

THE HYPERSPACES WITH A COUNTABLE CHARACTER OF CLOSED SUBSETS

CHUAN LIU AND FUCAI LIN

ABSTRACT. For a regular space X , the hyperspace $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ (resp., $(CL(X), \tau_V)$) is the space of all nonempty closed subsets of X with the Fell topology (resp., Vietoris topology). In this paper, we give the characterization of the space X such that the hyperspace $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ (resp., $(CL(X), \tau_V)$) with a countable character of closed subsets. We mainly prove that $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ has a countable character on each closed subset if and only if X is compact metrizable, and $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ has a countable character on each compact subset if and only if X is locally compact and separable metrizable. Moreover, we prove that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if X is a γ -space, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the space of all nonempty compact subsets of X .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the base space X is always supposed to be T_1 and regular. Let \mathbb{N} and ω denote the sets of all positive integers and all non-negative integers, respectively. Given a topological space X , we define its *hyperspace* as the following sets:

$$\mathcal{F}_n(X) = \{A \subset X : |A| \leq n, A \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_n(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is a non-empty, compact subset in } X\} \text{ and}$$

$$CL(X) = \{H \subset X : H \text{ is non-empty, closed in } X\}.$$

For any open subsets U_1, \dots, U_k of space X , let

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle = \{H \in CL(X) : H \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \text{ and } H \cap U_j \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

We endow $CL(X)$ with *Vietoris topology* defined as the topology generated by

$$\{\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle : U_1, \dots, U_k \text{ are open subsets of } X, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For any open subset U of X , let

$$U^- = \{H \in CL(X) : H \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$U^+ = \{H \in CL(X) : H \subset U\}.$$

We endow $CL(X)$ with *Fell topology* defined as the topology generated by the following two families as a subbase:

$$\{U^- : U \text{ is any non-empty open in } X\}$$

and

$$\{(K^c)^+ : K \text{ is a compact subset of } X, K \neq X\}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 54B20; secondary 54D99, 54E20.

Key words and phrases. hyperspaces; Vietoris topology; Fell Topology; D_1 -space; D_0 -space; γ -space.

The second author is supported by the Key Program of the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No: 2020J02043), the NSFC (No. 11571158), the Institute of Meteorological Big Data-Digital Fujian and Fujian Key Laboratory of Data Science and Statistics.

We denote the hyperspace with the Vietoris topology and the Fell topology by $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ and $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ respectively.

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X(\mathcal{A} \subset (CL(X), \tau_V))$ be a subset of $X((CL(X), \tau_V))$. Then the *closure* of $A(\mathcal{A})$ in $X((CL(X), \tau_V))$ is denoted by $\overline{A}(Cl(\mathcal{A}))$, and $NI(X)$ and $I(X)$ are the sets of all non-isolated points and isolated points of X respectively. For undefined notations and terminologies, the reader may refer to [9], [10] and [22].

It is well known that the topics of the hyperspace has been the focus of much research, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 30]. There are many results on the hyperspace $CL(X)$ of a topological space X equipped with various topologies. In this paper, we endow $CL(X)$ with the Vietoris topology τ_V and the Fell topology τ_F respectively. In 1997, Holá and Levi in [14, Corollary 1.8] gave a characterization of space X such that $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is first countable; in 2003, Holá, Pelant and Zsilinszky in [13, Theorem 3.1] proved that $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is developable iff $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is Moore iff $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is metrizable iff $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ has a σ -discrete network iff X is compact and metrizable. Recently, F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu in [19] consider the following two problems, where they gave some partial answers to Problems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

Problem 1.1. [19, Problem 1.1] Let \mathcal{C} be a proper subclass of the class of first-countable spaces, and let \mathcal{P} be a topological property. If $(CL(X), \mathbb{V}) \in \mathcal{C}$, does X have the property \mathcal{P} ?

Problem 1.2. [19, Problem 1.2] Let \mathcal{C} be a class of generalized metrizable spaces. If $(CL(X), \mathbb{V}) \in \mathcal{C}$, is X compact and metrizable?

Recalled that a space X is a D_1 -space [1] if every closed subset of X has a countable local base; in particular, X is a D_0 -space [29] if every compact subset of X has a countable local base. Clearly, each D_1 -space is a D_0 -space, each D_0 -space is first-countable, and each Moore space or space with point-countable base or γ -space is a D_0 -space [10]. In [4], M. Dai and C. Liu discussed the characterization, covering properties and the metrization of D_1 -spaces. In [19], F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu proved that under $(MA+\neg CH)$, $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if X is a separable metrizable space and $NI(X)$ is compact. We listed some properties of D_1 -spaces in [4, 19] as follows.

Fact 1: If X is a D_1 -space, then $NI(X)$ is countably compact, see [4];

Fact 2: If X is a D_1 -space, then X is metrizable if and only if $NI(X)$ is metrizable, see [4].

Fact 3: If $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, then X is compact metrizable, see [19].

It was proved that if X is a Moore space or space with a point-countable base, so does $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$, see [24, 25] and [17, Theorem 3.11] respectively.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we mainly discuss the D_1 -property of the hyperspaces. We first prove that, for a space X , the hyperspace $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if X is compact metrizable. Then we prove that, for a space X , $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if X is discrete or compact metrizable. In Section 3, we mainly discuss the D_0 -property of the hyperspaces. We prove that, for a space X , $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if X is locally compact and separable metrizable. We also prove that, for a space X , $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if X is a D_0 -space and each compact subset of X is metrizable. Finally, we prove that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if X is a γ -space.

2. THE D_1 -PROPERTY OF THE HYPERSPACES

In this section, we mainly study the D_1 -property of the hyperspaces $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ and $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ of the space X respectively. First, we give a characterization of a space X such that $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space. In order to show that, we need some lemmas.

The following Lemma 2.1 maybe was proved somewhere, for the sake of the completeness, we give the proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a compact subset of a topological space X and $\{U_i : i \leq k\}$ be an open cover of K . Then, for each $i \leq k$, there exists a compact subset K_i of X such that $K_i \subset U_i$, $K = \bigcup_{i \leq k} K_i$ and $K_i \neq \emptyset$ whenever $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. For each $x \in K$, pick an open subset V_x such that $x \in V_x \subset \overline{V_x} \subset U_i$ for some $i \leq k$. Since K is compact and $\{V_x : x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K , there is a finite subcover $\{V_{x_j} : j \leq m\}$ of K , where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \leq k$, let

$$K_i = K \cap \bigcup \{\overline{V_{x_j}} : \overline{V_{x_j}} \subset U_i\};$$

then K_i is compact and $K_i \subset U_i$. Clearly, we have $K = \bigcup \{K_i : i \leq k\}$. Moreover, it is obvious that $K_i \neq \emptyset$ whenever $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let D be an infinite countable discrete space. Then $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ is not a D_1 -space.*

Proof. Enumerate D as $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In order to prove this result, we first give the following two Claims.

