

Leray-Schauder degree for the resonant Q -curvature problem in even dimensions

Cheikh Birahim NDIAYE

Department of Mathematics Howard University
Annex 3, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, 217
DC 20059 Washington, USA

Abstract

In this paper, using the theory of critical points at infinity of Bahri[5], we derive an exact bubbling rate formula for the resonant prescribed Q -curvature equation on closed even-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. Using this, we derive new existence results for the resonant prescribed Q -curvature problem under a positive mass type assumption. Moreover, we derive a compactness theorem for conformal metrics with prescribed Q -curvature under a non-degeneracy assumption. Furthermore, combining the bubbling rate formula with the construction of some blowing-up solutions, we compute the Leray-Schauder degree of the resonant prescribed Q -curvature equation under a non-degeneracy and Morse type assumption.

Key Words: GJMS operator, Q -curvature, Blow-up analysis, Critical points at infinity, Pseudo-gradient, Topological degree.

AMS subject classification: 53C21, 35C60, 58J60.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

One of the most recurrent question in conformal geometry is the problem of finding conformal metrics for which a certain curvature quantity is equal to a prescribed function, e.g. constant. As a model of such problems, we have the problem of existence of conformal metrics with prescribed Gauss curvature on closed Riemannian surfaces, namely the Nirenberg problem and the more general Kazdan-Warner problem.

There exists also analogues of the Gauss curvature in high even dimensions which enjoy similar properties which are relevant to conformal geometry. To better introduce those curvatures, we recall some facts about the theory of closed Riemannian surfaces. It is a well known fact that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on closed Riemannian surfaces (Σ, g) is conformally covariant of bidegree $(0, 2)$, and governs the transformation laws of the Gauss curvature under conformal changes of the background metric g . In fact, under the conformal change of metric $g_u = e^{2u}g$, we have

$$(1) \quad \Delta_{g_u} = e^{-2u} \Delta_g; \quad -\Delta_g u + K_g = K_{g_u} e^{2u},$$

¹E-mail addresses: cheikh.ndiaye@howard.edu

where Δ_g and K_g (resp. Δ_{g_u} and K_{g_u}) are the Laplace-Beltrami operator and the Gauss curvature of (Σ, g) (resp. of (Σ, g_u)). Moreover, we have the Gauss-Bonnet formula which relates $\int_{\Sigma} K_g dV_g$ and the topology of Σ

$$\int_{\Sigma} K_g dV_g = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ and dV_g is the volume form on Σ with respect to g . From this we have that $\int_{\Sigma} K_g dV_g$ is a topological invariant, hence a conformal invariant too. We point out that the conformal invariance of $\int_{\Sigma} K_g dV_g$ can also be seen just by integrating equation (1) which is of divergence structure.

In 1983, Paneitz[57] has discovered a conformally covariant differential operator P_g on four-dimensional closed Riemannian manifolds (M, g) (known now as Paneitz operator). To the Paneitz operator, Branson[12] has associated a natural curvature invariant Q_g called Q -curvature. They are defined in terms of the Ricci tensor Ric_g and the scalar curvature R_g of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) as follows

$$(2) \quad P_g = \Delta_g^2 + \operatorname{div}_g \left(\left(\frac{2}{3} R_g g - 2 Ric_g \right) \nabla_g \right), \quad Q_g = -\frac{1}{12} (\Delta_g R_g - R_g^2 + 3 |Ric_g|^2),$$

where div_g is the negative divergence and ∇_g is the gradient with respect to g . As the Laplace-Beltrami operator is conformally covariant of bidegree $(0, 2)$, and governs the transformation laws of the Gauss curvature under conformal changes, we have also that the Paneitz operator is conformally covariant of bidegree $(0, 4)$, and governs the transformation laws of the Q -curvature under conformal changes of the background metric. Indeed under a conformal change of metric $g_u = e^{2u}g$, we have

$$(3) \quad P_{g_u} = e^{-4u} P_g, \quad P_g u + 2Q_g = 2Q_{g_u} e^{4u}.$$

Apart from this analogy, we have also an extension of the Gauss-Bonnet identity which is the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula

$$\int_M (Q_g + \frac{|W_g|^2}{8}) dV_g = 4\pi^2 \chi(M),$$

where W_g denotes the Weyl tensor of (M, g) and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M . Hence from the pointwise conformal invariance of $|W_g|^2 dV_g$, it follows that $\kappa_P := \int_M Q_g dV_g$ is also conformally invariant. Moreover, the conformal invariance of $\int_M Q_g dV_g$ can also be seen by just integrating the second equation of (3) which is also of divergence structure, like in the case of Gauss curvature for closed Riemannian surfaces.

On the other hand, there are high order analogues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and the Paneitz operator for high even-dimensional closed Riemannian manifolds and also to the associated curvature invariants. More precisely, given a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $n \geq 2$ and even, in [31], it was introduced a family of conformally covariant differential operators P_g^n whose leading term is $(-\Delta_g)^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Moreover, in [12], some curvature invariants Q_g^n was defined, naturally associated to P_g^n . In low dimensions, we have the following relations

$$P_g^2 = -\Delta_g, \quad Q_g^2 = K_g, \quad P_g^4 = P_g, \quad \text{and} \quad Q_g^4 = 2Q_g.$$

It turns out that P_g^n is self-adjoint and annihilates constants. Furthermore, as for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on closed Riemannian surfaces and the Paneitz operator on closed four-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, for every closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $n \geq 2$ and even, we have that after a conformal change of metric $g_u = e^{2u}g$

$$(4) \quad P_{g_u}^n = e^{-nu} P_g^n, \quad P_g^n u + Q_g^n = Q_{g_u}^n e^{nu}.$$

Thus, as in the 2-dimensional and 4-dimensional cases, by integrating the second equation of (4) and using the fact that P_g^n is self-adjoint and annihilates constants, it follows that $\kappa_g^n := \int_M Q_g^n dV_g$ is also conformally invariant. Furthermore, there exists also an analogue of the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, which is a consequence of a formula of Alexakis[1] (see also [2]), and reads as follows

$$(5) \quad \int_M (Q_g^n + |\tilde{W}_g|) dV_g = \frac{(n-1)!}{2} \omega_n \chi(M),$$

where ω_n is the volume of \mathbb{S}^n (the n -dimensional unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) with respect to the standard metric of \mathbb{S}^n , \tilde{W}_g is a local conformal invariant involving the Weyl tensor W_g and its covariant derivatives, and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M .

As for the Kazdan-Warner problem for closed Riemannian surfaces, given a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) (n even and $n \geq 4$) and a smooth positive function $K : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one can ask under which conditions on K , does there exist a Riemannian metric $g_u = e^{2u}g$ conformally related to g for which the corresponding Q -curvature $Q_{g_u}^n$ is K . Thanks to (4), the geometric problem is equivalent to finding a smooth solution of the equation

$$(6) \quad P_g^n u + Q_g^n = K e^{nu} \quad \text{in } M.$$

Since $\mathbb{R} \subset \ker P_g^n$, then it is easy to see that (6) has a solution is equivalent to

$$(7) \quad P_g^n u + Q_g^n = \kappa_g^n K e^{nu} \quad \text{in } M.$$

has a solution. Equation (7) has a variational structure. Indeed, using elliptic regularity theory (see [62]), we have smooth solutions of (7) can be found by looking at critical points of the following geometric functional:

$$J(u) := \langle P_g^n u, u \rangle + 2 \int_M Q_g^n u dV_g - \frac{2}{n} \kappa_g^n \log \left(\int_M K e^{nu} dV_g \right), \quad u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M),$$

where $W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M)$ is the space of functions on M which are of class $W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}$ in each coordinate system.

The asymptotic behaviour of sequences of solutions

$$(8) \quad P_g^n u_l + t_l Q_g^n = t_l \kappa_g^n K e^{nu_l} \quad \text{in } M,$$

with $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$, $K : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth and positive, and $t_l \rightarrow 1$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$ plays an important role in the Variational Analysis of J in the resonant case, ie when $\kappa_g^n \in (n-1)! \omega_n \mathbb{N}^*$. In this paper, we are interested in the exact bubbling rate formula for (8) in the resonant case and its applications to existence, compactness and topological degree-computation.

