

A NEW CLASS OF LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES WITH APPLICATION

SOKOL BUSH KALIAJ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define the Radon-Nikodym class (\mathcal{RN} class) of locally convex topological vector spaces. The \mathcal{RN} class is characterized in terms of the Radon-Nikodym theorem for vector measures using integrable by seminorm derivatives. It is shown that the $\mathcal{RN}\mathcal{P}$ class of all complete Hausdorff locally convex spaces possessing the Radon-Nikodym property is properly contained in the \mathcal{RN} class. As an application we present a Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures with respect to the Pettis integrable multifunctions in terms of the \mathcal{RN} class.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper X is a Hausdorff locally convex space with the topology τ and \mathcal{P} the family of all τ -continuous seminorms. (Ω, Σ, μ) is a complete finite measure space; $\Sigma^+ = \{E \in \Sigma : \mu(E) > 0\}$ and $\Sigma_E^+ = \{A \in \Sigma^+ : A \subset E\}$, $E \in \Sigma^+$. For any seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we denote by \tilde{X}_p the quotient vector space $X/p^{-1}(0)$, by $\varphi_p : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p$ the canonical quotient map, by (\tilde{X}_p, \tilde{p}) the quotient normed space and by $(\overline{X}_p, \overline{p})$ the completion of (\tilde{X}_p, \tilde{p}) , $\tilde{p}(\varphi_p(x)) = p(x)$. For each $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p \leq q$ we can define the continuous linear maps:

$$\tilde{g}_{pq} : \tilde{X}_q \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p, \quad \tilde{g}_{pq}(\varphi_q(x)) = \varphi_p(x)$$

and $\overline{g}_{pq} : \overline{X}_q \rightarrow \overline{X}_p$ as the continuous linear extension of \tilde{g}_{pq} to \overline{X}_q . We denote by

$$\varprojlim \tilde{g}_{pq} \tilde{X}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim \overline{g}_{pq} \overline{X}_q$$

the projective limits of the family $\{(\tilde{X}_p, \tilde{p}) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and $\{(\overline{X}_p, \overline{p}) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ with respect to the linear maps \tilde{g}_{pq} and \overline{g}_{pq} respectively, c.f. [18, p.52].

Definition 1.1. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be *measurable* if

$$(\forall G \in \tau)[f^{-1}(G) \in \Sigma].$$

If f has only a finite set x_1, \dots, x_n of values then f is said to be a *simple function*; in this case, f is measurable if and only if

$$f^{-1}(x_i) = \{t \in \Omega : f(t) = x_i\} \in \Sigma, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a function. We say that f is

- *p-strongly measurable* ($p \in \mathcal{P}$) if there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(f_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow X)$ and a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p,$$

- *measurable by seminorm* if for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ the function f is p -strongly measurable,
- *strongly measurable* if there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(f_n : \Omega \rightarrow X)$ and a measurable set $Z \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z) = 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z.$$

It is clear that if $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a measurable simple function, then it is strongly measurable.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28B05, 28B20, 46A03, 46A13, 46B22, 46G10.

Key words and phrases. Locally convex topological vector spaces, Radon-Nikodym class, Radon-Nikodym theorem, Radon-Nikodym property, multimeasures.

Definition 1.3. Let $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a net of functions $f_s : \Omega \rightarrow X$. We say that $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net of *pairwise almost the same functions* if for each two continuous seminorms $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \leq q$, there exists a measurable set $Z_{pq} \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_{pq}) = 0$ such that

$$p(f_p(t) - f_q(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_{pq}.$$

We say that a Hausdorff locally convex space X is called an *S-locally convex space*, if for each net $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ of pairwise almost the same functions, there exists a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that for each $s \in \mathcal{P}$ there is measurable $Z_s \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_s) = 0$ such that

$$s(f(t) - f_s(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_s$$

We denote by \mathcal{S} the class of all complete *S-locally convex spaces*.

Definition 1.4. Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a function and let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. We say that f is called *p-Bochner integrable* if there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(f_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow X)$ and a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

- (i) $p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p$,
- (ii) $p(f_n^p(\cdot) - f(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) d\mu = 0,$$

where $L_1(\mu)$ is the space of the Lebesgue integrable functions from Ω to \mathbb{R} ,

- (iii) for each $E \in \Sigma$ there exists a vector $x_E^p(f) \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \left(x_E^p(f) - \int_E f_n^p(t) d\mu \right) = 0.$$

It is clear that if f is *p-Bochner integrable*, then $\varphi_p \circ f$ is Bochner integrable and

$$(B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu = \varphi_p(x_E^p(f)).$$

The following definition is given in [1, Definition 2.4].