Claim 1: Each $\{d_i\}$ is a non-isolated point in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$.

Suppose not, then there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\{d_i\}\}$ is open in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$, hence we can pick finitely many open subsets $\{U_i : i \leq n\}$ and a compact K of D such that $\bigcap \{U_i^- : i \leq n\} \cap (K^c)^+ \subset \{\{d_i\}\}$. Clearly, $d_i \notin K$ and $K \cup \{d_i\} \neq D$. Pick any $d \in D \setminus (K \cup \{d_i\})$. It easily see that $\{d, d_i\} \in \bigcap \{U_i^- : i \leq n\} \cap (K^c)^+$, thus $\{d, d_i\} \in \{\{d_i\}\}$, that is, $\{d, d_i\} = \{d_i\}$, this is a contradiction. Hence each $\{d_i\}$ is a non-isolated point in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$.

Claim 2: $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no cluster point in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$.

Indeed, suppose that A is a cluster point of $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Pick any $x \in A$; then $\{x\}^- \cap \mathcal{F}_2(D)$ is a neighborhood of A in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$, but $\{x\}^-$ meets at most one $\{d_i\}$ in $\mathcal{F}_2(D)$. Thus A is not a cluster point of $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is a contradiction.

Now we assume that $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space. By Fact 1, $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ is countably compact; however, by Claims 1 and 2, this is impossible since $\{\{d_i\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has no cluster point in $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ or $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is perfect¹, then X has a G_δ -diagonal².*

Proof. We only prove the case for $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ since the case of $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ can be shown by a similar way. Clearly, it suffices to prove that $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is the intersection of countably many open subsets of X^2 . Let $f : X^2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ defined by $f((a, b)) = \{a, b\}$; then f is continuous. Indeed, for an open subset U and compact subset K of X , we have

$$f^{-1}(U^- \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)) = (U \times X) \cup (X \times U)$$

¹A space X is called *perfect* if every closed subset of X is a G_δ -set.

²A space X is said to have a G_δ -diagonal if, there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open covers of X , such that, for each $x \in X$, $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$.

and

$$f^{-1}((K^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)) = (X \setminus K) \times (X \setminus K),$$

which are open in X^2 . Hence f is continuous. Since $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is perfect and $\mathcal{F}_1(X) = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ is a closed subset of $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_1(X) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$, where \mathcal{G}_n is open in $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\Delta = f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(X)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Hence X has a G_δ -diagonal. \square

Now we can prove one of main theorems in this section.

Theorem 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent for a space X .*

- (1) $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space;
- (2) $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space;
- (3) $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space for some $n \geq 2$;
- (4) X is compact metrizable.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial. Note that if X is compact, then $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F) = (CL(X), \tau_F) \cong (CL(X), \tau_V) = (\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$, the implications (4) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) \Rightarrow (2) are proved in [22]. We only need to prove (3) \Rightarrow (4).

It suffices to consider the case of $n = 2$. Assume that $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space. Then X is a D_1 -space. By Fact 1 and Lemma 2.3, $NI(X)$ is a countably compact subspace with a G_δ -diagonal, then $NI(X)$ is metrizable [10], hence it is compact. Now we prove that X is compact. Indeed, let \mathcal{U} be an any open cover of X ; then we can find a finite subfamily $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $NI(X) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$. Let $D = X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$; then $D \subset I(X)$. We claim that $|D| < \omega$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, D is an infinite countable closed discrete subset of X , then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(\mathcal{F}_2(D), \tau_F)$ is not a D_1 -space, this is a contradiction. Therefore, X is covered by finitely many elements of \mathcal{U} , thus X is compact.

By Lemma 2.3, X has a G_δ -diagonal, then X is metrizable by [10]. \square

Remark 2.5. *However, if X is a discrete space, then it is easily verified that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a discrete space, hence $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_V)$ is discrete for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, thus all are D_1 -spaces. Therefore, Theorem 2.4 does not hold for the case of the hyperspace with Vietoris topology. However, we have the following theorem.*

In [19], we have proved that for a space X if $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space then X is compact and metrizable. Therefore, it is natural to characterize X such that the subspace $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ of $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, see the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *The following statements are equivalent for a space X .*

- (1) $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space;
- (2) $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space for some $n \geq 2$;
- (3) X is discrete or compact metrizable.

Proof. The implication of (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial. It suffices to prove that (3) \Rightarrow (1) and (2) \Rightarrow (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If X is discrete, then it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is discrete; if X is compact metrizable, then $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is compact metrizable by [22]. Hence $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). We only consider the case for $n = 2$. Assume $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space. Then X is a D_1 -space. By fact 1, $NI(X)$ is countably compact, then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that X is metrizable. Suppose X is neither discrete nor compact, there exist

an infinite countable discrete subset $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I(X)$ and a non-trivial sequence $\{x_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X converging to $x \in NI(X)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$, $d_n \neq d_m$ and $x_n \neq x_m$ for any $n \neq m$. Clearly, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{d_i, x\} \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ and $\{d_i, x_n\} \rightarrow \{d_i, x\}$ in $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In order to obtain a contradiction, we prove the following Claim 3. Indeed, since $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, it follows that $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is countably compact; however, the set $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$.

Claim 3 The set $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$.

Take any $K \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$. If $|K| = 2$, we write $K = \{a_1, a_2\}$, and let V_j be a neighborhood of a_j for each $j \leq 2$ such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $|(V_1 \cup V_2) \cap \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$ (this is possible since $K \in NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ which implies that at least one of the points $\{a_1, a_2\}$ is not a non-isolated point in X). Then it is easily verified that $|\langle V_1, V_2 \rangle \cap \{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$. If $|K| = 1$, then let $K = \{a\}$ and let V be an open neighborhood of a in X with $|V \cap \{d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$. Then $\langle V \rangle$ is a neighborhood of K and $|\langle V \rangle \cap \{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}| \leq 1$. Therefore, $\{\{d_i, x\} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is discrete in $NI(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$. \square

However, for the cases of $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ and $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$, the situations are different, see the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a space. Then $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if X is discrete.*

Proof. If X is discrete, it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is discrete, hence it is a D_1 -space.

