

A NON-COMMUTATIVE REIDEMEISTER-TURAEV TORSION OF HOMOLOGY CYLINDERS

YUTA NOZAKI, MASATOSHI SATO, AND MASAOKI SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. We compute the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion of homology cylinders which takes values in the K_1 -group of the I -adic completion of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}\pi_1\Sigma_{g,1}$, and prove that its reduction to $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1\Sigma_{g,1}}/\hat{I}^{d+1}$ is a finite-type invariant of degree d . We also show that the 1-loop part of the LMO functor and the Enomoto-Satoh trace are written by the leading term of our torsion.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	5
3.	Two Reidemeister-Turaev torsions $\tilde{\alpha}$ and α	9
4.	The K_1 -group of $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$	15
5.	Finite-type invariants	19
6.	A clasper surgery formula	26
7.	Proof of Theorem 1.3	37
	References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

The graph-valued invariant of a knot in S^3 , called the Kontsevich invariant, was extended to an invariant of links in arbitrary closed 3-manifolds called the Le-Murakami-Ohtsuki invariant in [26]. Cheptea Habiro and Massuyeau [3] extended it to the case of 3-manifolds with boundary and constructed the LMO functor, which is a functor from some category of cobordisms between surfaces to a category of graphs.

Let $\Sigma_{g,1}$ be a compact oriented surface of genus g with one boundary component. A homology cylinder over $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is a compact oriented 3-manifold which is homologically the same as the product $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]$. The set of homology cylinders $\mathcal{IC} = \mathcal{IC}_{g,1}$ forms a monoid, and by restricting the LMO functor to \mathcal{IC} , it induces a monoid homomorphism from \mathcal{IC} to some Hopf

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57K16, 57Q10, 19B28, Secondary 57K31, 57K20, 17B01.

Key words and phrases. Homology cylinder, surgery map, clasper, LMO functor, Reidemeister torsion, Enomoto-Satoh trace, K_1 -group.

algebra of Jacobi diagrams, where a Jacobi diagram is a uni-trivalent graph with additional information. We call the number of trivalent vertices in a Jacobi diagram the *degree*. Let us consider a Jacobi diagram without strut component whose univalent vertices are labeled by elements of H , and denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the degree completion of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by such Jacobi diagrams modulo relations, called the AS, IHX, and multi-linear relations. The completion $\mathcal{A}(H)$ has a Hopf algebra structure, and Habiro and Massuyeau [16, Section 4] considered a monoid homomorphism

$$Z = \kappa \circ \tilde{Z}^Y : \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(H)$$

called the LMO homomorphism, where \tilde{Z}^Y is the “Y-part” of the LMO functor, and κ is some isomorphism between spaces of Jacobi diagrams in [16, Section 4]. See also Habiro and Massuyeau [15]. Jacobi diagrams have another grading given by the number of loops, and Massuyeau [30] showed that the 0-loop part of the LMO homomorphism $Z(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{IC}$ is written in terms of the total Johnson map.

In this paper, we give an interpretation of the 1-loop part of the LMO homomorphism by a non-commutative Reidemeister(-Turaev) torsion of homology cylinders which takes values in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$, where $\pi = \pi_1 \Sigma_{g,1}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ is the I -adic completion of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}\pi$. More precisely, we show that the torsion is a finite-type invariant of homology cylinders and that the “leading term” of the 1-loop part of the LMO homomorphism and the Enomoto-Satoh trace of homology cylinders are essentially equal to the “leading term” of the torsion. We also give a surgery formula of the torsion for k -loop graph claspers when $k \geq 1$.

Our work is motivated by the study of the loop expansion of the Kontsevich invariant and the LMO invariant. For a knot K in the 3-sphere S^3 , the 1-loop part of the logarithm $\log Z(K)$ of the Kontsevich invariant is known to be written in terms of the Alexander polynomial of K . See [44, (4.11)] for the explicit formula. This follows from the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture [33] proved by Bar-Natan and Garoufalidis [1]. See Ito [17] for another proof and Rozansky [43] for some generalization. The Alexander polynomial also plays an important role to describe higher loop parts. For a knot K in an integral homology 3-sphere M , the logarithm of the LMO invariant decomposes into an infinite sum of n -loop part $Q_n(M, K)$ for $n \geq 1$. Rozansky’s rationality conjecture [44] proved by Kricker [23] states that $Q_n(M, K)$ is described by some rational functions whose denominators are the Alexander polynomial for $n \geq 1$. See also Garoufalidis and Kricker [9] for the Kontsevich invariant of links.

The relation between the Alexander polynomial and the Reidemeister(-Turaev) torsion of 3-manifolds is given by Milnor and Turaev. In [34], Milnor considered the Alexander polynomial of link complements and interpreted it as a kind of Reidemeister torsion. Turaev [50] generalized it to compact oriented 3-manifolds. Turaev also introduced an Euler structure to construct a refinement of the Reidemeister torsion in [50] and [51], which

is now called the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion. Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ and denote by $Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ the field of fractions of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For homology cylinders, Friedl-Juhász-Rasmussen [7] and Massuyeau-Meilhan [31] considered the (relative) Reidemeister-Turaev torsion which takes values in $K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$. Massuyeau and Meilhan also constructed a canonical Euler structure ξ_0 for homology cylinders, which they call the preferred Euler structure, and constructed a monoid homomorphism

$$\alpha: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$$

defined by the torsion. Our torsion $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is considered as a lift of their torsion, and we extend some of their results to our torsion.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Reidemeister(-Turaev) torsion of pairs of CW-complexes and give precise definitions of homology cylinders and two filtrations on the homology cylinders called the Y -filtration and the Johnson filtration. In Section 3, we introduce a $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ -valued torsion and construct a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$$

using the preferred Euler structure ξ_0 . We also show that our torsion $\tilde{\alpha}$ is essentially a lift of $\alpha: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$. Let $H = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Q})$. In Section 4, we determine the group structure of $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The group $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^\times \oplus \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n} / \mathbb{Z}_n$.*

Here, the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n acts on $H^{\otimes n}$ by the cyclic permutation. Note that the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1 is not canonical, and it depends on the choice of a Magnus expansion. In Section 5, we show that the I -adic reduction of $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is a finite-type invariant of homology cylinders. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $M \in \mathcal{IC}$, and let V_1, \dots, V_d denote disjoint handlebodies embedded in M . Choose mapping classes φ_i of the surface ∂V_i which acts on $H_1(\partial V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ trivially. For a subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, let us denote*

$$M_J = \left(M \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in J} \text{Int } V_i \right) \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}} \bigsqcup_{i \in J} V_i.$$

Then we have

$$\prod_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}} \tilde{\alpha}(M_J)^{(-1)^{|J|}} \in \text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} / \hat{I}^d) \right).$$

In Section 6, we show that $\tilde{\alpha}$ does not change under k -loop clasper surgeries for $k \geq 2$ (Theorem 6.5). We also give a surgery formula (Theorem 6.8) for $\tilde{\alpha}$ with respect to 1-loop clasper surgeries.

Let $\{Y_d \mathcal{IC}\}_{d \geq 1}$ denote the Y -filtration on the monoid \mathcal{IC} of homology cylinders. The precise definition is given in Section 2.4. Since the torsion

$\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^{d+1}})$ is a finite-type invariant of degree at most d , it induces a homomorphism

$$Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1} \rightarrow \text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^{d+1}}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^d}) \right).$$

Composing it with a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^{d+1}}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^d}) \right) \cong H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$$

given in Corollary 4.5, we write it as

$$\tilde{\alpha}_d: Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1} \rightarrow H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

In Section 7, we prove the main theorem (Theorem 1.3) which relates $\tilde{\alpha}_d$ with the 1-loop part of the LMO homomorphism $Z: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(H)$ and the Enomoto-Satoh trace.

Let us fix notation for the Johnson homomorphism and the Enomoto-Satoh trace. We write for $J_d\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$ the d th submonoid of the Johnson filtration on \mathcal{C} (see Section 2.5). Let $x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the intersection number of $x, y \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and denote by

$$\tau_d: J_d\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, L_{d+1}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})) \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes L_{d+1}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

the d th Johnson homomorphism, where the right isomorphism is induced by Poincaré duality $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ which sends $x \mapsto x \cdot -$. It induces a homomorphism on the graded quotient $Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1}$. The Enomoto-Satoh trace is defined by the composition map

$$\text{Tr}_d: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes(d+1)} \xrightarrow{C} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes d} \twoheadrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d,$$

where the first homomorphism is the contraction

$$C(x \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+1}) = (x \cdot x_1)x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{d+1},$$

and the second one is the projection. Let $\mathfrak{r}: H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$ be an involution defined by

$$\mathfrak{r}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d) = (-1)^d x_d \otimes \cdots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1,$$

and denote by $(H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^+$ and $(H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^-$ the $(+1)$ - and (-1) -eigenspaces of \mathfrak{r} , respectively. As shown by Conant [5, Theorem 4.2(1)], the image of the composition map

$$\text{Tr}_d \circ \tau_d: J_d\mathcal{C} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$$

lies in $(H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^-$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_d^c(H)$ denote the submodule of $\mathcal{A}(H)$ generated by connected Jacobi diagrams of degree d . The projection of the LMO homomorphism $Z: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(H)$ to the degree d part induces a homomorphism $Z_d: Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d^c(H)$, and we denote by

$$Z_{d,1}: Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$$

its projection to the 1-loop part. Let $p_+ : H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$ be a homomorphism defined by

$$p_+(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d) = O(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d),$$

where

$$O(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & x_1 & \\ & | & \\ x_d & \text{---} & x_2 \\ & | & \\ & \vdots & \\ & \text{---} & x_3 \\ & | & \\ & \vdots & \end{array} \end{array}$$

The homomorphism p_+ induces an isomorphism $(H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$ as we can deduce from [38, Proposition 5.1]. We also denote by $p_- : H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow (H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^-$ the projection defined by

$$p_-(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d - (-1)^d x_d \otimes \cdots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1).$$

Our main theorem describes the leading term $\tilde{\alpha}_d$ as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *For $d \geq 1$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1} & \\ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_d \circ \tau_d \end{array} & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_d & \begin{array}{c} \searrow \\ -2Z_{d,1} \end{array} \\ (H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d)^- & \xleftarrow{p_-} H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d \xrightarrow{p_+} & \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H) \end{array}$$

commutes.

In other words, the (+1)- and (-1)-eigenspaces of $\tilde{\alpha}_d$ are essentially the 1-loop part of the LMO functor and the Enomoto-Satoh trace, respectively.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Tetsuya Ito, Nariya Kawazumi, Yusuke Kuno, and Takuya Sakasai for helpful comments. In particular, Yusuke Kuno gave us an idea to use symplectic expansions in the proof of Lemma 4.2. This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20K14317, JP18K03310, JP22K03298, JP19H01785, and JP20K03596.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the definitions of the Reidemeister torsion and its refinement called the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion of pairs of CW-complexes. For details of the Reidemeister(-Turaev) torsion, see [35, Section 3], [49, Chapters I and II], [4, Chapters III and IV], and [29]. We also review homology cylinders and two filtrations on the homology cylinders called the Y-filtration and the Johnson filtration. Homology cylinders are introduced by Goussarov [12] and Habiro [14] in connection with finite-type invariants of 3-manifolds. See also [10], [15, 16] and [38, 39] for related topics.

In this paper, all homology groups are with rational coefficients, if not specified. Throughout this section, R denotes a local ring or a commutative PID.

2.1. The torsion of a chain complex. Here, we review the torsion of an acyclic chain complex over R . Let C be an acyclic chain complex of finitely generated free R -modules:

$$C_* = (0 \rightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} C_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \rightarrow 0).$$

The submodule $B_i = \text{Im } \partial_{i+1}$ is a free R -module of finite rank when R is a PID. Since C_* is acyclic, this is also true when R is a local ring as in [36, Lemma 1.2]. Since C_i and B_i are free R -modules for $0 \leq i \leq m$, we can choose and fix their ordered bases c_i and b_i , respectively. Taking a lift \tilde{b}_{i-1} of b_{i-1} , we obtain another ordered basis of C_i which we denote by $b_i \tilde{b}_{i-1}$. We compare it with c_i , and denote

$$[b_i \tilde{b}_{i-1}/c_i] = (\text{matrix expressing } b_i \tilde{b}_{i-1} \text{ w.r.t. the basis } c_i).$$

Definition 2.1. The torsion of C_* based by $c = \{c_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is defined by

$$\tau(C_*, c) = \prod_{i=0}^m [b_i \tilde{b}_{i-1}/c_i]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in K_1(R).$$

Remark 2.2. The torsion $\tau(C_*, c)$ does not depend on the choices of bases $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^m$ and their lifts $\{\tilde{b}_{i-1}\}_{i=0}^m$, where \tilde{b}_{-1} denotes the empty set.

Lemma 2.3. Let c_i and c'_i be ordered bases of C_i . Then, we have

$$\tau(C_*, \{c'_i\}) = \tau(C_*, \{c_i\}) \prod_{i=0}^m [c_i/c'_i]^{(-1)^{i+1}},$$

where $[c_i/c'_i] \in K_1(R)$ is the matrix expressing c_i with respect to the basis c'_i .

2.2. The torsion of a pair of CW-complexes. Let X be a connected finite CW-complex, and Y a connected subcomplex. Let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering, and choose points $*$ in Y and $\tilde{*}$ in $p^{-1}(*)$. For a based loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, *)$, we denote by t_γ the deck transformation which sends $\tilde{*}$ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the unique lift of γ in \tilde{X} starting at $\tilde{*}$. For a homomorphism $\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, *) \rightarrow R$, consider the chain complex

$$C_*(X, Y; R) = C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, *)} R,$$

where the right action of $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, *)$ on $C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$ is given by $c \cdot \gamma = t_\gamma^{-1}(c)$ and the left action on R is given by $\gamma \cdot r = \rho(\gamma)r$. Note that, in [35] and [49], chain complexes of the form $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X, *)} C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$ are considered, and our torsion depends on the convention used in its definition.

The module $C_i(X, Y; R)$ is a finitely generated free right R -module. Let E denote the set of cells in $X \setminus Y$. For each cell $e \in E$, we choose a lift \tilde{e} to $\tilde{X} \setminus p^{-1}(Y)$. We denote by \tilde{E} the set of the lifted cells. We also put a total

ordering on E , and choose an orientation for each cell $e \in E$. This double choice is denoted by oo . The choice of \tilde{E} combined to oo induces a basis \tilde{E}_{oo} of $C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$, which defines itself a basis $\tilde{E}_{oo} \otimes 1$ of $C_*(X, Y; R)$.

Definition 2.4. The Reidemeister torsion with ρ -twisted coefficients of the pair (X, Y) of CW-complexes is

$$\tau(C_*(X, Y; R), \tilde{E}_{oo} \otimes 1) \in K_1(R)/\pm\rho(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})),$$

where $\rho: H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R)$ is the induced homomorphism by $\rho: \pi_1 X \rightarrow R^\times$.

2.3. The Reidemeister-Turaev torsion. Turaev gives refinements of commutative Reidemeister torsions in [51]. Here, we review the construction following [29], noting that the construction is also valid for non-commutative Reidemeister torsions.

In order to lift $\tau(C_*(X, Y; R), \tilde{E}_{oo} \otimes 1)$ to an element in $K_1(R)$, there are two ambiguities which come from the choice of a basis of $C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$; the sign indeterminacy and the $\rho(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ -indeterminacy. The sign indeterminacy comes from the choice of oo , and $\rho(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ -indeterminacy comes from the choice of \tilde{E} . When the chain complex $C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is also acyclic, we can eliminate the sign indeterminacy.

