

# CRITICAL POINTS OF DISCRETE PERIODIC OPERATORS

M. FAUST AND F. SOTTILE

ABSTRACT. We study the spectrum of operators on periodic graphs using methods from combinatorial algebraic geometry. Our main result is a bound on the number of complex critical points of the Bloch variety, together with an effective criterion for when this bound is attained. We show that this criterion holds for periodic graphs of dimensions 2 and 3 with sufficiently many edges and use our results to establish the spectral edges conjecture for some periodic graphs of dimension 2. Our larger goal is to develop new methods to address questions in spectral theory.

## INTRODUCTION

The spectrum of a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic self-adjoint operator  $L$  consists of intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Floquet theory reveals that the spectrum is a projection of the *Bloch variety*, an analytic hypersurface in  $(S^1)^d \times \mathbb{R}$ . When the operator is discrete, the complexification of the Bloch variety is an algebraic variety. Thus techniques from algebraic geometry and related areas may be used to address some questions in spectral theory. In the 1990's Gieseke, Knörrer, and Trubowitz [13] used algebraic geometry to study the Schrödinger operator on the grid graph  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  with a periodic potential and established a number of results, including isospectrality of the Bloch variety, the irreducibility of its Fermi varieties, and determined the density of states. While this line of research lay fallow for nearly 30 years, there is now a resurgence of interest in using algebraic methods in spectral theory. This includes investigating the irreducibility of Bloch and Fermi varieties [10, 18, 21], Fermi isospectrality [20], density of states [15], and extrema and critical points of Bloch varieties [1, 8, 21]. We use techniques from combinatorial algebraic geometry and geometric combinatorics [26] to study critical points of the Bloch variety of a discrete periodic operator.

An old and widely believed conjecture in mathematical physics concerns the structure of the Bloch variety near the edges of the spectrum. Namely, that for  $L$  sufficiently general, the extrema of the Bloch variety are nondegenerate. This *spectral edges conjecture* is stated in [17, Conj. 5.25], and it also appears in [5, 16, 22, 23]. Important notions, such as effective masses in solid state physics, the Liouville property, Green's function asymptotics, Anderson localization, homogenization, and many other assumed properties in physics, depend upon this conjecture. This is discussed in [8, Sect. 1.4].

This conjecture remains open, even for discrete operators on periodic graphs. For these, the operators for a given graph form an algebraic family. We consider a property which

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81U30,81Q10,14M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Bloch Variety, Schrödinger operator, Kushnirenko Theorem, Toric Variety, Newton Polytope.

Research supported in part by Simons Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians 636314.

implies the spectral edges conjecture: A family of operators has the *critical points property* if for almost all operators in the family, all critical points (not just the extrema) are nondegenerate. Algebraic geometry was used in [8] to prove the following dichotomy: For a given algebraic family of discrete periodic operators, either the critical points property holds for that family, or almost all operators in the family have Bloch varieties with degenerate critical points.

In [8], this dichotomy was used to establish the critical points property for the family of Laplace-Beltrami difference operators on the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -periodic diatomic graph of Figure 1. Bloch varieties for these operators were shown to have at most 32 critical points. A single example was computed to have 32 nondegenerate critical points. Standard arguments from algebraic geometry (see Section 5) implied that the critical points property holds for this family, and therefore also the spectral edges conjecture.

We extend part of that argument to operators on many periodic graphs. The (complexified) Bloch variety is a hypersurface in the product  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  of a complex torus and the complex line defined by a Laurent polynomial  $D(z, \lambda)$ .

We state a version of our first result. Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic graph. Fix a fundamental domain  $W$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . The support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the set of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\Gamma$  has an edge with endpoints in both  $W$  and  $a+W$ .

**Theorem A.** *The Bloch variety of a Schrödinger operator on  $\Gamma$  has at most*

$$d! |W|^{d+1} \text{vol}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma))$$

*critical points. Here,  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma))$  is the Euclidean volume of the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ .*

This bound uses an outer approximation for the Newton polytope of  $D(z, \lambda)$  (see Lemma 4.1). Corollary 2.4 is a more precise version.

**Example.** We illustrate Theorem A on the example from [8, Sect. 4]. Figure 1 shows a periodic graph  $\Gamma$  with  $d = 2$  whose fundamental domain  $W$  has two vertices and its




FIGURE 1. A dense periodic graph  $\Gamma$  with the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ .

support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  consists of the columns of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Figure 1 also displays the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ . As  $|W| = 2$  and  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)) = 2$ , Theorem A implies that any Bloch variety for an operator on  $\Gamma$  has at most

$$d! |W|^{d+1} \text{vol}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)) = 2! \cdot 2^{2+1} \cdot 2 = 32$$

critical points, which is the bound demonstrated in [8].  $\diamond$

The bound of Corollary 2.4 arises as follows. There is a natural compactification of  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  by a projective toric variety  $X$  associated to the Newton polytope,  $P$ , of  $D(z, \lambda)$  [12, Ch. 5]. The critical point equations become linear equations on  $X$  whose number of solutions is the degree of  $X$ . By Kushnirenko's Theorem [14], this degree is the normalized volume of  $P$ . This bound is attained exactly when there are no solutions at infinity, which is the set  $\partial X := X \setminus ((\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C})$  of points added in the compactification.

The compactified Bloch variety is a hypersurface in  $X$ . We show that when  $P$  has no vertical faces, any solution on  $\partial X$  to the critical point equations is a singular point of the intersection of this hypersurface with  $\partial X$ .

**Theorem B.** *If  $P$  has no vertical faces, then the bound of Corollary 2.4 is attained exactly when the compactified Bloch variety is smooth along  $\partial X$ .*

We give a class of graphs whose typical Bloch variety is smooth at infinity and whose Newton polytopes have no vertical faces. A periodic graph  $\Gamma$  is *dense* if it has as many edges as possible, given its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  and fundamental domain  $W$ .

**Theorem C.** *When  $d = 2$  or  $3$  the Bloch variety of a generic operator on a dense periodic graph is smooth along  $\partial X$ , its Newton polytope has no vertical faces, and the bound of Theorem A is attained.*

This is an example of a recent trend in computational algebraic geometry in which a highly structured optimization problem is shown to unexpectedly achieve a combinatorial bound on the number of critical points. A first instance was [8], which inspired [4] and [19].

Section 1 presents background on the spectrum of an operator on a periodic graph, and formulates our goal to bound the number of critical points on the Bloch variety. Theorems A, B, and C are proven in Sections 2, 3, and 4. In Section 5, we use these results to prove the spectral edges conjecture for operators on three periodic graphs.


## 1. OPERATORS ON PERIODIC GRAPHS

Let  $d$  be a positive integer. We write  $\mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  for the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers and  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid |z| = 1\}$  for its compact subgroup. Note that if  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , then  $\bar{z} = z^{-1}$ . We write edges of a graph as pairs,  $(u, v)$  with  $u, v$  vertices, and understand that  $(u, v) = (v, u)$ .

**1.1. Operators on periodic graphs.** For more, see [2]. A  $(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -periodic graph is a locally finite simple (no multiple edges or loops) graph  $\Gamma$  with a free cocompact action of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  acts freely on the vertices,  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ , and edges,  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , of  $\Gamma$  preserving incidences, and has finitely many orbits. Figure 2 shows two  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -periodic graphs. One is the molecular structure of graphene and the other is an abelian cover of  $K_4$ .

It is useful to consider  $\Gamma$  immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so that  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  acts on  $\Gamma$  via translations. The graphs in Figure 2 are each immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and for each we show two independent vectors that generate the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action.

Choose a fundamental domain for this  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action whose boundary does not contain a vertex of  $\Gamma$ . In Figure 2, we have shaded fundamental domains. Let  $W$  be the vertices

FIGURE 2. Two  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -periodic graphs.

of  $\Gamma$  lying in the fundamental domain. Then  $W$  is a set of representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -orbits of  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ . Every  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -orbit of edges contains one or two edges incident on  $W$ . An edge incident on  $W$  has the form  $(u, a+v)$  for some  $u, v \in W$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . (If  $a = 0$ , then  $u \neq v$  as  $\Gamma$  has no loops, and there are no restrictions when  $a \neq 0$ .) The support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the set of  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $(u, a+v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$  for some  $u, v \in W$ . This finite set depends on the choice of fundamental domain and it is centrally symmetric in that  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = -\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ . For both graphs in Figure 2, this set consists of the columns of  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

A labeling of  $\Gamma$  is a pair of functions  $c: \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $V: \mathcal{V}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -invariant (constant on orbits). The set of labelings is the finite-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{R}^E \times \mathbb{R}^W$ , where  $E$  is the set of orbits on  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ . Given a labeling  $c = (c, V)$ , we have the Schrödinger operator  $L_c$  acting on functions  $f$  on  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ . It is defined by its value at  $u \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$L_c(f)(u) := V(u)f(u) + \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} c_{(u,v)}(f(u) - f(v)).$$

We call  $L_c$  a *discrete periodic operator* on  $\Gamma$ , and may often omit the subscript  $c$ . It is a bounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  of square-summable functions on  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ , and has real spectrum.

