

CONTINUITY OF THE ROOTS OF A POLYNOMIAL

MELVYN B. NATHANSON AND DAVID A. ROSS

ABSTRACT. This note gives an elementary proof of the classical result that the roots of a polynomial are continuous functions of the coefficients of the polynomial.

1. CONTINUITY OF THE ROOTS

Let K be an algebraically closed field with an absolute value (for example, the field \mathbf{C} of complex numbers or the algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{Q}_p of p -adic numbers). We denote by $K[z]$ the ring of polynomials with coefficients in K .

Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ be sequences of elements of K and let $\delta > 0$. The sequence $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ is a δ -deformation of the sequence $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ if

$$|b_i - a_i| < \delta$$

for all $i \in I$.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$ and $g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$ be polynomials in $K[z]$ of degree n . Let $\delta > 0$. The polynomial $g(x)$ is a δ -deformation of the polynomial $f(z)$ if the sequence (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) of coefficients of $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of the sequence (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) of coefficients of $f(z)$. This relation is symmetric: The polynomial $f(z)$ is a δ -deformation of the polynomial $g(z)$ if and only if $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$.

In the algebraically closed field K , every polynomial of degree n in $K[z]$ has n roots, counting multiplicity. Let $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ be the sequence of roots of $f(z)$ and let $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ be the sequence of roots of $g(z)$, where a root of multiplicity m appears m times in the sequence. A classical theorem on the continuity of roots of a polynomial states that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if the polynomial $g(x)$ is a δ -deformation of the polynomial $f(x)$, then there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that the rearranged sequence of roots $(\omega_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \omega_{\sigma(n)})$ is an ε -deformation of $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$. Most proofs of this result require sophisticated mathematical tools (cf. [1]–[8]). The object of this note is to give a proof that uses only high school algebra and the definition of continuity.

The open ball with center $\zeta \in K$ and radius $\varepsilon > 0$ is the set

$$B(\zeta, \varepsilon) = \{z \in K : |z - \zeta| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell$ be distinct elements of the field K . If*

$$(1) \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \min(|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| : 1 \leq j < k \leq \ell)$$

then the open balls $B(\zeta_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B(\zeta_\ell, \varepsilon)$ are pairwise disjoint.

Date: June 28, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 12D10, 12E05, 12J10, 12L15.

Key words and phrases. Polynomials, roots of polynomials, elementary methods.

Proof. It suffices to observe that if $z \in B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon_j) \cap B(\zeta_k, \varepsilon_k)$, then

$$|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| \leq |\zeta_j - z| + |z - \zeta_k| < 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell$ be the distinct roots of the polynomial $f(z)$ and let μ_j be the multiplicity of the root ζ_j for all $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Thus, $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mu_j = n$ and

$$f(z) = a_n \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z - \zeta_j)^{\mu_j}$$

with $a_n \neq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. A polynomial $g(z)$ of degree n is ε -aligned to $f(z)$ if, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, the open ball $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon)$ contains at least μ_j roots of $g(z)$, counted with multiplicity.

Let ε satisfy inequality (1). By Lemma 1, the open balls $B(\zeta_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B(\zeta_\ell, \varepsilon)$ are pairwise disjoint. It follows that a polynomial $g(z)$ of degree n is ε -aligned to $f(z)$ if and only if, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, the open ball $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon)$ contains exactly μ_j roots of $g(z)$, counted by multiplicity. Each root of $g(z)$ belongs to one and only one of the balls $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon)$. Equivalently, the roots of $g(z)$ are an ε -deformation of the roots of $f(z)$.

The theorem on the continuity of the roots of a polynomial states that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if the polynomial $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then the polynomial $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$. Section 3 considers the special case of polynomials whose only root is zero and Section 4 considers polynomials that have a nonzero root.

2. AN INVERSE THEOREM

We begin with a preliminary observation.

Theorem 1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be polynomials in $K[z]$ of degree n . Then $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ if and only if $g(z) = cf(z)$ for some $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $g(z) = cf(z)$ for some $c \neq 0$, then $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ have the same roots with the same multiplicities and so $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Suppose that $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell$ be the distinct roots of the polynomial $f(z)$ and let μ_j be the multiplicity of ζ_j for all $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Let ε satisfy inequality (1). The open balls $B(\zeta_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B(\zeta_\ell, \varepsilon)$ are pairwise disjoint. For $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\mu_j}$ be the μ_j not necessarily distinct roots of $g(z)$ that are in the open ball $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon)$. If $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, then $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon') \subseteq B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon)$. Because the ball $B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon')$ also contains exactly μ_j roots of $g(z)$, counted by multiplicity, we must have

$$\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\mu_j}\} \subseteq B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon')$$

and so

$$|\omega_i - \zeta_j| < \varepsilon'$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu_j\}$ and $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. It follows that $\omega_i = \zeta_j$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu_j\}$ and so the polynomials $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ have the same roots with the same multiplicities. Therefore, $g(z) = cf(z)$ for some $c \neq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The following result is an inverse to the theorem on continuity of roots.