In order to state our results clearly, we first fix some notation and make some definitions. For $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\kappa_g^n = (n-1)! m \omega_n,$$

we define $\mathcal{F}_K : M^m \setminus F_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{F}_K(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \sum_{i=1}^m \left(H(a_i, a_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} G(a_i, a_j) + \frac{2}{n} \log(K(a_i)) \right),$$

where $F(M^m)$ denotes the fat Diagonal of M^m , namely $F(M^m) := \{A := (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in M^m : \text{there exists } i \neq j \text{ with } a_i = a_j\}$, G is the Green's function of $P_g^n(\cdot) + \frac{1}{m} Q_g^n$ with mass $(n-1)! \omega_n$ satisfying the normalization $\int_M Q_g^n(x) G(\cdot, x) dV_g(x) = 0$, and H is its regular part, see Section 2 for more information. Furthermore, we define

$$(10) \quad \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K) := \{A \in M^m \setminus F_m(M) : A \text{ critical point of } \mathcal{F}_K\}.$$

Moreover, for $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in M^m \setminus F_m(M)$, we set

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{F}_i^A(x) := e^{n(H(a_i, x) + \sum_{j \neq i} G(a_j, x)) + \frac{1}{n} \log(K(x))},$$

and define

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}_K(A) := - \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathcal{F}_i^A)^{\frac{6-n}{2n}}(a_i) L_g((\mathcal{F}_i^A)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}})(a_i),$$

where

$$L_g := -\Delta_g + \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R_g$$

is the conformal Laplacian associated to g . We set also

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{F}_\infty := \{A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K) : \mathcal{L}_K(A) < 0\},$$

and

$$(14) \quad i_\infty(A) := (n+1)m - 1 - \text{Morse}(A, \mathcal{F}_K),$$

where $\text{Morse}(\mathcal{F}_K, A)$ denotes the Morse index of \mathcal{F}_K at A . Finally, we say

$$(15) \quad (ND)_0 \quad \text{holds if for every } A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K), \mathcal{L}_K(A) \neq 0,$$

$$(16) \quad (ND)_- \quad \text{holds if for every } A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K), \mathcal{L}_K(A) < 0.$$

$$(17) \quad (ND)_+ \quad \text{holds if for every } A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K), \mathcal{L}_K(A) > 0,$$

and

$$(18) \quad (ND) \quad \text{holds if } (ND)_0 \text{ holds and } \mathcal{F}_K \text{ is a Morse function.}$$

Now, we are ready to state our results and we start with the exact bubbling rate formula for sequences of blowing up solutions to (8) under the assumption $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M and u_l is a sequence of blowing up solutions to (8) with $t_l \rightarrow 1$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$, then up to a subsequence, we have that for l large enough, there holds*

$$t_l - 1 = \frac{c_{n,m}^K(A) e^{-2 \max_M u_l}}{(\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i))^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} [\mathcal{L}_K(A) + o_l(1)],$$

with $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$ and $c_{n,m}^K(A)$ is a positive constant depending only on K , A , n and m .

Theorem 1.1 and our work[49] in the *nonresonant* case (i.e $\kappa_g^n \notin (n-1)!\omega\mathbb{N}^*$) imply the following existence result for conformal metrics with prescribed Q -curvature.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that $(ND)_-$ or $(ND)_+$ holds, then K is the Q -curvature of a Riemannian metric conformally related to g .*

In the critical case, i.e $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!\omega_n$, Theorem 1.1 implies the following existence of minimizer of the functional J .

Corollary 1.3. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ $P_g^n \geq 0$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!\omega_n$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that $(ND)_+$ holds, then K is the Q -curvature of a Riemannian metric $g_u = e^{2u}g$ with u a minimizer of J on $H^2(M)$.*

Theorem 1.1 implies also the following compactness theorem for conformal metrics with prescribed Q -curvature.

Corollary 1.4. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that $(ND)_0$ holds, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a large positive constant C_k such that for every u solution of (7),*

$$\|u\|_{C^k(M)} \leq C_k.$$

Corollary 1.4 implies that the Leray-Schauder degree of equation (7) is well-defined. Indeed, we have

Theorem 1.5. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that $(ND)_0$ holds, then the Leray-Schauder degree d_m of equation (7) is well-defined. Furthermore, if (ND) holds, then there exists $L_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(19) \quad d_m = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\bar{m}} (1 - \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A)}) = \chi(J^L, J^{-L}) - \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{\bar{m} + i_\infty(A)} & \text{if } m = 1, \\ (-1)^{\bar{m}} \left(\frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (i - \chi(M)) - \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A)} \right) \\ = \chi(J^L, J^{-L}) - \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{\bar{m} + i_\infty(A)} & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

for all $L \geq L_0$.

2 Notations and preliminaries

In this brief section, we fix our notations and give some preliminaries. First of all, we recall that (M, g) and K are respectively the given underlying closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even, and the prescribed function with the following properties (until otherwise said)

(20)

$$\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n \quad \text{for some } m \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad \text{and} \quad K \text{ is a smooth positive function on } M.$$

Furthermore, u_l and t_l are respectively sequence of smooth functions and real numbers, satisfying

$$(21) \quad P_g^n u_l + t_l Q_g^n = t_l \kappa_g^n K e^{n u_l} \quad \text{in } M, \quad \text{and} \quad t_l \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We are going to discuss the asymptotics near the singularity of the Green's function G of the operator $P_g^n(\cdot) + \frac{1}{m} Q_g^n$ with mass $(n-1)\omega_n$ satisfying the normalization $\int_M G(\cdot, y) Q_g^n(y) dV_g(y) = 0$ and make some related definitions.

In the following, for a Riemannian metric \bar{g} on M , we will use the notation $B_p^{\bar{g}}(r)$ to denote the geodesic ball with respect to \bar{g} of radius r and center p . We also denote by $d_{\bar{g}}(x, y)$ the geodesic distance with respect to \bar{g} between two points x and y of M , $exp_x^{\bar{g}}$ the exponential map with respect to \bar{g} at x . $inj_{\bar{g}}(M)$ stands for the injectivity radius of (M, \bar{g}) , $dV_{\bar{g}}$ denotes the Riemannian measure associated to the metric \bar{g} . Furthermore, we recall that $\nabla_{\bar{g}}$, $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$, $R_{\bar{g}}$ and $Ric_{\bar{g}}$ will denote respectively the gradient, the Laplace-Beltrami operator, the scalar curvature and Ricci curvature with respect to \bar{g} . For simplicity, we will use the notation $B_p(r)$ to denote $B_p^g(r)$, namely $B_p(r) = B_p^g(r)$. M^2 stands for the cartesian product $M \times M$, while $Diag(M)$ is the diagonal of M^2 .

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\theta \in]0, 1[$, $L^p(M)$, $W^{k,p}(M)$, $C^k(M)$, and $C^{k,\theta}(M)$ stand respectively for the standard Lebesgue space, Sobolev space, k -continuously differentiable space and k -continuously differential space of Hölder exponent β , all with respect g (if the definition needs a metric structure, and for precise definitions and properties, see [4] or [30]). Given a function $u \in L^1(M)$, \bar{u} and \bar{u}_{Q^n} denote respectively its average on M with respect to g and the sign measure $Q_g^n dV_g$, that is

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\int_M u(x) dV_g(x)}{Vol_g(M)},$$

with $Vol_g(M) = \int_M dV_g$ and

$$(22) \quad \bar{u}_{Q^n} = \frac{1}{(n-1)! \omega_n m} \int_M Q_g^n(x) u(x) dV_g(x).$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ and small, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, and $a \in M$, $O_{\lambda, \epsilon}(1)$ stands for quantities bounded uniformly in λ , and ϵ , and $O_{a, \epsilon}(1)$ stands for quantities bounded uniformly in a and ϵ . For $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $O_l(1)$ stands for quantities bounded uniformly in l and $o_l(1)$ stands for quantities which tends to 0 as $l \rightarrow +\infty$. For ϵ positive and small, $a \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ large, $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $O_{a, \lambda, \epsilon}(1)$ stands for quantities bounded uniformly in a , λ , and ϵ . For ϵ positive and small, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^p$, $\lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, and $A := (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in M^p$ (where $(\mathbb{R}_+)^p$ and M^p denotes respectively the cartesian product of p copies of \mathbb{R}_+ and M), $O_{A, \bar{\lambda}, \epsilon}(1)$ stands for quantities bounded uniformly in A , $\bar{\lambda}$, and ϵ . Similarly for ϵ positive and small, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^p$, $\lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, α_i close to 1 for $i = 1, \dots, p$, and $A := (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in M^p$ (where \mathbb{R}^p denotes the cartesian product of p copies of \mathbb{R}), $O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \epsilon}(1)$ will mean quantities bounded from above and below independent of $\bar{\alpha}$, A , $\bar{\lambda}$, and ϵ . For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we will use the notation $O(x)$ to mean $|x|O(1)$ where $O(1)$ will be specified in all the contexts where it is used. Large positive constants are usually denoted by C and the value of C is allowed to vary from formula to formula and also within the same line. Similarly small positive constants are also denoted by c and their value may varies from formula to formula and also within the same line.

