

On the structure Lie operator of a real hypersurface in the complex quadric

Juan de Dios Pérez, David Pérez-López and Young Jin Suh

Abstract

The almost contact metric structure that we have on a real hypersurface M in the complex quadric $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$ allows us to define, for any nonnull real number k , the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection on M , $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$. Associated to this connection we have Cho and torsion operators, $F_X^{(k)}$ and $T_X^{(k)}$, respectively, for any vector field X tangent to M . From them and for any symmetric operator B on M we can consider two tensor fields of type (1,2) on M that we will denote by $B_F^{(k)}$ and $B_T^{(k)}$, respectively. We will classify real hypersurfaces M in Q^m for which any of those tensors identically vanishes, in the particular case of B being the structure Lie operator L_ξ on M .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53B25.

Keywords and phrases: Complex quadric, real hypersurface, structure Lie operator, k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection, Lie derivative.

1 Introduction.

Let M be a real hypersurface of the complex quadric $Q^m = SO_{m+2}/SO_mSO_2$ endowed with the Kählerian structure (J, G) . Let N be a unit local normal vector field on M . (J, G) induces on M an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, η, g, ξ) , see [4]. Then, if ∇ denotes its Levi-Civita connection, for any nonnull real number k , we can define on M the so-called k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ by

$$\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}Y = \nabla_XY + g(\phi SX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi SX - k\eta(X)\phi Y$$

for any X, Y tangent to M (see [5], [6], [14], [15] and [16]), where S denotes the shape operator on M associated to N .

We will write $F_X^{(k)}Y = g(\phi SX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi SX - k\eta(X)\phi Y$, for any X, Y tangent to M and call it the k -th Cho operator on M associated to X . The torsion of the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection is given by $T^{(k)}(X, Y) = F_X^{(k)}Y - F_Y^{(k)}X$, for any X, Y tangent to M . We will call k -th torsion operator associated to the vector field X to the operator given by $T_X^{(k)}Y = T^{(k)}(X, Y)$ for any Y tangent to M .

If \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie derivative on M , we have that $\mathcal{L}_XY = \nabla_XY - \nabla_YX$, for any X, Y tangent to M . We can also consider on M a differential operator of first order associated to $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$, $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$, given by $\mathcal{L}_X^{(k)}Y = \hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}Y - \hat{\nabla}_Y^{(k)}X$, for any X, Y tangent to M . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_X^{(k)}Y = \mathcal{L}_XY + T_X^{(k)}Y$, for any X, Y tangent to M .

Let B be a symmetric operator on M . Then we can consider $((\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} - \nabla_X)B)Y$ for any X and Y tangent to M . It is easy to see that $((\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} - \nabla_X)B)Y = F_X^{(k)}BY - BF_X^{(k)}Y$. Therefore, from B , we can define on M the tensor field of type (1,2) $B_F^{(k)}$ that is given by

$$B_F^{(k)}(X, Y) = [F_X^{(k)}, B]Y = F_X^{(k)}BY - BF_X^{(k)}Y$$

for any X, Y tangent to M . Thus the vanishing of such a tensor field is equivalent to the commutativity of the operators $F_X^{(k)}$ and B for any X tangent to M . We have studied this problem in the cases of $B = S$, the shape operator of M , in [11] and $B = \bar{R}_N$, the normal Jacobi operator of M , in [12].

We can also consider $((\mathcal{L}_X^{(k)} - \mathcal{L}_X)B)Y$ for any X, Y tangent to M . As above, it is easy to see that $((\mathcal{L}_X^{(k)} - \mathcal{L}_X)B)Y = T_X^{(k)}BY - BT_X^{(k)}Y$, and we can define another tensor field of type (1,2) on M associated to $B, B_T^{(k)}$, by

$$B_T^{(k)}(X, Y) = [T_X^{(k)}, B]Y = T_X^{(k)}BY - BT_X^{(k)}Y$$

for any X, Y tangent to M . Such a tensor field vanishes if and only if, for any X tangent to M , the operators $T_X^{(k)}$ and B commute. This problem has been solved in [11] for $B = S$ and in [10] for $B = \bar{R}_N$.

