

SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR SHUFFLE ALGEBRAS OF TYPE B_n AND G_2

YUE HU

ABSTRACT. We define a filtration of Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras of type B_n and G_2 using specialization maps, generalizing the results in type A_n case given by [N], [T]. These filtrations are compatible with a class of PBW type basis for the quantum current algebras of type B_n and G_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

A direct approach to PBW theorem for quantum groups is to embed its positive part into quantum shuffle algebras, see [G1] [R2] [L1]. The advantage of this approach is that instead of considering quantum groups as quotient algebras, we can view them as subalgebras that can be described explicitly by combinatorial methods. For any Cartan matrix of finite type, the quantum shuffle algebra realization of quantum current algebras, which are positive part of quantum affine algebras in Drinfeld realization, is given in [G2] [NT]. Moreover, in [NT], by extending Lyndon word theory to affine root systems, and connecting the PBW theorem in Drinfeld-Jimbo type to the Drinfeld realization setting, an explicit PBW type basis for the quantum current algebras is constructed.

There is another shuffle algebra description of quantum current algebras by purely using symmetric functions. These algebras are trigonometric form of the Sklyanin elliptic algebras of Feigin-Odesskii [FO1] - [FO4], which we call Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras. In [E1], Enriquez showed that for any Cartan matrix of finite type, there is a natural embedding of quantum current algebra into Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebra. A major problem is to characterize the images of these embeddings. In [E2], Enriquez conjectured that these images are determined by certain vanishing conditions, which are nowadays called wheel conditions. Another main result of [NT] is the proof of Enriquez's conjecture. Specifically, a homomorphism that connects the two different instances of shuffle algebras is constructed, and the size of quantum current algebras and Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras can be compared in the framework of quantum shuffle algebras.

For type A case, there is a more intrinsic method to prove Enriquez's conjecture, stated also by Neguț and Tsybaliuk, not using the framework of quantum shuffle algebras, see [N] [T]. The main idea is to define a filtration of Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras by certain specialization maps introduced in [FHHSY]. Moreover, there exists a PBW type basis of quantum current algebras that are compatible with this filtration. This PBW type basis is different to the one constructed in [NT] and have more flexibility with respect to the ordering on root vectors, see [NT, Remark 1.6]. A special feature of this method is that we do not need the truth of PBW theorem for quantum current algebras but the proof of PBW theorem is actually a consequence. This paradigm can also be applied to some super cases, see [T] for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(m|n)$ case and [FH] for $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(2, 1; \theta)$ case. The difficulties are there still lack a good theory to define the

appropriate specialization maps in general (for a peculiar look like specialization maps for $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(2, 1; \theta)$ case, see [FH, (3.7)]).

In this paper we define specialization maps for Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras of type G_2 and B_n , see (3.1) and (4.1). The filtrations defined by these specialization maps are associated to the dominance orderings among Kostant partitions. For convenience, in the rest of this paper we shall call Feigin-Odesskii's shuffle algebras shortly by shuffle algebras. We are still facing some technical problems regarding to type C_n and D_n , which shall be addressed in the future.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras, and explain Neguț-Tsymbaliuk's paradigm toward Enriquez's conjecture in type A_n case. In section 3, we define specialization maps in type G_2 and verify the PBW theorem in type G_2 . Since root system of type B_2 is a subroot system of type G_2 , we prove the B_2 case as well. In section 4, we define specialization maps in type B_n and prove the general B_n case by induction.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Quantum current algebras and shuffle algebras. Let R be an irreducible root system with simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, R^\vee and $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}$ the corresponding dual. Then $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a finite Cartan matrix where $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle$. In this paper we consider the root system of type B_n and the exceptional type G_2 . Our choices of the Dynkin diagrams for each type are:

$$B_n \ (n \geq 2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \circ - \circ - \dots - \circ \Rightarrow \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \quad \quad \alpha_{n-1} \ \alpha_n \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

$$G_2 \quad \begin{array}{c} \circ \Rightarrow \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a diagonal matrix such that $DA = (d_i a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is symmetric. In each type our choices of $\{d_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are given as follows:

$$\text{Type } B_n: \ d_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, d_n = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{Type } G_2: \ d_1 = 3, d_2 = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra associated with A , and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be its affinization. The standard nongenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* satisfies $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = d_i a_{ij}$. Denote by $v_i = v^{d_i}$. Let $U_v(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the associated quantum affine algebra in the new Drinfeld realization. The ‘‘positive half’’ $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, which is also called the quantum current algebra, is generated by $\{e_{i,k}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the following relations:

$$(v_i^{a_{ij}} z - w)e_i(z)e_j(w) = (z - v_i^{a_{ij}} w)e_j(w)e_i(z), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{Sym}_{z_1, \dots, z_{1-a_{ij}}} \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} (-1)^k e_i(z_1) \cdots e_i(z_k) e_j(w) e_i(z_{k+1}) \cdots e_i(z_{1-a_{ij}}) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

in which $e_i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{i,k} z^{-k}$, $[n]_v = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}$, $[n]_v! = \prod_{k=0}^n [k]_v$ and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ p \end{bmatrix}_v = \frac{[n]_v!}{[n-p]_v! [p]_v!}$.

Associated to the Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ we also have the Feigin-Odesskii algebras. Let $(\omega_{ij}(z))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the matrix of rational functions in z given by $\omega_{ij}(z) = \frac{z - v_i^{-a_{ij}}}{z-1}$. Denote

by \mathfrak{S}_m the symmetric group of order m . For $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, denote $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{S}_{k_i}$ by $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$. Let $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ be the i -th basis element $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The Feigin-Odesskii algebra $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ associated to A is defined as $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{k}=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}$ consists of rational functions F in the variables $\{x_{i,r}\}_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}$ which satisfy:

- (1) F is symmetric with respect to $\{x_{i,r}\}_{1 \leq r \leq k_i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (2) $F = \frac{f}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq r \leq k_i, 1 \leq s \leq k_j} (x_{i,r} - x_{j,s})}$, where $f \in \mathbb{C}(v)[\{x_{i,r}^{\pm 1}\}_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}}$ is a Laurent polynomial.