Lemma 2.5. *The element*

$$\tau^\rho(X, Y, \tilde{E}) = (\text{sign } \tau(C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Q}), oo))\tau(C_*^\rho(X, Y; R), \tilde{E}_{oo} \otimes 1) \in K_1(R)$$

does not depend on the choice of oo .

We also denote

$$\tau^\rho(X, Y) = (\text{sign } \tau(C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Q}), oo))\tau(C_*^\rho(X, Y; R), \tilde{E}_{oo} \otimes 1) \in K_1(R)/\rho(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})),$$

which does not depend on the choices of \tilde{E} and oo . To eliminate the $\rho(H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ -indeterminacy, we review the notion of fundamental family of cells. A family \tilde{E} of cells of $\tilde{X} \setminus p^{-1}(Y)$ is said to be fundamental if each cell e of $X \setminus Y$ has a unique lift \tilde{e} in \tilde{E} . Two fundamental families of cells \tilde{E} and \tilde{E}' are equivalent when the alternating sum

$$\sum_{e \in E} (-1)^{\dim(e)} \left[\overrightarrow{\tilde{e}\tilde{e}'} \right] \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

vanishes, where $\overrightarrow{\tilde{e}\tilde{e}'} \in \pi_1 X$ is the loop such that the covering transformation $t_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{e}\tilde{e}'}}$ maps \tilde{e} to \tilde{e}' . For an equivalence class $[\tilde{E}]$ and $[\gamma] \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ represented by $\gamma \in \pi_1 X$, let us denote by $[\tilde{E}] + [\gamma]$ another equivalence class obtained by replacing one cell \tilde{e} of \tilde{E} with $t_\gamma^\epsilon(\tilde{e})$, where $\epsilon = (-1)^{\dim(\tilde{e})+1}$. Note that the sign ϵ is different from [51, Section 3.2] and [29, Section 7.2]. Denote by $\widehat{\text{Eul}}(X, Y)$ the set of equivalence classes of fundamental families of cells, which is an $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ -affine space under the above action and is identified

with the set of combinatorial Euler structures in [49] and [29, Section 7]. For an equivalence class $\xi = [\tilde{E}] \in \widehat{\text{Eul}}(X, Y)$, let us denote

$$\tau^\rho(X, Y, \xi) = \tau^\rho(X, Y, \tilde{E}) \in K_1(R),$$

which does not depend on the choice of a representative \tilde{E} . It is called the *Reidemeister-Turaev torsion* of the pair (X, Y) equipped with ξ .

Let us denote the composition map

$$\pi_1 X \xrightarrow{\rho} R^\times \cong \text{GL}(1, R) \rightarrow K_1(R)$$

by the same symbol as $\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 X \rightarrow R$. Note that it factors through $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ since $K_1(R)$ is abelian. If we change an equivalence class ξ of fundamental families of cells, the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion changes as follows.

Lemma 2.6. *For $\gamma \in \pi$,*

$$\tau^\rho(X, Y, \xi + [\gamma]) = \rho(\gamma)\tau^\rho(X, Y, \xi) \in K_1(R),$$

where $[\gamma] \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by γ .

2.4. Homology cylinders and the Y -filtration. Let $\Sigma_{g,1}$ be a compact oriented surface of genus g with connected boundary. Let M be a compact oriented 3-manifold and let $i: \partial(\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]) \rightarrow \partial M$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Two pairs (M, i) and (M', i') are said to be equivalent if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M'$ satisfying $f \circ i = i'$. Let i_\pm denotes the restriction of i to $\Sigma_{g,1} \times \{\pm 1\}$, respectively. A *homology cobordism* over $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is an equivalence class of a pair (M, i) such that i_\pm induce isomorphisms $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. The set of homology cobordisms \mathcal{C} over $\Sigma_{g,1}$ forms a monoid by stacking operation defined by $(M, i) \circ (N, j) = (M \cup_{i_+ = j_-} N, i_- \cup j_+)$. A homology cobordism (M, i) is called a *homology cylinder* if i_+ and i_- induce the same isomorphism $H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. We write \mathcal{IC} for the submonoid consisting of homology cylinders.

Compact 3-manifolds M and N are called Y_d -equivalent if N is obtained from M by a series of surgeries along connected claspers of degree d , and we denote it as $M \sim_{Y_d} N$. As in [14, Section 8.4.1] (see [28, Lemma A.2], for the proof), it is equivalent to saying that N is obtained from M by a series of Torelli surgeries of class d , that is, surgeries along embedded surfaces $\Sigma_{h,1}$ by elements of $\mathcal{I}_{h,1}(d)$. Let $Y_n \mathcal{IC}$ denote the submonoid of \mathcal{IC} consisting of homology cylinders that are Y_n -equivalent to the trivial one $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]$. This gives a descending series $\mathcal{IC} = Y_1 \mathcal{IC} \supset Y_2 \mathcal{IC} \supset \dots$, which is called the *Y -filtration*. It is known that the monoid $Y_n \mathcal{IC} / Y_{n+1}$ consisting of the Y_{n+1} -equivalence classes is a finitely generated abelian group.

2.5. The Johnson filtration and the Johnson homomorphism. For a group G , we write $G(d)$ for the d th term of the lower central series of G , that is,

$$G(1) = G \text{ and } G(d) = [G(d-1), G].$$

Let $(M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \geq 1$. The embeddings $i_{\pm}: \Sigma_{g,1} \rightarrow M$ induce isomorphisms

$$(i_{\pm})_*: \frac{\pi}{\pi(d+1)} \rightarrow \frac{\pi_1(M)}{\pi_1(M)(d+1)}$$

by [48, Theorem 5.1]. This gives a monoid homomorphism

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Aut} \left(\frac{\pi}{\pi(d+1)} \right)$$

defined by $(M, i) \mapsto (i_-)_*^{-1} \circ (i_+)_*$, and its kernel is denoted by $J_d\mathcal{C}$. The series of submonoids

$$\mathcal{C} \supset J_1\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{IC} \supset J_2\mathcal{C} \supset \dots$$

is call the *Johnson filtration* on \mathcal{C} . See [16, Section 3], for more details. As in [16, (5.2)], there is an inclusion $Y_d\mathcal{IC} \subset J_d\mathcal{C}$.

Since π is a free group, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\frac{\pi(d)}{\pi(d+1)} \cong L_d(H_{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where $L_d(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is the degree d part of the free Lie algebra generated by $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The d th Johnson homomorphism

$$\tau_d: J_d\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, L_d(H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$$

is defined by

$$\tau_d(M, i)([x]) = ((i_-)_*^{-1} \circ (i_+)_*)(x)x^{-1} \in \frac{\pi(d+1)}{\pi(d+2)}$$

for $x \in \pi$. As in [18], the Johnson filtration was originally defined for the mapping class group \mathcal{M} of the surface $\Sigma_{g,1}$. We also denote the d th subgroup of the filtration as $J_d\mathcal{M} = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi/\pi(d+1)))$.

3. TWO REIDEMEISTER-TURAEV TORSIONS $\tilde{\alpha}$ AND α

We set $\pi = \pi_1\Sigma_{g,1}$ and denote by $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Q}\pi/I^n$ the I -adic completion of the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}\pi$, where I is the augmentation ideal. In this section, we construct a crossed homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where $\tilde{\rho}: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is induced by the natural inclusion $\pi \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}^{\times}$. The restriction of $\tilde{\alpha}$ to \mathcal{IC} lifts to a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$$

which can be considered as a lift of the $K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ -valued Reidemeister-Turaev torsion in [31].

3.1. The Reidemeister-Turaev torsion valued in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$. For $(M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$, let us denote $\partial_{\pm}M = i_{\pm}(\Sigma_{g,1})$. First, we check that the chain complex $C_*(M, \partial_-M; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is acyclic to obtain the Reidemeister torsion. The following lemma is proved in the same way as [21, Proposition 2.1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a finite connected CW-complex and Y a connected subcomplex satisfying $H_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . If a homomorphism $\rho: \pi_1 X \rightarrow R^\times$ satisfies $\rho(\pi_1 X) = \{1\} \subset \mathfrak{m} \setminus R$, the chain complex $C_*(X, Y; R)$ is acyclic.*

Proof. Let us fix a basis of $C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$ and denote by $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the universal covering. Lifting the basis to elements in $C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$, we obtain a basis of $C_*(X, Y; R)$ as a free right R -module. Denoting a chain homotopy $D: C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{*+1}(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$D\partial + \partial D = \text{id}: C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We define a right R -module homomorphism

$$\tilde{D}: C_*(X, Y; R) \rightarrow C_*(X, Y; R)$$

by $\tilde{D}(\tilde{e}) = \sum q_i \tilde{f}_i$ if $D(e) = \sum q_i f_i$, where $\tilde{e}, \tilde{f}_i \in C_*(\tilde{X}, p^{-1}(Y); \mathbb{Z})$ are the chosen lifts of e, f_i in the basis of $C_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. Let us set

$$\Phi = \tilde{D}\tilde{\partial} + \tilde{\partial}\tilde{D}: C_*(X, Y; R) \rightarrow C_*(X, Y; R),$$

where $\tilde{\partial}: C_*(X, Y; R) \rightarrow C_{*-1}(X, Y; R)$ is the boundary operator. Since $\pi_1 X$ acts on $\mathfrak{m} \setminus R$ from the right trivially, the induced endomorphism on $C_*(X, Y; \mathfrak{m} \setminus R)$ by Φ is the identity map. As in the proof of Proposition 2.2.4 in [42], the matrix representing $\Phi: C_*(X, Y; R) \rightarrow C_*(X, Y; R)$ is invertible since its reduction to $\mathfrak{m} \setminus R$ is invertible. Thus, we conclude that $C_*(X, Y; R)$ is acyclic. \square

Consider the case when $X = M$ and $Y = \partial_-M$ for $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Choosing a triangulation of the pair (M, ∂_-M) , we treat it as a pair of finite CW-complexes. If we have an acyclic chain complex $C_*(M, \partial_-M; R)$ with local system $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow R^\times$, we obtain the torsion $\tau^\rho(M, \partial_-M) \in K_1(R)/\rho(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$, where we denote by the same symbol ρ the induced homomorphism

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow[\cong]{(i_-)^*} H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R).$$

If we fix an equivalence class $\xi = \tilde{E}$ of families of cells, we obtain the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion $\tau^\rho(M, \partial_-M, \xi) \in K_1(R)$ as in Section 2.3.

In [31, Definition 3.8], Massuyeau and Meilhan constructed a canonical Euler structure called the preferred Euler structure for $M \in \mathcal{IC}$, and it gives a canonical equivalence class which we denote by $\xi_0 \in \widehat{\text{Eul}}(M, \partial_-M)$. Let

$$\tilde{\rho}: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi},$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by the embedding $i_-: \Sigma_{g,1} \rightarrow M$ using [48, Theorem 5.1] and [41]. See also [15, Theorem A.5]. Let

$$\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where $Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is the field of fractions of $\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the second isomorphism is induced by i_- . For $M \in \mathcal{IC}$, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(M) &= \tau^{\tilde{\rho}}(M, \partial_- M; \xi_0) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}), \\ \alpha(M) &= \tau^{\rho}(M, \partial_- M; \xi_0) \in K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})). \end{aligned}$$

For $M \in \mathcal{C}$, we also denote

$$\tau^{\tilde{\rho}}(M, \partial_- M) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \text{ and } \tau^{\rho}(M, \partial_- M) \in K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))/\rho(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

by the same symbols $\tilde{\alpha}$ and α , respectively.

By [7, Proposition 4.1] or [11, Proposition 3(1)], the torsion $\alpha(M) \in K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ under the identification $\det: K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})) \cong Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$ is equal to the determinant of a square matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, we may consider that $\alpha(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{IC}$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. See also [31, Proposition 3.5]. By [50, Theorem 1.1.1 and Lemma 1.11.4], the image of $\alpha: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $(1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cup (-1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}})$, and it is actually contained in $1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}}$ since we eliminated the sign indeterminacy. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ denote the completion of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with respect to the augmentation ideal $IH_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{IC} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the bottom homomorphism $1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\times} \cong K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is the natural inclusion, and the right vertical homomorphism is the induced map by the natural homomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}$.

Proof. Let us denote by $(\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}$ the localization of $\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed set $\Gamma = 1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho': \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}, \\ \rho'': \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ and $(\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}$ are local rings, we obtain the Reidemeister-Turaev torsions

$$\alpha'(M) = \tau^{\rho'}(M, \partial_- M, \xi_0) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \text{ and } \alpha''(M) = \tau^{\rho''}(M, \partial_- M, \xi_0) \in K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}).$$

by Lemma 3.1. We show that two diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{IC} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \\ & \searrow \alpha' & \downarrow \\ & & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{IC} & & \\ \alpha \downarrow & \searrow \alpha' & \\ 1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \end{array}$$

commute. The first diagram commutes by the naturality of the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion. Let us denote by $\xi: (\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ the composition of the natural homomorphism $(\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and the isomorphism $Q(\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})$. It induces an injective homomorphism $\xi_*: K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}) \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ since $K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}) \cong ((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1})^\times$ and $\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an integral domain. The homomorphism $\xi': (\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ defined by $f/g \mapsto fg^{-1}$ also induces an injective homomorphism $\xi'_*: K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}})$. As a consequence, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{IC} & & \\ & \swarrow \alpha & \downarrow \alpha'' & \searrow \alpha' & \\ K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}})) & \xleftarrow{\xi_*} & K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\xi'_*} & K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}). \end{array}$$

Since $K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1}) \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ is injective, the homomorphism $\alpha'': \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1})$ lifts to $\alpha: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow 1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with respect to the injective homomorphism $1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow K_1((\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}})\Gamma^{-1})$ defined by $f \mapsto f/1$, and we see that the second diagram commutes. \square

3.2. $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a crossed homomorphism. Given a homology cylinder $(M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote the automorphism

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \xrightarrow{i_+} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1(M)} \xrightarrow{i_-^{-1}} \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$$

by σ_M . It also induces an automorphism on $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$. Here, we prove:

Proposition 3.3. *The map $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a crossed homomorphism, that is,*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M \circ N) = \tilde{\alpha}(M) \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(N) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is also a crossed homomorphism.

Note that $\alpha: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ is shown to be a crossed homomorphism in [31, Lemma 3.14]. To prove Proposition 3.3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For $M \in \mathcal{IC}$,*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M) \equiv \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(C \circ \tau_1)(M) \right) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2),$$

where $\tilde{\rho}: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)$ is the induced homomorphism by $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2$.