**1.2. Floquet theory.** As the action of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  on  $\Gamma$  commutes with the operator  $L$ , we may apply the Fourier transform. This reveals important structure of its spectrum. A standard reference for this *Floquet theory* is [2, Ch. 4] (see also [8, 16, 17]).

The compact torus  $\mathbb{T}^d$  is the group of unitary characters of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the corresponding character value is the Laurent monomial

$$z^a := z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} \cdots z_d^{a_d}.$$

The Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of a function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  is a function on  $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  such that for  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$  and  $u \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$(1) \quad \hat{f}(z, a+u) = z^a \hat{f}(z, u) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Thus  $\hat{f}$  is determined by its values at the vertices  $W$  in the fundamental domain. Fourier transform is an isomorphism  $\ell^2(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{C}^W)$ , from  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  to square-integrable functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with values in the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^W$ .

Let  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{C}^W)$ . Then for  $u \in W$ ,  $\hat{f}(u)$  is a function of  $z$ . The action of the operator  $L$  on the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is given by the formula

$$(2) \quad L(\hat{f})(u) = V(u)\hat{f}(u) + \sum_{(u,a+v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} c_{(u,a+v)}(\hat{f}(u) - z^a \hat{f}(v)),$$

as  $\hat{f}(a+v) = z^a \hat{f}(v)$ . The exponents  $a$  which appear lie in the support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Thus in the standard basis for  $\mathbb{C}^W$ , the operator  $L$  becomes multiplication by a square matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by elements of  $W$ . Writing  $\delta_{u,v}$  for the Kronecker delta function, the matrix entry in position  $(u, v)$  is the function

$$(3) \quad \delta_{u,v} \left( V(u) + \sum_{(u,w) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} c_{(u,w)} \right) - \sum_{(u,a+v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)} c_{(u,a+v)} z^a .$$

*Example 1.1.* Let  $\Gamma$  be the graphene graph from Figure 2. Figure 3 shows a labeling in a neighborhood of its fundamental domain. Thus  $W = \{u, v\}$  consists of two vertices and




FIGURE 3. A labeling of graphene.

there are three (orbits of) edges, with labels  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . The operator  $L$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{f})(u) &= V(u)\hat{f}(u) + \alpha(\hat{f}(u) - \hat{f}(v)) + \beta(\hat{f}(u) - x^{-1}\hat{f}(v)) + \gamma(\hat{f}(u) - y^{-1}\hat{f}(v)), \\ L(\hat{f})(v) &= V(v)\hat{f}(v) + \alpha(\hat{f}(v) - \hat{f}(u)) + \beta(\hat{f}(v) - x\hat{f}(u)) + \gamma(\hat{f}(v) - y\hat{f}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting coefficients of  $\hat{f}(u), \hat{f}(v)$ , we represent  $L$  by the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix,

$$(4) \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} V(u) + \alpha + \beta + \gamma & -\alpha - \beta x^{-1} - \gamma y^{-1} \\ -\alpha - \beta x - \gamma y & V(v) + \alpha + \beta + \gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

whose entries are Laurent polynomials in  $x, y$ . Observe that for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $L^T = \overline{L}$ , so that  $L$  is Hermitian, showing again that the operator  $L$  is self-adjoint.  $\diamond$

What we saw for graphene holds in general. In the standard basis for  $\mathbb{C}^W$ ,  $L$  is multiplication by a  $|W| \times |W|$ -matrix  $L(z)$  with each entry (3) a finite sum of monomials with exponents from  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  (a *Laurent polynomial with support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$* ). Note that  $(u, a+v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $(-a+u, v) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ , these edges have the same label, and for  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ ,  $\overline{z^a} = z^{-a}$ . Thus for  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , the matrix is Hermitian, as  $L(z)^T = L(z^{-1}) = \overline{L(z)}$ .




FIGURE 4. A Bloch variety and spectral bands for graphene.

**1.3. Critical points of the Bloch variety.** As  $L(z)$  is Hermitian for  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , its spectrum is real and consists of its  $|W|$  eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(z) \leq \lambda_2(z) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{|W|}(z).$$

These eigenvalues vary continuously with  $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , and we call  $\lambda_j(z)$  the  $j$ th *band function*. The eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$(5) \quad D(z, \lambda) = D_c(z, \lambda) := \det(L_c(z) - \lambda I),$$

which we call the *dispersion relation*. Its vanishing defines a hypersurface  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda))$  in  $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ , called the *Bloch variety* of the operator  $L$ . Its projection to  $\mathbb{R}$  is the spectrum  $\sigma(L)$  of the operator  $L$ . The image is a union of closed intervals called *spectral bands*.

Figure 4 shows this for the operator  $L$  on graphene with edge weights 6, 3, 2 and zero potential  $V$ —for this we unfurl  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , representing it by a fundamental domain  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]^2$  in its universal cover.

An endpoint of a spectral band (*spectral edge*) is the image of an extremum of some band function  $\lambda_j(z)$ . For graphene at these parameters, each band function has two nondegenerate extrema, and these give the four spectral edges.

The *spectral edges conjecture* [17, Conj. 5.25] asserts that this holds in general. More precisely, the spectral edges conjecture for a periodic graph  $\Gamma$  asserts that for generic values of the parameters  $(c, V)$ , each spectral edge is attained by a single band, the extrema on the Bloch variety are isolated and are nondegenerate (have nonvanishing Hessians).

The entries in the matrix  $L(z)$  and the function (5) defining the Bloch variety are all (Laurent) polynomials. In this setting it is natural to allow complex parameters,  $c: \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V: \mathcal{V}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and variables  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . With complex parameters and variables,  $L_c(z)$  is no longer Hermitian, but it does satisfy  $L_c(z)^T = L_c(z^{-1})$  and the Bloch variety is the complex algebraic hypersurface  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda))$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  defined by the vanishing of the dispersion relation  $D_c(z, \lambda)$  of  $L_c(z)$  (5).

Nondegeneracy of spectral edges is implied by the stronger condition that all critical points of the function  $\lambda$  on the complex Bloch variety are nondegenerate. Understanding the critical points is a first step. Our aim is to bound the number of (isolated) critical points on the Bloch variety of a given operator  $L$ , give criteria for when the bound is

attained, prove that it is attained for general operators on a class of graphs, and finally to use these results to prove the spectral edges conjecture for  $2^{19} + 2$  graphs. We treat these in the following four sections.

## 2. BOUNDING THE NUMBER OF CRITICAL POINTS

The complex Bloch variety is the hypersurface  $\text{Var}(D(z, \lambda))$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  defined by the dispersion relation  $D(z, \lambda)$ . Critical points of  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety are where  $\partial\lambda/\partial z_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Implicit differentiation of  $0 = D(z, \lambda)$  gives

$$0 = \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Thus a point  $(z, \lambda) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  is a critical point of  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety if

$$D(z, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_i} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

(If also  $\partial D/\partial \lambda = 0$ , then  $(z, \lambda)$  is a singular point of the Bloch variety and is critical for any function.) Since  $z_i \neq 0$ , we have the equivalent system

$$(6) \quad D(z, \lambda) = z_1 \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_1} = \dots = z_d \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_d} = 0,$$

which we call the *critical point equations*. We summarize this discussion.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A point  $(z, \lambda) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  is a critical point of the function  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety  $\text{Var}(D(z, \lambda))$  if and only if (6) holds.*

Bézout's Theorem [24, Sect. 4.2.1] gives an upper bound on the number of critical points: Multiply each Laurent polynomial in (6) by a monomial to clear denominators and obtain ordinary polynomials. The product of their degrees is an upper bound for the number of solutions. Polyhedral bounds that exploit the structure of the Laurent polynomials are typically much smaller. Sources for these are [6, Ch. 7], [12, Ch. 5], and [25, Ch. 3].