We call \bar{m} the number of negative eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) of P_g^n . We point out that \bar{m} can be zero, but it is always finite. If $\bar{m} \geq 1$, then we will denote by $E_- \subset W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M)$ the direct sum of the eigenspaces corresponding to the negative eigenvalues of P_g^n . The dimension of E_- is of course \bar{m} . On the other hand, we have the existence of an L^2 -orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $v_1, \dots, v_{\bar{m}}$ of E_- satisfying

$$(23) \quad P_g^n v_i = \mu_r v_r \quad \forall r = 1 \dots \bar{m},$$

$$(24) \quad \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_{\bar{m}} < 0 < \mu_{\bar{m}+1} \leq \dots,$$

where μ_r 's are the eigenvalues of P_g^n counted with multiplicity. We define also the pseudo-differential operator $P_g^{n,+}$ as follows

$$(25) \quad P_g^{n,+} u = P_g^n u - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \mu_r \left(\int_M u v_r dV_g \right) v_r.$$

Basically $P_g^{n,+}$ is obtained from P_g^n by reversing the sign of the negative eigenvalues and we extend the latter definition to $\bar{m} = 0$ for uniformity in the analysis and recall that in that case $P_g^{n,+} = P_g^n$. Now, for $t > 0$ we set

$$(26) \quad J_t(u) := \langle P_g^n u, u \rangle + 2t \int_M Q_g^n u dV_g - t \frac{2(n-1)! \omega_n m}{n} \log \int_M K e^{nu} dV_g, \quad u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M),$$

and hence $J = J_1$.

We will use the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote the L^2 scalar product and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}}$ for the $W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}$ -scalar product. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$(27) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{P^n} := \langle P_g^{n,+} u, v \rangle, \quad u, v \in \{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : \bar{u}_{Q^n} = 0\}$$

defines a inner product on $\{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : u_{Q^n} = 0\}$ which induces a norm equivalent to the standard norm $\|\cdot\| := \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}}}$ of $W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M)$ (on $\{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : u_{Q^n} = 0\}$) and denoted by

$$(28) \quad \|u\|_{P^n} := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{P^n}} \quad u \in \{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : \bar{u}_{Q^n} = 0\}.$$

$\bar{B}_r^{\bar{m}}$ will stand for the closed ball of center 0 and radius r in $\mathbb{R}^{\bar{m}}$. $\mathbb{S}^{\bar{m}-1}$ will denote the boundary of $\bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}$. Given a set X , we define $\widetilde{X \times \bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}}$ to be the cartesian product $X \times \bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}$ where the tilde means that $X \times \partial \bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}$ is identified with $\partial \bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}$. For $m \geq 2$, we denote by $B_{m-1}(M)$ the set of formal barycenters of M of order $m-1$, namely

$$(29) \quad B_{m-1}(M) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}, a_i \in M, \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m-1, \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i = 1 \right\},$$

Finally, we set

$$(30) \quad A_{m-1, \bar{m}} := B_{m-1}(\widetilde{M}) \times \bar{B}_1^{\bar{m}}.$$

In the sequel also, J^c with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ will stand for $J^c := \{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : J(u) \leq c\}$. For X a topological space, $\chi(X)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of X with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients and for (X, Y) a topological pair, $\chi(X, Y)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of X with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients.

As above, in the general case, namely $\bar{m} \geq 0$, for ϵ small and positive, $\bar{\beta} := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{m}}$ with β_i close to 0, $i = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$ (where $\mathbb{R}^{\bar{m}}$ is the empty set when $\bar{m} = 0$), $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^p$, $\lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, α_i close to 1 for $i = 1, \dots, p$, and $A := (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in M^p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $w \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}$ with $\|w\|$ small, $O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \epsilon}(1)$ will stand quantities bounded independent of $\bar{\alpha}$, A , $\bar{\lambda}$, $\bar{\beta}$, and ϵ , and $O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, w, \epsilon}(1)$ will stand quantities bounded independent of $\bar{\alpha}$, A , $\bar{\lambda}$, $\bar{\beta}$, w and ϵ .

As in [56] and [53], given a point $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and λ a positive real number, we define $\delta_{b, \lambda}$ to be the *standard bubble*, namely

$$(31) \quad \delta_{b, \lambda}(y) := \log \left(\frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2|y - b|^2} \right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The functions $\delta_{b, \lambda}$ verify the following equation

$$(32) \quad (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\frac{n}{2}} \delta_{b, \lambda} = (n-1)! e^{n\delta_{b, \lambda}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Geometrically, equation (32) means that the metric $g = e^{2\delta_{b, \lambda}} dx^2$ (after pull-back by stereographic projection) has constant Q -curvature equal to $(n-1)!$, where dx^2 is the standard metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Using the existence of conformal normal coordinates (see [17] or [33]), we have that, for $a \in M$ there exists a function $u_a \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$(33) \quad g_a = e^{2u_a} g \quad \text{verifies } \det g_a(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in B_a^{g_a}(\varrho_a).$$

with $0 < \varrho_0 < \varrho_a < \frac{\text{inj}_{g_a}(M)}{10}$ for some small positive ϱ_0 satisfying $\varrho_0 < \frac{\text{inj}_g(M)}{10}$.

Now, for $0 < \varrho < \min\{\frac{\text{inj}_g(M)}{4}, \frac{\varrho_0}{4}\}$, we define a smooth cut-off function $\chi_\varrho : \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}_+$ satisfying the following properties:

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_\varrho(t) = t & \text{for } t \in [0, \varrho], \\ \chi_\varrho(t) = 2\varrho & \text{for } t \geq 2\varrho, \\ \chi_\varrho(t) \in [\varrho, 2\varrho] & \text{for } t \in [\varrho, 2\varrho]. \end{cases}$$

Using the cut-off function χ_ϱ , we define for $a \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the function $\hat{\delta}_{a, \lambda}$ as follows

$$(35) \quad \hat{\delta}_{a, \lambda}(x) := \log \left(\frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 \chi_\varrho^2(d_{g_a}(x, a))} \right).$$

For every $a \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define $\varphi_{a, \lambda}$ to be the unique the solution of the following projection problem

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} P_g^n \varphi_{a, \lambda} + \frac{1}{m} Q_g^n = (n-1)! \omega_n \frac{e^{n(\hat{\delta}_{a, \lambda} + u_a)}}{\int_M e^{n(\hat{\delta}_{a, \lambda} + u_a)} dV_g} & \text{in } M, \\ \int_M Q_g^n(x) \varphi_{a, \lambda}(x) dV_g(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, we recall that G is the unique solution of the following PDE

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} P_g^n G(a, \cdot) + \frac{1}{m} Q_g^n(\cdot) = (n-1)! \omega_n \delta_a(\cdot), \\ \int_M Q_g^n(x) G(a, x) dV_g(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using (37), it is easy to see that the following integral representation formula holds

$$(38) \quad u(x) - \bar{u}_{Q^n} = \frac{1}{(n-1)! \omega_n} \int_M G(x, y) P_g^n u(y), \quad u \in C^n(M), \quad x \in M,$$

where u_{Q^n} is defined as in section 2. It is a well know fact that G has a logarithmic singularity. In fact G decomposes as follows

$$(39) \quad G(a, x) = \log \left(\frac{1}{\chi_\varrho^2(d_{g_a}(a, x))} \right) + H(a, x).$$

where H is the regular par of G . Furthermore, it is also a well-know fact that

$$(40) \quad G \in C^\infty(M^2 \setminus \text{Diag}(M)), \quad , \quad \text{and } H \in C^{3,\beta}(M^2) \quad \forall \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Now, using (9) and (11) combined with the symmetry of H , it is easy to see that

$$(41) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_K(a_1, \dots, a_m)}{\partial a_i} = \frac{2}{n} \frac{\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)}{\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Next, we set

$$(42) \quad l_K(A) := \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\Delta_{g_{a_i}} \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)}{(\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i))^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} - \frac{n}{2(n-1)} R_g(a_i) (\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i))^{\frac{2}{n}} \right),$$

and have

$$(43) \quad l_K(A) = \frac{2n}{n-2} \mathcal{L}_K(A), \quad \forall A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K).$$