The associative algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ is defined by the following shuffle product. For $F \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}}, G \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{l}}$, define their product $F \star G \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\underline{k}+\underline{l}}$, where $\underline{k} + \underline{l} = (k_1 + l_1, \dots, k_n + l_n)$ as

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}+\underline{l}}} \left(F(\{x_{i,r}\}_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}) \cdot G(\{x_{j,s}\}_{\substack{k_j < s \leq k_j + l_j \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}) \prod_{\substack{s > k_j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq n}} \prod_{r \leq k_i} \omega_{i,j} \left(\frac{x_{i,r}}{x_{j,s}} \right) \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Combining [E1, Theorem 3] and [DJ, Theorem 1.1], it is proved that

Proposition 2.1. *There exists an algebra morphism φ from $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$, sending each $e_{i,k}$ to $x_{i,1}^k \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\delta_i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, all elements $F \in \text{im}(\varphi)$ satisfy the following wheel condition:*

- (3) *let f be the numerator part of F , then $f(\{x_{i,r}\}_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq k_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}) = 0$ once $x_{i,s_1} = v_i^2 x_{i,s_2} = \dots = v_i^{-2a_{ij}} x_{i,s_1 - a_{ij}} = v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, a_{ij} \neq 0, 1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_1 - a_{ij} \leq k_i, 1 \leq r \leq k_j$.*

Denote by \mathbb{S} the subspace of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ that consists of elements satisfying the above wheel condition (3). It is easy to find that

Proposition 2.2. *\mathbb{S} is a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ under shuffle product.*

From now on we will call this algebra \mathbb{S} with the shuffle product the **shuffle algebra**. Hence

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -algebra morphism $\varphi: U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, sending each generator $e_{i,k} \in U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $x_{i,1}^k \in \mathbb{S}_{\delta_i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Moreover, combining [E2, Corollary 1.4] and [NT, Proposition 6.7],

Proposition 2.4. *φ is injective.*

It was conjectured that φ is actually an isomorphism by experts since the birth of shuffle algebra. This isomorphism has been proved by Neguț and Tsymbaliuk recently, see [NT, Theorem 1.8].

Theorem 2.5. *φ is an algebra isomorphism between $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and \mathbb{S} .*

In their arguments, Neguț and Tsymbaliuk studied an affine version of quantum shuffle algebras \mathcal{F}^L due to Lusztig, Green, Rosso, et al., and they prove Theorem 2.5 by comparing $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and \mathbb{S} within the framework of \mathcal{F}^L .

In this paper we restrict ourselves in the shuffle algebras context. Before the appearance of [NT], Neguț and Tsymbaliuk actually proved Theorem 2.5 in type A_n case by defining a filtration of shuffle algebra, using specialization maps. The purpose of this paper is to generalize their method to type B_n and type G_2 . Our setting is Proposition 2.4, that φ is injective, and we will prove the surjectivity of φ .

2.2. Lyndon words and PBW type basis. To construct quantum root vectors in quantum groups, one often uses Lusztig's braid group actions. In this paper we will use a combinatorial method, the Lyndon words theory. Here we will not go into details but only state the results that is needed for this paper, the interested reader can find expositions of Lyndon words theory in [L2] [R1].

Let I be the vertex set of the Dynkin diagram associated to a finite Cartan matrix A with the ordering $\{1 < 2 < \dots < n\}$. Consider the free $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -algebra \mathcal{F} generated by I , the set \mathcal{U} of words with I as alphabets is a basis of \mathcal{F} . Any word $u \in \mathcal{U}$ will be denoted by $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s]$. The order on I induces the lexicographical order on \mathcal{U} . Now we have the set of Lyndon words $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{U}$. The importance of Lyndon words is that they are prime factors among all the words. In fact, any word $u \in \mathcal{U}$ can be uniquely written as a non-increasing product of Lyndon words,

$$u = \ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_s, \ell_i \in \mathcal{L}, \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_s. \quad (2.8)$$

The positive part $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$ of the quantum group associated to A is the quotient of \mathcal{F} by quantum Serre relations:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v_i} i^k \cdot j \cdot i^{1-a_{ij}-k}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \quad (2.9)$$

Lalonde-Ram [LR] and Leclerc [L1] defined a subset \mathcal{SL} of Lyndon words, the so called standard Lyndon words, and showed that one can use them as building blocks to construct basis of $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Specifically,

Theorem 2.6. *There is a bijection $\ell: \Delta^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{SL}$ between the set of positive roots Δ^+ and the set of standard Lyndon words. Moreover, the set $\{\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_k, \ell_j \in \mathcal{SL}, \ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \cdots \geq \ell_k\}$ constitute a basis of $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Standard Lyndon words can be constructed inductively. For simple root α_i , we have $\ell(\alpha_i) = i$. Suppose we have decided $\ell(\beta')$ for all $|\beta'| \leq k$, then for positive root β with $|\beta| = k + 1$, consider the set

$$C(\beta) = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \mid \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta, \ell(\beta_1) < \ell(\beta_2)\}, \quad (2.10)$$

then we have

Proposition 2.7 ([L1], Proposition 25). $\ell(\beta) = \max\{\ell(\beta_1)\ell(\beta_2), (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in C(\beta)\}$.

Following Proposition 2.7, for type B_n and G_2 the standard Lyndon words are given as follows. In the following we will also use these standard Lyndon words to denote the positive roots.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type } B_n \ (n \geq 2), \ \mathcal{SL} = & \{[i, i+1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{[i, \dots, n, n, n-1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{Type } G_2, \ \mathcal{SL} = \{[1], [1, 2], [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2], [1, 2, 2, 2], [2]\}.$$

The lexicographical order on \mathcal{SL} actually induces a convex order on Δ^+ , that is if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$ and $\ell(\alpha) < \ell(\beta)$, then $\ell(\alpha) < \ell(\alpha + \beta) < \ell(\beta)$. This result is proved by Rosso and for a recent proof of this, see [NT, Proposition 2.33]. we will fix this convex order on Δ^+ in the rest of this paper.

Now we can use standard Lyndon words to define the quantum root vectors in $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Starting with $E_{\alpha, s} = e_{i, s}$ for any simple root $\alpha = \alpha_i$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that we have defined

all quantum affine root vectors associated to positive root γ' with height $|\gamma'| \leq m$, let γ be any positive root with $|\gamma| = m + 1$ and $\ell(\gamma) = \ell(\alpha)\ell(\beta)$, define $E_{\gamma,s} = [E_{\alpha,s}, E_{\beta,0}]_{v^{\alpha \cdot \beta}}$. Note that for type A case those quantum affine root vectors are the same to a particular choice of ones considered in [T, (2.12)].

