

DISCRETE WIENER ALGEBRA IN THE BICOMPLEX SETTING, SPECTRAL FACTORIZATION WITH SYMMETRY, AND SUPEROSCILLATIONS

DANIEL ALPAY, IZCHAK LEWKOWICZ, AND MIHAELA VAJIAC

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present parallel theories on constructing Wiener algebras in the bicomplex setting. With the appropriate symmetry condition, the bicomplex matrix valued case can be seen as a complex valued case and, in this matrix valued case, we make the necessary connection between classical bicomplex analysis and complex analysis with symmetry. We also write an application to superoscillations in this case.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Prologue.** In the present work we define and study the properties of the Wiener algebra in the framework of bicomplex numbers, i.e. \mathbb{BC} . We here address \mathbb{BC} questions in two ways: Each, on the expense of doubling the dimension, reduces the problem to the complex setting. One is through the introduction of symmetry and the other goes along idempotents decomposition. In the end, we also write an application to superoscillations in this case.

To set the framework we first recall the definition of the matrix-valued Wiener algebra.

Definition 1.1. *The complex Wiener algebra $\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ consists of the matrix-valued functions of the form*

$$(1.1) \quad f(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n e^{int}$$

with $f_n \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\| < \infty$ (where $\|f_n\|$ denotes the operator norm of the matrix f_n) endowed with pointwise multiplication and norm

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\|.$$

We note that in analysis quite often the Wiener algebra setting provides a convenient intermediate step, between the general \mathbf{L}_∞ case and the rational case (see Theorem 2.22 below for the latter). The algebra $\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is an important example of Banach algebra. When $p = 1$ this algebra is commutative, and will be denoted by \mathcal{W} . Counterparts and extensions of the Wiener algebra have been studied in various other settings; see e.g. [4, 8, 26], [22, §II.1].

In the scalar case (that is, $p = 1$), the celebrated Wiener-Lévy theorem asserts that point invertibility of an element of \mathcal{W} implies invertibility in the algebra itself; see [28, Lemma

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30G35; Secondary 47A57.

Key words and phrases. Bicomplex analysis.

D. Alpay thanks the Foster G. and Mary McGaw Professorship in Mathematical Sciences, which supported his research.

[He p. 14]. We also note that in this case ($p = 1$), the same theorem can be proved using Gelfand's theory of commutative Banach algebras and we write the statement as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that: $f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{in\theta}$, with $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n| < \infty$, and $f(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exist $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that:*

$$\frac{1}{f(e^{i\theta})} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n e^{in\theta},$$

and $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma_n| < \infty$.

We already note at this point that the above theorem yields a direct proof in the bicomplex case, which we present in Section 4.2. The result still holds in the matrix-valued case by considering the determinant of the function.

There exist two important subalgebras of $\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ used in spectral factorizations, namely $\mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ and $\mathcal{W}_-^{p \times p}$, defined as follows:

Definition 1.3. *The subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ consists of the elements $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ for which $f_n = 0$ for $n < 0$ in the representation (1.1). Similarly, the subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_-^{p \times p}$ consists of the elements $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ for which $f_n = 0$ for $n > 0$ in the representation (1.1).*

A right (resp. left) spectral factorization of $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is a representation of the form $f = f_- f_+$ (resp. $f_+ f_-$) where $f_{\pm}^{\pm 1}$ (resp. $f_{\pm}^{\pm 1}$) belongs to $\mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_-^{p \times p}$). We recall:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times q}$ be a function with a non-identically vanishing determinant. If $f(e^{it}) > 0$ (pointwise, in the sense of positive matrices), then it admits both right and left spectral factorizations in $\mathcal{W}^{p \times q}$.*

We note that, while $\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is not commutative, factorization and inversion results are readily deduced from the scalar case using determinant; see e.g. [19, §2]. For completeness we also mention the sources [16, 20, 21] for more information on matrix-valued and operator-valued Wiener algebras. See in particular [21, XXIX].

We define and study the Wiener algebra in the bicomplex (\mathbb{BC}) setting in two different ways, both reducing the problem to the complex setting. One way, as in our previous paper [9], is through the introduction of a specific symmetry to complex matrices of twice the size, which allows us to construct a bridge with the bicomplex setting. The other way goes along the idempotent decomposition of bicomplex numbers. In both cases, one doubles the dimension of the underlying framework, but in a different way. The first approach, restricting a realization to be symmetric, comes to the expense of minimality, however we can write significant results in this direction as well, as this second approach is classical in \mathbb{BC} analysis.

In this paper, our research focuses on three types of results in the theory of matrix Wiener algebra on the bicomplex space:

- (i) Inversion Theorems,
- (ii) Factorization Theorems,
- (iii) Applications to Superoscillations.

We present our results in Subsection 1.2 and Subsection 1.3, with complete proofs written in the subsequent parts of the paper. In order to do so, we first recall some properties of \mathbb{BC} , the algebra of bicomplex numbers.

1.2. **\mathbb{BC} and the scalar bicomplex case:** We set the stage through the introduction of \mathbb{BC} , in the same fashion as [18, 23, 24], the key definitions and results for the case of holomorphic functions of a bicomplex variable, with a description of the bicomplex matrix setting following in Section 3.

The algebra of bicomplex numbers, \mathbb{BC} , is generated by i and j , two commuting imaginary units. The product of the two commuting units i and j is denoted by $k := ij$ and we note that k is a hyperbolic unit, i.e. it is a unit which squares to 1. Because of these various units in \mathbb{BC} , there are several different conjugations that can be defined naturally. We will make use of these appropriate conjugations in this paper, and we refer the reader to [23, 27] for more information on bicomplex and multicomplex analysis.

\mathbb{BC} is not a division algebra: it has two distinguished zero divisors, \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 ,

$$\mathbf{e}_1 := \frac{1+k}{2}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 := \frac{1-k}{2},$$

which are idempotent, linearly independent over the reals, and mutually annihilating with respect to the bicomplex multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 &= 0, & \mathbf{e}_1^2 &= \mathbf{e}_1, & \mathbf{e}_2^2 &= \mathbf{e}_2, \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 &= 1, & \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 &= k. \end{aligned}$$

Just like $\{1, j\}$, they form a basis of the complex algebra \mathbb{BC} , which is called the *idempotent basis*. If we define the following complex variables in $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i})$:

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_1 := z_1 - iz_2, \quad \lambda_2 := z_1 + iz_2,$$

the $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i})$ -*idempotent representation* for $Z = z_1 + jz_2$ is given by

$$Z = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Simple algebra yields:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2} \\ z_2 &= \frac{i(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i})$ -idempotent representation is the only one for which multiplication is component-wise, as shown in the next lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *The addition and multiplication of bicomplex numbers can be realized component-wise in the idempotent representation above. Specifically, if $Z = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ and $W = \mu_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \mu_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ are two bicomplex numbers, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{i})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} Z + W &= (\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \mathbf{e}_1 + (\lambda_2 + \mu_2) \mathbf{e}_2, \\ Z \cdot W &= (\lambda_1 \mu_1) \mathbf{e}_1 + (\lambda_2 \mu_2) \mathbf{e}_2, \\ Z^n &= \lambda_1^n \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2^n \mathbf{e}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the inverse of an invertible bicomplex number $Z = \lambda_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ (in this case $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \neq 0$) is given by

$$Z^{-1} = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2^{-1} \mathbf{e}_2,$$

where λ_1^{-1} and λ_2^{-1} are the complex multiplicative inverses of λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively.