Proof. In our convention for chain complexes, we have

$$\alpha(M) = \rho \left(-\frac{1}{2}(C \circ \tau_1)(M) \right) \in (1 + IH_{\mathbb{Z}})/(IH_{\mathbb{Z}})^2$$

by [31, Lemma 3.12]. By the commutative diagrams in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\alpha'(M) = \rho' \left(-\frac{1}{2}(C \circ \tau_1)(M) \right) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}/(\widehat{IH_{\mathbb{Z}}})^2).$$

The determinant gives an isomorphism

$$K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}/(\widehat{IH_{\mathbb{Z}}})^2) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}/(\widehat{IH_{\mathbb{Z}}})^2)^\times,$$

and it is isomorphic to the abelian group $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)^\times$, where the isomorphism is induced by the natural homomorphism $\mathbb{Q}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2) \cong K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}/(\widehat{IH_{\mathbb{Z}}})^2), \quad (3.1)$$

and we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M) = \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2}(C \circ \tau_1)(M) \right) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)$$

by the commutative diagrams in the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{IC}$. Similar to [2, Proposition 3.5], we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M \circ N) = \tilde{\alpha}(M) \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(N) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\pm\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

See also [35, Section 7], [45, Proposition 6.6], and [22, Proposition 3.10] for related results. Thus, there exists $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M \circ N) = \epsilon \cdot \tilde{\alpha}(M) \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(N) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

If we map it by the induced map $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q})$ by the augmentation map $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we see that $\epsilon = 1$ by Proposition 3.2. Next, suppose that $M, N \in \mathcal{IC}$. We see that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M \circ N) = \tilde{\rho}(h) \cdot \tilde{\alpha}(M) \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(N) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$$

for some $h \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. By the equality (3.1), the homomorphism σ_M induces the identity map on $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)$. Thus, Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$1 \equiv \tilde{\rho}(h) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}H_{\mathbb{Z}}}/(\widehat{IH_{\mathbb{Z}}})^2)^\times,$$

and we have $\tilde{\rho}(h) = 1$. \square

3.3. Computing Reidemeister-Turaev torsions. In [11], Goda and Sakasai described the Reidemeister torsion from a presentation of the fundamental group of a homology cylinder. See also [7, Proposition 4.1], for a similar result in sutured 3-manifolds. Here, we apply their results to our torsion $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Let $(M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$. Recall that we denote by $i_{\pm}: \Sigma_{g,1} \rightarrow M$ the restriction of i to the top and bottom surfaces $\Sigma_{g,1} \times \{\pm 1\}$, respectively. A presentation P of $\pi_1 M$ of the form

$$\langle i_+(\gamma_1), \dots, i_+(\gamma_{2g}), z_1, \dots, z_l, i_-(\gamma_1), \dots, i_-(\gamma_{2g}) \mid r_1, \dots, r_{2g+l} \rangle$$

for some integer $l \geq 0$ is called balanced. For a balanced presentation P , let us consider the matrices

$$A = \left(\overline{\frac{\partial r_j}{\partial i_+(\gamma_i)}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2g \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2g+l}} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left(\overline{\frac{\partial r_j}{\partial z_i}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2g+l}},$$

where each entry is defined by Fox's free derivative and $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M$ is obtained from $v \in \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M$ by applying the involution induced from $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ for $x \in \pi_1 M$. We denote

$$A(P) = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.5. The matrix $A(P)$ corresponds to the transpose of the Alexander matrix in [49, Definition 16.4] after taking the bar of each entry. This is due to the convention for chain complexes we adopted.

Proposition 3.6 ([11, Proposition 3(1)]). *Let Γ be a poly-torsion-free abelian group, and let $\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ be a homomorphism induced by a group homomorphism $\pi_1 M \rightarrow \Gamma$. If P is a balanced presentation of $\pi_1 M$, we have*

$$\tau^\rho(M, \partial_- M) = \rho(A(P)) \in K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma))/\pm\rho(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

In the proof, Goda and Sakasai showed that a square matrix with coefficients in $Q(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ is invertible when its reduction to \mathbb{Z} -coefficients is invertible. The argument holds if we replace $Q(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ and \mathbb{Z} by a local ring R and a skew field $\mathfrak{m} \setminus R$, respectively, as in the proof of Proposition 2.2.4 in [42]. Hence, we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.7. *Let R be a local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and let $\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \rightarrow R$ be a homomorphism satisfying $\rho(\pi_1 M) \subset 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. If P is a balanced presentation of $\pi_1 M$, we have*

$$\tau^\rho(M, \partial_- M) = \rho(A(P)) \in K_1(R)/\pm\rho(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Moreover, there exists a balanced presentation such that the equality in Lemma 3.7 holds as elements of $K_1(R)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let R be a local ring with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and let $\rho: \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \rightarrow R$ be a homomorphism satisfying $\rho(\pi_1 M) \subset 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. For any Euler structure ξ of $M \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a balanced presentation P such that*

$$\tau^\rho(M, \partial_- M, \xi) = \rho(A(P)) \in K_1(R).$$

Proof. Let P be a presentation of $\pi_1(M)$ as above. If we change the order of the relators r_1, \dots, r_{2g+l} , we may assume $\epsilon(\rho(A(P))) = 1 \in K_1(\mathbb{Q})$. Combining with [31, Lemma 3.12] and Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\tau^\rho(M, \partial_- M) = \rho(A(P)) \in K_1(R)/\rho(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Moreover, if we change the relator r_i to $sr_i s^{-1}$ for some generator s and $1 \leq i \leq 2g+l$, $\rho(A(P))$ is multiplied by $[s] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ represented by the generator s . Thus, we can change P into the one satisfying

$$\tau^\rho(M, \partial_- M, \xi) = \rho(A(P)) \in K_1(R).$$

□

4. THE K_1 -GROUP OF $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$

This section is devoted to showing Theorem 1.1 concerning $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$. We first discuss a more general situation and prove some propositions and lemmas below. For a unital associative algebra R over \mathbb{Q} with a surjective homomorphism $\epsilon: R \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, let $I = \text{Ker } \epsilon$ and $\hat{R} = \varprojlim_n R/I^n$. Define $\log: 1 + \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{I}$ and $\exp: \hat{I} \rightarrow 1 + \hat{I}$ by

$$\log(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} x^n, \quad \exp(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n,$$

respectively. Since \log and \exp preserve the filtration, they are continuous with respect to the I -adic topology.

Proposition 4.1. *The maps \log and \exp induce isomorphisms which fit into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(1 + \hat{I}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \frac{1 + \hat{I}}{\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]} \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n H_1(1 + \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n) \\ \log \updownarrow \exp & & \log \updownarrow \exp \\ H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) & \twoheadrightarrow & \frac{\hat{I}}{\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]} \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_n H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n), \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. First, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula shows that for any $x, y \in \hat{I}$ there exist $z, w \in \hat{I}$ such that

$$\log(\exp(x)\exp(y)) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \dots = x + y + [x, z] + [y, w] \in \hat{I}.$$

Thus, the map \log induces a homomorphism $\log: H_1(1 + \hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$. In particular, we have $\log(\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]) \subset [\hat{I}, \hat{I}]$, and hence $\log(\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]) \subset \text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]$. It follows that \log induces a homomorphism $\frac{1 + \hat{I}}{\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]} \rightarrow \frac{\hat{I}}{\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]}$. Also, \log gives a homomorphism $H_1(1 + \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n)$.

Next, by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we see that for any $x, y \in \hat{I}$ there exist $z, w \in \hat{I}$ such that

$$\log(\exp(-y)\exp(-x)\exp(x+y)) = [x, z] + [y, w] \in \hat{I}.$$

Lemma 4.2 below implies that $\exp(-y)\exp(-x)\exp(x+y)$ lies in the commutator subgroup $[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]$, and hence the map \exp induces a homomorphism $\exp: \hat{I} \rightarrow H_1(1 + \hat{I})$. This map factors through $H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$ by Lemma 4.2 again. The same argument as above shows that \exp derives homomorphisms $\frac{\hat{I}}{\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]} \rightarrow \frac{1 + \hat{I}}{\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]}$ and $H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n) \rightarrow H_1(1 + \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n)$. Now, these three homomorphisms induced by \exp are respectively the inverse maps of the homomorphisms induced by \log , and hence all the vertical maps in the diagram (4.1) are isomorphisms.

We next prove that the map $\frac{\hat{I}}{\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n)$ in (4.1) is an isomorphism. Then the commutativity implies that $\frac{1 + \hat{I}}{\text{cl}[1 + \hat{I}, 1 + \hat{I}]} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_1(1 + \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n)$ is an isomorphism as well. Since the inverse system $\{\hat{I}/\hat{I}^{n+1}, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^{n+1}\} \rightarrow \{\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n\}_n$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n [\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n] \rightarrow \hat{I} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here a natural homomorphism $\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}] \rightarrow [\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n]$ induces $f: \text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}] \rightarrow \varprojlim_n [\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n]$. If f is an isomorphism, then we conclude that $\frac{\hat{I}}{\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n)$ is an isomorphism. The injectivity of f follows from $\text{Ker } f \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{I}^n = \{0\}$. Let $\{x_n\}_n \in \varprojlim_n [\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n]$. Take a lift $\tilde{x}_n \in [\hat{I}, \hat{I}]$ of x_n . Then, by the definition of the inverse limit, $\tilde{x}_{n+1} = \tilde{x}_n$ in \hat{I}/\hat{I}^n . Therefore, $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_n$ defines an element of \hat{I} . Moreover, it is contained in $\text{cl}[\hat{I}, \hat{I}]$ since $\tilde{x}_n \in [\hat{I}, \hat{I}]$. It follows that f is surjective, and thus it is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \geq 1$. For $x_j, y_j \in \hat{I}$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$), $\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k [x_j, y_j]\right)$ is written by a product of k commutators in $1 + \hat{I}$.*

Proof. In this proof, we write $\pi^{(k)}$ for the free group generated by $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k$. Let $\hat{T}^{(k)}$ denote the completed tensor algebra generated by $\pi_{\text{ab}}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and define an ideal $\hat{T}_1^{(k)}$ by $\hat{T}_1^{(k)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi_{\text{ab}}^{(k)} \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$. Choose a symplectic expansion $\theta: \pi^{(k)} \rightarrow 1 + \hat{T}_1^{(k)}$, which was introduced in [30, Definition 2.15] and another construction was given in [25, Theorem 1.1]. By definition, we have

$$\theta \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_j b_j a_j^{-1} b_j^{-1} \right) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^k [a_j, b_j] \right) \in 1 + \hat{T}_1^{(k)}.$$

Define an algebra homomorphism $\phi: T_1^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{T}^{(k)}$ by $\phi(a_j) = x_j$ and $\phi(b_j) = y_j$. Since ϕ preserves the filtration, it induces an algebra homomorphism

$\phi: \hat{T}^{(k)} \rightarrow \hat{R}$. We obtain $\prod_{j=1}^k (\phi \circ \theta)(a_j b_j a_j^{-1} b_j^{-1}) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^k [x_j, y_j]\right)$ from the above equality by applying ϕ . \square

Here, we define

$$\widetilde{\log}: H_1(\hat{R}^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \oplus H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$$

by $x \mapsto (\epsilon(x), \log(x\epsilon(x)^{-1}))$.

Lemma 4.3. *The homomorphism $\widetilde{\log}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Define an isomorphism

$$f: \hat{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \times (1 + \hat{I})$$

by $f(x) = (\epsilon(x), x\epsilon(x)^{-1})$. It induces an isomorphism $f_*: H_1(\hat{R}^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \oplus H_1(1 + \hat{I})$. Then we have $\widetilde{\log} = (\text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^\times} \oplus \log) \circ f_*$. Since \log is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.1, so is $\widetilde{\log}$. \square

Here, note that \hat{R} is a local ring since the set of non-unit elements is the ideal \hat{I} . Thus, the Dieudonné determinant

$$\det: K_1(\hat{R}) \rightarrow (\hat{R}^\times)_{\text{ab}}$$

is defined. It is known to be an isomorphism as in [42, Corollary 2.2.6], and the inverse map is induced by the natural map

$$\hat{R}^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}(1, \hat{R}) \rightarrow K_1(\hat{R}).$$

For a reference to Dieudonné determinant, see [42, Chapter 2.2] and [27, Theorem 1.3] for example. Also note that, in [42, Theorem 2.2.5(f)], it is erroneously claimed that $\det A = \det A^T$. When \hat{R} is a non-commutative local ring, it is not true in general.

On the other hand, in [32, Example A.4] (see also [24]), the “log-determinant” map

$$\ell\det: K_1(\hat{R}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$$

is constructed. We write $M(N, R)$ for the ring of $N \times N$ matrices over a ring R , and denote by $\epsilon: \text{GL}(N, \hat{R}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$ the map which applies $\epsilon: \hat{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ to all entries. Define the logarithm map as

$$\log B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (B - I_N)^n \in M(N, \hat{I}) \quad (4.2)$$

for $B \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon: \text{GL}(N, \hat{R}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q}))$. The map $\ell\det$ is a homomorphism defined by

$$\ell\det(A) = (\text{tr} \circ \log)(A\epsilon(A)^{-1})$$

for $A \in \text{GL}(N, \hat{R})$.

Proposition 4.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\hat{R}) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\ell\det}} & \mathbb{Q}^\times \oplus H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) \\ \det \downarrow & \nearrow \widetilde{\log} & \\ \hat{R}_{\text{ab}}^\times & & \end{array}$$

commutes and all the maps are isomorphisms, where $\widetilde{\ell\det}(A) = (\det \epsilon(A), \ell\det(A))$.

Proof. First, the inverse of \det is the map induced by the inclusion $\hat{R}^\times \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\hat{R})$. Hence we have

$$\widetilde{\ell\det}(\det^{-1}(x)) = \left(\epsilon(x), \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (x\epsilon(x)^{-1} - 1)^n \right) = \widetilde{\log}(x)$$

for $x \in \hat{R}_{\text{ab}}^\times$. This implies that the diagram is commutative. Finally, since $\widetilde{\log}$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.3, so is $\widetilde{\ell\det}$. \square

In the rest of this section, we focus on the case $R = \mathbb{Q}\pi$ and ϵ is the augmentation map. Let \hat{T} denote the completed tensor algebra generated by H . If we choose a Magnus expansion $\theta: \pi \rightarrow 1 + \hat{T}_1$, it induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \hat{T}$ as shown in [19, Theorem 1.3]. Here, for $n \geq 1$, let \hat{T}_n denote the ideal of \hat{T} consisting of elements whose lowest degrees are greater than or equal to n .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.4, the map $\widetilde{\ell\det}: K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \oplus H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$ is an isomorphism. Also, the map θ induces an isomorphism $H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1)$. Thus, it suffices to prove that a natural homomorphism

$$\phi: H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1/\hat{T}_n) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n$$

is an isomorphism. Note that a similar description of $\hat{T}/\text{cl}[\hat{T}, \hat{T}]$ can be found in [20, Lemma 4.3.4]. We now have a natural homomorphism $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1)$. Let us prove that it factors through $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n$, and thus it gives the inverse of ϕ . Here, since

$$\text{Ker}(H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n) \subset \sum_{i+j=n} [\hat{T}_i, \hat{T}_j],$$

we have

$$\text{Ker} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n \right) \subset \text{cl}[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1]$$

as subspaces of \hat{T}_1 . Therefore, it suffices to see that $\text{cl}[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1] = [\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1]$. Fix a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of H . For $h \in H$ and $x, y \in \hat{T}_1$, we have

$[hx, y] = [h, xy] + [x, yh]$. Using this equality inductively, one can check that $[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1] = \sum_{i=1}^g ([a_i, \hat{T}_1] + [b_i, \hat{T}_1])$. Furthermore, for $d \geq 2$, we have

$$[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1] \cap \hat{T}_d = \sum_{i=1}^g ([a_i, \hat{T}_{d-1}] + [b_i, \hat{T}_{d-1}]).$$

Indeed, any $z = [\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1] \cap \hat{T}_d$ is expressed as $z = \sum_{i=1}^g ([a_i, x_i] + [b_i, y_i])$ for $x_i, y_i \in \hat{T}_1$, and then, for $x'_i, y'_i \in \hat{T}_{d-1}$ obtained from x_i, y_i by eliminating terms of degree less than $d-1$, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^g ([a_i, x'_i] + [b_i, y'_i])$ coincides with z .

