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  vanishes if  $\dim(X) \leq 2$ . Using ideas from the proof of Theorem 1.3, we give the first examples of dimension 4.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. There exist a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic  $p$  and a smooth projective geometrically connected fourfold  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  for which the image of the composition*

$$H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))_{\text{tors}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2(2))$$

*contains a non-algebraic torsion class. In particular,  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2(2)) \neq 0$ .*

The example is based on a construction of Benoist and Ottem [9, Theorem 5.3]. For such  $X$ , the maps (1.1) and  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  are not surjective, but we do not know whether (1.2) is surjective. Using étale cobordism, we show that our methods cannot be used to find an example of dimension 3; see Proposition 4.2.

## 1.2. An $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map and two coniveau filtrations.

In the examples used in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  is not surjective. To explain this phenomenon, we construct an  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{cl}_a: CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))).$$

Here  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}}$  is the subgroup of algebraically trivial cycles and  $N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is the *coniveau 1* subgroup of  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ , generated by cohomology classes supported on (possibly singular) divisors. (See Sections 6 and 7 for the construction and basic properties of  $\text{cl}_a$ .) The map  $\text{cl}_a$  fits into (1.5) between  $\text{cl}_{AJ}$  and  $\varphi_\ell$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ \downarrow \varphi_\ell & & \downarrow \text{cl}_a & & \downarrow \text{cl}_{AJ} \\ H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))). \end{array}$$

Here the left square is Cartesian. The map  $\varphi_\ell$  is not surjective for the examples used in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, hence  $\text{cl}_a$  is also not surjective. The map  $\text{cl}_a$  may be thought of as a finite field analogue of the algebraic Abel-Jacobi map due to Lieberman [43] over the complex numbers. The non-surjectivity of the map  $\text{cl}_a$  is in contrast to the fact that the complex algebraic Abel-Jacobi map is always surjective.

The failure of the surjectivity of the map  $\text{cl}_a$  is closely related to the discrepancy between the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations. By definition, the *strong coniveau 1* subgroup  $\tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \subset H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is generated by classes coming from smooth projective varieties of dimension one less than the dimension of  $X$ . Recently, Benoist–Ottem [9] studied the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations over the complex numbers and gave the first examples where the two filtrations differ.

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 provide the first examples where the two coniveau filtrations differ over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ . This is a consequence of the following general result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose that the coniveau and strong coniveau on  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  coincide:*

$$N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)).$$

*Then the  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map is an isomorphism:*

$$\mathrm{cl}_a: CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))).$$

*In particular, the homomorphism  $\varphi_\ell$  is an isomorphism:*

$$\varphi_\ell: \mathrm{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\mathrm{tors}}).$$

Theorem 1.5 also has a consequence on the Galois descent of codimension 2 algebraically trivial cycles; see Theorem 8.1 for the details. This follows from a certain refinement of the sequence (1.4); see Propositions 7.13 and 7.14.

Finally, one can deduce from Theorem 1.5 that if

$$H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)),$$

then the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map  $\mathrm{cl}_{AJ}$  is surjective, hence the surjectivity of (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent. Based on this observation, the following vanishing result for  $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  may be deduced from results of Voisin over the complex numbers [72, 73]. This confirms, for a large class of rationally chain connected threefolds, a conjecture of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn [14, Conjecture 5.7] predicting that  $H_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$  for all geometrically uniruled threefolds over a finite field.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold over a number field. Suppose that  $H_{\mathrm{sing}}^3(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then for all but finitely many prime numbers  $p$ , the mod  $p$  reduction of  $Y$  has, after passing to a finite extension of the base field, for all prime numbers  $\ell \neq p$ , vanishing third unramified cohomology group.*

The assumptions of Theorem 1.6 are satisfied for Fano threefolds (as read off from the classification), or smooth projective rationally connected threefolds geometrically birational to a Fano threefold.

In the case of smooth Fano complete intersection threefolds, we prove the following result, which generalizes a theorem of Colliot-Thélène on smooth cubic threefolds [13, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a smooth Fano complete intersection threefold over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose that the Fano scheme of lines on  $X$  is smooth of the expected dimension. Then*

$$H_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0.$$

The Fano scheme of lines is smooth of the expected dimension if  $X$  is a general smooth Fano complete intersection threefold [18, Theorem 2.1] or if  $X$  is any smooth cubic threefold [5, Corollary 1.12].

**1.3. Structure of the paper.** The first half of the paper (Sections 2, 3, 4) is on Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, and the second half (Sections 5, 6, 7, 8) is on Theorems 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7.

Section 2 presents some preliminaries on étale Steenrod operations. In Section 3, we prove the compatibility of Steenrod operations with the mod  $\ell$  Hochschild spectral sequence (Theorem 3.3), which leads to a criterion for the existence of non-algebraic geometrically trivial  $\ell$ -adic cohomology classes for varieties over finite fields (Proposition 3.8). Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Section 5 reviews the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations and discusses comparison of the two coniveau filtrations. In Section 6, we construct an  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map on algebraically trivial cycles. In Section 7, we prove basic properties of the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map for varieties over finite fields. We study the codimension 2 case ( $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map) in detail. In Section 8, we prove Theorems 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7. Finally, in the Appendix, we extend some results and examples of Benoist–Ottaviani [9] to positive characteristic.

**1.4. Notation.** Let  $k$  be a field. We denote by  $\bar{k}$  a separable closure of  $k$  and  $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  the absolute Galois group of  $k$ . For a continuous  $G$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $H^i(k, M) := H^i(G, M)$  the continuous Galois cohomology of  $M$ . When  $k$  is a finite field, we denote it by  $\mathbb{F}$ .

If  $X$  is a  $k$ -scheme, we define  $\bar{X} := X \times_k \bar{k}$ . A  $k$ -variety is a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type. For a smooth  $k$ -variety  $X$  of pure dimension and an integer  $i \geq 0$ , we denote by  $CH^i(X)$  the Chow group of codimension  $i$  cycles on  $X$  modulo rational equivalence. If  $\ell$  is a prime invertible in  $k$ , the notation  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$  will mean the  $\ell$ -adic continuous étale cohomology group of Jannsen [34]. This coincides with the usual étale cohomology  $\varprojlim_n H^i(X, \mu_{\ell^n}^{\otimes m})$  when the base field  $k$  has finite Galois cohomology, which is the case if  $k$  is separably closed or a finite field. If the smooth  $k$ -variety is also projective and  $k$  is separably closed, we denote  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) := H^{2 \dim(X)-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\dim(X)))$ . We write  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) \simeq \varinjlim H^j(X, \mu_{\ell^n}^{\otimes m})$  for the (continuous) étale cohomology of the sheaf  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)$ . We let  $H_{\text{nr}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$  be the unramified cohomology group.

For an abelian group  $A$ , an integer  $n \geq 1$ , and a prime number  $\ell$ , we denote  $A[n] := \{a \in A \mid na = 0\}$ ,  $A\{\ell\}$  the subgroup of  $\ell$ -primary torsion elements of  $A$ ,  $A_{\text{tors}}$  the subgroup of torsion elements of  $A$ , and  $A_{\text{tf}} := A/A_{\text{tors}}$ .

We will define Steenrod operations  $P^n$  and  $\text{Sq}^n$  in Section 2.2; the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations  $N^c H^j(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$ ,  $\tilde{N}^c H^j(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) \subset H^j(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$  in Section 5.1; the subgroups  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}} \subset CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \subset CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}} \subset CH^i(X)$  of cycle classes that are algebraically trivial in the sense of Fulton, algebraically trivial, and homologically trivial in Section 6.1.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON ÉTALE STEENROD OPERATIONS

**2.1. Étale homotopy type.** Let  $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a simplicial scheme, such that  $X_n$  is a locally noetherian scheme for every  $n \geq 0$ . We will only be interested in the cases when  $X$  is the simplicial scheme associated to a scheme  $X$ , that is,  $X_n = X$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and all degeneracy and face maps of  $X$  are the identity, or  $X = B\mathcal{G}$  is the simplicial classifying space of a linear algebraic group  $\mathcal{G}$  over a field  $k$ , as defined in [27, Example 1.2]. If  $x: \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow X_0$  is a geometric point, the pair  $(X, x)$  is said to be a pointed simplicial scheme.

We let  $\text{AbSh}(X)$  be the abelian category of abelian sheaves on the small étale site of  $X$ . If  $A$  is an object of  $\text{AbSh}(X)$ , we define the cohomology of  $A$  as

$$H^i(X, A) := \text{Ext}_{\text{AbSh}(X)}^i(\mathbb{Z}, A),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the constant sheaf associated to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; see [27, Definition 2.3].

We write  $\text{HRR}(X)$  for the left directed category of rigid hypercoverings of  $X$ ; see [26, Proposition 4.3]. We let  $\text{Ét}(X)$  be Friedlander’s étale topological type of  $X$ ; see [27, Definition 4.4]. It is the pro-simplicial set (that is, the pro-object in the category of simplicial sets) defined as the functor

$$\text{Ét}(X): \text{HRR}(X) \rightarrow \text{sSet}$$

sending a rigid hypercovering  $U \rightarrow X$  to  $\pi(\Delta(U))$ , that is, the simplicial set of level-wise connected components of the diagonal simplicial scheme  $\Delta(U)$ . If  $(X, x)$

is a pointed simplicial scheme,  $\acute{E}t(X.)$  is naturally a pointed pro-simplicial space, that is, a pro-object in the category of pointed simplicial sets, which we denote by  $\acute{E}t(X., x)$ .

Let  $A$  be an abelian group. If  $S.$  is a simplicial set, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}(S.)$  the free simplicial abelian group on  $S.$ , and by  $H^*(S., A)$  the singular cohomology groups of  $S.$  with coefficients in  $A$ , that is, the cohomology of the chain complex corresponding to  $\mathbb{Z}(S.)$  via the Dold-Kan correspondence; see [29, Corollary III.2.3]. We also denote by  $A$  the abelian sheaf on the small étale site of  $X$  associated to  $A$ . Following [27, Definition 5.1] we define

$$H^n(\acute{E}t(X.), A) := \operatorname{colim} H^n(\pi(\Delta(U.)), A),$$

where on the right side we consider cohomology of simplicial sets and the colimit is indexed by  $\operatorname{HRR}(X.)$ . By [27, Proposition 5.6], there is a natural isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad H^*(X., A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\acute{E}t(X.), A).$$

If  $X$  is a  $k$ -scheme of finite type and  $X.$  is the associated simplicial scheme, we have a canonical identification  $H^*(X, A) \simeq H^*(X., A)$ , and we define  $\acute{E}t(X) := \acute{E}t(X.)$ .

**2.2. Étale Steenrod operations.** Steenrod operations in étale cohomology have long been known and used. Epstein [24] constructed Steenrod operations in great generality, and his definition may be applied étale cohomology. Instead, we follow the definition of [25], based on étale homotopy theory. This approach is closer to construction of Jardine [36].

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. If  $S.$  is a simplicial set,  $H^*(S., \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is endowed with Steenrod operations, and these operations are natural with respect to simplicial maps  $S' \rightarrow S.$  It follows that  $H^*(\acute{E}t(X.), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is endowed with mod  $\ell$  Steenrod operations: power operations

$$P^n: H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{*+2n(\ell-1)}(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell), \quad n \geq 0$$

and a reduced Bockstein homomorphism

$$\beta: H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

By definition,  $\beta$  is the connecting homomorphism of the cohomology long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell \rightarrow 1$$

of étale sheaves on  $X.$ . More generally, for every  $m \geq 1$ , we have a homomorphism

$$\beta_m: H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m)$$

obtained as the connecting homomorphism of the cohomology long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell \rightarrow 1.$$

The homomorphisms  $\beta_m$  form an inverse system in  $m$ . Passing to the inverse limit in  $m$  yields the non-reduced Bockstein homomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta}: H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(X., \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Letting

$$\pi_\ell: H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(X., \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

be the homomorphism of reduction modulo  $\ell$ , we have

$$\beta = \pi_\ell \circ \tilde{\beta}.$$

Since the étale Steenrod operations are defined as colimit of the simplicial Steenrod operations, the standard properties of the simplicial operations are also true for the

étale operations. Therefore, the étale Steenrod operations are natural with respect to morphisms of simplicial schemes,  $P^0$  is the identity,  $P^n(x) = x^n$  if  $|x| = 2n$  and  $P^n(x) = 0$  if  $|x| < 2n$ , Cartan's formula and the Adem relations hold.

If  $\ell = 2$ , we define the Steenrod squares as

$$\mathrm{Sq}^{2n} := P^n, \quad \mathrm{Sq}^{2n+1} := \beta P^n.$$

Since  $P^0$  is the identity, we have  $\beta = \mathrm{Sq}^1$ .

We write  $P$  for the total Steenrod  $\ell$ -th power operation, and  $\mathrm{Sq}$  for the total Steenrod square:

$$P := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^j, \quad \mathrm{Sq} := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Sq}^j.$$

Cartan's formula translates to the fact that  $P$  and  $\mathrm{Sq}$  are ring homomorphisms.

**2.3. Specialization map.** For the proof of Theorem 1.4, it will be useful to know that the specialization map in étale cohomology is compatible with Steenrod operations.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a strictly henselian discrete valuation ring,  $k$  be the residue field of  $R$ ,  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  be a smooth projective  $R$ -scheme of finite type, and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ . Let  $s: \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a section, and fix generic points*

$$\bar{s}: \mathrm{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{X}, \quad \bar{\eta}: \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{X}$$

*lying above the closed and generic point of  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ , respectively. Then the specialization map in étale cohomology*

$$\mathrm{sp}_{\bar{\eta}, \bar{s}}: H^*(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

*is compatible with Steenrod operations.*

*Proof.* By [27, Propositions 8.6 and 8.7], the closed embedding  $\mathcal{X}_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  and the open embedding  $\mathcal{X}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  induce homotopy equivalences

$$\mathrm{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{s}}, \bar{s})) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}, \bar{s})) \xleftarrow{j} \mathrm{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}(\mathcal{X}, \bar{\eta})),$$

where  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_{\infty}(-)$  is the Bousfield-Kan pro- $\ell$  completion functor, and  $\mathrm{holim}(-)$  is the Bousfield-Kan homotopy (inverse) limit functor; see [27, p. 57]. (This is a consequence of the smooth and proper base change theorems in étale cohomology.) The specialization map  $\mathrm{sp}_{\bar{\eta}, \bar{s}}$  is induced by the homotopy equivalence of pointed pro-simplicial sets  $j^{-1} \circ i$ , hence it commutes with Steenrod operations.  $\square$

There is a similar result for the classifying space of a linear algebraic group. We will need it during the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k$  an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic,  $\ell$  be a prime invertible in  $k$ . Then we have a commutative square of ring homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(B_k \mathcal{G}_k, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^*_{\mathrm{sing}}(B\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell \\ \downarrow \pi_{\ell} & & \downarrow \pi_{\ell} \\ H^*(B_k \mathcal{G}_k, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^*_{\mathrm{sing}}(B\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell), \end{array}$$

*where the horizontal maps are isomorphisms. Moreover, the bottom horizontal map is compatible with étale Steenrod operations (on the left side) and topological Steenrod operations (on the right side).*



*Proof.* This follows from the homotopy equivalence

$$\mathrm{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(\acute{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{t}(B_k\mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{holim}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)_\infty(\mathrm{Sing}(B\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}))),$$

established in [27, Proposition 8.8], where  $\mathrm{Sing}(-)$  denotes the singular complex.  $\square$

### 3. NON-ALGEBRAICITY CRITERION

The purpose of this section is the proof of Proposition 3.8, which provides a criterion for the existence of non-algebraic geometrically trivial  $\ell$ -adic cohomology classes for smooth projective varieties over finite fields. The key technical ingredient for the proof of Proposition 3.8 comes from Theorem 3.3, which clarifies the behavior of Steenrod operations in the mod  $\ell$  Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. In the case of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , Theorem 3.3 takes a particularly simple form; see Corollary 3.5. The reader willing to take on faith the compatibility of the Steenrod operations with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence should skip to the statement of Corollary 3.5.

**3.1. Steenrod operations and the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $\ell$  be a prime number, and suppose that  $X_n$  is a  $k$ -scheme of finite type for all  $n \geq 0$ . We write  $\overline{X} := X \times_k \overline{k}$ . The discussion of Section 2.2 yields Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  and on  $H^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ . The two cohomology rings are related by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$(3.1) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(k, H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

This is the Grothendieck spectral sequence for the composition of the functors of Galois-equivariant global sections  $H^0(\overline{X}, -)$  and of  $G$ -invariants. We may also view (3.1) as the direct limit of the spectral sequences

$$(3.2) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\mathrm{Gal}(K/k), H^j(X_K, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

defined in a similar way, where  $K/k$  ranges over all finite Galois subextensions of  $\overline{k}/k$ .