Definition 1.5. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be *integrable by seminorm* if for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(f_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow X)$ and a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

- (i) $p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p$,
- (ii) $p(f_n^p(\cdot) - f(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) d\mu = 0,$$

- (iii) for each $E \in \Sigma$ there exists a vector $x_E \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \left(x_E - \int_E f_n^p(t) d\mu \right) = 0.$$

We call x_E the *integral by seminorm* of f over E and set $\int_E f(t) d\mu = x_E$.

It is clear that if f is integrable by seminorm, then f is *p-Bochner integrable* for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a vector measure. Given $E \in \Sigma$, we denote by $\Pi(E)$ the family of all finite partitions of E into elements of Σ . The vector measure m is said to be of *bounded variation* if $|m|_p(\Omega) < +\infty$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where

$$|m|_p(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} p(m(A)) : \pi \in \Pi(E) \right\};$$

$|m|_p$ is an extend real-valued measure. Also m is said to be μ -continuous (shortly $m \ll \mu$) if $\mu(Z) = 0$, whenever $Z \in \Sigma$ satisfies $\mu(Z) = 0$. It is clear that $m \ll \mu$ if and only if for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\varphi_p \circ m \ll \mu$. The set

$$A_E(m) = \left\{ \frac{m(A)}{\mu(A)} \in X : A \in \Sigma_E^+ \right\}$$

is called the *average range* of m on $E \in \Sigma$; It is said that m has *locally relatively compact average range* if $A_E(m)$ is relatively compact subset of X whenever $E \in \Sigma^+$. We say that m is the *indefinite integral* of an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ with respect to μ , if

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma;$$

f is called the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of m with respect to μ . A locally convex space X is said to have the *Radon-Nikodym property* if for every complete finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and for every vector measure $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ of bounded variation and $m \ll \mu$, the vector measure m is the *indefinite integral* of an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ with respect to μ . For the Radon-Nikodym property in locally convex spaces we refer to [2], [3], [4], [9] and [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define B -locally convex spaces and the \mathcal{RN} class of all complete B -locally convex spaces. In the section 3, we first present the Radon-Nikodym theorem in B -locally convex spaces, Theorem 3.3. Then a full characterization of B -locally convex spaces in terms of the Radon-Nikodym theorem is presented by Theorem 3.4. This theorem yields that the $\mathcal{RN}\mathcal{P}$ class is contained in the \mathcal{RN} class, and since there is a Banach space which does not have the Radon-Nikodym property it follows that $\mathcal{RN}\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{RN}$. In the last section, we present a Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures with respect to the Pettis integrable multifunctions in a complete B -locally convex spaces, Theorem 4.4.

2. THE DEFINITION OF THE \mathcal{RN} CLASS

To define the \mathcal{RN} class, we need to prove two Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is p -strongly measurable and $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is q -strongly measurable and $p \leq q$, then g and $f - g$ are p -strongly measurable and the real valued function $p(f - g)$ is measurable.*

Proof. By hypothesis and Definition 1.2 there exist sequences of measurable simple functions (f_n^p) and (g_n^q) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t)) = 0 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(g_n^q(t) - g(t)) = 0 \quad \mu - \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega,$$

and since $p(g_n^q(t) - g(t)) \leq q(g_n^q(t) - g(t))$ it follows that g is p -strongly measurable. Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p[(f_n^p(t) - g_n^q(t)) - (f(t) - g(t))] = 0 \quad \mu - \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega.$$

This means that $f - g$ is p -strongly measurable, Hence, $\varphi_p \circ (f - g) : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{X}_p$ is strongly measurable. Consequently, the real valued function $\tilde{p}[\varphi_p \circ (f - g)]$ is measurable, and since

$$\tilde{p}[\varphi_p(f(t) - g(t))] = p(f(t) - g(t))$$

it follows that the real valued function $p(f - g)$ is measurable and this ends the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is p -Bochner integrable and $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is q -Bochner integrable and $p \leq q$, then g is p -Bochner integrable and $p(f - g) \in L_1(\mu)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there exist sequences of measurable simple functions (f_n^p) , (g_n^q) and the vectors $x_E^p(f)$, $x_E^q(g)$ satisfying Definition 1.4.