Define an ordering on $\Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ by $(\alpha, s) < (\beta, t)$ if and only if $\alpha < \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta, s < t$. Consider the following set of functions

$$H = \{h: \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \{(\alpha, s) \in \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}, h(\alpha, s) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite set}\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Then for each $h \in H$ we have the ordered monomial

$$E_h = \prod_{(\alpha,s) \in \Delta^+ \times \mathbb{Z}}^{\rightarrow} E_{\alpha,s}^{h(\alpha,s)}. \quad (2.13)$$

The set $\{E_h, h \in H\}$ is our conjectural PBW type basis of $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. If we can show under the morphism φ , the images of all these ordered monomials constitute a basis of \mathbb{S} , then combining the injectivity of φ , not only we prove that φ is an isomorphism, but also we prove that $\{E_h\}_{h \in H}$ is a basis for $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

2.3. Specialization maps for type A_n . Identify each simple root α_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) as the basis element δ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) $\in \mathbb{N}^n$, we can view \mathbb{N}^n as the positive root lattice. For any $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $\text{KP}(\underline{k})$ be the set of Kostant partitions, which consists of all vector partitions of \underline{k} into sum of positive roots. Let $\Delta^+ = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_{|\Delta^+|}\}$ be the set of positive roots with the fixed convex order, each Kostant partition $\underline{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Delta^+|} d_i \beta_i$ can be viewed as an vector $\underline{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{|\Delta^+|}$. To point out the components of \underline{d} corresponding to each $\beta \in \Delta^+$, we will also use $\{d_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+}$ to denote a Kostant partition $\underline{k} = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} d_\beta \beta$. The lexicographical order on $\mathbb{N}^{|\Delta^+|}$ induces an order on $\text{KP}(\underline{k})$:

$$\{d_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+} < \{d'_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \text{ iff there is } \gamma \in \Delta^+ \text{ such that } d_\gamma > d'_\gamma \text{ and } d_\beta = d'_\beta \text{ for all } \beta < \gamma.$$

Any function $h \in H$ is corresponding to a Kostant partition \underline{d} , in which the component d_β is defined by $d_\beta := \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} h(\beta, r) \in \mathbb{N}$. We will call this Kostant partition \underline{d} the degree of h , and denote it by $\text{deg}(h)$. If $\text{deg}(h) \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$, we will say \underline{k} is the grading of h and denote by $\text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}$. $H_{\underline{k}} = \{h \in H, \text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}\}$ and $H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}} = \{h \in H, \text{gr}(h) = \underline{k}, \text{deg}(h) = \underline{d}\}$ are subsets of H . For any $h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+$, we have the partition $\lambda_{h, \beta} = \{r_\beta(h, 1), \dots, r_\beta(h, d_\beta)\}$ which is obtained by listing all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with multiplicity $h(\beta, r) > 0$ in the increasing order. Thus E_h can be represented by

$$E_h = \prod_{i=1}^{|\Delta^+|} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\beta_i}} E_{\beta_i, r_{\beta_i}(h, j)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Now we will review the proof of shuffle algebra realization in type A_n case given in [T, Section 3.2]. In this subsection \mathbb{S} is denoted by shuffle algebras of type A_n . Tsybaliuk actually proves the following results,

Theorem 2.8. *As a vector space, $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})} \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$, and $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$ consists of a basis for each $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$.*

The crucial step is to define a filtration of $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$ using certain specialization maps, then prove this filtration is compatible with each $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$.

For any $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$ and $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$, we divide the variables $\{x_{i,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq k_i, 1 \leq i \leq n}$ into the disjoint union of groups

$$\bigsqcup_{\beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta} \{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_i\},$$

corresponding to each $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \alpha_i$ and $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$. For type A_n , any $\beta \in \Delta^+$ is of the form $\beta = [i, j] = \sum_{s=i}^j \alpha_s$ for some $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Let f be the numerator part of F , define $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F)$ as the corresponding Laurant polynomial by specializing the variables in f as follows:

$$x_i^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-i+1} w_{\beta,s}, \dots, x_j^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-j+1} w_{\beta,s}, \quad \beta = [i, j], \quad (2.15)$$

and $\phi_{\underline{d}}(f)$ is symmetric with respect to $\{w_{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}$ for any $\beta \in \Delta^+$, thus we have the specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}: \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(v)[\{w_{\beta,s}^{\pm 1}\}_{\beta \in \Delta^+}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}}$. Since f is symmetric with respect to the variables $\{x_{i,s}\}$ for each vertex i , different division of the variables gives the same specialization map.

Let $\mathbb{S}'_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ be the subspace of $\mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ spanned by $\{\varphi(E_h), h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}\}$. The key properties of specialization maps $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ are summarized in the following lemmas. In the following we will denote $A \doteq B$ if $A = c \cdot B$ for some nonzero constant $c \in \mathbb{C}(v)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$ be the subgroup consisting the following elements,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma(x_i^{\beta,s}) = x_i^{\beta,s'} \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (2.16)$$

Lemma 2.9. *If $\deg(h) = \underline{d}$, then*

$$\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h)) \doteq \prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta, \beta'} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} G_\beta \cdot P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_\beta}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta, \beta'} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} G_\beta$ is symmetric with respect to $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$, $\kappa_\beta = |\beta| - 1$, and

$$P_{\lambda_{h, \beta} + \kappa_\beta} = \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}_\beta}} [w_{\beta,1}^{r_\beta(h,1) + \kappa_\beta} \dots w_{\beta,d_\beta}^{r_\beta(h,d_\beta) + \kappa_\beta} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d_\beta} \frac{w_{\beta,i} - v^{-\beta} w_{\beta,j}}{w_{\beta,i} - w_{\beta,j}}] \quad (2.18)$$

is shuffle element in the symmetric Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}(v)[\{w_{\beta,s}^{\pm 1}\}_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}_\beta}}$.