If $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, then $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, by Theorem 2.6, X is discrete or compact metrizable. If X is compact metrizable and non-discrete, then it contains a convergent sequence $S = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where x is a non-isolated point in X . Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \leq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then it is obvious that $\mathcal{A} \subset NI(\mathcal{F}(S))$. We claim that \mathcal{A} is discrete in $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

Suppose not, \mathcal{A} has a cluster point B in $\mathcal{F}(S)$. Let $B = \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. If $x \notin B$, then $\langle \{y_1\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle$ is an open neighborhood of B , $|\langle \{y_1\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}| = \emptyset$, this is a contradiction. If $x \in B$, say $y_1 = x$, pick an open neighborhood $V = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n > k + 1\}$ of y_1 in S . Clearly, $|S \setminus V| > k + 1$. Then $\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle$ is an open neighborhood of B . Since $\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \{\{x\} \cup \{x_i : i \leq n\} : n > k + 1\} = \emptyset$, it follows that $|\langle V, \{y_2\}, \dots, \{y_k\} \rangle \cap \mathcal{A}| < \omega$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is discrete in $\mathcal{F}(S)$. From fact 1, $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is not a D_1 -space, which implies that $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is not a D_1 -space, this is a contradiction. Hence X is not compact metrizable. Thus X is discrete. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a space. Then $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if X is finite.*

Proof. If X is finite, then it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space.

If $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space, then $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space, hence X is compact metrizable by Theorem 2.4. From [2, Exercise 5.1, problem 3], it follows that

$$(CL(X), \tau_V) = (CL(X), \tau_F),$$

then $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V) = (\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space, which shows that X is discrete by Theorem 2.7, hence X is finite since a discrete compact space is finite. \square

As some applications of above results, we have the following remark.

Remark 2.9. Let X be an arbitrary infinite compact metrizable space. Then $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a compact metrizable space, thus $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space and $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is metrizable; however, $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is not a D_1 -space by Theorem 2.7. Therefore, there exists a closed subset \mathbf{F} of $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ such that \mathbf{F} has no countable character at $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space; however, $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is not a D_1 -space.

Finally we consider the space X such that the subspace $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space. First, we need two lemmas.

A subset P of X is called a *sequential neighborhood* of $x \in X$, if each sequence converging to x is eventually in P . A subset U of X is called *sequentially open* if U is a sequential neighborhood of each of its points. A subset F of X is called *sequentially closed* if $X \setminus F$ is sequentially open. The space X is called a *sequential space* if each sequentially open subset of X is open.

Lemma 2.10. Let X be a sequential space. Then $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is open $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ if and only if X is discrete.

Proof. It suffices to prove the necessity. Assume that $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is open $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$, and assume that X is not discrete. Then there exists a non-trivial sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $\langle U \rangle \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$, hence U is finite, which is a contradiction since x is a non-isolated point in X . \square

Lemma 2.11. Let X be a space. Then any point of $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is not isolated.

Proof. Take any $K \in \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then K is an infinite compact subset of X . Suppose that K is an isolated point in $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$. Then there exists a basic neighborhood $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ of K in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ such that $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap (\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)) = \{K\}$, where the family $\{U_i : i \leq k\}$ is a disjoint open subsets of X . Clearly, $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$; otherwise, we can pick any point $a \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i \setminus K$, then $K \cup \{a\} \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \cap (\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X))$, which is a contradiction. Since K is infinite, there exists $j \leq k$ such that $|K \cap U_j| \geq \omega$. Since X is Hausdorff, there exist a point $b \in K \cap U_j$ and an open neighborhood $V \subset U_j$ of b such that $|(K \setminus V) \cap U_j| \geq \omega$, hence $K \setminus V \in \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, K is an isolated point in $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$. \square

Since Fell topology is coarser than Vietoris topology, it follows from Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 that we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.12. Let X be a sequential space. Then $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is open $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ if and only if X is discrete.

Corollary 2.13. Let X be a space. Then any point of $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is not isolated.

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.14. Let X be a sequential space with a G_δ^* -diagonal³. Then $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space if and only if X is discrete.

Proof. It suffices to prove the necessity. Suppose that $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_1 -space, and suppose that X is non-discrete. Then $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ is countably compact by Fact 1 and Lemma 2.11. Since X has a G_δ^* -diagonal, it follows that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ has a G_δ -diagonal by [24, Theorem 1], thus $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ has a G_δ -diagonal too. Therefore,

³A space X is said to have a G_δ^* -diagonal if, there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ of open covers of X , such that, for each $x \in X$, $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_n)}$.

$\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ is compact by [10], then $\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X)$ is closed in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$, which shows that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is open in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$. However, by Lemma 2.10, $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is not open in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, X is discrete. \square

However, it is still unknown for us if Theorem 2.14 holds for the case of Fell topology. Therefore, we have the following two questions.

Question 2.15. *Let X be a sequential space with a G_δ^* -diagonal. If $(\mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space, is X discrete?*

Question 2.16. *Let X be a space. Give a characterization of X such that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ or $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ has a G_δ -diagonal.*

The following theorem gives a characterization of a space X such that $(CL_\emptyset(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space, where $CL_\emptyset(X) = CL(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem 2.17. *The following statements are equivalent for space X .*

- (1) $(CL_\emptyset(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space;
- (2) $(\mathcal{F}_n(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space for some $n \geq 2$;
- (3) X is locally compact, separable and metrizable.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 5.1.5], we have (3) \Rightarrow (1). The implication of (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious. It suffices to prove that (2) \Rightarrow (3). Assume $(\mathcal{F}_n(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$ is a D_1 -space for some $n \geq 2$, then $(\mathcal{F}_2(X) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space, hence $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space, by Theorem 3.1, X is locally compact, separable and metrizable. \square

3. THE D_0 -PROPERTY OF THE HYPERSPACES

In this section, we mainly discuss the D_0 -property of the hyperspaces. We first give a characterization of a space X such that $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space.

Theorem 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent for space X .*

- (1) $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is metrizable;
- (2) $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a γ -space;
- (3) $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space;
- (4) $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space;
- (5) $(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space;
- (6) $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space;
- (7) X is locally compact, separable and metrizable.

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) are trivial. (7) \Leftrightarrow (1) by [2, Theorem 5.1.5]. We only prove (6) \Rightarrow (7).

