

# ON THE GROUP OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ WITH THE FAMILY $\mathcal{F}$ OF INDUCTIVE NONEMPTY SUBSETS OF $\omega$

OLEG GUTIK AND INNA POZDNIAKOVA

ABSTRACT. We study automorphisms of the semigroup  $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  and prove that the group  $\mathbf{Aut}(B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  of automorphisms of the semigroup  $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group integers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND MAIN DEFINITIONS

We shall follow the terminology of [1, 2, 11, 12, 15]. By  $\omega$  we denote the set of all non-negative integers and by  $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of all integers.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  be the family of all subsets of  $\omega$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $n, m \in \omega$  we put  $n - m + F = \{n - m + k : k \in F\}$  if  $F \neq \emptyset$  and  $n - m + \emptyset = \emptyset$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is called  $\omega$ -closed if  $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \in \omega$  and  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any  $a \in \omega$  we denote  $[a] = \{x \in \omega : x \geq a\}$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $\omega$  is said to be *inductive*, if  $i \in A$  implies  $i + 1 \in A$ . Obvious, that  $\emptyset$  is an inductive subset of  $\omega$ .

- Remark 1.1** ([8]). (1) By Lemma 6 from [7] nonempty subset  $F \subseteq \omega$  is inductive in  $\omega$  if and only if  $(-1 + F) \cap F = F$ .
- (2) Since the set  $\omega$  with the usual order is well-ordered, for any nonempty inductive subset  $F$  in  $\omega$  there exists nonnegative integer  $n_F \in \omega$  such that  $[n_F] = F$ .
- (3) Statement (2) implies that the intersection of an arbitrary finite family of nonempty inductive subsets in  $\omega$  is a nonempty inductive subset of  $\omega$ .

A semigroup  $S$  is called *inverse* if for any element  $x \in S$  there exists a unique  $x^{-1} \in S$  such that  $xx^{-1}x = x$  and  $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$ . The element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inverse of  $x \in S$* . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then the function  $\text{inv} : S \rightarrow S$  which assigns to every element  $x$  of  $S$  its inverse element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inversion*.

A *partially ordered set* (or shortly a *poset*)  $(X, \leq)$  is the set  $X$  with the reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation  $\leq$ . In this case relation  $\leq$  is called a partial order on  $X$ . A partially ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  is *linearly ordered* or is a *chain* if  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . A map  $f$  from a poset  $(X, \leq)$  onto a poset  $(Y, \leq)$  is said to be an order isomorphism if  $f$  is bijective and  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .

If  $S$  is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in  $S$  by  $E(S)$ . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then  $E(S)$  is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to  $E(S)$  as a *band* (or the *band of  $S$* ). Then the semigroup operation on  $S$  determines the following partial order  $\preceq$  on  $E(S)$ :  $e \preceq f$  if and only if  $ef = fe = e$ . This order is called the *natural partial order* on  $E(S)$ . A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents.

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on  $S$  determines the following partial order  $\preceq$  on  $S$ :  $s \preceq t$  if and only if there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $s = te$ . This order is called the *natural partial order* on  $S$  [16].

Date: August 3, 2022.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20M18; Secondary 20F29, 20M10.

*Key words and phrases*. Bicyclic monoid, inverse semigroup, bicyclic extension, automorphism, group of automorphism, order-convex set, order isomorphism.

The bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements  $p$  and  $q$  subjected only to the condition  $pq = 1$ . The semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial  $E$ -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a group congruence [1].

On the set  $\mathbf{B}_\omega = \omega \times \omega$  we define the semigroup operation “ $\cdot$ ” in the following way

$$(1) \quad (i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  to the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  is isomorphic by the mapping  $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega, q^k p^l \mapsto (k, l)$  (see: [1, Section 1.12] or [14, Exercise IV.1.11( $i$ )]).

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [7].

Let  $\mathbf{B}_\omega$  be the bicyclic monoid and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\omega$ -closed subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . On the set  $\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}$  we define the semigroup operation “ $\cdot$ ” in the following way

$$(2) \quad (i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

In [7] is proved that if the family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is  $\omega$ -closed then  $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup. Moreover, if an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  contains the empty set  $\emptyset$  then the set  $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \omega\}$  is an ideal of the semigroup  $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ . For any  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [7]. The semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. It is proven in [7] that  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green’s relations, the natural partial order on  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its set of idempotents are described. The criteria of simplicity, 0-simplicity, bisimplicity, 0-bisimplicity of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and when  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  has the identity, is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup or the countable semigroup of matrix units are given. In particular in [7] is proved that the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of a singleton set and the empty set.

Group congruences on the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its homomorphic retracts in the case when an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of inductive non-empty subsets of  $\omega$  are studied in [8]. It is proven that a congruence  $\mathfrak{C}$  on  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a group congruence if and only if its restriction on a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ , which is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, is not the identity relation. Also in [8], all non-trivial homomorphic retracts and isomorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  are described.

In [5, 13] the algebraic structure of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$  is established in the case when  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of atomic subsets of  $\omega$ .

The set  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with the semigroup operation defined by formula (1) is called the *extended bicyclic semigroup* [17]. On the set  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\omega$ -closed subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ , we define the semigroup operation “ $\cdot$ ” by formula (2). In [9] it is proved that  $(\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup. Moreover, if an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  contains the empty set  $\emptyset$  then the set  $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an ideal of the semigroup  $(\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ . For any  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [9] similarly as in [7]. In [9] it is proven that  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a combinatorial inverse semigroup. Green’s relations, the natural partial order on the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its set of idempotents are described. Here the criteria of simplicity, 0-simplicity, bisimplicity, 0-bisimplicity of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}}$  and the criterion for  $\mathbf{B}_\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}}$  to be isomorphic to the extended bicyclic semigroup or the countable semigroup of matrix units are derived. Also, in [9] it is proved that in the case when the family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all

singletons of  $\omega$  and the empty set, the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the Brandt  $\lambda$ -extension of the semilattice  $(\omega, \min)$ , where  $(\omega, \min)$  is the set  $\omega$  with the semilattice operation  $x \cdot y = \min\{x, y\}$ .

It is well-known that every automorphism of the bicyclic monoid  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}$  is the identity self-map of  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}$  [1], and hence the group  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\omega})$  of automorphisms of  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}$  is trivial. The group  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  of automorphisms of the extended bicyclic semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is established in [6] and there it is proved that  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ . Also in [10] the semigroups of endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup are described.

Later we assume that an  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ .

In this paper we study automorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  and prove that the group  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  of automorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group integers.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF THE SEMIGROUP $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary nonempty  $\omega$ -closed family of subsets of  $\omega$  and let  $n_0 = \min\{\bigcup \mathcal{F}\}$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{-n_0 + F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is an  $\omega$ -closed family of subsets of  $\omega$ ;
- (2) the semigroups  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}_0}$  are isomorphic by the mapping

$$(i, j, F) \mapsto (i, j, -n_0 + F), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z};$$

*Proof.* Statement (1) is proved in [8, Proposition 1(1)]. The proof of (2) is similar to Proposition 1(2) from [8].  $\square$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive subsets of  $\omega$ . Fix an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $[0] \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$  for some  $p \in \omega$  then for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (k, k, [0]) \cdot (i, j, [p]) &= \begin{cases} (k - k + i, j, (k - i + [0]) \cap [p]), & \text{if } k < i; \\ (k, j, [0] \cap [p]), & \text{if } k = i; \\ (k, k - i + j, [0] \cap (i - k + [p])), & \text{if } k > i \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i, j, [p]), & \text{if } k < i; \\ (k, j, [p]), & \text{if } k = i; \\ (k, k - i + j, [0] \cap [i - k + p]), & \text{if } k > i \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p]) \cdot (k, k, [0]) &= \begin{cases} (i - j + k, k, (j - k + [p]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } j < k; \\ (i, k, [p] \cap [0]), & \text{if } j = k; \\ (i, j - k + k, [p] \cap (k - j + [0])), & \text{if } j > k \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i - j + k, k, [j - k + p] \cap [0]), & \text{if } j < k; \\ (i, k, [p]), & \text{if } j = k; \\ (i, j, [p]), & \text{if } j > k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the above equalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (k, k, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (k, k, [0]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (k, k, [0]) = \\ &= \{(i, j, [p]) : i, j \geq k, [p] \in \mathcal{F}\} \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0) = (k, k, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (k, k, [0])$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0)$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary nonempty  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $[0] \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then the subsemigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0)$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the family  $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain the empty set,  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ . We define a map  $\mathfrak{J}: \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0)$  in the following way  $(i, j, [p]) \mapsto (i + k, j + k, [p])$ . It is obvious that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a bijection. Then for any  $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}((i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2)) &= \begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}(i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ \mathfrak{J}(i_1, j_2, F_1 \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ \mathfrak{J}(i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2 + k, j_2 + k, ((j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1 + k, j_2 + k, F_1 \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1 + k, j_1 - i_2 + j_2 + k, (F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2))), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}(i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot \mathfrak{J}(i_2 + k, j_2 + k, F_2) &= (i_1 + k, j_1 + k, F_1) \cdot (i_2 + k, j_2 + k, F_2) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2 + k, j_2 + k, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 + k < i_2 + k; \\ (i_1 + k, j_2 + k, F_1 \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 + k = i_2 + k; \\ (i_1 + k, j_1 - i_2 + j_2 + k, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 + k > i_2 + k \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2 + k, j_2 + k, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1 + k, j_2 + k, F_1 \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1 + k, j_1 - i_2 + j_2 + k, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 > i_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a homomorphism which implies the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

By Remarks 1.1(2) and 1.1(3) every nonempty subset  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  contains the least element, and hence later for every nonempty set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  we denote  $n_F = \min F$ .

Later we need the following lemma from [8].

**Lemma 2.3** ([8]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive subsets of  $\omega$ . Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be such elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $n_{F_1} < n_{F_2}$ . Then for any positive integer  $k \in \{n_{F_1} + 1, \dots, n_{F_2} - 1\}$  there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F = [k]$ .*

Proposition 2.1 implies that without loss of generality later we may assume that  $[0] \in \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive subsets of  $\omega$ . Hence these arguments and Lemma 5 of [7] imply the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an infinite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then the diagram on Fig. 1 describes the natural partial order on the band of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

By the similar way for a finite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  we obtain the following


**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ . Then the diagram on Fig. 1 without elements of the form  $(i, j, [p])$  and their arrows,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p > k$ , describes the natural partial order on the band of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

The definition of the semigroup operation in  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  implies that in the case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\omega$ -closed family subsets of  $\omega$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is a nonempty inductive subset in  $\omega$  then the set

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F\}} = \{(i, j, F) : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

with the induced semigroup operation from  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  which by Proposition 5 from [9] is isomorphic to the extended bicyclic semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive subsets of  $\omega$  and  $S$  be a subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  which is isomorphic to the extended bicyclic semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then there exists a subset  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $S$  is a subsemigroup in  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F\}}$ .*

FIGURE 1. The natural partial order on the band  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$ 

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{J}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow S \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is an isomorphism. Proposition 21(2) of [12, Section 1.4] implies that the image  $\mathfrak{J}(0, 0)$  is an idempotent of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , and hence by Lemma 1(2) from [9],  $\mathfrak{J}(0, 0) = (i, i, F)$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . By Proposition 2.1(viii) of [3] the subset  $(0, 0)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 0)$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, and hence the image  $\mathfrak{J}((0, 0)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 0))$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}$ . Then the definition of the natural partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  and Corollary 1 from [9] imply that there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $(i, i, F) \preceq (k, k, [0])$ . By Proposition 2.2 the subsemigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0) = (k, k, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (k, k, [0))$$

of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Since  $(i, i, F) \preceq (k, k, [0])$  we have that  $\mathfrak{J}((0, 0)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 0)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}[k, k, 0)$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{J}((0, 0)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 0)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F\}}$  by Proposition 4 of [8].

Next, fix any negative integer  $n$ . By Proposition 2.1(viii) of [3] the subset  $(n, n)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n, n)$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup. Since  $(0, 0)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 0)$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $(n, n)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n, n)$ , the above arguments imply that  $\mathfrak{J}((n, n)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(n, n)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F\}}$  for any negative integer  $n$ . Since

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \bigcup \{(k, k)\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}(k, k) : -k \in \omega\},$$

we get that  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F\}}$ . □

3. ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ 

Recall [4] define relations  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  on an inverse semigroup  $S$  by

$$(s, t) \in \mathcal{L} \iff s^{-1}s = t^{-1}t \quad \text{and} \quad (s, t) \in \mathcal{R} \iff ss^{-1} = tt^{-1}.$$

Both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are equivalence relations on  $S$ . The relation  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined to be the smallest equivalence relation which contains both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , which is equivalent that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$  [12].

**Remark 3.1.** It is obvious that every semigroup isomorphism  $\mathbf{i}: S \rightarrow T$  maps a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ -class,  $\mathcal{R}$ -class) of  $S$  onto a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ -class,  $\mathcal{R}$ -class) of  $T$ .

In this section we assume that  $[0] \in \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\omega$ -closed family  $\mathcal{F}$  of inductive subsets of  $\omega$ .

An automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is called a  $(0, 0, [0])$ -automorphism if  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (0, 0, [0])$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then every  $(0, 0, [0])$ -automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is the identity map.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{a}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  be an arbitrary  $(0, 0, [0])$ -automorphism.

By Theorem 4(iv) of [9] the elements  $(i_1, j_1, F_1)$  and  $(i_2, j_2, F_2)$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -equivalent if and only if  $F_1 = F_2$ . Since every automorphism preserves  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes, the above argument implies that  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F_1\}}) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{F_2\}}$  if and only if  $F_1 = F_2$  for  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence we have that  $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$ . By Proposition 21(6) of [12, Section 1.4] every automorphism preserves the natural partial order on the semilattice  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  and since  $\mathbf{a}$  is a  $(0, 0, [0])$ -automorphism of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  we get that  $\mathbf{a}(i, i, [0]) = (i, i, [0])$  for any integer  $i$ .

Fix arbitrary  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}(k, l, [0]) = (p, q, [0])$  for some integers  $p$  and  $q$ . Since the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is inverse, Proposition 21(1) of [12, Section 1.4] and Lemma 1(4) of [9] imply that

$$(\mathbf{a}(k, l, [0]))^{-1} = (p, q, [0])^{-1} = (q, p, [0]).$$

Again by Proposition 21(1) of [12, Section 1.4] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (k, k, [0]) &= \mathbf{a}(k, k, [0]) = \\ &= \mathbf{a}((k, l, [0]) \cdot (l, k, [0])) = \\ &= \mathbf{a}(k, l, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{a}(l, k, [0]) = \\ &= \mathbf{a}(k, l, [0]) \cdot \mathbf{a}((k, l, [0])^{-1}) = \\ &= (p, q, [0]) \cdot (q, p, [0]) = \\ &= (p, p, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $p = k$ . By similar way we get that  $l = q$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{a}(k, l, [0]) = (k, l, [0])$  for any integers  $k$  and  $l$ .

If  $\mathcal{F} \neq \{[0]\}$  then by Lemma 2.3,  $[1] \in \mathcal{F}$ . The definition of the natural partial order on the semilattice  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  (also, see Proposition 2.4) and Corollary 5 of [9] imply that  $(0, 0, [1])$  is the unique idempotent  $\varepsilon$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  with the property

$$(1, 1, [0]) \preceq \varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [0]).$$

Since by Proposition 21(6) of [12, Section 1.4] the automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  preserves the natural partial order on the semilattice  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$ , we get that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) = (0, 0, [1])$ . Similar arguments as in the above paragraph imply that  $\mathbf{a}(k, l, [1]) = (k, l, [1])$  for any integers  $k$  and  $l$ .

Next, by induction we obtain that  $\mathbf{a}(k, l, [p]) = (k, l, [p])$  for any  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then for every integer  $k$  the map  $\mathbf{h}_k: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $(i, j, [p]) \mapsto (i + k, j + k, [p])$  is an automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is similar to Proposition 2.2.

For a partially ordered set  $(P, \leq)$ , a subset  $X$  of  $P$  is called *order-convex*, if  $x \leq z \leq y$  and  $x, y \in X$  implies that  $z \in X$ , for all  $x, y, z \in P$  [11].

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an infinite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$  then*

$$\{(0, 0, [k]) : k \in \omega\}$$

*is an order-convex linearly ordered subset of  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix arbitrary  $(0, 0, [m]), (0, 0, [n]), (0, 0, [p]) \in E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$ . If  $(0, 0, [m]) \preceq (0, 0, [n]) \preceq (0, 0, [p])$  then Corollary 1 of [9] implies that  $[m] \subseteq [n] \subseteq [p]$ . Hence we have that  $m \geq n \geq p$ , which implies the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an infinite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$$

*for any automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists an automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \notin \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0])$  is an idempotent of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Lemma 1(2) of [9] implies that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (i, i, [p])$  for some integer  $i$  and some positive integer  $p$ . Since the automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  maps a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  onto its  $\mathcal{D}$ -class there exists an element  $(0, 0, [s])$  of the chain

$$(3) \quad \cdots \preceq (0, 0, [k]) \preceq (0, 0, [k-1]) \preceq \cdots \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [0])$$

such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [s]) = (m, m, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$  for some integer  $m$ . By Proposition 21(6) of [12, Section 1.4] every automorphism preserves the natural partial order on the semilattice  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$ , and hence the inequality  $(0, 0, [s]) \preceq (0, 0, [0])$  implies that

$$\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [s]) = (m, m, [0]) \preceq (i, i, [p]) = \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]).$$

By Corollary 1 of [9] we have that  $m \geq i$  and  $[0] \subseteq i - m + [p]$ . The last inclusion implies that  $m \geq i + p$ . Since the chain (3) is infinite and any its two distinct elements belong to distinct two  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , Proposition 21(6) of [12, Section 1.4] and Remark 3.1 imply that there exists a positive integer  $q > s$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [q]) = (t, t, [x])$  for some positive integer  $x > p$  and some integer  $t$ . Then

$$\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [q]) = (t, t, [x]) \preceq (m, m, [0]) = \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [s])$$

and by Corollary 1 of [9] we have that  $t \geq m$  and  $[x] \subseteq t - m + [0]$ , and hence  $x \geq t - m$ .

Next we consider the idempotent  $(i+1, i+1, [p])$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ . By Corollary 1 of [9] we get that  $(i+1, i+1, [p]) \preceq (i, i, [p])$  in  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$ . Since  $x > p$  we have that  $x \geq p+1$ . The inequalities  $t \geq m \geq i+p$  and  $p \geq 1$  imply that  $t \geq i+1$ . Also, the inequalities  $t \geq m \geq i$  and  $x \geq p+1$  imply that  $t+x \geq i+1+p$ , and hence we obtain the inclusion  $[x] \subseteq i+1-t+[p]$ . By Corollary 1 of [9] we have that  $(t, t, [x]) \preceq (i+1, i+1, [p])$ . Since  $\mathbf{a}$  is an automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , its restriction  $\mathbf{a}|_{E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})} : E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  onto the band  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  is an order automorphism of the partially ordered set  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ , and hence the map  $\mathbf{a}|_{E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})}$  preserves order-convex subsets of  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ . By Lemma 3.4 chain (3) is order-convex in the partially ordered set  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ . The inequalities  $(t, t, [x]) \preceq (i+1, i+1, [p]) \preceq (i, i, [p])$  in  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  imply that the image of order-convex chain (3) under the order automorphism  $\mathbf{a}|_{E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})}$  is not an order-convex subset of  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

Later for any integer  $k$  we assume that  $\mathbf{h}_k : \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is an automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  defined in Proposition 3.3.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an infinite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then for any automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{h}_p$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.5 there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (-p, -p, [0])$ . Then the composition  $\mathfrak{h}_p \circ \mathbf{a}$  is a  $(0, 0, [0])$ -automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , i.e.,  $(\mathfrak{h}_p \circ \mathbf{a})(0, 0, [0]) = (0, 0, [0])$ , and hence by Theorem 3.2 the composition  $\mathfrak{h}_p \circ \mathbf{a}$  is the identity map of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}_p$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  are bijections of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  the above arguments imply that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p$ .  $\square$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}_{k_1} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{k_2} = \mathfrak{h}_{k_1+k_2}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{k_1}^{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{-k_1}$ ,  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for any automorphisms  $\mathfrak{h}_{k_1}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{k_2}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , Theorem 3.6 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an infinite  $\omega$ -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of  $\omega$ . Then the group of automorphisms  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .*

The following example shows that for an arbitrary nonnegative integer  $k$  and the finite family  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1], \dots, [k]\}$  there exists an automorphism  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  which is distinct from the form  $\mathfrak{h}_p$ .

**Example 3.8.** Fix an arbitrary nonnegative integer  $k$ . Put

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j, [s]) = (i + s, j + s, [k - s])$$

for any  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $k$  be an arbitrary nonnegative integer and  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], [1], \dots, [k]\}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* Fix arbitrary  $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $s, t \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  with  $s < t$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}((i, j, [s]) \cdot (m, n, [t])) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i - j + m, n, (j - m + [s]) \cap [t]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, n, [s] \cap [t]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, [s] \cap (m - j + [t])), & \text{if } j > m \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i - j + m, n, [t]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, n, [1]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, [s]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t < j + s; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, [s]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t = j + s; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, m - j + [t]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t > j + s \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + s, n + s, [k - t]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ (i + t, n + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t < j + s; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t = j + s; \\ (i - j + m + t, n + t, [k - m + j - t]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } m + t > j + s, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j, [s]) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(m, n, [t]) &= (i + s, j + s, [k - s]) \cdot (m + t, n + t, [k - t]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + t, n + t, (j + s - m - t + [k - s]) \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } j + s < m + t; \\ (i + s, n + t, [k - s] \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } j + s = m + t; \\ (i + s, j + s - m + n, [k - s] \cap (m + t - s - j + [k - t])), & \text{if } j + s > m + t \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + t, n + t, [k - t + j - m] \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ (i + t, n + t, [k - t] \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ (i - j + m + t, n + t, [k - t + j - m] \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ (i + s, n + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s > m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s > m + t; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s] \cap [k - s - j + m]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s > m + t \end{cases} = \end{aligned}$$



$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i - j + m + t, n + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j < m \text{ and } j + s > m + t; \\ (i + t, n + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } j = m \text{ and } j + s > m + t; \\ (i - j + m + t, n + t, [k - t + j - m]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s < m + t; \\ (i + s, n + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s = m + t; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j > m \text{ and } j + s > m + t, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}((m, n, [t]) \cdot (i, j, [s])) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(m - n + i, j, (n - i + [t]) \cap [s]), & \text{if } n < i; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m, j, [t] \cap [s]), & \text{if } n = i; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m, n - i + j, [t] \cap (i - n + [s])), & \text{if } n > i \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(m - n + i, j, [s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m - n + i, j, [s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m - n + i, j, [n - i + t]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m, j, [t]), & \text{if } n = i; \\ \tilde{\alpha}(m, n - i + j, [t]), & \text{if } n > i \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (m - n + i + s, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ (m - n + i + s, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, j + [n - i + t, [k - t + i - n]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ (m + t, j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n = i; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n > i, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(m, n, [t]) \cdot \tilde{\alpha}(i, j, [s]) &= (m + t, n + t, [k - t]) \cdot (i + s, j + s, [k - s]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (m - n + i + s, j + s, (n + t - i - s + [k - t]) \cap [k - s]), & \text{if } n + t < i + s; \\ (m + t, j + s, [k - t] \cap [k - s]), & \text{if } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t] \cap (i + s - n - t + [k - s])), & \text{if } n + t > i + s \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (m - n + i + s, j + s, [k - s + n - i]) \cap [k - s]), & \text{if } n + t < i + s; \\ (m + t, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t + i - n] \cap [k - t]), & \text{if } n + t > i + s \end{cases} = \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (m - n + i + s, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ (m + t, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t + i - n]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t > i + s \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} (m - n + i + s, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ (m + t, j + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t + i - n]), & \text{if } n < i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n = i \text{ and } n + t > i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t < i + s; \\ \text{vagueness,} & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t = i + s; \\ (m + t, n - i + j + t, [k - t]), & \text{if } n > i \text{ and } n + t > i + s, \end{cases} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}((i, j, [s]) \cdot (m, n, [s])) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i - j + m, n, (j - m + [s]) \cap [s]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, n, [s] \cap [s]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, [s] \cap (m - j + [s])), & \text{if } j > m \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i - j + m, n, [s]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, n, [s]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j - m + n, [s]), & \text{if } j > m \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + s, n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ (i + s, n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j > m, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i, j, [s]) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(m, n, [s]) &= (i + s, j + s, [k - s]) \cdot (m + s, n + s, [k - s]) = \\
&= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + s, n + s, (j - m + [k - s]) \cap [k - s]), & \text{if } j + s < m + s; \\ (i + s, n + s, [k - s] \cap [k - s]), & \text{if } j + s = m + s; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s] \cap (m - j + [k - s])), & \text{if } j + s > m + s \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (i - j + m + s, n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j < m; \\ (i + s, n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j = m; \\ (i + s, j - m + n + s, [k - s]), & \text{if } j > m. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that the map  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is an endomorphism, and since  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$  is bijective, it is an automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $k$  be any positive integer and  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ . Then either  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$  or  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k]\}}$  for any automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists a positive integer  $m < k$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[m]\}}$ . Since  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0])$  is an idempotent of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ , by Lemma 1(2) of [9] there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (p, p, [m])$ . Then by the order convexity of the subset  $L_1 = \{(0, 0, [0]), (0, 0, [1])\}$  of  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  we obtain that the image  $\mathbf{a}(L_1)$  is an order convex chain in  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  with the respect to the natural partial order. Then Remark 3.1 and the description of the natural partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  (see: Proposition 2.5) imply that either  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) = (p, p, [m + 1])$  or  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) = (p + 1, p + 1, [m - 1])$ .

Suppose that the equality  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) = (p, p, [m + 1])$  holds. If  $m + 1 = k$  then the equalities  $0 < m < k$  and Remark 3.1 imply that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [2]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k-1], [k]\}}$ . Since  $(0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [0])$ , Proposition 21(6) of [12, Section 1.4] implies that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [2]) \preceq \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) \preceq \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0])$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]), \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]), \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [2])\}$  is not an order convex subset of  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ , because  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [2]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k-1], [k]\}}$ , a contradiction, and hence we obtain that  $m + 1 < k$ .

The above arguments and induction imply that there exists a positive integer  $n_0 < k$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [n_0]) = (p, p, [k])$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [n_0 + 1]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[m], \dots, [k]\}}$  and by the description of the natural

partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  (see: Proposition 2.4) we get that

$$\{\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]), \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]), \dots, \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [n_0]), \mathbf{a}(0, 0, [n_0 + 1])\}$$

is not an order convex subset of  $(E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}), \preceq)$ , a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) \neq (p, p, [m + 1])$ .

In the case  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [1]) = (p + 1, p + 1, [m - 1])$  by similar way we get a contradiction.  $\square$

Later we assume that  $\mathfrak{h}_p$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$  are automorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  defined in Proposition 3.3 and Example 3.8, respectively.

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $k$  be any positive integer and  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}: \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  be an automorphisms such that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k]\}}$ . Then there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p$ .*

*Proof.* First we remark that for any integer  $p$  the automorphisms  $\mathfrak{h}_p$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$  commute, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{h}_p \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (p, p, [k])$  for some integer  $p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbf{a} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{-p}$  is an automorphism of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}(0, 0, [0]) = (0, 0, [k])$ . Then the order convexity of the linearly ordered set  $L_1 = \{(0, 0, [0]), (0, 0, [1])\}$  implies that the image  $\mathbf{a}(L_1)$  is an order convex chain in  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  with the respect to the natural partial order. Remark 3.1 and the description of the natural partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  (see: Proposition 2.5) imply that  $\mathfrak{b}(0, 0, [1]) = (1, 1, [k - 1])$ . Next, by induction and above presented arguments we get that  $\mathfrak{b}(0, 0, [s]) = (s, s, [k - s])$  for any  $s = 2, \dots, k$ .

Fix an arbitrary  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $(1, 1, [s])$  is the biggest element of the set of idempotents of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[s]\}}$  which are less than  $(0, 0, [s])$ , Remark 3.1 and the description of the natural partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  (see: Proposition 2.5) imply that  $\mathfrak{b}(1, 1, [s]) = (1 + s, 1 + s, [k - s])$ . Then by induction and presented above arguments we get that  $\mathfrak{b}(i, i, [s]) = (i + s, i + s, [k - s])$  for any positive integer  $i$ . Also, since  $(-1, -1, [s])$  is the smallest element of the set of idempotents of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[s]\}}$  which are greater than  $(0, 0, [s])$ , Remark 3.1 and the description of the natural partial order on  $E(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  imply that  $\mathfrak{b}(-1, -1, [s]) = (-1 + s, -1 + s, [k - s])$ . Similar, by induction and presented above arguments we get that  $\mathfrak{b}(-i, -i, [s]) = (-i + s, -i + s, [k - s])$  for any positive integer  $i$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{b}(i, i, [s]) = (i + s, i + s, [k - s])$  for any integer  $i$ .

Fix any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and an arbitrary  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Remark 3.1 implies that  $\mathfrak{b}(i, j, [s]) = (m, n, [k - s])$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Proposition 21(1) of [12, Section 1.4] and Lemma 1(4) of [9] we get that  $\mathfrak{b}(j, i, [s]) = (n, m, [k - s])$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(i, i, [s]) &= \mathfrak{b}((i, j, [s]) \cdot (j, i, [s])) = \\ &= \mathfrak{b}(i, j, [s]) \cdot \mathfrak{b}(j, i, [s]) = \\ &= (m, n, [k - s]) \cdot (n, m, [k - s]) = \\ &= (m, m, [k - s]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(j, j, [s]) &= \mathfrak{b}((j, i, [s]) \cdot (i, j, [s])) = \\ &= \mathfrak{b}(j, i, [s]) \cdot \mathfrak{b}(i, j, [s]) = \\ &= (n, m, [k - s]) \cdot (m, n, [k - s]) = \\ &= (n, n, [k - s]), \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have that  $m = i + s$  and  $n = j + s$ .

Therefore we obtain  $\mathfrak{b}(i, j, [s]) = (i + s, j + s, [k - s])$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and an arbitrary  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{b} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{-p} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p = \mathfrak{b} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p,$$

which completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

The following lemma describes the relation between automorphisms  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  in the case when  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $k$  be any positive integer and  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{h}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{h}_1}_{k\text{-times}} = \mathfrak{h}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{h}_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{h}_1^{-1}}_{k\text{-times}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \mathfrak{h}_{-k} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and an arbitrary  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}})(i, j, [s]) &= \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i + s, j + s, [k - s]) = \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(i + s + k - s, j + s + k - s, [k - (k - s)]) = \\ &= (i + k, j + k, [s]) = \\ &= \mathfrak{h}_k(i, j, [s]), \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the equality  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \mathfrak{h}_k$  we get that  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \mathfrak{h}_1^k \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}$ , and hence

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} = (\mathfrak{h}_1^k)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{h}_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{h}_1^{-1}}_{k\text{-times}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \mathfrak{h}_{-k} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

For any positive integer  $k$  we denote the following group  $G_k = \langle x, y \mid xy = yx, y^2 = x^k \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *For any positive integer  $k$  the group  $G_k = \langle x, y \mid xy = yx, y^2 = x^k \rangle$  is isomorphic to the additive groups of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ .*

*Proof.* In the case when  $k = 2p$  for some positive integer  $p$  we have that  $y^2 = x^{2p}$ , and hence  $x$  is a generator of  $G_k$  such that  $y = x^p$ .

In the case when  $k = 2p + 1$  for some  $p \in \omega$  we have that  $z = y \cdot x^{-k}$  is a generator of  $G_k$  such that  $x = z^2$  and  $y = z^{2p+1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $k$  be any positive integer and  $\mathcal{F} = \{[0], \dots, [k]\}$ . Then the group  $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}})$  of automorphisms of the semigroup  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the group  $G_k$ , and hence to the additive groups of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.10 for any automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  we have that either  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$  or  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k]\}}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[0]\}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0])$  is an idempotent and hence by Lemma 1(2) of [9],  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) = (-p, -p, [0])$  for some integer  $p$ . Similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 imply that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p = \underbrace{\mathfrak{h}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathfrak{h}_1}_{p\text{-times}}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathbf{a}(0, 0, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\{[k]\}}$ . Then by Proposition 3.11 there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p$ .

Since  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_p$  commute, the above arguments imply that any automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a one of the following forms:

- $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p = (\mathfrak{h}_1)^p$  for some integer  $p$ ;      or
- $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}_p \circ \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_p = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ (\mathfrak{h}_1)^p$  for some integer  $p$ .

This implies that the map  $\mathfrak{A}: \mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow G_k$  defined by the formulae  $\mathfrak{A}((\mathfrak{h}_1)^p) = x^p$  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \circ (\mathfrak{h}_1)^p) = yx^p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is a group isomorphism. Next we apply Lemma 3.12.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Alex Ravsky, Taras Banakh and Oleksandr Ganyushkin for their comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961. [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [1](#)
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967. [1](#)
- [3] I. R. Fihel and O. V. Gutik, *On the closure of the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **3** (2011), no. 2, 131–157. [2](#)
- [4] J. A. Green, *On the structure of semigroups*, Ann. Math. (2) **54** (1951), no. 1, 163–172. [3](#)
- [5] O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup  $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$  which is generated by the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of atomic subsets of  $\omega$* , Preprint (arXiv:2108.11354). [1](#)
- [6] O. Gutik and K. Maksymyk, *On variants of the bicyclic extended semigroup*, Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **84** (2017), 22–37. [1](#)
- [7] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian). [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [2](#)
- [8] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On group congruences on the semigroup  $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$  and its homomorphic retracts in the case when the family  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of inductive non-empty subsets of  $\omega$* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **91** (2021), 5–27 (in Ukrainian). [1.1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [2.3](#), [2](#)
- [9] O. V. Gutik and I. V. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup generating by extended bicyclic semigroup and an  $\omega$ -closed family*, Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **64** (2021), no. 1, 21–34 (in Ukrainian). [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [10] O. Gutik, O. Prokhorenkova, and D. Sekh, *On endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) (to appear) (arXiv:2202.00073) (in Ukrainian). [1](#)
- [11] E. Harzheim, *Ordered sets*, Springer, Nre-York, Advances in Math. **7**, 2005. [1](#), [3](#)
- [12] M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [13] O. Lysetska, *On feebly compact topologies on the semigroup  $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^1}$* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 48–56. [1](#)
- [14] M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984. [1](#)
- [15] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, Dover Publ., 1976. [1](#)
- [16] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian). [1](#)
- [17] R. J. Warne, *I-bisimple semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), no. 3, 367–386. [1](#)

LVIV UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

Email address: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, pozdnyakova.inna@gmail.com