For the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, it will be important to understand the compatibility of Steenrod operations with (3.1).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group. If  $S$  is a simplicial set with a simplicial  $\Gamma$ -action, we denote by  $(S)_{h\Gamma}$  the homotopy quotient of  $S$  by  $\Gamma$ , namely

$$(S)_{h\Gamma} := (S \times E\Gamma)/\Gamma,$$

where  $E\Gamma$  is a weakly contractible simplicial set with a free  $\Gamma$ -action. The projection  $(S \times E\Gamma) \rightarrow (S)_{h\Gamma}$  is a principal  $\Gamma$ -fibration in the sense of [27, Definition 5.3]. By construction, we have a fibration of simplicial sets

$$S \rightarrow (S)_{h\Gamma} \rightarrow B\Gamma,$$

where  $B\Gamma := E\Gamma/\Gamma$  is a model for the simplicial classifying space for  $\Gamma$ . We have the Serre spectral sequences

$$(3.3) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(B\Gamma, H^j(S, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}((S)_{h\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell),$$

where  $H^j(S, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is viewed as a local system over  $B\Gamma$ , or equivalently the Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$(3.4) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\Gamma, H^j(S, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}((S)_{h\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

where  $H^i(\Gamma, -)$  denotes group cohomology and  $H^j(S, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is now viewed as a  $\Gamma$ -representation.

Let  $I$  be a left directed category and  $\mathcal{S} = (S_i)_{i \in I}$  is a pro-simplicial set with a  $\Gamma$ -action:  $\Gamma$  acts on every  $S_i$  via simplicial automorphisms and the transition



morphisms  $(S_i.) \rightarrow (S_j.)$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. We define the homotopy quotient of  $\mathcal{S}$  by the  $\Gamma$ -action as

$$(\mathcal{S})_{h\Gamma} := ((S_i.)_{h\Gamma})_{i \in I},$$

that is, the pro-simplicial set associated to the inverse system of homotopy quotients.

By definition,  $H^*(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is the direct limit of  $H^j(S_i., \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  over  $i \in I$ , and similarly for  $(\mathcal{S})_{h\Gamma}$ . Since group cohomology commutes with direct limits, by passing to the direct limit in (3.4) we obtain a spectral sequence

$$(3.5) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\Gamma, H^j(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}((\mathcal{S})_{h\Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *The rigid étale hypercoverings of  $(X.)_K$  that are defined over  $k$  are cofinal in  $\text{HRR}((X.)_K)$ . In particular, we have a canonical  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$H^*(\acute{\text{E}}t((X.)_K), A) \simeq \text{colim } H^*(\pi(\Delta((U..)_{h\Gamma})), A),$$

where the colimit is over  $\text{HRR}(X.)$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $\mathcal{C}$  be the site of étale coverings of  $(X.)_K$  by simplicial schemes, and  $\mathcal{B}$  be the collection of all rigid coverings  $Y. \rightarrow (X.)_K$  that are defined over  $k$ . If  $Y. \rightarrow (X.)_K$  is a rigid covering, the level-wise Galois closure  $\tilde{Y}. \rightarrow Y.$  is a rigid covering of  $Y.$  defined over  $k$ . Moreover, if  $\{(Y_j.) \rightarrow Y.\}$  is an étale covering of  $Y.$  and  $Z. \rightarrow Y.$  is a rigid covering, then  $\{(Y_j.) \rightarrow Y.\} \coprod \{Z. \rightarrow Y.\}$  is also an étale covering of  $Y.$ . Therefore, the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$  satisfies the assumptions of [65, 0DAV]. The conclusion now follows from [65, 0DAV].  $\square$

Let  $K/k$  be a finite Galois extension,  $X$  be a  $k$ -variety, and  $f: X_K \rightarrow X$  be the projection map. The group  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  acts on  $X_K$  via its action on  $\text{Spec}(K)$ . By Lemma 3.1, we may write  $\acute{\text{E}}t(X_K)$  as the pro-simplicial set associated to an inverse system of simplicial sets endowed with compatible  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -actions. Therefore, we may let  $\mathcal{S} = \acute{\text{E}}t(X_K)$  and  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K/k)$  in the previous discussion. The spectral sequence (3.5) specializes to:

$$(3.6) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\text{Gal}(K/k), H^j(\acute{\text{E}}t(X_K), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\acute{\text{E}}t(X_K)_{h\text{Gal}(K/k)}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For every finite Galois extension  $K/k$ , there exists an isomorphism between the spectral sequences (3.2) and (3.6), which is functorial with respect to  $K$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A..$  is a bisimplicial abelian group, we denote by  $M(A..)$  the Moore chain bicomplex corresponding to  $A..$  (see [29, p. 205]), and by  $\text{Tot}(M(A..))$  the associated total complex. (In [29], the Moore complex of  $A..$  is also denoted by  $A..$ ) By definition,  $H^*(A..)$  is the cohomology of the chain complex  $\text{Tot}(M(A..))$ ; this is in accordance with [27, p. 20]. (Recall that the cohomology of a chain complex is computed by first applying  $\text{Hom}(-, A)$  in each degree, and then taking cohomology of the resulting cochain complex.) Since the total complex and Moore bicomplex functors are exact, this defines a  $\delta$ -functor on the category of bisimplicial abelian groups. If  $A^{\cdot\cdot}$  is a bicosimplicial abelian group, we define dually the Moore cochain bicomplex  $M(A^{\cdot\cdot})$ , and let  $H^*(A^{\cdot\cdot})$  be the cohomology of the total complex of  $M(A^{\cdot\cdot})$ .

If  $F$  is an étale sheaf of abelian groups on a bisimplicial scheme  $Y..$ , we denote by  $F(Y..)$  the bicosimplicial abelian group obtained by taking global sections level-wise. By [27, Theorem 3.8], we have an isomorphism of  $\delta$ -functors on  $\text{AbSh}(X.)$ :

$$H^*((X.)_K, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{colim } H^*((-)((U..)_{h\Gamma})),$$

where the colimit is over all étale hypercoverings  $U.. \rightarrow X..$  (The right hand side is a  $\delta$ -functor because the colimit functor is exact.) Since group cohomology commutes with colimits, this induces an isomorphism of spectral sequences from (3.2) to the colimit of the spectral sequences

$$(3.7) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\mathrm{Gal}(K/k), H^j((\mathbb{Z}/\ell)((U..)_{K})) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}((\mathbb{Z}/\ell)(U..)),$$

as  $U..$  ranges over all étale hypercoverings of  $X..$  The spectral sequences (3.7) are defined as the Grothendieck spectral sequences for the composition of  $(-)((U..)_{K})$  and of the functor of  $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ -invariants.

Let  $A..$  be a bisimplicial abelian group,  $\Delta(A..)$  be the diagonal simplicial abelian group, and  $d(A..)$  be the chain complex corresponding to the simplicial diagonal  $\Delta(A..)$  via the Dold-Kan correspondence. (In [29, p. 205], both notions are denoted by  $d(A..)$ .) By the bisimplicial Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem [29, Theorem IV.2.3], proved by Dold and Puppe, we have a natural chain homotopy equivalence  $\mathrm{Tot}(M(A..)) \simeq d(A..)$ . In particular, if  $S..$  is a bisimplicial set, letting  $A.. = \mathbb{Z}(S..)$  we get a natural chain homotopy equivalence

$$(3.8) \quad \mathrm{Tot}(M(\mathbb{Z}(S..))) \simeq d(\mathbb{Z}(S..)) = \mathbb{Z}(\Delta(S..)).$$

Let  $U.. \rightarrow X.$  by an étale hypercovering,  $A$  an abelian group. We also denote by  $A$  the corresponding constant étale sheaf on  $X.$  and  $U..$ . Then

$$A(\Delta((U..)_{K})) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(\pi(\Delta((U..)_{K}))), A),$$

hence by (3.8) we obtain an isomorphism of  $\delta$ -functors

$$H^*(-)((U..)_{K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\pi(\Delta((U..)_{K})), -)$$

from the abelian category of constant abelian groups (or constant abelian étale sheaves on  $U..$ ) to the abelian category of  $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ -modules.

Letting  $A = \mathbb{Z}/\ell$ , we deduce that (3.7) is naturally isomorphic to the colimit of the Grothendieck spectral sequences

$$(3.9) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\mathrm{Gal}(K/k), H^j(\pi(\Delta((U..)_{K})), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\pi(\Delta(U..)), \mathbb{Z}/\ell),$$

as  $U..$  ranges over all étale hypercoverings of  $X..$  By [27, Corollary 4.6], in the spectral sequence (3.9) we may equivalently let  $U..$  range over all rigid hypercoverings of  $X..$  By construction, this is the spectral sequence (3.6).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $k$  be a field,  $X$  be a  $k$ -scheme of finite type, and  $\ell$  be a prime number. On the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (3.1) there are, for all integers  $s, i \geq 0$  and all  $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ , homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_F P^s: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_r^{a,b+2s(\ell-1)}, & {}_F \beta P^s: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_r^{a,b+2s(\ell-1)+1}, & 0 \leq 2s \leq b \\ {}_B P^s: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_t^{a+(2s-b)(\ell-1), \ell b}, & {}_B \beta P^s: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_u^{a+(2s-b)(\ell-1)+1, \ell b}, & b \leq 2s \end{aligned}$$

when  $\ell$  is odd, and

$$\begin{aligned} {}_F \mathrm{Sq}^i: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_r^{a,b+i}, & 0 \leq i \leq b, \\ {}_B \mathrm{Sq}^i: E_r^{a,b} &\rightarrow E_v^{a+i-b, 2b}, & b \leq i \end{aligned}$$

when  $\ell = 2$ . The numbers  $t, u, v \geq r$  are defined below; we have  $t = u = v = 2$  if  $r = 2$  and  $t = u = v = \infty$  if  $r = \infty$ .

These operations satisfy the following properties.

(i) For all  $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ , the operations on the  $E_r$  page are determined by those on the  $E_2$  page. More precisely, suppose that  $x \in E_2^{a,b}$  survives to the  $E_r$  page, let  $[x] \in E_r^{a,b}$  be the image of  $x$ , and let  $P: E_2^{a,b} \rightarrow E_w^{a,b}$  be one of  ${}_F P^s, {}_F \beta P^s, {}_B P^s, {}_B \beta P^s, {}_F \mathrm{Sq}^i$  or  ${}_B \mathrm{Sq}^i$  for some  $s, i \geq 0$ , and where  $w = r, t, u, v$  depending on  $P$ . Then  $P(x)$  survives to the  $E_w$  page and  $[P(x)] = P([x])$ .

(ii) For every integer  $n \geq 0$ , let

$$\{0\} = F^{n+1}H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \subset F^n H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \subset \cdots \subset F^0 H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

be the filtration of  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  induced by (3.1), with projection maps

$$\rho: F^a H^{a+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow E_\infty^{a,b}.$$

For all integers  $s, i \geq 0$ , write  $P^s$ ,  $\beta P^s$  and  $Sq^i$  for the étale Steenrod operations on  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ . Then, when  $\ell$  is odd, we have commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^a H^{a+b+2s(\ell-1)}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b+2s(\ell-1)} \\ P^s \uparrow & & \uparrow F P^s \\ F^a H^{a+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b} \end{array}$$

when  $2s \leq b$ , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{a+(2s-b)(\ell-1)} H^{a+b+2s(\ell-1)}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a+(2s-b)(\ell-1), \ell b} \\ P^s \uparrow & & \uparrow B P^s \\ F^a H^{a+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b} \end{array}$$

when  $2s \geq b$ . The two squares coincide for  $2s = b$ . We have similar commutative squares with  $P^s$  replaced by  $\beta P^s$ . When  $\ell = 2$ , we have the commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^a H^{a+b+i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b+i} \\ Sq^i \uparrow & & \uparrow F Sq^i \\ F^a H^{a+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b} \end{array}$$

when  $i \leq b$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{a+i-b} H^{a+i+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a+i-b, 2b} \\ Sq^i \uparrow & & \uparrow B Sq^i \\ F^a H^{a+b}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & E_\infty^{a,b} \end{array}$$

when  $i \geq b$ . The two squares coincide for  $i = b$ .

(iii) Suppose  $r = 2$ . Then for all  $s \geq 0$  the operations

$$F P^s: H^a(k, H^b(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \rightarrow H^a(k, H^{b+2s(\ell-1)}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell))$$

are induced by  $P^s: H^b(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{b+2s(\ell-1)}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , and similarly for  $F \beta P^s$  and  $F Sq^i$ .

In the setting of Theorem 3.3, we set

$$t := \begin{cases} r-1 + (2s-b)(\ell-1), & b \leq 2s < b+r-2, \\ \ell(r-2) + 1, & b+r-2 \leq 2s, \end{cases}$$

$$u := \begin{cases} r + (2s-b)(\ell-1), & b \leq 2s < b+r-2, \\ \ell(r-2) + 1, & b+r-2 \leq 2s, \end{cases}$$

$$v := \begin{cases} r+i-b, & b \leq i \leq b+r-2, \\ 2r-2, & b+r-2 \leq i. \end{cases}$$

If  $r = \infty$ , we set  $t = u = v = \infty$ . Note that  $t = u = v = 2$  if  $r = 2$ . Our applications do not rely on the full strength of Theorem 3.3, but only on its simpler consequence Corollary 3.5. In particular, we will not need the operations with prescript  $B$ , nor

the definitions of  $t, u, v$ , but we included them for completeness and possible future use.

In (ii), the fact that  $P^s, \beta P^s$  and  $Sq^i$  respect the spectral sequence filtration of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  as described in the commutative squares is part of the statement.

*Proof.* Let  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  be a (topological) Serre fibration, and consider the Serre spectral sequence

$$(3.10) \quad E_2^{i,j} := H_{\text{sing}}^i(B, \underline{H}_{\text{sing}}^j(F, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^{i+j}(E, \mathbb{Z}/\ell),$$

where  $\underline{H}_{\text{sing}}^j(F, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is the local system of coefficients associated to the Serre fibration. We will make use of the construction of (3.10) due to Dress [21]; see also [44, pp. 225-229] or [64, Chapter 2, §5] (for  $\ell = 2$ ). Let  $\text{Sing.}(E)$  be the singular simplicial complex with  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$  coefficients of  $E$ , regarded as a simplicial coalgebra via the Eilenberg-Zilber map. To the Serre fibration  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ , Dress associated a bisimplicial  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ -coalgebra  $K_{\cdot}(f)$  and an augmentation map  $\lambda : K_{\cdot}(f) \rightarrow \text{Sing.}(E)$  such that the induced map  $\lambda^* : H^*(E, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Tot}(K_{\cdot}(f)))$  is an isomorphism. The first-quadrant spectral sequence for the double complex corresponding via Dold-Kan to the bicosimplicial  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ -algebra  $\text{Hom}(K_{\cdot}(f), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  may then be identified with (3.10).

We now give references for the construction of Steenrod operations on (3.10). These references work in the setting of the spectral sequence associated to a bisimplicial  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ -coalgebra, so by Dress' construction they apply to (3.10). Singer [63] constructed Steenrod operations on (3.10) when  $\ell = 2$ . Singer's definition of  ${}_B S q^i$  given in [63] has incorrect codomain. This has been fixed in [64, Theorem 2.15], where the correct  $t$  appears. When  $\ell$  is odd, Mori [49] constructed Steenrod operations on (3.10), but his definition of  ${}_B P^s$  and  ${}_B \beta P^s$  has a similar problem. These errors were noticed by Sawka [58, End of p. 741]. In the same article, Sawka [58] defined the operations when  $\ell$  is odd. Sawka's operations are defined as homomorphisms  $E_r \rightarrow E_r$  but with indeterminacy, see [58, pp. 739-740]. By [58, Proposition 2.5, Proposition 6.2], we may view Sawka's operations as homomorphisms  $E_r \rightarrow E_w$ , where  $w = t, u$ .

We may now give references for the analogues of (i), (ii) and (iii) for (3.10). For (i), see [63, Proposition 1.2] when  $\ell = 2$  and [58, Proposition 2.2] when  $\ell$  is odd. When  $\ell = 2$ , the proof of (ii) may be found in [63, Proposition 1.5] and [64, Theorem 2.16]. When  $\ell$  is odd, the proof of (ii) is given in [58, Proposition 2.4] and [49, Theorem 1.4 and §5].