Let  $\mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$  be the ring of Laurent polynomials in  $z_1, \dots, z_d, \lambda$  where  $\lambda$  occurs with only nonnegative exponents. Note that  $D(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$ . The *support*  $\mathcal{A}(\psi) \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}$  of a polynomial  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$  is the set of exponents of monomials in  $\psi$ . The *Newton polytope*  $\mathcal{N}(\psi) := \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(\psi))$  of  $\psi$  is the convex hull of its support. Write  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi))$  for the  $(d+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean volume of the Newton polytope of  $\psi$ . We say that  $\psi$  is *not horizontal* if at least two distinct powers of  $\lambda$  appear in its monomials.

*Example 2.2.* Let us continue the example of graphene. Writing  $\ell$  for  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - \lambda$ , the dispersion relation  $D(x, y; \lambda)$  of the matrix (4) is

$$(V(u) + \ell)(V(v) + \ell) - (-\alpha - \beta x^{-1} - \gamma y^{-1})(-\alpha - \beta x - \gamma y).$$

In Figure 5 the monomials in  $D(x, y; \lambda)$  label the columns of a  $3 \times 9$  array which are their exponent vectors. Figure 5 also shows its Newton polytope, which has volume 2.  $\diamond$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For a non-horizontal polynomial  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$ , the critical point equations*

$$(7) \quad \psi(z, \lambda) = z_1 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_1} = \dots = z_d \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_d} = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
x & xy^{-1} & y^{-1} & x^{-1} & x^{-1}y & y & 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}$$




FIGURE 5. Support of graphene operator and Newton polytope.

have at most  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi))$  isolated solutions in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$ , counted with multiplicity.

As explained in [24, Ch. 8], each isolated solution  $p$  to a system of polynomials (7) comes with a local multiplicity, which is a positive integer encoding the order of vanishing of the polynomials in (7) at  $p$ . The multiplicity is 1 when the differentials of the polynomials at  $p$  are linearly independent. We will always count solutions with this multiplicity.

Since  $D(z, \lambda) = \det(L(z) - \lambda I)$ , it is monic of degree  $|W| > 0$  in  $\lambda$  with  $D(z, 0) = \det(L(z))$ , and therefore non-horizontal. We deduce the following from Theorem 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *The number of critical points of the function  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety for an operator  $L$  on a discrete periodic graph is at most  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(D))$ .*

We prove Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 after developing some preliminary results.

**2.1. A little algebraic geometry.** For more facts from algebraic geometry, see [7, 24]. A *variety* is the common zeroes of some polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,

$$\text{Var}(f_1, \dots, f_r) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_1(x) = \dots = f_r(x) = 0\}.$$

We may replace any factor  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , and then allow the corresponding variable to have negative exponents. The complement of a variety  $X$  is a (Zariski) open set. A variety is irreducible if it is not the union of two proper subvarieties. For an irreducible variety, any nonempty open set is dense (even in the classical topology) and any nonempty classically open set is dense in the Zariski topology.

Maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  of varieties are given by  $m$  polynomials on  $X$ , and the image  $f(X)$  contains an open subset of its closure. The *smooth (nonsingular)* locus in  $X = \text{Var}(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is the open subset of points where the Jacobian of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  has maximal rank on  $X$ . The kernel of the Jacobian at  $x \in X$  is the tangent space at  $x$ . The dimension of an irreducible variety is the dimension of a tangent space at any smooth point. An isolated point  $x$  of  $X$  has multiplicity one exactly when it is nonsingular.

If  $X$  is irreducible, then any proper subvariety has smaller dimension. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of varieties with  $f(X)$  dense in  $Y$ , then there is an open subset  $U$  of  $Y$  such that if  $y \in U$ , then  $\dim f^{-1}(y) + \dim Y = \dim X$ . We also have Bertini's Theorem: if  $X$  is smooth, then  $U$  may be chosen so that for every  $y \in U$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is smooth.

Projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the set of one-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and is compact. It has dimension  $n-1$  and subvarieties are given by homogeneous polynomials.

The set  $U_0$  of lines spanned by vectors whose initial coordinate is nonzero is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  under  $v \mapsto \text{span}(1, v)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is a compactification of  $U_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .

**2.2. Polyhedral bounds.** The expression  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi))$  of Theorem 2.3 is the *normalized volume* of  $\mathcal{N}(\psi)$ . This is Kushnirenko's bound [12, Ch. 6, Thm. 2.2] for the number of isolated solutions in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1}$  to a system of  $d+1$  polynomials, all with Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(\psi)$ . To prove Theorem 2.3, we first explain why Kushnirenko's bound applies to the system (7), and then why it bounds the number of solutions on the larger space  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $z^a \lambda^j$  be a monomial in  $\mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$ , then  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , this is an eigenvector for the operator  $z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$  with eigenvalue  $a_i$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \psi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\psi)$ , giving the inclusion  $\mathcal{N}(z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \psi) \subset \mathcal{N}(\psi)$ . A refined version of Kushnirenko's Theorem in which the polynomials may have different Newton polytopes is Bernstein's theorem [6, Sect. 7.5], which is in terms of a quantity called mixed volume, whose properties are developed in [9, Ch. IV]. The mixed volume of polytopes is monotone under inclusion of polytopes and it equals the normalized volume when all polytopes coincide. It follows that the theorems of Bernstein and Kushnirenko together give the bound of  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi))$  for the number of isolated solutions to the system (7) in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1}$ . To extend this to solutions in the larger space  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$ , we develop some theory of projective toric varieties.

**2.3. Projective toric varieties.** For Kushnirenko's Theorem and our extension, we replace the nonlinear equations (7) on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$  by linear equations on a projective variety. We follow the discussion of [25, Ch. 3]. Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$  be a polynomial with support  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(f)$ . We will at times assume that the origin  $\mathbf{0}$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}$ , to simplify the presentation. The results hold without this assumption, as explained in [25, Ch. 3].

Writing  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$  for the vector space with basis indexed by elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\mathcal{A}} : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}} \\ (z, \lambda) &\longmapsto (z^a \lambda^j \mid (a, j) \in \mathcal{A}) . \end{aligned}$$

This map linearizes nonlinear polynomials. Indeed, write  $f$  as a sum of monomials,

$$f = \sum_{(a,j) \in \mathcal{A}} c_{(a,j)} z^a \lambda^j .$$

If  $\{x_{(a,j)} \mid (a, j) \in \mathcal{A}\}$  are variables (coordinate functions) on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ , then

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_f := \sum_{(a,j) \in \mathcal{A}} c_{(a,j)} x_{(a,j)}$$

is a linear form on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ , and we have  $f(z, \lambda) = \Lambda_f(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(z, \lambda)) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\Lambda_f)$ .

Since  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{A}$ , the corresponding coordinate  $x_{\mathbf{0}}$  of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is 1 and so the image of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  lies in the principal affine open subset  $U_{\mathbf{0}}$  of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbb{P}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1}$ . This is the subset of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $x_{\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$  and it is isomorphic to the affine space  $\mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{A}|-1}$ . We define  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  to be the closure of the image  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1})$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$ , which is a projective toric variety. Because the map  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is continuous on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is also the closure of the image  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C})$ .

The map  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is not necessarily injective; we describe its fibers. Let  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  be sublattice generated by all differences  $\alpha - \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ . (When  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{A}$  this is the sublattice generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .) Let  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  be  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}$ , which acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}$ . The fibers of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  are exactly the orbits of  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}$ . On the torus  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}$ ,  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  acts freely and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1})$  is identified with  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}/G_{\mathcal{A}}$ . To describe the fibers of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \{0\} = ((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}$ , note that  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}$  acts on this through the homomorphism  $\pi$  that sends its last ( $\lambda$ ) coordinate to  $\{1\}$ . Thus the fibers of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \{0\}$  are exactly the orbits of  $\pi(G_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *The dimension of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the dimension of  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ . The fibers of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1}$  are the orbits of  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  and its fibers on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \{0\}$  are the orbits of  $\pi(G_{\mathcal{A}})$ .*

We return to the situation of Theorem 2.3. Let  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm}, \lambda]$  be a polynomial with support  $\mathcal{A}$ . As each polynomial in (7) has support a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ , each corresponds to a linear form on  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  as in (8). The corresponding system of linear forms defines a linear subspace  $M_{\psi}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$ . We have the following proposition (a version of [25, Lemma 3.5]).