Since the shuffle elements $\{x_1^{a_1} \star \dots \star x_n^{a_n}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a basis for the symmetric Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}(v)[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$, we have

Corollary 2.10. *For any $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$, $\{E_h\}_{h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}}$ is a linearly independent set in $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.*

Lemma 2.11. *If $\deg(h) = \underline{d}$ and $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$, then $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\varphi(E_h)) = 0$.*

Lemma 2.12. *For any $F \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}}$, if $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(F) = 0$ for all $\underline{d}' \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$ such that $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$, then there exists $F_{\underline{d}} \in \mathbb{S}'_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F) = \phi_{\underline{d}}(F_{\underline{d}})$.*

Corollary 2.13. *$\{E_h, h \in H\}$ is PBW type basis of $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.*

Remark 2.14. *This proof actually gives a class of PBW type basis. For any $\beta \in \Delta^+$, choose a complete order $\{\dots < a_{\beta,i} < a_{\beta,i+1} < \dots\}$ on \mathbb{Z} . Then the ordered monomials*

$$\{E_h = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \overrightarrow{E}_{\beta, a_{\beta,i}}^{h(\beta, a_{\beta,i})}\}_{h \in H} \quad (2.19)$$

form a basis of $U_v^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Combining these lemmas, Theorem 2.8 follows, which proves the isomorphism of φ in type A_n case. The key of the above arguments is an appropriate definition of specialization maps $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ that guarantee the truth of Lemma 2.9, 2.11, 2.12. In the following we will give these specialization maps and verify these lemmas for type B_n and type G_2 , and we get PBW type basis for these two types. These are main results of this paper.

3. SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR TYPE G_2

In this section we define specialization maps for type G_2 and prove its shuffle algebra realization. Since B_2 -type root system can be embedded in the G_2 -type root system, at the same time we prove results for type B_2 . In next section we will use type B_2 results as base step and prove the general type B_n cases by induction.

For any $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$, the specialization maps $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,t}^{\beta,s} &\mapsto v^{2(t-1)} w_{\beta,s}, 1 \leq t \leq 2, \\ x_{2,t}^{\beta,s} &\mapsto v^{-3+2(t-1)} w_{\beta,s}, 1 \leq t \leq 3, \beta \in \Delta^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Denote ϕ_{β} by the specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ such that $\underline{d} = \{d_{\alpha} = \delta_{\beta,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}$. We have

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\beta \in \Delta^+$, $\phi_{\beta}(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_{\beta}^{s+\kappa_{\beta}}$, in which $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| + 1$ if $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ and $\kappa_{\beta} = |\beta| - 1$ otherwise.*

Proof. By direct computations. \square

Now we compute $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$ for any $h \in H_{\underline{k},\underline{d}}$. For E_h , we will choose a special division for $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ corresponding to the ordering on quantum root vectors. Namely for each $\beta \in \Delta^+$ and $1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}$, we set the variables $\{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}}$ in $\varphi(E_{\beta,r_{\beta}(h,s)})$ to be a group and specialize them as above. For each $1 \leq i \leq 2$, we also have an order on all the variables

$$X_i^{\beta} = \{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}\}_{1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}, 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}} \quad (3.2)$$

corresponding to the order of quantum root vectors, which is

$$x_{i,t_1}^{\beta_1,s_1} < x_{i,t_2}^{\beta_2,s_2} \text{ if and only if } (\beta_1, s_1) < (\beta_2, s_2) \text{ or } (\beta_1, s_1) = (\beta_2, s_2), t_1 < t_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$ be the set of shuffle elements, which is

$$\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,t_1}^{\alpha,p}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,t_2}^{\alpha,p}), \forall t_1 < t_2, \alpha \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq p \leq d_{\alpha}, 1 \leq i \leq 2\}. \quad (3.4)$$

By definition we have $\varphi(E_h) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq s \leq d_{\alpha} \\ \alpha \in \Delta^+}} \varphi(E_{\alpha,t_s}) \prod_{(\alpha,p) < (\beta,q), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq p \leq d_{\alpha}, 1 \leq q \leq d_{\beta} \\ (\alpha,p) < (\beta,q), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+}} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \prod_{1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}^{\substack{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta,j} \\ 1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}} \frac{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}} \sigma(F_h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We will compute the result of specialization $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ on each term $\sigma(F_h)$ in the above symmetrization. Actually, many of them are specialized to zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} \subset \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$ be the subgroup consisting elements of the following form,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma(x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}) = x_{i,t}^{\beta,s'}, \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta}, 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\sigma(F_h)$ is not zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, then $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$*

Proof. Suppose $\sigma(F_h)$ is not zero under the specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$. For $\beta = [1]$, if $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,s_1}) = x_{1,t}^{\gamma,s_2}$ for some $\gamma > \beta, 1 \leq s_2 \leq d_\gamma, 1 \leq t \leq 2$, since $\sigma(F_h)$ has the factor $(x_{1,t}^{\gamma,s_2} - v^3 x_{2,t}^{\gamma,s_2})$, it is zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, thus the lemma is true for $\beta = [1]$. Suppose we have proved the lemma for any $\gamma < \beta$, we will prove it is true for β . Here we only prove $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 1, 2]$ case, other cases are similar. Suppose the lemma is true for all $s < q_0$ (if $q_0 = 1$ then the statement is vacuum thus we can use it as base step), we prove it is true for $s = s_0$. Let $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,q_0}) = x_{1,t_1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$, then there exists $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq q_0$ and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 \leq 3$ such that

$$\sigma(x_{2,a_1}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{2,t_1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}, \sigma(x_{2,a_2}^{\beta,q_2}) = x_{2,t_1+1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}. \quad (3.7)$$

For the same reason, let $\sigma(x_{1,2}^{\beta,q_0}) = x_{1,t_2}^{\gamma_2,s_2}$, then there exists $1 \leq q_3 \leq q_4 \leq q_0$ and $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 \leq 3$ such that

$$\sigma(x_{2,b_1}^{\beta,q_3}) = x_{2,t_2}^{\gamma_2,s_2}, \sigma(x_{2,b_2}^{\beta,q_4}) = x_{2,t_2+1}^{\gamma_2,s_2}. \quad (3.8)$$

If there exists $q_1 < q_0$, then by induction we have $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{1,1}^{\gamma_1,s_1}, \sigma(x_{1,2}^{\beta,q_1}) = x_{1,2}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$, thus $q_0 = q_1$ and it is contradiction. For the same reason we must have $q_i = q_0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Moreover In this case there exists some $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ such that $a_i = b_j$, it is easy to derive

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta, s_1 = s_2, t_1 = 1, t_2 = 2. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus completes our proof. \square

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

Proposition 3.3. *Lemma 2.9 is valid for type G_2 and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (3.1).*