Let us define the structure Lie operator on M, L_ξ , by $g(L_\xi X, Y) = (\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) = g(\phi S X, Y) + g(X, \phi S Y) = g((\phi S - S\phi)X, Y)$, for any X, Y tangent to M . Therefore we have

$$L_\xi X = (\phi S - S\phi)X$$

for any X tangent to M . Berndt and Suh, [2], classified real hypersurfaces in Q^m with isometric Reeb flow, proving that such a condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the structure Lie operator. They obtained the following

Theorem A *Let M be a real hypersurface in the complex quadric Q^m , $m \geq 3$. The Reeb flow on M is isometric if and only if m is even, say $m = 2n$, and M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset Q^m$.*

A real hypersurface M in Q^m is Hopf if the Reeb vector field ξ is principal, that is, $S\xi = \alpha\xi$, and α is called the Reeb function of M . The tubes appearing in Theorem 1.1 are examples of Hopf real hypersurfaces in Q^m . We will denote by \mathcal{C} the maximal holomorphic distribution on M , given by $\mathcal{C} = \{X \in TM \mid g(X, \xi) = 0\}$.

Recently, in [7], a family of non Hopf real hypersurfaces of Q^m , called ruled real hypersurfaces, was introduced and some examples were provided. M is ruled in Q^m if the distribution \mathcal{C} is integrable and M is foliated by totally geodesic complex hyperplanes Q^{m-1} in Q^m . In such a case, the shape operator S of M in Q^n is given by $S\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, $SU = \beta\xi$ and $SX = 0$ for any vector field $X \perp \text{Span}\{\xi, U\}$, where U is a unit vector field in \mathcal{C} , α and β are functions on M and β does not vanish.

This paper is devoted to study the vanishing of the tensors $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)}$ and $L_{\xi_T}^{(k)}$. We will prove the following

Theorem 1.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface in Q^m , $m \geq 3$, and k a nonnull real number. Then $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)}(\xi, X) = 0$, for any X tangent to M , if and only if M is an open part of a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset Q^m$, $m = 2n$.*

As $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{C}$, we can prove also the

Theorem 1.2 *Let M be a real hypersurface of Q^m , $m \geq 3$, and k a nonnull real number. Then $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)}(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M if and only if M is an open part of either a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset Q^m$, $m = 2n$, or a ruled real hypersurface.*

From both Theorems we obtain the

Corollary 1.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface in Q^m , $m \geq 3$, and k a nonnull real number. Then $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)} \equiv 0$ if and only if M is an open part of a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset Q^m$, $m = 2n$.*

In the case of $L_{\xi_T}^{(k)}$ we have, bearing in mind again that $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{C}$, we have

Theorem 1.3 *Let M be a real hypersurface in Q^m , $m \geq 3$, and k and nonnull real number. Then $L_{\xi_T}^{(k)}(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M , if and only if M is an open part of a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, around $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $m = 2n$.*

On the other hand

Theorem 1.4 *There does not exist any non Hopf real hypersurface M in Q^m , $m \geq 3$, such that $L_{\xi_T}^{(k)}(\xi, X) = 0$ for any X tangent to M and any nonnull real number k .*

As a consequence of these results we conclude with the

Corollary 1.2 *Let M be a real hypersurface in Q^m , $m \geq 3$, and k a nonnull real number. Then $L_{\xi_T}^{(k)} \equiv 0$ if and only if M is an open part of a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, around $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $m = 2n$.*

2 Preliminaries.

For more details about this section we refer to [1], [2], [3], [8], [9] and [13]. The complex quadric Q^m is the complex hypersurface in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ defined by the equation $z_1^2 + \dots + z_{m+2}^2 = 0$, where z_1, \dots, z_{m+2} are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$. The Kähler structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ induces canonically a Kähler structure (J, G) on the complex quadric, where G is a Riemannian metric induced on Q^m by the Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$.

