

DIMENSION-FREE L^p ESTIMATES FOR ODD ORDER MAXIMAL RIESZ TRANSFORMS IN TERMS OF THE RIESZ TRANSFORMS

MACIEJ KUCHARSKI, BŁAŻEJ WRÓBEL, AND JACEK ZIENKIEWICZ

ABSTRACT. We prove the dimension-free L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, estimates for the vector of the maximal Riesz transforms of odd order in terms of the Riesz transforms. This is an extension of the results proved in [15, 13] and [12].

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix a positive integer k and denote by \mathcal{H}_k the space of spherical harmonics of degree k on \mathbb{R}^d . Then, for $P \in \mathcal{H}_k$ the Riesz transform $R = R_P$ is defined by the kernel

$$K_P(x) = K(x) = \gamma_k \frac{P(x)}{|x|^{k+d}} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_k = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{2}\right)}{\pi^{d/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}, \quad (1.1)$$

more precisely,

$$R_P f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R_P^t f(x), \quad \text{where} \quad R_P^t f(x) = \gamma_k \int_{|y|>t} \frac{P(y)}{|y|^{k+d}} f(x-y) dy. \quad (1.2)$$

The operator R_P^t is called the *truncated Riesz transform*. In the particular case of $k = 1$ it coincides with the classical first order Riesz transforms $R_j := R_{x_j}$. It is well known, see [16, p. 73], that the Fourier multiplier associated with the Riesz transform R_P equals

$$m_P(\xi) = (-i)^k \frac{P(\xi)}{|\xi|^k}. \quad (1.3)$$

Since P is homogeneous of degree k , by the above formula m_P is bounded and Plancherel's theorem implies the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ boundedness of R_P . The $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ boundedness of the single Riesz transforms R_P for $1 < p < \infty$ follows from the Calderón-Zygmund method of rotations [3].

The systematic study of the dimension-free L^p bounds for the Riesz transforms has begun in the seminal paper of E. M. Stein [17]. He has proved the ℓ^2 vector-valued estimates for the vector of the first order Riesz transforms $(R_1 f, \dots, R_d f)$. More precisely,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^d |R_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where C_p is independent of the dimension d .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25, 42B20, 42B15.

Key words and phrases. higher order Riesz transform, maximal function, dimension-free estimates.

Maciej Kucharski and Błażej Wróbel were supported by the National Science Centre (NCN), Poland research project Preludium Bis 2019/35/O/ST1/00083.

This result has been extended to many other settings. The analogue of the dimension-free inequality (1.4) has also been proved for higher order Riesz transforms, see [5, Théorème 2]. The optimal constant C_p in (1.4) remains unknown when $d \geq 2$; however the best results to date given in [1] (see also [4]) established the correct order of the dependence on p . We note that the explicit values of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norms of the single first order Riesz transforms R_j , $j = 1, \dots, d$, were obtained by Iwaniec and Martin [10] based on the complex method of rotations.

In this paper we study the relation between R_P and the *maximal Riesz transform* defined by

$$R_P^* f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |R_P^t f(x)|.$$

There is an obvious pointwise inequality $R_P f(x) \leq R_P^* f(x)$. In a series of papers [15, Theorem 1] (first order Riesz transforms), [13, Section 4] (odd order higher Riesz transforms), and [14, Section 2] (even order higher Riesz transforms), J. Mateu, J. Orobitg, C. Pérez, and J. Verdera proved that also a reverse inequality holds in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm. Namely, together the results of [15, 13, 14] imply that for each $1 < p < \infty$ there exists a constant $C(p, k, d)$ such that

$$\|R_P^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p, k, d) \|R_P f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (1.5)$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The estimate (1.5) as presented in [15, 13, 14] has been proved for general singular integral operators with even kernels [14] or with odd kernels [13]. The cost of this generality is that the values of $C(p, k, d)$ grow exponentially with the dimension. In view of [11], the question about improved rate arises naturally.

Recently, the first and the second named author proved that when $p = 2$, in (1.5) one may take an explicit dimension-free constant $C(2, 1, d) \leq 2 \cdot 10^8$, see [12, Theorem 1.1]. The arguments applied in [12] relied on Fourier transform estimates together with square function techniques developed by Bourgain [2] for studying dimension-free estimates for maximal functions associated with symmetric convex bodies. Extension of this approach to other p seems to be delicate due to the lack of the necessary L^1 behaviour of the operators M^t defined in [12, eq. (3.5)].

In this paper we prove that the dimension-free estimate from [12] still holds for odd order Riesz transforms and for $1 < p < \infty$. The main result of our paper is the following square function estimate of the vector of maximal Riesz transforms in terms of the Riesz transforms.

Theorem 1.1. *Take $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $k \leq d$ be a non-negative odd integer. Let \mathcal{P}_k be a subset of \mathcal{H}_k . Then there is a constant $A(p, k)$ independent of the dimension d and such that*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} |R_P^* f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq A(p, k) \left\| \left(\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} |R_P f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for fixed k we have $A(p, k) = O(p^{3+k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $A(p, k) = O((p-1)^{-3-k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow 1$.

In particular, if \mathcal{P}_k contains one element P , then Theorem 1.1 immediately gives

$$\|R_P^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq A(p, k) \|R_P f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

In this case however, we can slightly improve the constant $A(p, k)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Take $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $k \leq d$ be a non-negative odd integer. Let P be a spherical harmonic of degree k . Then there is a constant $B(p, k)$ independent of the dimension d and such that*

$$\|R_P^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq B(p, k) \|R_P f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for fixed k we have $B(p, k) = O(p^{2+k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $B(p, k) = O((p-1)^{-2-k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow 1$.