**Proposition 2.6.** *The solutions to (7) are the inverse images under  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  of points in the linear section  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}) \cap M_{\psi}$ . When  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an injection, it is a bijection between solutions to (7) on  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}$  and points in  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}) \cap M_{\psi}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* The assumption that  $\psi$  is not horizontal implies that  $\dim(G_{\mathcal{A}}) = \dim(\pi(G_{\mathcal{A}}))$ . Thus, when  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi)) = 0$ , then each fiber of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is positive-dimensional and so by Proposition 2.6 there are no isolated solutions to (7).

Suppose that  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi)) > 0$ . Then every fiber of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an orbit of the finite group  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Over points of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^{d+1})$ , each fiber consists of  $|G_{\mathcal{A}}|$  points and over  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \{0\})$  each fiber consists of  $|\pi(G_{\mathcal{A}})| \leq |G_{\mathcal{A}}|$  points. As  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the closure of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C})$ , the number of isolated points in  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$  is at least the number of isolated points in  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}) \cap M_{\psi}$ , both counted with multiplicity. The degree of the projective variety  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an upper bound for the number of isolated points in  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$ , which is explained in [25, Ch. 3.3]. There, the product of  $|G_{\mathcal{A}}|$  and the degree of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is shown to be  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\psi))$ , the normalized volume of the Newton polytope of  $\psi$ . This gives the bound of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We give conditions for when the inequality of Corollary 2.4 holds with equality. By Proposition 2.1, the critical points of the function  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety  $\text{Var}(D)$  are the solutions in  $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C}$  to the critical point equations (6). Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(D)$  be the support of the polynomial  $D$ . The critical points are  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_D)$ , where  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is the closure of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C})$  and  $M_D$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by linear forms corresponding to the polynomials in (6). For the bound of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, note that the number of isolated points of  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_D$ , is at most the product of the degree of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  with the cardinality of a fiber of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which is  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(D))$ . We establish Theorem B concerning the sharpness of this bound by characterizing when the inequality of Theorem 2.3 is strict and then interpreting that for the critical point equations.

*Remark 3.1.* Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a variety of dimension  $d$  and  $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  a linear subspace of codimension  $d$ . The number of points in  $X \cap M$  does not depend on  $M$  when the intersection is transverse; it is the *degree* of  $X$ . When the intersection is not transverse, intersection theory provides a refinement [11, Ch. 6]. For every component  $Z$  of the intersection  $X \cap M$ , there is a positive integer—the intersection multiplicity along  $Z$ —such that the sum of these multiplicities is the degree of  $X$ . When  $Z$  is positive-dimensional this number is the degree of a zero-cycle constructed on  $Z$  (it is at least the degree of  $Z$ ) and when  $Z$  is zero-dimensional (a point), it is the local multiplicity [24, Ch. 8].  $\diamond$

A consequence of Remark 3.1 is the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X, M$  be as in Remark 3.1. The number (counted with multiplicity) of isolated points of  $X \cap M$  is strictly less than the degree of  $X$  if and only if the intersection has a positive-dimensional component.*

Write  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ} := \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^d \times \mathbb{C})$  for the image of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\partial X_{\mathcal{A}} := X_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$ , the points of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  added to  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$  when taking the closure. This is the *boundary* of  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In the Introduction, points of  $\partial X_{\mathcal{A}}$  were referred to as ‘lying at infinity’.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For a non-horizontal polynomial  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm}, \lambda]$ , the inequality of Theorem 2.3 is strict if and only if  $\partial X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi} \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality of Theorem 2.3 is strict if either of the following hold.

- (1)  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$  has an isolated point not lying in  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$ .
- (2)  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$  contains a positive-dimensional component  $Z$ .

In (1),  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$  has isolated points in  $\partial X_{\mathcal{A}} \cap M_{\psi}$ , so the intersection is nonempty. In (2),  $Z$  is a projective variety of dimension at least one. The set  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$  is an affine variety, and we cannot have  $Z \subset X_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$  as the only projective varieties that are also subvarieties of an affine variety are points. Thus  $Z \cap \partial X_{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.1. Facial systems.** We return to the general case of a toric variety. Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a finite set of points with corresponding projective toric variety  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{P}^A$ . We have the following description of the points of its boundary,  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n)$ .

Let  $P := \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ , the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The dot product with a nonzero vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a \mapsto w \cdot a$ , defines a linear function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set  $h(w) := \min\{w \cdot a \mid a \in P\}$ . The set  $F = \{p \in P \mid w \cdot p = h(w)\}$  of minimizers is the *face* of  $P$  *exposed* by  $w$ . We have that  $F = \text{conv}(F \cap \mathcal{A})$ , and may write  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $F \cap \mathcal{A}$ . As  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we only need integer vectors  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  to expose all faces of  $P$ . If  $\dim F = \dim P - 1$ , then  $F$  is a *facet*.

For each face  $F$  of  $P$ , there is a corresponding coordinate subspace  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^A$ —this is the set of points  $z = [z_a \mid a \in \mathcal{A}] \in \mathbb{P}^A$  such that  $a \notin F$  implies that  $z_a = 0$ . The image of the map  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}: (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathbb{P}^A$  has closure the toric variety  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Its dimension is equal to the dimension of the face  $F$ . Write  $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\circ}$  for the image of  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$ . This description and the following proposition is essentially [12, Prop. 5.1.9].

**Proposition 3.4.** *The boundary of the toric variety  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\circ}$  for all the proper faces  $F$  of  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

Let  $f = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} c_a x^a$  be a polynomial with support  $\mathcal{A}$ . We observed that if  $\Lambda$  is the corresponding linear form (8) on  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$ , then the zeroes of  $f$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  are the pullback along  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  of the points in  $X_{\mathcal{A}}^\circ \cap M$ , where  $M$  is the hyperplane defined by  $\Lambda$ . Let  $F$  be a proper face of  $P$ . Then the points of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}^\circ \cap M$  pull back along  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$  to the zeroes of

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\Lambda) = \sum_{a \in F} c_a x^a$$

in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ . This sum of the terms of  $f$  whose exponents lie in  $F$  is a *facial form* of  $f$  and is written  $f|_F$ . Given a system  $\Phi: f_1 = \cdots = f_n = 0$  of Laurent polynomials with support  $\mathcal{A}$ , the system  $f_1|_F = \cdots = f_n|_F = 0$  of their facial forms is the *facial system*  $\Phi|_F$  of  $\Phi$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be the intersection of the hyperplanes given by the polynomials in a system  $\Phi$  of Laurent polynomials with support  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each face  $F$  of  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ , the points of  $X_{\mathcal{F}}^\circ \cap M$  pull back under  $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$  to the solutions of the facial system  $\Phi|_F$ .*

*If no facial system  $\Phi|_F$  has a solution, then the number of solutions to  $\Phi = 0$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  is  $n! \text{vol}(\text{conv}(\mathcal{A}))$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the observation about a single polynomial  $f$  and its facial form  $f|_F$ , and the second is a consequence of a version of Corollary 3.3 for  $X_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}((\mathbb{C}^\times)^n)$ .  $\square$

The second statement is essentially [3, Thm. B] and is also explained in [25, Sect. 3.4].

**3.2. Facial systems of the critical point equations.** We prove Theorem B by interpreting the facial systems of the critical point equations. It is useful to introduce the following notion. A polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $x \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$  is *quasi-homogeneous* with *quasi-homogeneity*  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  if there is a number  $0 \neq w_f$  such that

$$a \in \mathcal{A}(f) \implies w \cdot a = w_f.$$

Equivalently,  $f$  is quasi-homogeneous if its support  $\mathcal{A}(f)$  lies on a hyperplane not containing the origin. The quasi-homogeneities of  $f$  are those  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  whose dot product is constant on  $\mathcal{A}(f)$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $t^w := (t^{w_1}, \dots, t^{w_n}) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that  $f$  has a quasi-homogeneity  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then*

- (1) *For  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $x \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ , we have  $f(t^w \cdot x) = t^{w_f} f(x)$ .*
- (2) *We have*

$$w_f f = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}.$$

*Proof.* Note that for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $(t^w \cdot x)^a = t^{w \cdot a} x^a$ . The first statement follows. For the second, note that  $a_i x^a = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x^a$ .  $\square$

Let  $\psi \in \mathbb{C}[z^\pm, \lambda]$  have support  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{N}$  and Newton polytope  $P := \text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$ . We will assume that  $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$  has dimension  $d+1$  so that  $\psi$  is not horizontal, and also that  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \times \{0\}$  is a facet of  $\mathcal{A}$ , called its *base*. Let (7) be the critical point equations for  $\lambda$  on  $\psi$  and  $M_\psi \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{A}}$  the corresponding linear subspace of codimension  $d+1$ .