Here, let $z \in \text{cl}[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1]$. Then there is a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $[\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1]$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$ and $z_{n+1} - z_n \in \hat{T}_{n+1}$. It follows from the above equality that $z_{n+1} - z_n = \sum_{i=1}^g ([a_i, x'_{n,i}] + [b_i, y'_{n,i}])$ for some $x'_{n,i}, y'_{n,i} \in \hat{T}_n$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_{n,i}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y'_{n,i}$ lie in \hat{T}_1 for each i , we conclude that $z \in [\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_1]$. \square

A Magnus expansion θ induces an isomorphism

$$\theta_* : \text{Ker} \left(H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^n) \right) \cong H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n,$$

and it does not depend on the choice of θ . Setting $R = \mathbb{Q}\pi/I^{n+1}$ and $R = \mathbb{Q}\pi/I^n$ in Proposition 4.4, we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. *The homomorphism*

$$\ell\text{det}_n : \text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^{n+1}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^n) \right) \rightarrow H^{\otimes n}/\mathbb{Z}_n$$

defined by $\ell\text{det}_n(A) = (\theta_* \circ \text{tr} \circ \log)(A\epsilon(A)^{-1})$ is an isomorphism, where $A \in \text{GL}(N, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^{n+1})$. In particular, $\ell\text{det}_1(A) = \theta_*(\text{tr}(A\epsilon(A)^{-1} - I_N))$ for $A \in \text{GL}(N, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)$.

5. FINITE-TYPE INVARIANTS

The I -adic reduction of the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion $\alpha : \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is proved to be a finite-type invariant in [31, Theorem 3.11]. In this section, we show Theorem 1.2, which states that the reduction $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^d)$ of $\tilde{\alpha}$ is also a finite-type invariant for $d \geq 1$.

5.1. Torelli surgeries and Euler structures. Using Lemma 3.8, we prove that the I -adic reduction of our torsion is a finite-type invariant of homology cylinders.

Let $M \in \mathcal{IC}$, and let V_1, \dots, V_d denote disjoint handlebodies embedded in M . Pick points p in $\partial_- M$ and q_k in ∂V_k for $1 \leq k \leq d$, and choose paths $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ in $M \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^d \text{Int } V_k$ such that α_k runs from p to q_k and $\text{Int } \alpha_k$ are mutually disjoint. We denote by $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ the standard basis of $\pi_1 \Sigma_{g,1}$ in Figure 1. We also denote by $x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,2h_k}$ loops obtained by connecting

the standard basis of $\pi_1(\partial V_k)$ and the path α_k for $1 \leq k \leq d$, where h_k is the genus of V_k . Set $M' = M \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^d \text{Int}(V_k \cup N(\alpha_k))$.




FIGURE 1. Oriented simple closed curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The fundamental group $\pi_1(M')$ has a presentation P_0 with generating set*

$$\{i_-(\gamma_1), \dots, i_-(\gamma_{2g})\} \cup \{x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,2h_k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq d\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$$

and some relators $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{l+\sum_{k=1}^d h_k}$.

Sketch of the proof. The proof proceeds in the same way as [11, Lemma 4], and we only sketch the proof. First, take a “suitable” triangulation of $\partial M'$, and extend it to N . Shrinking all 3-simplices into the 2-skeleton one after another and taking the quotient by a maximal tree of the 1-skeleton, we obtain a 2-dimensional CW-complex having only one vertex. By the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, we can compute the Euler number

$$\chi(M') = 1 - \left(2g + \sum_{k=1}^d h_k \right).$$

Thus, if we denote the number of 1-simplices in the CW-complex by $2g + 2\sum_{k=1}^d h_k + l$, the number of 2-simplices is $\sum_{k=1}^d h_k + l$. The desired presentation is obtained from this CW-complex. \square

We may assume that the loops $x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,h_k}$ in ∂V_k bound disjoint disks $D_{k,1}, \dots, D_{k,h_k}$ in V_k which cut V_k into a 3-ball. We also assume that $x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,2h_k}$ represent a symplectic basis of $H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$, namely,

$$[x_{k,i}] \cdot [x_{k,j}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i - j = -h_k, \\ -1 & \text{if } i - j = h_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2h_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2h_k$, where $x \cdot y$ denotes the intersection number of $x, y \in H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$. The element $i_+(\gamma_i) \in \pi_1(M')$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ is represented as a product of generators of P_0 . Add generators $i_+(\gamma_1), \dots, i_+(\gamma_{2g})$ and such relators to the presentation P_0 . We obtain a balanced presentation P of $\pi_1(M)$ in Proposition 3.6 by adding relators $x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,h_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$ to P_0 . By Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}(A(P))) = \pm 1 \in K_1(\mathbb{Q}),$$

and by changing the order of generators or relators, we may assume $\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}(A(P))) = 1$.

In Theorem 1.2, for a subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we denote

$$M_J = \left(M \setminus \bigsqcup_{i \in J} \text{Int } V_i \right) \cup_{\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}} \bigsqcup_{i \in J} V_i,$$

where φ_i is a mapping class of ∂V_i which acts on $H_1(\partial V_i; \mathbb{Z})$ trivially. Let $N(q_k) = \partial V_k \cap N(\alpha_k)$, which is a neighborhood of $q_k \in \partial V_k$. Denote by $\pi_0 \text{Diff}_+(\partial V_k, N(q_k))$ the mapping class group of ∂V_k which fixes $N(q_k)$ pointwise, and let

$$\mathcal{I}(\partial V_k, N(q_k)) = \text{Ker}(\pi_0 \text{Diff}_+(\partial V_k, N(q_k)) \rightarrow \text{Aut } H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}))$$

be its Torelli subgroup. Choose a lift of φ_k to an element in $\mathcal{I}(\partial V_k, N(q_k))$. Then, we obtain a presentation P_J of $\pi_1(M_J)$ of the form in Theorem 4.2 by adding relators $c_k(x_{k,1}), \dots, c_k(x_{k,h_k})$ to P_0 for $1 \leq k \leq d$, where c_k is $\text{id}_{\partial V_k}$ if $k \notin J$, and φ_k if $k \in J$. Let

$$\tau_1: \mathcal{I}(\partial V_k, N(q_k)) \rightarrow \Lambda^3 H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$$

denote the first Johnson homomorphism, and define a contraction map $C_k: \Lambda^3 H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 2H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$C_k(x \wedge y \wedge z) = 2((x \cdot y)z + (y \cdot z)x + (z \cdot x)y).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let P and P_J denote the presentations of $\pi_1 M$ and $\pi_1 M_J$ as above, respectively. We have*

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) = \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} ((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \right) \tilde{\rho}(A(P)) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2),$$

where $(\text{incl}_k)_*: H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the induced homomorphism by the inclusion, and the first $\tilde{\rho}$ in the right-hand side is the induced map by $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2$.

Proof. Let $N = 2g + 2 \sum_{k=1}^d h_k + l$. The matrices $A(P)$ and $A(P_J)$ are square matrices of size N . We may assume that the relators are ordered so that the last $\sum_{k=1}^d h_k$ relators are

$$c_1(x_{1,1}), c_1(x_{1,2}), \dots, c_1(x_{1,h_1}), \dots, c_d(x_{d,1}), c_d(x_{d,2}), \dots, c_d(x_{d,h_d})$$

and that the generators are ordered so that the last $2 \sum_{k=1}^d h_k$ generators are

$$x_{1,h_1+1}, \dots, x_{1,2h_1}, x_{2,h_2+1}, \dots, x_{2,2h_2}, \dots, x_{d,h_d+1}, \dots, x_{d,2h_d}, \\ x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,h_1}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{2,h_2}, \dots, x_{d,1}, \dots, x_{d,h_d}.$$

Since the presentation P_J differs from P only in relators coming from the meridian disks $D_{k,i}$ of V_k for $k \in J$, we see that $\epsilon(A(P_J)) = \epsilon(A(P)) \in \text{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$. Denote it by ϵ_0 .

The homology cylinder M is homotopy equivalent to

$$M' \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^d \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{h_k} D_{k,i} \right) \right).$$

The Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for it implies that $H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the homology classes $[i_-(\gamma_1)], \dots, [i_-(\gamma_{2g})]$ and $[x_{k,1}], \dots, [x_{k,h_k}]$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$. Thus, every element in $H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$ is written as a linear combination of these homology classes. In particular, we can write

$$[x_{l,h_l+j}] = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} t'_{i,(l,j)} [i_-(\gamma_i)] + \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} t_{(k,i),(l,j)} [x_{k,i}] \in H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$$

for $1 \leq l \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq h_l$. Set $N_1 = 2g + l$ and $N_2 = \sum_{k=1}^d h_k$. Note that the first $N_1 + N_2$ columns of ϵ_0 correspond to relations of $H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, after applying identical column addition transformations to the first $N_1 + N_2$ columns of $\rho(A(P_J))$ and $\rho(A(P))$, we can write

$$\epsilon_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{N_1} & O_{N_1, N_2} & O_{N_1, N_2} \\ O_{N_2, N_1} & I_{N_2} & O_{N_2, N_2} \\ S & T & I_{N_2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$$

for some matrices S of size $N_2 \times N_1$ and T of size $N_2 \times N_2$, where $O_{m,n}$ denotes the zero matrix of size $m \times n$. More precisely, setting

$$T_{kl} = (t_{(k,i),(l,j)})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h_k \\ 1 \leq j \leq h_l}},$$

we can write $T = (T_{kl})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}}$. If we glue 2-handles to M along the loops $i_+(\gamma_2), i_+(\gamma_4), \dots, i_+(\gamma_{2g}), i_-(\gamma_1), i_-(\gamma_3), \dots, i_-(\gamma_{2g-1})$, we obtain a homology cube as in [3, Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 2.12]. We see that $t_{(k,i),(l,j)}$ corresponds to the linking number of the loops x_{k,h_k+i} and x_{l,h_l+j} in the homology cube. Thus, we obtain

$$t_{(k,i),(l,j)} = t_{(l,j),(k,i)},$$

namely, T is symmetric.

On the other hand, we can write

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) - \tilde{\rho}(A(P)) = \begin{pmatrix} O_{N_1, N_1} & O_{N_1, N_2} & O_{N_1, N_2} \\ O_{N_2, N_1} & O_{N_2, N_2} & W_J \\ O_{N_2, N_1} & O_{N_2, N_2} & X_J \end{pmatrix} \in M(N, \hat{I}/\hat{I}^2),$$

and it does not change under the above column transformations. Here, $W_J = (\tilde{\rho}(W_{kl}))_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}}$ and $X_J = (\tilde{\rho}(X_{kl}))_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}}$, where

$$W_{kk} = \left(\frac{\partial c(x_{k,j})}{\partial x_{k,h_k+i}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h_k \\ 1 \leq j \leq h_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{kk} = \left(\frac{\partial c(x_{k,j})}{\partial x_{k,i}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h_k \\ 1 \leq j \leq h_k}} - I_{h_k}$$

and $W_{kl} = X_{kl} = O_{h_k, h_l}$ if $k \neq l$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \det_1(\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))) - \ell \det_1(\tilde{\rho}(A(P))) &= (\theta_* \circ \text{tr})((\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) - \tilde{\rho}(A(P)))\epsilon_0^{-1}) \\ &= (\theta_* \circ \text{tr})(-W_J T + X_J) \in H. \end{aligned}$$

First, we show that $\text{tr}(W_J T) = 0$. Let $\pi' = \pi_1(\partial V_k \setminus \text{Int } N(q_k))$, and let $\text{ev}_{x_{k,i}, x_{k,j}} : H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the map

$$a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto (a \cdot x_{k,i})(b \cdot x_{k,j})c$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2h_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2h_k$. Fox's free derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k,i}} : \pi' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\pi'$ induces a homomorphism

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k,i}} : \pi'(2) \rightarrow \frac{I\pi'}{(I\pi')^2} \cong H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $I\pi'$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\pi'$. We can check that

$$\frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_k(x_{k,j})}}{\partial x_{k, h_k+i}} = \frac{\overline{\partial(\varphi_k(x_{k,j})x_{k,j}^{-1})}}{\partial x_{k, h_k+i}} = (\text{ev}_{x_{k,j}, x_{k,i}} \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \in H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq h_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq h_k$. Since $\tau_1(\varphi_k) \in \Lambda^3(H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}))$, we see that

$$\frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_k(x_{k,j})}}{\partial x_{k, h_k+i}} = (\text{ev}_{x_{k,j}, x_{k,i}} \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) = -(\text{ev}_{x_{k,i}, x_{k,j}} \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) = -\frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_k(x_{k,i})}}{\partial x_{k, h_k+j}}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq h_k$ and $1 \leq j \leq h_k$. Thus, $W_J \in M(N_2, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2)$ is an alternating matrix, and we see that $\text{tr}(W_J T) = 0$.

Next, we compute $(\theta_* \circ \text{tr})(X_J)$. By the definition of C_k , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} (\text{ev}_{x_{k,i}, x_{k, h_k+i}} \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \in H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, we can check that

$$\frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_k(x_{k,i})}}{\partial x_{k,i}} - 1 = \frac{\overline{\partial(\varphi_k(x_{k,i})x_{k,i}^{-1})}}{\partial x_{k,i}} = -(\text{ev}_{x_{k,i}, x_{k, h_k+i}} \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \in H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq h_k$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_* \circ \text{tr})(X_J) &= (\text{incl}_k)_* \left(\sum_{k \in J} \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} \frac{\overline{\partial(\varphi_k(x_{k,i})x_{k,i}^{-1})}}{\partial x_{k,i}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} ((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \in H, \end{aligned}$$

where we identify $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. As a conclusion, we obtain

$$\ell \det_1(\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))) - \ell \det_1(\tilde{\rho}(A(P))) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} ((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k).$$

Since

$$\ell \det_1 : \text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}) \right) \rightarrow H$$

is an isomorphism as in Corollary 4.5, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) = \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} ((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \right) \tilde{\rho}(A(P)) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2).$$

□

The next theorem describes the change of the Johnson homomorphism on \mathcal{IC} under Torelli surgery along Σ_h . Let $\mathcal{M}_{h,1}$ denote the mapping class group of the surface $\Sigma_{h,1}$ which fixes the boundary pointwise. We consider $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{h,1}$ as a mapping class of the surface Σ_h by extending it by the identity map on the open disk $\Sigma_h \setminus \Sigma_{h,1}$.

Theorem 5.3 ([10, Theorem 2]). *Let $(M, i) \in J_d\mathcal{C}$ and let $\iota: \Sigma_h \rightarrow M$ be an embedding. For $\varphi \in J_d\mathcal{M}_{h,1}$, let M_φ be the homology cylinder obtained from $M \setminus \text{Int}(\iota(\Sigma_h) \times [-1, 1])$ and $\Sigma_h \times [-1, 1]$ by the identification*

$$(\iota(x), 1) = (\varphi(x), 1) \text{ and } (\iota(x), -1) = (x, -1).$$

Then, the homology cylinder M_φ lies in $J_d\mathcal{C}$ and the equality

$$\tau_d(M_\varphi) = \tau_d(M) + (i_-)_*^{-1} \circ \iota_*(\tau_d(\varphi)) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes L_{d+1}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

holds.

We can describe the Euler structure corresponding to $\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))$. Let

$$C: \Lambda^3 H_1(M) \xrightarrow{(i_+)_*^{-1}} \Lambda^3 H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$$

be the contraction map defined in the same way as C_k .

Proposition 5.4. *If $\tilde{\rho}(A(P)) = \tilde{\alpha}(M) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$, we have*

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) = \tilde{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} \left(-((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) + (C \circ (\text{incl}_k)_* \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \right) \right) \tilde{\alpha}(M_J) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}).$$

In other words, if the presentation P corresponds to the preferred Euler structure of M , the presentation P_J corresponds to the Euler structure

$$\xi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} \left(-((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) + (C \circ (\text{incl}_k)_* \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \right),$$

where we also denote by ξ_0 the preferred Euler structure of M_J .