Our last main result follows from a combination of Theorem 1.1 with a result of Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia [5, Théorème 2]. Denote by $a(d, k)$ the dimension of \mathcal{H}_k and let $\{Y_j\}_{j=1, \dots, a(d, k)}$ be an orthogonal basis of \mathcal{H}_k normalized by the condition

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(S^{d-1})} \int_{S^{d-1}} |Y_j(\theta)|^2 d\sigma(\theta) = \frac{1}{a(d, k)};$$

here $d\sigma$ denotes the (unnormalized) spherical measure.

Corollary 1.3. *Take $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $k \leq d$ be a non-negative odd integer. Then there is a constant $G(p, k)$ independent of the dimension d and such that*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{a(d, k)} |R_{Y_j}^* f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq G(p, k) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for fixed k we have $G(p, k) = O(p^{4+k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $G(p, k) = O((p-1)^{-4-k})$ as $p \rightarrow 1$.

1.1. Structure of the paper and our methods. There are three main ingredients used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Firstly, we need a factorization of the truncated Riesz transform $R_P^t = M_k^t(R_P)$. Here, M_k^t , $t > 0$, is a family of radial Fourier multiplier operators. In the case $k = 1$ this factorization has been one of the key steps in establishing the main results of [12]. In particular the operator M_1^t considered here coincides with M^t defined in [12, (eq.) 3.5]. For general values of k the factorization is also implicitly contained in [15, Section 2] ($k = 1$), [14, Section 2] (k even), and [13, Section 4] (k odd). Note that for the first order Riesz transforms the formulas $R_j^t = M_1^t(R_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, together with the identity $I = -\sum_{j=1}^d R_j^2$ imply that

$$M_1^t = -\sum_{j=1}^d M_1^t R_j^2 = -\sum_{j=1}^d R_j^t R_j. \quad (1.6)$$

Details of the factorization procedure are given in Section 2.

The second ingredient we need is an averaging procedure. It turns out that a useful analogue of (1.6) is not directly available for Riesz transforms of orders higher than one.

The reason behind it is the fact that not all compositions of first-order Riesz transforms are higher order Riesz transforms according to our definition. For instance, in the case $k = 3$ the multiplier symbol of $R_1^3 = R_1 R_1 R_1$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ equals $\xi_1^3/|\xi|^3$ and $P(\xi) = \xi_1^3$ is not a spherical harmonic. However, the formula

$$I = - \sum_{j_1=1}^d \sum_{j_2=1}^d \sum_{j_3=1}^d R_{j_1}^2 R_{j_2}^2 R_{j_3}^2,$$

includes squares of all compositions of Riesz transforms including $R_1^6 = (R_1^3)^2$. Therefore the above formula does not directly lead to an expression of M^t in terms of R_p^t and R_p . To overcome this problem we average over the special orthogonal group $SO(d)$. Then we obtain

$$M_k^t f(x) = C(d, k) \int_{SO(d)} \sum_{j \in I} (R_j^t R_j f)_U(x) d\mu(U), \tag{1.7}$$

see Proposition 3.1. Here T_U is the conjugation of an operator T by $U \in SO(d)$, see (3.2), $d\mu$ denotes the normalized Haar measure on $SO(d)$, while $C(d, k)$ is a constant. The symbol I denotes the set of distinct indices $j = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ while R_j^t and R_j are the truncated Riesz transforms and the Riesz transforms (1.2) corresponding to the monomials $P_j(x) = x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_d}$. Note that since $j \in I$ the polynomials P_j are spherical harmonics and thus the operators R_j are indeed higher order Riesz transforms. In view of (1.7), if we demonstrate that $C(d, k)$ is bounded by a universal constant, we are left with estimating the maximal function corresponding to $\sum_{j \in I} (R_j^t R_j)$. The reduction via the averaging procedure is described in detail in Section 3. It is noteworthy that in order for the averaging approach to work it is essential that for each order k the multiplier symbols of M_k^t are radial functions.

The third main ingredient of our argument is the method of rotations. We use it to estimate the maximal function corresponding to $\sum_{j \in I} (R_j^t R_j)$ and thus the first two ingredients described above are crucial in reaching this point. In the context of dimension-free estimates for Riesz transforms this method has been first employed by Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia [5]. However, a direct application of their techniques seems not well suited for our problem. Indeed, it only allows one to prove a weaker variant of Corollary 1.3, with the supremum taken outside of the ℓ^2 norm, cf. [12, Theorem 1.3]. In order to make the method of rotations work in our problem we need several duality arguments, Khintchine’s inequality, and some specific computations. All of it reflects the size of the constants $A(p, k)$ in Theorem 1.1 and $B(p, k)$ in Theorem 1.2. The application of the method of rotations to our problem is described in detail in Section 4.

At the first reading it might be helpful to skip the explicit values of constants in terms of k and p and only focus on these constants being independent of the dimension d . An interested reader may trace the exact dependencies of the constants in terms of k and p in the paper.

1.2. Notation. We finish the introduction with a description of the notation and conventions used in the rest of the paper.

- (1) The letters d and k stand for the dimension and for the order of the Riesz transforms, respectively. In particular we always have $k \leq d$, even if this is not stated explicitly.

- (2) The symbol \mathbb{N} represents the set of positive integers. We write \mathbb{N}_{odd} for the set of odd elements of \mathbb{N} . Throughout the rest of the paper we always assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$.
- (3) For an exponent $p \in [1, \infty]$ we let q be its conjugate exponent satisfying