3 An expansion of ∇J_{t_l} at infinity

In this section, we present a useful expansion of ∇J_{t_l} at infinity. In order to do that, we first fix Λ to a large positive constant. Next, like in [54] and [56] (see also [53]), for ϵ and η small positive real numbers, we first denote by $V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ the (m, ϵ, η) -neighborhood of potential critical points at infinity, namely

$$(44) \quad V(m, \epsilon, \eta) := \left\{ u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : a_1, \dots, a_m \in M, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m > 0, \|u - \bar{u}_{Q^n} - \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}\| + \|\nabla^{W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}} J(u)\| = O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right) \quad \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \frac{2}{\Lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \leq \frac{\Lambda}{2}, \quad \text{and } d_g(a_i, a_j) \geq 4\bar{C}\eta \text{ for } i \neq j \right\},$$

where \bar{C} is a large positive constant, $\nabla^{W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}} J$ is the gradient of J with respect to the $W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}$ -topology, $O(1) := O_{A, \bar{\lambda}, u, \epsilon}(1)$ meaning bounded uniformly in $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, u, ϵ . Next, as in [56] and [53], following the ideas of Bahri-Coron[9], we have that for η a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$, there exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\eta) > 0$ such that

(45)

$\forall 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \forall u \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$, the minimization problem $\min_{B_{\epsilon, \eta}} \|u - \bar{u}_{Q^n} - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} - \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n})\|_{P^n}$

has a unique solution, up to permutations, where $B_{\epsilon, \eta}$ is defined as follows

$$(46) \quad B_{\epsilon, \eta} := \left\{ (\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times M^m \times (0, +\infty)^m \times \mathbb{R}^{\bar{m}} : |\alpha_i - 1| \leq \epsilon, \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, i = 1, \dots, m, \right. \\ \left. d_g(a_i, a_j) \geq 4\bar{C}\eta, i \neq j, |\beta_r| \leq R, r = 1, \dots, \bar{m} \right\}.$$

Moreover, using the solution of (45), we have that every $u \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ can be written as

$$(47) \quad u - \bar{u}_{Q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + w,$$

where w verifies the following orthogonality conditions

$$(48) \quad \langle Q_g^n, w \rangle = \langle \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}, w \rangle_{P^n} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}, w \right\rangle_{P^n} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial a_i}, w \right\rangle_{P^n} = \langle v_r, w \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$$

and the estimate

$$(49) \quad \|w\| = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right),$$

where here $O(1) := O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, w, \epsilon}(1)$, and for the meaning of $O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, w, \epsilon}(1)$, see Section 2. Furthermore, the concentration points a_i , the masses α_i , the concentrating parameters λ_i and the negativity parameter β_r in (47) verify also

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} d_g(a_i, a_j) &\geq 4\bar{C}\eta, \quad i \neq j = 1, \dots, m, \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \leq \Lambda \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m, \quad \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| \sqrt{\log \lambda_i} &= O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with still $O(1)$ as in (49). Using the neighborhood of potential critical points at infinity, we have the following Lemma. For its proof see Lemma 3.1 in [54] and Proposition 3.3 in [56].

Lemma 3.1. *Let ϵ and η be small positive real numbers with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34). Assuming that u_l is a sequence of blowing up critical point of J_{t_l} with $(\overline{u_l})_{Q^n} = 0, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_l \rightarrow 1$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$, then there exists $l_{\epsilon, \eta}$ a large positive integer such that for every $l \geq l_{\epsilon, \eta}$, we have $u_l \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$.*

Now, we present some gradient estimates for J_{t_l} . We start with the following one.

Lemma 3.2. *Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), then for $a_i \in M$ concentration points, α_i masses, λ_i concentration parameters ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and β_r negativity parameters ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), we have that for l large enough and for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, there holds*

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - (\overline{v_r})_{Q^n}) \right), \lambda_j \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right\rangle &= 2(n-1)! \omega_n \alpha_j \tau_j \\ &- \frac{c_n^2 (n-1)! \omega_n}{n \lambda_j^2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{g_{a_j}} \mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)}{\mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)} - \frac{n}{2(n-1)} R_g(a_j) \right) - \frac{2(n-1)! \omega_n}{(n-2) \lambda_j^2} \tau_j \Delta_{g_{a_j}} H(a_j, a_j) \\ &- \frac{2(n-1)! \omega_n}{(n-2) \lambda_j^2} \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m \tau_i \Delta_{g_{a_j}} G(a_j, a_i) + \frac{c_n^2 (n-1)! \omega_n}{n \lambda_j^2} \tau_j \left(\frac{\Delta_{g_{a_j}} \mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)}{\mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)} - \frac{n}{2(n-1)} R_g(a_j) \right) \\ &+ O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1|^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r|^3 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, c_n^2 is a positive real number depending only on n , and for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\tau_i := 1 - t_l \frac{m \gamma_i}{D}, \quad D := \int_M K(x) e^{n(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r(x))} dV_g(x),$$

with

$$\gamma_i := c_i^n \lambda_i^{2n\alpha_i - n} \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i) \mathcal{G}_i(a_i),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^n &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^2)^{n\alpha_i}} dy \\ \mathcal{G}_i(a_i) &:= e^{n((\alpha_i - 1)H(a_i, a_i) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (\alpha_j - 1)G(a_j, a_i))} e^{\frac{n}{2(n-2)} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{\lambda_j^2} \Delta_{g_{a_j}} G(a_j, a_i)} e^{\frac{n}{2(n-2)} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha_j}{\lambda_j^2} \Delta_{g_{a_i}} H(a_i, a_i)} \\ &\quad \times e^{n \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r(a_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

c_n^2 is a positive real number depending only on n and for the meaning of $O_{\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \epsilon}(1)$, see Section 2.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(52) \quad & \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{\alpha_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{c_n^2 (n-1)! \gamma_n}{n \lambda_i^2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{g_{a_i}} \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)}{\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)} - \frac{n}{2(n-1)} R_g(a_i) \right) + \bar{c}_n (1-t_l) m \\
& + O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1|^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r|^3 + \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i^3 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^3} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where A , $O(1)$, c_n^2 , and τ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are as above and \bar{c}_n is positive constant depending only on n .

PROOF. The proof follows the same strategy as in Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 in [56]. ■

Remark 3.3. We would like to remark that the τ_i 's depends on t_l , but for the seek of simplicity in notations, we have decided to omit this dependency.

Next, we present a gradient estimate for ∇J_{t_l} in the direction of the α_i 's. Indeed, we have:

Lemma 3.4. Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), then for $a_i \in M$ concentration points, α_i masses, λ_i concentration parameters ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and β_r negativity parameters ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), we have that for l large enough and for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(53) \quad & \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \varphi_{a_j, \lambda_j} \right\rangle = \\
& (2 \log \lambda_j + H(a_j, a_j) - C_2^n) \frac{1}{\alpha_j} \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \lambda_j \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right\rangle \\
& + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^m G(a_j, a_i) \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle \\
& + 4(n-1)! \omega_n (\alpha_j - 1) \log \lambda_j + O \left(\log \lambda_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\alpha_i - 1|}{\log \lambda_i} + \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\log \lambda_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $O(1)$ is as in Lemma 3.2 and C_2^n is a constant depending only on n .

PROOF. It follows from the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [56]. ■

Now, we derive a gradient estimate for ∇J_{t_l} with respect to the a_i 's. Precisely, we have:

Lemma 3.5. Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), then for $a_i \in M$ concentration points, α_i masses, λ_i concentration parameters ($i = 1, \dots, m$), ad β_r negativity parameters ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), we have that for l large enough and for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(54) \quad & \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j, \lambda_j}}{\partial a_j} \right\rangle = - \frac{4c_n^2 (n-1)! \omega_n}{n \lambda_j} \frac{\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)}{\mathcal{F}_j^A(a_j)} \\
& + O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1|^2 \right) \\
& + O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $O(1)$ is as in Lemma 3.2 and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, τ_i is as in Lemma 3.2.

PROOF. It follows from the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [56]. ■

Finally, we have the following estimate for ∇J_{t_l} in the direction of the β_r 's.