Proof. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M) = \tau^{\tilde{\rho}}(M, \partial_- M, \xi_0) = \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2} C \circ \tau_1(M) \right) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2).$$

By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) = \tilde{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in J} ((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) \right) \tilde{\rho}(A(P)) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^2).$$

If $\tilde{\rho}(A(P)) = \tilde{\rho}(-\frac{1}{2}C \circ \tau_1(M)) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) \\ &= \tilde{\rho}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \in J}((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) - \frac{1}{2}C \circ \tau_1(M)\right) \\ &= \tilde{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \in J}\left(-((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) + (C \circ (\text{incl}_k)_* \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k)\right) - \frac{1}{2}C \circ \tau_1(M_J)\right) \\ &= \tilde{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \in J}\left(-((\text{incl}_k)_* \circ C_k \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k) + (C \circ (\text{incl}_k)_* \circ \tau_1)(\varphi_k)\right)\right) \tilde{\alpha}(M_J) \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 5.3 as elements in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^2})$, and it shows what we desired. \square

Corollary 5.5. *For $d \geq 2$,*

$$\prod_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} \tilde{\alpha}(M_J)^{(-1)^{|J|}} = \prod_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} \tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))^{(-1)^{|J|}} \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}).$$

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. When $d = 1$, Theorem 1.2 is easy since

$$\epsilon(\tilde{\alpha}(M)) = \epsilon(\tilde{\alpha}(M_J)) = 1 \in K_1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Assume $d \geq 2$. By Corollary 5.5 and Proposition 4.4, it suffices to show that

$$\ell \det \left(\prod_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} \tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))^{(-1)^{|J|}} \right) \in \text{Ker} \left(H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^d) \right).$$

Define a map

$$\Delta_d: M(N, \hat{I}) \times M(N, \hat{I}) \times \dots \times M(N, \hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$$

by $\Delta_d(A_1, \dots, A_d) = \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} (-1)^{|J|} \ell \det(I_N + \sum_{k \in J} A_k) \in H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})$, where I_N denotes the identity matrix of size N .

Lemma 5.6. *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d \in M(N, \hat{I})$. Then, we have*

$$\Delta_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d) \in \text{Ker} \left(H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^d) \right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_d(A_1, \dots, A_d) &= \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} (-1)^{|J|} \ell \det \left(I_N + \sum_{j \in J} A_j \right) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \text{tr} \left(\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} (-1)^{|J|} \left(\sum_{j \in J} A_j \right)^k \right) \in H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^d). \end{aligned}$$

Here, note that the k th powers of matrices satisfy the finiteness property, that is, $\sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\dots,d\}} (-1)^{|J|} (\sum_{j \in J} A_j)^k$ equals to the zero matrix for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Thus, we obtain $\Delta_d(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_d) \equiv 0 \in H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^d)$. \square

Let us denote $A_k = \tilde{\rho}(A(P_{\{k\}})) - \tilde{\rho}(A(P))$. In the same way as the proof of Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(A(P_J)) = \tilde{\rho}(A(P)) + \sum_{k \in J} A_k.$$

Here, note that $A_k \in M(N, \hat{I})$ since φ_k acts trivially on $H_1(\partial V_k; \mathbb{Z})$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell \det \left(\prod_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}} \tilde{\rho}(A(P_J))^{(-1)^{|J|}} \right) &= \sum_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}} (-1)^{|J|} \ell \det \left(\tilde{\rho}(A(P)) + \sum_{k \in J} A_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}} (-1)^{|J|} \ell \det \left(I_N + \sum_{k \in J} A'_k \right) \\ &= \Delta_d(A'_1, \dots, A'_d), \end{aligned}$$

where we denote $A'_k = \tilde{\rho}(A(P))^{-1} A_k$. By Lemma 5.6, it is in the kernel $\text{Ker} \left(H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I}/\hat{I}^d) \right)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

6. A CLASPER SURGERY FORMULA

In this section, we investigate the behavior of $\tilde{\alpha}(M)$ under surgeries along k -loop graph claspers for $k \geq 1$. We first describe the fundamental group of a tubular neighborhood of a graph clasper embedded in a 3-manifold.

6.1. A lower bound on the degree over the fundamental group. A graph clasper is an embedded surface in a 3-manifold consisting of three kinds of constituents called leaves, nodes, and bands. By assigning vertices to leaves and nodes and assigning edges to bands, we obtain a uni-trivalent graph, which is similar to taking an inverse image in the surgery map in [15, Section 2.2]. Note that we consider a uni-trivalent graph without labels in univalent vertices.

Let G be a graph clasper of degree d , and let J be the corresponding uni-trivalent graph. We denote by E_U and E_T the set of edges incident to univalent vertices and the set of half-edges contained in edges each of which connects two trivalent vertices, respectively. We also denote as $E(J) = E_U \cup E_T$. The clasper G can be decomposed into Y -graphs by [14, Move 2], and there is a one-to-one correspondence between $E(J)$ and the set of leaves of the Y -graphs.

In this paper, we endow every leaf and node in G with orientations. An edge is said to be *twisted* if the orientations of the nodes connected by the edge are not coherent. Unless otherwise stated, the visible side of a node is positively oriented in the figures of this paper. For $e \in E(J)$, we denote by α_e and β_e a meridian and a longitude of the leaf, respectively (see Figure 2). Note that their orientations depend on the orientation of the node incident to e . Here, we care about only the conjugacy classes of α_e and $\beta_e \in \pi_1 N(G)$, and do not specify the basepoint in $N(G)$.

For $x \in \pi_1(N(G))$, we denote by

$$\deg(x) = \sup\{n \mid x \in \pi_1(N(G))(n)\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Note that, if $\deg(x) = \infty$, we have $x = 1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 N(G)}$. We use the fundamental (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(xy) &\geq \min\{\deg x, \deg y\}, \\ \deg(yxy^{-1}) &= \deg x, \\ \deg[x, y] &\geq \deg x + \deg y \end{aligned}$$

throughout this paper. Here, we denote $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $e \in E(J)$.*

- (1) *If $e \in E_U$, we have $\deg \beta_e = 1$.*
- (2) *If $e \in E_T$ and $J \setminus \text{Int } e$ is connected, we have $\deg \beta_e = \infty$.*

We treat the case when $e \in E_T$ and $J \setminus \text{Int } e$ is disconnected in Proposition 6.4.





FIGURE 2. Meridians α_i and longitudes β_i .

Lemma 6.2. *The group $\pi_1(N(Y))$ has a presentation P_Y with a generating set $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=1}^3$ as in Figure 2 and relators*

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= \alpha_1 \beta_3 \alpha_2 \beta_2^{-1} \alpha_2^{-1} \beta_3^{-1} \beta_2, \\ r_2 &= \alpha_2 \beta_1 \alpha_3 \beta_3^{-1} \alpha_3^{-1} \beta_1^{-1} \beta_3, \\ r_3 &= \alpha_3 \beta_2 \alpha_1 \beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1^{-1} \beta_2^{-1} \beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us describe a handlebody of genus 3 as the complement of a neighborhood of a tangle consisting of 3 arcs in D^3 . The neighborhood $N(Y)$ is obtained by surgery along the link in the handlebody as in Figure 3. Denoting by the same symbol $a_{i,j}$ the meridian of the arc $a_{i,j}$ in Figure 3, we obtain a presentation with generating set $\{a_{i,j}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 7}}$ and relators

FIGURE 3. Generators $a_{i,j}$ of the complement of a clasper.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{i,1}a_{i+2,1}a_{i,1}^{-1}a_{i+2,3}^{-1}, \\ a_{i,1}a_{i+1,3}a_{i,2}^{-1}a_{i+1,3}^{-1}, \\ a_{i,2}a_{i,4}^{-1}a_{i,3}^{-1}a_{i,4}, \\ a_{i,3}a_{i,4}a_{i,3}^{-1}a_{i,5}^{-1}, \\ a_{i,5}a_{i,6}^{-1}a_{i,4}^{-1}a_{i,6}, \\ a_{i,5}a_{i,7}a_{i,5}^{-1}a_{i,6}^{-1}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{i,3}a_{i,6}, \\ a_{i+1,3}a_{i,4}^{-1}a_{i+1,1}, \end{array} \right.$$

where the former relators come from the Wirtinger presentation of the tangle and the link, and the latter comes from attaching 2-handles by surgery. Using these relators, one can eliminate the generators $a_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 2, 3, 5, 7$, and obtain a relation $a_{i,1} = a_{i+1,6}a_{i,4}^{-1}a_{i,6}^{-1}a_{i,4}a_{i+1,6}^{-1}$. This relation eliminates $a_{i,1}$'s and gives a presentation with generating set $\{a_{i,4}, a_{i,6}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ and relators $\{a_{i,4}^{-1}a_{i+2,6}a_{i+1,4}^{-1}a_{i+1,6}^{-1}a_{i+1,4}a_{i+2,6}^{-1}a_{i+1,6}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$. By denoting $\alpha_i = a_{i,4}^{-1}$, $\beta_i = a_{i,6}$ as in Figure 2, the relators turn into $\alpha_i\beta_{i+2}\alpha_{i+1}\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\alpha_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1}\beta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that two trivalent vertices v and v' in J is connected by an edge $e_1 \cup e'_1$, where e_1 and e'_1 are half-edges incident to v and v' , respectively. We also denote by $e'_2, e'_3 \in E(J)$ the (half-)edges incident to v' other than e'_1 . Then, for $\beta_{e_1}, \beta_{e'_2}, \beta_{e'_3} \in \pi_1 N(G)$, we have*

$$\deg \beta_{e_1} \geq \deg \beta_{e'_2} + \deg \beta_{e'_3}.$$

Proof. Let us denote by Y and Y' the Y -graphs corresponding to v and v' , respectively. We also denote simply $\alpha_i = \alpha_{e_i}$, $\beta_i = \beta_{e_i}$, $\alpha'_i = \alpha_{e'_i}$, and

$\beta'_i = \beta_{e'_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. If the edge $e \cup e'$ is untwisted, by van Kampen's theorem, we have the relation

$$l\alpha'_1\bar{l} = \beta_1^{-1}, \quad l\beta'_1\bar{l} = \alpha_1^{-1} \in \pi_1(N(G), \tilde{*}),$$

where l is a path in $\partial(N(G))$ from the basepoint $\tilde{*}$ in the neighborhood $N(Y)$ to $\tilde{*}'$ in $N(Y')$ (see Figure 4). If the edge $e \cup e'_1$ is half-twisted, we




FIGURE 4. A path l from $\tilde{*}$ to $\tilde{*}'$.

may assume that the leaf corresponding to e is half-twisted. Since the disk twist induces the identity on $\pi_1(N(G))$, we may assume that the leaf is positively half-twisted. We consider that the leaf e_1 depicted on the left of Figure 4 is also positively half-twisted, and we define the path l by the same figure. In this case, we also have the relations

$$l\alpha'_1\bar{l} = \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1\beta_1\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1, \quad l\beta'_1\bar{l} = \beta_1^{-1}\alpha_1\beta_1.$$

We may assume that the edges e'_1, e'_2, e'_3 are ordered clockwise as in Figure 2. Then one has

$$\alpha'_1 = [\beta_2'^{-1}, \beta_3']\beta_3'[\beta_2'^{-1}, \alpha'_2]\beta_3'^{-1}, \quad \alpha'_2 = [\beta_3'^{-1}, \beta_1']\beta_1'[\beta_3'^{-1}, \alpha'_3]\beta_1'^{-1}$$

by Lemma 6.2. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \alpha'_2 &\geq \min\{\deg[\beta_3'^{-1}, \beta_1'], \deg[\beta_3'^{-1}, \alpha'_3]\} \\ &\geq \min\{\deg \beta_3' + \deg \beta_1', \deg \beta_3' + \deg \alpha'_3\} \\ &> \deg \beta_3'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \beta_1 &= \deg \alpha'_1 \geq \min\{\deg[\beta_2'^{-1}, \beta_3'], \deg[\beta_2'^{-1}, \alpha'_2]\} \\ &= \deg \beta_2' + \deg \beta_3'. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 6.1. (1) First note that

$$\frac{\pi_1 N(G)}{\pi_1 N(G)(2)} = H_1(N(G); \mathbb{Z})$$

is a free abelian group of rank $\#E_U + b_1(J)$, where $b_1(J)$ denotes the first Betti number of J . If $e \in E_U$, then β_e is non-trivial in $H_1(N(G); \mathbb{Z})$, and hence $\deg \beta_e = 1$.

(2) Since $J \setminus \text{Int } e$ is connected, there is a cycle C in J containing the half-edge e . We denote by k the number of edges of C . Applying Lemma 6.3

along C inductively, we obtain $\deg \beta_e \geq \deg \beta_e + k$. Thus, we conclude that $\deg \beta_e = \infty$. \square

Using an isotopy, we have an embedding $\iota_0: G \hookrightarrow N_0(G)$ such that its image is disjoint from $G \subset N_0(G)$. This map derives an embedding $\iota: G \hookrightarrow N(G)$. Since ι_0 induces an isomorphism on $H_1(-; \mathbb{Z})$ and a surjection on $H_2(-; \mathbb{Z})$, the same holds for ι by [16, Proposition 5.4] for instance. It follows from [48, Theorem 5.1] that ι induces an isomorphism

$$\frac{\pi_1(G)}{\pi_1(G)(n)} \cong \frac{\pi_1 N(G)}{\pi_1 N(G)(n)}$$

between the nilpotent quotients for $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, ι gives an isomorphism $\frac{\pi_1 N(G)(n)}{\pi_1 N(G)(n+1)} \cong L_n(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}))$, where $L_n(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}))$ denotes the degree n part of the free Lie algebra generated by a module $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose that $J \setminus \text{Int } e$ is disconnected for a half-edge $e \in E_T$. Let us denote by J_e a connected component of $J \setminus \text{Int } e$ which does not contain the trivalent vertex incident to e in J .*

- (1) *If J_e is a tree, we regard the endpoint in e as the root of J_e and assign $[\beta_{e'}] \in H_1(N(G); \mathbb{Z})$ to each leaf $e' \in E_U$ of J_e . Giving the cyclic order coming from the orientation of nodes in G to the trivalent vertices in J_e , we regard J_e as a Jacobi diagram. Let $n \geq 2$ be the number of leaves of J_e , and let $T_e \in L_n(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}))$ denote the iterated Lie bracket encoded by J_e , which is denoted as $\text{comm}(J_e)$ in [16, Section 4.3]. Then, $\beta_e = (-1)^k T_e$ holds under the above isomorphism, where k is the number of twists in J_e .*
- (2) *If J_e is not a tree, $\deg \beta_e = \infty$ holds.*

Proof. (1) First note that $\deg \beta_e \geq n$ by Lemma 6.3, namely $\beta_e \in \pi_1 N(G)(n)$. We use the same notation as in Lemma 6.3 by putting $e_1 = e$. Let us prove by induction on n . When $n = 2$, the graph J_e is a Y -graph. It follows from the equalities in the proof of Lemma 6.3 that

$$\beta_e^{-1} = l\alpha'_1 \bar{l} = l[\beta_2^{\prime-1}, \beta_3'] \beta_3' [\beta_2^{\prime-1}, \alpha_2'] \beta_3^{\prime-1} \bar{l}$$

when e'_2, e'_3 , and the edge containing e are untwisted. If the edge is twisted, the leftmost β_e^{-1} should be replaced with $\beta_1^{-1} \alpha_1 \beta_e \alpha_1^{-1} \beta_1$. Also, the sign of the exponent of β_j' in the right-hand side should be switched if e'_j is twisted for $j = 2, 3$. Since $\deg \alpha_2' > \deg \beta_3'$, we have

$$\beta_e = (-1)^k [\beta_2', \beta_3'] = (-1)^k T_e \in \frac{\pi_1 N(G)(2)}{\pi_1 N(G)(3)}.$$

Suppose $n > 2$. The induction hypothesis implies

$$[\beta_2^{\prime-1}, \beta_3'] = (-1)^{k'} [-T_{e'_2}, T_{e'_3}] \in \frac{\pi_1 N(G)(n)}{\pi_1 N(G)(n+1)},$$

where $k' = k$ if the edge containing e is untwisted, and $k' = k - 1$ if it is twisted. By the same argument as in the case $n = 2$, we conclude that $\beta_e = (-1)^k [T_{e'_2}, T_{e'_3}] = (-1)^k T_e$.