Assume that $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space, then X is a D_0 -space. Fix any $x \in X$; then let $\{V_n^- \cap (K_{n,x}^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable local base at $\{x\}$ in $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_F)$, where each V_n , $K_{n,x}$ is open, compact in X respectively. For any compact $K \in \mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{x\})$, $(K^c)^+$ is an open neighborhood of $\{x\}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_n^- \cap (K_{n,x}^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X) \subset (K^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{F}_2(X)$, then $K \subset K_{n,x}$. Therefore, $\{K_{n,x} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{x\})$.

Claim 4 X is locally compact.

Fix $x \in X$, pick any $y \neq x$ and let $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a decreasingly local base at x in X with $y \notin U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$U_k \subset K_{n,y}$; otherwise, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $x_n \in U_n \setminus K_{n,y}$; then $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in X and $S = \{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{y\})$, then $S \subset K_{m,y}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\{K_{n,y} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{K}(X \setminus \{y\})$. This is a contradiction. Hence X is locally compact.

Fix any compact subset K of X . Since $(\mathcal{F}_2(K), \tau_F)$ is a compact D_0 -space, then $(\mathcal{F}_2(K), \tau_F)$ is perfect. By Lemma 2.3 and [10], K is metrizable. Hence X locally metrizable by Claim 4. By the above proof, X is also a σ -compact space, thus it is separable and locally compact metrizable. \square

Remark 3.2. *By Theorem 3.1, $(CL(\mathbb{R}), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space (indeed, it is metrizable, thus perfect normal), where \mathbb{R} is the real number with the usual topology; however, $(CL(\mathbb{R}), \tau_F)$ is not a D_1 -space by Theorem 2.4.*

A space (X, τ) is a γ -space if there exists a function $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau$ such that (i) $\{g(n, x) : n \in \omega\}$ is a base at x ; (ii) for each $n \in \omega$ and $x \in X$, there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $y \in g(m, x)$ implies $g(m, y) \subset g(n, x)$. By [10, Theorem 10.6(iii)], each γ -space is a D_0 -space.

In [19], F. Lin, R. Shen and C. Liu proved that under $(MA+\neg CH)$, $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space if and only if X is a separable metrizable space and $NI(X)$ is compact. Therefore, we have the following question.

Question 3.3. *If $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space, is $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ a γ -space?*

For any non-separable and metrizable space X , it follows from [19, Theorem 5.17] and the following Theorem 3.5 that $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ is not a γ -space and $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space.

Lemma 3.4. [23] *The following are equivalent for a space X .*

- (1) *X is an open compact-covering⁴ image of a metric space;*
- (2) *Each compact subset of X is metrizable and has a countable neighborhood base in X ;*
- (3) *Every compact subset of X has a countable outer base⁵ in X .*

Theorem 3.5. *The following statements are equivalent for a space X .*

- (1) *$(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is an open compact-covering image of a metric space.*
- (2) *$(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space;*
- (3) *$(\mathcal{F}(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space;*
- (4) *$(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space;*
- (5) *X is a D_0 -space and every compact subset of X is metrizable.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have (1) \Rightarrow (2). The implications (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are trivial. we only need to prove (4) \Rightarrow (5), (5) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (1).

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Assume that $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ is a D_0 -space, then X is a D_0 -space. For any compact subset H of X , $(\mathcal{F}_2(H), \tau_V)$ is a compact, D_0 -subspace of $(\mathcal{F}_2(X), \tau_V)$ [22], then it is perfect. By Lemma 2.3, H has a G_δ -diagonal, then H is metrizable [10].

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Fix any compact subset \mathbf{K} of $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$. We prove that \mathbf{K} has a countable base in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$. Take any open neighborhood \widehat{U} of \mathbf{K} in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$; then there exist

⁴A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *compact-covering* if each compact subset of Y is the image of some compact subset of X .

⁵A collection \mathcal{B} of open subsets of a space X is called an *outer base* [23] of a subset A in X , if there exists $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_x \subset U$ for every $x \in A$ and an open neighborhood U of x in X .

finitely many basic open neighborhood $\{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\}$ such that $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup\{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\} \subset \widehat{U}$, where we may assume that $\{\widehat{U}_i : i \leq l\}$ is a minimal cover of \mathbf{K} . By Lemma 2.1, there exist finitely many compact subsets $\{\mathbf{K}_i : i \leq l\}$ of $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ such that $\mathbf{K} = \bigcup_{i \leq l} \mathbf{K}_i$ and $\mathbf{K}_i \subset \widehat{U}_i$ for each $i \leq l$. Clearly, if each \mathbf{K}_i has a countable base, then \mathbf{K} has a countable base in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume $\widehat{U} = \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$, where each U_i ($i \leq k$) is an open subset in X .

Let $K = \bigcup \mathbf{K}$. Then K is compact in X by [22, Theorem 2.5.2], hence K has a countable base and is metrizable. By Lemma 3.4, K has a countable outer base \mathcal{B} . Let $\Gamma = \{\langle \mathcal{B}' \rangle : \mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{B}^{<\omega}\}$, $\Delta = \{\bigcup \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma^{<\omega}\}$; then $|\Delta| \leq \omega$.

Since $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is regular, there exist finitely many open subsets $\{\widehat{V}(i) : i \leq n\}$ of $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} \widehat{V}(i) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} Cl(\widehat{V}(i)) \subset \widehat{U},$$

where $\widehat{V}(i) = \langle V_1(i), \dots, V_{r_i}(i) \rangle$, each $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $V_j(i)$ is open in X .

Fix any $i \leq n$. For each $t \leq k$, we have $U_t \supset \overline{V_{i(t)}(i)}$ for some $i(t) \leq r_i$. Let $A = \{i(t) : t \leq k\}$ and $B = \{1, \dots, r_i\} \setminus A$. Put

$$K(i) = \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i))\}.$$

Then $K(i)$ is compact in X by [22, Theorem 2.5.2] and

$$K(i) \in Cl(\widehat{V}(i) = \langle \overline{V_1(i)}, \dots, \overline{V_{r_i}(i)} \rangle).$$

For each $j \in A$,

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcap \{U_t : t \leq k, i(t) = j\} = W_j.$$

For $x \in K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)}$, pick $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_x \subset W_j$. Then there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{B}(j)$ of $\{B_x : x \in K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)}\}$ such that

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}(j) \subset W_j.$$

For any $j \in B$, choose a finite subfamily $\mathcal{B}(j) \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$K(i) \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}(j) \subset \bigcup_{t \leq k} U_t.$$

Now put

$$\mathfrak{R}(i) = \left\{ \bigcup_{j \leq r_i} \mathcal{B}'(j) : \text{for any nonempty subfamily } \mathcal{B}'(j) \subset \mathcal{B}(j), j \leq r_i \right\}.$$