Proof. If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$, then in F_h the following factor is invariant under σ ,

$$\prod_{1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq q \leq d_\beta}^{\alpha < \beta} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \prod_{1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}^{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta,j}} \frac{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - v_i^{-a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}, \quad (3.10)$$

denote its specialization under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ by $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta,\beta'}$, thus we only need to prove for any $\beta \in \Delta^+$

$$\phi_{\underline{d}}\left(\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d_\beta} E_{\beta,r_\beta(h,i)}\right)\right) \doteq G_\beta \cdot P_{\lambda_{h,\beta} + \kappa_\beta}, \quad (3.11)$$

in which G_β is invariant under any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{d}}$. By direct computations this is true, specifically,

$G_\beta =$

- 1, $\beta = [1], [2]$,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_\beta} (w_{\beta,s} - v^6 w_{\beta,r})$, $\beta = [1, 2]$,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_\beta} (w_{\beta,s} - v^6 w_{\beta,r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4 w_{\beta,r})$, $\beta = [1, 2, 2]$,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_\beta} (w_{\beta,s} - v^6 w_{\beta,r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4 w_{\beta,r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^2 w_{\beta,r})$, $\beta = [1, 2, 2, 2]$,
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \neq r \leq d_\beta} (w_{\beta,s} - v^8 w_{\beta,r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^6 w_{\beta,r})^2 (w_{\beta,s} - v^4 w_{\beta,r})^2 (w_{\beta,s} - v^2 w_{\beta,r})$, $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$.

$G_{\beta < \beta'} =$

- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta',r})$, $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2]$.
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4} w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta',r})$, $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$.
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6} w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2} w_{\beta',r})$, $\beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 2]$.

- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^2w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1], \beta' = [2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^8w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^2w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-8}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})^2(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^2w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-8}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})^2(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^2w_{\beta',r})^2(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2], \beta' = [2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^6w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4}w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^4w_{\beta',r})(w_{\beta,s} - v^{-2}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-4}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 2], \beta' = [2].$
- $\prod_{1 \leq s \leq d_\beta}^{1 \leq r \leq d_{\beta'}} (w_{\beta,s} - v^{-6}w_{\beta',r}), \beta = [1, 2, 2, 2], \beta' = [2].$

And $P_{\lambda_{h,\beta+\kappa_\beta}}$ is of the form (2.18) for any $\beta \in \Delta^+$. Thus completes our proof. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Lemma 2.11 is valid for type G_2 and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (3.1).*

Proof. Let β be the smallest root such that $d'_\beta < d_\beta$, here we also only prove $\beta = [1, 2, 1, 2, 2]$ case. Without loss of generality, we assume $d'_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \leq \beta$. We denote $\beta_1 = [1, 2, 2], \beta_2 = [1, 2, 2, 2], \beta_3 = [2]$. Since $d'_\beta < d_\beta$, we must have $d'_{\beta_3} < d_{\beta_3}$. In the following, given two set of variables A, B and $c \in \mathbb{C}(v)$, we will use $(A - cB)$ to denote $\prod_{x \in A}^{y \in B} (x - cy)$. Fix any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}$, we want to prove $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. Consider the following set of variables

$$X_1 = \{x_{1,t}^{\alpha,s}, \beta \leq \alpha \leq \beta_2, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\alpha,1}\}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$Y_1 = \{x_{2,t}^{\alpha,s}, \beta \leq \alpha \leq \beta_2, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\alpha,2}\}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$Y_2 = \{x_{2,1}^{\beta_3,s}, 1 \leq s \leq d_{\beta_3}\}. \quad (3.14)$$

If there is some $x_{2,1}^{\beta,s}$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-3}w_{\beta_1,s'}$ or $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-3}w_{\beta_2,s'}$, since in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma(X_1 - v^3Y_2)$, we have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. Otherwise if there is some $x_{2,1}^{\beta,s}$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-1}w_{\beta_1,s'}$ or $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-1}w_{\beta_2,s'}$, then since in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma(Y_1 - v^{-2}Y_2)$, we also have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. Finally, since $d'_{\beta_3} < d_{\beta_3}$, there must be some $x_{2,1}^{\beta,s}$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = vw_{\beta_1,s'}$ or $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s})) = vw_{\beta_2,s'}$, and we also have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Lemma 2.12 is valid for type G_2 and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (3.1).*

Proof. Thinking of specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ as a step-by-step specialization corresponding to the index (β, s) , ordered by the decreasing order. Specifically, when we specialize all variables corresponding to the index (α, r) such that $(\alpha, r) > (\beta, s)$, then we find the variables $\{x_{i,t}^{\beta,s}, 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq t \leq \nu_{\beta,i}\}$ corresponding to the index (β, s) and specialize them. For each step we will get some vanishing factors with respect to (β, s) and all specialized variables. Thus we only need to prove the lemma is true for any pair of roots $\beta \leq \beta'$. Specifically, take any $\beta < \beta'$, let

$$\underline{d}_1 = \{d_\beta = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq \beta\} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k}_1), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\underline{d}_2 = \{d_\beta = d_{\beta'} = 1, d_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq \beta, \beta'\} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k}_2), \quad (3.16)$$

for any $F_1 \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}_1}, F_2 \in \mathbb{S}_{\underline{k}_2}$, we will prove $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1)$ has the vanishing factor G_β and $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2)$ has the vanishing factor $G_{\beta,\beta'}$ if $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F_2) = 0$ for any $\underline{d} < \underline{d}_2$. For example, take $\beta = [1, 2], \beta' = [1, 2, 2, 2]$. For F_1 , under $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}$ the wheel condition $F_1 = 0$ once $x_1^{\beta,1} = v^6 x_1^{\beta,2} = v^3 x_2^{\beta,1}$ or $x_1^{\beta,2} = v^6 x_1^{\beta,1} = v^3 x_2^{\beta,2}$ becomes $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$ once $w_{\beta,1} = v^6 w_{\beta,2}$ or $w_{\beta,2} = v^6 w_{\beta,1}$, thus we get the vanishing factor G_β . For F_2 , as in F_1 case, under $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}$ we have the vanishing factor $(w_\beta - v^{-6} w_{\beta'})(w_\beta - v^6 w_{\beta'})$. Moreover let $\underline{d}_3 = \{d_{[1,2,1,2,2]=1}, d_{[2]} = 1\}$, $\underline{d}_4 = \{d_{[1,2,2]} = 2\}$, then $\underline{d}_3 < \underline{d}_2, \underline{d}_4 < \underline{d}_2$. By $\phi_{\underline{d}_3}(F_2) = 0$ we know $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$ once $w_\beta = v^{-2} w_{\beta'}$, and by $\phi_{\underline{d}_4}(F_2) = 0$ we know $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_2) = 0$ once $w_\beta = v^2 w_{\beta'}$, thus we get the vanishing factor $G_{\beta,\beta'}$. Other cases can be proved similarly. \square

4. SPECIALIZATION MAPS FOR TYPE B_n

In this section we define specialization maps for type B_n and prove shuffle algebra realization in type B_n . In this case the positive roots are of the form $\{[i, i+1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ or $\{[i, \dots, n, n, n-1, \dots, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. We will denote them by $[i, j]$ or $[i, n, j]$ for convenience. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+$, we will write $i \in \beta$ if i appears in β . Also, let $\Delta_i^+ = \{[i, j]\}_{i \leq j \leq n} \cup \{[i, n, j]\}_{i < j \leq n}$.