(2) Since J_e is not a tree, there is a cycle in J_e . Take a path from a trivalent vertex in the cycle to e . By Proposition 6.1(2), $\deg \beta'_e = \infty$ for every half-edge in C . Applying Lemma 6.3 along the path inductively, we obtain $\deg \beta_e = \infty$. \square

6.2. The invariance of τ under k -loop surgeries for $k \geq 2$. Here, we prove the following:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $k \geq 2$, and let G be a k -loop graph clasper in a homology cylinder M . We have*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M_G) = \tilde{\alpha}(M).$$

Theorem 6.5 follows from the two lemmas below.

Lemma 6.6. *Let Y be a Y -graph in a homology cylinder M such that the longitudes of the three leaves represent $1 \in \mathbb{Q}\pi_1(\widehat{M}_Y)$. We have*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M_Y) = \tilde{\alpha}(M).$$

Lemma 6.7. *Let G be a graph clasper in a homology cylinder M , and let J be the corresponding Jacobi diagram. If G is a k -looped graph clasper for $k \geq 2$, there is a trivalent vertex in J such that $\deg \beta_e = \infty$ for the three half-edges e incident to the vertex.*

Proof. Since $b_1(J) \geq 2$, there are two distinct cycles C_1 and C_2 in J . In the case $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$, there exists a trivalent vertex $v \in C_1 \cap C_2$. Proposition 6.1(2) implies that v is what we want.

Next we consider the case $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Then there exists a path P connecting C_1 and C_2 such that $P \cap C_j$ is a vertex, say v_j , for $j = 1, 2$. It follows from Proposition 6.1(2) that $\deg \beta_e = \infty$ for the two half-edges $e \subset C_j$ incident to v_j . Applying Lemma 6.3 along P inductively, we also conclude that $\deg \beta_e = \infty$ for the half-edge $e \subset P$ incident to v_2 . \square

For a 3-manifold M and a presentation P of $\pi_1 M$ with generators $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^p$ and relators $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^q$, we denote by $A(P)$ the $p \times q$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_j} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1(M)}$. Here, for $v \in \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M$, $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M$ is defined in Section 3.3. First, we prove Lemma 6.6. Let $N(Y)$ denote a tubular neighborhood of Y , and let $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=1}^3$ be a generating set of $\pi_1(N(Y))$ depicted in Figure 2.

Proof of Lemma 6.6. Since

$$\alpha_i = [\beta_{i+1}^{-1}, \beta_{i+2}] \beta_{i+2} [\beta_{i+1}^{-1}, \alpha_{i+1}] \beta_{i+2}^{-1} \in \pi_1(N(Y))$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we see that $\alpha_i = 1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1(M_G)}$ if $\beta_{i+1} = 1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1(M_G)}$. With respect to the presentation P_Y given in Lemma 6.2,

$$A(P_Y) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial r_l}{\partial \alpha_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq l \leq 3}} \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_l}{\partial \beta_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq l \leq 3}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial r_l}{\partial \alpha_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq l \leq 3}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (\alpha_3 - \beta_1^{-1})\beta_2 \\ (\alpha_1 - \beta_2^{-1})\beta_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (\alpha_2 - \beta_3^{-1})\beta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_l}{\partial \beta_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq l \leq 3}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_2 - \beta_3^{-1} & \beta_1^{-1}(1 - \beta_2\alpha_1) \\ \beta_2^{-1}(1 - \beta_3\alpha_2) & 0 & \alpha_3 - \beta_1^{-1} \\ \alpha_1 - \beta_2^{-1} & \beta_3^{-1}(1 - \beta_1\alpha_3) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is the same as that of the handlebody of genus three. Thus, If we replace the neighborhood of G with the handlebody, the torsion does not change, and we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M_G) = \tilde{\alpha}(M).$$

□

6.3. Values on 1-loop surgeries. Here, we prove the following surgery formula of $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathcal{IC} \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ for 1-loop graph claspers.

Theorem 6.8. *Let G be a 1-loop graph clasper of degree d embedded in a homology cylinder M as in Figure 5, where ϵ_i denotes a rectangle or a rectangle with a positive half-twist. We choose a path l in M from a basepoint $* \in m_-(\Sigma_{g,1})$ to $*_1$, and let $\gamma_i = lf_i\bar{l}$ and $\delta = lf_0\bar{l}$. We also denote $\epsilon_i = 1, 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is the same symbol as the rectangle, depending on whether the rectangle ϵ_i is twisted or not. Then, we have the equality*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M_G) = \left(\delta + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_{d+1-i}) \right) \left(\delta^{-1} + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) \right) \tilde{\alpha}(M)$$

in $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})_{\text{ab}}^\times$, where we identify $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1(M)} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ and denote $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^d \epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 6.9. A surgery formula of the $K_1(Q(\mathbb{Z}H_{\mathbb{Z}}))$ -valued torsion α of homology cylinders for 1-loop surgeries is given in [31, Lemma 3.15].

Proof of Theorem 6.8. Let us decompose G into Y -graphs embedded in M by splitting the edges in G each of which connects two nodes. We denote by Y_i the Y -graph containing the leaf whose one longitude represents the same free loop as f_i , and assign a positive half-twist to one leaf of Y_i if ϵ_i is half-twisted for $1 \leq i \leq d$. The regular neighborhood $N_0(Y_i)$ can be considered as a handlebody of genus three, and we choose it so that $N_0(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d N_0(Y_i)$




FIGURE 5. A 1-loop graph clasper with twists ϵ_j , basepoints $*_j$, and loops f_j .

as in Figure 4. Let us also denote by $N(G)$ the corresponding neighborhood of G in M_G , and we identify $M \setminus \text{Int } N_0(G)$ and $M_G \setminus \text{Int } N(G)$.

Let us denote by $\tilde{*}_i$ points in $\partial N_0(G)$ obtained by pushing the points $*_i \in Y_i$ depicted in Figure 5 to an identical normal direction. We also pick a path l_i in $\partial N_0(G)$ connecting $\tilde{*}_i$ and $\tilde{*}_{i+1}$ in the same way as l in Figure 4. Here, we denote $\tilde{*}_{d+1} = \tilde{*}_1$.

We consider that the leaves β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 in Figure 2 correspond to the leaf of Y_i whose longitude represents the same free loop as f_i , the half-edge connecting to Y_{i+1} , and the half-edge connecting to Y_{i-1} , respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$, let us denote by $\alpha_{i,j} \in \pi_1(\partial N_0(G), \tilde{*}_1)$ (resp. $\beta_{i,j}$) the loop obtained by connecting $l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{i-1}$ to the meridian corresponding to α_j (resp. the longitude corresponding to β_j) in $\partial N_0(Y_i)$. We also denote the loop $\tilde{l} = l_1 l_2 \cdots l_d$. By applying van Kampen's theorem when we glue Y -graphs, we obtain a presentation P_N of $\pi_1 N(G)$ with the generating set

$$\{\alpha_{i,j}, \beta_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq 3\} \cup \{\tilde{l}\}$$

and relators

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i,1} &= \alpha_{i,1} \beta_{i,3} \alpha_{i,2} \beta_{i,2}^{-1} \alpha_{i,2}^{-1} \beta_{i,3}^{-1} \beta_{i,2}, \\ r_{i,2} &= \alpha_{i,2} \beta_{i,1} \alpha_{i,3} \beta_{i,3}^{-1} \alpha_{i,3}^{-1} \beta_{i,1}^{-1} \beta_{i,3}, \\ r_{i,3} &= \alpha_{i,3} \beta_{i,2} \alpha_{i,1} \beta_{i,1}^{-1} \alpha_{i,1}^{-1} \beta_{i,2}^{-1} \beta_{i,1}, \\ r_{i,4} &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{i,2} \beta_{i+1,3} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ and } i < d, \\ \beta_{i,2}^{-1} \alpha_{i,2} \beta_{i,2} \beta_{i+1,3}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ and } i < d, \\ \alpha_{d,2} \tilde{l} \beta_{1,3} \tilde{l}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ and } i = d, \\ \beta_{d,2}^{-1} \alpha_{d,2} \beta_{d,2} \tilde{l} \beta_{1,3}^{-1} \tilde{l}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ and } i = d, \end{cases} \\ r_{i,5} &= \begin{cases} \beta_{i,2} \alpha_{i+1,3} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ and } i < d, \\ \beta_{i,2}^{-1} \alpha_{i,2} \beta_{i,2} \alpha_{i+1,3}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ and } i < d, \\ \beta_{d,2} \tilde{l} \alpha_{1,3} \tilde{l}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ and } i = d, \\ \beta_{d,2}^{-1} \alpha_{d,2} \beta_{d,2} \alpha_{1,3}^{-1} \tilde{l}^{-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ and } i = d. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By slightly pushing the endpoint of l attached to $*_1$ in the normal direction of G , we have a path connecting the basepoint $* \in m_-(\Sigma_{g,1})$ to $\tilde{l}de*_1$. We may assume \tilde{l} is contained in $M \setminus G$. Changing the basepoint of loops from $\tilde{*}_1$ to $*$ via \tilde{l} , the loops $\beta_{i,3}$ and \tilde{l} are homotopic to γ_i and δ in M , respectively. Under the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}\widehat{\pi_1(M, *)} \cong \mathbb{Q}\widehat{\pi_1(M_G, *)} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$$

coming from [48, Theorem 5.1] and [41], we have

$$\alpha_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,2} = \alpha_{i,3} = \beta_{i,2} = \beta_{i,3} = 1, \quad \beta_{i,1} = \gamma_i, \quad \tilde{l} = \delta \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$$

by Proposition 6.4(2) and the relators $r_{i,1}$, $r_{i,2}$, and $r_{i,3}$.

Denote the generators of $\pi_1(M_G, *)$ as

$$x_{6(i-1)+2j-1} = \alpha_{i,j}, \quad x_{6(i-1)+2j} = \beta_{i,j}, \quad x_{6d+1} = \tilde{l}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Let A_N be a $(6d+1) \times 5d$ matrix defined by

$$A_N = \left(\left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,2}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l}, \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,4}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l}, \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,3}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l}, \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,1}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l}, \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,5}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l} \right),$$

where $1 \leq k \leq 6d+1$ and $1 \leq l \leq d$. In the following, we consider the matrix A_N when $d \geq 2$. Theorem 6.8 for the case when $d = 1$ is proved in the same way. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,2}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 6d+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}} &= \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & v_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & v_{d-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & v_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{r_{l,4}}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 6d+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_3 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & (-1)^{\epsilon_d} \delta^{-1} e_6 \\ (-1)^{\epsilon_1} e_6 & e_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (-1)^{\epsilon_2} e_6 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & (-1)^{\epsilon_{d-1}} e_6 & e_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_i = (0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 - \gamma_i^{-1})^T$, $\mathbf{0} = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T$, and $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^6$ is the i th standard unit vector for $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Applying column addition transformations to the submatrix $L = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{\partial r_{l,2}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l} \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_{l,4}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l} \end{array} \right)$ of A_N , one can change $\left(\frac{\partial r_{l,2}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{k,l}$ into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_3 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & v'_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $v'_d = (0 \ 0 \ 1 + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \delta \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T$. Indeed, we first add $-(-1)^{\epsilon_{d-1}}(1 - \gamma_d^{-1})$ times the $(2d - 1)$ st column and $(-1)^{\epsilon_{d-1}}(1 - \gamma_d^{-1})$ times the $(d - 1)$ st column of L to the d th column. Here, scalar multiplication is done from the right. Then, the $(6d - 6, d)$ -entry is $(-1)^{\epsilon_{d-1}}(1 - \gamma_{d-1}^{-1})(1 - \gamma_d^{-1})$. We next continue this process and see that the d th column is $(\mathbf{0} \ \cdots \ \mathbf{0} \ v'_d \ 0)^T$. Since $1 + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \delta \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}\pi$ is a unit, a similar procedure changes the $(d - 1)$ st column into a standard unit vector. Continuing this process, we finally obtain the desired matrix.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial r_{l,1}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 6d+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & e_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial r_{l,5}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 6d+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_4 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & (-1)^{\epsilon_d} \delta^{-1} e_5 \\ (-1)^{\epsilon_1} e_5 & e_4 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (-1)^{\epsilon_2} e_5 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e_4 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & (-1)^{\epsilon_{d-1}} e_5 & e_4 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w_i = (1 - \gamma_i \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 - \gamma_i \ 1 \ 0)^T$. Applying column addition transformations to A_N , one can also change the submatrix

$$\left(\frac{\partial r_{l,3}}{\partial x_k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq 6d+1 \\ 1 \leq l \leq d}} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & w_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & w_{d-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & w_d \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} w'_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_5 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & e_5 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & e_5 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the same way, where $w'_1 = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \delta^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_{d+1-i}) \ 0)^T$.

The presentation P_G of the fundamental group of $M_G = (M \setminus \text{Int } N_0(G)) \cup N(G)$ is obtained by adding a generating set of $\pi_1(M \setminus \text{Int } N_0(G))$ and some relators to P_N . On the other hand, the presentation P of $\pi_1 M$ is obtained by adding the same generating set and relators of $\pi_1(M \setminus \text{Int } N_0(G))$ to P_N and changing the relators $r_{i,1}$, $r_{i,2}$, $r_{i,3}$ into

$$r_{i,1} = \alpha_{i,1}, \quad r_{i,2} = \alpha_{i,2}, \quad r_{i,3} = \alpha_{i,3}.$$

The difference between the presentations of $\pi_1(M_G)$ and $\pi_1(M)$ only lies in $r_{i,1}$, $r_{i,2}$, and $r_{i,3}$. Thus, the difference between $A(P_G)$ and $A(P)$ is concentrated in v'_1 and w'_1 , and we obtain the following equalities in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\pm H_{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(M_G) &= \left(1 + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \delta \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) \right) \left(1 + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \delta^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_{d+1-i}) \right) \tilde{\alpha}(M) \\ &= \left(\delta^{-1} + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_i^{-1}) \right) \left(\delta + (-1)^{\epsilon+1} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \gamma_{d+1-i}) \right) \tilde{\alpha}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_1(M) = \tau_1(M_G)$, we have the same equality in $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$. \square

Recall the surgery map defined in [14, Section 8.5] and [15, Section 2.2]. Let \mathcal{J} denote the set of connected Jacobi diagrams with at least one trivalent vertex and with univalent vertices colored by $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and totally ordered. The module $\mathcal{A}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is obtained by taking the quotient of free module $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{J}$ generated by \mathcal{J} with respect to four relations called the AS, IHX, multilinear and STU-like relations.