A point $[z]$ in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ is given by $[z] = \{\lambda z \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where z is a nonzero vector in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . If \bar{z} is the conjugated of z , $T_{[z]}Q^m$, for any $[z] \in Q^m$, can be identified canonically with $\mathbb{C}^{m+2} \ominus ([z] \oplus [\bar{z}])$, the orthogonal complement of $[z] \oplus [\bar{z}]$ in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . \bar{z} is a unit normal vector of Q^m in $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ at the point $[z]$. The shape operator $A_{\bar{z}}$ of Q^m with respect to the unit normal vector \bar{z} is given by

$$A_{\bar{z}}w = \bar{w}$$

for any $w \in T_{[z]}Q^m$. Then $A_{\bar{z}}$ defines a real structure on the complex vector space $T_{[z]}Q^m$. The set of all shape operators $A_{\lambda\bar{z}}$ defines a parallel subbundle of rank 2, \mathfrak{A} , of $End(TQ^m)$, consisting of all the real structures on the tangent space of Q^m . For any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $A^2 = I$, the identity endomorphism, and $AJ = -JA$.

A nonzero tangent vector $W \in T_{[z]}Q^m$ is called singular if it is tangent to more than one maximal flat in Q^m . There are two types of singular tangent vectors in Q^m :

1. W is \mathfrak{A} -principal if there exists a real structure $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $AW = W$.
2. W is \mathfrak{A} -isotropic if there exist $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and two orthonormal vectors X, Y such that $AX = X$, $AY = Y$ and $W/\|W\| = (X + JY)/\sqrt{2}$.

If M is a real hypersurface in Q^m with unit local normal vector field N and Levi-Civita connection ∇ , let S denote the shape operator on M with respect to N . For any vector field X tangent to M we write $JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$. The tangential component of JX , ϕX defines on M a skew-symmetric tensor field of type (1,1) called the structure tensor. The vector field $\xi = -JN$ is called the Reeb vector field (or structure vector field) of M . Thus we naturally obtain that the 1-form η is given by $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ for any vector field X tangent to M . Therefore on M we have an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where g is the restriction to M of the metric G . Thus, see [4], we have the following relations

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.1)$$

for any X, Y tangent to M . From (2.1) we also have

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi S X \quad (2.2)$$

for any X tangent to M , where we have applied that J is parallel respect to the Levi-Civita connection on Q^m

The tangent bundle TM of M splits orthogonally into

$$TM = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \ker(\eta) = \{X \in TM | g(X, \xi) = 0\}$ is the maximal complex (holomorphic) subbundle of TM and $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}\xi$. If we restrict ϕ to \mathcal{C} it coincides with the complex structure J .

For a tube M of radius r , $0 < r < \pi/2$, around the totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n$ in Q^m , $m = 2n$, its normal bundle consists of \mathfrak{A} -isotropic singular tangent vectors of Q^m , see [2].

In the case of a ruled real hypersurface of Q^m , N is \mathfrak{A} -principal, see [7].

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Suppose that M satisfies $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)}(\xi, X) = 0$ for any X tangent to M . This means that $F_{\xi}^{(k)}(\phi S - S\phi)X - (\phi S - S\phi)F_{\xi}^{(k)}X = 0$ for any X tangent to M . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi S\xi, (\phi S - S\phi)X)\xi - \eta((\phi S - S\phi)X)\phi S\xi - k\phi(\phi S - S\phi)X - g(\phi S\xi, X)\phi S\xi \\ + \eta(X)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi S\xi + k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for any X tangent to M . Suppose first that M is Hopf with Reeb function α . In this case (3.1) yields

$$-k\phi(\phi S - S\phi)X + k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for any X tangent to M . As $k \neq 0$, from (3.2) we get $2SX + 2\phi S\phi X = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If we apply ϕ to this equation we obtain $S\phi X = \phi SX$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. This yields $S\phi = \phi S$ and the results follows from Theorem A.