Let  $\mathbf{0} := 0^d$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\mathbf{e} := (\mathbf{0}, 1)$ . The base of  $\mathcal{A}$  is exposed by  $\mathbf{e}$  and it is the support of  $\psi(z, 0)$ . A main difference between the sparse equations of Section 3.1 and the critical point equations (6) is that the critical point equations allow solutions with  $\lambda = 0$ , which is the component of the boundary of the toric variety corresponding to the base of  $\mathcal{A}$ . A face  $F$  of  $P$  is *vertical* if it contains a vertical line segment, one parallel to  $\mathbf{e}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose that  $F$  is a proper face of  $P$  that is not the base of  $P$  and is not vertical. Then the corresponding facial system of the critical point equations has a solution if and only if the hypersurface  $\text{Var}(\psi|_F)$  defined by  $\psi|_F$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1}$  is singular.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq w \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  be an integer vector that exposes the face  $F$ . As  $F$  is not vertical we may assume that  $w_{d+1}$  is nonzero. As  $F$  is not the base, it lies on an affine hyperplane that does not contain the origin, so that  $\psi|_F$  is quasi-homogeneous with quasi-homogeneity  $w$ . Write  $w_F$  for the constant  $w \cdot a$  for  $a \in F$ . By Lemma 3.6 (2), we have

$$(9) \quad w_F \psi|_F = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i z_i \frac{\partial \psi|_F}{\partial z_i} + w_{d+1} \lambda \frac{\partial \psi|_F}{\partial \lambda}.$$

Suppose now that  $(z, \lambda)$  is a zero of the restriction of the critical point equations to the face  $F$ . That is, at  $(z, \lambda)$ ,

$$\psi|_F = \left( z_1 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_1} \right) \Big|_F = \cdots = \left( z_d \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_d} \right) \Big|_F = 0.$$

Observe that  $(z_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_i})|_F = z_i \frac{\partial \psi|_F}{\partial z_i}$  (and the same for  $\lambda$ ). Since  $w_{d+1} \neq 0$ , these equation and (9) together imply that  $(\lambda \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda})|_F = 0$ , which implies that  $(z, \lambda)$  is a singular point of the hypersurface  $\text{Var}(\psi|_F)$  defined by  $\psi|_F$ .  $\square$


We deduce the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** *If the Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(\psi)$  of  $\psi$  has no vertical faces and the restriction of  $\psi$  to each face that is not the base of  $\mathcal{N}(\psi)$  defines a smooth variety, then the critical point equations have exactly  $(d+1)! \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}))$  solutions in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C}$ .*

For general parameters  $c$ ,  $D(z, \lambda) = \det(L_c(z) - \lambda I)$  is not horizontal. Thus the boundary of the variety  $X_{\mathcal{A}}(X_D)$  corresponds to all proper faces of its Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(D)$ , except for its base.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $L$  be an operator on a periodic graph and set  $D = \det(L(z) - \lambda I)$ . If  $\mathcal{N}(D)$  has no vertical faces and if for each face  $F$  that is not its base,  $\text{Var}(D|_F)$  is smooth, then the Bloch variety has exactly  $(d+1)! \text{vol}(\text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(D)))$  critical points.*

*Example 3.10.* The restriction on vertical faces is necessary. General operators on the second graph in Figure 2 (the abelian cover of  $K_4$ ) have the following Newton polytope:



It has base  $[-1, 1]^2$ , apex  $(0, 0, 4)$ , and the remaining vertices are at  $(\pm 1, 0, 1)$  and  $(0, \pm 1, 1)$ . It has volume  $20/3$ , so we expect  $40 = 3! \cdot 20/2$  critical points. However, there are at most 32 critical points, as direct computation shows that the critical point equations have two solutions on each of its four vertical faces.  $\diamond$

#### 4. NEWTON POLYTOPES AND DENSE PERIODIC GRAPHS

The Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(D)$  of the dispersion relation of an operator on a periodic graph is central to our results. We first associate a polytope  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  to any periodic graph  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(D) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  for any operator on  $\Gamma$ , and that we have equality for almost all parameter values. We call  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  the *Newton polytope* of  $\Gamma$ .

A periodic graph  $\Gamma$  is dense if it has every possible edge, given its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  and fundamental domain  $W$ . Every periodic graph is a subgraph of a minimal dense periodic graph. We identify the Newton polytope of a dense periodic graph and show that when  $d = 2$  or  $3$ , a general operator on  $\Gamma$  satisfies Corollary 3.9, which implies Theorem C.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic graph with fundamental domain  $W$ . Its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  is the finite set of points  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that there is an edge between  $W$  and  $a+W$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is *dense* if for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ , there is an edge in  $\Gamma$  between every pair of vertices in the union of  $W$  and  $a+W$ . In particular, the restriction of  $\Gamma$  to  $W$  is the complete graph on  $W$ . The graphs of Figures 1 and 6 are dense, while those of Figure 2 are not dense.




FIGURE 6. A dense graph  $\Gamma$  and its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  with convex hull.

The set of parameters  $(c, V)$  for operators on a periodic graph  $\Gamma$  is  $Y = \mathbb{C}^E \times \mathbb{C}^W$ , where  $E$  is the set of orbits of edges. We observed that for any  $c \in Y$ , each entry of  $L_c(z)$  has support a subset of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ . Consequently, each diagonal entry of  $L_c(z) - \lambda I$  has support a subset of  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}$  and its Newton polytope is a subpolytope of  $Q := \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\})$ . Let  $m := |W|$ , the number of orbits of vertices.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(D_c)$  is a subpolytope of the dilation  $mQ$  of  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* The dispersion relation  $D_c$  is a sum of products of  $m$  entries of the  $m \times m$  matrix  $L_c(z) - \lambda I$ . Each such product has Newton polytope a subpolytope of  $mQ$  as the Newton polytope of a product is the sum of Newton polytopes.  $\square$

Figure 7 shows  $mQ$  for the dense graphs of Figures 1 and 6. Observe that  $mQ$  is a




FIGURE 7. Newton polytopes of dense graphs.

pyramid with base  $m \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma))$  and apex  $m\mathbf{e}$ , and has no vertical faces.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a dense  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic graph. There is a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  of the parameter space  $Y$  such that for  $c \in U$ , the Newton polytope of  $D_c(z, \lambda)$  is the pyramid  $mQ$ . When  $d = 2$  or  $3$ , then we may choose  $U$  so that for every  $c \in U$  and face  $F$  of  $mQ$  that is not its base,  $\text{Var}(D_c|_F)$  is smooth.*

Together with Corollary 3.9, this implies Theorem C from the Introduction. We prove Theorem 4.2 in the following two subsections.

**4.1. The Newton polytope of  $\Gamma$ .** For a periodic graph  $\Gamma$ , the space of parameters  $(c, V)$  for operators on  $\Gamma$  is  $Y = \mathbb{C}^E \times \mathbb{C}^W$ . Treating parameters as indeterminates gives the *generic dispersion relation*  $D(c, V, z, \lambda)$ , which is a polynomial in  $z, \lambda$  whose coefficients are polynomials in the parameters  $c, V$ . The *Newton polytope*  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the convex hull of the monomials in  $z, \lambda$  that appear in  $D(c, V, z, \lambda)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *For  $c \in Y$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(D_c(z, \lambda))$  is a subpolytope of  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ . The set of  $c \in Y$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(D_c(z, \lambda)) = \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  is a dense open subset  $U$ . When  $\Gamma$  is dense,  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma) = mQ$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $c = (c, V) \in Y$ ,  $D_c(z, \lambda)$  is the evaluation of the generic dispersion relation  $D(c, V, z, \lambda)$  at the point  $(c, V)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}(D_c) \subset \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ .

The coefficient  $C_{(a,j)}$  of a monomial  $z^a \lambda^j$  in  $D(c, V, z, \lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $(c, V)$ . For any  $c = (c, V) \in Y$ ,  $z^a \lambda^j$  appears in  $D_c$  if and only if  $C_{(a,j)}(c, V) \neq 0$ . Thus, we have

the equality  $\mathcal{N}(D_c) = \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  of Newton polytopes if and only if  $C_{(a,j)}(c, V) \neq 0$  for every vertex  $(a, j)$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ , which defines a dense open subset  $U \subset Y$ .