Clearly, $|\mathfrak{R}(i)| < \omega$. Then

$$\mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i)) \subset \bigcup \{\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle : \mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{R}(i)\} \subset \widehat{U},$$

where $\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle = \langle B_1, \dots, B_m \rangle$ for $\mathcal{W} = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$. Indeed, for any $K \in \mathbf{K} \cap Cl(\widehat{V}(i))$, then $K \subset K(i)$. For each $j \leq r_i$, pick $\mathcal{C}(j) \subset \mathcal{B}(j)$ such that $K \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}(j)$ and $K \cap \overline{V_j(i)} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}(j)$. Enumerate $\bigcup_{j \leq r_i} \mathcal{C}(j)$ as $\{C_1, \dots, C_p\}$; then $\mathcal{W}_i = \{C_1, \dots, C_p\} \in \mathfrak{R}(i)$. Then $K \cap C_l \neq \emptyset$ for each $l \leq p$, $K \subset \bigcup_{i \leq p} C_i$. Therefore, $K \in \langle \mathcal{W}_i \rangle \subset \widehat{U}$.

Hence

$$\mathbf{K} = \bigcup_{i \leq n} (\mathbf{K} \cap Cl \widehat{V}(i)) \subset \bigcup \{\langle \mathcal{W}_i \rangle : \mathcal{W}_i \in \mathfrak{R}(i), i \leq n\} \subset \widehat{U}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{R}(i) \subset \mathcal{B}$ for $i \leq n$ and $|\Delta| \leq \omega$. Hence \mathbf{K} has a countable base in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By Lemma 3.4, we only prove that each compact subset \mathbf{H} of $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is metrizable. Let $H = \bigcup \mathbf{H}$. Then H is compact in X by [22, Theorem 2.5.2], hence it is metrizable since (2) implies (5). Therefore, $(\mathcal{K}(H), \tau_V)$ is compact and metrizable, thus $\mathbf{H} \subset (\mathcal{K}(H), \tau_V)$ is metrizable. \square

Next we prove that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if X is a γ -space. First, we give two technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Let X be a γ -space, and $g : \omega \times \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{K}(X)}$ be the g -function satisfying (i), (ii) in the definition with $g(n+1, x) \subset g(n, x)$. Suppose $c \in g(n, x)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(k, y) \subset g(n, x)$ whenever $y \in g(k, c)$.*

Proof. Since $c \in g(n, x)$, it follows from (i) that there is $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(k_1, c) \subset g(n, x)$. By (ii), there is $k > k_1$ such that $y \in g(k, c)$ implies $g(k, y) \subset g(k_1, c)$, hence $g(k, y) \subset g(n, x)$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let X be a γ -space, and $g : \omega \times \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \tau_{\mathcal{K}(X)}$ be the g -function satisfying (i), (ii) in the definition with $g(n+1, x) \subset g(n, x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any compact subset K , let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i : i \leq k\}$ is a finite open cover of K . Then there are $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite open cover $\{g(l, x_j) : j \leq m\}$, where each $x_j \in K$ such that each C_i contains some $g(l, x_j)$ and each $g(l, x_j)$ is contained in some C_i .*

Proof. Since $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $K = \bigcup_{i \leq k} K_i$, where each K_i is compact and $K_i \subset C_i$. By [10, Theorem 10.6 (iii)], there is a l_i such that $K_i \subset \bigcup \{g(l_i, x) : x \in K_i\} \subset C_i$. Put $l = \max\{l_i : i \leq k\}$; then $K \subset \bigcup \{g(l, x) : x \in K\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}$. Then there is a finite subcover $\mathcal{G} = \{g(l, x_j) : j \leq m\}$ of K such that each $g(l, x_j)$ is contained in some C_i and each C_i contains some $g(l, x_j)$. In fact, if some C_i contains no $g(l, x_j)$, we may choose $y \in K_i$ so that $g(l, y) \subset C_i$, then $\mathcal{G} \cup \{g(l, y)\}$ is a desired cover of K . \square

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a space. Then $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space if and only if X is a γ -space.*

Proof. Obviously, it suffices to prove the sufficiency. Assume that X is a γ -space, then there exists a g -function $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau_X$ satisfying the conditions (i) (ii) in the definition. We also may assume that $g(n+1, x) \subset g(n, x)$ for each $x \in X$ and $n \in \omega$. Now we define a g -function $G : \omega \times \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \tau_V$ as follows.

Fix any compact subset K of X . By [10, Corollary 10.8(ii)], K is compact metrizable. By Lemma 3.4, K has a countable outer base $\mathcal{B}^K = \{B_i^K : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We may assume $B_i^K \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We write $\{\mathcal{B}' : \mathcal{B}' \in (\mathcal{B}^K)^{<\omega}, K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}'\} = \{\mathcal{B}_i^K : i \in \omega\}$. For each $n \in \omega$, let $\mathcal{E}'_n = \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \mathcal{B}_i^K$, and put $\mathcal{E}_n^K = \{E_{n,i}^K \in \mathcal{E}'_n : E_{n,i}^K \cap K \neq \emptyset, i \leq p_n^K\}$ and $\mathcal{E}^K = \{\mathcal{E}_n^K : n \in \omega\}$. Then each element of \mathcal{E}_n^K contains some element in \mathcal{E}_{n+1}^K for each $n \in \omega$. By Lemma 3.7, there is a $n_0^K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \bigcup \{g(n_0^K, x_K^{0j}) : j \leq r_0^K\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{E}_0^K$, and each $E_{0,i}^K$ contains some $g(n_0^K, x_K^{0j})$ and each $g(n_0^K, x_K^{0j})$ is contained in some $E_{0,i}^K$. Let

$$G(0, K) = \langle g(n_0^K, x_K^{01}), \dots, g(n_0^K, x_K^{0r_0^K}) \rangle.$$

Assume

$$G(k, K) = \langle g(n_k^K, x_K^{k1}), \dots, g(n_k^K, x_K^{kr_k^K}) \rangle$$

has been defined for any $k \leq m$ satisfying the following (1)-(4):

- (1) The family $\{g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki}) : i \leq r_K^k\}$ is a cover of K ;
- (2) For $m \geq k \geq 1$, each $g(n_{k-1}^K, x_K^{(k-1)i})$ contains some $g(n_k^K, x_K^{kj})$ for some $j \leq r_K^k$.
- (3) $y \in g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki}) (i \leq r_K^k)$ implies $g(n_k^K, y) \subset g(n_{k-1}^K, x_K^{(k-1)j})$ for some $j \leq r_K^{k-1}$.
- (4) Each $E_{k,t}^K$ contains some $g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki})$ for some $i \leq r_K^k$ and each $g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki}) (i \leq r_K^k)$ is contained in some $E_{k,t}^K$.