The surgery map $\psi: \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}$ is defined as follows. Let $J \in \mathcal{A}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ be a connected Jacobi diagram whose labels of the univalent vertices are primitive elements in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We construct a graph clasper $G(J)$

from J below, and set $\psi(J) = (\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1])_{G(J)}$. First, replace the vertices of J to disks, and thicken the edges of J to bands so that they respect the cyclic order of edges on each trivalent vertex. Then, we obtain a compact oriented surface S with orientation induced by the cyclic orders on the nodes. Cutting a smaller disk in the interior of each disk coming from a univalent vertex, we obtain the oriented surface $G(J)$. We also endow the core of the resulting annulus, called a leaf, with the orientation induced by ∂S . Next, we embed it in the trivial homology cylinder $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]$ so that the core of each leaf represents the same homology class as the label of the univalent vertex. Moreover, we assume that each leaf lies in a horizontal slice of $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]$ and that the vertical heights of leaves along $[-1, 1]$ respect the total ordering of the univalent vertices of J .

Let $O(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathcal{A}_d^{\leq, c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ denote the Jacobi diagram appeared in Section 1 endowed with an arbitrary order in the univalent vertices. Noting that $\tilde{\alpha}_d$ is the composition map

$$Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Ker} \left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi / \hat{I}^{d+1}}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi / \hat{I}^d}) \right) \xrightarrow{\ell_{\det_d}} H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d,$$

we have the following by Theorem 6.8 and Corollary 4.5.

Corollary 6.10.

$$\tilde{\alpha}_d(\psi(O(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d))) = -x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d - (-1)^d x_d \otimes \dots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1 \in H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d.$$

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. For $M = (M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$, let us denote the mirror image as $\overline{M} = (\overline{M}, \bar{i})$, where \overline{M} is the 3-manifold obtained by reversing the orientation of M and $\bar{i}: \partial(\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]) \rightarrow \partial \overline{M}$ is defined by $\bar{i}(x, t) = i(x, -t)$. Since taking the mirror images does not affect Torelli surgeries, we have the following.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $d \geq 1$. If M is Y_d -equivalent to N , the mirror image \overline{M} is Y_d -equivalent to \overline{N} .*

In particular, if $M \in Y_d \mathcal{IC}$, the mirror image \overline{M} also lies in $Y_d \mathcal{IC}$. Here, recall that $p_+: H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$ is the homomorphism defined by

$$p_+(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d) = O(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d),$$

and $p_-: H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow (H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d)^-$ is the projection given by

$$p_-(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_d - (-1)^d x_d \otimes \dots \otimes x_2 \otimes x_1).$$

Theorem 1.3 follows from the lemmas below.

Lemma 7.2. *For $M \in Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} p_+(-\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M})) &= 0 \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H), \\ p_-(-\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M})) &= (\text{Tr}_d \circ \tau_d)(M) \in H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.3. *For $M \in Y_d\mathcal{IC}/Y_{d+1}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (p_+ \circ \tilde{\alpha}_d)(M \circ \overline{M}) &= -2Z_{d,1}(M \circ \overline{M}) \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H), \\ (p_- \circ \tilde{\alpha}_d)(M \circ \overline{M}) &= 0 \in H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d. \end{aligned}$$

First, we prepare to prove Lemma 7.2. Let us briefly review the Magnus representation $r: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ on the homology cobordism group \mathcal{H} with coefficients in $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ following [32]. Let $(M, i) \in \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.1 and the homology long exact sequence of the triples $(M, i_{\pm}(\Sigma_{g,1}), *)$, the homomorphisms

$$(i_{\pm})_*: H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; i_{\pm}^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}) \rightarrow H_1(M, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M})$$

are right $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}$ -module isomorphisms, where $i_{\pm}^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}$ denote the pullbacks of the local system $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}$ on M under $i_{\pm}: \Sigma_{g,1} \hookrightarrow M$. Let us denote

$$r(M) = (i_-)_*^{-1} \circ (i_+)_*: H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; i_+^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; i_-^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}).$$

Using the spine $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2g} \gamma_i$ in Figure 1, we have identifications

$$H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; i_{\pm}^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}) \cong C_1(S; i_{\pm}^* \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M})^{2g}. \quad (7.1)$$

Thus, $r(M)$ gives an element in $\mathrm{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M})$. Under the identification $i_-: \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi_1 M}$, we may regard $r(M)$ as an element in $\mathrm{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$. The map $r: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is a crossed homomorphism, and is known to factor through the homology cobordism group \mathcal{H} . See [45, Section 3], for example.

On the other hand, consider the composition map

$$H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_0(*; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi},$$

where ∂_* is the connecting homomorphism of the homology long exact sequence of the pair $(\Sigma_{g,1}, *)$. It sends $\tilde{\gamma}_i \otimes u \mapsto (\gamma_i^{-1} - 1)u$, where $u \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$, $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ is the standard basis of $\pi_1 \Sigma_{g,1}$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is the lift of γ_i starting at the basepoint of the universal covering. Every element $v \in \hat{I}$ uniquely decomposes into the form $v = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} (\gamma_i - 1)\partial_i v$ for some $\partial_i v \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ as in [32, Proposition 5.3]. Thus, ∂_* induces an isomorphism $\Psi: H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \cong \hat{I}$ whose inverse map $\Psi^{-1}: \hat{I} \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ is written as

$$\Psi^{-1}(v) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \tilde{\gamma}_i \otimes \gamma_i \partial_i v \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}). \quad (7.2)$$

In the same way as the proof of [32, Theorem 7.2], we have:

Lemma 7.4. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g} & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \hat{I} \\ r(M) \circ \sigma_M \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \sigma_M \\ (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g} & \xleftarrow{\cong} & H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \hat{I} \end{array}$$

commutes for $M \in \mathcal{C}$, where the isomorphism $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \cong (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g}$ is defined in the same way as (7.1), and $\sigma_M = (i_-)^{-1} \circ (i_+)_* \in \text{Aut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$.

Massuyeau and Sakasai [32] related the Magnus representation over \mathcal{H} to Morita's trace map and some variants. The Enomoto-Satoh trace is considered as a refinement of Morita's trace map in [37]. In the following, we relate the Magnus representation $r: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ to the Enomoto-Satoh trace. Let $\text{Aut } \hat{T}$ and $\text{Aut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ denote the group of filtration-preserving automorphisms of \hat{T} and $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$, respectively. We also denote by $\text{IAut } \hat{T}$ and $\text{IAut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ the subgroups of the automorphisms which induce the identity at the graded levels. In [47] the degree-preserving $\text{GL}(H)$ -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -linear map

$$\text{Tr}: \text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_2) \cong \text{Hom}(H, \hat{T}_2) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1) \cong \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d)$$

was constructed, whose degree d part is described by the composition map

$$\text{Tr}_d: \text{Hom}(H, H^{\otimes(d+1)}) \cong H^* \otimes H^{\otimes(d+1)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} H^{\otimes d} \twoheadrightarrow H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d$$

in Section 1. See also [6]. Let us define $\text{Mag}: \text{Aut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ by

$$\text{Mag}(\varphi): (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \hat{I} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \hat{I} \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g},$$

Note that $\text{Mag}(\sigma_M) = r(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{H}$ by Lemma 7.4 and that Mag is a crossed homomorphism. Consider the two logarithmic maps

$$\begin{aligned} \log: \text{IAut } \hat{T} &\rightarrow \text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_2), \\ \log: \text{Ker} \left(\text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Q}) \right) &\rightarrow M(2g, \hat{I}), \end{aligned}$$

where the former is defined by $\log(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (\varphi - \text{id})^k$ and the latter is explained in (4.2). It is well-known that the image of the former logarithmic map is in $\text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_2)$. The proof is almost the same as that of [30, Proposition 5.12].

Note that as in [32, Section 6.1], for $M \in J_d \mathcal{C}$, the element $(\log \circ \theta_*)(\sigma_M)$ in $\text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_{d+1} / \hat{T}_{d+2})$ coincides with the d th Johnson homomorphism $\tau_d(M) \in$

$\text{Hom}(H, H^{\otimes(d+1)})$ under the natural identification. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{IAut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_*} & \text{IAut } \hat{T} & \xrightarrow{\log} & \text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{T}_1) \\
& \searrow^{\text{Mag}} & & & & & \uparrow \cong \theta_* \\
& & \text{Ker}(\text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Q})) & \xrightarrow{\log} & M(2g, \hat{I}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\hat{I})
\end{array}$$

describes some relation between the Enomoto-Satoh trace and the Magnus representation, where $\theta_*(\varphi) = \theta \circ \varphi \circ \theta^{-1}$ for $\varphi \in \text{IAut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$. The diagram does not commute in general. However, in [32, Lemma 4.3], by taking some quotient of \hat{T} , Massuyeau and Sakasai treated a commutative diagram similar to the above. Here, we prove that the degree d part of the above diagram commutes if we restrict to $\text{Ker}(\text{Aut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^{d+1}))$. Let us denote by $\text{tr}_d: M(2g, \hat{I}^d) \rightarrow \hat{I}^d/\hat{I}^{d+1}$ the trace map, and denote by the same symbol θ_* the natural homomorphism $\hat{I}^d/\hat{I}^{d+1} \rightarrow H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$ induced by θ . Note that tr_d is different from Tr_d defined above.

Lemma 7.5. *For $\varphi \in \text{Ker}(\text{Aut } \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^{d+1}))$, we have*

$$(\text{Tr}_d \circ \log \circ \theta_*)(\varphi) = (\theta_* \circ \text{tr}_d \circ \log \circ \text{Mag})(\varphi) \in H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

Proof. By definition, $\text{Mag}(\varphi) \in \text{Ker}(\text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}/\hat{I}^d))$. Thus, we have

$$\log(\text{Mag}(\varphi)) = \text{Mag}(\varphi) - I_{2g} \in M(2g, \hat{I}^d/\hat{I}^{d+1}).$$

Note that we identify $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, *; \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})$ and $(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})^{2g}$ by the right $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}$ -module isomorphism which sends $\tilde{\gamma}_i \otimes 1$ to the i th standard unit vector for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$. By the description of Ψ^{-1} in (7.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}_d(\text{Mag}(\varphi) - I_{2g}) &= \text{tr}_d(\Psi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \Psi \circ \varphi^{-1} - I_{2g}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \gamma_i \partial_i (((\varphi - \text{id}_{\hat{I}}) \circ \Psi)(\tilde{\gamma}_i \otimes 1)) \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \gamma_i \partial_i (\varphi(\gamma_i)^{-1} - \gamma_i^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \partial_i (\varphi(\gamma_i) - \gamma_i) \in \hat{I}^d/\hat{I}^{d+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality follows from the fact that

$$\varphi(\gamma_i)^{-1} - \gamma_i^{-1} = -\varphi(\gamma_i)^{-1}(\varphi(\gamma_i) - \gamma_i)\gamma_i^{-1} = -(\varphi(\gamma_i) - \gamma_i) \in \hat{I}^{d+1}/\hat{I}^{d+2}.$$

On the other hand, as an element of $\text{Der}(\hat{T}, \hat{T}_2/\hat{T}_{d+1}) = \text{Hom}(H, \hat{T}_2/\hat{T}_{d+1})$,

$$(\log \circ \theta_*)(\varphi) = \theta \circ \log \varphi \circ \theta^{-1} = \theta \circ \varphi \circ \theta^{-1} - \text{id}_H.$$

We denote by $[x] \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the homology class represented by $x \in \pi$. Every element $X \in \hat{T}_1$ uniquely decomposes into the form $X = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} [\gamma_i] \partial_i X$ for

some $\partial_i X \in \hat{T}$. If we denote $\theta^{-1}([\gamma_i]) = (\gamma_i - 1) + v_i$ for some $v_i \in \hat{I}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_d(\theta \circ \varphi \circ \theta^{-1} - \mathrm{id}_H) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \partial_i(\theta \circ \varphi \circ \theta^{-1}([\gamma_i]) - [\gamma_i]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \partial_i(\theta(\varphi(\gamma_i + v_i) - (\gamma_i + v_i))). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\varphi(xy) - xy = (\varphi(x) - x)\varphi(y) + x(\varphi(y) - y) \in \hat{I}^{d+2}$$

for $x, y \in \hat{I}$, we see that it is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \partial_i(\theta(\varphi(\gamma_i) - \gamma_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \theta_*(\partial_i(\varphi(\gamma_i) - \gamma_i)) \in H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

Thus, we see that the degree d part of the diagram commutes. \square

The Magnus representation is related to our torsion as follows.

Theorem 7.6. *For $M \in \mathcal{C}$,*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M}) = r(M) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Moreover, for $M \in \mathcal{IC}$,

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M}) = r(M) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}).$$

Proof. The proof is almost the same as [46, Theorem 5.3(1)]. Consider the square matrix $A(P) = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ of size $2g + l$ for some balanced presentation of $\pi_1 M$ as in Section 3.3. Let C be a $2g \times (2g + l)$ -matrix defined by $C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial i - \gamma_i} \end{pmatrix}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2g \\ 1 \leq j \leq 2g+l}}$. As in [45, Proposition 3.9(2)], we have

$$r(M) = -\tilde{\rho} \left(C \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_{2g} \\ O_{l,2g} \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2g, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}).$$

Recall that the determinant map $\det: K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi}^\times)_{\mathrm{ab}}$ is an isomorphism as in [42, Corollary 2.2.6]. Thus, as in the proof of [46, Theorem 5.3(1)], we obtain

$$r(M) \cdot \tilde{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ B \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M}) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

It implies that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M}) = r(M) \in K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi})/\tilde{\rho}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

for $M \in \mathcal{C}$.

Next, let $M \in \mathcal{IC}$. Since $\ell\text{det}_1: \text{Ker}\left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^2}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}})\right) \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that

$$\ell\text{det}_1(\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M})) = \ell\text{det}_1(r(M)) \in H.$$

Since σ_M acts on $K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^2})$ trivially, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell\text{det}_1(\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M})) &= -\ell\text{det}_1(\tilde{\alpha}(M)) + \ell\text{det}_1(\tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M})) \\ &= (C \circ \tau_1)(M). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, noting that $\epsilon(r(M)) \in \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ is the identity matrix, by Lemma 7.5, we have

$$\ell\text{det}_1(r(M)) = (\theta_* \circ \text{tr}_1 \circ \log)(r(M)) = (\text{Tr}_1 \circ \log \circ \theta_*)(\sigma_M) = (C \circ \tau_1)(M).$$

Thus, we obtain the equality. \square

Proof of Lemma 7.2. Recall the isomorphism

$$\ell\text{det}_d: \text{Ker}\left(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^{d+1}}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^d})\right) \rightarrow H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$$

defined in Corollary 4.5. As we can see from the isomorphism, σ_M acts on $\text{Ker}(K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^{d+1}}) \rightarrow K_1(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}\pi/\hat{I}^d}))$ trivially. By Theorem 7.6 and Lemma 7.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M}) &= \ell\text{det}_d(\tilde{\alpha}(M)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma_M)_* \tilde{\alpha}(\overline{M})) = (\ell\text{det}_d \circ r)(M) \\ &= (\theta_* \circ \text{tr}_d \circ \log \circ r)(M) \\ &= (\text{Tr}_d \circ \log \circ \theta_*)(\sigma_M) \\ &= (\text{Tr}_d \circ \tau_d)(M) \end{aligned}$$

for $M \in Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}$. Since the Enomoto-Satoh trace takes value in $(H^{\otimes d})^- / \mathbb{Z}_d$ as shown in [5, Theorem 4.2(1)], we see that

$$p_-(-\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M})) = (\text{Tr}_d \circ \tau_d)(M), \quad p_+(-\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M})) = 0.$$

\square

Next, we prepare to prove Lemma 7.3. Let G be a graph clasper in a 3-manifold M , which is an embedded compact connected surface consisting of 3 kinds of constituents called leaves, nodes, and bands. The clasper surgery is surgery along some framed link in M associated with the graph clasper G , and there are two conventions for it. The first framed link is given in [14, Section 2], which is the same as that used in [40, Section 7 and Appendix E], [31], and [16], and let us denote it by $L(G)$. Let us denote by $L'(G)$ the framed link in [8, Figure 4], which is also used in [12] and [13]. We also denote by M_L the 3-manifold obtained by surgery along a link L in M .