When  $\Gamma$  is dense and no parameter  $c$  vanishes, then every diagonal entry of  $L_c(z) - \lambda I$  has support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma) = mQ$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Smoothness of the Bloch variety at infinity.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a dense periodic graph with  $d = 2$  or  $3$ . Let  $U \subset Y$  be the subset of Lemma 4.3. We show that for each face  $F$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  that is not its base, there is a nonempty open subset  $U_F$  of  $U$  such that for  $c \in U_F$ , the restriction  $D_c|_F$  to the monomials in  $F$  defines a smooth hypersurface. Then for parameters  $c$  in the intersection of the  $U_F$ , the operator satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3.9, which proves Theorem 4.2 and Theorem C.

Let  $F$  be a face of  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  that is not its base and let  $c \in U$ . We may assume that  $F$  is not a vertex, for then  $D_c|_F$  is a single term and  $\text{Var}(D_c|_F) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma) = mQ$ , there is a unique face  $G$  of  $Q$  such that  $F = mG$ . We have that

$$D_c(z, \lambda)|_F = \det((L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G),$$

where each entry of the matrix  $(L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G$  is the facial form  $f|_G$  of the corresponding entry  $f$  of  $L_c(z) - \lambda I$ .

Since  $G$  is not the base of  $Q$  (and thus does not contain the origin), we make the following observation, which follows from the form of the operator  $L_c$  (2). If  $\mathbf{e} \in G$  and  $f$  is a diagonal entry of  $(L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G$ , then  $f$  contains the term  $-\lambda$ . Any other integer point  $a \in G$  is nonzero and lies in the support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ , and the coefficient of  $z^a$  in  $f$  is  $-c_{(u, a+v)}$ , where  $f$  is the entry in row  $u$  and column  $v$ . Consequently, except possibly for terms  $-\lambda$ , all coefficients of entries in  $(L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G$  are distinct parameters.

Suppose that the fundamental domain is  $W = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  so that we may index the rows and columns of  $L_c(z)$  by  $1, \dots, m$ . Let  $Y' \subset Y$  be the set of parameters  $c$  where

$$c_{(v_i, a+v_j)} = 0 \quad \text{if } a \in G \text{ and } j \neq i, i+1.$$

(Here,  $m+1$  is interpreted to be 1.) For  $c \in Y'$ , all entries of  $L_c(z)|_G$  are zero, except on the diagonal, the first super diagonal, and the lower left entry. The same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 show that there exist parameters  $c \in Y'$  such that  $D_c(z, \lambda)$  has Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ . Thus  $Y' \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *There exists an open subset  $U'$  of  $Y'$  with  $U' \subset U$  such that if  $c \in U'$ , then  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda)|_F)$  is a smooth hypersurface in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1}$ .*

Since smoothness of  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda)|_F)$  is an open condition on the space  $Y$  of parameters, this will complete the proof of Theorem 4.2, and thus also of Theorem C.

*Proof.* Let us write  $\psi_c(z, \lambda)$  for the facial polynomial  $D_c(z, \lambda)|_F$ . We will show that the set of  $c \in Y'$  such that  $\text{Var}(\psi_c(z, \lambda))$  is singular is a finite union of proper algebraic subvarieties. As  $c \in Y'$ , the only nonzero entries in the matrix  $(L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G$  are its diagonal entries  $f_1(z, \lambda), \dots, f_m(z, \lambda)$  and the entries  $g_1(z), \dots, g_m(z)$  which are in positions  $(1, 2), \dots, (m-1, m)$  and  $(m, 1)$ , respectively. Thus

$$\psi_c(z, \lambda) = D_c(z, \lambda)|_F = \det((L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(z, \lambda) - \prod_{i=1}^m g_i(z).$$

For a polynomial  $f$  in the variables  $(z, \lambda)$ , write  $\nabla$  for the toric gradient operator,

$$\nabla f := \left( z_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, z_d \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_d}, \lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \right).$$

Note that

$$(10) \quad \nabla \psi_c = \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla f_i) f_1 \cdots \widehat{f}_i \cdots f_m - \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla g_i) g_1 \cdots \widehat{g}_i \cdots g_m.$$

Here  $\widehat{f}_i$  indicates that  $f_i$  does not appear in the product, and the same for  $\widehat{g}_i$ .

Let  $(z, \lambda) \in \text{Var}(\psi_c)$  be a singular point. Then  $\psi_c(z, \lambda) = 0$  and  $\nabla \psi_c(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ . There are five cases that depend upon the number of polynomials  $f_i, g_j$  vanishing at  $(z, \lambda)$ .

- (i) At least two polynomials  $f_p$  and  $f_q$  and two polynomials  $g_r$  and  $g_s$  vanish at  $(z, \lambda)$ . Thus  $\psi(z, \lambda) = 0$  and by (10) this implies that  $\nabla \psi_c(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (ii) At least two polynomials  $f_p$  and  $f_q$  and exactly one polynomial  $g_s$  vanish at  $(z, \lambda)$ . Thus  $\psi(z, \lambda) = 0$  and by (10) if  $\nabla \psi_c(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\nabla g_s(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (iii) Exactly one polynomial  $f_p$  and at least two polynomials  $g_r$  and  $g_s$  vanish at  $(z, \lambda)$ . Thus  $\psi(z, \lambda) = 0$  and by (10) if  $\nabla \psi_c(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\nabla f_p(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (iv) Exactly one polynomial  $f_p$  and one polynomial  $g_r$  vanish at  $(z, \lambda)$ . Thus  $\psi(z, \lambda) = 0$  and by (10) if  $\nabla \psi_c(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}$ , then, after reindexing so that  $p = r = 1$ , we have

$$(11) \quad \nabla f_1(z, \lambda) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^m f_i(z, \lambda) - \nabla g_1(z, \lambda) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^m g_i(z, \lambda) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (v) No polynomials  $f_i$  or  $g_i$  vanish at  $(z, \lambda)$ .

In each case, we will show that the set of parameters  $c \in Y'$  such that there exist  $(z, \lambda)$  satisfying these conditions lies in a proper subvariety of  $Y'$ . Cases (i)—(iv) use arguments based on the dimension of fibers and images of a map and are proven in the rest of this section. Case (v) is proven in Section 4.3 and it uses Bertini's Theorem.  $\square$

Let us write  $X$  for the space  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1}$  and  $x$  for a point  $(z, \lambda) \in X$ . We first derive consequences of some vanishing statements. For a finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ , let  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  be the space of coefficients of polynomials in  $x \in X$  with support  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is the parameter space for polynomials with support  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *We have the following.*

- (1) For any  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  is a nonzero homogeneous linear equation on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- (2) For any  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\nabla f(x) \mid f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}\}$  is the linear span  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Suppose that the affine span of  $\mathcal{F}$  does not contain the origin. Then

- (3) For any  $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$  implies that  $f(x) = 0$ .
- (4) For any  $x \in X$ , the equation  $\nabla f(x) = \mathbf{0}$  defines a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  of codimension  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Writing  $f = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{F}} c_a x^a$ , the first statement is obvious. We have  $\nabla f = \sum a c_a x^a$ . As the coefficients  $c_a$  are independent complex numbers and  $x^a \neq 0$ , Statement (2) is immediate. The hypothesis on  $\mathcal{F}$  implies that any  $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  is quasi-homogeneous. Statement

(3) follows from (9). The last statement follows from the observation that the set of  $f$  such that  $\nabla f = \mathbf{0}$  is the kernel of a surjective linear map  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{F} := G \cap (\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\})$  be the (common) support of the diagonal polynomials  $f_i$  and let  $\mathcal{G} := G \cap \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  be the (common) support of the polynomials  $g_j$ . We either have that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  or  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}$ . Also,  $|\mathcal{F}| > 1$  as  $G$  is not a vertex, and as  $G$  is a proper face of  $Q = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\})$ , but not its base, the polynomials  $f_i, g_j$  are quasi-homogeneous with a common quasi-homogeneity.

The parameter space for the entries of  $(L_c(z) - \lambda I)|_G$  is

$$Z := (\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}})^{\oplus m} \oplus (\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{G}})^{\oplus m}.$$

We write  $c = (f_{\bullet}, g_{\bullet}) = (f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1, \dots, g_m)$  for points of  $Z$ . This is a coordinate subspace of the parameter space  $Y'$ . As  $Z$  contains exactly those parameters that can appear in the facial polynomial  $\psi_c(x)$ , it suffices to show that the set of parameters  $c = (f_{\bullet}, g_{\bullet}) \in Z$  such that  $\text{Var}(\psi_c(x))$  is singular lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ . The same case distinctions (i)–(v) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 apply.