We now consider (iii). When  $\ell = 2$ , (iii) is stated in [64, (2.77)]. The key tool for its proof is [63, I, Proposition 5.1] (or [64, Theorem 2.23 (2.60)]). An analogue of (iii) is proved for a different spectral sequence in [63, II, Proposition 5.2], but the method is general and applies to the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence (see [63, II, Proposition 7.2], where the author leaves the proof to the reader) and to the Serre spectral sequence [63, II, §8]. When  $\ell$  is odd, the proof is identical once we replace [63, I, Proposition 5.1] by [58, Proposition 7.1, Case 1].

Since the spectral sequence (3.4) is a special case of (3.10), it is endowed with Steenrod operations satisfying evident analogues of (i), (ii) and (iii). Moreover, the spectral sequence (3.4) is naturally isomorphic to (3.3), hence the properties just established for (3.3) hold for (3.4) as well. By the naturality of Steenrod operations, this endows the spectral sequence (3.5) with Steenrod operations that satisfy evident analogues of (i), (ii) and (iii).

By Lemma 3.2, there is a natural isomorphism of spectral sequences from (3.2) to (3.6). We use this isomorphism to define operations on (3.2) which satisfy all the properties required in the statement of Theorem 3.3. The construction of (3.2) and the operations on it is functorial with respect to finite field extensions  $K \subset K'$

such that  $K'/k$  is Galois. Passing to the direct limit, we obtain operations with the required properties on the spectral sequence (3.1).  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Steenrod operations on (3.10) were first defined by Araki [8, Definition 2 p. 87 and end of p. 89] and independently by Vázquez [70]. We have not been able to access Vázquez's paper. Araki's definition is based on Serre's construction of (3.10) using the cubical singular complex; see [61]. Araki then proved a number of properties of these operations and listed them in [8, Summary p. 89]. In particular, [8, (K) p. 90] yields (i). It is possible to prove (ii) and (iii) for (3.10) using Araki's definition, but there seems to be no reference in the literature. Multiple authors affirm without proof that the operations defined using Dress' and Serre's constructions of (3.10) agree; see e.g. [63, p. 328], [58, p. 737] and [49, §5]. This is certainly true, but we could not locate a proof in the literature. This is why we do not rely on Araki's construction for the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Suppose now that  $k = \mathbb{F}$  is a finite field. By [47, Corollary V.2.8], the  $G$ -module  $H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is finite for all  $j \geq 0$ . Since the cohomological dimension of  $\mathbb{F}$  is equal to 1, the groups  $H^i(\mathbb{F}, H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell))$  are trivial for all  $i \geq 2$ . Therefore, the  $E_2$ -page of (3.1) induces natural surjections

$$H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)^G$$

and natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Ker}(H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{j-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)),$$

which may be rewritten as natural short exact sequences

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{j-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)^G \rightarrow 0.$$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $X$  be a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety,  $s \geq 0$  be an integer,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $P$  be one of  $\mathbb{P}^s, \beta \mathbb{P}^s$  ( $\ell$  odd),  $\text{Sq}^{2s}, \text{Sq}^{2s+1}$  ( $\ell = 2$ ). For every  $j \neq 2s$ , we have a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{j-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) & \longrightarrow & H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \longrightarrow & H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)^G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, P) & & \downarrow P & & \downarrow P \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{j+d-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+d}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \longrightarrow & H^{j+d}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)^G \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the rows are induced by (3.1).

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Sq}^{2s+1} = \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^{2s}$  when  $\ell = 2$ . If  $2s > j$ , then  $P$  is zero on  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  and there is nothing to prove. Suppose now that  $2s < j$ . By Theorem 3.3(ii), the map  $P : H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{j+d}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  respects the filtration coming from (3.1), hence  $P$  induces a commutative diagram as in Corollary 3.5, except that the left and right vertical maps have not been identified yet. The naturality of Steenrod operations implies that the vertical map on the right is  $P$ . It remains to show that the vertical map on the left is  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, P)$ . This follows from Theorem 3.3(iii) for  $(a, b) = (1, j-1)$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Odd-degree operations and non-algebraic classes.** The material of this subsection is well known; see [54, §2]. It differs from [17] because the ground field is finite instead of algebraically closed. The arguments of [54] use motivic cohomology and also require the existence of a primitive  $\ell^2$ -root of unity. In order to make the current paper more self-contained and to avoid introducing motivic Steenrod operations, we provide alternative arguments which do not use motivic cohomology. This also saves us the trouble of proving the compatibility between the étale Steenrod operations defined in 2.2 and the motivic Steenrod operations,

or alternatively the étale Steenrod operations defined using homological algebra as in [11] or [32].

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity. Then for all  $n \geq 0$  the odd-degree Steenrod operations on  $H^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  vanish.*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be an integer invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Viewing  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$  as a projective bundle over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F})$ , the projective bundle formula [1, Exposé VII, Théorème 2.2.1] yields an isomorphism of graded rings:

$$(3.12) \quad \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mu_m^{\otimes i}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/m)[h]/(h^{n+1}), \quad |h| = 2,$$

where on the multiplication on the left side is given by cup product.

Since  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity,  $\mu_{\ell}^{\otimes i} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell$  for all  $i$ . We have

$$H^*(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2), \quad |\epsilon| = 1.$$

We also denote by  $\epsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^1, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  the pull-back of  $\epsilon$  along the structure morphism  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F})$ .

Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\mathbb{F}, H^j(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell).$$

Note that  $h$  maps to the generator  $\bar{h} \in E_{\infty}^{0,2} = E_2^{0,2}$  and that  $\epsilon$  is the image of the generator of  $\bar{\epsilon} \in E_{\infty}^{1,0} = E_2^{1,0}$ . Since the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence is multiplicative and degenerates at the  $E_2$ -page, we have a ring isomorphism  $E_{\infty} \simeq E_2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[\bar{h}, \bar{\epsilon}]/(\bar{h}^{n+1}, \bar{\epsilon}^2)$ . It follows that  $h^n \epsilon$  is not zero in the associated graded of  $H^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , hence  $h^n \epsilon \neq 0$ . We obtain a graded  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$ -algebra isomorphism

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[\epsilon, h]/(\epsilon^2, h^{n+1}).$$

We now show that the Bockstein homomorphism

$$\beta: H^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

is the zero homomorphism.

To show that  $\beta = 0$ , it suffices to show that  $\beta(\epsilon) = 0$  and  $\beta(h) = 0$ . Since  $\epsilon$  comes from  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = 0$ , we have  $\beta(\epsilon) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity, (3.12) for  $m = \ell$  and  $m = \ell^2$  gives a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2)[h]/(h^{n+1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[h]/(h^{n+1}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical map on the left is given by reduction modulo  $\ell$ . It follows that  $h \in H^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is the reduction of an element of  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^2)$ , hence  $\beta(h) = 0$ , as desired.

When  $\ell$  is odd, every odd-degree Steenrod operation is a composition of power operations, at least one of which is  $\beta$ , hence the proof is complete in this case. When  $\ell$  is even, we have  $\text{Sq}^1 = \beta$  and only shows that  $\text{Sq}^1 = 0$ . However, letting  $\text{Sq}$  denote the total Steenrod square, we have  $\text{Sq}(h) = h + h^2$  and  $\text{Sq}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}(h^a) = (h + h^2)^a$  and  $\text{Sq}(\epsilon h^a) = \epsilon \text{Sq}(h^a) = \epsilon(h + h^2)^a$ , showing that all odd-degree operations are zero.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $i \geq 1$  be an integer,  $\ell \geq i$  be a prime number,  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field containing a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$  and  $X$  be a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety. Let  $\alpha \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  be an algebraic class, that is, a class in the image*

of

$$CH^i(X)/\ell \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} H^{2i}(X, \mu_\ell^{\otimes i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell),$$

where the isomorphism on the right is induced by the choice of the root  $\zeta^\ell$ . Then all odd-degree Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  vanish on  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* We adapt an argument given by Totaro over algebraically closed fields; see the proof of [17, Théorème 2.1(1)]. There are however some difficulties to overcome, as the  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$ -étale cohomology of Grassmannians over  $\mathbb{F}$  is not concentrated in even degrees. This is where Corollary 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 come into play.

Since  $\ell$  is coprime with  $(i-1)!$ , by Jouanolou's Riemann-Roch Theorem without denominators [37], every element of  $CH^i(X)/\ell$  is a linear combination of Chern classes of vector bundles on  $X$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $\alpha = c_i(E)$  is the  $i$ -th Chern class of a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ .

If  $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$  is the projective bundle associated to  $E \rightarrow X$ , then  $\pi^*E$  admits a rank 1 quotient bundle and  $\pi^*: H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is injective. Moreover, since pullback along  $\pi$  is defined on the Chow ring and is compatible with the pullback on étale cohomology,  $\pi^*\alpha$  is still algebraic. Iterating this procedure, we may suppose that  $E$  is an iterated extension of line bundles  $L_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $c_i(E)$  is the degree  $i$  symmetric polynomial in the  $c_1(L_j)$ . By the Cartan formula, it suffices to show that odd degree Steenrod operations vanish on the  $c_1(L_j)$ . We may thus assume that  $E$  is a line bundle and that  $i = 1$ .

Let  $L$  be a very ample line bundle such that  $E \otimes L$  is generated by global sections. Then

$$c_1(E) = c_1(E \otimes L) - c_1(L).$$

By the linearity of the Steenrod operations, to prove that odd operations vanish on  $c_i(E)$  it suffices to show that they vanish on  $c_1(E \otimes L)$  and  $c_1(L)$ . We may thus assume that  $E$  is generated by global sections, in which case  $c_1(E)$  is the pull-back of a cohomology class on  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . This reduces us to the case when  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^n$ . The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

**3.3. Non-algebraic geometrically trivial classes.** Let  $\sigma \in G$  be the Frobenius automorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ : it is a topological generator of  $G$ . If  $M$  is a finite  $G$ -module, there is an isomorphism  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, M) \simeq M/(\sigma - 1)M$ , sending the class of a cocycle  $\{m_g\}_{g \in G}$  to the class of  $m_\sigma$  in  $M/(\sigma - 1)M$ . We obtain a surjection

$$(3.13) \quad M \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, M),$$

which is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  acts trivially on  $M$ ; see [62, XIII §1, Proposition 1].

The homomorphism (3.13) is functorial in the following way. Suppose that  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of continuous  $G$ -modules, and let

$$H^1(\mathbb{F}, f): H^1(\mathbb{F}, M) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N)$$

be the induced homomorphism. By definition,  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, f)$  sends the class of a cocycle  $\{m_g\}_{g \in G}$  to the class of  $\{f(m_g)\}_{g \in G}$ . It follows that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{(3.13)} & H^1(\mathbb{F}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & M/(\sigma - 1)M \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, f) & & \downarrow f \\ N & \xrightarrow{(3.13)} & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & N/(\sigma - 1)M, \end{array}$$

where the composition of the horizontal map is given by reduction modulo  $\sigma - 1$ .



Before stating Proposition 3.8, we recall a construction of the  $\ell$ -adic Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence over finite fields. Of course, this is a special case of [34]. For every integer  $m \geq 1$ , the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r,s} := H^r(\mathbb{F}, H^s(\overline{X}, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i})) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}(X, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i})$$

yields compatible short exact sequences

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i})) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^{2i}(X, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i}) \rightarrow H^{2i}(\overline{X}, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i})^G \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the abelian groups  $H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mu_{\ell^m}^{\otimes i})$  are finite, we may pass to the inverse limit in (3.14) and obtain a short exact sequence

$$(3.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i)) \rightarrow H^{2i}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))^G \rightarrow 0.$$

We will produce our counterexamples by means of the following consequence of Proposition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  be an integer, and  $X$  be a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety. Suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell^2$ -root of unity. Let:*

- $u \in H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))$ ,
- $P$  be a Steenrod operation of odd degree  $d$  which does not vanish on  $\pi_{\ell}(u)$ ,
- $u' \in H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i)))$  be the image of  $u$  under the map (3.13) for  $M = H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))$ ,
- $\alpha \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))$  be the image of  $u'$  under the inclusion  $\iota$  appearing in the bottom row of (3.15).

Then:

- (i)  $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}} = 0$ , and
- (ii) if  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^{2i-1+d}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , then  $\alpha$  is not algebraic.

*Proof.* (i) follows from the exactness of the bottom row of (3.11).

If  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^{2i-1+d}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , then (3.13) is an isomorphism for  $M = H^{2i-1+d}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ . Since  $P(\pi_{\ell}(u)) \neq 0$  and  $\pi_{\ell}(u')$  is the image of  $\pi_{\ell}(u)$  under (3.13) for  $M = H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , we deduce that  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, P)(u') \neq 0$ . Now Corollary 3.5 implies that  $P(\pi_{\ell}(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . Since the degree  $d$  of  $P$  is odd, Proposition 3.7 implies that  $\pi_{\ell}(\alpha)$  is not algebraic, hence  $\alpha$  is not algebraic. This proves (ii).  $\square$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $p$  be an odd prime number,  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field with ring of integers  $R$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite of characteristic  $p$ , and fix a field embedding  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be elliptic curves over  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , such that  $E_1$  admits a torsion point  $P$  of order 4, and that  $\text{Aut}_R(E_2)$  admits an element  $\sigma$  of order 4. (One can easily construct such  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  when  $R$  is a sufficiently large finite extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .) Consider the free  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -action on  $E_1 \times_R E_2$  over  $R$ , where the generator  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/4$  acts on  $E_1$  by translation by  $P$  and on  $E_2$  as  $\sigma$ , and define  $S := (E_1 \times_R E_2)/(\mathbb{Z}/4)$ .

The morphism  $E_1 \times_R E_2$  given by  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v + \sigma(v))$  induces a morphism  $f: S \rightarrow S$  which is finite étale of degree 2. The morphism  $f$  corresponds to the involution  $\tilde{\sigma}: S \rightarrow S$  induced by  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, \sigma(v))$ . We let  $\alpha \in H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be the corresponding cohomology class.

By [9, Lemma 5.1] and Artin's comparison theorem, there exists a cohomology class  $\beta' \in H^1(S_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}^3 \beta' \neq 0$  and  $(\beta')^2 = 0$ . By the invariance of étale cohomology under extensions of algebraically closed fields [47, Corollary VI.2.6],  $\beta'$  is defined over  $\overline{K}$ , hence over a suitable finite extension of  $K'$  of  $K$ . Replacing  $R$

by its integral closure in  $K'$  and localizing at one of the maximal ideals, we may thus assume that  $\beta'$  is defined over  $K$ .

Since  $p \neq 2$ , we have an isomorphism of  $R$ -group schemes  $\mu_{2,R} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)_R$ . It follows from the Kummer sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

over  $S_R$  and  $S_K$  that  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \text{Pic}(S)[2]$  and  $H^1(S_K, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \text{Pic}(S_K)[2]$ . Since the special fiber of  $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a principal divisor, the restriction map  $\text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S_K)$  is an isomorphism, hence

(4.1) The restriction map  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^1(S_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is surjective.

Therefore there exists  $\beta \in H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $\beta_{\mathbb{C}} = \beta'$ .

Let  $E$  be another elliptic curve over  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . (For example, one may take  $E = E_1$  or  $E = E_2$ .) Define  $Y := (S \times_R E)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts on  $S$  as  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and on  $E$  as  $-\text{Id}$ . Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$  be the morphism induced by the first projection, and let  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  be the double cover corresponding to  $\pi^*(\beta)$ . Define  $Z := (Y' \times_R E)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts via the involution corresponding to the double cover  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  on the left and via  $-\text{Id}$  on the right. It is proved in [9, Proof of Proposition 5.3] that there exists  $\sigma' \in H_{\text{sing}}^3(Z_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})[2]$  such that  $\pi_2(\sigma')^2 \neq 0$ . By Artin's comparison theorem, we deduce the existence of  $\sigma \in H^3(Z_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}_2)[2]$  such that  $\pi_2(\sigma)^2 \neq 0$ . By the invariance of étale cohomology under extensions of algebraically closed fields,  $\sigma$  is defined over  $\overline{K}$ . Therefore, using (4.1) and enlarging  $R$  if necessary, we may suppose that  $\sigma$  is defined over  $R$ . Letting  $X$  be the special fiber of  $Z$ , by Lemma 2.1 the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $X$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.8, and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.1.* We give a shorter proof of the following weakening of Theorem 1.4: For all but finitely many primes  $p$ , there exist a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic  $p$  and a smooth projective fourfold  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 holds for  $X$ .