Lemma 3.6. *Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), then for $a_i \in M$ concentration points, α_i masses, λ_i concentration parameters ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and β_r negativity parameters ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), we have that for l large enough and for every $s = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$, there holds here holds*

$$(55) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), v_l - \overline{(v_l)_Q} \right\rangle = 2\mu_l \beta_l + O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right),$$

where $O(1)$ is as in Lemma 3.2 and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, τ_i is as in Lemma 3.2.

PROOF. It follows from the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.5 in [56]. ■

Remark 3.7. *We would like to point out that the gradient estimates in (51)-(55) holds in C^1 as function of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \tau)$ with $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $A = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}})$, $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$ where for B, C, D some C^1 -functions of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \tau)$, $B = C + O(D)$ in C^1 in the variables $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \tau)$ means $B = C + O(D)$ and $\tilde{\nabla} B = \tilde{\nabla} C + O(D)$ with $\tilde{\nabla}$ denoting the gradient with respect to $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}, \tau)$.*

4 Refined location of u_l

In this section, we improve the location of u_l given by Lemma 3.1. In order to do that, we divide this section into two subsections. In the first one, we derive a finite-dimensional parametrization of u_l . In the second one, we present the improvement we talked about above, by using the later parametrization of u_l .

4.1 Finite-dimensional parametrization of u_l

As already mentioned above, in this subsection, we give a finite-dimensional parametrization of u_l . For this end, we start with the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45) and $u = \bar{u}_Q + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) + w \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ with w , the concentration points a_i , the masses α_i , the concentrating parameters λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and the negativity parameters β_r ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) verifying (48)-(50), then we have*

$$(56) \quad J_{t_l}(u) = J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right) - f_l(w) + Q_l(w) + o(\|w\|^2),$$

where

$$(57) \quad f_l(w) := 2(n-1)! \omega_n t_l \frac{\int_M K e^{n \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + n \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r} w dV_g}{\int_M K e^{n \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + n \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r} dV_g},$$

and

$$(58) \quad Q_l(w) := \|w\|_{P^n}^2 - n! \omega_n t_l \frac{\int_M K e^{n \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + n \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r} w^2 dV_g}{\int_M K e^{n \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + n \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r v_r} dV_g}.$$

Moreover, setting

$$(59) \quad E_{a_i, \lambda_i} := \{w \in W^{\frac{n}{2}, 2}(M) : \langle \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}, w \rangle_{P^n} = \langle \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial \lambda_i}, w \rangle_{P^n} = \langle \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i}}{\partial a_i}, w \rangle_{P^n} = 0, \langle w, Q_g^n \rangle = \langle v_r, w \rangle = 0, r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}, \text{ and } \|w\| = O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)\},$$

and

$$(60) \quad A := (a_1, \dots, a_m), \quad \bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), \quad E_{A, \bar{\lambda}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m E_{a_i, \lambda_i},$$

we have that, the quadratic form Q is positive definite in $E_{A, \bar{\lambda}}$. Furthermore, the linear part f verifies that, for every $w \in E_{A, \bar{\lambda}}$, there holds

$$(61) \quad f_l(w) = O \left[\|w\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| \log \lambda_i + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\log \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \right].$$

where here $o(1) = o_{l, \bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\lambda}, w, \epsilon}(1)$ and $O(1) := O_{l, \bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\lambda}, w, \epsilon}(1)$ and for their meanings see section 2.

PROOF. The proof is the same as the one Proposition 6.1 in [56]. ■

Like in [56] and for the same reasons, we have that Lemma 4.1 implies the following direct corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), and $u := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n})$ with the concentration points a_i , the masses α_i , the concentrating parameters λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the negativity parameters β_r ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), then for l large enough, there exists a unique $\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in E_{A, \bar{\lambda}}$ such that*

$$(62) \quad J_{t_l}(u + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})) = \min_{w \in E_{A, \bar{\lambda}}, u+w \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)} J_{t_l}(u + w),$$

where $\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ and $\bar{\beta} := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}})$.

Furthermore, for l large enough, the map $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \rightarrow \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in C^1$ and satisfies the following estimate

$$(63) \quad \frac{1}{C} \|\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})\|^2 \leq |f_l(\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}))| \leq C \|\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})\|^2,$$

for some large positive constant C independent of l , $\bar{\alpha}$, A , $\bar{\lambda}$, and $\bar{\beta}$, hence

$$(64) \quad \|\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})\| = O \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| \log \lambda_i + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\log \lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2} \right),$$

where $O(1) := O_{l, \bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\lambda}, \epsilon}(1)$ and for its meaning see section 2. Moreover, assuming that $u_0 := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^0 \varphi_{a_i^0, \lambda_i^0} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^0 (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n})$ with the concentration points a_i^0 , the masses α_i^0 , the concentrating parameters λ_i^0 ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the negativity parameters β_r^0 ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), then for l large enough, there exists an open neighborhood U^l of $(\bar{\alpha}^0, A^0, \bar{\lambda}^0, \bar{\beta}^0)$ (with $\bar{\alpha}^0 := (\alpha_1^0, \dots, \alpha_m^0)$, $A^0 := (a_1^0, \dots, a_m^0)$, $\bar{\lambda}^0 := (\lambda_1^0, \dots, \lambda_m^0)$ and $\bar{\beta}^0 := (\beta_1^0, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}}^0)$) such that for every $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in U$ with $\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\bar{\beta} := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}})$, and the a_i , the α_i , the λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the β_r ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), and w satisfying (50) with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + w \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$, we have the existence of a change of variable

$$(65) \quad w \rightarrow V_l$$

from a neighborhood of $\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ to a neighborhood of 0 such that

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} & J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^{6n}}) + w \right) = \\ & J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 J_{t_l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^0 \varphi_{a_i^0, \lambda_i^0} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^0 (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}^0, A^0, \bar{\lambda}^0, \bar{\beta}^0) \right) (V_l, V_l). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with this new variable, in $V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ we have a splitting of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ and V_l , and $-V_l$ is a pseudogradient of J_{t_l} in the direction of V_l . Using this fact, we have the following Proposition which was the goal of this subsection.

Proposition 4.3. *Assuming that u_l is a sequence of blowing up solutions to (8), then for l large enough there holds*

$$(67) \quad u_l - \overline{(u_l)}_{Q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^l \varphi_{a_i^l, \lambda_i^l} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^l (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}_l, A_l, \bar{\lambda}_l, \bar{\beta}_l),$$

with $\bar{\alpha}_l := (\alpha_1^l, \dots, \alpha_m^l)$, $A_l := (a_1^l, \dots, a_m^l)$, $\bar{\lambda}_l := (\lambda_1^l, \dots, \lambda_m^l)$, and $\bar{\beta}_l = (\beta_1^l, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}}^l)$. Furthermore, for l large enough, the concentration points a_i^l , the masses α_i^l , the concentrating parameters λ_i^l ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the negativity parameters β_r^l ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfy (50).

PROOF. It follows from the fact that u_l is a solution to (8) implies $\nabla J_{t_l}(u_l) = 0$, the fact that J_{t_l} is invariant by translation by constants combined with (45), (47)-(50), Lemma 3.1, Corollary 4.2 and the discussion right after it. ■

4.2 Refined estimates for the finite-dimensional parameters of u_l

As already mentioned at the beginning of this section, in this subsection we derive refined estimates for the finite-dimensional parameters of u_l in the formula (67). In order to do that, we start by constructing a pseudo-gradient for $J_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$, where $J_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) := J_{t_l}(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}))$ in a suitable subset of $V(m, \epsilon, \eta)$. Indeed, setting

$$(68) \quad V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta) := \{u \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta) : \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}^A(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) \leq C_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \},$$

with C_0 a large positive constants, we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Assuming that η is a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34), and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45), then for l large enough, we have that there exists a pseudogradient $W := W(l)$ of $J_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ in $V(m, \epsilon, \eta) \setminus V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ such that for every $u := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta) \setminus V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ with the concentration points a_i , the masses α_i , the concentrating parameters λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the negativity parameters β_r ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), there holds*

$$(69) \quad \langle -\nabla J_l(u), W \rangle \geq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| \right),$$

where c is a small positive constant independent of l , $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}})$ and ϵ . Furthermore, for every $u := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i, \lambda_i} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_Q) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta) \setminus V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ with the concentration points a_i , the masses α_i , the concentrating parameters λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and the negativity parameters β_r ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfying (50), and $\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ is as in (62), there holds

$$(70) \quad \langle -\nabla J_l(u), W + \frac{\partial \bar{w}_l(W)}{\partial(\bar{\alpha}, A, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})} \rangle \geq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)|}{\lambda_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r| \right),$$

where c is still a small positive constant independent of l , $A := (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\bar{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}})$ and ϵ .