One can see this also by computing directly which product on the bicomplex numbers of the form

$$x_1 + ix_2 + jx_3 + kx_4, \quad x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{R}$$

is component wise, and one finds that the only one with this property is given by the mapping:

$$(1.5) \quad x_1 + ix_2 + jx_3 + kx_4 \mapsto ((x_1 + x_4) + i(x_2 - x_3), (x_1 - x_4) + i(x_2 + x_3)),$$

which corresponds to the idempotent decomposition

$$Z = z_1 + jz_2 = (z_1 - iz_2)\mathbf{e}_1 + (z_1 + iz_2)\mathbf{e}_2,$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + ix_2$ and $z_2 = x_3 + ix_4$.

A special subset of the bicomplex space is defined here:

Definition 1.6. *The space of hyperbolic numbers \mathbb{D} is defined as the subset of the bicomplex space \mathbb{BC} of the form $Z = a + bk$, with a and b in \mathbb{R} .*

Definition 1.7. *The bicomplex number Z will be said to be positive if both λ_1 and λ_2 in (1.3) are positive real numbers in the idempotent representation, and we write $Z \in \mathbb{D}_+$. The space \mathbb{D}_+ is called the cone of hyperbolic positive numbers.*

Using the idempotent representation one can define three norms associated with it, see [25]. We will make use of all of these norms in our work, according to the types of properties that we wish to analyze. The first two definitions represent the Lie norm and the dual Lie norm as follows:

Definition 1.8. *The dual Lie norm is, up to a factor of 2:*

$$\|Z\| = |\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| = 2\mathcal{L}^*(Z),$$

and

Definition 1.9. *The Lie norm is:*

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|\}.$$

A slightly non-standard norm is:

Definition 1.10. *The hyperbolic valued norm: $\|Z\|_{\mathbb{D}_+} = |\lambda_1|\mathbf{e}_1 + |\lambda_2|\mathbf{e}_2$.*

It is easy to see that $\|Z\|_{\mathbb{D}_+} \in \mathbb{D}_+$. We will need the following results regarding the various norms:

Proposition 1.11. *The norm in Definition 1.8 is sub-multiplicative, i.e.*

$$(1.6) \quad \|ZW\| \leq \|Z\| \cdot \|W\|.$$

Proof.

$$Z = \lambda_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2\mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad W = \mu_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \mu_2\mathbf{e}_2.$$

Then, $ZW = \lambda_1\mu_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2\mu_2\mathbf{e}_2$ and

$$\|ZW\| = |\lambda_1\mu_1| + |\lambda_2\mu_2| \leq (|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|)(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|) = \|Z\| \cdot \|W\|.$$

□

For \mathbb{BC} -valued functions, the natural counterpart of the bi-disk in \mathbb{C}^2 is:

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbb{K} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |z_1 \pm iz_2| < 1\}.$$

We note that \mathbb{K} contains $B(0, 1) \times \{0\}$, and so the single variable theory is obtained as a special case.

Here we discuss the boundaries and properties of this bicomplex domain \mathbb{K} .

Lemma 1.12. *The distinguished boundary $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = 1$ of the bidisk in the decomposition along the idempotents corresponds to*

$$(1.8) \quad \{e^{it}(\cos s, \sin s); t, s \in [0, 2\pi]\},$$

i.e.

$$(1.9) \quad Z = e^{it}e^{js}$$

Proof. Since

$$|z_1 \pm iz_2|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 \mp 2\operatorname{Re}(iz_1\bar{z}_2)$$

the conditions $|z_1 \pm iz_2| = 1$ are equivalent to the two conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 &= 1 \\ \operatorname{Im}(z_1\bar{z}_2) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $z_1 = uz_2$ when $z_2 \neq 0$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\partial\mathbb{K} = \{(e^{i\theta}, 0), \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \left(\frac{ue^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{u^2+1}}, \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{u^2+1}} \right), \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \right\} \right\},$$

which is rewritten as (1.8). To conclude, note that $\partial\mathbb{K}$

$$z_1 + jz_2 = e^{it}(\cos s + j \sin s) = e^{it}e^{js}.$$

□

We will call (1.8) the distinguished boundary of \mathbb{K} and denote it by $\partial\mathbb{K}$.

The counterpart of the unit circle can now be defined:

Definition 1.13. *The bicomplex unit circle $\partial\mathbb{K}$ is:*

$$(1.10) \quad \partial\mathbb{K} = \{e^{it}e^{js}, t, s \in [0, 2\pi]\}$$

The following two lemmas show us the structure of $\partial\mathbb{K}$:

Lemma 1.14. *In the idempotent representation, the bicomplex unit circle becomes:*

$$(1.11) \quad \partial\mathbb{K} = \{e^{i(t+s)}\mathbf{e}_1 + e^{i(t-s)}\mathbf{e}_2, t, s \in [0, 2\pi]\}$$

Proof. Since:

$$z_1 = e^{it} \cos s \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = e^{it} \sin s,$$

we have that λ_1 and λ_2 , defined by (1.3), are equal to

$$(1.12) \quad \lambda_1 = e^{i(t-s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = e^{i(t+s)}$$

and

$$z_1 + jz_2 = e^{i(t-s)}e_1 + e^{i(t+s)}e_2$$

□

Lemma 1.15. *Elements of $\partial\mathbb{K}$ are invertible in \mathbb{BC} .*

Proof. This follows from (1.10). \square

Definition 1.16. Let the bicomplex Wiener algebra, denoted \mathfrak{W} be the set of functions $f : \partial\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{BC}$, such that:

$$(1.13) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n Z^n, \quad Z \in \partial\mathbb{K},$$

such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\| < \infty$.

We can also define the counterparts of \mathfrak{W}_+ and \mathfrak{W}_- in the usual way:

Definition 1.17. Let \mathfrak{W}_+ be the set of functions $f : \partial\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{BC}$, such that:

$$(1.14) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n Z^n, \quad Z \in \partial\mathbb{K},$$

such that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \|f_n\| < \infty$.

Then, let \mathfrak{W}_- be the set of functions $f : \partial\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{BC}$, such that:

$$(1.15) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{-n} Z^{-n}, \quad Z \in \partial\mathbb{K},$$

such that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \|f_{-n}\| < \infty$.

In the case of the scalar bicomplex valued case in Subsection 4.1 we prove:

Theorem 1.18. Assume that $f(Z)$ invertible on $\partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f is invertible in the bicomplex Wiener algebra.

Theorem 1.19. Assume that $f(Z) > 0$ invertible on $\partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f admits left and right spectral factorizations.