Indeed, by [9, Proposition 5.3] and Artin's comparison theorem, there exist a smooth projective fourfold  $Z$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a 2-torsion class  $\sigma \in H^3(Z, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $\pi_2(\sigma)^2 \neq 0$ . By a spreading out argument, there exist a finitely generated field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , a smooth integral  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme of finite type  $S$  with fraction field  $K$ , and a smooth projective scheme  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow S$  such that  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq Z$ . The morphism  $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  is flat, hence open. It follows that all but finitely many primes appear as residue characteristics of closed points of  $S$ .

Let  $p \neq \ell$  be one such prime,  $s \in S$  be a closed point whose residue field  $k(s)$  is finite of characteristic  $p$ , and set  $\mathbb{F} := k(s)$  and  $X := (\mathcal{Z})_{k(s)}$ . By [31, Proposition 7.1.9], there exist a discrete valuation ring  $R$ , with generic point  $\eta$  and closed point  $t$ , and a morphism  $\text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow S$  which sends  $\eta$  to the generic point of  $S$ ,  $t$  to  $s$ , and such that the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow k(\eta)$  is an equality. By the invariance of étale cohomology under field extensions and the smooth and proper base change theorems, we have an isomorphism

$$(4.2) \quad H^*(Z, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X_{\overline{k(t)}}, \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

where  $\overline{X} = X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}$ , and a compatible isomorphism with  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  coefficients. Let  $\alpha \in H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be the image of  $\sigma$  under (4.2). By Lemma 2.1, we have  $\pi_2(\alpha)^2 \neq 0$ . Replacing  $\mathbb{F}$  by a finite extension if necessary, we may suppose that  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . The conclusion now follows from Proposition 3.8.

We now show that Proposition 3.8 cannot be used to find a 3-dimensional example  $X$  with  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2)) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $X$  be a smooth projective threefold over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\alpha \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  be a class such that  $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}} = 0$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity. Then all odd-degree étale Steenrod operations on  $X$  vanish on  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* By [56, Theorem 7.16], we have the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for étale  $\ell$ -adic cobordism:

$$E_2^{i,j} := H^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell \otimes \hat{M}U^j) \Rightarrow \hat{M}U^{i+j}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

We have  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell \otimes \hat{M}U \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ , where  $|x_i| = -2i$ . We obtain a short exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}_\ell \otimes \hat{M}U^3(\overline{X}) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{d_3} H^6(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

The differentials in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence are all torsion; see [57, End of p. 965]. (One may check that  $d_3$  is equal to  $\tilde{\beta} \text{Sq}^2$  if  $\ell = 2$  and zero otherwise.) Since  $\dim(X) = 3$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module  $H^6(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is torsion free, hence the torsion map  $d_3: H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^6(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  must be zero. Therefore  $\mu$  is surjective. By [57, Corollary 1.2(a), or Proof of Proposition 5.2], this implies that all odd-degree Steenrod operations vanish on  $\alpha$ . (The argument for the final step in the topological setting is recalled in [57, p. 963].)  $\square$

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Before the proof of Theorem 1.3, we need some observations about the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology and cycle class map of the classifying space of  $\text{PGL}_\ell$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ .*

- (a) *If  $\ell = 2$ , there exists  $u_3 \in H^3(B_k \text{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $\text{Sq}^3(\pi_2(u_3)) \neq 0$ .*
- (b) *If  $\ell$  is odd, there exists  $u_3 \in H^3(B_k \text{PGL}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  such that  $\beta \text{P}^1(\pi_\ell(u_3)) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we may suppose that  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and replace étale cohomology by singular cohomology.

(a) We have an isomorphism  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Write  $H_{\text{sing}}^*(B\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{F}_2[w_2, w_3]$ , where  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  are the Stiefel-Whitney classes; see e.g. [67, Theorem 2.2, (2.3)]. By Wu's formula, we have  $\text{Sq}^1(w_2) = w_3$ . Define  $u_3 := \tilde{\beta}(w_2)$ . Since  $\text{Sq}^1 = \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\beta}$ , we have  $\pi_2(u_3) = w_3$ , hence  $\text{Sq}^3(\pi_2(u_3)) = \pi_2(u_3)^2 = w_3^2 \neq 0$ .

(b) By [71, Theorem 3.6(b)] or [7, §3], we have

$$(4.3) \quad H_{\text{sing}}^i(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0, \\ 0 & i = 1, \\ 0 & i = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/\ell & i = 3, \\ \mathbb{Z} & i = 4. \end{cases}$$

From (4.3) and the universal coefficient theorem, we deduce that

$$H_{\text{sing}}^2(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell$$

and that  $\pi_\ell: H_{\text{sing}}^3(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^3(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is an isomorphism.

Consider the Serre spectral sequence associated to the Serre fibration

$$\text{BGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{f} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3).$$

We have an isomorphism  $H_{\text{sing}}^*(\text{BGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[c_1, \dots, c_\ell]$ , where  $|c_i| = 2i$ . Since  $H_{\text{sing}}^2(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \neq 0$ , we have  $d_2(c_1) = 0$  and  $d_3(c_1) = 0$ , hence

$$f^*: H_{\text{sing}}^3(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^3(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

is an isomorphism. By [48, VII.4.19(2)],  $H_{\text{sing}}^3(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cdot v_3$  for some  $v_3 \neq 0$ . Let  $u_3$  be the unique element of  $H_{\text{sing}}^3(\text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $u_3 = f^*(v_3)$ .

Recall from [48, VII.4.19(1)] that  $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[z_2]$ , where  $|z_2| = 2$ . Consider the mod  $\ell$  Serre spectral sequence associated to the Serre fibration

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \text{BGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{BPGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}).$$

We must have  $d_3(z_2) = \lambda\pi_\ell(u_3)$  for some  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^\times$ , hence by the Kudo transgression theorem [44, Theorem 6.14] the class  $z_2^{\ell-1} \otimes \lambda\pi_\ell(u_3) \in E_2^{2\ell-2, 3}$  is transgressive and  $d_{2\ell-1}(z_2^{\ell-1} \otimes \lambda\pi_\ell(u_3)) = -\lambda\beta P^1(\pi_\ell(u_3))$ , that is,  $d_{2\ell-1}(z_2^{\ell-1} \otimes \pi_\ell(u_3)) = -\beta P^1(\pi_\ell(u_3))$ . Since  $H^*(\text{BGL}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is concentrated in even degrees and the total degree of  $z_2^{\ell-1} \otimes \pi_\ell(u_3)$  is odd,  $z_2^{\ell-1} \otimes \pi_\ell(u_3)$  does not survive in the  $E_\infty$  page, hence  $\beta P^1(\pi_\ell(u_3)) \neq 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a reductive group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k$  be a field, and  $i \geq 0$  be an integer, and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ .*

(a) *Suppose that the cycle map*

$$CH^i(B_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2i}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

*is surjective. Then*

$$CH^i(B_k\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2i}(B_{\overline{k}}\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

*is also surjective.*

(b) *Let  $k$  be a field and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ . Then the cycle map*

$$CH^i(B_k\text{PGL}_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2i}(B_{\overline{k}}\text{PGL}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

*is surjective for all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* (a) If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , this follows from the invariance of étale cohomology under extensions of algebraically closed fields. Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ . By the invariance of étale cohomology under extensions of algebraically closed fields, we may suppose that  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ . There exist a  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ -representation  $V$  and an open subscheme  $U \subset V$  such that  $V_{\mathbb{F}_p} - U_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and  $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p} - U_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  have codimension  $\geq i + 1$  in  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $V_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , respectively, and a  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ -torsor  $U \rightarrow B$ . Fulton's specialization map over the discrete valuation ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and the specialization homomorphism in étale cohomology fit into a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(U_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^{2i}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ CH^i(U_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(U_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)), \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is an isomorphism by assumption, and the vertical map on the right is an isomorphism by the smooth base change theorem. We obtain a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^{2i}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ CH^i(B_{\mathbb{F}_p}\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & H^{2i}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)), \end{array}$$

from which the conclusion follows.

(b) In view of (a), we may suppose that  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . The conclusion now follows from [51] when  $\ell = 2$ , and is the content of [71, Corollary 3.5] when  $\ell \neq 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  contains a primitive  $\ell^2$ -th root of unity. Then the  $G$ -action on the group  $H^{2\ell+2}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $p := \mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  be a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity,  $\mathbb{F}_0 := \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{F}$ , and  $G_0 \supset G$  be the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_0$ . The integer  $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_0] = [G_0 : G]$  is divisible by  $\ell$ , and the Bockstein short exact sequence (see [47, Lemma V.1.11])

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{2\ell+2}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^{2\ell+2}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \\ \rightarrow H^{2\ell+3}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)[\ell] \rightarrow 0$$

is  $G_0$ -equivariant.

By [71, Theorem 3.6] we have  $H^3(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell$  and  $H^j(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = 0$  for all odd  $1 \leq j \leq 2\ell + 3$  such that  $j \neq 3$ . (This is sharp, as  $H^{2\ell+5}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \neq 0$ .) The  $G_0$ -action on  $H^3(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is trivial: indeed, by [71, Theorem 3.6] (or (4.3)) and [47, Lemma V.1.11], we have a  $G_0$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$H^2(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell),$$

and by Lemma 4.4(b) the  $G_0$ -action on  $H^2(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is trivial. The Künneth's formula in étale cohomology now gives a  $G_0$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$H^{2\ell+3}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.4(b) and the fact that  $\mathbb{F}_0$  contains a primitive  $\ell$ -th root of unity, the  $G_0$ -action on  $H^{2\ell+2}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  is trivial. Letting  $M := H^{2\ell+2}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , the sequence (4.4) takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^a \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell \rightarrow 0,$$

for some  $a \geq 1$ , where  $G_0$  acts trivially on  $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^a$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$ . Thus, with respect to a suitable basis, the  $G_0$ -action on  $M$  is given by a matrix  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{a+1}(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity matrix of size  $a$ , and  $v$  is a column vector of length  $a$ . A simple computation now shows that  $A^{\ell} = 1$ . Since  $[G_0 : G]$  is divisible by  $\ell$ , we conclude that  $G$  acts trivially on  $M$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By [23, Remark (v) p. 5 and §1.1], there exist a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety  $X$  of dimension  $2\ell + 2$  and a morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  such that the pull-back

$$\varphi^* : H^*(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 2\ell + 2$  and is injective with torsion-free cokernel in degree  $2\ell + 3$ . We may assume that  $X$  and  $\varphi$  are defined over the prime field of  $X$ . The cycle map

$$\mathrm{cl} : CH^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^{2*}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(i)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\ell[t], \quad |t| = 2$$

is an isomorphism. We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}) \otimes CH^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{G}_m) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell & \xrightarrow{\sim} & CH^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell \\ \downarrow \mathrm{cl} \otimes \mathrm{cl} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cl} \\ H^{2*}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(*)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/\ell} H^{2*}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(*)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^{2*}(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell(*)), \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal map is the Künneth isomorphism of [68, Lemma 2.12(i)], the bottom horizontal map is the Künneth isomorphism in étale cohomology, and the vertical maps are the cycle class maps. We deduce from Lemma 4.4(b) that the

cycle map  $CH^i(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow H^{2i}(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(i))$  is surjective for all integers  $i \geq 0$ . It now follows from the commutativity of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^2(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^4(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2)) \end{array}$$

that the cycle map  $CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2))$  is surjective.

From the  $\ell$ -adic Bockstein short exact sequence [47, Lemma V.1.11] we see that

$$\varphi^* : H^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 2\ell + 2$ . (Here we use the fact that the pull-back is injective with torsion-free cokernel in degree  $2\ell + 3$ .) In particular, by Lemma 4.5,  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^{2\ell+2}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ . Let  $\varphi' := \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \varphi$ , where

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 : B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell} \times B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}$$

is the first projection. As a consequence, by the Künneth formula in étale cohomology and the injectivity of  $\varphi^*$  in degrees  $\leq 2\ell + 2$ , the composition

$$(\varphi')^* : H^*(B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

is injective in degrees  $\leq 2\ell + 2$ .

Consider the Steenrod operation  $P$  given by  $P = \mathrm{Sq}^3$  if  $\ell = 2$  and  $P = \beta \mathrm{P}^1$  if  $\ell \neq 2$ . The degree of  $P$  is equal to the odd number  $2\ell - 1$ . By Lemma 4.3, there exists a class  $u_3 \in H^3(B_{\mathbb{F}}\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(2))$  such that  $P(\pi_{\ell}(u_3)) \neq 0$ . Define  $u := (\varphi')^*(u_3)$ . Since  $P(\pi_{\ell}(u_3))$  has degree  $2\ell + 2$  and  $(\varphi')^*$  is injective in degrees  $\leq 2\ell + 2$ , we have  $P(\pi_{\ell}(u)) \neq 0$ . Since  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^{2\ell+2}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ , the assumptions of Proposition 3.8 are satisfied, hence the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.8.  $\square$

## 5. CONIVEAU AND STRONG CONIVEAU

**5.1. Two coniveau filtrations.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ , and  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected  $k$ -variety of dimension  $d$ .

Here we introduce two coniveau filtrations on the integral cohomology of  $\overline{X}$ . The classical *coniveau* filtration is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} N^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) &:= \sum_{Z \subset X} \mathrm{Im} \left( H_{\mathbb{Z}}^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{Z \subset X} \mathrm{Ker} \left( H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X} - \overline{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z \subset X$  runs over the closed subvarieties of codimension  $\geq c$ . Similarly, the *strong coniveau* filtration is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{N}^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) := \sum_{f: T \rightarrow X} \mathrm{Im} \left( f_* : H^{j-2r}(\overline{T}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m-c)) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m)) \right),$$

where the sum is over all smooth projective connected  $k$ -varieties  $T$  of dimension  $d - r$  with  $r \geq c$  and  $f: T \rightarrow X$  is a morphism. Note that these definitions coincide with the usual definitions using varieties over  $\overline{k}$  (for instance, see [9, Section 2.1]), by replacing such varieties by their Galois orbits so that they descend to varieties over  $k$ .

The groups  $N^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m))$ ,  $\tilde{N}^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m))$  are by definition  $G$ -submodules of  $H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(m))$ . This fact will be important for us, and we will keep track of the Tate twist carefully in the following.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The action of a correspondence respects the coniveau and strong coniveau filtrations. That is, for a smooth projective geometrically connected  $k$ -variety  $Y$  and  $\Gamma \in CH^i(X \times Y)$ , the action  $\Gamma_*$  of  $\Gamma$  on  $H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$  induces homomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_* : N^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) &\rightarrow N^{c+i-d} H^{j+2(i-d)}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m+i-d)) \\ \Gamma_* : \tilde{N}^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) &\rightarrow \tilde{N}^{c+i-d} H^{j+2(i-d)}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m+i-d)).\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As for the coniveau filtration, we may find a closed subvariety  $Z \subset X$  of codimension  $\geq c$  that yields a surjection  $H^j_Z(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) \rightarrow N^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$ . Then we apply the moving lemma to  $\Gamma \in CH^i(X \times Y)$  to ensure that  $\Gamma$ , after moving, comes to intersect properly with  $Z \times Y$ . Now the assertion is immediate.

The assertion for the strong coniveau filtration follows from Lemma 5.2 below using the composition of correspondences.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *We have*

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{N}^c H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)) = \sum_{(T, \Gamma)} \text{Im}(\Gamma_* : H^{j-2r}(\overline{T}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m-r)) \rightarrow H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))),$$

where  $(T, \Gamma)$  runs over all smooth projective connected  $k$ -varieties  $T$  of dimension  $e-r$  and  $\Gamma \in CH^e(T \times X)$  with  $e \geq r \geq c$ .

*Proof.* The result will follow once we establish the analogous identity for pairs over  $\overline{k}$ . Hence we may assume  $k = \overline{k}$  in the proof.

The left term of (5.2) is contained in the right term of (5.2) by taking the graph of morphisms  $f: T \rightarrow X$  appearing in (5.1). It is now enough to show the opposite inclusion.