PROOF. The argument is the same as the one of Proposition in [56]. ■

Finally, we are going to achieve the goal of this section, by establishing a refined location of u_l , by exploiting its criticality for J_{t_l} , its finite-dimensional parametrization given by the previous subsection and Proposition 4.4. Precisely, we have:

Lemma 4.5. *Let η be a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34) and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45). Assuming that u_l is a sequence of blowing-up solutions to (21), then for l large enough, we have*

$$u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta).$$

PROOF. It follows from the fact that u_l is a solution to (8) implies $\nabla J_{t_l}(u_l) = 0$ combined with Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4. ■

5 Proof of the results

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1-Corollary 1.4. We start with the one of Theorem 1.1. In order to do that, we are going to show the following result from which Theorem 1.1 follows directly, thanks to the formula (43) and to the used scaling blowing up formula which is given by point a) of Lemma 2.3 in [54].

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed four-dimensional Riemannian manifold such that $\ker P_g \simeq \mathbb{R}$, and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M , ϵ and η be small positive real numbers with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34) and u_l is a sequence of blowing up solutions to (21), then for l large enough, we have that $u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$*

$$u_l - \overline{(u_l)}_{Q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^l \varphi_{a_i^l, \lambda_i^l} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^l (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}_l, A_l, \bar{\lambda}_l, \bar{\beta}_l),$$

and

$$(71) \quad t_l - 1 = \frac{\bar{c}_{n,m}^K(A^l)}{(\mathcal{F}^A(a_i))^{\frac{n-2}{n}} (\lambda_i^l)^2} \left[l_K(A^l) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^l}\right) \right], \quad i = 1 \cdots, m$$

with $V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ defined by (68), $\bar{\alpha}_l := (\alpha_1^l, \dots, \alpha_m^l)$, $A_l := (a_1^l, \dots, a_m^l)$, $\bar{\lambda}_l := (\lambda_1^l, \dots, \lambda_m^l)$, $\bar{\beta}_l = (\beta_1^l, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}}^l)$, the concentration points a_i^l , the masses α_i^l , the concentrating parameters λ_i^l ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and the negativity parameters β_r^l ($r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}$) satisfy (50), $A^l \rightarrow A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$ as $l \rightarrow +\infty$, $\bar{c}_{n,m}^K(A^l) \rightarrow \bar{c}_{n,m}^K(A) > 0$, and $l_K(\cdot)$ is defined by (42).

PROOF of Theorem 5.1

The proof is the same as the one of Theorem 5.1 in [55]. ■

PROOF of Corollary 1.2

Case 1: $(ND)_-$ holds

In this case, the result follows from our work [49] in the *nonresonant* case by considering the functional $J_{1+\epsilon}$ with ϵ positive and small combined with Theorem 1.1.

Case 2: $(ND)_+$ holds

In this case, the same argument as above works by considering $J_{1-\epsilon}$ with ϵ positive and small. We add that for $m = 1$ and $\bar{m} = 0$, by the existence of minimizers in the subcritical case, we can take the solution to be a minimizer of J . On the other hand when $m \geq 2$, the result follows also from the characterization of the “true” critical points at infinity of J , and the topology of very high and very negative sublevels of J established in our work [54]. ■

PROOF of Corollary 1.4

Clearly Theorem 1.1 combined with standard elliptic regularity theory imply the result. ■

6 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. We start by showing a deep local characterization at infinity around critical points of \mathcal{F}_K for blowing-up solution of the type considered in Lemma 4.5. Indeed setting

$$(72) \quad V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A^0) := \{u \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta) : d_g(a_i, a_i^0) \leq \tilde{C}_0 \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

for $A^0 = (a_1^0, \dots, a_m^0) \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$ with \tilde{C}_0 a large positive constant, we have:

Proposition 6.1. *Let η be a small positive real number with $0 < 2\eta < \varrho$ where ϱ is as in (34) and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 is as in (45). Assuming that u_l is a sequence of blowing-up solutions to (21), then for l large enough, we have*

$$u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A),$$

for some $A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$.

PROOF. Using Theorem 5.1, we have $u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$ and

$$u_l - \overline{(u_l)}_{Q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^l \varphi_{a_i^l, \lambda_i^l} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^l (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}_l, A_l, \bar{\lambda}_l, \bar{\beta}_l)$$

with

$$\|\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}^l, A, \bar{\lambda}^l, \bar{\beta}^l)\| = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i^l)|}{\lambda_i^l} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^l - 1| \log \lambda_i^l + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^l| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\log \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i^l)^2}\right).$$

Thus using the definition of $V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)$, we have

$$\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i^l)|}{\lambda_i^l} \leq C_0 \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^l)^2}$$

This implies $A^l = (a_i^l) \rightarrow A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$, thank to (41). Moreover, the non-degeneracy of \mathcal{F}_K implies

$$d_g(a_i^l, a_i) \leq \tilde{C}_0 \frac{1}{\lambda_i^l}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

for some large $\tilde{C}_0 > 0$. Hence $u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$. ■

Remark 6.2. *The bubbling rate formula in Theorem 1.1 implies that for $u_l \in V_{deep}^{t_l}(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$, we have $u_l \in V_{deep}^1(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$, where $V_{deep}^1(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ is defined as in (68) with t_l replaced by 1 and A^0 replaced by A .*

In the next proposition, we show that for any $A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$ with $\mathcal{L}_K(A) < 0$, there exists $u_t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$ when \mathcal{F}_K is a Morse function. The set $V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ is defined as in n (68) with t_l replaced by t and A^0 replaced by A .

Proposition 6.3. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that \mathcal{F}_K is a Morse function and $A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)$ with $\mathcal{L}_K(A) < 0$, then for $t \simeq 1^-$, there exist u_t verifying*

$$(73) \quad P_g^n u_t + tQ_g^n = t\kappa_g^n K e^{nu_t} \quad \text{in } M$$

such that

$$(74) \quad u_t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A).$$

PROOF. By Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 6.1, we must look for a solution $u^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$ verifying

$$u_l - \overline{(u_l)}_{Q^n} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t, \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_t(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t),$$

with $\bar{\alpha}^t = (\alpha_1^t, \dots, \alpha_m^t)$, $A^t = (a_1^t, \dots, a_m^t)$, $\bar{\lambda}^t = (\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_m^t)$, $\bar{\beta}^t = (\beta_1^t, \dots, \beta_{\bar{m}}^t)$, and $\bar{w}_t(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t)$ verifies

$$J_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t, \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_t(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t)\right) = \min_{w \in E_{A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t} + w \in V(m, \epsilon, \eta)} J_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t, \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + w\right).$$

with

$$\hat{z}^t = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}})$$

and

$$(75) \quad \|\bar{w}_l(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t)\| = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| \log \lambda_i^t + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\log \lambda_i^t}{(\lambda_i^t)^2}\right),$$

where $E_{A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t}$ is as in (60) with $(A, \bar{\lambda})$ replaced by $(A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t)$. Thus, we have

$$\left\langle \nabla J_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) + \bar{w}_t(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t) \right), w \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in E_{A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t}.$$

Hence, setting

$$(76) \quad z^t = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) + \bar{w}_t(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t),$$

we have

$$(77) \quad \nabla J_t(z^t) = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(78) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \lambda_j^t \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \frac{1}{\lambda_j^t} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial a_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}} \right\rangle = 0, \\ j = 1, \dots, m, r = 1, \dots, \bar{m}.$$

Using Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 with t_l replaced by t combined with (75) and recalling that we are looking for $z^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$, we have

$$(79) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}} \right\rangle \\ + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t} \right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^3}\right).$$