After reindexing, the case (i) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *The set*

$$\Theta := \{c \in Z \mid \exists x \in X \text{ with } f_1(x) = f_2(x) = g_1(x) = g_2(x) = 0\}$$

*lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the incidence correspondence,

$$\Upsilon := \{(x, f_{\bullet}, g_{\bullet}) \in X \times Z \mid f_1(x) = f_2(x) = g_1(x) = g_2(x) = 0\}.$$

This has projections to  $X$  and to  $Z$  and its image in  $Z$  is the set  $\Theta$ .

Consider the projection  $\pi_X: \Upsilon \rightarrow X$ . By Lemma 4.5(1), for  $x \in X$ , each condition  $f_i(x) = 0, g_i(x) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  is a linear equation on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{G}}$ . These are independent on  $Z$  as they involve different variables. Thus the fiber  $\pi_X^{-1}(x)$  is a vector subspace of  $Z$  of codimension 4, and  $\dim(\Upsilon) = \dim(Z) - 4 + \dim(X) = \dim(Z) + d - 3$ .

Consider the projection  $\pi_Z$  to  $Z$  and let  $(f_{\bullet}, g_{\bullet}) \in \pi_Z(\Upsilon)$ . Then there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = g_1(x) = g_2(x) = 0$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  be a common quasi-homogeneity of the polynomials  $f_i, g_j$ . By Lemma 3.6 (1), for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , each of  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  vanishes at  $t^w \cdot x$ . Thus the fiber  $\pi_Z^{-1}(f_{\bullet}, g_{\bullet})$  has dimension at least one. By the Theorem [24, Theorem 1.25] on the dimension of the image and fibers of a map, the image  $\pi_Z(\Upsilon)$  has dimension at most  $\dim(Z) + d - 4 < \dim(Z)$ , which establishes the lemma.  $\square$

After reindexing and possibly interchanging  $f$  with  $g$ , cases (ii) and (iii) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 follow from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The set*

$$\Theta := \{c \in Z \mid \exists x \in X \text{ with } f_1(x) = f_2(x) = g_1(x) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$$

*lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the incidence correspondence,

$$\Upsilon := \{(x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in X \times Z \mid f_1(x) = f_2(x) = g_1(x) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Let  $x \in X$  and consider the fiber  $\pi_X^{-1}(x)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, the conditions  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = 0$  are two independent linear equations on  $Z$ . By Lemma 4.5 (3),  $\nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$  implies that  $g_1(x) = 0$ , and by Lemma 4.5 (4), the condition  $\nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$  is  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}$  further independent linear equations on  $Z$ .

If  $|\mathcal{G}| = 1$ , so that  $g_1 = c_a x^a$  is a single term, then  $g_1(x) = 0$  implies that  $c_a = 0$ . Consequently, the image  $\Theta$  of  $\Upsilon$  in  $Z$  lies in a proper subvariety. Otherwise,  $|\mathcal{G}| > 1$  which implies that  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{G} \geq 2$ , and thus the fiber has codimension at least 4. As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, this implies that  $\Theta$  lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ .  $\square$

Case (iv) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 is more involved.

**Lemma 4.8.** *The set*

$$\Theta := \{c \in Z \mid \exists x \in X \text{ with } f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \psi_c(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$$

*lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $\Theta$  includes the sets of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7. Let  $\Theta^\circ \subset \Theta$  be the set of  $c = (f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  that have a witness  $x \in X$  ( $f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 0$  and  $\nabla \psi_c(x) = \mathbf{0}$ ) such that none of  $\nabla f_1(x)$ ,  $\nabla g_1(x)$ , or  $f_i(x)g_i(x)$  for  $i > 1$  vanish. It will suffice to show that  $\Theta^\circ$  lies in a proper subvariety of  $Z$ .

For this, we use the incidence correspondence,

$$\Upsilon := \{(y, x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times X \times Z \mid f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 0, \\ y \prod_{i=2}^m f_i(x) - \prod_{i=2}^m g_i(x) = 0, \text{ and } \nabla f_1(x) - y \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

We show that  $\Theta^\circ \subset \pi_Z(\Upsilon)$ . Let  $c = (f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \Theta^\circ$  with witness  $x \in X$  in that  $f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 0$  and  $\nabla \psi_c(x) = \mathbf{0}$ , but none of  $\nabla f_1(x)$ ,  $\nabla g_1(x)$ , or  $f_i(x)g_i(x)$  for  $i > 1$  vanish. There is a unique  $y \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  satisfying

$$y \prod_{i=2}^m f_i(x) - \prod_{i=2}^m g_i(x) = 0.$$

Dividing (11) by  $\prod_{i=2}^m f_i(x)$  gives  $\nabla f_1(x) - y \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$ , and thus  $(y, x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \Upsilon$ .

We now determine the dimension of  $\Upsilon$ . Let  $(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times X$  and consider the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(y, x) \subset Z$  above it in  $\Upsilon$ . The two linear and one nonlinear equations

$$(12) \quad f_1(x) = g_1(x) = y \prod_{i=2}^m f_i(x) - \prod_{i=2}^m g_i(x) = 0$$

are independent on  $Z$  as they involve disjoint sets of variables, and thus define a subvariety  $T \subset Z$  of codimension 3. Consider the remaining equation,  $\nabla f_1(x) - y \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$ .

Note that if  $\mathbf{e}$  lies in the support  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $f_1$ , so that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}$ , then  $\nabla f_1(x)$  contains the term  $-\mathbf{e}$  and thus cannot lie in the span  $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , which contains  $\nabla g_1(x)$  by Lemma 4.5(2). In this case the fiber is empty and  $\Theta^\circ = \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  and  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in T$ . Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  be any homogeneity for  $f_1$  (or  $g_1$ ). This has constant dot product  $w_{\mathcal{F}} \neq 0$  on elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Equation (9) implies that

$$w \cdot \nabla f_1(x) = w_{\mathcal{F}} f_1(x) = 0,$$

and the same for  $g_1$ . Thus  $\nabla f_1(x)$  and  $\nabla g_1(x)$  are annihilated by all homogeneities and so lie in the affine span of  $\mathcal{F}$ —the linear span of differences  $a-b$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$ . This has dimension  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} - 1$ . Consequently,  $\nabla f_1(x) - y \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$  is  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} - 1$  independent linear equations on the subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}}$  consisting of pairs  $f_1, g_1$  such that  $f_1(x) = g_1(x) = 0$ . These are independent of the third equation in (12). Thus the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(y, x) \subset Z$  has codimension  $3 + \dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} - 1 = 2 + \dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}$  and so

$$\dim \Upsilon = \dim(\mathbb{C}^\times \times X) + \dim Z - \dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} - 2 = \dim Z + d - \dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}.$$

Let  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \pi_Z(\Upsilon)$  have witness  $(y, x)$ . That is, the equations (12) hold, as well as  $\nabla f_1(x) - y \nabla g_1(x) = \mathbf{0}$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, if  $w \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  is a quasi-homogeneity for polynomials of support  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $(y, t^w \cdot x)$  also satisfies these equations.

We have  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} = G \cap \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ , so that  $G$  is a face of the base of  $Q$ . Thus there are at least two (in fact the codimension of  $G$  in  $Q$ ) independent homogeneities, which implies that the fiber  $\pi_Z^{-1}(f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  has dimension at least two. This implies that the image  $\Theta^\circ$  has dimension at most  $\dim Z + d - \dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} - 2$ . Since  $G$  is not a vertex,  $\dim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F} \geq 2$ , which shows that  $\dim \Theta^\circ < \dim Z$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

4.3. **Case (v).** For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , define  $\Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \subset X$  to be the set

$$\left\{ x \in X \mid \text{none of } f_i(x)g_i(x) \text{ for } i > 0 \text{ vanish and } \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x) - \alpha \prod_{i=1}^m g_i(x) = 0 \right\}.$$

Case (v) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.9.** *There is dense open subset  $U_1 \subset Z$  such that if  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in U_1$ , then  $\Psi(1, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  is smooth.*

We will deduce this from a weaker lemma.

**Lemma 4.10.** *There is dense open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$  such that if  $(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in U$ , then  $\Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  is smooth.*

*Proof of Lemma 4.9.* If we knew that the set  $U$  of Lemma 4.10 contained a point  $(1, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$ , then  $U_1 := U \cap (\{1\} \times Z)$  would be a dense open subset of  $Z$ , which would complete the proof. But we do not know this and instead argue indirectly.