Now we construct $G(m+1, K)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist compact subsets $\{K_i : i \leq r_K^m\}$ such that $K = \bigcup_{i \leq r_K^m} K_i$ and $K_i \subset g(n_m^K, x_K^{mi})$ for each $i \leq r_K^m$.

Fix any $i \leq r_K^m$. For any $z \in K_i$, by Lemma 3.6 there is $l_z > n_m^K$ such that $y \in g(l_z, z)$ implies $g(l_z, y) \subset g(n_m^K, x_K^{mi})$; since $K_i \subset \bigcup_{z \in K_i} g(l_z, z)$, there exists a finite set $\{z_j : j \leq q_i\}$ of K_i for some $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_i \subset \bigcup_{j \leq q_i} g(l_{z_j}, z_j)$. By Lemma 2.1, $K_i = \bigcup_{j \leq q_i} K_{i_j}$ such that each $K_{i_j} \subset g(l_{z_j}, z_j)$, where each K_{i_j} is compact. Then it follows from [10, Theorem 10.6] that there exists $l(i) \geq \max\{l_{z_j} : j \leq q_i\}$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in K_{i_j}} g(l(i), x) \subset g(l_{z_j}, z_j)$ for each $j \leq q_i$.

Now let

$$l = \max\{l(i) : i \leq r_K^m\}.$$

For any $i \leq r_K^m$ and $j \leq q_i$, there exists a finite subset $\{x_{i_j}(k) : k \leq s_{i_j}\} \subset K_{i_j}$ such that

$$K_{i_j} \subset \bigcup_{k \leq s_{i_j}} g(l, x_{i_j}(k)) \subset g(l_{z_j}, z_j).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{C} = \{g(l, x_{i_j}(k)) \cap E_{m,t}^K : g(l, x_{i_j}(k)) \cap E_{m,t}^K \cap K \neq \emptyset, i \leq r_K^m, j \leq q_i, k \leq s_{i_j}, t \leq p_m^K\}$$

is an open cover of K , it follows from Lemma 3.7 that there is a $n_{m+1}^K > \max\{l, n_m^K\}$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup \{g(n_{m+1}^K, x_K^{(m+1)i}) : i \leq r_K^{m+1}\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C},$$

each $g(n_{m+1}^K, x_K^{(m+1)i})$ is contained in some $g(l, x_{i_j}(k)) \cap E_{m,t}^K$ and each $g(l, x_{i_j}(k)) \cap E_{m,t}^K$ contains some $g(n_{m+1}^K, x_K^{(m+1)i})$.

Define

$$G(m+1, K) = \langle g(n_{m+1}^K, x_K^{(m+1)1}), \dots, g(n_{m+1}^K, x_K^{(m+1)r_K^{m+1}}) \rangle.$$

It is easily verified that $G(m+1, K)$ satisfies (1)–(4). Next we check that G satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

- (i) For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, $\{G(m, K) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable base of K .

Let $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ be an any open neighborhood of K in $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$. Since \mathcal{B}^K is an outer base of K , we can find a $\mathcal{B}_k^K = \{B_1, \dots, B_r\}$ such that $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}_k^K \subset \bigcup_{j \leq n} U_j$, $K \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \leq r$ and every U_j contains some B_i . Then $\mathcal{E}_k^K = \{E_{k,t}^K : t \leq p_k^K\}$ refines \mathcal{B}_k^K and each B_i contains some $E_{k,t}^K$. By (1), (2) and (4), we have

$$K \subset \langle g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki}), \dots, g(n_k^K, x_K^{kp_k^K}) \rangle \subset \langle E_{k,1}^K, \dots, E_{k,p_k^K}^K \rangle \subset \langle B_1, \dots, B_r \rangle \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$$

by [22, Lemma 2.3.1].

- (ii) For each $k \in \omega$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, there exists $m \in \omega$ such that $T \in G(m, K)$ implies $G(m, T) \subset G(k, K)$.

Fix any k and $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, and then choose any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n_{k+2}^K$. For any $T \in G(m, K) = \langle g(n_m^K, x_K^{m1}), \dots, g(n_m^K, x_K^{mr_m^m}) \rangle$, then

$$T \subset \left(\bigcup_{i \leq r_K^m} g(n_m^K, x_K^{mi}) \right).$$

We claim that

$$G(m, T) = \langle g(n_m^T, x_T^{m1}), \dots, g(n_m^T, x_T^{mr_m^m}) \rangle \subset G(k, K).$$

Indeed, we first prove that

$$\bigcup_{i \leq r_T^m} g(n_m^T, x_T^{mi}) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq r_K^k} g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki}).$$

For each $i \leq r_T^m$, if $x_T^{mi} \in g(n_m^K, x_K^{mj})$ for some $j \leq r_K^m$, then it follows from our construction that $g(n_m^T, x_T^{mi}) \subset g(n_k^K, x_K^{kj})$ for some $j \leq r_T^m$; hence $x_T^{mi} \in g(n_{k+2}^K, x_K^{(k+2)j})$ for some $j \leq r_K^{k+2}$, then it follows from $n_{k+2}^K < m \leq n_m^T$ and (3) that

$$g(n_m^T, x_T^{mi}) \subset g(n_{k+2}^K, x_T^{mi}) \subset g(n_{k+1}^K, x_K^{(k+1)j''})$$

for some $j'' \leq r_K^{k+1}$. Hence

$$\bigcup_{i \leq r_T^m} g(n_m^T, x_T^{mi}) \subset \bigcup_{i \leq r_K^k} g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki})$$

Finally, it follows from (2) that each $g(n_k^K, x_K^{ki})$ contains some $g(n_m^T, x_T^{mi})$. Thus, $G(m, T) \subset G(k, K)$.

By the above proof, it follows that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ is a γ -space. \square

Finally we consider the characterization of the compact- G_δ property of the hyperspace. We say that a space X has a *compact- G_δ property* if every compact subset of X is a G_δ -set of X .