1.3. Outline of the matrix valued case. In Section 4 we define the Wiener algebra in the bicomplex matrix valued case as:

Definition 1.20. The bicomplex Wiener algebra $\mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ consists of the matrix-valued functions of the form

$$(1.16) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n Z^n$$

with $f_n \in \mathbb{BC}^{p \times p}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\| < \infty$.

Here $\|f_n\|$ denotes the following norm of the bicomplex matrix $f_n = f_{n,1} \mathbf{e}_1 + f_{n,2} \mathbf{e}_2$: $\|f_n\| = \|f_{n,1}\| + \|f_{n,2}\|$, where $\|f_{n,1}\|$ and $\|f_{n,2}\|$ are the operator norms as complex matrices.

This Wiener algebra is endowed with pointwise multiplication and norm

$$(1.17) \quad \|f\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\|.$$

The elements of $\mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$ are of the form

$$(1.18) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n Z^n,$$

while elements of $\mathfrak{W}_-^{p \times p}$ are of the form

$$(1.19) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{-n} Z^{-n}.$$

We prove the following invertibility and factorization theorems (Theorem 4.4 and 4.6):

Theorem 1.21. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ be such that $f(Z)$ is invertible for $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f is invertible in $\mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$.*

Theorem 1.22. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ be such that $f(Z) > 0$ for $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f admits a spectral factorization $f(Z) = f_+(Z)f_+(Z)^*$ where $f_+^{\pm 1} \in \mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$.*

In Subsection 4.3 we also prove an equivalence between this bicomplex Wiener algebra and one induced by a special type of symmetry, called \sharp symmetry in the complex matrix domain. We will have the same type of factorization result in this case, see Theorem 4.10. For an equivalence theorem between the two algebras see Theorem 4.11. In the same Section, in Subsection 4.4, we address the rational bicomplex case with \sharp symmetry as well.

Last but not least, as an applications of these results, in Section 5 we introduce a theory of superoscillations in the bicomplex case.

2. MATRIX-VALUED COMPLEX WIENER ALGEBRAS

2.1. Wiener Algebra and Matrix Symmetries.

We first describe relations in the Wiener algebras induced by symmetries with respect to invertible matrices.

Definition 2.1. *Let $Y \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and we define*

$$(2.1) \quad M_Y = YMY^{-1}, \quad M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}.$$

Remark 2.2. It is immediate that, for $M, N \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (MN)_Y &= M_Y N_Y \\ (M + N)_Y &= M_Y + N_Y \\ (\lambda I_n)_Y &= \lambda I_n. \end{aligned}$$

We now have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let now $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ be of the form (1.1). if we define $f_Y(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_n)_Y e^{int}$ it follows that*

$$f_Y(e^{it}) = (f(e^{it}))_Y.$$

From the uniqueness of the Fourier coefficients and Theorem 1.4 the following result follows:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)_Y(e^{it}) &= f_Y(e^{it})g_Y(e^{it}) \\ f_Y(e^{it}) &= (f(e^{it}))_Y \\ ((f(e^{it}))_Y)^* &= ((f(e^{it}))^*)_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $f \in \mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ if and only if $f_Y \in \mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$.

Definition 2.5. *The function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is called Y -symmetric if $f(e^{it}) = f_Y(e^{it})$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.*

From uniqueness of the Fourier coefficients we obtain:

Proposition 2.6. *The function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is Y -symmetric if and only if its Fourier coefficients are Y -symmetric:*

$$f_n = (f_n)_Y, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ be Y -symmetric for some $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ and such that $f(e^{it}) > 0$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then, there is a uniquely determined spectral factorization $f = f_+ f_+^*$ where $f_+ \in \mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ is Y -symmetric and satisfies $f_+(1) = I_p$ and invertible and any other spectral factorization differs by an Y -symmetric unitary multiplicative constant on the right from f_+ .*

Proof. We leave the proof to the reader, since it is very similar to the proof of theorem 2.16 and uses parallel techniques. \square

This above Y symmetry does not apply for the more general, non-square case. We introduce another symmetry that will overcome this limitation.

2.2. Wiener algebra with special symmetry.

We now turn towards a type of symmetry that will be useful in the bicomplex case and could be applied to the non-square case as well.

Let $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ be a matrix such that $J J^* = I_2$.

Definition 2.8. *Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$. We define the \sharp -conjugate with respect to J to be:*

$$M^\sharp = J M J^*$$

More generally, given $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2a \times 2b}$ a block matrix, we define

$$(2.2) \quad M^\sharp = J_a M J_b^*,$$

with

$$(2.3) \quad J_a = J \otimes I_a, \quad J_b^* = J^* \otimes I_b$$

and where \otimes denotes the Kronecker (tensor) product of matrices.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2q}$ and $N \in \mathbb{C}^{2q \times 2r}$ be matrices of possibly different sizes. Then*

$$(MN)^\sharp = M^\sharp N^\sharp.$$

Let $M, N \in \mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2q}$. Then,

$$(M + N)^\sharp = M^\sharp + N^\sharp.$$

Definition 2.10. *For $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ of the form (1.1), we define its \sharp -symmetric function to be:*

$$(2.4) \quad f^\sharp(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_n)^\sharp e^{int}.$$

Remark 2.11. It is easy to see that:

$$(2.5) \quad f^\sharp(e^{it}) = (f(e^{it}))^\sharp,$$

therefore $f^\sharp \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ of the form (1.1).

The counterpart of Proposition 2.4 is:

Proposition 2.12. *Let $p, q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{W}^{2q \times 2s}$. We have:*

$$(2.6) \quad (fg)^\sharp(e^{it}) = f^\sharp(e^{it})g^\sharp(e^{it})$$

$$(2.7) \quad f^\sharp(e^{it}) = (f(e^{it}))^\sharp$$

$$(2.8) \quad ((f(e^{it}))^\sharp)^* = ((f(e^{it}))^*)^\sharp.$$

Furthermore, $f \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2q}$ if and only if $f^\sharp \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2q}$.

Definition 2.13. *The matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is called \sharp -symmetric with respect to J if and only if it satisfies $M = M^\sharp$. More generally the block matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2a \times 2b}$ is called \sharp -symmetric with respect to J if $M = M^\sharp$. This will hold if and only if all its $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ block entries are \sharp -symmetric with respect to J .*

Definition 2.14. *The function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ is called \sharp -symmetric if $f(e^{it}) = f^\sharp(e^{it})$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.*

From the uniqueness of the Fourier coefficients follows directly the following result:

Proposition 2.15. *The function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ is \sharp -symmetric if and only if its Fourier coefficients are \sharp -symmetric:*

$$f_n = (f_n)^\sharp, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 2.16. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ be \sharp -symmetric and such that $f(e^{it}) > 0$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then, there is a uniquely determined spectral factorization $f = f_+ f_+^*$ where $f_+ \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2p}$ is \sharp -symmetric and satisfies $f_+(1) = I_p$ and invertible and any other spectral factorization differs by an \sharp -symmetric unitary multiplicative constant on the right from f_+ .*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.4 we can write:

$$f(e^{it}) = f_+(e^{it})f_+(e^{it})^*$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(e^{it}) &= (f(e^{it}))^\sharp \\ &= (f_+(e^{it})f_+(e^{it})^*)^\sharp \\ &= (f_+(e^{it}))^\sharp (f_+(e^{it})^*)^\sharp \\ &= (f_+(e^{it}))^\sharp ((f_+(e^{it}))^\sharp)^* \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness up to left multiplicative factor of the spectral factor we have

$$f_+(e^{it}) = (f_+(e^{it}))^\sharp \cdot U$$

and so

$$(2.9) \quad f_+(z) = (f_+(z))^\sharp \cdot U, \quad |z| < 1.$$

for some $U \in GL_p(\mathbb{C})$ which is moreover unitary. To show that $U = I_n$ remark that $f_+(0)$ is invertible and so, with $f_+(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ we have $a_0 \in GL_p(\mathbb{C})$. Setting $z = 0$ in (2.9) leads to $a_0 = a_0 U$ and so $U = I_p$ since a_0 is invertible. \square

In the case the bicomplex algebra, as seen in [9] we have an important structural matrix:

$$(2.10) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has the obvious property that $J J^* = I_2$.

Definition 2.17. *The matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is called bicomplex \sharp -symmetric if it satisfies $M = M^\sharp$, with respect to J defined in (2.10) above.*

As in [9] we have:

Remark 2.18. For J as in (2.10), we have that M is J -symmetric if and only if it is of the form

$$(2.11) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$

which, in Section 4.3 will be discussed at length in relation with bicomplex analysis.

Remark 2.19. As we will see in Section 3.3, a bicomplex number can be viewed as a matrix of the form (2.11) (this gives in fact an explicit construction of the bicomplex numbers in terms of complex matrices). Bicomplex matrices will be identified with \sharp -symmetric complex ones of the appropriate dimension. This allow us follow the strategy introduced in our previous work [9], where we reduce the various results to ones in a complex Wiener algebra with symmetry. Note that we will also use the second approach, splitting the problem into two classical complex ones via the idempotent representation.

2.3. Rational Functions and the Wiener Algebra. In the general theory of rational matrix-valued functions, we can speak about several types of realizations. We recall results that pertains to our work in the bicomplex case.

Theorem 2.20. *Every matrix-valued (say $\mathbb{C}^{a \times b}$ -valued) rational function which is analytic at infinity admits a realization centered at infinity, i.e. can be written in the form:*

$$f(z) = D + C(zI_N - A)^{-1}B.$$

Remark 2.21. If f is not analytic at infinity, then it has a polynomial part which will not be of this form, i.e. there exists P polynomial such that:

$$f(z) = P(z) + D + C(zI_N - A)^{-1}B.$$

The realization is called minimal if N is minimal. We recall a proof of the existence of a (possibly non minimal) realization. The arguments will be used in the sequel to prove the main result of the section.

Proof of Theorem 2.20. Let p_1, \dots, p_M be the poles of f (in the most elementary sense, meaning points where any entry of f has a non-removable singularity). At each of these points f has a finite Laurent expansion and we can write (since f is assumed analytic at infinity)

$$f(z) = D + \sum_{m=1}^M \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_m} \frac{H_{k,m}}{(z - p_m)^k} \right)}_{f_m(z)}, \quad z \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_M\},$$

where D and the coefficients $H_{k,m}$ belong to $\mathbb{C}^{a \times b}$. We now write

$$(2.12) \quad f_m(z) = C_m(zI_{N_m} - A_m)^{-1}B_m$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad C_m = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} I_a & 0_a & \cdots & 0_a \end{pmatrix}}_{k_m \text{ } a \times a \text{ blocks}}$$

$$(2.14) \quad B_m = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_{k_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad A_m = \begin{pmatrix} p_m I_a & I_a & 0_a & \cdots & 0 \\ 0_a & p_m I_a & I_a & \cdots & 0_a \\ 0_a & \cdots & p_m I_a & I_a & \\ 0_a & \cdots & 0_a & p_m I_a & \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_m \in \mathbb{C}^{k_m a \times k_m a}$. Thus neither the realization of f_m nor the realization of f (given by $f(z) = D + C(I_N - A)^{-1}B$) are necessarily minimal, where

$$(2.16) \quad C = (C_1 \ \cdots \ C_M), \quad A = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_M), \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_M \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

We recall the following result. See [13] for more details and information. Note that we do not require the realization to be minimal. The proof in [13] still holds since A is assumed to have to spectrum on the unit circle. The realization is then called *regular* on the unit circle.

Theorem 2.22. *Let f be a $\mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ -valued rational function. If f has no singularities on the unit circle, then $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$. Let $f(z) = D + C(zI_N - A)^{-1}B$ be a realization of f , possibly not minimal but with no spectrum on the unit circle, and let P denote the Riesz projection corresponding to the spectrum of A outside the closed unit disk:*

$$(2.17) \quad P = I_N - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1} (zI_N - A)^{-1} dz.$$

Then $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n z^n$ with

$$(2.18) \quad f_n = \begin{cases} CA^{n-1}(I_N - P)B, & n > 0, \\ D\delta_{n0} - CA^{n-1}PB, & n \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\| < \infty$.

We now turn to the \sharp symmetric case. Let us consider $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ as above, with $JJ^* = I_2$.

Theorem 2.23. *Let $f(z)$ be a $\mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2p}$ -valued rational function, analytic at infinity, \sharp -symmetric with respect to J , and regular on the unit circle. Then f admits a \sharp -symmetric realization, analytic at infinity, and regular on the unit circle.*

Proof. Going back to the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.20 we see that the matrix coefficients $H_{k,m}$ are \sharp -symmetric, and so is the corresponding realization as written in Theorem 2.20. We note that the Riesz projection P obtained in Theorem 2.22 is also \sharp -symmetric. □

Theorem 2.24. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ rational and taking strictly positive values and \sharp symmetric. Then it admits rational \sharp -symmetric left and right factorizations.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the a \sharp -symmetric rational function admits a sharp-symmetric realization. \square

Assuming $f(e^{it}) > 0$, one can write $f(z) = w(z)(w(1/\bar{z}))^*$ where the spectral factor $w(z)$ is rational. For the following, see also [5, Lemma 1.2, p. 145] where the realization is centered at the origin. The computations appear also in [6].

Proposition 2.25. *Let*

$$d + c(zI_m - a)^{-1}b$$

be a realization of the left spectral factor of $f(z)$ with both $\sigma(a)$ and $\sigma(a - bd^{-1}c)$ inside the open unit disk. Then,

$$f(z) = D + C(zI_{2m} - A)^{-1}B,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} a & -bb^*a^{-*} \\ 0 & a^{-*} \end{pmatrix} \\ B &= \begin{pmatrix} b(d^* - b^*a^{-*}c^*) \\ a^{-*}c^* \end{pmatrix} \\ C &= (c \quad -db^*a^{-*}) \\ D &= d(d^* - b^*a^{-*}c^*). \end{aligned}$$

The Riesz projection P from (2.17) becomes:

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I_m & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where X is the unique solution to the Stein equation

$$(2.20) \quad X - aXa^* = bb^*$$

We now give formulas for the Fourier coefficients in terms of a, b, c and d .