Let  $(T, \Gamma)$  be a pair as in (5.2); note that  $\dim \Gamma = d-r$ . Write  $\Gamma = \sum_i n_i \Gamma_i$ , where  $\Gamma_i$  are the irreducible components of  $\text{Supp}(\Gamma)$ . For each  $i$ , let  $g_i: \tilde{\Gamma}_i \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  be an  $\ell'$ -alteration, which exists due to Gabber [33, Theorem 2.1]; set  $m_i = \deg(g_i)$ , which is prime to  $\ell$ . If we write  $p: T \times X \rightarrow T$  and  $q: T \times X \rightarrow X$  for the projections, then, for every  $\alpha \in H^{j-2r}(T, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m-c))$ , we have

$$\Gamma_* \alpha = q_*(p^* \alpha \cdot \Gamma) = \sum_i n_i q_*(p^* \alpha \cdot \Gamma_i) = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{m_i} q_*(g_i)_*(g_i)^* p^* \alpha,$$

which shows  $\Gamma_* \alpha \in \tilde{N}^c H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$ . The result follows.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* In (5.1) we may restrict to morphisms  $f: T \rightarrow X$  where  $\dim T = d-c$  by taking product with a projective space of suitable dimension. Accordingly, in (5.2) we may restrict to pairs  $(T, \Gamma)$  where  $\dim T = d-c$  and  $\Gamma \in CH^d(T \times X)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For all  $i \geq 1$ , we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) = \sum_{(S, \Gamma)} \text{Im}(\Gamma_* : H_1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))),$$

where  $(S, \Gamma)$  runs over all pairs, where  $S$  is a smooth projective connected  $k$ -variety and  $\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$ . Moreover, in (5.3) we may restrict to pairs  $(S, \Gamma)$  where  $\dim S = 1$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $k = \overline{k}$  in the proof. The argument is then analogous to [73, Lemma 1.2, Proposition 1.3].

Lemma 5.2 yields

$$\tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) = \sum_{(T, \Gamma)} \text{Im}(\Gamma_* : H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))),$$



where  $(T, \Gamma)$  runs over all smooth projective connected  $k$ -varieties  $T$  of dimension  $d - i + 1$  and  $\Gamma \in CH^d(T \times X)$ . For any such pair  $(T, \Gamma)$ , the Poincaré line bundle  $\mathcal{P} \in CH^1(\text{Pic}_{T/k}^0 \times T)$  gives an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{P}_* : H_1(\text{Pic}_{T/k}^0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

Now the composition  $\Gamma \circ \mathcal{P} \in CH^i(\text{Pic}_{T/k}^0 \times X)$  gives a homomorphism

$$(\Gamma \circ \mathcal{P})_* = \Gamma_* \circ \mathcal{P}_* : H_1(\text{Pic}_{T/k}^0, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

whose image coincides with that of  $\Gamma_*$ . This shows that the left term of (5.3) is contained in the right term of (5.3).

It remains to show the opposite inclusion. One may first reduce to the case where  $\dim S = 1$  by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem. Then the assertion follows by taking  $\ell'$ -alterations of the components of  $\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$  for a smooth projective connected  $k$ -curve  $S$ , as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Under the setting of Lemma 5.4, we may further restrict in (5.3) to pairs  $(S, \Gamma)$  where  $S$  is geometrically connected.*

*Proof.* Here we describe the argument, following the idea of using symmetric powers of curves, which we learned from [3, Section 3.4].

Let  $S$  be a smooth projective connected (not necessarily geometrically connected)  $k$ -curve and  $\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$ . Let  $\overline{S}_1, \dots, \overline{S}_e$  be the connected components of  $\overline{S}$  and let

$$\text{Sym}^\Delta(\overline{S}) = \overline{S}_1 \times \dots \times \overline{S}_e \subset \text{Sym}^e(\overline{S}).$$

Note that  $\text{Sym}^e(\overline{S})$  is smooth and  $\text{Sym}^\Delta(\overline{S})$  is a connected component of  $\text{Sym}^e(\overline{S})$ . Since  $\text{Sym}^\Delta(\overline{S})$  is fixed by  $G$ , it descends to a geometrically connected component  $\text{Sym}^\Delta(S)$  of  $\text{Sym}^e(S)$ .

Moreover, let

$$\text{Sym}^e(\Gamma) = (p|_U \times \text{id}_X)_*(q|_U \times \text{id}_X)^*\Gamma \in CH^i(\text{Sym}^e(S) \times X),$$

where  $U \subset \text{Sym}^e(S) \times S$  is the universal family, viewing  $\text{Sym}^e(S)$  as a component of the Hilbert scheme of  $S$ ;  $p: \text{Sym}^e(S) \times S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^e(S)$ ,  $q: \text{Sym}^e(S) \times S \rightarrow S$  are the projections. Let  $\text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma) \in CH^i(\text{Sym}^\Delta(S) \times X)$  be the restriction of  $\text{Sym}^e(\Gamma)$  over  $\text{Sym}^\Delta(S)$ . Choosing a degree  $e$  closed point in  $S$  then determines a morphism  $f: S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\Delta(S)$ , and we have

$$\text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma)_* \circ f_* = (f^* \text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma))_* = \Gamma_*$$

on  $H_1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}(\Gamma_* : H_1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \\ & \subset \text{Im}(\text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma)_* : H_1(\text{Sym}^\Delta(\overline{S}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $C \subset \text{Sym}^\Delta(S)$  be a smooth complete intersection  $k$ -curve; to ensure that such  $C$  indeed exists, one may use Bertini's theorem when  $k$  is an infinite field and Poonen's theorem [55] when  $k$  is a finite field. Clearly,  $C$  is geometrically connected. Moreover, letting  $i: C \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\Delta(S)$  be the inclusion, the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem yields a surjection:

$$i_* : H_1(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow H_1(\text{Sym}^\Delta(\overline{S}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Now the pull-back  $i^* \text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma) \in CH^i(C \times X)$  yields a homomorphism

$$(i^* \text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma))_* = \text{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma)_* \circ i_* : H_1(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

whose image coincides with that of  $\mathrm{Sym}^\Delta(\Gamma)_*$  and contains that of  $\Gamma_*$ . Hence we may replace the pair  $(S, \Gamma)$  in Lemma 5.4 by the pair  $(C, i^* \mathrm{Sym}^\Delta \Gamma)$ . This establishes the claim.  $\square$

**5.2. Comparison of coniveau and strong coniveau.** Let  $k$  be a separably closed field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $k$ , and  $X$  be a smooth projective connected  $k$ -variety of dimension  $d$ .

We extend some of the basic results over the complex numbers shown in [9, Section 2.2] to an arbitrary separably closed field. The results below only concern the two coniveau filtrations on the integral cohomology  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  as groups. Since the twist is irrelevant here, we omit it.

**Lemma 5.6.** *The group  $N^c H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^c H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the equality

$$N^c H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \tilde{N}^c H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

In order to prove this, it is in turn enough to prove that, if  $Y$  is a smooth proper  $k$ -scheme,  $\iota: Z \hookrightarrow Y$  is a closed immersion,  $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  is an alteration, where  $\tilde{Z}$  is smooth and proper, and  $q := \iota \circ \pi$ , then the sequence

$$H^*(\tilde{Z}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{q_*} H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow H^*(Y \setminus Z, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is exact. This follows from a weight argument as in the proof of [20, Corollaire 8.2.8]. Note that in the statement and proof of [20, Corollaire 8.2.8], we may replace “resolution of singularities” by “alteration” everywhere: indeed, the key step in the proof [20, Proposition 8.2.7] is stated under the assumption that  $\pi$  is surjective, which is true if  $\pi$  is an alteration.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** *The group  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is a stable birational invariant of smooth projective connected  $k$ -varieties  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  is invariant under replacing  $X$  by  $X \times \mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $\pi: X \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow X$  be the first projection. For the proof, the argument of [9, Lemma 2.3] works with a slight change. The only necessary change to be made is where it is shown that, for a class  $\alpha \in H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , if  $\pi^* \alpha \in H^j(X \times \mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has strong coniveau  $\geq 1$ , then  $\alpha$  has strong coniveau  $\geq 1$ . (The issue is that Bertini’s theorem for basepoint free linear systems is not available in positive characteristic.) Here we may assume  $\pi^* \alpha = f_* \beta$ , where  $f: T \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^n$  is a morphism from a smooth projective connected  $k$ -variety  $T$  of dimension  $d + n - 1$ , and  $\beta \in H^{j-2}(T, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Let  $i: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^n$  be the inclusion of a general fiber  $X \times \{p\}$ . Then  $W = T \times_{X \times \mathbb{P}^n} X$  is a (possibly singular) subvariety of  $X$  of pure dimension  $d - 1$ . As a cycle, write  $W = \sum n_i W_i$ , where  $W_i$  are the irreducible components of  $W$ . For each  $i$ , let  $g_i: \tilde{W}_i \rightarrow W_i$  be an  $\ell'$ -alteration, and set  $m_i = \deg(g_i)$ , which is prime to  $\ell$ . It is straightforward to see that

$$\alpha = \pi_*(\pi^* \alpha \cdot i_* X) = \pi_*(f_* \beta \cdot i_* X) = \pi_* f_*(\beta \cdot W) = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{m_i} \pi_* f_*(g_i)_*(g_i)^* \beta,$$

which shows  $\alpha \in \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .

We then show the birational invariance of  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Here we avoid the use of Hironaka’s resolution of singularities or the weak factorization theorem as in the proof of [9, Proposition 2.4], and instead adapt the strategy of [28, Example 16.1.11] and apply  $\ell'$ -alterations. Suppose that two smooth projective connected  $k$ -varieties  $X, Y$  are birational and  $\varphi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  is a birational map. Let  $\Gamma_\varphi$  be the closure of the graph of the birational map  $\varphi$ . Then the composition  $\Gamma_\varphi^T \circ \Gamma_\varphi$  may be written as  $\Gamma_\varphi^T \circ \Gamma_\varphi = \Delta_X + \Gamma \in CH^d(X \times X)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is supported

on  $D_1 \times D_2$  for some divisors  $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ . Now we aim to show that  $\Gamma_*$  is zero on  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , hence  $(\Gamma_\varphi^T)_* \circ (\Gamma_\varphi)_* = (\Delta_X)_* = \text{id}$  on the group. (By Lemma 5.1,  $(\Gamma_\varphi)_*$  and  $(\Gamma_\varphi^T)_*$  preserve the classes of coniveau  $\geq 1$ , and the classes of strong coniveau  $\geq 1$ .) By symmetry, this will yield an isomorphism:

$$(\Gamma_\varphi)_*: N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} N^1 H^j(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) / \tilde{N}^1 H^j(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Let  $p, q: X \times X \rightarrow X$  be the projections. We write  $\Gamma = \sum n_i \Gamma_i$ , where  $\Gamma_i$  are the irreducible components of  $\text{Supp}(\Gamma)$ . For each  $i$ , let  $Z_i = q(\Gamma_i)$ , so that  $Z_i$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$ , and let  $f_i: \tilde{Z}_i \rightarrow Z_i$  be an  $\ell'$ -alteration. Then choose an irreducible component  $\Gamma'_i$  of  $\Gamma_i \times_{Z_i} \tilde{Z}_i$  that dominates both  $\tilde{Z}_i$  and  $\Gamma_i$  and such that  $\deg(\Gamma'_i/\Gamma_i)$  is prime to  $\ell$ ; such a component should exist by the projection formula. Then take another  $\ell'$ -alteration  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i \rightarrow \Gamma'_i$ . Now let  $g_i: \tilde{\Gamma}_i \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  and  $h_i: \tilde{\Gamma}_i \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_i$  be the resulting maps; set  $m_i = \deg(g_i)$ , then it is prime to  $\ell$ ; moreover we have  $q \circ g_i = f_i \circ h_i$ . Then for every class  $\alpha \in H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  we have

$$\Gamma_* \alpha = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{m_i} q_*(g_i)_*(g_i)^* p^* \alpha = \sum_i \frac{n_i}{m_i} (f_i)_*(h_i)_*(g_i)^* p^* \alpha,$$

which shows  $\Gamma_* \alpha \in \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *If  $X$  is stably rational, then  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .*

Corollary 5.8 may be strengthened using the cohomological decomposition of the diagonal.

**Lemma 5.9.** *If  $X$  admits an integral cohomological decomposition of the diagonal in  $H^{2d}(X \times X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ , then  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $j \geq 1$  (otherwise the assertion is obvious). In this case, we are going to show  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .

Let  $[\Delta_X] = [x \times X] + [\Gamma] \in H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  be the decomposition of the diagonal, where  $x \in X$  is a closed point and the cycle  $\Gamma$  is supported on  $X \times D$  for some divisor  $D \subset X$ . Suitably applying an  $\ell'$ -alteration to  $\Gamma$  as in the proof of Lemma 5.7 yields that for every class  $\alpha \in H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  we have  $\alpha = \Gamma_* \alpha \in \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . The result now follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *If  $X$  is retract rational, then  $N^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \tilde{N}^1 H^j(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ .*

*Proof.* Under the assumption, the diagonal element in  $CH_0(X_{k(X)})$  is contained in the image of the restriction map  $CH_0(X) \rightarrow CH_0(X_{k(X)})$  by results of Merkurjev [46, Theorem 2.11, Proposition 2.15]. This property is equivalent to the integral Chow decomposition of the diagonal, which in turn implies the cohomological decomposition.  $\square$

## 6. WALKER ABEL-JACOBI MAP IN $\ell$ -ADIC COHOMOLOGY: CONSTRUCTION

**6.1. Two notions of algebraic equivalence.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected  $k$ -variety of dimension  $d$ .

Here we introduce two (a priori different) notions of algebraic equivalence on cycles on  $X$  that will be important for us. More precisely, we introduce certain subgroups  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}$  of  $CH^i(X)$  that are going to be the domains of a Walker Abel-Jacobi map in  $\ell$ -adic cohomology constructed in the next subsection. We also recall another subgroup  $CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}}$  of  $CH^i(X)$  that is the domain of an Abel-Jacobi map in  $\ell$ -adic cohomology.

Here are the definitions. We say that a cycle class  $\alpha \in CH^i(X)$  is *algebraically trivial in the sense of Fulton* [28, Definition 10.3] if there exists a smooth integral (not necessarily separated) scheme  $S$  of finite type over  $k$ , a cycle class

$\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$ , and  $k$ -rational points  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $\alpha = \Gamma_{s_1} - \Gamma_{s_2}$  in  $CH^i(X)$ , where  $\Gamma_{s_1}, \Gamma_{s_2}$  are the refined Gysin fibers of the cycle class  $\Gamma$  (see [28, §6.2]). We also say that a cycle class  $\alpha \in CH^i(X)$  is *algebraically trivial* if  $\alpha$  becomes algebraically trivial in the sense of Fulton over some separable finite extension  $K/k$ , or equivalently, over the separable closure  $\bar{k}$ . Finally, we say a cycle class  $\alpha \in CH^i(X)$  is *homologically trivial* if  $\alpha$  is in the kernel of the cycle class map  $CH^i(X) \rightarrow \prod_{\ell \neq \text{char } k} H^{2i}(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$ .

We define  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}} \subset CH^i(X)$  to be the subgroups of cycle classes that are algebraically trivial in the sense of Fulton, algebraically trivial, and homologically trivial, respectively. By definition, we have

$$CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}} \subset CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \subset CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}} \subset CH^i(X)$$

and  $CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{F-alg}} = CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{alg}}$ .

*Remark 6.1.* In analogy with  $CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}}$ , the definition of  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}$  would seem natural. Nevertheless, we will see below that  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}$  is rather mysterious. In contrast,  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}$  is controlled by the strong coniveau filtration (See Propositions 7.6, 7.11).

On the other hand, the authors do not know a single example over any field where  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \neq CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}$ ; see also the discussion in Section 8.1

**Lemma 6.2.** *The groups  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}}$  are stable under proper push-forward, flat pull-back, refined Gysin homomorphisms, and Chern class operations. In particular, they are stable under the action of correspondences.*

*Proof.* The statement for  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}}$  is immediate from [28, Proposition 10.3]. As for  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}, CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}}$ , the result follows from the corresponding (well-known) result for  $CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{alg}}, CH^i(\bar{X})_{\text{hom}}$  and the fact that the operations in question are compatible with the restriction map  $CH^i(X) \rightarrow CH^i(\bar{X})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *The groups  $CH^1(X)_{\text{alg}}, CH^1(X)_{\text{hom}}$  coincide (up inverting  $p$  in characteristic  $p > 0$ ). Moreover, the groups  $CH^d(X)_{\text{F-alg}}, CH^d(X)_{\text{alg}}, CH^d(X)_{\text{hom}}$  all coincide, and we in addition have*

$$CH^d(X)_{\text{F-alg}} = CH^d(X)_{\text{alg}} = CH^d(X)_{\text{hom}} = \text{Ker}(\text{deg}_k: CH_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}).$$

*Proof.* In codimension 1, for every prime number  $\ell$  invertible in  $k$ , the Kummer exact sequence induces a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow NS(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell, \text{Br}(\bar{X})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $NS(\bar{X}) = CH^1(\bar{X})/CH^1(\bar{X})_{\text{alg}}$  is the Néron–Severi group and  $\text{Br}(\bar{X})$  is the Brauer group. Hence, in  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $CH^1(\bar{X})_{\text{alg}} = CH^1(\bar{X})_{\text{hom}}$ , which yields  $CH^1(X)_{\text{alg}} = CH^1(X)_{\text{hom}}$ ; in  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ , we obtain the same result up to inverting  $p$ .