If M is non Hopf, we write $S\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector field in \mathcal{C} , α and β are functions on M and β does not vanish at least on a neighbourhood of a point $p \in M$. The following calculations will be made on such a neighbourhood. From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta g(SU, X)\xi - \beta g(S\phi U, \phi X)\xi - 2\beta^2 g(\phi U, X)\phi U - k\phi(\phi S - S\phi)X \\ + \beta\eta(X)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi U + k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for any X tangent to M . Taking $X = \xi$ in (3.3) it follows that $\beta^2\xi + k\beta U + \beta(\phi S - S\phi)\phi U = 0$. Its scalar product with ξ yields $2\beta^2 = 0$, which is impossible and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1

If we now suppose that $L_{\xi_F}^{(k)}(X, Y) = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi SX, \phi SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi - g((\phi S - S\phi)Y, \xi)\phi SX \\ - g(\phi SX, Y)\phi S\xi + \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . First suppose that M is Hopf with Reeb function α . Then (3.4) becomes

$$g(SX, SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi + \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . Take $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ in (3.5). Then $g(S^2X, Y)\xi + g(\phi S\phi SX, Y)\xi = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. This means that $S^2X + \phi S\phi SX = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Apply ϕ to obtain $\phi S^2X = S\phi SX$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $SX = \lambda X$. Then we obtain $\lambda^2\phi X = \lambda S\phi X$. Therefore, either $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda \neq 0$ and $S\phi X = \lambda\phi X$. This means that for any nonnull eigenvalue of S in \mathcal{C} the corresponding eigenspace is ϕ -invariant. Thus also the eigenspace corresponding to the possible eigenvalue 0 is also ϕ -invariant and $S\phi = \phi S$. We conclude as in Theorem 1.1.

If we suppose now that M is non Hopf, we write, as above, $S\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, with the same conditions. From (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi SX, \phi SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi - \beta g(Y, \phi U)S\phi X \\ - \beta g(\phi SX, Y)\phi U + \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . If we take $Y = \xi$ in (3.6) we get $\beta g(SU, X)\xi + (\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Its scalar product with ξ yields $2\beta g(SU, X) = 0$, and as $\beta \neq 0$, we obtain $g(SU, X) = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. That is,

$$SU = \beta\xi \quad (3.7)$$

If we take $Y = \phi U$ in (3.6) it follows $g(\phi SX, \phi S\phi U)\xi - \beta\phi SX = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Its scalar product with U implies $\beta g(S\phi U, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. β being non vanishing implies

$$S\phi U = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

We will denote $\mathcal{C}_U = \{X \in \mathcal{C} \mid g(X, U) = g(X, \phi U) = 0\}$. From (3.7) and (3.8) we know that \mathcal{C}_U is S -invariant. Take $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$, $Y = \phi U$ in (3.6). This gives $-\beta\phi SX = 0$. Therefore, $\phi SX = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$, and applying ϕ we get

$$SX = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$. From (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain that M is ruled. The converse is trivial and we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

If we suppose that $T_X^{(k)}L_\xi Y - L_\xi T_X^{(k)}Y = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M , we should have $F_X^{(k)}(\phi S - S\phi)Y - F_{(\phi S - S\phi)Y}^{(k)}X - (\phi S - S\phi)F_X^{(k)}Y + (\phi S - S\phi)F_Y^{(k)}X = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\phi SX, (\phi S - S\phi)Y)\xi - \eta((\phi S - S\phi)Y)\phi SX - g(\phi S(\phi S - S\phi)Y, X)\xi \\ &+ k\eta((\phi S - S\phi)Y)\phi X - g(\phi SX, Y)\phi S\xi + \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX \\ &+ g(\phi SY, X)\phi S\xi - \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . Suppose first that M is Hopf and write $S\xi = \alpha\xi$. Then (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &g(SX, SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi - g(\phi S(\phi S - S\phi)Y, X)\xi \\ &+ \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX - k\eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . If we take $Y = \xi$ in (4.2) we obtain $(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX - k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. That is, $\phi S\phi SX + S^2X - k\phi S\phi X - kSX = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If we suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies $SX = \lambda X$, we have $\lambda\phi S\phi X + \lambda^2X - k\phi S\phi X - k\lambda X = 0$. Applying ϕ we get $-\lambda S\phi X + \lambda^2\phi X + kS\phi X - k\lambda\phi X = 0$. Then, $(k - \lambda)S\phi X = \lambda(k - \lambda)\phi X$. Thus either $\lambda = k$ or, if $\lambda \neq k$, $S\phi X = \lambda\phi X$. We have found that for any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq k$ in \mathcal{C} , the corresponding eigenspace is ϕ -invariant. This yields that also the eigenspace corresponding to k is ϕ -invariant. Therefore $\phi S = S\phi$ and M is an open part of a tube of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$ around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^n \subset Q^m$, with $m = 2n$.