For any $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{N}^{|\Delta^+|}$ and $\underline{d} \in \text{KP}(\underline{k})$, the specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ is defined as follows:

$$x_{l,1}^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-(l-1)} w_{\beta,s}, x_{l,2}^{\beta,s} \mapsto v^{-(2n-2-l)} w_{\beta,s} \quad \beta \in \Delta^+, l \in \beta. \quad (4.1)$$

We already know Lemma 2.9, 2.11, 2.12 are true for B_2 case, in the following we will use induction to deal with the general case.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\beta \in \Delta^+$, $\phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_\beta^{s+\kappa_\beta}$, in which $\kappa_\beta = |\beta| - 1$ if $\beta = [i, j]$ for some $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, and $\kappa_\beta = |\beta| + 2(n-j) - 1$ if $\beta = [i, n, j]$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.*

Proof. Type B_2 is clear, assume the lemma is true for all B_l such that $l < n$. We only need to verify the $\beta = [1, n, j]$ cases, where $2 \leq j \leq n$. If $\beta = [1, n, n]$, let $\alpha = [1, n]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) &= \phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \star x_n^0 - x_n^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})) \\ &= \phi_\beta\left(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2}\left(\frac{(x_{n-1} - vx_{n,2})(x_{n,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,2})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}}\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \phi_\beta\left(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2}\left(\frac{(x_{n,1} - vx_{n-1})(x_{n,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,2})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}}\right)\right) \\ &= \phi_\beta\left(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \frac{(x_{n,2} - vx_{n-1})(x_{n,2} - v^{-1}x_{n,1})}{x_{n,1} - x_{n,2}}\right) \\ &\doteq w_\beta^{s+|\beta|-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

If $\beta = [1, n, j]$, where $2 \leq j \leq n-1$, let $\alpha = [1, n, j+1]$, then

$$\phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) = \phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \star x_j^0 - x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})) = -\phi_\beta(x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s})). \quad (4.3)$$

We know $x_j^0 \star \varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) =$

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \left(\varphi(E_{\alpha,s}) \cdot \frac{(x_{j,1} - vx_{j-1})(x_{j,1} - v^{-2}x_{j,2})(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,1})(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,2})}{x_{j,1} - x_{j,2}} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

if σ is identity, then the term $(x_{j,1} - vx_{j+1,1})$ is specialized to zero under ϕ_β , thus only the term corresponding to σ such that $\sigma(x_{j,1}) = x_{j,2}$ is not specialized to zero under ϕ_β , and we have $\phi_\beta(\varphi(E_{\beta,s})) \doteq w_{\beta,s}^{s+|\beta|+2(n-j)-1}$. \square

Now we compute $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$ for any $h \in H_{\underline{k}, \underline{d}}$. Let $\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$ be the set of shuffle elements,

$$\text{Sh}_{\underline{d}} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}} \mid \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,1}^{\alpha,p}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{i,2}^{\alpha,p}), \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+, 1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 2 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (4.5)$$

By definition we have $\varphi(E_h) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sym}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\underline{k}}} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha \\ \alpha \in \Delta^+}} \varphi(E_{\alpha,t_s}) \prod_{(\alpha,p) < (\beta,q), \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+}^{\substack{1 \leq p \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq q \leq d_\beta \\ \alpha_{ij} \neq 0}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ 1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq \nu_{\beta,j} \\ 1 \leq l \leq \nu_{\alpha,i}}} \frac{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - v_i^{-\alpha_{ij}} x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}}{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,p} - x_{j,r}^{\beta,q}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}} \sigma(F_h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Decompose the set of variables into the following disjoint union of subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,1} &= \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha,s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ X_{i,2} &= \{x_{i,2}^{\alpha,s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ Y_i &= \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha,s}, x_{i,2}^{\alpha,s} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+ - \Delta_1^+, 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $\sigma(F_h)$ is not specialized to zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, then $\sigma(Y_i) = Y_i$ for any $2 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. We only deal with the $i = 2$ case, for other cases the arguments are similar. Assume $\sigma(F_h)$ is not zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$. If $X_{2,2} = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(Y_2) \neq Y_2$, we must have $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,1} \neq \emptyset$, then in $\sigma(F_h)$ the factor $\sigma(X_{1,1} - vY_2)$ is zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, contradiction. If $X_{2,2} \neq \emptyset$, then we also have $X_{i,2} \neq \emptyset$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. If $\sigma(Y_2) \neq Y_2$ we must have $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,2} \neq \emptyset$, then there are $x_{2,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1} \in X_{2,2}, x_{2,l}^{\gamma_2, r_2} \in Y_2$ such that $\sigma(x_{2,l}^{\gamma_2, r_2}) = x_{2,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$, in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma[(X_{3,1} - vY_2)(X_{3,2} - vY_2)]$, there must be $x_{3,l_3}^{\gamma_3, r_3} \in Y_3$ such that $\sigma(x_{3,l_3}^{\gamma_3, r_3}) = x_{3,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$. For the same reason we have $x_{n,l_n}^{\gamma_n, r_n} \in Y_n$ such that $\sigma(x_{n,l_n}^{\gamma_n, r_n}) = x_{n,2}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$, in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor

$$\sigma[(X_{n-1,1} - vY_n)(X_{n-1,2} - vY_n)(X_{n,1} - v^{-1}Y_n)(X_{n,2} - v^{-1}Y_n)], \quad (4.8)$$

by $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\sigma(F_h)) \neq 0$ we must have $x_{n,l_{n+1}}^{\gamma_{n+1}, r_{n+1}} \in Y_n$ such that $\sigma(x_{n,l_{n+1}}^{\gamma_{n+1}, r_{n+1}}) = x_{n,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$, and there is $x_{n-1, l_{n+2}}^{\gamma_{n+2}, r_{n+2}} \in Y_{n-1}$ such that $\sigma(x_{n-1, l_{n+2}}^{\gamma_{n+2}, r_{n+2}}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$. For the same reason, there is $x_{2, l_{2n-1}}^{\gamma_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}} \in Y_2$ such that $\sigma(x_{2, l_{2n-1}}^{\gamma_{2n-1}, r_{2n-1}}) = x_{2,1}^{\gamma_1, r_1}$, thus $\sigma(Y_2) \cap X_{2,1} \neq \emptyset$, also a contradiction. Thus complete the proof. \square