Since each g_n^q is p -strongly measurable and g is q -strongly measurable we obtain by Lemma 2.1 that each $p(g_n^q(\cdot) - g(\cdot))$ is measurable, and since $p(g_n^q(\cdot) - g(\cdot)) \leq q(g_n^q(\cdot) - g(\cdot))$ and $q(g_n^q(\cdot) - g(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ it follows that $p(g_n^q(\cdot) - g(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$. Hence g is p -Bochner integrable and

$$(B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ g)(t) d\mu = \varphi_p(x_E^q(g)), \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

We write $h_n^p = f_n^p - g_n^q$, $h = f - g$ and note that

- (i) $p(h_n^p(t) - h(t)) \rightarrow 0$ at almost all $t \in \Omega$,
- (ii) $p(h_n^p(\cdot) - h(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} p(h_n^p(t) - h(t)) d\mu = 0,$$

since the real valued function $p(h_n^p(\cdot) - h(\cdot))$ is measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} p(h_n^p(t) - h(t))d\mu &\leq \int_{\Omega} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t))d\mu + \int_{\Omega} p(g_n^q(t) - g(t))d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} p(f_n^p(t) - f(t))d\mu + \int_{\Omega} q(g_n^q(t) - g(t))d\mu \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for each $E \in \Sigma$ the vector $x_E^p(h) = x_E^p(f) + x_E^q(g)$ is such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \left(x_E^p(h) - \int_E h_n^p(t)d\mu \right) = 0,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} p \left(x_E^p(h) - \int_E h_n^p(t)d\mu \right) &\leq p \left(x_E^p(f) - \int_E f_n^p(t)d\mu \right) + p \left(x_E^q(g) - \int_E g_n^q(t)d\mu \right) \\ &\leq p \left(x_E^p(f) - \int_E f_n^p(t)d\mu \right) + q \left(x_E^q(g) - \int_E g_n^q(t)d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $h = f - g$ is p -Bochner integrable and

$$(B) \int_E \varphi_p(h(t))d\mu = \varphi_p(x_E^p(h)) \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Hence, the function $p(f(\cdot) - g(\cdot)) = \tilde{p}[\varphi_p(f(\cdot) - g(\cdot))]$ is Lebesgue integrable and the proof is finished. \square

We are now ready to define the \mathcal{RN} class.

Definition 2.3. Let $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a net of functions from Ω to X . We say that $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net of *pairwise almost the same Bochner integrable functions* if $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net of pairwise almost the same functions and each f_s is s -Bochner integrable. We say that a Hausdorff locally convex space X is called a *B-locally convex space*, if for each net $(f_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ of pairwise almost the same Bochner integrable functions, there exists a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $s(f(\cdot) - f_s(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} s(f(t) - f_s(t))d\mu = 0, \quad \text{for every } s \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We denote by \mathcal{RN} the class of all complete B -locally convex spaces. It is clear that $\mathcal{RN}\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{RN} \subset \mathcal{S}$.

3. A FULL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE \mathcal{RN} CLASS

We first present the Radon Nikodym theorem in a complete B -locally convex spaces in terms of the average range of a vector measure, Theorem 3.3. Then a full characterization of B -locally convex spaces in terms of the Radon-Nikodym theorem is presented in Theorem 3.4. The following auxiliary lemma follows immediately from [18, II.5.4, p.53] and [18, Exercise 9, p.70].

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, τ) be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and let \mathcal{P} be the family of all τ -continuous seminorms. Then,*

$$(3.1) \quad L = \varprojlim \tilde{g}_{pq} \tilde{X}_q = \varprojlim \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{X}_q \subset \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{X}_p \subset \bar{X}_{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{X}_p,$$

and the function

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi : X \rightarrow L, \quad \varphi(x) = (\varphi_p(x))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$$

is an isomorphism of (X, τ) onto (L, τ_L) , where τ_L is the induced topology in L by the product topology in $\bar{X}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (or by the product topology in $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{P}}$).

Lemma 3.1 is useful to prove the next auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) f is integrable by seminorm,
- (ii) f is p -Bochner integrable for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (iii) $\varphi_p \circ f$ is Bochner integrable for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

In this case, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi_p \left(\int_E f(t) d\mu \right) = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma, p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Proof. According to Definitions 1.5 and 1.4 it follows that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (iii) holds. Then for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(\tilde{g}_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p)$ and a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

- (i) $\tilde{p}[\tilde{g}_n^p(t) - \varphi_p(f(t))] \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p$,
- (ii) $\tilde{p}[\tilde{g}_n^p(\cdot) - \varphi_p(f(\cdot))] \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}[\tilde{g}_n^p(t) - \varphi_p(f(t))] d\mu = 0.$$