Theorem 3.9. *Let X be a space. Then $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ has a compact- G_δ -property if and only if X has a compact- G_δ property and every compact subset of X is metrizable.*

Proof. Necessity. Assume that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ has a compact- G_δ -property. Obviously, X has a compact- G_δ property. Fix an any compact subset K of X . Then the hyperspace $\mathcal{K}(K)$ is compact by [22]. Since $\mathcal{K}(K)$ is regular and has compact- G_δ property, it follows that $\mathcal{K}(K)$ is a D_0 -space, hence D_1 -space (Note that every closed subset of $\mathcal{K}(K)$ is compact since $\mathcal{K}(K)$ is compact). By Lemma 2.3 and [10], K is compact metrizable.

Sufficiency. Let \mathbf{K} be a compact subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$, and let $H = \bigcup \mathbf{K}$. Then H is compact in X . By the assumption, H is metrizable, hence $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is compact metrizable. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\langle U_1(i), \dots, U_{k_i}(i) \rangle : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable base of $\mathcal{K}(H)$, where each $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, each $U_j(i)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \leq k_i$) is open in H . For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \leq k_i$, let $V_j(i)$ be an open subset of X such that $U_j(i) = V_j(i) \cap H$. Let $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be open subsets of X with $H = \bigcap W_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{\langle V_1(i) \cap W_n, \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \cap W_n \rangle : \langle V_1(i), \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \rangle \cap \mathbf{K} \neq \emptyset, i, n \in \mathbb{N}\};$$

we say that $\langle V_1(i) \cap W_n, \dots, V_{k_i}(i) \cap W_n \rangle$ is associated with $\langle U_1(i), \dots, U_{k_i}(i) \rangle$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \{\bigcup \mathcal{P}' : \mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{P}_n^{<\omega}\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; then let $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}_n$. Clearly, $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq \omega$. We claim that $\mathbf{K} = \bigcap \mathcal{Q}$.

Indeed, it is trivial to verify that $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{Q}$. Let K be an any compact subset of X with $K \notin \mathbf{K}$. We prove that there is $\bigcup \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$.

Case 1: $K \setminus H \neq \emptyset$.

Then there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \setminus W_j \neq \emptyset$, hence we can pick a $\bigcup \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{Q}_j$, then $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$.

Case 2: $K \subset H$.

Since \mathcal{B} is a countable base of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ and $K \notin \mathbf{K}$, it is easily checked that there is a finite family $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$, $\mathbf{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$ and each element of \mathcal{B}' meets \mathbf{K} . Fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and pick $\bigcup \mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ associating with $\bigcup \mathcal{B}'$. Then it is easily verified that $K \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}'$. \square

Remark 3.10. From Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 that there exists a space X such that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ has a compact- G_δ -property and is not a D_0 -space, such as, Butterfly space. Moreover, the following questions are still unknown for us.

Question 3.11. If X has a compact- G_δ property and every compact subset of X is metrizable, does $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ have a compact- G_δ -property?

Question 3.12. If X has a compact- G_δ property and every compact subset of X is metrizable, does $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$ or $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ have a compact- G_δ -property?

Question 3.13. Let X be a space. How to characterise the space X such that $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_F)$, $(CL(X), \tau_F)$, $(CL(X), \tau_V)$ and $(\mathcal{K}(X), \tau_V)$ are perfect respectively?

In order to give a characterization of $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ with a G_δ -property. We need the representation of pseudocharacter of hyperspace $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F)$ for a space X . First, we recall some concepts.

Definition 3.14. [9] The *pseudocharacter* of a point x in a space X is defined as the smallest cardinal number of the form $|\mathcal{U}|$, where \mathcal{U} is a family of open subsets of X such that $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{x\}$; this cardinal number is denoted by $\psi(x, X)$. The *pseudocharacter* of a space X is defined as the supremum of all numbers $\psi(x, X)$ for $x \in X$; this cardinal number is denoted by $\psi(X)$.

Definition 3.15. Let X be a space. A collection of nonempty open sets \mathcal{U} of X is called a π -base if for every nonempty open set O , there exists an $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \subset O$. The π -weight of X is defined as the infimum of all the cardinal numbers of the π -bases of X ; this cardinal number is denoted by $\pi w(X)$. For a space X , define

$$\pi w_K(X) = \sup\{\pi w(A) : A \text{ is an arbitrary non-empty closed subset of } X\}.$$

Definition 3.16. Let X be a space and U be open in X . Denote

$$k_U = \inf\{|\mathcal{K}| : \mathcal{K} \text{ is a family of compact subsets of } X \text{ such that } U = \bigcup \mathcal{K}\}$$

and

$$k_o = \sup\{k_U : U \text{ is open in } X\}.$$

Theorem 3.17. For any space X , we have $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F) = \pi w_K(X) \cdot k_o(X)$.

Proof. Suppose $\psi(CL(X), \tau_F) = \kappa$. We first prove that $\pi w(A) \leq \kappa$ for any $A \in CL(X)$, which implies that $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$. Fix any $A \in CL(X)$. Then

$$A = \bigcap \{W_{\alpha 1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha k_\alpha}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

where each $W_{\alpha j}$ is open in X , K_α is compact in X and $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\alpha j} = A \cap W_{\alpha j} : j \leq k_\alpha, \alpha < \kappa\}.$$

We claim that \mathcal{V} is a π -base for A . Indeed, let U' be an any non-empty open subset of A ; then it can take an open subset U in X such that $U' = U \cap A$. Let $H = A \setminus U$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $H \neq \emptyset$, then $H \in CL(X)$. Hence there exists an $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $H \notin W_{\alpha_1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha_{k_\alpha}}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+$, which implies that $H \cap W_{\alpha_j} = \emptyset$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $V_{\alpha_j} \subset U'$. Hence $\pi w(A) \leq \kappa$. Therefore, $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$.

Now we prove that $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$. Let U be an any open subset of X such that $B = X \setminus U \in CL(X)$. From our assumption, it follows that

$$B = \bigcap \{W_{\alpha_1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha_{k_\alpha}}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

where each $W_{\alpha j}$ is open in X , K_α is compact in X and $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $U = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} K_\alpha$. Indeed, for any $x \in U$, if $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} K_\alpha$, then $\{x\} \cup B \in (K_\alpha)^c$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$, hence it follows that

$$\{x\} \cup B \in \bigcap \{W_{\alpha_1}^- \cap \dots \cap W_{\alpha_{k_\alpha}}^- \cap (K_\alpha^c)^+ : \alpha < \kappa\},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$.