$$1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

When $p \in (1, \infty)$ we set

$$p^* := \max(p, (p-1)^{-1}).$$

- (4) We abbreviate $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to L^p and $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ to $\|\cdot\|_p$. For a sublinear operator T on L^p we denote by $\|T\|_{p \rightarrow p}$ its norm. We let \mathcal{S} be the space of Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^d . Slightly abusing the notation we say that a sublinear operator T is bounded on L^p if it is bounded on \mathcal{S} in the L^p norm. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\mathcal{D}(k)$ be the linear span of $\{R_P(f) : P \in \mathcal{H}_k, f \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Since R_P is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$ the space $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is then a subspace of each of the L^p spaces.
- (5) For a Banach space X the symbol $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; E)$ stands for the space of weakly measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; E)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|f(x)\|_E^p dx)^{1/p}$. Similarly, for a finite set F by $\ell^p(F; E)$ we denote the Banach space of E -valued sequences $\{f_s\}_{s \in F}$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\ell^p(F; E)} = (\sum_{s \in F} \|f_s\|_E^p)^{1/p}$.
- (6) The symbol C_Δ stands for a constant that possibly depends on $\Delta > 0$. We write C without a subscript when the constant is universal in the sense that it may depend only on k but not on the dimension d nor on any other quantity.
- (7) For two quantities X and Y we write $X \lesssim_\Delta Y$ if $X \leq C_\Delta Y$ for some constant $C_\Delta > 0$ that depends only on Δ . We abbreviate $X \lesssim Y$ when C is a universal constant. We also write $X \sim Y$ if both $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$ hold simultaneously. By $X \lesssim^\Delta Y$ we mean that $X \leq C^\Delta Y$ with a universal constant C . Note that in this case $X^{1/\Delta} \lesssim Y^{1/\Delta}$.
- (8) The symbol S^{d-1} stands for the $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d and by ω we denote the uniform measure on S^{d-1} normalized by the condition $\omega(S^{d-1}) = 1$. We also write

$$S_{d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \tag{1.8}$$

to denote the unnormalized surface area of S^{d-1} .

- (9) The Fourier transform is defined for $f \in L^1$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the formula

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.$$

- (10) The Gamma function is defined for $s > 0$ by the formula

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

We shall often use Stirling's approximation for $\Gamma(s)$

$$\Gamma(s) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} s^{s-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-s}, \quad s \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.9}$$

2. FACTORIZATION

The first goal of this section is to show that a factorization formula for R_P^t in terms of R_P is feasible. Proposition below is implicit in [13, Section 4].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$. Then there exists a family of operators M_k^t , $t > 0$, which are bounded on L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, and such that for all $P \in \mathcal{H}_k$ we have*

$$R_P^t f = M_k^t(R_P f), \quad (2.1)$$

where $f \in L^p$. Each M_k^t is a convolution operator with a radial convolution kernel b_k^t . Moreover, when $P \in \mathcal{H}_k$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $t \mapsto M_k^t(R_P f)(x)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $c_d = \frac{\Gamma((d-1)/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(1/2)}$, $N = (k-1)/2$, and denote by B the open Euclidean ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^d . It is justified in [13, pp. 3674–3675] that the function

$$\begin{aligned} b(x) &= b_{k,d}(x) \\ &:= \sum_{j=1}^d R_j \left[y_j \cdot \left(c_d(1-d) \frac{1}{|y|^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}_{B^c}(y) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2|y|^2 + \dots + \beta_N|y|^{2N-2}) \mathbb{1}_B(y) \right) \right] (x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

satisfies the formula

$$R_P(b)(x) = K_P(x) \mathbb{1}_{B^c}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here β_1, \dots, β_N are constants which depend only on k and d and whose exact value is irrelevant for our considerations, and K_P, R_P have been defined in (1.1), (1.2), respectively. The important point is that (2.3) remains true for any any $P \in \mathcal{H}_k$.

Let h be the radial function

$$h(y) = c_d(1-d) \frac{1}{|y|^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}_{B^c}(y) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2|y|^2 + \dots + \beta_N|y|^{2N-2}) \mathbb{1}_B(y)$$

and denote by H the radial profile of its Fourier transform, i.e. $H(|\xi|) = \widehat{h}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By taking the Fourier transform of (2.2) it is straightforward to see that b is a radial function. This follows since the multiplier symbol of R_j is $-i\xi_j/|\xi|$ and

$$(\widehat{y_j h(y)})(\xi) = \frac{\xi_j}{-2\pi i |\xi|} H'(|\xi|),$$

so that

$$\mathcal{F}b(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\xi_j^2}{2\pi |\xi|^2} \cdot H'(|\xi|) = \frac{1}{2\pi} H'(|\xi|)$$

is indeed radial and so is b .

Let $b^t(x) = b_k^t(x) := t^{-d}b(x/t)$ be the L^1 dilation of b ; clearly b^t is still radial. The dilation invariance of R_P together with (2.3) leads us to the expression

$$K_P(x) \mathbb{1}_{B^c}(x/t) = R_P(b^t)(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Let M_k^t be the convolution operator

$$M_k^t f(x) = b^t * f(x).$$

It follows from [13, Section 4] that M_k^t is bounded on L^p spaces whenever $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, in view of (2.4) we see that

$$R_P^t f = R_P(b^t) * f = b^t * R_P(f) = M_k^t(R_P f).$$

Finally, for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $P \in \mathcal{H}_k$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the mapping $t \mapsto R_P^t f(x)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$. Thus, also $M_k^t(R_P f)(x)$ is a continuous function of $t > 0$ for a.e. x . This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

As a corollary of Proposition 2.1 we see that in order to justify Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 it suffices to control vector and scalar valued maximal functions corresponding to the operators M_k^t . In what follows, for $f \in \mathcal{D}(k)$ we set

$$M^* f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |M_k^t f(x)|.$$

Note that by Proposition 2.1 for $f \in \mathcal{D}(k)$ we have

$$M^* f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_+} |M_k^t f(x)|, \quad (2.5)$$

where \mathbb{Q}_+ denotes the set of non-negative rational numbers; hence the maximal function $M^* f(x)$ is measurable, although possibly being infinite for some x .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$. For each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there is a constant $A(p, k)$ independent of the dimension d and such that for any $S \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |M^* f_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq A(p, k) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |f_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p,$$

whenever $f_1, \dots, f_S \in \mathcal{D}(k)$. Moreover, for fixed k we have $A(p, k) = O(p^{3+k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $A(p, k) = O((p-1)^{-3-k/2})$ as $p \rightarrow 1$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$. For each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there is a constant $B(p, k)$ independent of the dimension d and such that*

$$\|M^* f\|_p \leq B(p, k) \|f\|_p,$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{D}(k)$. Moreover, for fixed k we have $B(p, k) \lesssim (p^*)^{2+k/2}$.

Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 together with Proposition 2.1 imply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with the same values of constants $A(p, k)$ and $B(p, k)$. This is done first for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, and then by density for all $f \in L^p$. Therefore, from now on we focus on proving Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

3. AVERAGING

In this section we describe the averaging procedure. This will allow us to pass from M^* to another maximal function that is better suited for an application of the method of rotations in Section 4. Before moving on, we establish some notation. For a multi-index $j = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^k$ by R_j we denote the Riesz transform associated with the monomial $P_k(x) = x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k}$; the truncated transform R_j^t and the maximal transform R_j^* are defined analogously. We will also abbreviate

$$x_j = x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k} \quad \text{and} \quad x_j^n = x_{j_1}^n \cdots x_{j_k}^n.$$

As we will be mainly interested in multi-indices with different components, we define $I = \{j \in \{1, \dots, d\}^k : j_k \neq j_l \text{ for } k \neq l\}$.

The averaging procedure will provide an expression for M^t in terms of the Riesz transforms R and R^t postulated in (1.7). For $f \in L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, denote

$$R^t f := \sum_{j \in I} R_j^t R_j f \quad \text{and let} \quad R^* f := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_+} |R^t f|. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that both R^t and R^* are well defined on all L^p spaces. Indeed, R_j^t and R_j are L^p bounded and the supremum in the definition of R^* runs over a countable set thus defining a measurable function.

Let $SO(d)$ be the special orthogonal group in dimension d . Since it is compact, it has a bi-invariant Haar measure μ such that $\mu(SO(d)) = 1$. For $U \in SO(d)$ and a sublinear operator T on L^2 we denote by T_U the conjugation by U , i.e. the operator acting via

$$T_U f(x) = T(f(U^{-1} \cdot))(Ux). \quad (3.2)$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$. Then there is a constant $C(d, k)$ such that*

$$M_k^t f(x) = C(d, k) \int_{SO(d)} [(R^t)_U f](x) d\mu(U) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(k)$. Moreover, $C(d, k)$ has an estimate from above by a constant that depends only on k but not on the dimension d , so that

$$\left(\sum_{s=1}^S |M^* f_s(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \int_{SO(d)} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |[(R^*)_U f_s](x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\mu(U), \quad (3.4)$$

for $S \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_S \in \mathcal{D}(k)$.

Proof. Let A be the operator

$$A = \sum_{j \in I} R_j^2, \quad (3.5)$$

which by (1.3) means that its multiplier symbol equals

$$a(\xi) = (-i)^{2k} \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\xi_j^2}{|\xi|^{2k}} = - \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\xi_j^2}{|\xi|^{2k}}.$$

Let \tilde{A} be the operator with the multiplier symbol

$$\tilde{a}(\xi) := \int_{SO(d)} a(U\xi) d\mu(U) = - \sum_{j \in I} \int_{SO(d)} \frac{((U\xi)_j)^2}{|\xi|^{2k}} d\mu(U). \quad (3.6)$$

Then \tilde{a} being radial and homogeneous of order 0 is constant.

The first step in the proof of the proposition is to show that

$$|\tilde{a}| \sim 1 \quad (3.7)$$

uniformly in the dimension d . Note that each of the integrals on the right hand side of (3.6) has the same value independently of $j \in I$, so that

$$\tilde{a}(\xi) = -|I| \int_{SO(d)} \frac{((U\xi)_{(1, \dots, k)})^2}{|\xi|^{2k}} d\mu(U);$$

here $|I|$ stands for the number of elements in I . Since \tilde{a} is radial, integrating the above expression over the unit sphere S^{d-1} with respect to the normalized surface measure $d\omega$ we obtain

$$\tilde{a} = -|I| \int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega. \quad (3.8)$$

Since k is fixed, by an elementary argument we get $|I| = d!/(d-k)! \sim d^k$. Thus it remains to show that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega \sim d^{-k} \quad (3.9)$$

Formula (3.9) is given in [18, (10)]. It can be also easily computed by the method from [9, Chapter 3.4]; for the sake of completeness we provide a brief argument. Consider the integral $J = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2 e^{-|x|^2} dx$. Since J is a product of the one-dimensional integrals we calculate $J = \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{d-k}$. On the other hand, using polar coordinates we obtain $J = S_{d-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega \int_0^\infty r^{2k+d-1} e^{-r^2} dr$, where S_{d-1} is given by (1.8). Altogether we have justified that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{d-k}}{S_{d-1} \Gamma\left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right)}.$$

Since k is fixed and d is arbitrarily large, using (1.8), Stirling's formula for the Γ function (1.9) and the known identity $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega &\sim \frac{\sqrt{k + \frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{2e}\right)^{d/2}}{\sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{k + \frac{d}{2}}{e}\right)^{k+d/2}} \\ &\sim \frac{e^{-d/2}}{e^{-k-d/2}} \left(\frac{k + \frac{d}{2}}{d/2}\right)^{-d/2} \left(k + \frac{d}{2}\right)^{-k} \\ &\sim d^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

This gives (3.9) and concludes the proof of (3.7).