For each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we can define a measurable simple function $f_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow X$ by choosing $f_n^p(t) \in \tilde{g}_n^p(t)$. It is easy to see that sequence (f_n^p) satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 1.5. It remains to check the condition (iii). To see this we consider two continuous seminorms $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p \leq q$. It follows by [10, Hille's Theorem II.2.6, p.47] DIES that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{pq} \left((B) \int_E \varphi_q(f(t)) d\mu \right) &= (B) \int_E (\bar{g}_{pq} \circ \varphi_q)(f(t)) d\mu \\ &= (B) \int_E (\tilde{g}_{pq} \circ \varphi_q)(f(t)) d\mu = (B) \int_E \varphi_p(f(t)) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\left((B) \int_E \varphi_p(f(t)) d\mu \right)_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \in L = \varprojlim_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{X}_q, \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3.1 there exists $x_E \in X$ such that $\varphi_p(x_E) = (B) \int_E \varphi_p(f(t)) d\mu$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. This means that (f_n^p) satisfies also the condition (iii) in Definition 1.5. We now infer that f is integrable by seminorm. By equality $\varphi_p(x_E) = (B) \int_E \varphi_p(f(t)) d\mu$ we obtain (3.3) and the proof is over. \square

We now present the Radon-Nikodym theorem in a complete B -locally convex space.

Theorem 3.3 (Radon-Nikodym theorem). *Let X be a complete B -locally convex space and let $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a vector measure. Then m is the indefinite integral of an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ if and only if*

- (i) $m \ll \mu$,
- (ii) m is of bounded variation,
- (iii) m has locally relatively compact average range.

Proof. Assume that f is integrable by seminorm and

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that $(\varphi_p \circ f)$ is Bochner integrable and

$$(\varphi_p \circ m)(E) = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Hence, by [17, Main Theorem] it follows that $\varphi_p \circ m \ll \mu$, $|m|_p(\Omega) < +\infty$ and $A_E(\varphi_p \circ m) = \varphi_p(A_E(m))$ is relatively compact subset of \tilde{X}_p ($E \in \Sigma^+$). By [13, Tychonoff's Theorem], the set $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} A_E(\varphi_p \circ m)$ is a relatively compact subset of $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{X}_p$, and since

$$\varphi(A_E(m)) \subset L \cap \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} A_E(\varphi_p \circ m)$$

it follows that $A_E(m)$ is relatively compact subset of X ($E \in \Sigma^+$), where φ and L are defined in Lemma 3.1

Conversely, assume that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Then, for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $\varphi_p \circ m \ll \mu$, $|m|_p(\Omega) < +\infty$ and $\varphi_p(A_E(m)) = A_E(\varphi_p \circ m)$ is relatively compact subset of \tilde{X}_p

($E \in \Sigma^+$). Hence, by [17, Main Theorem] there exists a Bochner integrable function $\bar{h}_p : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{X}_p$ such that

$$(\varphi_p \circ m)(E) = (B) \int_E \bar{h}_p(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Since \bar{h}_p is Bochner integrable it is Pettis integrable, and since $(\varphi_p \circ m)(E) \in \tilde{X}_p$ for all $E \in \Sigma$ it follows that $\bar{h}_p(t) \in \tilde{X}_p$ at almost all $t \in \Omega$, c.f. [7, Lemma 3.7]. Hence, there exists a Bochner integrable function $\tilde{g}_p : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p$ such that $\tilde{g}_p(t) = \bar{h}_p(t)$ at almost all $t \in \Omega$. Further there exist a sequence of measurable simple functions $(\tilde{g}_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p)$ and $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

- (i) $\tilde{p}(\tilde{g}_n^p(t) - \tilde{g}_p(t)) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p$,
- (ii) $\tilde{p}(\tilde{g}_n^p(\cdot) - \tilde{g}_p(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}_n^p(t) - \tilde{g}_p(t)) d\mu = 0.$$

Thus for every $E \in \Sigma$ we have

$$(\varphi_p \circ m)(E) = (B) \int_E \bar{h}_p(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E \tilde{g}_p(t) d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \tilde{g}_n^p(t) d\mu.$$

For each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we can define a function $g_p : \Omega \rightarrow X$ by choosing $g_p(t) \in \tilde{g}_p(t)$, and a measurable simple function $g_n^p : \Omega \rightarrow X$ by choosing $g_n^p(t) \in \tilde{g}_n^p(t)$. It is clear that each g_p is a p -Bochner integrable function and

$$(3.4) \quad (\varphi_p \circ m)(E) = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ g_p)(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E \tilde{g}_p(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

We are going to prove that $(g_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net of pairwise almost the same Bochner integrable functions. To see this we consider two continuous seminorms $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p \leq q$. It follows by [10, Hille's Theorem II.2.6, p.47] and (3.4) that

$$\tilde{g}_{pq}[(\varphi_q \circ m)(E)] = (B) \int_E \tilde{g}_{pq} \circ (\varphi_q \circ g_q) d\mu = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ g_q) d\mu,$$

and since $\tilde{g}_{pq}[(\varphi_q \circ m)(E)] = (\varphi_p \circ m)(E)$ we obtain

$$(B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ g_q) d\mu = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ g_p) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Hence, by [10, Corollary II.2.5, p.47] there exists $Z_{pq} \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_{pq}) = 0$ such that

$$(\varphi_p \circ g_q)(t) = (\varphi_p \circ g_p)(t), \quad \text{for every } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_{pq},$$

and consequently

$$p(g_q(t) - g_p(t)) = \tilde{p}((\varphi_p \circ g_q)(t) - (\varphi_p \circ g_p)(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for every } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_{pq}.$$