In codimension  $d$ , we have  $CH^d(X)_{\text{hom}} = \text{Ker}(\text{deg}_k: CH_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$  in arbitrary characteristic. The assertion then follows from a result of Achter–Casalaina–Martin–Vial [3, Proposition 3.11].  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Suppose that  $k$  is perfect. Algebraic equivalence in the sense of Fulton can be equivalently defined using zero-cycles of degree 0 on projective parameter spaces:*

$$(6.1) \quad CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}} = \varinjlim_{(S, \Gamma)} \text{Im}(\Gamma_*: CH_0(S)_{\text{hom}} \rightarrow CH^i(X)),$$

where  $(S, \Gamma)$  runs over all pairs, where  $S$  is a smooth projective connected  $k$ -variety and  $\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$ . Moreover, in (6.1) we may restrict to the case where  $\dim S = 1$  and  $S$  is geometrically connected.

*Proof.* This is a restatement of results of Achter–Casalaina–Martin–Vial [3]. They showed that one may use smooth projective connected  $k$ -curves as parameter spaces in the definition of algebraic equivalence in the sense of Fulton [3, Theorem 1]; such  $k$ -curves are automatically geometrically connected because they have a  $k$ -rational point. Hence the left term of (6.1) is contained in the right term of (6.1). The opposite inclusion follows from [3, Lemma 3.9, Proposition 3.10].  $\square$

**6.2. Construction of an  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map.** We keep the notation of Section 6.1.

Recall that Jannsen [35, §9] constructed an  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map:

$$\mathrm{cl}_{AJ}: CH^i(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(k, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))).$$

The map  $\mathrm{cl}_{AJ}$  is related to the cycle class map via the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [35, Lemma 9.4]:

$$E_2^{r,s} := H^r(k, H^s(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m)).$$

For instance, if  $k$  is a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , then we have a Cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(X)_{\mathrm{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \hookrightarrow & CH^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ \downarrow \mathrm{cl}_{AJ} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cl} \\ H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) & \hookrightarrow & H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)), \end{array}$$

where, in the second row, the arrow is an edge homomorphism in the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

Here we wish to construct an  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map:

$$(6.2) \quad \mathrm{cl}_W: CH^i(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(k, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)))$$

compatible with the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map. Our construction is analogous to the construction of the Walker Abel-Jacobi map for the singular cohomology of smooth proper complex varieties given in [66, Section 2].

Here is the construction. For every codimension  $i$  closed subset  $Y \subset X$ , we have a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) \rightarrow N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X} - \overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) \rightarrow Z_{\overline{Y}}^i(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0,$$

which is functorial in  $Y$ . Indeed, the argument in [66, Section 2] goes through using the coniveau spectral sequence for  $\ell$ -adic cohomology, where we need [39, Proposition 4.5] as an  $\ell$ -adic analogue of [10, Theorem 7.3]. Passing to Galois cohomology then yields a connecting homomorphism

$$(Z_{\overline{Y}}^i(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{alg}})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(k, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)))$$

which is natural in  $Y$ . Pre-composing with the map  $Z_Y^i(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \rightarrow (Z_{\overline{Y}}^i(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{alg}})^G$  induced by pull-back, we obtain a homomorphism

$$Z_Y^i(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(k, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)))$$

which is functorial in  $Y$ . Since  $Z(X)_{\mathrm{alg}}$  is the direct limit of  $Z_Y(X)_{\mathrm{alg}}$ , these maps induce a unique homomorphism

$$Z^i(X)_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(k, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)))$$

compatible with all previous maps. Moreover, this homomorphism factors through cycles modulo rational equivalence by an argument analogous to [66, Lemma 2.2, Corollary 2.3]. Thus we obtain (6.2) as the induced map.

We summarize the basic properties of the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map below.

**Lemma 6.5.** (1) *The  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map commutes with the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map, that is, we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(k, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CH^i(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_{AJ}} & H^1(k, H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))). \end{array}$$

(2) *The  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map is functorial for correspondences. That is, for every smooth projective geometrically connected varieties  $X, Y$  and codimension  $i-j+\dim Y$  cycle  $\Gamma$  on  $Y \times X$ , we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^j(Y)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(k, N^{j-1}H^{2j-1}(\overline{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(j))) \\ \downarrow \Gamma_* & & \downarrow \Gamma_* \\ CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(k, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))). \end{array}$$

(3) *The  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map commutes with field extensions and norm maps. More precisely, for every separable finite field extension  $K/k$ , we have commutative diagrams:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(k, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \\ \downarrow \rho_{K/k} & & \downarrow \rho_{K/k} \\ CH^i(X_K)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(K, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^i(X_K)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(K, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \\ \downarrow \nu_{K/k} & & \downarrow \nu_{K/k} \\ CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{clw}} & H^1(k, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))), \end{array}$$

where  $\rho_{K/k}$  is the pullback map and  $\nu_{K/k}$  is the norm map.

*Proof.* As for (1), (2), the argument is analogous to [66, Corollary 2.3, Lemma 2.4]. Item (3) follows from the functoriality of Galois cohomology with respect to restriction and corestriction.  $\square$

*Remark 6.6.* Lemma 6.5 also holds after replacing  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  by the subgroup  $CH^i(X)_{\text{F-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ .

Finally, we define the  $\ell$ -adic Walker intermediate Jacobian by

$$J_{W,\ell}^{2i-1}(\overline{X}) := \varinjlim_{K/k} H^1(G_K, N^{i-1}H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))),$$

where the  $K/k$  runs over all separable finite extensions. Taking the direct limit of (6.2), we obtain a map

$$CH^i(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow J_{W,\ell}^{2i-1}(\overline{X}).$$

We call this map the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map over  $\overline{k}$ .

## 7. WALKER ABEL-JACOBI MAP IN $\ell$ -ADIC COHOMOLOGY: OVER A FINITE FIELD

In this section, let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field,  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety of dimension  $d$ .

### 7.1. Some lemmas over a finite field.

**Lemma 7.1.** *The groups  $CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ ,  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}$  are torsion.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.4, the group  $CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$  is generated by cycles which come via a correspondence from the group of  $\mathbb{F}$ -rational points of the Jacobian variety of a smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -curve. Since the group of  $\mathbb{F}$ -rational points of every abelian variety over  $\mathbb{F}$  is finite,  $CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$  is torsion. Finally, the group  $CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}}$  is torsion because  $CH^i(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} = \varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} CH^i(X_K)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ , where  $K/\mathbb{F}$  runs over all finite extensions, is torsion and the kernel of the restriction map  $CH^i(X) \rightarrow CH^i(\overline{X})$  is torsion by a restriction-corestriction argument.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *The groups  $CH^1(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ ,  $CH^1(X)_{\text{alg}}$  coincide.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows once we show that the Poincaré line bundle exists for the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{F}}^0$  (equivalently,  $\text{Pic}_{X/\mathbb{F}}^0$  represents the Picard functor  $\mathcal{P}ic_{X/\mathbb{F}}^0$  of algebraically trivial line bundles). The Poincaré line bundle exists if  $X$  has a zero-cycle of degree 1 (see [2, Section 7.1]), and this is the case over  $\mathbb{F}$  by the Lang-Weil estimate.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.3.** *The functor  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, -)$  on continuous  $G$ -modules of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is right exact.*

*Proof.* We have an isomorphism  $G \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and we let  $\sigma \in G$  be a topological generator of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be a continuous  $G$ -module of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . We may write  $M = \varprojlim_n M_n$ , where  $M_n$  is a finite  $G$ -module for all  $n \geq 0$ . The groups  $H^2(G, M_n)$  are trivial since  $\text{cd}(G) = 1$ , and the groups  $H^1(G, M_n) = M_n/(\sigma - 1)M_n$  are finite. By [50, (2.7.6)],  $H^2(G, M) = \varprojlim_n H^2(G, M_n) = 0$ .  $\square$

Recall that a continuous  $G$ -module  $M$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is pure of weight  $n$  if the eigenvalues of Frobenius on  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  are algebraic numbers with all archimedean absolute values equal to  $q^{n/2}$ , where  $q$  is the order of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Lemma 7.4.** *For a continuous  $G$ -module  $M$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  that is pure of weight  $\neq 0$ , the groups  $H^0(\mathbb{F}, M)$ ,  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, M)$  are finite.*

*Proof.* We follow the argument in [15, p. 781]. Write  $M = \varprojlim_n M_n$ , where  $M_n$  are finite  $G$ -modules. Let  $\sigma \in G \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a topological generator. Then we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{F}, M) = \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{F}, M_n) = \varprojlim_n \text{Coker} \left( M_n \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} M_n \right) = \text{Coker} \left( M \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} M \right),$$

where the last identity follows because the Mittag-Leffler condition is satisfied. Now it is enough for us to observe that the map  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sigma-1} M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is an isomorphism from the assumption. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *The continuous  $G$ -module  $H^j(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(m))$  and its subquotients are pure of weight  $j - 2m$ . In particular, the weight is non-zero if  $j \neq 2m$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Deligne's theorem [19, Theorem 1.6].  $\square$

### 7.2. In arbitrary codimension.

**Proposition 7.6.** *The  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map*

$$\text{cl}_W : CH^i(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)))$$

*restricts to a surjection*

$$CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Im} \left( H^1(\mathbb{F}, \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \right).$$



*Remark 7.7.* As shown by Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map itself is not necessarily surjective. This is in contrast to the fact that the complex Walker Abel-Jacobi map is always surjective.

For the proof, we need:

**Lemma 7.8.** *In codimension 1 or  $d$ , the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map coincides with the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map, which is an isomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}_{AJ}: CH^1(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))), \\ \text{cl}_{AJ}: CH^d(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2d-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.3 and 7.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} CH^1(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}[1/p] &= CH^1(X)_{\text{alg}}[1/p] = CH^1(X)_{\text{hom}}[1/p], \\ CH^d(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} &= CH^d(X)_{\text{alg}} = CH^d(X)_{\text{hom}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = \text{char } \mathbb{F}$ . Moreover, we have

$$N^0 H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = H^1(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)), \quad N^{d-1} H^{2d-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)) = H^{2d-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d)),$$

where the latter is a consequence of the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem. The constructions of the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map and the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map now show that the maps coincide in codimension 1 or  $d$ .

As for the second half of the statement, the codimension 1 case is [12, Lemma 1.12]. The codimension  $d$  case follows from the fact that the cycle class map is an isomorphism in codimension  $d$  due to Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc–Soulé [15, Theorem 5]:

$$\text{cl}: CH^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d));$$

the  $\ell$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map is then the pull-back of the cycle class map along the edge homomorphism  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^{2d-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))) \rightarrow H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(d))$  in the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 7.6.* Recall that the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module  $\tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$  is finitely generated. Thus, applying Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we may find finitely many smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -curves  $S_j$  and  $\Gamma_j \in CH^i(S_j \times X)$  such that

$$((\Gamma_j)_*): \bigoplus_j H_1(\overline{S}_j, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$$

is surjective. Using Lemma 7.8, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_j CH_0(S_j)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{cl}_{AJ}} & \bigoplus_j H^1(\mathbb{F}, H_1(\overline{S}_j, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \\ \downarrow ((\Gamma_j)_*) & & \downarrow \Downarrow \\ & & H^1(\mathbb{F}, \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \\ & & \downarrow \\ CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_W} & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))). \end{array}$$

((\Gamma\_j)\_\*)

This shows

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Im} \left( H^1(\mathbb{F}, \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \right) \\ &\subset \text{Im} \left( CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_W} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As for the opposite inclusion, Lemma 6.4 yields

$$CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} = \varinjlim_{(S, \Gamma)} \text{Im} (\Gamma_*: CH_0(S)_{\text{hom}} \rightarrow CH^i(X)),$$

where  $(S, \Gamma)$  runs over all smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -curves  $S$  and  $\Gamma \in CH^i(S \times X)$ . For every such pair  $(S, \Gamma)$ , we have a commutative diagram similar to the above, where we replace  $(S_j, \Gamma_j)$  by single  $(S, \Gamma)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.9.** *The  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  is surjective:*

$$CH^i(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \twoheadrightarrow J_{W, \ell}^{2i-1}(\overline{X}).$$

*Proof.* Note  $CH^i(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} = \varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} CH^i(X_K)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ , where  $K/\mathbb{F}$  runs over all finite extensions. By Proposition 7.6, it remains for us to show that the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} H^1(K, \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))) \rightarrow J_{W, \ell}^{2i-1}(\overline{X})$$

is surjective. For this, it is enough to show that the cokernel

$$\varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} H^1\left(K, N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) / \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))\right)$$

is zero. By Lemma 5.6,  $N^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i)) / \tilde{N}^{i-1} H^{2i-1}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i))$  is finite, hence the result follows from the following computation: if  $M$  is a finite  $G$ -module, then

$$\varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} H^1(K, M) = \varinjlim_{K/\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}/K), M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \left( M \xrightarrow{\times n} M \right) = 0. \quad \square$$

**7.3. In codimension 2.** We now specialize to the case where  $i = 2$ . In analogy with the algebraic Abel-Jacobi map over the complex numbers [43], we call the  $\ell$ -adic Walker Abel-Jacobi map in codimension 2 the  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map, and write  $\text{cl}_a$  (resp.  $J_{a, \ell}^3(\overline{X})$ ) for  $\text{cl}_W$  (resp.  $J_{W, \ell}^3(\overline{X})$ ).

The following diagram will be useful to us:

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \hookrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{hom}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \hookrightarrow & CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \\ \downarrow \text{cl}_a & & \downarrow \text{cl}_{AJ} & & \downarrow (1.1) \\ H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) & \hookrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) & \hookrightarrow & H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)). \end{array}$$

In the second row of (7.1), the arrow on the left is injective because

$$(H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) / N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))^G = 0,$$

which follows from the fact that  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) / N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is torsion-free by Merkurjev–Suslin [45] and from Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5.

**Lemma 7.10.** *The  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map is injective:*

$$\text{cl}_a : CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))).$$

*Proof.* By a theorem of Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc–Soulé [15, Theorem 4], the map (1.1) is injective on torsion. Since  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}}$  is torsion, the result follows from the diagram (7.1).  $\square$

Proposition 7.6 now implies:

**Proposition 7.11.** *The  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map restricts to an isomorphism:*

$$CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im} \left( H^1(\mathbb{F}, \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \right).$$

Over the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ , we have:

**Corollary 7.12.** *The  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  is an isomorphism:*

$$CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} J_{a,\ell}^3(\overline{X}).$$

Furthermore, this induces an isomorphism:

$$(CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}}).$$

*Proof.* The first statement is immediate from Proposition 7.11 and the proof of Corollary 7.9. The second statement is then a consequence of the identity

$$J_{a,\ell}^3(\overline{X})^G = H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}})$$

which itself follows from [60, Lemma 1.1.5 (ii)] using Lemma 7.5.  $\square$

We now refine the exact sequence (1.4) of Colliot-Thélène and Kahn.

**Proposition 7.13.** *There is an exact sequence:*

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X})) \{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Coker}\left(CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_a} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))\right) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Coker}\left(CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \rightarrow (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G\right) \{\ell\} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $(N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}})^G = 0$  by Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5. Hence we have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, Corollary 7.12 shows that the composition

$$CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_a} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}})$$

can be identified with the restriction map  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Thus we obtain a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X})) \{\ell\} & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_\ell & & \downarrow \text{cl}_a & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tf}}) \simeq (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are all injective.

The proof is now immediate.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.14.** *There is a map of exact sequences from (7.2) to (1.4):*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & D' & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\}, \\
B &:= H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_l(2))_{\text{tors}}), \\
C &:= \text{Coker}\left(CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\text{cl}_a} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_l(2)))\right), \\
C' &:= \text{Ker}\left(H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))\right), \\
D &:= \text{Coker}\left(CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \rightarrow (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G\right)\{\ell\}, \\
D' &:= \text{Coker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X})^G)\{\ell\}
\end{aligned}$$

and the vertical maps  $C \rightarrow C'$ ,  $D \rightarrow D'$  are both injective.