Lemma 7.7. *Let Y_1 and Y_2 be tree claspers of degree 1 in a 3-manifold M as in Figure 6. Then, $M_{L'(Y_1)}$ is homeomorphic to $M_{L(Y_2)}$.*

Proof. Let us transform the framed link $L'(Y_1)$ as follows:





FIGURE 6. Claspers Y_1 (left) and Y_2 (right), where \ominus represents negative half-twist.



Here the first \sim is obtained by isotopy and the second one follows from a Kirby move. The resulting framed link is $L(Y_2)$. \square






FIGURE 7. Claspers G_1 (left) and G_2 (right).

Lemma 7.8. *Let G_1 and G_2 be tree claspers of degree d in a 3-manifold M as in Figure 7. Then, $M_{L'(G_1)}$ is homeomorphic to $M_{L(G_2)}$.*

Proof. We give the proof for $d = 2$. The cases $d \geq 3$ are shown in much the same way. By [8, Theorem 2.4], $M_{L'(G_1)}$ is homeomorphic to $M_{L'(G_3)}$, where G_3 is the following clasper:



It follows from Lemma 7.7 that $M_{L'(G_3)}$ is homeomorphic $M_{L(G_4)}$, where G_4 is the clasper on the left:



Here the right one is obtained by the flip of a leaf. Finally, [14, Move 2] completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7.9. *Let G be a graph clasper of degree d embedded in M .*

- (1) *When $b_1(G)$ is odd, $M_{L(G)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L'(G)}$.*
- (2) *When $b_1(G)$ is even, $M_{L(G')} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L'(G)}$, where G' is a graph clasper obtained by applying a half-twist to one edge in G .*

Proof. First, consider the case when G is a tree clasper, namely, $b_1(G) = 0$. By the IHX relation (see [40, Lemma E.22] and [8, Theorem 4.11], for example), we may assume that the clasper G is homeomorphic to G_1 in Figure 7 as surfaces. By Lemma 7.8, $M_{L'(G)}$ is homeomorphic to $M_{L(G_2)}$, and by the AS relation (see [40, Lemmas E.7 and E.9] and [8, Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.6], for example), $M_{L(G_2)}$ is Y_{d+1} -equivalent to $M_{L(G')}$.

Next, consider the case when $b_1(G) > 0$. Applying Move 2 in [14, Figure 9] to several edges of G , we can replace G by a tree clasper G_1 such that $L(G_1) = L(G)$. In the same way, applying [8, Theorem 2.4] to the same edges, we can also replace G by another tree clasper G_2 such that $L'(G_2) = L'(G)$. The claspers G_1 and G_2 differ only in half-twists along the edges which were applied Move 2 in [14, Figure 9] or [8, Theorem 2.4]. Thus, we have $M_{L(G_1)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L(G'_2)}$ when $b_1(G)$ is odd, and $M_{L(G_1)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L(G_2)}$ when $b_1(G)$ is even, where G'_2 is a graph clasper obtained by applying a half-twist to one edge in G_2 . since $b_1(G_2) = 0$, we also have $M_{L(G'_2)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L'(G_2)}$. In summary, when $b_1(G)$ is odd, we have

$$M_{L(G)} = M_{L(G_1)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L(G'_2)} \sim_{Y_{d+1}} M_{L'(G_2)} = M_{L'(G)}.$$

The case when $b_1(G)$ is even is proved in the same way. \square

For a connected Jacobi diagram $J \in \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$, let $\bar{J} \in \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ denote the Jacobi diagram obtained by reversing the order among the vertices in J . Since the AS, IHX, multilinear, and STU-like relations are preserved, the involution $\tau: \mathcal{A}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ defined by $\tau(J) = (-1)^{b_1(J)+1} \bar{J}$ is well-defined. Here, we use the same symbol as $\tau: H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d \rightarrow H^{\otimes d}/\mathbb{Z}_d$ in Section 1.

Proposition 7.10. *For $J \in \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$,*

$$\overline{\psi(J)} = \psi(\tau(J)) \in Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}.$$

Proof. Let $G(J)$ denote the graph clasper appeared right before Corollary 6.10 such that $\psi(J) = (\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1])_{G(J)}$. If we take the mirror image, the vertical heights of the leaves of $G(J)$ are reversed, and the surface $G(J)$ is sent to $G(\bar{J})$ in $\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1]$. Moreover, clasper surgery in Habiro's sense along $G(J)$ turns into clasper surgery along $G(\bar{J})$ in Goussarov's sense.

Thus, by Lemma 7.9, we have equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\psi(J)} &= \overline{(\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1])_{L(G(J))}} = (\Sigma_{g,1} \times [-1, 1])_{L'(G(\bar{J}))} \\ &= (-1)^{b_1(J)+1} \psi(\bar{J}) \\ &= \psi(\mathbf{r}(J))\end{aligned}$$

in $Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}$. □

Proof of Lemma 7.3. We may assume $M = \psi(\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} J_b) \in Y_d \mathcal{IC} / Y_{d+1}$, where J_b is a sum of connected Jacobi diagrams whose first Betti numbers are b . Let $\text{color}(v) \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the label of a uni-trivalent vertex v in a Jacobi diagram. By the STU-like relation,

$$\begin{aligned}r(J_1) &= J_1 + (\text{Jacobi diagrams with } b_1 \geq 2), \\ r(J_0) &= -J_0 + C(J_0) + (\text{Jacobi diagrams with } b_1 \geq 2),\end{aligned}$$

where $C(J_0)$ denotes the sum of all ways of gluing two univalent vertices v and w in J_0 such that $v < w$ with coefficient $\text{color}(v) \cdot \text{color}(w) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\tilde{\alpha}_d(M) + \tilde{\alpha}_d(\overline{M}) = \tilde{\alpha}_d(\psi(J_0 + J_1 + r(J_0) + r(J_1))) = \tilde{\alpha}_d(\psi(C(J_0) + 2J_1)).$$

by Theorem 6.5. Let $\mathcal{A}_{d, \geq 1}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ denote the submodule of $\mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ generated by k -loop Jacobi diagrams for $k \geq 1$. By the IHX-relation, we see that the module $\mathcal{A}_{d, \geq 1}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is generated by 1-loop Jacobi diagrams of the form $O(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$ and k -loop Jacobi diagrams for $k \geq 2$ with arbitrary orders in the same way as [38, Proposition 5.1]. Thus, by Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 6.10, we see that

$$(p_- \circ \tilde{\alpha}_d)(M \circ \overline{M}) = 0 \in H^{\otimes d} / \mathbb{Z}_d.$$

For a 1-loop Jacobi diagram $J \in \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$, let $\chi'_{d,1}(J) \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$ denote the same Jacobi diagram obtained by forgetting the order, and for a k -loop Jacobi diagram $J \in \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ where $k \geq 2$, set $\chi'_{d,1}(J) = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$. The induced homomorphism $\chi'_{d,1}: \mathcal{A}_{d, \geq 1}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H)$ is equal to the composition

$$\mathcal{A}_{d, \geq 1}^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} \mathcal{A}_d^{<,c}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_d^{-1}} \mathcal{A}_d^c(H) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H),$$

where χ_d is the restriction of χ in [15, Section 3.1] to the degree d part. By the definition of p_+ and Corollary 6.10, we have

$$(p_+ \circ \tilde{\alpha}_d)(M \circ \overline{M}) = -2\chi'_{d,1}(C(J_0) + 2J_1) \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H).$$

On the other hand, by the commutative diagram in [16, Theorem 6.7] and [15, Proposition 3.1], we have

$$Z_{d,1}(M) = (Z_{d,1} \circ \psi) \left(\sum_{b=0}^{\infty} J_b \right) = \chi'_{d,1} \left(\frac{1}{2}C(J_0) + J_1 \right),$$

$$Z_{d,1}(\overline{M}) = \chi'_{d,1} \left(\frac{1}{2}C(J_0) + J_1 \right).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(p_+ \circ \tilde{\alpha}_d)(M \circ \overline{M}) = -2Z_{d,1}(M \circ \overline{M}) \in \mathcal{A}_{d,1}^c(H).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bar-Natan and S. Garoufalidis. On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 125(1):103–133, 1996.
- [2] J. C. Cha, S. Friedl, and T. Kim. The cobordism group of homology cylinders. *Compos. Math.*, 147(3):914–942, 2011.
- [3] D. Cheptea, K. Habiro, and G. Massuyeau. A functorial LMO invariant for Lagrangian cobordisms. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(2):1091–1170, 2008.
- [4] M. M. Cohen. *A course in simple-homotopy theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 10. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1973.
- [5] J. Conant. The Johnson cokernel and the Enomoto-Satoh invariant. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(2):801–821, 2015.
- [6] N. Enomoto and T. Satoh. New series in the Johnson cokernels of the mapping class groups of surfaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):627–669, 2014.
- [7] S. Friedl, A. Juhász, and J. Rasmussen. The decategorification of sutured Floer homology. *J. Topol.*, 4(2):431–478, 2011.
- [8] S. Garoufalidis, M. Goussarov, and M. Polyak. Calculus of clovers and finite type invariants of 3-manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 5:75–108, 2001.
- [9] S. Garoufalidis and A. Kricker. A rational noncommutative invariant of boundary links. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:115–204, 2004.
- [10] S. Garoufalidis and J. Levine. Tree-level invariants of three-manifolds, Massey products and the Johnson homomorphism. In *Graphs and patterns in mathematics and theoretical physics*, volume 73 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 173–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [11] H. Goda and T. Sakasai. Homology cylinders and sutured manifolds for homologically fibered knots. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 36(1):85–111, 2013.
- [12] M. Goussarov. Finite type invariants and n -equivalence of 3-manifolds. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 329(6):517–522, 1999.
- [13] M. N. Gusarov. Variations of knotted graphs. The geometric technique of n -equivalence. *Algebra i Analiz*, 12(4):79–125, 2000.
- [14] K. Habiro. Claspers and finite type invariants of links. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:1–83, 2000.
- [15] K. Habiro and G. Massuyeau. Symplectic Jacobi diagrams and the Lie algebra of homology cylinders. *J. Topol.*, 2(3):527–569, 2009.
- [16] K. Habiro and G. Massuyeau. From mapping class groups to monoids of homology cobordisms: a survey. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Volume III*, volume 17 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 465–529. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.
- [17] T. Ito. Topological formula of the loop expansion of the colored Jones polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(1):53–70, 2019.

- [18] D. Johnson. A survey of the Torelli group. In *Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981)*, volume 20 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–179. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [19] N. Kawazumi. Cohomological Aspects of Magnus Expansions. arXiv:math/0505497v3, 2006.
- [20] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno. Groupoid-theoretical methods in the mapping class groups of surfaces. arXiv:1109.6479v3, 2012.
- [21] P. Kirk, C. Livingston, and Z. Wang. The Gassner representation for string links. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 3(1):87–136, 2001.
- [22] T. Kitayama. Homology cylinders of higher-order. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1585–1605, 2012.
- [23] A. Kricker. The lines of the Kontsevich integral and Rozansky’s rationality conjecture. arXiv:math/0005284v1, 2000.
- [24] A. Kricker. A surgery formula for the 2-loop piece of the LMO invariant of a pair. In *Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds (Kyoto, 2001)*, volume 4 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 161–181. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2002.
- [25] Y. Kuno. A combinatorial construction of symplectic expansions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(3):1075–1083, 2012.
- [26] T. T. Q. Le, J. Murakami, and T. Ohtsuki. On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds. *Topology*, 37(3):539–574, 1998.
- [27] C.-Y. Lin and C.-F. Yu. Dieudonné’s determinants and structure of general linear groups over division rings revisited. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)*, 16(1):21–47, 2021.
- [28] G. Massuyeau. Finite-type invariants of 3-manifolds and the dimension subgroup problem. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 75(3):791–811, 2007.
- [29] G. Massuyeau. An introduction to the abelian Reidemeister torsion of three-dimensional manifolds. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, 18(1):61–140, 2011.
- [30] G. Massuyeau. Infinitesimal Morita homomorphisms and the tree-level of the LMO invariant. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 140(1):101–161, 2012.
- [31] G. Massuyeau and J.-B. Meilhan. Equivalence relations for homology cylinders and the core of the Casson invariant. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(10):5431–5502, 2013.
- [32] G. Massuyeau and T. Sakasai. Morita’s trace maps on the group of homology cobordisms. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 12(3):775–818, 2020.
- [33] P. M. Melvin and H. R. Morton. The coloured Jones function. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 169(3):501–520, 1995.
- [34] J. Milnor. A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 76:137–147, 1962.
- [35] J. Milnor. Whitehead torsion. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:358–426, 1966.
- [36] J. Milnor. *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971.
- [37] S. Morita. Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 70(3):699–726, 1993.
- [38] Y. Nozaki, M. Sato, and M. Suzuki. Abelian quotients of the Y -filtration on the homology cylinders via the LMO functor. *Geom. Topol.*, 26(1):221–282, 2022.
- [39] Y. Nozaki, M. Sato, and M. Suzuki. On the kernel of the surgery map restricted to the 1-loop part. *J. Topol.*, 15(2):587–619, 2022.
- [40] T. Ohtsuki. *Quantum invariants*, volume 29 of *Series on Knots and Everything*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002. A study of knots, 3-manifolds, and their sets.
- [41] D. G. Quillen. On the associated graded ring of a group ring. *J. Algebra*, 10:411–418, 1968.

- [42] J. Rosenberg. *Algebraic K-theory and its applications*, volume 147 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [43] L. Rozansky. The universal R -matrix, Burau representation, and the Melvin-Morton expansion of the colored Jones polynomial. *Adv. Math.*, 134(1):1–31, 1998.
- [44] L. Rozansky. A rationality conjecture about Kontsevich integral of knots and its implications to the structure of the colored Jones polynomial. *Topology Appl.*, 127(1-2):47–76, 2003.
- [45] T. Sakasai. The Magnus representation and higher-order Alexander invariants for homology cobordisms of surfaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(2):803–848, 2008.
- [46] T. Sakasai. The Magnus representation and homology cobordism groups of homology cylinders. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 22(3):741–770, 2015.
- [47] T. Satoh. On the lower central series of the IA-automorphism group of a free group. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 216(3):709–717, 2012.
- [48] J. Stallings. Homology and central series of groups. *J. Algebra*, 2:170–181, 1965.
- [49] V. Turaev. *Introduction to combinatorial torsions*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001. Notes taken by Felix Schlenk.
- [50] V. G. Turaev. Reidemeister torsion in knot theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 41(1(247)):97–147, 240, 1986.
- [51] V. G. Turaev. Euler structures, nonsingular vector fields, and Reidemeister-type torsions. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(3):607–643, 672, 1989. English translation in *Math. USSR-Izv.* 34(3), 627–662, 1990.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY,
1-3-1 KAGAMIYAMA, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA CITY, HIROSHIMA, 739-8526, JAPAN
Email address: nozakiy@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO DENKI UNIVERSITY, 5 SENJUSAHU-CHO,
ADACHI-KU, TOKYO 120-8551, JAPAN
Email address: msato@mail.dendai.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF FRONTIER MEDIA SCIENCE, MEIJI UNIVERSITY, 4-21-1 NAKANO,
NAKANO-KU, TOKYO, 164-8525, JAPAN
Email address: mackysuzuki@meiji.ac.jp