This means that $(g_s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a net of pairwise almost the same Bochner integrable functions. Therefore, by Definitions 1.3 and 2.3 there exists a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $s(f(\cdot) - g_s(\cdot)) \in L_1(\mu)$ and

$$s(f(t) - g_s(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus D_s, \quad s \in \mathcal{P},$$

or equivalently

$$(\varphi_s \circ f)(t) = (\varphi_s \circ g_s)(t) = \tilde{g}_s(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus D_s, \quad s \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $D_s \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(D_s) = 0$. Consequently each function $\varphi_s \circ f$ is Bochner integrable and

$$(B) \int_E (\varphi_s \circ f)(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E \tilde{g}_s(t) d\mu = (\varphi_s \circ m)(E), \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma, \quad s \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2 it follows that f is integrable by seminorm and

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

Thus m is the indefinite integral of f and this ends the proof. \square

We now present a full characterization of B -locally convex spaces in terms of the Radon-Nikodym Theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is a B -locally convex space,
- (ii) for every vector measure $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ satisfying conditions (i) – (iii) in Theorem 3.3 it follows that m is the indefinite integral of an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (ii) holds and let (f_s) be a net of almost the same Bochner integrable functions and let $x_E^s \in X$ be the vector satisfying Definition 2.3 for $E \in \Sigma$ and $s \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$\varphi_s(x_E^s) = (B) \int_E (\varphi_s \circ f_s)(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma, s \in \mathcal{P},$$

• We are going to prove that $(x_E^s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a Cauchy net in X . Given two continuous seminorms $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p \leq q$ we obtain by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_E p(f_p(t) - f_q(t)) d\mu = \int_E \tilde{p}[(\varphi_p \circ f_p)(t) - (\varphi_p \circ f_q)(t)] d\mu \\ &\geq \tilde{p} \left((B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f_p)(t) d\mu - (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f_q)(t) d\mu \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$p(x_E^p - x_E^q) = \tilde{p} \left((B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f_p)(t) d\mu - (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f_q)(t) d\mu \right) = 0$$

and since

$$r \leq p \leq q \Rightarrow r(x_E^p - x_E^q) \leq p(x_E^p - x_E^q) = 0 \Rightarrow r(x_E^p - x_E^q) = 0$$

it follows that $(x_E^s)_{s \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a Cauchy net in the complete space X . Then we can define a function

$$m : \Sigma \rightarrow X, \quad m(E) = \lim_s x_E^s.$$

Hence,

$$(3.5) \quad (\varphi_r \circ m)(E) = \lim_s \varphi_r(x_E^s) \quad \text{for every } r \in \mathcal{P}.$$

• Fix an arbitrary continuous seminorm $r \in \mathcal{P}$. By Lemma 2.2 it follows that $(\varphi_r \circ f_s)_{s \geq r}$ is a net in the space $L_1(\mu, \overline{X}_r)$ of Bochner integrable functions from Ω to \overline{X}_r . Since

$$\begin{aligned} r \leq p \leq q \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \tilde{r}[(\varphi_r \circ f_p)(t) - (\varphi_r \circ f_q)(t)] d\mu &= \int_{\Omega} r(f_p(t) - f_q(t)) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} p(f_p(t) - f_q(t)) d\mu = 0 \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $(\varphi_r \circ f_s)_{s \geq r}$ is a Cauchy net in $L_1(\mu, \overline{X}_r)$. Hence there exists a Bochner integrable function $\overline{h}_r : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{X}_r$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{s \geq r} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{r}[(\varphi_r \circ f_s)(t) - \overline{h}_r(t)] d\mu = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{s \geq r} \varphi_r(x_E^s) = \lim_{s \geq r} (B) \int_E (\varphi_r \circ f_s)(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E \overline{h}_r(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

The last equality together with (3.5) yields

$$(3.7) \quad (\varphi_r \circ m)(E) = \lim_{s \geq r} (B) \int_E (\varphi_r \circ f_s)(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E \overline{h}_r(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

This means that $\varphi_r \circ m$ is a vector measure such that $\varphi_r \circ m \ll \mu$, $|\varphi_r \circ m|(\Omega) < +\infty$ and it has locally relatively compact average range.