Conversely, suppose $\pi w_K(X) \leq \kappa$ and $k_o(X) \leq \kappa$. Fix any $A \in CL(X)$. Let $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a π -base for A . For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let V_α be an open set of X with $V_\alpha \cap A = V'_\alpha$. Put $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, and let

$$\Delta(\mathcal{V}) = \{\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}, |\mathcal{W}| < \omega\}.$$

From our assumption, let $X \setminus A = \bigcup_{\beta < \kappa} K_\beta$, where each K_β is compact. We claim that

$$\{A\} = \bigcap \{V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+ : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), \beta < \kappa\}.$$

Indeed, it is obvious that $A \in V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+$ for each $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V})$ and $\beta < \kappa$. Take any

$$B \in \bigcap \{V_1^- \cap \dots \cap V_k^- \cap (K_\beta^c)^+ : \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in \Delta(\mathcal{V}), \beta < \kappa\}.$$

If $B \setminus A \neq \emptyset$, then pick any $x \in B$, hence $x \in K_\beta$ for some $\beta < \kappa$; however, $B \subset K_\beta^c$ for any $\beta < \kappa$, which is a contradiction. If $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$, then there is $V'_i \in \mathcal{V}'$ such that $V'_i \subset A \setminus B$, hence there exists $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $V'_i = V_i \cap A$, and $V_i \cap B = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $A = B$. \square

Theorem 3.18. *If $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is Hausdorff and has G_δ -property, then $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is first-countable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.17, every closed subset of X is separable and X is hemicompact. We prove that X is first-countable. Since $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is Hausdorff, it follows from [2, Proposition 5.1.2] that X is locally compact. Each singleton of X is G_δ -set, then X is first-countable since a Hausdorff, locally compact space in which each singleton is a G_δ -set is first-countable. By [2, Corollary 7(1)], $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is first-countable. \square

By Theorem 3.18, $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is first-countable. The following corollary is easily verified.

Corollary 3.19. *If $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is Hausdorff and has compact- G_δ property, then $(CL(X), \tau_F)$ is a D_0 -space.*

REFERENCES

- [1] C.E. Aull, Closed set countability axioms, *Indag. Math.*, 28(1966) 311–316.
- [2] G. Beer, *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets* Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [3] C. Costantini, S. Levi, J. Pelant, Compactness and local compactness in hyperspaces, *Topol. Appl.*, 123(2002) 573–608.
- [4] M. Dai, C. Liu, D_1 -spaces and their metrization, *Northeast Math. J.*, 11(2)(1995) 215–220.
- [5] S. García-Ferreira, Y.F. Ortiz-Castillo, The hyperspace of convergent sequences, *Topol. Appl.*, 196(2015) 795–804.
- [6] S. García-Ferreira, R. Rojas-Hernández, Connectedness like properties of the hyperspace of convergent sequences, *Topol. Appl.*, 230(2017) 639–647.
- [7] S. García-Ferreira, R. Rojas-Hernández, Y.F. Ortiz-Castillo, Categorical properties on the hyperspace of nontrivial convergent sequences, *Topol. Proc.*, 52(2018) 265–279.
- [8] S. García-Ferreira, R. Rojas-Hernández, Y.F. Ortiz-Castillo, The Baire property on the hyperspace of nontrivial convergent sequences, *Topol. Appl.*, 301(2020)107505.
- [9] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1989.
- [10] G. Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 423–501.
- [11] C. Good, S. Macias, Symmetric products of generalized metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.*, 206(2016)93–114.
- [12] L. Holá, J. Pelant, Recent Progress in Hyperspace Topologies, *Recent Progress in General Topology II*, ed. by M. Hušek and J. van Mill, Elsevier, (2002)253–279.
- [13] L. Holá, J. Pelant, L. Zsilinszky, Developable hyperspaces are metrizable, *Applied General Topology*, 2003.
- [14] L. Holá, S. Levi, Decomposition Properties of Hyperspace Topologies, *Set-Valued Anal.*, 5(1997) 309–321.
- [15] J. Hou, Character and tightness of hyperspaces with Fell topology, *Topol. Appl.*, 84(1998) 199–206.
- [16] M. Kubo, A note on hyperspaces by compact sets, *Memoirs of Osaka Kyoiku Univ., Ser. III*, 27(1978) 81–85.
- [17] F. Lin, R. Shen, C. Liu, Generalized metric properties on hyperspaces with the Vietoris topology, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 51(5)(2021)1761–1779.
- [18] J. Lin, F. Lin, C. Liu, Hyperspace of finite unions of convergent sequences, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica*, 58(4)(2021) 433–456.
- [19] C. Liu, F. Lin, A note on hyperspaces by closed sets with Vietoris topology, *B. Malays. Math. Sci. So.*, to appear.
- [20] D. Maya, P. Pellicer-Covarrubias, R. Pichardo-Mendoza. Cardinal functions of the hyperspace of convergent sequences, *Math. Slovaca*, 68(2)(2018) 431–450.
- [21] D. Maya, P. Pellicer-Covarrubias, R. Pichardo-Mendoza. General properties of the hyperspace of convergent sequences, *Topol. Proc.*, 51(2)(2018) 143–168.
- [22] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71(1951)152–182.
- [23] E. Michael, Compact-covering images of metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37(1973)260–266.
- [24] T. Mizokami, On hyperspaces of spaces around Moore spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, 22(2)(1996) 297–306.
- [25] T. Mizokami, On hyperspaces of generalized metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.*, 76(2)(1997) 169–173.
- [26] S.A. Naimpally, J.F. Peters, *Hyperspace Topologies*, *Topology with Applications: Topological Spaces via Near and Far*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2013.
- [27] I. Ntantu, Cardinal functions on hyperspaces and function spaces, *Topology Proc.*, 10(1985)357–375.
- [28] L. Peng, Y. Sun, A study on symmetric products of generalized metric spaces, *Topology and its Application*, 231(2017) 411–429.
- [29] R.R. Sabella, Spaces in which compact sets have countable local base, *Proc. AMS*, 48(1975) 499–504.
- [30] Z. Tang, S. Lin, F. Lin, Symmetric products and closed finite-to-one mappings, *Topol. Appl.*, 234(2018) 26–45.

(CHUAN LIU) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY ZANESVILLE CAMPUS, ZANESVILLE,
OH 43701, USA

Email address: `liuc1@ohio.edu`

(FUCAI LIN) 1. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MINNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU
363000, P. R. CHINA; 2. FUJIAN KEY LABORATORY OF GRANULAR COMPUTING AND APPLICATION,
MINNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU 363000, P. R. CHINA

Email address: `linfucai@mnnu.edu.cn`