Let us suppose now that M is non Hopf and write again $S\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$ as in previous section. From (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\phi SX, \phi SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi - \beta g(Y, \phi U)\phi SX + g((\phi S - S\phi)Y, S\phi X)\xi \\ &+ k\beta g(Y, \phi U)\phi X - \beta g(\phi SX, Y)\phi U + \eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX + \beta g(\phi SY, X)\phi U \\ &- k\eta(Y)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, Y tangent to M . If we take $X = \xi$ in (4.3) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta g(SU, X)\xi + \beta g(S\phi U, \phi X)\xi + (\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX + \beta^2 g(\phi U, X)\phi U \\ &- k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. The scalar product of (4.4) and ξ gives $\beta g(SU, X) + \beta g(S\phi U, \phi X) + g(\phi SX, \phi S\xi) - kg(\phi X, \phi S\xi) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, $2g(SU, X) + g(S\phi U, \phi X) - kg(U, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. For $X = \phi U$ we obtain

$$g(SU, \phi U) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

and for $X = U$ it yields

$$2g(SU, U) + g(S\phi U, \phi U) = k. \quad (4.6)$$

Taking $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ in (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi SX, \phi SY)\xi - g(\phi SX, S\phi Y)\xi - \beta g(Y, \phi U)\phi SX + g((\phi S - S\phi)Y, S\phi X)\xi \\ + k\beta g(Y, \phi U)\phi X - \beta g(\phi SX, Y)\phi U + \beta g(\phi SY, X)\phi U = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Its scalar product with ϕU gives

$$-g(Y, \phi U)g(SX, U) + kg(Y, \phi U)g(X, U) - g(\phi SX, Y) + g(\phi SY, X) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. If in (4.8) we take $Y = U$ we obtain $-g(\phi SX, U) + g(S\phi U, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus

$$S\phi U + \phi SU = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Taking $Y = \phi U$ in (4.8) it follows $-2g(SU, X) + kg(U, X) + g(\phi S\phi U, X) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $-2SU + kU + \phi S\phi U$ has no component in \mathcal{C} . That means that $-2SU + kU + \phi S\phi U = g(-2SU + kU + \phi S\phi U, \xi)\xi = -2\beta\xi$. On the other hand, from (4.9), $-2\beta\xi = -2SU + kU - \phi^2 SU = -SU + kU - \beta\xi$. That is,

$$SU = \beta\xi + kU. \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.10) and (4.9),

$$S\phi U = -k\phi U. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, \mathcal{C}_U is S -invariant. Taking $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$ in (4.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(S^2Y, X)\xi + g(S\phi S\phi Y, X)\xi - g(\phi S(\phi S - S\phi)Y, X)\xi \\ + \beta g(S\phi Y, X)\phi U + \beta g(\phi SY, X)\phi U = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}_U$. Its scalar product with ϕU yields $g(S\phi Y, X) + g(\phi SY, X) = 0$, for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}_U$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$S\phi Y + \phi SY = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{C}_U$.

Taking $Y = \phi U$ in (4.3) we obtain $g(SX, S\phi U)\xi + g(SU, \phi SX)\xi - \beta\phi SX + g((\phi S - S\phi)\phi U, S\phi X)\xi + k\beta\phi X - \beta g(SX, U)\phi U + \beta g(\phi S\phi U, X)\phi U = 0$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$ this yields $-\beta\phi SX + k\beta\phi X =$

0. As we suppose $\beta \neq 0$, $SX = kX$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}_U$, but then (4.13) yields $2k = 0$, which is impossible, finishing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