• Since r was arbitrary, the last result yields that m is a vector measure satisfying the conditions (i) – (iii) in Theorem 3.3. Then m is the indefinite integral of an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$. Thus,

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu, \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

The last result together with (3.7) and Lemma 3.2 yields that

$$(\varphi_r \circ m)(E) = \int_E (\varphi_r \circ f)(t) d\mu = \int_E \bar{h}_r(t) d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma, r \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore, we obtain by [10, Corollary II.2.5, p.47] that $(\varphi_r \circ f)(t) = \bar{h}_r(t)$ at almost all $t \in \Omega$. Hence by (3.6) we get

$$\lim_{s \geq r} \int_{\Omega} r(f_s(t) - f(t)) d\mu = 0$$

and since for $s \geq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} r(f_r(t) - f(t)) d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} r(f_r(t) - f_s(t)) d\mu + \int_{\Omega} r(f_s(t) - f(t)) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} r(f_s(t) - f(t)) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\int_{\Omega} r(f_r(t) - f(t)) d\mu = 0$. This means that X is a B -locally convex space.

By virtue of Theorem 3.3 it follows that (i) \Rightarrow (ii), and this ends the proof. \square

4. AN APPLICATION

In this section we present a Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures with respect to the Pettis integrable multifunctions. This theorem is a version of [7, Theorem 3.1] in a complete B -locally convex space. In paper [7] are presented the Radon-Nikodym theorems for multimeasures with respect to the Pettis integrable multifunctions in non-separable locally convex spaces.

We denote by 2^X the family of all nonempty subsets of X and by $ck(X)$ the family of all nonempty, convex and compact subsets of X is denoted. X^* is the topological dual of X . For any set $C \subset X$ and any $x^* \in X^*$, we write

$$\delta^*(x^*, C) = \sup\{x^*(x) : x \in C\}.$$

The following definitions are given in [7, Definitions 2.1 and 2.2].

Definition 4.1. A multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ is called *Pettis integrable* if

- (i) $\delta^*(x^*, F)$ is Lebesgue integrable for every $x^* \in X^*$,
- (ii) for each $E \in \Sigma$, there is $M(E) \in ck(X)$ such that

$$\delta^*(x^*, M(E)) = \int_E \delta^*(x^*, F) d\mu \quad \text{for every } x^* \in X^*,$$

where the function $\delta^*(x^*, F) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\delta^*(x^*, F)(t) = \delta^*(x^*, F(t))$.

We call $M(E)$ the *Pettis integral* of F over E and set $(P) \int_E F d\mu = M(E)$.

The Pettis integral for multifunctions was first considered by Castaing and Valadier [8, Chapter V] and has been widely studied in papers [5]-[6], [15]-[16] and [12]. The notion of Pettis integrable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ as can be found in the literature (see [10] and [14] for the Banach space case) corresponds to Definition 4.1 for $F(t) = \{f(t)\}$ when the integral $(P) \int_E F d\mu$ is a singleton. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *Pettis integrable selector* of a multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ if $f(t) \in F(t)$ for all $t \in \Omega$ and f is Pettis integrable.

Given a sequence (A_n) of subsets of X , we write $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n$ to denote the set of all elements of X which can be written as the sum of an unconditionally convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} x_n$, where $x_n \in A_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.2. A multifunction $M : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^X$ is called a *strong multimeasure* if

- (i) $M(\emptyset) = \{0\}$,
- (ii) for each disjoint sequence (E_n) in Σ , we have

$$M\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} M(E_n).$$

We say that the strong multimeasure $M : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^X$ is μ -continuous (shortly $M \ll \mu$) if $M(Z) = \{0\}$ whenever $Z \in \Sigma$ satisfies $\mu(Z) = 0$. The strong multimeasure $M : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^X$ is called of bounded variation if $|M|_p(\Omega) < +\infty$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where

$$|M|_p(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{A \in \pi} \|M(A)\|_p : \pi \in \Pi(E) \right\}$$

and

$$\|M(A)\|_p = \sup\{p(x) : x \in A\}.$$

A selector m of M is a vector-valued function $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ such that $m(E) \in M(E)$ for every $E \in \Sigma$.