Proposition 2.26. *The Fourier coefficients of the spectral function are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= dd^* + cXc^* \\ f_k &= (db^* + cXa^*)a^{*(k-1)}c^*, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \\ f_{-k} &= f_k^*, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

in terms of a realization (2.25) of the spectral factor.

Using Definition 2.8, one can re-write Proposition 2.25 and Proposition 2.26 in terms of the \sharp conjugate as well.

Remark 2.27. Let us assume that $f(e^{it}) > 0$ is also \sharp -symmetric and the dimensions are even. It follows that the spectral factor $w(z)$, which yields the decomposition of f i.e. $f(z) = w(z)(w(1/\bar{z}))^*$, is rational and \sharp symmetric. In this context, in Propositions 2.25 and 2.26 all factors a, b, c, d as well as A, B, C, D will be \sharp symmetric. The Riesz Projector P will be \sharp -symmetric as well.

The results in this section will be interpreted in Section 4 in the setting of the $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ Wiener algebra.

2.4. Wiener algebras and classical Superoscillations. The notion of superoscillations originate with the works of Aharonov and Berry and the notion of weak measurements. We refer to the papers [1, 2, 3, 14, 15, 16]. We refer to [11, 12, 17] for recent developments and to the paper [7] for recent connections with Schur analysis.

Definition 2.28. *A superoscillatory sequence is a sequence of complex-valued functions $F_m(t, a)$ defined on \mathbb{R} as follows:*

$$(2.21) \quad F_m(t, a) = \left(\cos\left(\frac{t}{m}\right) + i a \cos\left(\frac{t}{m}\right) \right)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k(m, a) e^{it(1-2k/m)},$$

where:

$$(2.22) \quad c_m(n, a) = \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)^k,$$

with $a > 1$.

One can then see that for fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(t, a) = e^{iat}$$

and convergence is uniform on compact subsets of the real line. This sequence plays an important role in the respective Wiener algebra as follows.

Let us now turn to the matrix-valued Wiener algebra $\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ case. We will show that the superoscillatory sequence $F_m(t, a)$ provides a good approximation in this case as well. We have the following result which will expand to the \mathbb{BC} as well.

Theorem 2.29. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$, then f can be uniformly approximated on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} by*

$$f_{-1}e^{-it} + f_0 + f_1e^{it} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n F_m(t, n).$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$. If we write

$$f(e^{it}) = f_0 + f_{-1}e^{-it} + f_1e^{it} + g(e^{it}),$$

there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{|n| > N} \|f_n\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

and by (2.23) there exists M such that

$$\forall n \in \{-N, \dots, N\} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}, \quad m \geq M \implies |F_m(t, n) - e^{int}| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2N-2}.$$

Thus for $m \geq M$

$$\|g(e^{it}) - \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n F_m(t, n)\| \leq \epsilon,$$

and so

$$\left\| f(e^{it}) - \left(f_{-1}e^{-it} + f_0 + f_1e^{it} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n F_m(t, n) \right) \right\| \leq \epsilon$$

□

We will extend these results to the bicomplex case using the intrinsic structure of its algebra and analysis.

3. BICOMPLEX ANALYSIS AND SYMMETRY DOMAINS

In this section we revisit the analysis on the bicomplex algebra and write the appropriate symmetry domains that will allow us to introduce a bicomplex Wiener algebra in this case.

3.1. Bicomplex algebra as 2×2 complex matrices. As described in [9], in a way similar to the space of complex numbers and the Pauli model, one can re-write the space of bicomplex numbers as a subspace of complex matrices $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ as:

$$(3.24) \quad \mathbb{BC} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} ; z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where the complex unit in \mathbb{C} is denoted by i . We write

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} = z_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + z_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = z_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + J z_2,$$

with J as in (2.10). We will use the shorter notation $Z = z_1 + jz_2$ to denote a bicomplex number. For example, in this writing, we have that the original complex unit i becomes:

$$iI = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.1. In the same notation as in Subsection 1.2 one obtains the corresponding matrix to the *hyperbolic unit* $k = ij$, (i.e. $k^2 = 1$) as $K = iJ$.

In the matrix notation k is represented by:

$$iJ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and one can easily check that the square of this matrix is the identity matrix.

In the matricial writing of a bicomplex number $Z \in \mathbb{BC}$, we make use of the following primary decomposition and the fact that a normal matrix is unitary diagonalizable to obtain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 - iz_2 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 + iz_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unitary diagonalization matrix is:

$$(3.25) \quad U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix},$$

and the eigenvalues are:

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= z_1 - iz_2 \\ \lambda_2 &= z_1 + iz_2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. In this commutative setting we have the same zero divisors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ as in Subsection 1.2, given by the diagonalization. As expected, these split the bicomplex space in $\mathbb{BC} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{e}_2$, as:

$$(3.27) \quad Z = z_1 + jz_2 = (z_1 - iz_2)\mathbf{e}_1 + (z_1 + iz_2)\mathbf{e}_2.$$

Using normality again, the corresponding matrix form of a bicomplex number can be written as the associated sum of two weighted orthogonal projections

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 \cdot E_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot E_2$$

where the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2}$ are given in (3.26) and the orthogonal projections are

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad E_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that E_1 and E_2 are the corresponding matrix forms of \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 .

We will now define the conjugates in the bicomplex setting, as in [18, 23].

Definition 3.3. For any $Z \in \mathbb{BC}$ we have the following three conjugates:

$$(3.28) \quad \overline{Z} = \overline{z_1} + j\overline{z_2}$$

$$(3.29) \quad Z^\dagger = z_1 - jz_2$$

$$(3.30) \quad Z^* = \overline{Z^\dagger} = \overline{z_1} - j\overline{z_2}.$$

Remark 3.4. In the matrix form the space of *hyperbolic numbers* \mathbb{D} is realized as the subset of matrices (3.24) with:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -ib \\ ib & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in [10], one can define the corresponding notions of positivity:

Definition 3.5. A matrix $M \in \mathbb{BC}$ is called Hermitian if $M = M^*$ and positive if $c^*Mc \geq 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{BC}^n$. Here M^*

In [9] we proved the following equivalent definition, in terms of our matricial form:

Proposition 3.6. Let $M = M_1 + jM_2 \in \mathbb{BC}^{n \times n}$ with $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then M is Hermitian, (resp. positive), in the sense of Definition 3.5 if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & -M_2 \\ M_2 & M_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is Hermitian (resp. a positive matrix, in the sense of positive matrices with complex entries). If we write

$$M_1 + jM_2 = P_1\mathbf{e}_1 + P_2\mathbf{e}_2,$$

with $P_1 = M_1 - iM_2$ and $P_2 = M_1 + iM_2$. Then, M is positive in the sense of Definition 3.5 if and only if both P_1 and P_2 are positive elements of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

3.2. \mathbb{BC} analyticity: As seen in [18, 23] and [27], the function $F = F_1 + jF_2$ is \mathbb{BC} -analytic iff and only if the functions F_1 and F_2 are complex holomorphic in z_1 and z_2 i.e.:

$$(3.31) \quad \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \overline{z_1}} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \overline{z_2}} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_2} = 0,$$

and if the following Cauchy-Riemann like equations hold:

$$(3.32) \quad \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_2}$$

$$(3.33) \quad \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_2} = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z_1}.$$

Remark 3.7. The identity function $Z = z_1 + jz_2$ is \mathbb{BC} -analytic, but the \mathbb{C} -valued functions $Z \mapsto z_1$ and $Z \mapsto z_2$ are not.

3.3. Bicomplex Matrices as Complex Matrices with symmetry. We will now view bicomplex matrices in $\mathbb{BC}^{p \times p}$ as a matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2p}$ with the bicomplex \sharp -symmetry defined below. We then can reduce the various results to ones in a complex Wiener algebra with symmetry. Note that we will also use the second approach, splitting the problem into two classical complex ones via the idempotent representation.

Remark 3.8. This type of symmetry can be viewed as a complexification of the geometry of complex numbers as well. While in the complex framework a rotation matrix takes the Pauli form, in the bicomplex case it becomes a "double rotation".

We recall that in the case the bicomplex algebra, as seen is [9] and in (2.10), we have an important structural matrix :

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has the obvious property that $JJ^* = I_2$. In this context, from Definition 2.17, we have:

Definition 3.9. *The matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is called bicomplex \sharp -symmetric if it satisfies $M = M^\sharp$, with respect to this J .*

This matrix gives us the structure of bicomplex numbers as M is J -symmetric if and only if it is of the form (see 2.11).

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}; z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For the general matrix case, defining

$$J_a = J \otimes I_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_a,$$

and $J_a^* = J^* \otimes I_a$, where \otimes denotes the Kronecker (tensor) product of matrices, we have:

Definition 3.10. *The block matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2a \times 2b}$ is called bicomplex \sharp -symmetric if and only if it satisfies $M = M^\sharp$, where*

$$M^\sharp = J_a M J_b^*.$$

We will make use of all of these notions in the following Section 4, specifically in Subsection 4.3. The following lemma is an immediate result:

Lemma 3.11. *Let $M \in \mathbb{BC}^{p \times p}$, with $M = (Z_{st})_{1 \leq s, t \leq p}$ and $Z_{st} = z_{st}^1 + jz_{st}^2 \in \mathbb{BC}$. We can decompose M as a matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2p}$ first as in Proposition 3.6 and second as a matrix where each $Z_{st} = z_{st}^1 + jz_{st}^2$ is written as the 2×2 block*

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{st}^1 & -z_{st}^2 \\ z_{st}^2 & z_{st}^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the second matrix is bicomplex \sharp symmetric and the definition of positivity coincide.

We now turn our attention to building the various bicomplex Wiener algebras.

4. THE SCALAR AND MATRIX-VALUED BICOMPLEX WIENER ALGEBRA

We extend the Wiener algebra setting to the set of bicomplex numbers in two ways, as follows. The bicomplex Wiener algebra can be defined in a direct way using the idempotent split, or as a symmetry based Wiener algebra in the complex domain, using a block matrix that fixes the bicomplex structure.

4.1. Scalar Bicomplex Wiener Algebras. Here are the theorems referenced in the introduction that show the structure of the scalar bicomplex valued case:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $f(Z)$ invertible on $\partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f is invertible in the bicomplex Wiener algebra \mathfrak{W} .*

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $f(Z) > 0$ invertible on $\partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f admits left and right spectral factorizations in \mathfrak{W}_+ and \mathfrak{W}_- .*

The proofs of these theorems follow directly from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 2.7, in the case when $p = 1$.

4.2. Wiener bicomplex algebra using bicomplex analyticity. In this section we describe the general matrix-valued bicomplex Wiener algebra, induced by the analytic and algebraic structures of the set of bicomplex numbers, via the idempotent split. We first recall the definition of matrix-valued bicomplex Wiener algebras, see Definition 1.16.

Definition 4.3. *The bicomplex Wiener algebra $\mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ consists of the matrix-valued functions of the form*

$$(4.1) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n Z^n$$

with $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$, $f_n \in \mathbb{BC}^{p \times p}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\| < \infty$.

Here $\|f_n\|$ denotes the following norm of the bicomplex matrix $f_n = f_{n,1}\mathbf{e}_1 + f_{n,2}\mathbf{e}_2$: $\|f_n\| = \|f_{n,1}\| + \|f_{n,2}\|$, where $\|f_{n,1}\|$ and $\|f_{n,2}\|$ are the operator norms as complex matrices.

This Wiener algebra is endowed with pointwise multiplication and norm

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\|.$$

The elements of $\mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$ are of the form

$$(4.3) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n Z^n,$$

while elements of $\mathfrak{W}_-^{p \times p}$ are of the form

$$(4.4) \quad f(Z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{-n} Z^{-n}.$$

We have the following theorems that describe the structure of the Wiener algebras in this setting.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ be such that $f(Z)$ is invertible for $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f is invertible in $\mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$.*

Proof. We write $f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n Z^n$ with $f_n \in \mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$, and $f_n = f_{1n}e_1 + f_{2n}e_2$ where f_{1n} and f_{2n} belong to $\mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$. With $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$ given by (1.9) and corresponding λ_1 and λ_2 given by (1.12)

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{1n} e^{in(b-a)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{2n} e^{in(b+a)} > 0, \quad a, b \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Setting $a = 0$, the classical inversion result leads to

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\ell n} e^{inb} \right)^{-1} = g_\ell(e^{ib}) \in \mathcal{W}^{p \times p}, \quad \ell = 1, 2.$$

We set

$$g(Z) = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{1n} \lambda_1^n \right) \mathbf{e}_1 + \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{2n} \lambda_2^n \right) \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Then $g \in (\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}))^{p \times p}$ and $f(Z)g(Z) = I_p$ for $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$. \square

Corollary 4.5. *In the previous theorem it is enough to require invertibility on e^{it} , $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ to insure invertibility in $\mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$ be such that $f(Z) > 0$ for $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$. Then f admits a spectral factorization $f(Z) = f_+(Z)f_+(Z)^*$ where $f_+^{\pm 1} \in \mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$.*

Proof. In the same notation as in the proof of the previous theorem, and with $Z \in \partial\mathbb{K}$ given by (1.10) and corresponding λ_1 and λ_2 given by (5.2)

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{1n} e^{in(b-a)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{2n} e^{in(b+a)} > 0, \quad a, b \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Setting $a = 0$, the classical factorization result leads to the spectral factorization

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\ell n} e^{inb} = f_{\ell+}(e^{ib})(f_{\ell+}(e^{ib}))^*, \quad \ell = 1, 2.$$

with $f_{\ell+} \in \mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$ and invertible in $\mathcal{W}_+^{p \times p}$. Let

$$f_{\ell+}(e^{ib}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{\ell,n} e^{inb}, \quad \ell = 1, 2,$$

with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|g_{\ell,n}\| < \infty$ for $\ell = 1, 2$, and set

$$f_+(Z) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{1n} e^{in(b-a)} \right) \mathbf{e}_1 + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{2n} e^{in(b+a)} \right) \mathbf{e}_2, \quad Z = e^{ib+ja} \in \partial\mathbb{K}.$$

Then, $f(Z) = f_+(Z)f_+(Z)^*$. To conclude we need to verify that f_+ is invertible in $\mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$. Let

$$(f_{\ell+}(e^{ib}))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{\ell,n} e^{inb}, \quad \ell = 1, 2,$$

with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |h_{\ell,n}| < \infty$ for $\ell = 1, 2$. We have

$$(f_+(Z))^{-1} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{1n} e^{in(b-a)} \right) \mathbf{e}_1 + \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{2n} e^{in(b+a)} \right) \mathbf{e}_2, \quad Z = e^{ib+ja} \in \partial\mathbb{K},$$

so that $f_+(Z)$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{W}_+^{p \times p}$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *In the previous theorem it is enough to assume that $f(e^{ib}) > 0$ for $b \in [0, 2\pi]$.*

4.3. Bicomplex Wiener Algebras using \sharp symmetries. Let us return to bicomplex matrices with \sharp symmetry as in Definition 3.10.

Proposition 4.8. *Using our specific bicomplex \sharp definition (with J defined in (2.10)) and setting $p, q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{W}^{2q \times 2s}$, we have:*

$$(4.5) \quad (fg)^\sharp(e^{it}) = f^\sharp(e^{it})g^\sharp(e^{it})$$

$$(4.6) \quad f^\sharp(e^{it}) = (f(e^{it}))^\sharp$$

$$(4.7) \quad ((f(e^{it}))^\sharp)^* = ((f(e^{it}))^*)^\sharp.$$

Furthermore, $f \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2q}$ if and only if $f^\sharp \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2q}$.

Proposition 4.9. *The function $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ is bicomplex \sharp -symmetric if and only if its Fourier coefficients are bicomplex \sharp -symmetric:*

$$f_n = (f_n)^\sharp, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 4.10. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ be bicomplex \sharp -symmetric and such that $f(e^{it}) > 0$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then, there is a uniquely determined spectral factorization $f = f_+ f_+^*$ where $f_+ \in \mathcal{W}_+^{2p \times 2p}$ is bicomplex \sharp -symmetric and satisfies $f_+(1) = I_{2p}$ and invertible and any other spectral factorization differs by a bicomplex \sharp -symmetric unitary multiplicative constant on the right from f_+ .*

Using Lemma 3.11, we can now establish the equivalence between the two types of Wiener algebras in the bicomplex case.

Theorem 4.11. *$\mathcal{W}^{p \times p}$ is isomorphic to the space of $\mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ with bicomplex \sharp symmetry.*

4.4. Rational Bicomplex functions and the Bicomplex Wiener Algebra. We now re-write Theorem 2.23 and Theorem 2.24 in the bicomplex case. The proofs are left to the reader.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $f(z)$ be a $\mathbb{C}^{2p \times 2p}$ -valued rational function, analytic at infinity, bicomplex \sharp -symmetric, and regular on the unit circle. Then f admits a bicomplex \sharp -symmetric realization, analytic at infinity, and regular on the unit circle.*

Proof. Follows from proof of Theorem 2.23. □

Theorem 4.13. *Let $f \in \mathcal{W}^{2p \times 2p}$ rational and taking strictly positive values and bicomplex \sharp symmetric. Then it admits rational bicomplex \sharp -symmetric left and right factorizations.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the a bicomplex \sharp -symmetric rational function admits a bicomplex \sharp -symmetric realization. □

5. BICOMPLEX SUPEROSCILLATIONS

As in Lemma 1.12, and formulas 1.8 and 1.10, the distinguished boundary $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| = 1$ of the bidisk in the decomposition along the idempotents corresponds to

$$\{e^{it}(\cos s, \sin s); t, s \in [0, 2\pi]\},$$

i.e.

$$(5.1) \quad Z = e^{it}e^{js}$$

and we have for $z_1 = uz_2$ when $z_2 \neq 0$:

$$\partial\mathbb{K} = \{(e^{i\theta}, 0), \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \left(\frac{ue^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{u^2+1}}, \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{u^2+1}} \right), \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \right\} \right\},$$

which is rewritten as (1.8). To conclude, note that

$$z_1 + jz_2 = e^{it}(\cos s + j \sin s) = e^{it}e^{js},$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_1 = e^{i(t-s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = e^{i(t+s)}$$

and

$$z_1 + jz_2 = e^{i(t-s)}e_1 + e^{i(t+s)}e_2$$

In the bicomplex case, for given $x, y, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Z = \lambda_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2\mathbf{e}_2$, where

$$\lambda_1 = \cos\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + ia \cos\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \cos\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) + ib \cos\left(\frac{y}{m}\right)$$

and we can write the following bicomplex superoscillatory sequence:

$$(5.3) \quad F_m(x, y, a, b) = (\lambda_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2\mathbf{e}_2)^m = \lambda_1^m\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2^m\mathbf{e}_2$$

$$(5.4) \quad = \left(\cos\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + ia \cos\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)\right)^m \mathbf{e}_1 + \left(\cos\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) + ib \cos\left(\frac{y}{m}\right)\right)^m \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Using the respective Lemmas in the complex case we have the following structure of the bicomplex superoscillations:

Lemma 5.1. *We have that:*

$$F_m(x, y, a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k(m, a) e^{ix(1-2k/m)} \mathbf{e}_1 + c_k(m, b) e^{iy(1-2k/m)} \mathbf{e}_2,$$

where

$$(5.5) \quad c_k(m, a) = \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)^k, \quad c_k(m, b) = \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{1+b}{2}\right)^{m-k} \left(\frac{1-b}{2}\right)^k.$$

We also have the same type of limiting behaviour:

Lemma 5.2. *We have that:*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(x, y, a, b) = e^{iax} \mathbf{e}_1 + e^{iby} \mathbf{e}_2,$$

and $F_m(x, y, a, b) = F_m(x, a)\mathbf{e}_1 + F_m(y, b)\mathbf{e}_2$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{W}^{p \times p}$, then $f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n Z^n$, where $Z = e^{it}e^{js}$ can be uniformly approximated on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} by ...*

Proof. We have that

$$f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(e^{it}e^{js})^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(e^{i(t-s)}\mathbf{e}_1 + e^{i(t+s)}\mathbf{e}_2)^n$$

Therefore

$$f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (A_n \mathbf{e}_1 + B_n \mathbf{e}_2)(e^{i(t-s)}\mathbf{e}_1 + e^{i(t+s)}\mathbf{e}_2)^n$$

$$f(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n e^{in(t-s)}\mathbf{e}_1 + B_n e^{in(t+s)}\mathbf{e}_2 =: f^1(e^{i(t-s)})\mathbf{e}_1 + f^2(e^{i(t+s)})\mathbf{e}_1$$

Using Theorem 2.29 we have that $f_1(e^{i(t-s)})$ is approximated by:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = f_{-1}^1 e^{-i(t-s)} + f_0^1 + f_1^1 e^{i(t-s)} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n^1 F_m(t-s, n).$$

Similarly, $f_2(e^{i(t+s)})$ is approximated by:

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = f_{-1}^2 e^{-i(t+s)} + f_0^2 + f_1^2 e^{i(t+s)} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n^2 F_m(t+s, n).$$

Using the Lie norm induced on the space of bicomplex matrices we can write an approximation for $f(Z)$ to be $\mathcal{E}_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 \mathbf{e}_2$:

$$f(Z) = (f_{-1}^1 e^{-i(t-s)} + f_0^1 + f_1^1 e^{i(t-s)})\mathbf{e}_1 + (f_{-1}^2 e^{-i(t+s)} + f_0^2 + f_1^2 e^{i(t+s)})\mathbf{e}_2 + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n^1 F_m(t-s, n)\mathbf{e}_1 + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n^2 F_m(t+s, n)\mathbf{e}_2.$$

which yields:

$$f(Z) = f_{-1} e^{-it} e^{-js} + f_0 + f_1 e^{it} e^{js} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n (F_m(t-s, n)\mathbf{e}_1 + F_m(t+s, n)\mathbf{e}_2),$$

namely:

$$f(Z) = f_{-1} e^{-it} e^{-js} + f_0 + f_1 e^{it} e^{js} + \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}}} f_n F_m(t-s, t+s, n, n).$$

This completes the proof. \square

This application concludes the paper and we expect to be able to apply this framework to other settings as well. Wiener Algebras have many applications in the theory of Linear Systems in the classical case, so we expect results in this direction as well.

We are also investigating whether the setting of bicomplex Wiener algebras is useful in other applications of Quantum Mechanics.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aharonov, D. Albert, L. Vaidman, *How the result of a measurement of a component of the spin of a spin-1/2 particle can turn out to be 100*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **60** (1988), 1351-1354.
- [2] Y. Aharonov, L. Vaidman, *Properties of a quantum system during the time interval between two measurements*, Phys. Rev. A, **41** (1990), 11–20.
- [3] Y. Aharonov, D. Rohrlich, *Quantum Paradoxes: Quantum Theory for the Perplexed*, Wiley-VCH Verlag, Weinheim, 2005.
- [4] Alpay, D. and Attia, H., Ben-Porat, S. and Volok, D. "Spectral factorization in the non-stationary Wiener algebra", arXiv, 2003.
- [5] Alpay, D., Gohberg, I., "Inverse spectral problems for difference operators with rational scattering matrix function", Vol. 20 (2), Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 1994, pp.125–170.
- [6] Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Diki K., Sabadini, I., Volok, D., "Discrete analytic functions, structured matrices and a new family of moment problems", arxiv, 2022
- [7] Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., "Superoscillations and analytic extensions in Schur analysis", J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **27** (2021), no. 2, Paper No 28, 19pp..
- [8] Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Kimsey, D., Sabadini, I., "Wiener algebra for the quaternions", Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 13(5), 2016, pp. 2463–2482
- [9] Alpay, D., Lewkowicz, I., and Vajiac, M. "Interpolation with symmetry and a Herglotz Theorem in the bicomplex setting", preprint
- [10] Alpay, D., Luna-Elizarraras, E., Shapiro, M., Struppa, D., *Basics of Functional Analysis with Bicomplex Scalars, and Bicomplex Schur Analysis* SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham (2014).
- [11] T. Aoki, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. Continuity theorems for a class of convolution operators and applications to superoscillations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 197(5):1533–1545, 2018.
- [12] T. Aoki, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D. C. Struppa, Continuity of some operators arising in the theory of superoscillations, *Quantum Stud. Math. Found.*, **5** (2018), 463–476.
- [13] Gohberg, I., Kaashoek, M.A., "Block Toeplitz operators with rational symbols", Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Contributions to operator theory and its applications (Mesa, AZ, 1987), Birkhäuser, 1988, pp. 385–440
- [14] M. Berry, M.R. Dennis, *Natural superoscillations in monochromatic waves in D dimension*, J. Phys. A, **42** (2009), 022003.
- [15] M. V. Berry, S. Popescu, *Evolution of quantum superoscillations, and optical superresolution without evanescent waves*, J. Phys. A, **39** (2006), 6965–6977.
- [16] S. Bochner and R. S. Phillips. Absolutely convergent Fourier expansions for non-commutative normed rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 43:409–418, 1942.
- [17] F. Colombo, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Superoscillating sequences towards approximation in S or S' -type spaces and extrapolation*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **25** (2019), no. 1, 242–266.
- [18] Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Struppa, D.C., Vajiac, A., Vajiac M.B., "Singularities of functions of one and several bicomplex variables", Arkiv for matematik, Institut Mittag-Leffler, (2011).
- [19] H. Dym and I. Gohberg. Extensions of matrix valued functions with rational polynomial inverses. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 2:503–528, 1979.
- [20] I. C. Gohberg and I. A. Feldman. *Convolution equations and projection methods for their solution*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 41. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974. Translated from the Russian by F. M. Goldware.
- [21] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and M.A. Kaashoek. *Classes of linear operators. Vol. II*, volume 63 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [22] Gohberg, I. and Kaashoek, M.A. and Woerdeman, H. J, "The band method for positive and strictly contractive extension problems: an alternative version and new applications", Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 12(3), 1989, pp. 343–382
- [23] Luna-Elizarraras, E., Shapiro, M., Struppa, D., Vajiac, A. *Bicomplex Holomorphic Functions. The Algebra, Geometry and Analysis of Bicomplex Numbers*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham (2015).
- [24] Price, G.B., *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **140**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [25] Sabadini, I., Vajiac, A., Vajiac M.B., "Bernstein-type Inequalities for Bicomplex Polynomials", Advances in Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, pp. 281-299, 2017.

- [26] Shelah, Y., "Quaternionic Wiener Algebras, Factorization and Applications", *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebra*, 27(3), 2017, pp. 2805–2840
- [27] Vajiac, A. and Vajiac, M., "Multicomplex hyperfunctions" *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, Vol. 56, Issue 12, pp. 1-13 (2011)
- [28] Wiener, N., "Tauberian theorems", *Ann. of Math.* 33, pp. 1-100 (1932)

(DA) SCHMID COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY, ONE UNIVERSITY DRIVE ORANGE, CALIFORNIA 92866, USA

Email address: `alpay@chapman.edu`

(IL) SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O.B. 653, BEER-SHEVA, 84105, ISRAEL

Email address: `izchak@bgu.ac.il`

(MV) SCHMID COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY, ONE UNIVERSITY DRIVE ORANGE, CALIFORNIA 92866, USA

Email address: `mbvajiac@chapman.edu`