Let now m^t be the multiplier symbol of M_k^t . Then, from Proposition 2.1 we see that $m^t = \hat{b}^t$ is radial, so that

$$m^t(\xi) = \tilde{a}^{-1} \tilde{a} m^t(\xi) = \tilde{a}^{-1} \int_{SO(d)} m^t(\xi) a(U\xi) d\mu(U) = \tilde{a}^{-1} \int_{SO(d)} m^t(U\xi) a(U\xi) d\mu(U).$$

Using properties of the Fourier transform the above equality implies that

$$M^t f(x) = \tilde{a}^{-1} \int_{SO(d)} [(M^t A)_U](f)(x) d\mu(U).$$

Recalling (3.5) we apply (2.1) from Proposition 2.1 and obtain

$$M^t A = \sum_{j \in I} M^t R_j R_j = \sum_{j \in I} R_j^t R_j = R^t;$$

here an application of (2.1) is allowed since each R_j corresponds to the monomial x_j which is in \mathcal{H}_k when $j \in I$. In summary, we justified that

$$M^t f(x) = \tilde{a}^{-1} \int_{SO(d)} [(R^t)_U](f)(x) d\mu(U), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(k), \quad (3.10)$$

which is (3.3) with $C(d, k) = \tilde{a}^{-1}$.

It remains to justify (3.4). This follows from (2.5), (3.10), and (3.7), together with the norm inequality

$$\left\| \int_{SO(d)} F_{s,t}(U) d\mu(U) \right\|_X \leq \int_{SO(d)} \|F_{s,t}(U)\|_X d\mu(U);$$

on the Banach space $X = \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}; \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Q}_+))$, with $F_{s,t}(U) = (R^t)_U(f_s)(x)$ and x being fixed.

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is thus completed. \square

Since conjugation by $U \in SO(d)$ is an isometry on all L^p spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have, for $f_s \in \mathcal{D}(k)$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |[(R^*)_U f_s]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p = \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |R^* f_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

Thus, in view of $\mu(SO(d)) = 1$ and Minkowski's integral inequality Proposition 3.1 eq. (3.4) allows us to deduce Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 from the two theorems below. Note that, by our convention, the implicit constants from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 transfer to $A(p, k) \leq C(k)(p^*)^{3+k/2}$ and $B(p, k) \leq C(k)(p^*)^{2+k/2}$, in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 hence, also in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2; here $C(k)$ denotes a constant that depends only on k .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ and take $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then, for $f_1, \dots, f_S \in L^p$ it holds*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |R^* f_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim (p^*)^{3+k/2} \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |f_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ and take $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then for $f \in L^p$ it holds*

$$\|R^* f\|_p \lesssim (p^*)^{2+k/2} \|f\|_p.$$

4. THE METHOD OF ROTATIONS — BOUNDS FOR R^*

The goal of this section is to prove Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. This will be done by the method of rotations together with a number of duality arguments. In proving Theorem 3.2 we shall also need Khintchine's inequality.

Before going further we need a lemma on the explicit L^p bounds for the square function corresponding to the Riesz transforms R_j , $j \in I$. This will be derived from [5, Théorème 2]. The key observation in the proof of Lemma 4.1 is that $|I| \sim \dim \mathcal{H}_k$ (more precisely, $|I| \sim k! \dim \mathcal{H}_k$). We provide details for the convenience of the reader. A version of Lemma 4.1 can be also deduced from (1.4) together with an iterative applications of Khintchine's inequality. However, such an approach produces worse constants than [5, Théorème 2].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then, for $f \in L^p$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} (R_j f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim \max(p, (p-1)^{-1-k/2}) \|f\|_p.$$

Proof. By (3.7) and (3.8) we see that $\int_{S^{d-1}} (x_j)^2 \sim \frac{1}{|I|}$. Additionally, since

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_k = \binom{d+k-1}{k} - \binom{d+k-3}{k-2} = \frac{(d+k-3)!}{(k-2)!(d-1)!} \left(\frac{(d+k-2)(d+k-1)}{(k-1)k} - 1 \right)$$

and $|I| = d!/(d-k)!$, we see that $\dim \mathcal{H}_k = a(d, k) \sim d^k$, with an implicit constant depending on k but not on the dimension d . In summary, we have $|I| \sim d^k \sim a(d, k)$, so that

$$\|x_j\|_{L^2(S^{d-1}, d\omega)} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{a(d, k)}}.$$

Defining

$$Y_j(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a(d, k)} \|x_j\|_{L^2}} x_j, \quad j \in I,$$

we thus see that

$$Y_j(x) = c(d, k)x_j, \quad R_{Y_j} = c(d, k)R_j, \quad (4.1)$$

where $c(d, k) \sim 1$. Moreover, $Y_j, j \in I$, are pairwise orthogonal and satisfy

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |Y_j(\omega)|^2 d\omega = \frac{1}{a(d, k)}.$$

Completing the set $\{Y_j\}_{j \in I}$ to an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_k we obtain a larger set $\{Y_j\}_{j \in J}$, where $I \subseteq J$ and $|J| = a(d, k)$. Therefore, from [5, Théorème 2] we reach

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in J} (R_{Y_j} f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim \max(p, (p-1)^{-1-k/2}) \|f\|_p,$$

and an application of (4.1) completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Having justified Lemma 4.1 we move on to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem it follows that we may restrict the supremum in the definition (3.1) of R^* to a finite set, say $\{t_1, \dots, t_N\}$, as long as our final estimate is independent of N .