*Remark 7.15.* As a consequence of the injectivity of the map  $C \rightarrow C'$ , it follows that if the group  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  vanishes, then  $\text{cl}_a: CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_l(2)))$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof of Proposition 7.14.* Write  $\mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$  for the bidegree  $(4, 2)$  étale motivic cohomology group. We claim that, for every torsion subgroup  $T$  of  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ , there exists a unique lift of  $T$  along the natural map  $\theta: \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ . This follows once we show that  $\text{Ker}(\theta)$  is uniquely divisible (note that  $\text{Coker}(\theta)$  is torsion-free by [39, Corollary 3.5]).

Let  $K(2)$  be the mapping cone of the morphism  $\mathbb{Z}(2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)^c = R\varprojlim_r \mu_{\ell^r}^{\otimes 2}$  of complexes of abelian sheaves on  $X_{\text{ét}}$  given in [38, §1.4]. Then  $\mathbb{H}^4(X, K(2))$  is uniquely divisible by [39, Proposition 3.4]. Then, in the exact sequence

$$H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^4(X, K(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\theta} H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)),$$

the first map should be zero because  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is finite by applying Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5 to the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. This proves the claim.

Let  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))' \subset \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  be the unique lift of the finite group  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  (cf. Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5). Then the cycle class map  $CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  induces the unique lift of the  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map:

$$\text{cl}'_a: CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))' \subset \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

Furthermore, taking the direct limit over all finite extensions  $K/\mathbb{F}$  yields a lift of the  $l$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ :

$$CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} J_{a,\ell}^3(\overline{X})' \subset \mathbb{H}^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l.$$

Finally, the commutative diagram with exact rows in the proof of [14, Theorem 6.3]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & CH^2(\overline{X})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}(2))^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{nr}}^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))^G
\end{array}$$

restricts to another commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\text{cl}'_a} & H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))' & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(\text{cl}'_a) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (J_{a,\ell}^3(\overline{X})')^G & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Applying the snake lemma to the diagrams, comparison of the resulting four-term exact sequences and [14, Proposition 6.7] finish the proof.  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.5, 1.6, AND 1.7

8.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** We prove the following refinement of Theorem 1.5:

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose that the coniveau and strong coniveau coincide on  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ :*

$$N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)).$$

Then the  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map is an isomorphism:

$$\text{cl}_a: CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))).$$

The map  $\text{cl}_a$  restricts to an isomorphism:

$$\varphi_\ell: \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}).$$

Moreover, the restriction map is surjective:

$$CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}}\{\ell\} \twoheadrightarrow (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G\{\ell\}.$$

Finally, a cycle class in  $CH^2(X)\{\ell\}$  is algebraically trivial if and only if it is algebraically trivial in the sense of Fulton.

*Proof.* If  $N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  holds, then Proposition 7.11 shows that  $CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell = CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  and the  $\ell$ -adic algebraic Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{cl}_a: CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))$$

is an isomorphism. Proposition 7.13 now shows that the homomorphism

$$\varphi_\ell: \text{Ker}(CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^2(\overline{X}))\{\ell\} \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}})$$

is an isomorphism and the restriction map  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}}\{\ell\} \rightarrow (CH^2(\overline{X})_{\text{alg}})^G\{\ell\}$  is surjective. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

It follows from Theorem 8.1 that, for a smooth projective geometrically connected  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety  $X$  as in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the inclusion  $N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \subset \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is strict.

One can be more precise about our examples in Theorem 1.3. In particular, the construction in Section 4.2 shows:

$$H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = N^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell, \quad \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0.$$

Meanwhile, since  $H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is torsion-free, [15, Theorem 4] shows that  $CH^2(X)\{\ell\}$  injects into  $H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) = H^1(\mathbb{F}, H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell$  via (1.1). Since every non-zero element of the latter group is not algebraic, one concludes:

$$CH^2(X)\{\ell\} = CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}}\{\ell\} = CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}\{\ell\} = 0.$$

**Question 8.2.** *Does there exist a smooth projective geometrically connected variety  $X$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \neq CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ ?*

In fact, the authors do not know of a single example of a smooth projective geometrically connected variety  $X$  over any field  $k$  where  $CH^2(X)_{\text{alg}} \neq CH^2(X)_{\mathbb{F}\text{-alg}}$ .

## 8.2. Proof of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7.

**Proposition 8.3.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\ell$  be a prime number invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Consider the following statements:*

- (1)  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$ ;
- (2) (1.1) has torsion-free cokernel;
- (3) (1.2) has torsion-free cokernel.

Then (1) implies (2), and (2) implies (3). Moreover, the statements (1) and (2) are equivalent if  $CH_0(\overline{X})$  is supported in dimension  $\leq 2$ , and the statements (2) and (3) are equivalent if  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ .

*Remark 8.4.* Statement (1) (resp. (2)) in Proposition 8.3 is conjecturally equivalent to the surjectivity of (1.1) (resp. (1.2)). More precisely, the Tate conjecture for codimension 2 cycles on  $X$  predicts that (1.2) has finite cokernel. Using Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5, this is equivalent to predicting that (1.1) has finite cokernel.

*Proof of Proposition 8.3.* By [14, Theorem 2.2], (1) implies (2). Since the natural map  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))^G$  is surjective, (2) implies (3).

If  $CH_0(\overline{X})$  is supported in dimension  $\leq 2$ , then  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is finite by [14, Proposition 3.2]; the statements (1) and (2) are then equivalent by [14, Theorem 2.2]. If  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ , then the equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from (1.3), (7.1), and Proposition 7.11.  $\square$

**Example 8.5.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically retract rational  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety. Then  $\overline{X}$  admits an integral Chow decomposition of the diagonal (cf. Corollary 5.10). As a consequence, we have  $CH_0(\overline{X}) = \mathbb{Z}$  (in particular,  $CH_0(\overline{X})$  is supported in dimension  $\leq 2$ ) and  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ . Hence the statements (1) to (3) in Proposition 8.3 are equivalent for  $X$ . These statements may fail in general even when  $X$  is geometrically rational, as shown by Pirutka [53]. Note that Pirutka's example has dimension 5.

**Example 8.6.** Using Proposition 8.3, we may recover some of the results of [59].

Let  $X$  be the product of a smooth projective geometrically connected surface  $S$  with  $b_2(\overline{S}) = \rho(\overline{S})$  and a smooth projective geometrically connected curve  $C$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . The Künneth formula yields:

$$H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = H^1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \otimes H^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \oplus H^2(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \otimes H^1(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \oplus H^3(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)).$$

By assumption,  $H^2(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  is algebraic, hence  $H^2(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^2(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $H^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^2(\overline{C}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  and  $H^3(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ ; for the latter, one uses the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem. Thus  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ . By Proposition 8.3, we conclude that the statements (2), (3) are equivalent for  $X$ . This recovers [59, Theorem 1.3].

Suppose further that  $S$  is an Enriques surface. From the Künneth formula we get  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ . In this case, the assumptions of Proposition 8.3 are all satisfied, hence we obtain the equivalence of the statements (1) to (3). The first author shows that these equivalent statements are true when no 2-torsion point of the Jacobian of  $C$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}$ ; this is stated in [59, Corollary 1.5] when  $C$  has genus 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective rationally connected threefold over a number field such that  $H^3(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free. Then results of Voisin, [72, Theorem 2] and [73, Theorem 0.2], yield the identity  $H_{\text{sing}}^3(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{N}^1 H_{\text{sing}}^3(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  and that the integral cohomology  $H_{\text{sing}}^4(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  is spanned by

algebraic classes. By specialization, we see that for all but finitely many prime numbers  $p$ , if  $X$  is the mod  $p$  reduction of  $Y$ , then  $X$  is smooth projective and for all prime numbers  $\ell \neq p$ , we have  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ , and after passing to a finite field extension of the base field,  $H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))^G = H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is algebraic. Therefore statements (2) and (3) in Proposition 8.3 hold. Finally,  $X$  is rationally chain connected, thus  $CH_0(\overline{X}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . This in turn yields that (1) in Proposition 8.3 holds, that is,  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a smooth Fano complete intersection threefold over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Since  $X$  is Fano,  $X$  is rationally chain connected [42, Chapter V, Theorem 2.13], hence  $CH_0(\overline{X}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Now we ask if  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  holds. For this, the following consequence of Lemma 5.4 will be useful: Let  $X$  be a smooth geometrically connected projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety such that there exists a smooth projective  $\mathbb{F}$ -variety  $S$  and a correspondence  $\Gamma \in CH^2(S \times X)$  for which  $\Gamma_*: H_1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is surjective. Then  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ .

Suppose that the Fano scheme  $F(X)$  of lines on  $X$  is smooth of the expected dimension. We choose  $S$  to be  $F(X)$  and  $\Gamma$  to be the universal line, then the push-forward  $\Gamma_*: H_1(\overline{S}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$  is surjective via mod  $p$  reduction of a result of Voisin [73, Theorem 1.13] (note that any complete intersection lifts to characteristic zero, so does its Fano scheme of lines). This shows  $H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = \tilde{N}^1 H^3(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ . Thus the statements (1), (2), and (3) in Proposition 8.3 are all equivalent for  $X$ .

On the other hand,  $H^4(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is generated by the class of a line by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. The Fano scheme  $F(X)$  is geometrically connected by [18, Theorem 2.1] and admits a zero-cycle of degree 1 by the estimate of Lang–Weil, hence (1.2) is surjective. It follows that the statements (1), (2), and (3) in Proposition 8.3 all hold true for  $X$ . In particular,  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.7.* In the case of cubic threefolds, Theorem 1.7 recovers [13, Theorem 5.1], without appealing to theorems of Parimala–Suresh [52] and Kato–Saito [41].

#### APPENDIX A. A RELATIVE WU’S THEOREM AND FURTHER EXAMPLES

The purpose of this appendix is to prove Theorem A.5, which extends [9, Theorem 4.3, Proposition 4.6] to positive characteristic.

Let  $k$  be a field,  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -variety and  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $X$ . If  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow Y$  is a codimension  $r$  closed embedding of smooth  $k$ -varieties, we denote by  $s_{X/Y} \in H_X^{2r}(Y, \mu_\ell^{\otimes r})$  the cycle class of  $X$ , that is, the image of  $1 \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$  under the Gysin homomorphism

$$\phi_{X/Y}: H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \rightarrow H_X^{*+2r}(Y, \mu_\ell^{\otimes r}).$$

Assume now that  $\ell = 2$ . We define Steenrod operations on compactly supported cohomology as in [25, Definition 5.1]. Following [69] and [25, Definition 5.2], for all  $j \geq 0$  we define the  $j$ -th Stiefel–Whitney class of  $E$  by

$$w_j(E) := \phi_{X/E}^{-1}(\text{Sq}^j s_{X/E}).$$

The total Stiefel–Whitney class of  $E$  is

$$w(E) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j(E).$$

If  $E$  is a vector bundle on  $X$  we denote by  $[E]$  its class in the Grothendieck group  $K_0(X)$ . Every class  $\alpha \in K_0(X)$  can be written as  $\alpha = [E] - [E']$ , where  $E$  and  $E'$



are vector bundles on  $X$ ; we let  $w(\alpha) := w(E)w(E')^{-1}$  be the total Stiefel–Whitney class of  $\alpha$ .

The following is a relative version of Wu’s theorem.

**Proposition A.1.** *Suppose that  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth projective  $k$ -varieties, and  $N_f := [f^*T_X] - [T_Y] \in K_0(Y)$  be the virtual normal bundle of  $f$ . For all  $\beta \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{Sq}(f_*\beta) = f_*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(N_f))$$

in  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

*Proof.* The following argument is similar to the proof of the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem. Let  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$  be another morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then

$$N_{f \circ g} = (f \circ g)^*[T_X] - [T_Z] = g^*(f^*[T_X] - [T_Y]) + g^*[T_Y] - [T_Z] = g^*N_f + N_g.$$

Since  $w$  is multiplicative, we have

$$w(N_{f \circ g}) = w(g^*N_f) \cup w(N_g) = g^*w(N_f) \cup w(N_g).$$

Therefore, if the conclusion of the proposition holds for  $f$  and  $g$ , then it holds for  $f \circ g$ . Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ g)_*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(N_{f \circ g})) &= f_*g_*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup g^*w(N_f) \cup w(N_g)) \\ &= f_*(g_*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(N_g)) \cup w(N_f)) \\ &= f_*(\mathrm{Sq}(g_*\beta) \cup w(N_f)) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}(f_*g_*\beta) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}((f \circ g)_*\beta). \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we have used the projection formula in étale cohomology, and in the third and fourth equality we have used the fact that the conclusion holds for  $f$  and  $g$ .

Every morphism of smooth projective varieties factors as the composition of a closed embedding and a projection map  $X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow X$ . We may thus assume that  $f$  is either a closed embedding or a projection map  $X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow X$ .

Suppose first that  $f: X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow X$  is a projection map. Let  $\pi: X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be the second projection. Then

$$N_f = [f^*T_X \oplus \pi^*T_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}] - f^*[T_X] = f^*[T_X] + \pi^*[T_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}] - f^*[T_X] = \pi^*[T_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}].$$

We have  $H^*(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathbb{Z}/2) = (\mathbb{Z}/2)[h]/(h^{n+1})$ , where  $|h| = 2$ , and

$$w(T_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}) = (1+h)^{n+1} \in H^*(T_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Moreover,  $H^*(X \times \mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq H^*(X)[h]/(h^{n+1})$ , hence there exist  $b_i \in H^*(X)$  such that

$$\beta = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i h^i.$$

We have  $f_*(\beta) = b_0$ , hence  $\mathrm{Sq}(f_*\beta) = \mathrm{Sq}(b_0)$ . Since  $\mathrm{Sq}(h) = h + h^2$ , we obtain

$$f_*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(N_f)) = f_*\left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i (h+h^2)^i \cup (1+h)^{n+1}\right) = f_*(\mathrm{Sq}(b_0) + h(\dots)) = \mathrm{Sq}(b_0).$$

Suppose now that  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a closed embedding of codimension  $r$ . In this case,  $N_f = [N_{Y/X}]$  is the class of the normal bundle of  $Y$  inside  $X$ . Letting  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  be

the deformation of  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  to the normal bundle  $N_{Y/X}$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & Y \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 & \hookrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_{Y/X} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \hookrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

Passing to étale cohomology gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & H^*(Y \times \mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \wr \uparrow & & \wr \uparrow & & \wr \uparrow \\ H_Y^*(N_{Y/X}, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & H_{Y \times \mathbb{A}_k^1}^{*+2r}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_Y^{*+2r}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

where the vertical isomorphisms are Gysin maps. By [25, Lemma 6.7], the isomorphisms of the first row send  $N_f = [N_{Y/X}]$  to  $[N_{Y/N_{Y/X}}]$ .

Therefore, it is enough to prove the following: If  $\phi: H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H_Y^*(E, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is the Gysin map associated to the zero-section of the vector bundle  $\pi: E \rightarrow Y$ , then

$$\phi(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(E)) = \mathrm{Sq}(\phi(\beta))$$

for all  $\beta \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

Recall that  $\phi$  is an  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ -linear isomorphism given by pull-back and multiplication by a class  $U$  such that  $w(E) = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sq}(U))$ , that is,

$$\phi(\alpha) = \pi^* \alpha \cup U$$

for all  $\alpha \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup w(E)) &= \pi^*(\mathrm{Sq}(\beta) \cup \pi^*(w(E)) \cup U) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}(\pi^* \beta) \cup \mathrm{Sq}(U) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}(\pi^* \beta \cup U) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}(\phi(\beta)), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

Using Proposition A.1, we are able to extend the main results of [9, §3] to fields of positive characteristic. For all  $n \geq 1$ , we define

$$S_n := \mathrm{Sq}^{2^n-1} \mathrm{Sq}^{2^n-5} \dots \mathrm{Sq}^7 \mathrm{Sq}^3.$$

**Lemma A.2.** *For all  $j \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 2^i - 1$ , there exists a mod 2 Steenrod operation  $S$  such that  $\mathrm{Sq}^{2^i-1} S_j = S \mathrm{Sq}^1$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of [9, Lemma 3.1].  $\square$

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of smooth projective varieties.*

(a) *For all  $j \geq 1$  and all  $\beta \in H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $\mathrm{Sq}^1(\beta) = 0$ , we have*

$$S_j(f_*\beta) = f_*S_j(\beta).$$

(b) *Let  $\beta \in H^m(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be such that  $\mathrm{Sq}^1(\beta) = 0$ . Then for all  $j \geq \max(m, 2)$ , we have  $S_j(f_*\beta) = 0$  in  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) For every smooth  $k$ -variety  $B$ , every vector bundle  $E \rightarrow B$  and every  $i \geq 0$  the class  $w^{2i+1}(E) \in H^{2i+1}(B, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is trivial. Since  $w$  is multiplicative,  $w^{2i+1}(N_f) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . The result now follows as in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.3].