Denote by $X_i^\alpha = \{x_{i,1}^{\alpha,s}, x_{i,2}^{\alpha,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

Lemma 4.3. *If $\sigma(F_h)$ is not specialized to zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, then $\sigma(X_i^\alpha) = X_i^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. First the lemma is true for $\alpha = [1]$, assume the lemma is true for all $\alpha < \beta$, we will prove the lemma is true for β . Here we only consider the $\beta = [1, n, n]$ case, other cases are similar. Also, we only need to prove that $\sigma(X_1^\beta) = X_1^\beta$. Decompose the set of variables

$$\{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,s} \mid \beta \leq \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq 2\} \quad (4.9)$$

into the following disjoint union of subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i &= \{x_{i,l}^{\alpha,s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha, 1 \leq l \leq 2\}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ Z_{n,2} &= \{x_{n,1}^{\alpha,s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\}, \\ Z_{n,3} &= \{x_{n,2}^{\alpha,s} \mid \beta < \alpha \leq [1, n, 2], 1 \leq s \leq d_\alpha\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

If $\sigma(X_1^\beta) \cap Z_1 \neq \emptyset$, there is $x_{1,1}^{\beta,s_1} \in X_1^\beta, x_{1,1}^{\gamma,r} \in Z_1$ such that $\sigma(x_{1,1}^{\beta,s_1}) = x_{1,1}^{\gamma,r}$. Since $\sigma(F_h)$ has the factor $\sigma(X_1^\beta - vZ_2)$, there is $x_{2,1}^{\beta,s_2} \in X_2^\beta$ such that $\sigma(x_{2,1}^{\beta,s_2}) = x_{2,1}^{\gamma,r}$. For the same reason, we have $x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}}$ such that $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma,r}$, $x_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n}, x_{n,l_2}^{\beta,s_{n+1}}$ such that $\sigma(x_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n}) = x_{n,l_1}^{\gamma,r}, \sigma(x_{n,l_2}^{\beta,s_{n+1}}) = x_{n,l_2}^{\gamma,r}$, and $x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}$ such that $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma,r}$. If $s_{n-1} < s_n$, then in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma(x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}} - vx_{n,l_1}^{\beta,s_n})$ and thus $\sigma(F_h)$ is zero under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$, hence we have $s_{n-1} \geq s_n$. Moreover we have $s_{n-1} \geq s_n \geq s_{n+1} \geq s_{n+2}$. However, recall $\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$, we must have

$$x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n-1}} = \sigma^{-1}(x_{n-1,1}^{\gamma,r}) < \sigma^{-1}(x_{n-1,2}^{\gamma,r}) = x_{n-1,1}^{\beta,s_{n+2}}, \quad (4.11)$$

and thus $s_{n-1} < s_{n+2}$, a contradiction. Thus completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Lemma 2.9 is valid for type B_n and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (4.1).*

Proof. For any $\beta \in \Delta^+$, let X^β be the set of variables

$$\{x_{i,l}^{\beta,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq d_\beta, i \in \beta, 1 \leq l \leq 2\}. \quad (4.12)$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 we know $\sigma(X^\beta) = X^\beta$. Thus under $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ we have the factor $\prod_{\beta < \beta'} G_{\beta,\beta'}$. We only need to prove for each $\beta \in \Delta^+$, $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\prod_{s=1}^{d_\beta} \varphi(E_{\beta,r_\beta(h,s)}))$ is of the form $G_\beta \cdot P_{\lambda_\beta + \kappa_\beta}$. Also we only deal with the $\beta = [1, n, n]$ case, other cases are similar. For any $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$, Let $\sigma^{-1}(x_{i,1}^{\beta,s}) = x_{i,1}^{\beta,t_s,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\sigma^{-1}(x_{n,1}^{\beta,s}) = x_{n,l_{s,1}}^{\beta,t_s,n}$, $\sigma^{-1}(x_{n,2}^{\beta,s}) = x_{n,l_{s,2}}^{\beta,t_s,n+1}$, then if $\phi_{\underline{d}}(F_h) \neq 0$, for any $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$ we must have

$$t_{s,1} \geq t_{s,2} \geq \cdots \geq t_{s,n} \geq t_{s,n+1}, \quad (4.13)$$

since $\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{\underline{d}}$, we have $x_{n,l_{s,1}}^{\beta,t_s,n} < x_{n,l_{s,2}}^{\beta,t_s,n+1}$, thus $t_{s,n} = t_{s,n+1}$ and $l_{s,1} = 1, l_{s,2} = 2$. Moreover, $\{t_{1,i}, t_{2,i}, \dots, t_{d_\beta,i}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, d_\beta\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus by (4.13) we must have $t_{s,1} = \cdots = t_{s,n}$ for any $1 \leq s \leq d_\beta$. Now by Lemma 4.1 we know any $\phi_{\underline{d}}(\varphi(E_h))$ is of the form (2.17), and Lemma 2.9 follows. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Lemma 2.11 is valid for type B_n and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (4.1).*