Theorem 2. *Let $\delta > 0$. There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that if the polynomials $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ of degree n are ε -aligned, then $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $cf(z)$ for some $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. This follows from Viète's formulae for the coefficients of a polynomial as the elementary symmetric functions of the roots. For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the k th elementary symmetric function of n variables is the polynomial

$$\sigma_k(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_k}.$$

We define $\sigma_0(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 1$.

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i = a_n \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j)$$

with $a_n \neq 0$. Viète's formulae are the identities

$$\frac{a_i}{a_n} = (-1)^{n-i} \sigma_{n-i}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $g(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n that is ε -aligned to $f(z)$. We enumerate the roots $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ of $g(z)$ so that

$$|\omega_j - \zeta_j| < \varepsilon$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Setting

$$t_j = \omega_j - \zeta_j$$

we have

$$|t_j| < \varepsilon$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. There exists $b_n \neq 0$ such that

$$g(z) = b_n \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \omega_j) = b_n \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \zeta_j - t_j) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$$

where

$$\frac{b_i}{b_n} = (-1)^{n-i} \sigma_{n-i}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = (-1)^{n-i} \sigma_{n-i}(\zeta_1 + t_1, \dots, \zeta_n + t_n)$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

The symmetric functions are polynomials, hence continuous, and so there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that if $|t_j| < \varepsilon$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$|\sigma_{n-i}(\zeta_1 + t_1, \dots, \zeta_n + t_n) - \sigma_{n-i}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)| < \frac{\delta}{|b_n|}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{b_i}{b_n} - \frac{a_i}{a_n} \right| < \frac{\delta}{|b_n|}$$

and

$$|b_i - ca_i| < \delta$$

for $c = b_n/a_n$ and all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $cf(z)$. This completes the proof. \square

3. POLYNOMIALS WITH ONLY THE ZERO ROOT

In this section we prove the continuity of roots of polynomials that have no nonzero root.

Theorem 3. *Let $f(z) = a_n z^n$ with $a_n \neq 0$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if the polynomial*

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$$

is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then $|\omega| < \varepsilon$ for all roots ω of $g(z)$, that is, $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$.

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let

$$0 < \delta < \frac{\varepsilon^n |a_n|}{2n}.$$

If $g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i$ is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then

$$|b_n - a_n| < \delta$$

and

$$|b_i| < \delta \quad \text{for all } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The inequality

$$|a_n| - |b_n| \leq |b_n - a_n| < \delta < \frac{|a_n|}{2n}$$

implies

$$|b_n| > |a_n| - \frac{|a_n|}{2n} \geq \frac{|a_n|}{2} > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(2) \quad \frac{|b_i|}{|b_n|} < \frac{\delta}{|a_n|/2} = \frac{2\delta}{|a_n|}$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

If $|\omega| \geq 1$ and

$$g(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \omega^i = 0$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \frac{|a_n|}{2} |\omega|^n &< |b_n| |\omega|^n = |-b_n \omega^n| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \omega^i \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |b_i| |\omega|^i < \delta \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\omega|^i \leq n\delta |\omega|^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|\omega| < \frac{2n\delta}{|a_n|} < \varepsilon^n < 1$$

which is absurd. Therefore, if $\omega \neq 0$ and $g(\omega) = 0$, then $0 < |\omega| < 1$.

If $0 < |\omega| < 1$ and $g(\omega) = b_n \omega^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \omega^i = 0$, then

$$-1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_i \omega^i}{b_n \omega^n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_i}{b_n} \frac{1}{\omega^{n-i}}.$$

Applying inequality (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{|b_i|}{|b_n|} \frac{1}{|\omega|^{n-i}} < \frac{2\delta}{|a_n|} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|\omega|^{n-i}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2n\delta}{|a_n|} \right) \frac{1}{|\omega|^n} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\omega|} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

and so $|\omega| < \varepsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

4. POLYNOMIALS WITH NONZERO ROOTS

Theorem 4. *Let*

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i \in K[z]$$

be a polynomial of degree n and let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell$ be the distinct roots of $f(z)$ in K . Let

$$(3) \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \min(|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| : 1 \leq j < k \leq \ell)$$

and

$$(4) \quad 0 < \delta < \min \left(\frac{|a_n|}{2}, \frac{|a_n|}{2(n+1)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\max(1, |\zeta_1|, \dots, |\zeta_\ell|)} \right)^n \right).$$

Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i \in K[z]$$

be a polynomial of degree n that is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$. For all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$ there is a root ω_{i_j} of $g(z)$ such that

$$\omega_{i_j} \in B(\zeta_j, \varepsilon).$$

Moreover, the roots $\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_\ell}$ of $g(z)$ are distinct.