(b) Follows from (a) as in the proof of [9, Proposition 3.4].  $\square$

**Proposition A.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $k$ -variety, let  $\alpha \in H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and let  $c, j$  be integers such that  $m \leq 2c + j$ ,  $j \geq 2$  and  $S_j(\pi_2(\alpha)) \neq 0$ . Then  $\alpha$  has strong coniveau  $< c$ .*

*Proof.* Immediately follows from the previous proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem A.5.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2.*

(1) *For all  $c \geq 1$  and  $l \geq 2c + 1$ , there exists a smooth projective  $k$ -variety such that the inclusion  $\tilde{N}^c H^l(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \subset N^c H^l(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is strict. Moreover, one can choose  $X$  with torsion canonical bundle, and if  $c \geq 2$  one can choose  $X$  rational.*

(2) *There exists a smooth projective  $k$ -variety  $X$  such that  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is torsion free and the inclusion  $N^1 \tilde{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \subset N^1 H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is strict.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer. By [23, Theorem 1.3], there exists a smooth projective  $k$ -variety  $Z_m$  with torsion canonical bundle and a  $k$ -morphism  $\varphi: Z_m \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times B\mathbb{G}_m$  such that, letting

$$\varphi: Z_m \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

the composition of  $\varphi'$  with the first projection, the induced map

$$\phi^*: H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times B\mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is injective in degrees  $\leq m$ .

Define  $s := l - 2c + 1$  and fix  $m \geq s$ . By [9, Lemma 4.1], there exists  $\zeta \in H^s(B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $S_s \text{Sq}^1(\zeta) = 0$ . We let  $V := Z_m^s$ ,  $\varphi^s: Z_m^s \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^s$  be the product of  $s$  copies of  $\varphi$ , and  $\xi := (\varphi^s)^*(\zeta)$ . By Künneth's theorem,  $(\varphi^s)^*$  is injective in degrees  $\leq m$ , hence  $S_j \text{Sq}^1(\xi) = (\varphi^s)^* S_j \text{Sq}^1(\zeta) \neq 0$ .

Let  $T$  be a smooth projective  $k$ -variety of dimension  $c - 1$ . Define  $X := V \times T$  and set  $\alpha := \text{pr}_1^* \tilde{\beta}(\xi) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda \in H^{2c-2}(T, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the class of a point  $t \in T(k)$  and  $\text{pr}_1: X \rightarrow V$  and  $\text{pr}_2: X \rightarrow T$  are the projections.

Note that  $\text{Sq}^i(\pi_2(\lambda)) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  by degree reasons and recall that  $\text{Sq}^1 = \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\beta}$ . Therefore, by Cartan's formula

$$S_j(\pi_2(\alpha)) = \text{pr}_1^* S_j \text{Sq}^1(\xi) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(\pi_2(\lambda)).$$

We deduce from Künneth's formula that  $S_j(\pi_2(\alpha)) \neq 0$ , hence by Proposition A.4 the class  $\alpha$  has strong coniveau  $< c$ . On the other hand, since  $\tilde{\beta}(\xi)$  is torsion, it has coniveau  $\geq 1$  by [39, Lemme 3.12]. Since  $\alpha$  is the push-forward of  $\tilde{\beta}(\xi)$  by the codimension  $c-1$  closed immersion  $V \times \{t\} \rightarrow V \times T$ , it has coniveau  $\geq c$ . To find  $X$  with torsion canonical bundle, it suffices to pick  $T$  with torsion canonical bundle. If  $c \geq 2$ , we may now find  $X$  rational following the procedure of [9, Theorem 4.3(ii)].

(2) Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a semisimple  $k$ -group of type  $G_2$ . By Lemma 2.2 and [4, §2.4, Theorem 2.19] the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module  $H^4(B\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is torsion-free and contains a class whose reduction modulo 2 is not killed by  $\text{Sq}^3$ . By the Künneth formula, the same holds for  $B(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ . By [23, Theorem 1.3], there exist a smooth projective  $k$ -variety  $X$  and a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow B(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m)$  such that the pull-back map

$$f^*: H^*(B(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 8$ . In particular,  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is torsion-free. Moreover, the five lemma implies that

$$f^*: H^*(B(\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{G}_m), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Therefore there exists a class in  $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  whose reduction modulo 2 is not killed by  $\text{Sq}^3$ . The class  $\alpha$  has strong coniveau 0 by Proposition A.4. By a result of Edidin and Graham [22], a multiple of  $\alpha$  is algebraic. (The proof of Edidin and Graham is over the complex numbers, but a simple argument involving the Fulton

specialization map as in Lemma 4.4 yields the same result in positive characteristic.) As a consequence,  $\alpha$  restricts to a torsion class on a dense open subset  $U \subset X$ , hence has coniveau  $\geq 1$  by [39, Lemme 3.12] applied to  $U$ .  $\square$

*Remark A.6.* Arguing as in [9, Remark 4.5], we obtain counterexamples as in Theorem A.5 but where 2-adic cohomology is replaced by  $\ell$ -adic cohomology for odd  $\ell$  invertible in  $k$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Burt Totaro and Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for helpful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] *Cohomologie  $\ell$ -adique et fonctions  $L$* . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 589. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Edité par Luc Illusie. [14](#)
- [2] Jeffrey D. Achter, Sebastian Casalaina-Martin, and Charles Vial. A functorial approach to regular homomorphisms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.09911*, 2019. [29](#)
- [3] Jeffrey D. Achter, Sebastian Casalaina-Martin, and Charles Vial. Parameter spaces for algebraic equivalence. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):1863–1893, 2019. [23](#), [26](#), [27](#)
- [4] Selman Akbulut and Mustafa Kalafat. Algebraic topology of  $G_2$  manifolds. *Expo. Math.*, 34(1):106–129, 2016. [39](#)
- [5] Allen B. Altman and Steven L. Kleiman. Foundations of the theory of Fano schemes. *Compositio Math.*, 34(1):3–47, 1977. [4](#)
- [6] Benjamin Antieau. On the integral Tate conjecture for finite fields and representation theory. *Algebr. Geom.*, 3(2):138–149, 2016. [1](#)
- [7] Benjamin Antieau and Ben Williams. The topological period-index problem over 6-complexes. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):617–640, 2014. [18](#)
- [8] Shôro Araki. Steenrod reduced powers in the spectral sequences associated with a fibering. I, II. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyûsyû Univ. A*, 11:15–64, 81–97, 1957. [13](#)
- [9] Olivier Benoist and John Christian Ottem. Two coniveau filtrations. *Duke Math. J.*, 170(12):2719–2753, 2021. [3](#), [5](#), [16](#), [17](#), [21](#), [24](#), [36](#), [38](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [10] Spencer Bloch and Arthur Ogus. Gersten’s conjecture and the homology of schemes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:181–201 (1975), 1974. [27](#)
- [11] Patrick Brosnan and Roy Joshua. Comparison of motivic and simplicial operations in mod- $\ell$  motivic and étale cohomology. In *Feynman amplitudes, periods and motives*, volume 648 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 29–55. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. [14](#)
- [12] Joe Buhler, Chad Schoen, and Jaap Top. Cycles,  $L$ -functions and triple products of elliptic curves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 492:93–133, 1997. [30](#)
- [13] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène. Troisième groupe de cohomologie non ramifiée des hypersurfaces de Fano. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 1(1):47–57, 2019. [4](#), [36](#)
- [14] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Bruno Kahn. Cycles de codimension 2 et  $H^3$  non ramifié pour les variétés sur les corps finis. *J. K-Theory*, 11(1):1–53, 2013. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [33](#), [35](#)
- [15] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Jean-Jacques Sansuc, and Christophe Soulé. Torsion dans le groupe de Chow de codimension deux. *Duke Math. J.*, 50(3):763–801, 1983. [29](#), [30](#), [31](#), [34](#)
- [16] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Federico Scavia. Sur la conjecture de Tate entière pour le produit d’une courbe et d’une surface  $CH_0$ -triviale sur un corps fini. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.10515*, 2020. [2](#)
- [17] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Tamás Szamuely. Autour de la conjecture de Tate à coefficients  $\mathbf{Z}_\ell$  pour les variétés sur les corps finis. In *The geometry of algebraic cycles*, volume 9 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 83–98. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010. [1](#), [2](#), [13](#), [15](#)
- [18] Olivier Debarre and Laurent Manivel. Sur la variété des espaces linéaires contenus dans une intersection complète. *Math. Ann.*, 312(3):549–574, 1998. [4](#), [36](#)
- [19] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. I. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (43):273–307, 1974. [2](#), [29](#)
- [20] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (44):5–77, 1974. [24](#)
- [21] A. Dress. Zur Spectralsequenz von Faserungen. *Invent. Math.*, 3:172–178, 1967. [12](#)
- [22] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Characteristic classes in the Chow ring. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(3):431–443, 1997. [39](#)

- [23] Torsten Ekedahl. Approximating classifying spaces by smooth projective varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0905.1538*, 2009. [20](#), [39](#)
- [24] D. B. A. Epstein. Steenrod operations in homological algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 1:152–208, 1966. [6](#)
- [25] Tony Feng. Étale Steenrod operations and the Artin-Tate pairing. *Compos. Math.*, 156(7):1476–1515, 2020. [6](#), [36](#), [38](#)
- [26] Eric M. Friedlander. Fibrations in étale homotopy theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (42):5–46, 1973. [5](#)
- [27] Eric M. Friedlander. *Étale homotopy of simplicial schemes*, volume 104 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982. [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [28] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998. [24](#), [25](#), [26](#)
- [29] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Reprint of the 1999 edition [MR1711612]. [6](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [30] Mark L. Green. Griffiths’ infinitesimal invariant and the Abel-Jacobi map. *J. Differential Geom.*, 29(3):545–555, 1989. [2](#)
- [31] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique II*, volume 8 of *Publications Mathématiques*. Institute des Hautes Études Scientifiques., 1961. [17](#)
- [32] Bert Guillou and Chuck Weibel. Operations in étale and motivic cohomology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(2):1057–1090, 2019. [14](#)
- [33] Luc Illusie and Michael Temkin. Exposé X. Gabber’s modification theorem (log smooth case). Number 363-364, pages 167–212. 2014. Travaux de Gabber sur l’uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents. [22](#)
- [34] Uwe Jannsen. Continuous étale cohomology. *Math. Ann.*, 280(2):207–245, 1988. [5](#), [16](#)
- [35] Uwe Jannsen. *Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory*, volume 1400 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With appendices by S. Bloch and C. Schoen. [2](#), [27](#)
- [36] J. F. Jardine. Steenrod operations in the cohomology of simplicial presheaves. In *Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987)*, volume 279 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 103–116. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989. [6](#)
- [37] J. P. Jouanolou. Riemann-Roch sans dénominateurs. *Invent. Math.*, 11:15–26, 1970. [15](#)
- [38] Bruno Kahn. The Geisser-Levine method revisited and algebraic cycles over a finite field. *Math. Ann.*, 324(3):581–617, 2002. [33](#)
- [39] Bruno Kahn. Classes de cycles motiviques étales. *Algebra Number Theory*, 6(7):1369–1407, 2012. [27](#), [33](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [40] Masaki Kameko. On the integral Tate conjecture over finite fields. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 158(3):531–546, 2015. [1](#)
- [41] Kazuya Kato and Shuji Saito. Unramified class field theory of arithmetical surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(2):241–275, 1983. [36](#)
- [42] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. [36](#)
- [43] D. Lieberman. Intermediate Jacobians. In *Algebraic geometry, Oslo 1970 (Proc. Fifth Nordic Summer-School in Math.)*, pages 125–139, 1972. [3](#), [31](#)
- [44] John McCleary. *A user’s guide to spectral sequences*, volume 58 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2001. [12](#), [19](#)
- [45] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin.  $K$ -cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 46(5):1011–1046, 1135–1136, 1982. [31](#)
- [46] Alexander Merkurjev. Unramified elements in cycle modules. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(1):51–64, 2008. [25](#)
- [47] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980. [13](#), [16](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [48] Mamoru Mimura and Hirosi Toda. *Topology of Lie groups. I, II*, volume 91 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991. Translated from the 1978 Japanese edition by the authors. [19](#)

- [49] Masamitsu Mori. The Steenrod operations in the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 9(1):17–34, 1979. [12](#), [13](#)
- [50] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008. [29](#)
- [51] Rahul Pandharipande. Equivariant Chow rings of  $O(k)$ ,  $SO(2k + 1)$ , and  $SO(4)$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 496:131–148, 1998. [19](#)
- [52] Raman Parimala and Venapally Suresh. Degree 3 cohomology of function fields of surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (14):4341–4374, 2016. [36](#)
- [53] Alena Pirutka. Sur le groupe de Chow de codimension deux des variétés sur les corps finis. *Algebra Number Theory*, 5(6):803–817, 2011. [1](#), [3](#), [35](#)
- [54] Alena Pirutka and Nobuaki Yagita. Note on the counterexamples for the integral Tate conjecture over finite fields. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra vol.: Alexander S. Merkurjev’s sixtieth birthday):501–511, 2015. [1](#), [2](#), [13](#)
- [55] Bjorn Poonen. Bertini theorems over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(3):1099–1127, 2004. [23](#)
- [56] Gereon Quick. Profinite étale cobordism. *arXiv preprint math/0506332*, 2005. [18](#)
- [57] Gereon Quick. Torsion algebraic cycles and étale cobordism. *Adv. Math.*, 227(2):962–985, 2011. [1](#), [18](#)
- [58] John Sawka. Odd primary Steenrod operations in first-quadrant spectral sequences. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 273(2):737–752, 1982. [12](#), [13](#)
- [59] Federico Scavia. Autour de la conjecture de Tate entière pour certains produits de dimension 3 sur un corps fini. *Épjournal de Géométrie Algébrique*, Volume 6, 2022. [1](#), [35](#)
- [60] Chad Schoen. On the image of the  $l$ -adic Abel-Jacobi map for a variety over the algebraic closure of a finite field. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(3):795–838, 1999. [32](#)
- [61] Jean-Pierre Serre. Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 54:425–505, 1951. [13](#)
- [62] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. [15](#)
- [63] William M. Singer. Steenrod squares in spectral sequences. I, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 175:327–336; *ibid.* 175 (1973), 337–353, 1973. [12](#), [13](#)
- [64] William M. Singer. *Steenrod squares in spectral sequences*, volume 129 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. [12](#)
- [65] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. [9](#)
- [66] Fumiaki Suzuki. Factorization of the Abel-Jacobi maps. *Épjournal de Géométrie Algébrique*, 5, 2021. [27](#), [28](#)
- [67] Hiroshi Toda. Cohomology of classifying spaces. In *Homotopy theory and related topics (Kyoto, 1984)*, volume 9 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 75–108. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987. [18](#)
- [68] Burt Totaro. *Group Cohomology and Algebraic Cycles*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2014. [20](#)
- [69] Tohsuke Urabe. The bilinear form of the Brauer group of a surface. *Invent. Math.*, 125(3):557–585, 1996. [36](#)
- [70] Roberto Vázquez. Note on Steenrod squares in the spectral sequence of a fibre space. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2)*, 2:1–8, 1957. [13](#)
- [71] Angelo Vistoli. On the cohomology and the Chow ring of the classifying space of  $PGL_p$ . *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):181–227, 2007. [18](#), [19](#), [20](#)
- [72] Claire Voisin. On integral Hodge classes on uniruled or Calabi-Yau threefolds. In *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*, volume 45 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 43–73. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006. [4](#), [35](#)
- [73] Claire Voisin. On the coniveau of rationally connected threefolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.05275*, 2020. [4](#), [22](#), [35](#), [36](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

*Email address:* [scavia@math.ucla.edu](mailto:scavia@math.ucla.edu)

*Email address:* [suzuki@math.ucla.edu](mailto:suzuki@math.ucla.edu)