If now we suppose that M is non Hopf and $L_{\xi^T}^{(k)}(\xi, X) = 0$ for any X tangent to M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta g(\phi U, (\phi S - S\phi)X)\xi - 2\beta^2(X, \phi U)\phi U - k\phi(\phi S - S\phi)X \\ & + \phi S(\phi S - S\phi)X + \beta\eta(X)(\phi S - S\phi)\phi U + k(\phi S - S\phi)\phi X \\ & - (\phi S - S\phi)\phi SX = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for any X tangent to M . Taking $X = \xi$ in (4.14) we get $\beta^2\xi - k\phi^2S\xi + \phi S\phi S\xi + \beta(\phi S - S\phi)\phi U - \beta(\phi S - S\phi)\phi U = 0$. Therefore, $\beta^2\xi + k\beta U + \beta\phi S\phi U = 0$. Its scalar product with ξ yields $\beta = 0$, a contradiction that finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

In order to prove Corollary 1.2, if M satisfies $L_{\xi^T}^{(k)} \equiv 0$, from Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, M must be Hopf. Now from Theorem 1.3 $\phi S = S\phi$, that is, $L_\xi \equiv 0$. Therefore $L_{\xi^T}^{(k)}(\xi, X) = 0$, for any nonnull real number k and any X tangent to M and the proof finishes.

Acknowledgements

First author is supported by Projects PID 2020-11 6126GB-I00 from MICINN and PY20-01391 from Junta de Andalucía. Third author by grant Proj. No. NRF-2018-R1D1A1B-05040381 from National Research Foundation of Korea.

References

- [1] J. Berndt and Y. J. Suh, On the geometry of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric, *Proceedings of the 16th International Workshop on Differential Geometry and the 5th KNUGRG-OCAMI Differential Geometry Workshop* **16** (2012), 1-9.
- [2] J. Berndt and Y. J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex quadric, *Internat. J. Math.* **24** (2013), 1350050 (18pp).
- [3] J. Berndt and Y.J. Suh, Real Hypersurfaces in Hermitian Symmetric Spaces, *Advances in Analysis and Geometry*, Volume **5**, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin-Boston, 2022.
- [4] D.E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203. Birkhauser Boston Inc., Boston, 2002.
- [5] J.T. Cho, CR-structures on real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, *Publ. Math. Debr.* **54** (1999), 473-487.
- [6] J.T. Cho, Pseudo-Einstein CR-structures on real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **37** (2008), 1-17.
- [7] M. Kimura, H. Lee, J.D. Pérez and Y.J. Suh, Ruled real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **31** (2021), 7989-8012.
- [8] S. Klein, Totally geodesic submanifolds of the complex quadric and the quaternionic 2-Grassmannians, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 4927-4967.
- [9] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. II, A Wiley-Interscience Publ., Wiley Classics Library Ed., 1996.

- [10] J.D. Pérez, Commutativity of torsion and normal Jacobi operators on real hypersurfaces of the complex quadric, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **95** (2019), 157-168.
- [11] J.D. Pérez and Y.J. Suh, Derivatives of the shape operator of real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric, *Results Math.* **73** (2018), 126.
- [12] J.D. Pérez and Y.J. Suh, Commutativity of Cho and normal Jacobi operators on real hypersurfaces in the complex quadric, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **94** (2019), 359-367
- [13] H. Reckziegel, On the geometry of the complex quadric, in *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VIII*. World Scientific Publishing, Brussels/Nordfjordeid, River Edge (1995), 302-315.
- [14] N. Tanaka, On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections, *Jpn. J. Math.* **2** (1976), 131-190.
- [15] S. Tanno, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **314** (1989), 349-379.
- [16] S.M. Webster, PseudoHermitian structures on a real hypersurface, *J. Diff. Geom.* **13** (1978), 25-41.

Juan de Dios Pérez: jdperez@ugr.es
 Departamento de Geometría y Topología and IMAG (Instituto de Matemáticas)
 Universidad de Granada
 18071 Granada
 Spain

David Pérez-López: davidpl109@correo.ugr.es
 18003 Granada
 Spain

Young Jin Suh: yjsuh@knu.ac.kr
 Kyungpook National University
 College of Natural Sciences
 Department of Mathematics
 and Research Institute of Real and Complex Manifolds
 Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea