

# A MATRIX ANALOGUE OF SCHUR-SIEGEL-SMYTH TRACE PROBLEM

SRIJONEE SHABNAM CHAUDHURY

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}$  be three sets of some special types of connected positive definite matrices. The *Absolute Trace* of a square matrix  $A$  is defined by

$$\frac{\text{Trace of matrix } A}{\text{Order of } A}$$

In this paper, we extend the notion of absolute trace by defining *trace- $k$  measure* of  $A$  = mean value of the power sums of eigenvalues of  $A$ . And we obtain the greatest lower bound of this measure for any  $n \times n$  matrix in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C}$ . Using this we find some criteria which assure when an integer polynomial can not be a characteristic or minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix. We also obtain here the smallest limit point of the set of all *absolute trace- $k$  measure* of matrices in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C}$ .

Finally, we exhibit that the famous result of Smyth on density of absolute trace measure of totally positive integers is also true for the set of symmetric integer connected positive definite matrices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

An algebraic integer  $\alpha$  is, by definition, a root of a monic irreducible polynomial

$$f_\alpha = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$$

with integer coefficients. Set of all the roots of  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of  $f_\alpha$  are called the conjugates of  $\alpha$ . If all conjugates of  $\alpha$  are positive real numbers then it is called a *totally positive integer* and  $f_\alpha$  is called a *totally positive irreducible polynomial*. The set of all totally positive algebraic integers and totally positive irreducible polynomials are denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_+$  and  $\mathcal{F}_+$  respectively. The *trace* and *norm* of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_+$  with minimal polynomial  $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_+$  is defined by the following:

$$\text{Trace}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = a_1$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A18, 15B36, 15B57, 11C08.

*Key words and phrases.* Symmetrizable Matrices, Hermitian Matrices, Integer Diagonal, Schur-Siegel-Smyth Trace Problem, Minimal polynomial, Characteristic polynomial.

$$Norm(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = a_n$$

We also define here,

$$\text{absolute trace of } \alpha = A(\alpha) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}{n} = \frac{a_1}{n} = A(f_\alpha)$$

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_+$  and corresponding  $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_+$  .

Then by AM-GM inequality,

$$\frac{a_1}{n} = \text{absolute trace of } \alpha \geq Norm(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{n}} = a_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_+$  ,  $a_1, a_n$  are positive integers. This implies, absolute trace of a totally positive integer is always  $\geq 1$ .

In a startling paper [1] in 1918, Schur proved that –

**Theorem 1.1.** *There are only finitely many polynomials  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  with  $A(f) < \sqrt{e}$ .*

The next improvement is due to Siegel in his paper [2] in 1945. In this paper he proved that –

**Theorem 1.2.** *The only  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  with  $A(f) \leq \frac{3}{2}$  are  $(x - 1)$  and  $(x^2 - 3x + 1)$*

On the same paper, by Siegel also showed that for any real number  $\rho < 2$  there exist only finitely many  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  with  $A(f) \leq \rho$ .

Siegel's observation gives rise to the following problem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *(Schur-Siegel-Smyth Trace Problem:) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , prove that there are only finitely many  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  with  $A(f) \leq 2 - \epsilon$  and if possible, find all such polynomials.*

This problem is still unsolved but there are partial progress on this problem made by many authors following Schur and Siegel. For detailed study on this problem see for example [3], [4], [5], [7], [8].

But from some recent results [See [13], [10]] it seems that it is not very difficult to prove some results on trace problem if we try to find it for some combinatorial objects (such as graph, matrix etc. ) attached with an algebraic integer. In this paper we have tried to do the same for some special types of matrices.

## 2. SOME BASICS:

A matrix is a way of representing a morphism in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces. Here we give definitions and basic properties of some special types of matrices and the graphs and eigenvalues associated with it.

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , that is,  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  integer matrix. For any matrix  $A$  (square or not) we define the transpose of  $A$  by  $A^T$ .

**Definition 2.1.** : A real square matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of order  $n > 2$  is called **sign symmetric** if

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_{ij}a_{ji} > 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

We denote

$$\mathcal{S}_n^\pm := \text{the set of all sign symmetric matrices of order } n$$

And

$$\mathcal{S}^\pm := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n^\pm$$

**Definition 2.2.** : An  $n \times n$  real matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is said to satisfy **cycle condition** if for any finite sequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  where  $3 \leq k \leq n$

$$a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_k i_1} = a_{i_2 i_1} a_{i_3 i_2} \dots a_{i_1 i_k}$$

**Definition 2.3.** : An  $n \times n$  real matrix  $A$  is called **symmetrizable** if  $A$  is sign symmetric and satisfies the cycle condition.

Or equivalently,

if there exist a diagonal matrix  $D$  with all diagonal entries are positive, such that  $D^{-1}AD$  is a real symmetric matrix.

**Definition 2.4.** : An  $n \times n$  real matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$  is said to satisfy **rational cycle condition** if for any finite sequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  where  $3 \leq k \leq n$

$$s_{i_1 i_2} s_{i_2 i_3} \dots s_{i_k i_1} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Let us also define

$\mathcal{T}_n^c :=$  Set of all  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrices with entries in  $\sqrt{N_0}$  and satisfies rational cycle condition

Then,

$$\mathcal{T}^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n^c$$

Where,  $\sqrt{\mathbb{N}_0} := \pm\sqrt{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\} = \{\pm\sqrt{a}, 0 | a \in \mathbb{N}\}$

**Definition 2.5.** For any  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}_n^\pm$  a map

$$\phi : \mathcal{S}_n^\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n^c$$

is defined by  $\phi(a_{ij}) = \text{sgn}(a_{ij})\sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ji}}$

If  $A$  is a symmetrizable matrix then  $\phi$  is called a **symmetrization map**.

**Definition 2.6.** : Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  real symmetrizable matrix. A **directed graph**  $G_A$  with  $n$  vertices associated with the matrix  $A$  can be defined by the following way–

- *vertex set:* Consider each column of the matrix  $A$  as a vertex and give a label of each vertex from 1 to  $n$  such that the  $i$ -th vertex corresponds to the  $i$ -th column of the matrix for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- *edge set:* There exist a directed edge from vertex  $i$  to  $j$  if  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . Thus, set of all non-zero entries of matrix  $A$  reflects an edge of the associated directed graph.

Here is an example.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a  $3 \times 3$  symmetrizable integer matrix. The directed graph  $G_A$  associated with  $A$  is generated by the points  $\{ (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5) \}$  where we denote  $(1, 2, 3)$ ,  $(2, 3, 4)$  and  $(3, 4, 5)$  as 1-st, 2-nd and 3-rd vertex respectively.

**Definition 2.7.** : Let  $G_A$  be a graph associated with a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ . The graph  $G_A$  is said to be connected if each vertex is connected to some other. A matrix is **connected** if the associated graph is connected.

*Example:* The matrix  $A$  given above is an example of a connected matrix.

**Definition 2.8.** A square matrix is said to be **diagonally dominant** if in each row of the matrix, the absolute value of the diagonal entry is greater than or equals to the sum of absolute values of other entries of that row.

More precisely, suppose  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  square matrix. Then  $A$  is said to be **diagonally dominant** if

$$|s_{ii}| \geq \sum_{i \neq j} |s_{ij}|$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

If the inequality is strict for all rows then  $S$  is called **strictly diagonally dominant** matrix, otherwise it is called **weakly diagonally dominant**.

Now we will define the totally positive eigenvalues of a square integer matrix  $A$ .

**Definition 2.9.** : Let  $P_A = \text{Determinant of } (xI - A) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ . Then an eigenvalue of  $A$ , that is, a root of  $P_A$ , is called **totally positive** if all of its conjugates (which are also eigenvalues of  $A$ ) are positive real number.

A matrix is said to be **positive definite** if and only if all of its eigenvalues are totally positive.

Let us define some special types of connected, positive definite matrices here.

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{A | A \text{ is an } n \times n \text{ real connected symmetrizable positive definite matrix}\}$$

And

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n$$

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \{A = (a_{ij}) | a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \sqrt{\mathbb{N}_0} \text{ and } A \text{ satisfies rational cycle condition}\}$$

And,

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n$$

$$\mathcal{C}_n := \{A = (a_{ij}) | A \text{ is } n \times n \text{ connected positive definite matrix with } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } |a_{ij}| > 0\}$$

And,

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$$

In [13], Proposition 1, it is obtained that  $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n^\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^c$  is surjective.

We can extend it readily to the following.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is surjective. If we define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{S}_n$  by,  $(a_{ij} \sim (b_{ij}$  if and only if  $a_{ij}a_{ji} = b_{ij}b_{ji}$  then  $\phi : \mathcal{S}/\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is bijective.

*Proof.* First part of the lemma easily follows from Proposition 1 of [13].

Also, it is easy to check that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Let  $A \in [A]_{\sim}$  = the equivalence class of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{S}/\sim$  and  $B \in [B]_{\sim}$

Suppose,

$$\phi(A) = \phi(B) \implies \text{sgn}(a_{ij})\sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ji}} = \text{sgn}(b_{ij})\sqrt{b_{ij}b_{ji}} \implies a_{ij}a_{ji} = b_{ij}b_{ji}$$

For all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

This implies  $[A]_{\sim} = [B]_{\sim}$

Also, from the first part we know that for any  $A' \in \mathcal{C}$  there exist  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\phi(A) = A'$ . Now if we take any  $B \in [A]_{\sim}$  then by definition of the equivalence class  $\sim$ ,  $\phi(B) = A' \implies \phi([A]_{\sim}) = A'$ . Hence proved.  $\square$

Now, we first define some quantities related with a totally positive algebraic integer and then analogously interpret them in matrix set-up.

**Definition 2.10.** : Let  $\alpha$  be a totally positive algebraic integer of degree  $d \geq 2$  with conjugates  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the **Trace- $k$  measure** of  $\alpha$  by

$$Tr_k(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^k$$

**Definition 2.11.** : The arithmetic mean of  $k$ -th power of all conjugates of  $\alpha$  is called the **absolute Trace- $k$  measure**. We denote it by

$$\overline{Tr}_k(\alpha) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^k}{d}$$

We can use these above mentioned quantities for totally positive algebraic integers in exactly similar way for any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be set of all eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$ .

Then we define –

- Trace- $k$  measure of  $A := Tr_k(A) := \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^k$
- Absolute Trace- $k$  measure of  $A := \overline{Tr}_k(A) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i^k}{n}$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In [13] Smyth and McKee obtained the greatest lower bound of the trace of any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$  and  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . And in [14] Mitchell obtained the same for matrices in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ . In the following theorem we extend these results and obtain the same for trace- $k$  measures of matrices.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a matrix in  $\mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{T}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ . Then  $Tr_k(A) \geq 2^k n - (2^k - 1)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

It is known that the smallest limit point of "Schur-Siegel-Smyth Trace Problem" is in a neighbourhood of 2. But the exact limit is still undetermined. Although, the analogous problem for symmetrizable integer matrices is settled in [13]. In the next result, as a consequence of the above theorem we derive the smallest limit point of the set of absolute trace- $k$  measure of matrices in  $\mathcal{S}$  or in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $U_k, V_k$  and  $W_k$  be the set of absolute trace-  $k$  measure of matrices in  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  respectively. Then the smallest limit points of  $U_k, V_k$  and  $W_k$  are the same and it is equals to  $2^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a monic, irreducible totally positive polynomial then one can sensibly ask that when it become a characteristic polynomial of a symmetric integer matrix. The answer is not completely known. But here, as a Corollary of Theorem 3.1 we show that there exists some conditions on  $f(x)$  which assure when it can not be the same.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let*

$$p(x) = x^n - a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

*be a monic, irreducible totally positive polynomial with trace  $2n - 1$ . Then  $p(x)$  is not a characteristic polynomial of some matrix in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$  if  $a_2 > 2(n - 1)^2$*

*In general, if we know  $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}$  we obtain an integer  $r_k$  such that  $p(x)$  is not a characteristic polynomial of a matrix in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$  if*

$$a_k \begin{cases} < \frac{r_k}{k} & \text{for } k \text{ is even} \\ > \frac{r_k}{k} & \text{for } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Now, we can ask similar question for minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix. In 1993, Estes and Guralnick [17] conjectured that *any separable monic totally real integer polynomial can be written as minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric*

*matrix*. But later Dobrowski and others disproved it by showing infinitely many counter-examples [see [15], [16]]. Here we obtain some criteria based on which we can say that when a polynomial can not be a minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $p_i(x)$  be monic, irreducible, totally positive polynomials for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then  $m(x) = xp_1(x)\dots p_s(x)$  can not be written as minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix with no positive definite connected component if  $tr(p_i) < 2deg(p_i)$ .*

Another pioneering work on Schur-Siegel-Smyth trace problem is due to Smyth. In his 1984 paper [11] Smyth analysed the detailed structure of the spectrum of absolute trace- $p$  measure of a totally positive integer for any  $p > 0$ . For  $p = 1$ , in particular, he proved that,

the set of absolute traces of totally positive integers is dense in  $[2, \infty)$ . He proved this by constructing a sequence  $\beta_n^b$  of totally real algebraic integers with  $2^n$  conjugates, where  $b$  is a suitably chosen odd positive integer.

Here we prove that the same result is also true for integer symmetric matrices.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The set*

$\left\{ \frac{tr(A)}{deg(A)} \mid A \text{ is an integer symmetric connected positive definite matrix} \right\}$  *is dense in  $[2, \infty)$ .*

#### 4. PROOFS

*Proof.* (*proof* of Theorem 3.1) Let us assume the contrary. Let  $k$  be an arbitrary but fixed positive integer and  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{T}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ . By assumption,  $tr_k(A) < 2^k n - (2^k - 1)$

Case I : For matrices in  $\mathcal{T}_n$ .

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} = \mathcal{B}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We will define a symmetric bilinear form  $B$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $B(e_i, e_j) = a_{ij}$ .

By assumption, all eigenvalues of  $A$  are strictly positive. This implies, the bilinear form  $B$  is positive definite and therefore all diagonal entries of  $A$  are positive integers.

Since by assumption  $tr_k(A) \leq 2^k(n - 1)$  therefore at least two diagonal entries are 1 and other diagonal entries are  $\geq 2$  (because, if all diagonal entries are  $\geq 2$  then  $tr_k(A) \geq 2^k n$  and if one diagonal entry is 1 and other entries are  $\geq 2$  then  $tr_k(A) \geq 2^k(n - 1) + 1$ ).

This gives us  $tr(A) \leq 2n - 2$ . But by [13] any  $n \times n$  matrix in  $\mathcal{C}_n$  with all eigenvalues positive must have trace  $\geq 2n - 1$ .

This leads to a contradiction.

Case II: Since from Lemma 2.1 we get  $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$  is surjective then for any  $A' \in \mathcal{T}$  there exist  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\phi(A) = A'$

Again, for any  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}$

$$tr_k(\phi(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_{ii})^k = \sum_{i=1}^n (sgn(a_{ii}))^k (a_{ii}^k)$$

Since  $A$  is totally positive then all diagonal entries are positive  $\implies sgn(a_{ii}) = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$

This implies  $tr_k(\phi(A)) = tr_k(A)$ . Hence the result follows.

Case III: Since positive definite matrices have all diagonal entries positive and since for any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  diagonal entries are integer therefore they must be in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then the result follows from Case I and Theorem 1 from [14].  $\square$

*Proof.* (proof of Corollary 3.1)

Consider the following  $n \times n$  matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is

- *symmetric, integer:*  $M = (m_{ij})$  where  $m_{nn} = 1$ ,  $m_{ii} = 2$  for  $i < n$  and  $m_{i,i+1} = m_{i+1,i} = -1$  and all other entries are 0. Therefore by definition it follows.
- *positive definite:* Since  $M$  is a symmetric diagonally dominant (not strictly) therefore by Gershgorin's circle theorem [12] eigenvalues of  $M$  are all non-negative. Again,  $M$  is a non-singular matrix. This implies every eigenvalue of  $M$  must be positive.
- *connected:* By definition of connectedness given in section2,  $i$ -th point of the graph  $G_M$  associated with the matrix  $M$  is connected with  $i + 1$ -th point for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It means, this is a *path*.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if we consider the sequence  $M_n \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{C}$  of this form then limit of the absolute  $tr_k$  of this sequence is  $2^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Now we show that  $2^k$  is the smallest limit point of  $X_k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us assume the contrary.

Take any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $M'_n \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C}$  be a sequence of  $n \times n$  matrix with limit of the absolute  $tr_k < 2^k - \epsilon$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some arbitrary but fixed positive integer  $k$ . But

by Theorem 3.1

$$\overline{Tr_k(M'_n)} \geq \frac{2^k n - (2^k - 1)}{n} \implies n < \frac{2^k - 1}{\epsilon}$$

Hence there are finitely many possibilities for  $n$ . Again, from [13] and [14] absolute trace of each matrix is bounded. Therefore the set of diagonal entries must be finite (since all eigenvalues are positive).

Also, if we consider any principal sub-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{ii} & m_{ij} \\ m_{ji} & m_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$$

of a matrix  $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{C}$

Since  $M$  is a positive-definite matrix each of its principal sub-matrix must be the same.

For  $M \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $m_{ij}$  and  $m_{ji}$  may not be equal but both are integers. Therefore,

$$m_{ij}m_{ji} < m_{ii}m_{jj} \implies |m_{ij}| < m_{ij}m_{ji} < m_{ii}m_{jj}$$

For  $M \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$ . This implies,

$$(m_{ij})^2 < m_{ii}m_{jj} \implies |m_{ij}| < \sqrt{m_{ii}m_{jj}}$$

And for  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $M$  is positive definite. it means  $M$  is hermitian. Therefore

$$m_{ij}\overline{m_{ij}} < m_{ii}m_{jj} \implies |m_{ij}|^2 < m_{ii}m_{jj} \implies |m_{ij}| < \sqrt{m_{ii}m_{jj}}$$

It means, absolute value of each  $m_{ij}$  is bounded by some function of diagonal entries(which is obviously bounded). Thus for each of the entries of such matrices we have only finitely many choices. Hence the number choices of such matrices is finite and this leads to a contradiction. □

*Proof.* (proof of the Corollary 3.2):

Part I: Let us assume the contrary. Let  $A_p$  be a matrix in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$  with characteristic polynomial  $p(x)$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\} =$  roots of  $p(x) =$  set of all characteristic values of  $A_p$ .

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 2n - 1$  and  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j < 2(n - 1)^2$  implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i)^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 2n - 1 \right)^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j < 2(n - 1)^2 < 4n - 3$$

But from Theorem 3.1 we get if  $A \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}$  then  $tr_2(A) > 4n - 3$ . This leads to a contradiction.

Part II:

Let us denote  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^l = s_l$

From Newton's Identity for symmetric polynomial we know that,

$$s_1 = a_1$$

And,

$$s_l = (-1)^{j-1} l a_l + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{k-1+i} a_{k-i} s_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq k-1$$

Therefore, if we know  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}$  then we get  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{k-1}$ .

Again, from Newton's identity we get –

$$a_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} a_{k-i} s_i + (-1)^{i-k} s_k}{k} \tag{4.1}$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and equation 4.1 we get the result.

□

From this result we can say when an irreducible polynomial can not be characteristic polynomial of a symmetric integer connected positive definite matrix.

In our next result we have tried to extend it for minimal polynomial of a symmetric integer positive semidefinite matrix. The matrix doesn't need to be connected.

In [14], as a corollary of Theorem 2, it is proved the following –

*An  $n \times n$  complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank  $r$  whose graph has  $s$  connected components, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least  $n + r - s$ .*

Using this result, we will prove the following Theorem.

*Proof.* (proof of the Theorem 3.2) Let us assume the contrary. Let  $m(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix  $A_m$  with  $r$  number of connected components such that no component is positive definite. Then the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_m(x)$  of  $A_m$  is of the form

$$\chi_m(x) = x^l p_1^{n_1}(x) p_2^{n_2}(x) \dots p_s^{n_s}(x)$$

for some  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\deg(p_i) = d_i$

If  $\text{tr}(p_i(x)) < 2d_i$  then  $\text{tr}(\chi_m(x)) = \text{tr}(A_m) < \sum_{i=1}^s 2n_i d_i$

Now,  $\deg(\chi_m(x)) = \text{ord}(A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i + l$  and  $\text{rank}(A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i$

Here,  $\deg(f(x)) = \text{degree of } f(x)$  for any polynomial  $f(x)$  and  $\text{ord}(A) = \text{order of matrix } A$ .

By given condition, no connected component of  $A_m$  is positive definite. This implies, each component has nullity at least 1  $\implies$  nullity of  $A_m$  must be at least  $r$ . That means,  $l \geq r$

From here, we can say that,  $tr(\chi_m(x)) < \sum_{i=1}^s 2n_i d_i \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i + l - r$

But this is not possible by above mentioned result in [14]. Hence proved.  $\square$

In general, from this theorem we get that, if  $A$  is a matrix such that nullity of  $A \geq$  rank of  $A$  then any polynomial  $m(x)$  of the given form can not be minimal polynomial of  $A$ .

Using this result we can produce a large number of counter examples of Estes-Guralnick conjecture [17].

Now we prove the matrix analogue of Smyth's famous result [11] on 'Schur-Siegel-Smyth Trace Problem'.

*Proof.* (*proof* of the Theorem 3.3) From Smyth's result [11] on 'Schur-Siegel-Smyth' trace problem we know that the set of absolute traces of any totally positive algebraic integers is dense in  $[2, \infty)$ . This means, for any arbitrary but fixed real number  $r \geq 2$  there exists a sequence  $\alpha_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of totally positive algebraic integer which converges to  $r$ .

Let  $\alpha_n$  has  $N_n$  number of conjugates.

Let

$$P_n = x^{N_n} + a_{N_n} x^{N_n-1} + \dots + a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $a_{N_n}$  be the sum of all conjugates of  $\alpha_n$ , that is, the trace of  $\alpha_n$ . Then the absolute trace of  $\alpha_n$  is  $\frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n}$ .

By assumption, for any  $r \geq 2$  there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq M$   $\frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} \geq 2$ .

Now for all  $n \geq M$  we construct a sequence of matrix  $T_n$  of order  $N_n \times N_n$  by the following way-

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_{N_n} - 2(N_n - 1) & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since

$$\frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} \geq 2 \implies a_{N_n} - (2N_n - 1) \geq 1$$

Since  $T_n$  is a symmetric, diagonally dominant and non-singular matrix, it must be positive definite. Also, by construction it is a connected and integer matrix. This means,  $T_n \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now,

$$\text{Absolute trace of } T_n = \frac{\text{sum of diagonal entries of } T_n}{\text{order of } T_n} = \frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} = \text{absolute trace of } \alpha_n$$

Hence, it converges to  $r$  for any given  $r \geq 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Since the set of all symmetric integer positive definite matrices is contained in  $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{C}$  Therefore the result is true for the sets of matrices  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 5. AN OBSERVATION:

The analogue of "Schur - Siegel - Smyth Trace Problem" for absolute trace-2 measure of a totally positive irreducible polynomial is the following:

**Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , prove that there are only finitely many  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  with  $\overline{Tr}_2(f) \leq 6 - \epsilon$  and if possible, find all such polynomials.

Liang and Wu [6] in 2011 solved the problem for  $\overline{Tr}_2(f) < 5.31935$ . In 2020, Flammang [9] solved the same problem for  $\overline{Tr}_2(f) < 5.321767$ . She also proved that using the method of auxiliary function it can not be proved that 6 is the smallest limit point of  $\overline{Tr}_2(f)$ .

Now from Corollary 3.1 we get that the smallest absolute trace-2 measure of a symmetric integer positive definite matrix  $A$  is 4. But unlikely to the case of spectrum of absolute traces, surprisingly we can conclude here from the above mention results on  $\overline{Tr}_2(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  that

Spectrum of Absolute Trace-2 measure of matrices =  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is not dense in  $[4, 5.321767)$ .

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The author expresses her gratitude to her adviser Prof. Kalyan Chakraborty for many valuable suggestions. The author is also indebted to Dr. Azizul Hoque for going through the manuscript and revising it thoroughly.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Schur, *Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten*, Math. Z. **1** (1918) 377–402.

- [2] C. L. Siegel. *The trace of totally positive and real algebraic integers*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **46**, (1945), 302-312
- [3] J. Aguirre, M. Bilbao, J. C. Peral. *The trace of totally positive algebraic integers*, *Math. Comp.*, **75** (2006), no 253, 385–393.
- [4] J. Aguirre and J.C. Peral. *The Trace Problem for Totally Positive Algebraic Integers*, Number Theory and Polynomials. (Conference proceedings, University of Bristol, 3-7 April 2006, editors J.F. McKee and C.J. Smyth). LMS Lecture notes
- [5] J. Aguirre and J.C. Peral. *The integer Chebyshev constant of Farey intervals*, *Publ. Mat.* (2007), Proceedings of the Primeras Jornadas de Teoria de Numeros, 11–27.
- [6] Y. Liang and Q. Wu. *The trace problem for totally positive algebraic integers*, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **90** (2011), no. 3, 341–354.
- [7] X. Dong and Q. Wu *The absolute trace of totally positive reciprocal algebraic integers*, *J. Number Theory* **170** (2017), 66–74.
- [8] V.Flammang. *Une nouvelle minoration pour la trace absolue des entiers alg'ebriques totale- ment positifs* available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09407> , (2019)
- [9] V. Flammang. *An analog to the Schur-Siegel-Smyth trace problem* *Mathematics of Computation*, **89**, (2020) 325. 2387–2398.
- [10] J.McKee and P.Yatsyna , *A trace bound for positive definite connected integer symmetric matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **444** (2014), 227-230.
- [11] C.J. Smyth. *The mean value of totally real algebraic numbers*, *Math. Comp.* **42** (1984), 663–681.
- [12] S. Gerschgorin, *Über die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix.* *Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR Otd. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk* **6** (1931), 749–754.
- [13] James McKee and Chris Smyth *matrices, quotients, and the trace problem*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **600** (2020), 60-81.
- [14] L. Mitchell, *A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices* *Spec. Matrices*, **8** (2020), 14-16.
- [15] E. Dobrowolski, *A note on integer symmetric matrices and Mahler's measure*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **51** (2008), 57–59.
- [16] J. McKee, *Computing totally positive algebraic integers of small trace*, *Math. Comp.* **80** (274) (2011) 1041–1052.
- [17] D.R. Estes, R.M. Guralnick, *Minimal polynomials of integral symmetric matrices*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **192** (1993) 83–99.

SRIJONEE SHABNAM CHAUDHURY @HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, HBNI, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD 211 019, INDIA.

*Email address:* [srijoneeshabnam@hri.res.in](mailto:srijoneeshabnam@hri.res.in)