Let q be the conjugate exponent to p , i.e. such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Using duality between the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^\infty(\{t_1, \dots, t_N\}))$ and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^1(t_1, \dots, t_N))$ our task is reduced to the following equivalent inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j \in I} R_j^{t_n} R_j f(x) g_n(x) dx \right| \lesssim \max(p^{2+k/2}, (p-1)^{-2-k/2}) \|f\|_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q,$$

where $\{g_n\} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^1(t_1, \dots, t_N))$. Since for each $j \in I$ the monomial $P_j(x) = x_j$ is a real-valued function that satisfies $P_j(-x) = -P_j(x)$, by (1.2) the operators $R_j^t, j \in I$ are

skew-adjoint, i.e. $(R_j^t)^* = -R_j^t$, $j \in I$. Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Hölder's inequality, and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j \in I} R_j^{t_n} R_j f(x) g_n(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \in I} R_j f(x) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n(x) dx \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{j \in I} (R_j f(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n(x) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\
 & \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} (R_j f)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \\
 & \lesssim \max(p, (p-1)^{-1-k/2}) \|f\|_p \cdot \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can focus on proving that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \lesssim \max(p^{1+k/2}, (p-1)^{-1}) \cdot \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q \quad (4.2)$$

Here we use the method of rotations, specifically [6, 5.2.20], to the truncated Riesz transforms R_j^t obtaining

$$R_j^t f(x) = \gamma'_k \int_{S^{d-1}} \theta_j H_\theta^t f(x) d\theta. \quad (4.3)$$

Here $\gamma'_k = \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma_k$, $d\theta$ is the unnormalized surface measure on S^{d-1} (i.e. $\int_{S^{d-1}} d\theta = S_{d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$), and H_θ^t is the truncated directional Hilbert transform (see [6, Section 5.2.3] for more details). Recall that $\theta_j = \theta_{j_1} \cdots \theta_{j_k}$. In terms of the normalized surface measure $d\omega$ on S^{d-1} equality (4.3) becomes

$$R_j^t f(x) = \frac{\pi \Gamma((k+d)/2)}{\Gamma(k/2) \Gamma(d/2)} \int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_j H_\omega^t f(x) d\omega. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that since k is fixed and d is large, in view of (1.9) we have

$$\frac{\pi \Gamma((k+d)/2)}{\Gamma(k/2) \Gamma(d/2)} \sim d^{k/2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Now, take numbers $\lambda_j(x)$, $j \in I$, such that

$$\left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n(x) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n(x), \quad \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j^2 = 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (4.6), (4.4), and (4.5) followed by Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_n(x) \right|^q dx \\
 & \lesssim^q d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_n(x) d\omega \right|^q dx \\
 & \leq d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j \right|^p d\omega \right)^{q/p} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_n(x) \right|^q d\omega dx; \quad (4.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

with the meaning of \lesssim^q being explained in Section 1.2 item (7).

We deal with the first inner integral in (4.7). Using [5, Lemme, p. 195], the formula $\sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x)^2 = 1$, and (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j \right|^p d\omega \right)^{1/p} \lesssim p^{k/2} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j \right|^2 d\omega \right)^{1/2} \\
 & = p^{k/2} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x)^2 \omega_j^2 d\omega \right)^{1/2} = p^{k/2} \left(\sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x)^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_{(1, \dots, k)}^2 d\omega \right)^{1/2} \quad (4.8) \\
 & = p^{k/2} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_1^2 \cdots \omega_k^2 d\omega \right)^{1/2} \sim p^{k/2} d^{-k/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality above follows from the observation that if we expand the squared sum, then only the diagonal terms contribute non-zero integrals over S^{d-1} . Note that an application of [5, Lemme, p. 195] is permitted here, since for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the function $\sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j$ belongs to \mathcal{H}_k . At this point it is again important that $j \in I$. Inequality (4.8) implies

$$\left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j(x) \omega_j \right|^p d\omega \right)^{q/p} \lesssim^q p^{kq/2} d^{-kq/2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Collecting (4.7) and (4.9), we see that the proof of (4.2) will be finished if we show that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_n \right\|_q \lesssim p^* \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q,$$

uniformly in $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. To this end we use duality between the spaces L^q and L^p which lets us write the following equivalent inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_n(x) h(x) dx \right| \lesssim p^* \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q \|h\|_p, \quad h \in L^p. \quad (4.10)$$

Using Hölder's inequality on the left-hand side of (4.10) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N H_{\omega}^{t_n} g_n(x) h(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n(x) H_{\omega}^{t_n} h(x)| dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |H_{\omega}^{t_n} h(x)| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n(x)| dx \leq \|H_{\omega}^* h\|_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q \\ & \leq \|H^*\|_p \|h\|_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |g_n| \right\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

where H_{ω}^* is the maximal directional Hilbert transform on \mathbb{R}^d and H^* is the maximal Hilbert transform on \mathbb{R} . In the last inequality we used the fact that for $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ it holds $\|H_{\omega}^*\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|H^*\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$. Finally H^* is bounded on L^p and $\|H^*\|_p \lesssim p^*$, see [7, Theorem 4.2.4, eq. (4.2.4)]. This completes the proof of (4.10) and hence also the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

We shall now prove Theorem 3.2. The main idea is similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 3.3. The computations, however, are more involved, mainly because of a need for extra (Khintchine's) inequalities.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we reduce the supremum to a finite sequence of positive numbers t_1, \dots, t_N , which leaves us with the goal to prove

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \sup_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left| \sum_{j \in I} R_j^{t_n} R_j f_s \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim \max(p^{3+k/2}, (p-1)^{-3-k/2}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p. \quad (4.11)$$

We use duality between the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; E_{\infty})$ and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d; E_1)$, with

$$E_{\infty} = \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}; \ell^{\infty}(\{t_1, \dots, t_N\})), \quad E_1 = \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}; \ell^1(\{t_1, \dots, t_N\})),$$

and p and q being conjugate exponents. This allows us to write (4.11) in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{j \in I} R_j^{t_n} R_j f_s(x) g_{s,n}(x) dx \right| \\ & \lesssim \max(p^{3+k/2}, (p-1)^{-3-k/2}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for any $g_{s,n} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d; E_1)$. Since $(R_j^{t_n})^* = -R_j^{t_n}$ for $j \in I$, an application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j \in I} R_j^{t_n} R_j f_s(x) g_{s,n}(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S R_j f_s(x) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S (R_j f_s(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\ & \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S (R_j f_s)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in order to prove (4.12) it is enough to show that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S (R_j f_s)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{3}{2}}, (p-1)^{-\frac{3}{2}-k/2}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{3}{2}+k/2}, (p-1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q, \quad (4.14)$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_N .