Note that Theorem 4 proves continuity of roots for polynomials with only simple roots, that is, for polynomials all of whose roots have multiplicity one.

Proof. We have $a_n \neq 0$ because $f(z)$ has degree n and so $\delta > 0$.

Because $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, we have

$$|b_i - a_i| < \delta \quad \text{for all } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

In particular,

$$|a_n| - |b_n| \leq |b_n - a_n| < \delta < \frac{|a_n|}{2}$$

and so

$$|a_n| < 2|b_n|.$$

Let

$$g(z) = b_n \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \omega_i)$$

where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ are the n not necessarily distinct roots of $g(z)$. Inequality (4) implies

$$0 < 2(n+1)\delta \max(1, |\zeta_j|)^n < |a_n| \varepsilon^n$$

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Because $f(\zeta_j) = 0$, we have

$$b_n \prod_{i=1}^n (\zeta_j - \omega_i) = g(\zeta_j) = g(\zeta_j) - f(\zeta_j) = \sum_{i=0}^n (b_i - a_i) \zeta_j^i.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\zeta_j - \omega_i| \right)^n &\leq 2|b_n| \left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\zeta_j - \omega_i| \right)^n \\ &\leq 2|b_n| \prod_{i=1}^n |\zeta_j - \omega_i| = 2 \left| b_n \prod_{i=1}^n (\zeta_j - \omega_i) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^n |b_i - a_i| |\zeta_j|^i \\ &\leq 2(n+1)\delta \max(1, |\zeta_j|)^n < |a_n| \varepsilon^n \end{aligned}$$

and so $|\zeta_j - \omega_{i_j}| < \varepsilon$ for some $i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 1 implies that the roots $\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_\ell}$ are distinct. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2. *Let*

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i \in K[z]$$

be a polynomial of degree n and let ζ be a nonzero root of $f(z)$. Then

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z - \zeta} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_i z^i$$

is a polynomial in $K[z]$ of degree $n - 1$ and

$$\hat{a}_i = -\frac{1}{\zeta^{i+1}} \sum_{k=0}^i a_k \zeta^k$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i = f(z) &= (z - \zeta) \hat{f}(z) = (z - \zeta) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_i z^i \\ &= -\zeta \hat{a}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\hat{a}_{i-1} - \zeta \hat{a}_i) z^i + \hat{a}_{n-1} z^n \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$a_i = \begin{cases} -\zeta \hat{a}_0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \hat{a}_{i-1} - \zeta \hat{a}_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\} \\ \hat{a}_{n-1} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\hat{a}_0 = -\frac{a_0}{\zeta}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_i = -\frac{1}{\zeta} (a_i - \hat{a}_{i-1}) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

We obtain

$$\hat{a}_1 = -\frac{1}{\zeta}(a_1 - \hat{a}_0) = -\frac{1}{\zeta^2}(a_0 + a_1\zeta).$$

If $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and

$$\hat{a}_{i-1} = -\frac{1}{\zeta^i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} a_k \zeta^k$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_i &= -\frac{1}{\zeta}(a_i - \hat{a}_{i-1}) = -\frac{1}{\zeta} \left(a_i + \frac{1}{\zeta^i} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} a_k \zeta^k \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\zeta^{i+1}} \sum_{k=0}^i a_k \zeta^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f(\zeta) = 0$ implies

$$\hat{a}_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\zeta^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \zeta^k = -\frac{1}{\zeta^n} (f(\zeta) - a_n \zeta^n) = a_n.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3. *Let*

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i \in K[z]$$

and

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i \in K[z]$$

be polynomials of degree n . Let ζ_1 be a nonzero root of $f(z)$, let ω_1 be a nonzero root of $g(z)$, and let

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z - \zeta_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_i z^i$$

and

$$\hat{g}(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z - \omega_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{b}_i z^i.$$

For every $\lambda > 0$ there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that if $g(z)$ is a κ -deformation of $f(z)$ and if

$$|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa$$

then $\hat{g}(z)$ is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$.