Let $\rho : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a lifting on (Ω, Σ, μ) , c.f. [7]. We denote by Π_ρ the family of all finite partitions of Ω into elements of $\rho(\Sigma) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. If we define in Π_ρ the natural ordering:

$$\pi' \succeq \pi'' \Leftrightarrow \pi' \text{ is finer than } \pi'',$$

then Π_ρ is a directed set with respect to " \succeq ". Note that given a net $(x_\pi)_{\pi \in \Pi_\rho}$ with terms in X , the family

$$\mathcal{F}(x_\pi) = \{\mathcal{F}_\pi : \pi \in \Pi_\rho\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_\pi = \{x_{\pi'} \in X : \pi' \succeq \pi\}$$

is a base filter in X and, we denote by $\mathcal{U}(x_\pi)$ the ultrafilter on X containing $\mathcal{F}(x_\pi)$. It is well known that $x_0 \in X$ is a limit point of the net $(x_\pi)_{\pi \in \Pi_\rho}$ if and only if the corresponding ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}(x_\pi)$ converges to x_0 ; in this case we write

$$\lim_{\pi} \mathcal{U}(x_\pi) = x_0.$$

Given a net $(V_\pi)_{\pi \in \Pi_\rho}$ with terms in 2^X , we write

$$\lim_{\pi} \mathcal{U}(V_\pi) = \left\{ \lim_{\pi} \mathcal{U}(v_\pi) : (\forall \pi \in \Pi_\rho)[v_\pi \in V_\pi] \right\}$$

Definition 4.3. Let $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ be a multifunction and let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a function. We say that f is called :

- (i) *p-strongly measurable selector* of F if f is p -strongly measurable and there exists a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

$$(\varphi_p \circ f)(t) \in \varphi_p[F(t)] = \{\varphi_p(x) : x \in F(t)\} \quad \text{for every } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p,$$

- (ii) *measurable by seminorm selector* of F , if f is measurable by seminorm and p -strongly measurable selector of F for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

We say that f is an *integrable by seminorm selector* of F if f is integrable by seminorm and measurable by seminorm selector of F .

We now present a Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures in B -locally convex spaces.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a complete B -locally convex space and let $M : \Sigma \rightarrow ck(X)$ be a strong multimeasure for which there is a set $Q \in ck(X)$ such that*

$$M(E) \subset \mu(E)Q \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma.$$

Then there exists a Pettis integrable multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ such that

- (i) *for every countable additive selector m of M there is an integrable by seminorm selector f of F such that m is the indefinite integral of f ,*
 (ii) *for each $E \in \Sigma$ the following equalities hold:*

$$M(E) = (P) \int_E F d\mu = I(E) \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma,$$

where

$$I(E) = \left\{ \int_E f d\mu : f \text{ is an integrable by seminorm selector of } F \right\}.$$

Proof. (i) Let m be a countable additive selector of M . Then m is a vector measure of bounded variation, $m \ll \mu$ and m has locally relative compact average range, since $m(E) \in \mu(E)Q$ for every $E \in \Sigma$. Consequently, by Theorem 3.4 there is an integrable by seminorm function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma.$$

For each $\pi \in \Pi_\rho$ we write

$$M_\pi : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X), \quad M_\pi(t) = \sum_{E \in \pi} \frac{M(E)}{\mu(E)} \mathbb{1}_E(t),$$

and

$$m_\pi : \Omega \rightarrow X, \quad m_\pi(t) = \sum_{E \in \pi} \frac{m(E)}{\mu(E)} \mathbb{1}_E(t).$$

By virtue of [7, Theorem 3.1] there exists a Pettis integrable multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow ck(X)$ such that

$$F(t) = \overline{\lim_\pi \mathcal{U}(M_\pi(t))} \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega$$

and

$$(4.1) \quad M(E) = (P) \int_E F d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma$$

and the function

$$(4.2) \quad h : \Omega \rightarrow X, \quad h(t) = \lim_\pi \mathcal{U}(m_\pi(t))$$

is a Pettis integrable selector of F such that

$$m(E) = (P) \int_E h(t) d\mu \in M(E).$$

Moreover $M(E) = P(E)$ for all $E \in \Sigma$, where

$$P(E) = \left\{ (P) \int_E g d\mu : g \text{ is a Pettis integrable selector of } F \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 yields that the function $\varphi_p \circ f : \Omega \rightarrow \tilde{X}_p$ is Bochner integrable and

$$(\varphi_p \circ m)(E) = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma.$$

Hence by [7, Lemma 3.7] there exists a measurable set $Z_p \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(Z_p) = 0$ such that

$$\lim_\pi \varphi_p(m_\pi(t)) = \lim_\pi (\varphi_p \circ m)_\pi(t) = (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p,$$

since $\varphi_p \circ f$ is also Pettis integrable. The last result together with (4.2) yields

$$(4.3) \quad (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) = (\varphi_p \circ h)(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p,$$

and since $(\varphi_p \circ h)(t) \in \varphi_p[F(t)]$ for all $t \in \Omega$ it follows that $(\varphi_p \circ f)(t) \in \varphi_p[F(t)]$ for all $t \in \Omega \setminus Z_p$. This means that f is a measurable by seminorm selector of F . Thus, the proof of (i) is completed.