As in Remark 3.7, (79) holds in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t, \tau^t)$ with $\tau^t = (\tau_1^t, \dots, \tau_m^t)$ with τ_i^t as Lemma 3.2 in with t_l replaced by t . Thus, using Lemma 3.6, Remark 3.7 and (79), we have

$$(80) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}} \right\rangle = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(81) \quad 2\mu_r \beta_r^t + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t} \right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i^t| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^2}\right) = 0,$$

with (81) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \bar{\beta}^t, \tau^t)$ in the sense defined in Remark 3.7. Thus by implicit function theorem we have a unique $\bar{\beta}_r^t = \bar{\beta}_r^t(A^t, \bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$ solving (81) with $z^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$. Similarly, using Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 4.2 with t_l replaced by t combined with (75), we have

$$(82) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \frac{1}{\lambda_j^t} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial a_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}}) \right), \frac{1}{\lambda_j^t} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial a_j^t} \right\rangle \\ + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t} \right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^3}\right),$$

with (82) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus, using Lemma 3.5, Remark 3.7, and (82), we have

$$(83) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \frac{1}{\lambda_j^t} \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial a_j^t} \right\rangle = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(84) \quad -\frac{4c_n^2(n-1)! \omega_n}{n\lambda_j} \frac{\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_j^{A^t}(a_j^t)}{\mathcal{F}_j^{A^t}(a_j^t)} + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\tau_i^t)^2\right) = 0,$$

with (84) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, A^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus as above, using the implicit function theorem we have a unique $A^t = A^t(\bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$ solving (84) with $z^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$. Again as above, using Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 with t_l replaced by t combined with (75), we have

$$(85) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t, \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}})\right), \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle \\ + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^3}\right)$$

with (85) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus, using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, Remark 3.7, and (85), we have

$$(86) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(87) \quad 4(n-1)! \omega_n (\alpha_j^t - 1) \log \lambda_j^t + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t| + \sum_{i=1}^m (\tau_i^t)^2\right) = 0.$$

with (87) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus, as above using the implicit function theorem we have a unique $\bar{\alpha}^t = \bar{\alpha}^t(\bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$ solving (87) with $z^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$. Again as above, using Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 with t_l replaced by t combined with (75), we have

$$(88) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \lambda_j^t \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = \left\langle \nabla J_t\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^t \varphi_{a_i^t, \lambda_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \beta_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)_{Q^n}})\right), \lambda_j^t \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle \\ + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^3}\right).$$

with (88) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus, using Lemma 3.2, Remark 3.7 and (88), we have

$$(89) \quad \left\langle \nabla J_t(z^t), \lambda_j^t \frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j^t, \lambda_j^t}}{\partial \lambda_j^t} \right\rangle = 0$$

is equivalent to

$$(90) \quad 2(n-1)! \omega_n \alpha_j^t \tau_j^t + O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{|\nabla_g \mathcal{F}_i^{A^t}(a_i^t)|}{\lambda_i^t}\right]^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i^t - 1| + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} |\beta_r^t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^t)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m |\tau_i^t|^2\right)$$

with (90) holding in C^1 of the variables $(\bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t)$. Thus as above, using the implicit function theorem we have a unique $\tau^t = \tau^t(\bar{\lambda}^t)$ solving (90) with $z^t \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$ for $t \simeq 1^-$. Finally for $t \simeq 1^-$, we have $\forall i = 1, \dots, m$ there exists a unique λ_i^t such that

$$(t-1)(\mathcal{F}_i^A(a_i)) \frac{n-2}{n} = \frac{2n\bar{c}_{nm}^K(A)\mathcal{L}_K(A)}{n-2(\lambda_i^t)^2},$$

with $\bar{c}_{n,m}^K(A)$ as in Theorem 5.1. Hence

$$z^t = \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i^t \varphi_{\tilde{\alpha}_i^t, \tilde{\lambda}_i^t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\bar{m}} \tilde{\beta}_r^t (v_r - \overline{(v_r)}_{Q^n}) + \bar{w}_t(\tilde{\alpha}^t, \tilde{A}^t, \tilde{\lambda}^t, \tilde{\beta}^t)$$

with $\tilde{\lambda}^t = \bar{\lambda}^t$, $\tilde{\alpha}^t = \bar{\alpha}^t(\bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t(\bar{\lambda}^t))$, $\tilde{A}^t = A^t(\bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t(\bar{\lambda}^t))$, and $\tilde{\beta}^t = \bar{\beta}^t(\bar{\alpha}^t, \bar{\lambda}^t, \tau^t(\bar{\lambda}^t))$ verifies

$$\nabla J_t(z^t) = 0.$$

Thus $u^t = z^t - \log \int_M e^{4z^t} dV_g$ satisfies (73) and (74) thereby ending the proof of the proposition. ■

Our work [49] in the non-resonant case, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 imply the following proposition important in the calculation of d_m as carried below.

Proposition 6.4. *Let (M, g) be a closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 4$ even such that $\ker P_g^n \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa_g^n = (n-1)!m\omega_n$. Assuming that K is a smooth positive function on M such that (ND) holds. There exist $\epsilon_{m,n} > 0$, $C_m > 0$, $C_t > C_{n,m}$ ($t \simeq 1$) with $C_{n,m}$ and $\epsilon_{m,n}$ depending only on m and n , C_t continuous in t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} C_t = +\infty$ such that for every u solution of*

$$P_g^n u + tQ_g^n = tKe^{nu},$$

with $|(n-1)\omega_n(t-1)| < \epsilon_{m,n}$, we have

1)

$$\|u\| < C_t \quad \forall t \neq 1.$$

2)

$$\|u\| \leq C_{m,n} \quad \text{for } t = 1$$

3)

If $t \neq 1$, then we have

i) Either $\|u\| < C_{n,m}$

ii) Or $\|u\| \geq C_{n,m}$ and $u \in V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta) := \cup_{A \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{F}_K)} V_{deep}^t(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$.

PROOF. 1) follows from the compactness result in [49] (see also [26], [41]), while 2) follows from Corollary 1.4, and 3) follows from Theorem 6.1. ■

PROOF of Theorem 1.5

Let $X := \{u \in W^{\frac{n}{2}}(M) : \int_M Ke^{nu} dV_h = 1\}$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$(91) \quad T(u) = (P_g^n)^{-1}(\kappa_g^n Ke^{nu} - Q_g^n), \quad u \in X.$$

Then u is a solution of (7) is equivalent to $(I-T)u = 0$. On the other hand, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 6.4 imply the Leray-Schauder degree of (7) $d_m = d_m(K)$ is well-defined and verifies

$$d_m = \text{deg}(I - T, B_{C_{n,m}}, 0).$$

From the work of Malchiodi[43] in the non-resonant case, there exists $L_0 > 0$ such that for all $L \geq L_0$,

$$1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}) = \chi(J_t^L, J_t^{-L}), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

From our work [54], up to taking L_0 larger we have

$$\chi(J^L, J^{-L}) = \chi(J_t^L, J_t^{-L}), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Similarly to (7) and (91), for $t \in (0, 1)$ we consider the equation

$$(92) \quad P_g^n u + tQ_g^n = t\kappa_g^n Ke^{nu},$$

and the operator

$$T_t(u) = (P_g^n)^{-1}(t\kappa_g^n Ke^{nu} - tQ_g^n), \quad u \in X.$$

Then Proposition 6.4 implies the Leray-Schauder degree of (92) d_m^t is well-defined and is given by

$$d_m^t = \deg(I - T_t, B_{C_t}, 0), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Furthermore, the work of Malchodi[43] implies

$$d_m^t = 1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1)$$

Let us define

$$d_m^- = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} d_m^t.$$

Then Theorem 1.1, Remark 6.2, and Theorem 6.4 imply

$$d_m^- = \deg(I - T, B_{C_{n,m}}, 0) + \deg(I - T, V_{deep}^{1,-}(m, \epsilon, \eta), 0),$$

where

$$V_{deep}^{1,-}(m, \epsilon, \eta) = \cup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} V_{deep}^1(m, \epsilon, \eta)(A)$$

On the other hand, our Morse lemma at infinity in [54] and Poncare-Hopf theorem imply

$$\deg(I - T, V_{deep}^{1,-}(m, \epsilon, \eta), 0) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A) + \bar{m}}$$

Thus, we get

$$d_m^- = d_m + \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A) + \bar{m}}$$

so, we obtain

$$1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}) = \chi(J^L, J^{-L}) = d_m + \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A)}$$

this implies

$$d_m = \chi(J^L, J^{-L}) - \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A) + \bar{m}} = 1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}) - \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty} (-1)^{i_\infty(A) + \bar{m}}$$

Hence, recalling

$$1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}) = (-1)^{\bar{m}} \quad \text{for } m = 1$$

and

$$1 - \chi(A_{m-1, \bar{m}}) = (-1)^{\bar{m}} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (i - \chi(M)), \quad \text{for } m \geq 2$$

we have the result follows. ■

References

- [1] Alexakis S., *On the decomposition of global conformal invariants II*, Adv. Math 206 (2009), 466-502.
- [2] Alexakis S., *On the decomposition of global conformal invariants I*, Ann. of Math. 170 (2009), 1241-1306.
- [3] Aubin T., *Equations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 55 (1976), no. 3, 269-296.
- [4] Aubin T., *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998.
- [5] Bahri A., *Critical points at infinity in some variational problems*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 182, Longman-Pitman, London, 1989.
- [6] Bahri A., *Un problème variationnel sans compacité dans la géométrie de contact*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série I 299 (1984) 754-760.