Proof. Let $K^\times = K \setminus \{0\}$. For all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, the function

$$\varphi_i : K^{i+1} \times K^\times \rightarrow K$$

defined by

$$\varphi_i(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_i, v) = -\frac{1}{v^{i+1}} \sum_{k=0}^i u_k v^k$$

is continuous. Let

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \zeta_1) \in K^{n+1} \times K^\times.$$

There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that if

$$(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, v) \in K^{n+1} \times K^\times$$

and if

$$|u_i - a_i| < \kappa$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and

$$|v - \zeta_1| < \kappa$$

then

$$|\varphi_i(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_i, v) - \varphi_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \zeta_1)| < \lambda$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

By Lemma 2,

$$\varphi_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \zeta_1) = \hat{a}_i$$

and

$$\varphi_i(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i, \omega_1) = \hat{b}_i$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. If $g(z)$ is a κ -deformation of $f(z)$, then

$$|b_i - a_i| < \kappa$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. If, also,

$$|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa$$

then

$$|\hat{b}_i - \hat{a}_i| = |\varphi_i(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i, \omega_1) - \varphi_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \zeta_1)| < \lambda$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and so $\hat{g}(z)$ is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$. This completes the proof. \square

Here is the continuity of roots theorem for polynomials with a nonzero root.

Theorem 5. *Let $f(z) \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree n with a nonzero root. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $g(z) \in K[z]$ is a polynomial of degree n that is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then $g(z)$ is ε -aligned to $f(z)$.*

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i \in K[z].$$

Let $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\ell$ be the distinct roots of $f(z)$ with $\zeta_1 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $0 < \varepsilon \leq |\zeta_1|$ and that ε satisfies inequality (3).

The proof is by induction on the degree n of $f(z)$. The case $n = 1$ follows from Theorem 4. Let $n \geq 2$ and assume that Theorem 5 is true for polynomials of degree $n - 1$.

The polynomial

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z - \zeta_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_i z^i$$

has degree $n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $h(z) \in K[z]$ is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$ that is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$, then $h(z)$ is ε -aligned to $\hat{f}(z)$. It suffices to prove that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if the polynomial

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i \in K[z]$$

is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then $g(z)$ has a nonzero root ω_1 with $|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \varepsilon$ such that the polynomial of degree $n - 1$

$$\hat{g}(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z - \omega_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{b}_i z^i$$

is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$.

Let $0 < \kappa < \varepsilon$. By Theorem 4, there exists $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\kappa) > 0$ such that if

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^i \in K[z]$$

is a polynomial of degree n that is a δ_1 -deformation of $f(z)$, then there is a root ω_1 of $g(z)$ such that

$$|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa < \varepsilon.$$

The inequality $\varepsilon \leq |\zeta_1|$ implies $\omega_1 \neq 0$.

By Lemma 3, there exists $0 < \kappa < \varepsilon$ such that if the polynomial $g(z)$ is a κ -deformation of $f(z)$ and if ω_1 is a nonzero root of $g(z)$ with $|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa$, then $\hat{g}(z)$ is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$.

Let $\delta = \min(\kappa, \delta_1)$. If $g(z)$ is a δ -deformation of $f(z)$, then $g(z)$ has a nonzero root ω_1 with $|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa$ such that $\hat{g}(z)$ is a λ -deformation of $\hat{f}(z)$. It follows that $\hat{g}(z)$ is a ε -aligned to $\hat{f}(z)$.

Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n$ be the roots of $f(z)$ and let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ be the roots of $g(z)$. We have

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z - \zeta_1} = a_n \prod_{j=2}^n (z - \zeta_j)$$

and

$$\hat{g}(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z - \omega_1} = b_n \prod_{j=2}^n (z - \omega_j).$$

The polynomials $\hat{f}(z)$ and $\hat{g}(z)$ are ε -aligned. Because

$$|\omega_1 - \zeta_1| < \kappa < \varepsilon$$

it follows that the polynomials $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are ε -aligned. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cucker and A. G. Corbalan, An alternate proof of the continuity of the roots of a polynomial, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 96 (1989), 342–345.
- [2] G. Harris and C. Martin, The roots of a polynomial vary continuously as a function of the coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 100 (1987), 390–392.
- [3] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, On the continuity of the real roots of an algebraic equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953), 431–434.
- [4] K. Hirose, Continuity of the roots of a polynomial, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 127 (2020), 359–363.
- [5] M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1966.
- [6] A. Ostrowski, *Solution of Equation in Euclidean and Banach Spaces*, Academic Press, 1973.
- [7] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [8] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Manifolds*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1972

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NY 10468
Email address: `melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA, HONOLULU, HI 96822
Email address: `ross@math.hawaii.edu`