It turns out that (4.14) implies (4.13). Indeed, switching the roles of p and q and taking $g_{s,1} = f_s$ and all other $g_{s,n} = 0$ in (4.14) we obtain a variant of (4.13) with R_j replaced by $R_j^{t_1}$, namely

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S (R_j^{t_1} f_s)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim \max(p^{\frac{3}{2}}, (p-1)^{-\frac{3}{2}-k/2}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p. \quad (4.15)$$

Now, since $\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow 0} R_j^{t_1} f_s = R_j f_s$, an application of Fatou's lemma shows that (4.15), being uniform in $t_1 > 0$, implies (4.13) with the same constants.

Therefore, in what follows we will focus on establishing (4.14). Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.3 we take numbers $\lambda_{s,j}(x)$, $s \in \{1, \dots, S\}$, $j \in I$, such that

$$\left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x)$$

and $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}^2 = 1$ and we use the method of rotations (4.4). Together with (4.5) this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N R_j^{tn} g_{s,n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q^q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{s,j}(x) R_j^{tn} g_{s,n}(x) \right|^q dx \\ & \lesssim^q d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j H_\omega^{tn} g_{s,n}(x) d\omega \right|^q dx; \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

recall here the meaning of \lesssim^q in Section 1.2 item (7).

At this point we need to use Khintchine's inequality. Let $\{r_s\}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$, be the Rademacher functions, see [6, Appendix C]. These form an orthonormal set on $L^2([0, 1])$. Moreover we have Khintchine's inequality ([6, Appendix C.2])

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j r_j \right\|_{L^p([0,1])} \lesssim p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.17)$$

for any real sequence $(a_s)_{s=1}^{\infty}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The explicit bounds on constants in (4.17) follow from explicit values of the optimal constants established by Haagerup [8] together with Stirling's formula (1.9). Using the orthogonality of $\{r_s\}$ and Hölder's inequality we write the right-hand side of (4.16) as

$$\begin{aligned} & d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j H_\omega^{tn} g_{s,n}(x) d\omega \right|^q dx \\ & = d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{tn} g_{s,n}(x) r_s(\xi) \right) d\xi d\omega \right|^q dx \\ & \leq d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^p d\xi d\omega \right)^{q/p} \\ & \quad \times \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{tn} g_{s,n}(x) r_s(\xi) \right|^q d\xi d\omega dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

We shall now estimate the inner integral in the third line of (4.18). Here the proof splits into two cases.

If $p \geq 2$, we apply Khintchine's inequality (4.17), Minkowski's inequality and [5, Lemme, p. 195], obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^p d\xi d\omega &\lesssim^p p^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{j \in I} \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j \right)^2 \right)^{p/2} d\omega \\ &\leq p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j \right|^p d\omega \right)^{2/p} \right)^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim^p p^{\frac{p}{2}} p^{kp/2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \int_{S^{d-1}} \left(\sum_{j \in I} \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j \right)^2 d\omega \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here an application of [5, Lemme, p. 195] is justified since $\omega_j \in \mathcal{H}_k$ for $j \in I$ and thus also the sum $\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi)$ belongs to \mathcal{H}_k for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in [0, 1]$. Now, using the orthogonality of ω_j , $j \in I$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^p d\xi d\omega &\lesssim^p p^{\frac{p}{2}} p^{kp/2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_{s,j}(x)^2 \omega_j^2 d\omega \right)^{p/2} = p^{\frac{p}{2}} p^{kp/2} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_{(1,\dots,k)}^2 d\omega \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

If on the other hand $1 < p < 2$, an application of Hölder's inequality together with (4.19) in the case $p = 2$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^p d\xi d\omega &\leq \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi d\omega \right)^{p/2} \lesssim \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_{(1,\dots,k)}^2 d\omega \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether (4.19) remains true for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. Thus, using (3.9) we arrive at

$$d^{kq/2} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j r_s(\xi) \right|^p d\xi d\omega \right)^{q/p} \lesssim^q p^{\frac{q}{2}} p^{kq/2}.$$

Returning to (4.18) we have thus proved

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(d^{kq/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{s,j}(x) \omega_j H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) d\omega \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & \lesssim p^{\frac{1}{2}+k/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) r_s(\xi) \right|^q d\xi d\omega dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.16), (4.18) we see that (4.14) will follow if we establish

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) r_s(\xi) \right|^q d\xi d\omega dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \max(p, (p-1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

In the remainder of the proof we thus focus on justifying (4.20). We use Khintchine's inequality (4.17) on the left-hand side of (4.20) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) r_s(\xi) \right|^q d\xi d\omega dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & \lesssim q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) \right)^2 \right)^{q/2} dx d\omega \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q \lesssim \max(1, (p-1)^{-1})$ our goal is now to prove the uniform in $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ estimate

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q. \quad (4.21)$$

Using duality between the spaces $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}))$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}))$ we write an equivalent inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) k_s(x) dx \right| \\ & \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where $k_s \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}))$. Since $(H_\omega^{t_n})^* = -H_\omega^{t_n}$, Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Hölder’s inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N H_\omega^{t_n} g_{s,n}(x) k_s(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N g_{s,n}(x) H_\omega^{t_n} k_s(x) dx \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}(x)| |H_\omega^* k_s(x)| dx \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}(x)| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S (H_\omega^* k_s(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} dx \\
 & \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |g_{s,n}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H_\omega^* k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p. \tag{4.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (4.22) and (4.23) we see that (4.21) will follow if we justify

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H_\omega^* k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

By rotational invariance the above inequality reduces to its one-dimensional case

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H^* k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.24}$$