Note that by (4.3) it follows that $(\varphi_p \circ h)$ is Bochner integrable and

$$(B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu = (B) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ h)(t) d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma, p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that h is integrable by seminorm and

$$m(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu = \int_E h(t) d\mu = (P) \int_E h(t) d\mu \quad \text{for every } E \in \Sigma.$$

(ii) Let h be a Pettis integrable selector of F and

$$m_h(E) = (P) \int_E h(t) d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

Then by [7, Theorem 3.1] we have

$$m_h(E) = (P) \int_E h(t) d\mu \in P(E) = M(E).$$

Hence by (i) it follows that h is an integrable by seminorm selector of F . Consequently, $m_h(E) \in I(E)$. Thus, $P(E) \subset I(E)$.

We now assume that f is an integrable by seminorm selector of F and

$$m_f(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu, \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

It easy to see that the multifunction

$$\tilde{F}_p : \Omega \rightarrow ck(\tilde{X}_p), \quad \tilde{F}_p(t) = \{\varphi_p(x) : x \in F(t)\}$$

is Pettis integrable and by (4.1) it follows that

$$\tilde{M}_p(E) = (P) \int_E \tilde{F}_p d\mu, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_p : \Sigma \rightarrow ck(\tilde{X}_p), \quad \tilde{M}_p(E) = \{\varphi_p(x) : x \in M(E)\}.$$

By Definition 4.3 we have $(\varphi_p \circ f)(t) \in \tilde{F}_p(t)$ at almost all $t \in \Omega$ and each $(\varphi_p \circ f)$ is Bochner integrable, see Lemma 3.2. Hence, $(\varphi_p \circ f)$ is Pettis integrable and

$$\varphi_p(m_f(E)) = \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu = (P) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu,$$

and since $(P) \int_E (\varphi_p \circ f)(t) d\mu \in (P) \int_E \tilde{F}_p d\mu$ it follows that

$$\varphi_p(m_f(E)) \in \tilde{M}_p(E) \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Consequently,

$$m_f(E) \in M(E), \quad E \in \Sigma.$$

Thus, $I(E) \subset M(E)$, $E \in \Sigma$. By the last result and $P(E) = M(E) \subset I(E)$ we infer that $I(E) = M(E) = P(E)$, and this ends the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.Blondia, *Integration in locally convex spaces*, Simon Stevin, 55(3), (1981).
- [2] C.Blondia, *On the Radon-Nikodym theorem for vector valued measures*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. (1981).
- [3] C.Blondia, *On the Radon-Nikodym property in locally convex spaces and the completeness*, (1982).
- [4] R.D.Bourgin, *Geometric Aspects of Convex Sets with the Radon-Nikodym Property*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 993 (1983).
- [5] B.Cascales, V.Kadets, and J.Rodríguez, *The Pettis integral for multi-valued functions via single-valued ones*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (1) (2007).
- [6] B.Cascales, V.Kadets, and J.Rodríguez, *Measurable selectors and set-valued Pettis integral in non-separable Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (3) (2009).
- [7] B.Cascales, V.Kadets, J.Rodríguez, *Radon-Nikodym theorems for multimeasures in non-separable spaces*, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 9 (1) (2013).
- [8] C.Castaing, M.Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lect. Notes Math., 580 (1977).
- [9] G.Y.H.Chi, *On the Radon-Nikodym theorem and locally convex spaces with the Radon-Nikodym property*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 62 (2) (1977).
- [10] J.Diestel and J.J.Uhl, *Vector Measures*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1977).
- [11] L.Egghe, *On the Radon-Nikodym Property, and related topics in locally convex spaces*, Lect. Notes in Math., 645 (1978).
- [12] K.El Amri and C.Hess, *On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions*, Set-Valued Anal. 8(4) (2000).
- [13] J.L.Kelly, *General Topology*, Springer Verlag, (1955).
- [14] K.Musial, *Topics in the theory of Pettis integration*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 23 (1991).
- [15] K.Musial, *Pettis integrability of multifunctions with values in arbitrary Banach spaces*, J. Convex Analysis 18 (2011).
- [16] K.Musial, *Approximation of Pettis integrable multifunctions with values in arbitrary Banach spaces*, J. Convex Analysis 20(3) (2013).
- [17] M.A.Rieffel, *The Radon-Nikodym theorem for the Bochner integral*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 131 (1968).
- [18] H.H.Schaefer and W.P.Wolff, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Originally published by Springer-Verlag New York, (1999).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SCIENCE NATURAL FACULTY, UNIVERSITY OF ELBASAN, ELBASAN, ALBANIA.
 Email address: kaliajsokol@gmail.com