Proof. Let $\underline{d}' < \underline{d}$, then we can find $\beta \in \Delta^+$ such that $d_\alpha = d'_\alpha$ for any $\alpha < \beta$ and $d'_\beta < d_\beta$. By induction we can assume $\beta \in \Delta_1^+$. Without loss of generality, we also assume $d'_\beta = 0$. If $\beta = [1, j]$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_1^{\beta,1})) = w_{\gamma,s}$ for some $\gamma > \beta$. Then if $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) \neq 0$, we must have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_l^{\beta,1})) = v^{-l+1}w_{\gamma,s}$ for any $2 \leq l \leq j$. If $j \leq n-1$, then there exists $x_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$ with $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-j}w_{\gamma,s}$. Since in $\sigma(F_h)$

there is the factor $\sigma(x_j^{\beta,1} - vx_{j+1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$, we have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. If $j = n$, then there exists $x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$ with $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-n+2}w_{\gamma,s}$. Since in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma(x_n^{\beta,1} - v^{-1}x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$, we have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. If $\beta = [1, n, j]$ for some $3 \leq j \leq n$, similarly there is $\gamma > \beta$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{l,1}^{\beta,1})) = v^{-l+1}w_{\gamma,s}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$, $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{l,2}^{\beta,s})) = v^{-(2n-2-l)}w_{\gamma,s}$ for $j \leq l \leq n$. Then there is $x_{j-1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1}$ with $(\beta, 1) < (\gamma_1, s_1)$ such that $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(x_{n,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})) = v^{-(2n-j-1)}w_{\gamma,s}$. Since in $\sigma(F_h)$ there is the factor $\sigma(x_{j,2}^{\beta,1} - vx_{j-1,t}^{\gamma_1,s_1})$, we have $\phi_{\underline{d}'}(\sigma(F_h)) = 0$. Thus completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Lemma 2.12 is valid for type B_n and specialization map $\phi_{\underline{d}}$ in (4.1).*

Proof. Same to the proof of Proposition 3.5, we only need to prove the lemma is true for any pair of roots $(\beta \leq \beta')$. First let $\underline{d}_1 = \{d_\beta = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \neq \beta\} \in \text{KP}(k_1)$ and $F_1 \in \mathbb{S}_{k_1}$. We can assume $\beta = [1, n, j]$ for some $2 \leq j \leq n$. If $j > 2$, let $\gamma = [2, n, j]$, and $\underline{d}_2 = \{d_\gamma = 2, d_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \neq \gamma\}$. By induction we know $\phi_{\underline{d}_2}(F_1)$ has the factor G_γ (here we change the variable $w_{\gamma,s}$ in G_γ by $w_{\beta,s}$). Moreover $G_\beta = (w_{\beta,1} - v^{-2}w_{\beta,2})(w_{\beta,1} - v^2w_{\beta,2}) \cdot G_\gamma$, and the wheel condition $F_1 = 0$ once $x_1^{\beta,1} = v^2x_1^{\beta,2} = vx_2^{\beta,1}$ or $x_1^{\beta,2} = v^2x_1^{\beta,1} = vx_2^{\beta,2}$ becomes $\phi_{\underline{d}_1}(F_1) = 0$ once $w_{\beta,1} = v^2w_{\beta,2}$ or $w_{\beta,1} = v^{-2}w_{\beta,2}$. If $j = 2$, let $\gamma = [1, n, 3]$ (here we assume $n > 3$), then

$$G_\beta = (w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 5}w_2)(w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 2}w_2)(w_{\beta,1} - v^{\pm 1}w_2) \cdot G_\gamma. \quad (4.14)$$

The wheel condition $F_1 = 0$ once $x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,1}^{\beta,2} = vx_1^{\beta,2}$ or $x_{2,1}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,2}^{\beta,2} = vx_{3,1}^{\beta,1}$ or $x_{2,2}^{\beta,1} = v^2x_{2,2}^{\beta,2} = vx_{3,2}^{\beta,2}$ give us the vanishing factors above. Thus the lemma is true for pairs (β, β) . For pairs $(\beta < \beta')$, the proof is the same. \square

REFERENCES

- [DJ] J. Ding and N. Jing, *On a Combinatorial identity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (6):325–332, 2000.
- [E1] B. Enriquez, *On correlation functions of Drinfeld currents and shuffle algebras*, Transform. Groups, **5**(2):111–120, 2000.
- [E2] B. Enriquez, *PBW and duality theorems for quantum groups and quantum current algebras*, J. Lie Theory, **13**(1):21–64, 2003.
- [FH] B. Feigin and Y. Hu, *Shuffle algebra realization of quantum affine superalgebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}(2, 1; \theta))$* , J. Algebra, **573**:539–560, 2021.
- [FHHSY] B. Feigin, K. Hashizume, A. Hoshino, J. Shiraishi, and S. Yanagida, *A commutative algebra on degenerate $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and Macdonald polynomials*, J. Math. Phys., **50**(9):095215, 42, 2009.
- [FO1] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Sklyanin elliptic algebras*, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., **23**:207–214, 1989.
- [FO2] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Constructions of Sklyanin elliptic algebras and quantum R-Matrices*, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., **27**:31–38, 1993.
- [FO3] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *A family of elliptic algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (11):531–539, 1997.
- [FO4] B. Feigin and A. Odesskii, *Vector bundles on an elliptic curve and Sklyanin algebras*, Topics in quantum groups and finite-type invariants, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **185**:65–84, 1998.
- [G1] J. Green, *Quantum groups, Hall algebras and quantized shuffles*, Finite reductive groups (Luminy 1994), Birkhäuser Prog. Math. **141**:273–290, 1997.
- [G2] P. Grossé, *On quantum shuffle and quantum affine algebras*, J. Algebra, **318**:495–519, 2007.
- [L1] B. Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and q-characters*, Math. Z., **246**:691–732, 2004.
- [L2] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on words (2nd ed., Cambridge Mathematical Library)*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511566097, 1997.
- [LR] P. Lalonde and A. Ram, *Standard Lyndon bases of Lie algebras and enveloping algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347**(5), 1821–1830, 1995.
- [N] A. Neguț, *Quantum toroidal and shuffle algebras*, Adv. Math., **372**, 2020.

- [NT] A. Neguț and A. Tsymbaliuk, *Quantum Loop Groups and Shuffle Algebras via Lyndon Words*, arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2102.11268, Feb 2021.
- [R1] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, Volume 7 of London Math. Soc. Monogr.(NS). The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1993.
- [R2] M. Rosso, *Lyndon bases and the multiplicative formula for R-matrices*, preprint, 2002.
- [T] A. Tsymbaliuk, *PBWD basis and shuffle algebra realizations for $U_v(\mathfrak{Lsl}_n)$, $U_{v_1, v_2}(\mathfrak{Lsl}_n)$, $U_v(\mathfrak{Lsl}(m|n))$ and their integral forms*, Sel. Math. New Ser., **27**, 2021.

Y.H.: YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAI DIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: ldkhtys@gmail.com