Inequality (4.24) can be deduced along the lines of [6, Section 5.6]. We sketch the argument for the convenience of the reader.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth even function which satisfies $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < 2$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 4$. Denoting $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi(x/t)$, $\chi_t(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(t, \infty)}(|x|)x^{-1}$ we have the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^* f(x) & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{t>0} |(\varphi_t \chi_t * f)(x)| + \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{t>0} |((1 - \varphi_t) \chi_t) * f(x)| \\
 & =: H_\varphi^* f(x) + H_{1-\varphi}^* f(x) \\
 & \lesssim \mathcal{M} f(x) + H_{1-\varphi}^* f(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where \mathcal{M} denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on \mathbb{R} . Thus, from [6, Theorem 5.6.6] we obtain

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H_\varphi^* k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence, (4.24) will be justified if we show that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H_{1-\varphi}^* k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim p^* \left\| \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |k_s|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (4.26)$$

We will obtain (4.26) from [6, Theorem 5.6.1] applied to

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \ell^2(\{1, \dots, S\}; L^\infty(0, \infty))$$

and

$$\vec{K}(x)(u) = ((1 - \varphi_t)\chi_t(x) \cdot u_1, \dots, (1 - \varphi_t)\chi_t(x) \cdot u_S) \in \mathcal{B}_2, \quad (4.27)$$

for any sequence $u = (u_s)_{s=1}^S \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Then, taking $e_s = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, with 1 on the s -th coordinate, we can see that the operator \vec{T} defined in [6, 5.6.4] satisfies

$$\vec{T} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s e_s \right) (x) = (H_{1-\varphi}^t f_1(x), \dots, H_{1-\varphi}^t f_S(x)) \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\left\| \vec{T} \left(\sum_{s=1}^S f_s e_s \right) (x) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^S |H_{1-\varphi}^* f_s(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

for any sequence $(f_s)_{s=1}^S$ of smooth functions that vanish at infinity. In order to use [6, Theorem 5.6.1] we need to verify conditions (5.6.1), (5.6.2) and (5.6.3) from [6] and check that \vec{T} is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_2)$.

The condition (5.6.1) is a straightforward consequence of (4.27) and the fact that \vec{K} is an odd function implies that the condition (5.6.3) is also satisfied with $\vec{K}_0 = 0$.

To check the condition (5.6.2) denote $g_t(x) = (1 - \varphi_t)\chi_t(x)$ and observe that

$$\left\| \vec{K}(x-y) - \vec{K}(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2} = \sup_{t>0} |g_t(x-y) - g_t(x)|.$$

In view of the above equality and the fact that $g_t(x) = (1 - \varphi_t(x))x^{-1}$ a short calculation shows that

$$\left\| \vec{K}(x-y) - \vec{K}(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2} \lesssim \frac{|y|}{|x-y|^2}, \quad |x| \geq 2|y|,$$

so that (5.6.2) follows.

It remains to justify the boundedness of \vec{T} from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_1)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_2)$. A reasoning similar to (4.25) gives the pointwise bound

$$H_{1-\varphi}^* f(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}f(x) + H^* f(x).$$

Therefore the desired L^2 boundedness of \vec{T} is a consequence of (4.28) and the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ boundedness of \mathcal{M} and H^* . This allows us to use [6, Theorem 5.6.1] and completes the proof of (4.26) hence also the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bañuelos, G. Wang, *Sharp inequalities for martingales with applications to the Beurling–Ahlfors and Riesz transforms*, Duke Math. J. 80 (1995), 575–600.
- [2] J. Bourgain, *On high dimensional maximal functions associated to convex bodies*, Amer. J. Math. 108, (1986), 1467–1476.
- [3] A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund, *On singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956) 289–309.
- [4] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg, *Bellman functions and dimensionless estimates of Littlewood–Paley type*, J. Oper. Theory (1) 56 (2006), 167–198.
- [5] J. Duoandikoetxea, J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Estimaciones independientes de la dimensión para las transformées de Riesz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 300 (1985), 193–196.
- [6] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 249, third edition, Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014.
- [7] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 250, third edition, Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014.
- [8] U. Haagerup, *The best constants in the Khintchine inequality*, Studia Math. (3) 70 (1982), 231–283.
- [9] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*. Reprint of the second (1990) edition, Springer, Berlin; Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [10] T. Iwaniec, G. Martin, *Riesz transforms and related singular integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 473 (1996), 25–57.
- [11] P. Janakiraman, *Weak-type estimates for singular integrals and the Riesz transform*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), no. 2, 533–555.
- [12] M. Kucharski, B. Wróbel, *A dimension-free estimate on L^2 for the maximal Riesz transform in terms of the Riesz transform*, accepted in Math. Annalen 05.2022, arXiv:2105.10519.
- [13] J. Mateu, J. Orobitg, C. Pérez, J. Verdera, *New Estimates for the Maximal Singular Integral*, Int. Math. Res. Not, (19) 2010 (2010), 3658–3722.
- [14] J. Mateu, J. Orobitg, J. Verdera, *Estimates for the maximal singular integral in terms of the singular integral: the case of even kernels*, Ann. Math. 174 (2011), 1429–1483.
- [15] J. Mateu, J. Verdera, *L^p and weak L^1 estimates for the maximal Riesz transform and the maximal Beurling transform*, Math. Res. Lett. (6) 13 (2006), 957–966.
- [16] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1970).
- [17] E. M. Stein, *Some results in harmonic analysis in \mathbb{R}^n , for $n \rightarrow \infty$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1) (1983), pp. 71–73.
- [18] S. Sýkora, *Surface Integrals over n -Dimensional Spheres*, <http://www.ebyte.it/library/docs/math05a/nDimSphereSurfa>

MACIEJ KUCHARSKI, INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PLAC GRUNWALDZKI
2, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND
Email address: mkuchar@math.uni.wroc.pl

BŁAŻEJ WRÓBEL, INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PLAC GRUNWALDZKI
2, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND
Email address: blazej.wrobel@math.uni.wroc.pl

JACEK ZIENKIEWICZ, INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PLAC GRUNWALDZKI
2, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND
Email address: Jacek.Zienkiewicz@math.uni.wroc.pl