- [7] Bahri A., *Pseudo-orbits of Contact Forms*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 173. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1988.
- [8] Bahri A., Brezis H., *Equations elliptiques non linéaires sur des variétés avec exposant de Sobolev critique*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 307 (1988), no. 11, 573-576.
- [9] Bahri A., Coron J.M., *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41-3 (1988), 253-294.
- [10] Brezis H., Merle F., *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), no. 8-9, 1223-1253.
- [11] Brezis H., Li Y., Shafrir I., *A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities*, J. Funct. Anal. 115 (1993), no. 2, 344-358.
- [12] Branson T. P., *The functional determinant*, Global Analysis Research Center Lecture Note Series, Number 4, Seoul National University (1993).
- [13] Branson T. P., *Differential operators canonically associated to a conformal structure*, Math. scand., 57-2 (1995), 293-345.
- [14] Branson T. P., Oersted., *Explicit functional determinants in four dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 113-3(1991), 669-682.
- [15] Branson T.P., Chang S.Y.A., Yang P.C., *Estimates and extremal problems for the log-determinant on 4-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys., 149(1992), 241-262.
- [16] Brendle S., *On the conformal scalar curvature equation and related problems*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, volume XII, 1-19 (2007).
- [17] Cao G. J., *The existence of generalized isothermal coordinates for higher-dimensional Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.. 324 (1991) no. 2, 901-920.
- [18] Chang S. Y. A., Qing J., *The zeta functional determinants on manifolds with boundary. I. The formula*, J. Funct. Anal. 147 (1997), no. 2, 327-362.
- [19] Chang S. Y. A., Qing J., *The zeta functional determinants on manifolds with boundary. II. Extremal metrics and compactness of isospectral set*, J. Funct. Anal. 147 (1997), no. 2, 363-399.
- [20] Chang S.Y.A., Yang P.C., *Extremal metrics of zeta functional determinants on 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 142(1995), 171-212.
- [21] Chen C. C., Lin C. S, *Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure, Appl. Math, 55-6 (2002), 728-771.
- [22] Chen C. C., Lin C. S, *Topological degree for a mean field equation on Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure, Appl. Math, 56-12 (2003), 1667-1727.
- [23] Djadli Z., *Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genus*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 10 (2008), no. 2,
- [24] Djadli Z., Malchiodi A., *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 3, 813-858.
- [25] De Marchis F., *Generic multiplicity for a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, J. Funct. Anal, 259 (2010), no. 8, 2165-2192.
- [26] Druet O., Robert F., *Bubbling phenomena for fourth-order four-dimensional PDEs with exponential growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 134(2006) no.3, 897-908
- [27] Fefferman C., Graham C.R., *Q -curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Mathematical Research Letters 9, 139-151(2002).

- [28] Fefferman C., Graham C., *Conformal invariants*, In Elie Cartan et les mathématiques d'aujourd'hui. Astérisque (1985), 95-116.
- [29] Fefferman C., Hirachi Kengo., *Ambient metric construction of Q -curvature in conformal and CR geometries*, Mathematical Research Letters .10, 819-831(2003).
- [30] Gilbard D., Trudinger N. S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [31] Graham C.R., Jenne R., Mason L., Sparling G., *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, I:existence*, J. London Math. Soc 46(1992), no.2, 557-565.
- [32] Graham C.R., Zworsky M., *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*. Invent math. 152,89-118(2003).
- [33] Günther M., *Conformal normal coordinates*, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. 11 (1993), 173-184.
- [34] Li Y., *Harnack type inequality: the method of moving planes*, Comm. Math. Phys. 200 (1999), no. 2, 421-444.
- [35] Li Y, Shafrir I., *Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in dimension two*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 43 (1994), no. 4, 1255-1270.
- [36] Lin C. S., Wei J., *Sharp estimates for bubbling solutions for a fourth-order mean field equation*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 6 (2007), no. 4, 599-630.
- [37] Lee J., Parker T. *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. A.M.S. 17 (1987),37-81.
- [38] Li J., Li Y., Liu P., *The Q -curvature on a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\int_M Q_g dV_g = 8\pi^2$* , Adv. Math. 231 (2012), no. 3-4, 2194-2223.
- [39] Lucia M., *A deformation lemma with an application to a mean field equation*. Topol. Methods Non-linear Anal. 30 (2007), no. 1, 113-138.
- [40] Ma L., Wei J. C., *Convergence for a Liouville equation*, Comment. Math. Helv. 76 82001) 1-9.
- [41] Malchiodi A., *Compactness of solutions to some geometric fourth-order equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 594 (2006), 137-174.
- [42] Malchiodi A., *Conformal Metrics with Constant Q -curvature*, SIGMA 3 (2007), 120, 11pp.
- [43] Malchiodi A., *Morse theory and a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, Adv. Diff. Eq., 13 (2008), 1109-1129.
- [44] Malchiodi A., *Liouville equation from a variational point of view*, Proceedings ICM, to appear.
- [45] Malchiodi A., Ndiaye C.B., *Some existence results for the Toda system on closed surface*, Ren. Mat. Acc. Lincei, 18/4 (2007), 391-412.
- [46] Malchiodi A., Struwe, M. *Q -curvature flow on S^4* , J. Diff. Geom. 73 (2006).
- [47] Martinazzi., *Concentration-compactness phenomena in the higher order Liouville's equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 3743-3771.
- [48] Nagazaki K., Suzuki T., *Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearity*, Asymptotic Analysis 3 (1990), 173-188.
- [49] Ndiaye C. B., *Constant Q -curvature metrics in arbitrary dimension*, J. Funct. Anal. 251 (2007), no. 1, 1-58.
- [50] Ndiaye C.B., *Conformal metrics with constant Q -curvature for manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 16 (2008), no. 5, 1049-1124.

- [51] Ndiaye C. B., *Constant T -curvature conformal metric on 4-manifolds with boundary*, Pacific J. Math. 240 (2009), no. 1, 151-184.
- [52] Ndiaye C. B., *Q -curvature flow on manifolds with boundary*, Math. Z. 269 (2011), 83-114.
- [53] Ndiaye C. B., *Algebraic topological methods for the supercritical Q -curvature problem*, Adv. Math 277 (2015), 56-99.
- [54] Ndiaye C.B., *Topological methods for the resonant Q -curvature problem in arbitrary dimension*, J. Geom. Phys. 140 (2019), 178–213.
- [55] Ndiaye C. B., *Sharp Estimates for Bubbling Solutions to Some Fourth-Order Geometric Equations*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2017, No. 3, pp. 643-676.
- [56] Ndiaye C. B., Ould Ahmedou M., *Morse theory and the resonant Q -curvature problem*, arXiv: 1409.7919 [math.DG].
- [57] Paneitz S., *A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 4 (2008).
- [58] Sacks J., Uhlenbeck K., *The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres*, Ann of Math, (2), 113, (1981), no 1, 1-24.
- [59] Shafrir I., *A Sup+Inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [60] Schoen R., *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), no. 2, 479-495.
- [61] Trudinger N. S., *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 22 1968 265–274.
- [62] Uhlenbek K., Viaclovsky J., *Regularity of weak solutions to critical exponent variational equations* Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 5-6, 651–656
- [63] Wei J., *Asymptotic behavior of a nonlinear fourth-order eigenvalue problem*, Comm. PDEs, 21 (1996), no 9-10, 1451-1467
- [64] Wei J., X X., *On conformal deformations of metrics on S^n* , J. Funct. Anal. 157 (1998), no. 1, 292-325.
- [65] Weienstein G., Zhang L., *The profile of bubbling solutions of a class of fourth order geometric equations on 4-manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 12, 3895–3929
- [66] Xu, Y., *An estimate on the blowing up solutions of a fourth order equation*, J. Funct. Anal. 251 (2007), 360–375.
- [67] Yamabe H., *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 21-37.