Suppose that there is no such open set  $U_1$  as in Lemma 4.9. Then the set  $\Xi \subset Z$  consisting of  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  such that  $\Psi(1, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  is singular is dense in  $Z$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in Z$ , define  $\alpha.(f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  to be  $(f_\bullet, \alpha.g_\bullet)$  where

$$\alpha.(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) = (\alpha g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m).$$

This is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $Z$ . Consequently,  $\alpha.\Xi$  is dense in  $Z$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$  be the set of Lemma 4.10. As it is nonempty, let  $(\alpha, f'_\bullet, g'_\bullet) \in U$ . Then  $U_\alpha := U \cap (\{\alpha\} \times Z)$  is nonempty and open in  $\{\alpha\} \times Z$ . As  $\alpha.\Xi$  is dense, we have

$$U_\alpha \cap (\{\alpha\} \times \alpha.\Xi) \neq \emptyset.$$

This is a contradiction, for if  $(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in U_\alpha$ , then  $\Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  is smooth, but if  $(f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \alpha.\Xi$ , then  $(f_\bullet, \alpha^{-1}g_\bullet) \in \Xi$  and  $\Psi(1, f_\bullet, \alpha^{-1}g_\bullet)$  is singular. The contradiction follows from the equality of sets  $\Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) = \Psi(1, f_\bullet, \alpha^{-1}g_\bullet)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.10.* Let  $T := X \times Z$  be the set of  $(x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  such that none of  $f_i(x)g_i(x)$  for  $i > 1$  vanish. Define  $\varphi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$  by

$$\varphi(x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(x) / \prod_{i=1}^m g_i(x), f_\bullet, g_\bullet \right).$$

Notice that  $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) = \Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  for  $(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in \mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$ .

We claim that  $\varphi(T)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$ . For this, recall that the polynomials  $f_i$  have support  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is  $G \cap (\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\})$  for some face  $G$  of  $Q = \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\})$  that is neither its base nor a vertex, and the polynomials  $g_i$  have support  $\mathcal{G} = G \cap \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ . Since  $G$  is not a vertex, there are  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $a \neq b$  and  $b \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ .

Let  $f_i = x^a$  and  $g_i = x^b$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $X \times \{(f_\bullet, g_\bullet)\} \subset T$  and for  $x \in X$   $\varphi(x, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) = (x^{ma} - x^{mb}, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$ . But the map  $X = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  given by  $x \mapsto x^{ma} - x^{mb}$  is surjective as  $ma - mb \neq 0$ . This implies that the differential  $d\varphi$  is surjective at any point of  $X \times \{(f_\bullet, g_\bullet)\}$ , and therefore  $\varphi(T)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$ .

Since  $T$  is an open subset of the smooth variety  $X \times Z$ , it is smooth. Then Bertini's Theorem [24, Thm. 2.27, p. 139] implies that there is a dense open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^\times \times Z$  such that for  $(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) \in U$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet) = \Psi(\alpha, f_\bullet, g_\bullet)$  is smooth.  $\square$

## 5. CRITICAL POINTS PROPERTY

We illustrate our results, using them to establish the critical points property (and thus the spectral edges conjecture) for three periodic graphs. We first state this property.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic graph with parameter space  $Y = \mathbb{C}^E \times \mathbb{C}^W$  for Schrödinger operators on  $\Gamma$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  has the *critical points property* if there is a dense open subset  $U \subset Y$  such that if  $c \in U$ , then every critical point of  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda))$  is nondegenerate in that the Hessian determinant

$$(13) \quad \det \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^d \right)$$

is nonzero at that critical point.

**5.1. Reformulation of Hessian condition.** Let  $D = \det(L_c(z) - \lambda I)$  be the dispersion relation for an operator  $L_c$  on a periodic graph  $\Gamma$ . In Section 2 we derived the equations for the critical points of the function  $\lambda$  on the Bloch variety  $\text{Var}(D(z, \lambda))$ ,

$$(14) \quad D(z, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_i} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Implicit differentiation of  $D = 0$  gives  $\frac{\partial D}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} = 0$ . If  $\frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} = 0$ . If  $\frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ , then  $(z, \lambda)$  is a singular point hence is also a critical point and so we again have  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} = 0$ . Differentiating again we obtain,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left( \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} + \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_i \partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}.$$

At a critical point (so that  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z_j} = 0$ ), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} = -\frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}.$$

Thus

$$\det \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^d \right) = \left( -\frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \right)^d \cdot \det \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^d \right).$$

Consider now the Jacobian matrix of the critical point equations (14),

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_d} & \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \\ \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_d \partial z_1} & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial \lambda \partial z_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_1 \partial z_d} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_d^2} & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial \lambda \partial z_d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

At a critical point, the first row is  $(0 \cdots 0 \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda})$ , and thus

$$\det(J) = \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \det \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^d \right) = (-1)^d \left( \frac{\partial D}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{d+1} \cdot \det \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2 \lambda}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^d \right).$$

A zero  $\zeta$  of a system of polynomials on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is *regular* if the Jacobian of the system at  $\zeta$  has full rank  $n$ . Regular zeroes are isolated and have multiplicity 1. We deduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *A nonsingular critical point  $(z, \lambda)$  on  $\text{Var}(D_c(z, \lambda))$  is nondegenerate if and only if it is a regular zero of the critical point equations (14).*

The following theorem is adapted from arguments in [8, Sect. 5.4].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic graph. If there is a parameter value  $c \in Y$  such that the critical point equations have  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$  regular zeroes, then the critical points property holds for  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the parameter space for operators  $L$  on  $\Gamma$ . Consider the variety

$$CP := \{(c, z, \lambda) \in Y \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \times \mathbb{C} \mid \text{the critical point equations (6) hold}\},$$

which is the incidence variety of critical points on all Bloch varieties for operators on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\pi$  be its projection to  $Y$ . For any  $c \in Y$ , the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(c)$  is the set of critical points of the function  $\lambda$  on the corresponding Bloch variety for  $D_c$ . By Corollary 2.4, there are at most  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(D_c))$  isolated points in the fiber.

Let  $c \in Y$  be such that the critical point equations have  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$  regular zeroes. Then  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)) \leq (d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(D_c))$ . By Lemma 4.3,  $\mathcal{N}(D_c)$  is a subpolytope of  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ , so that  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(D_c)) \leq \text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$ . We conclude that both polytopes have the same volume and are therefore equal. In particular, the corresponding Bloch variety has the maximum number of critical points, and each is a regular zero of the critical point equations (6). Because they are regular zeroes, the implicit function theorem implies

that there is a neighborhood  $U_c$  of  $c$  in the classical topology on  $Y$  such that the map  $\pi^{-1}(U_c) \rightarrow U_c$  is proper (it is a  $(d+1)!\text{vol}(\mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$ -sheeted cover).

The set  $DC$  of degenerate critical points is the closed subset of  $CP$  given by the vanishing of the Hessian determinant (13). Since  $\pi$  is proper over  $U_c$ , if  $DP = \pi(DC)$  is the image of  $DC$  in  $Y$ , then  $DP \cap U_c$  is closed in  $U_c$ . As the points of  $\pi^{-1}(c)$  are regular zeroes, Lemma 5.1 implies they are all nondegenerate and thus  $c \notin DP$ , so that  $U_c \setminus DP$  is a nonempty classically open subset of  $Y$  consisting of parameter values  $c'$  with the property that all critical points on the corresponding Bloch variety are nondegenerate.

But this implies that there is a nonempty Zariski open subset of  $Y$  consisting of parameters such that all critical points on the corresponding Bloch variety are nondegenerate, which completes the proof.  $\square$

By Theorem 5.2, it suffices to find a single Bloch variety with the maximum number of isolated critical points to establish the critical points property for a periodic graph. The following examples use such a computation to establish the critical points property for  $2^{19} + 2$  graphs  $\Gamma$ . Computer code and output is available at <https://mattfaust.github.io/CPDDPO>.

*Example 5.3.* Let us consider the dense  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -periodic graph  $\Gamma$  of Figure 6. It has  $m = 2$  points in its fundamental domain and the convex hull of its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  has area 4. By Theorem C, a general operator on  $\Gamma$  has  $2! \cdot 2^{2+1} \cdot 4 = 64$  critical points. There are 13




FIGURE 8. Dense periodic graph and its polytope from Figure 6.

edges and two vertices in  $W$ , and independent computations in Macaulay2 and Singular find a point  $c \in Y = \mathbb{C}^{15}$  such that the critical point equations have 64 regular zeroes on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ . By Theorem 5.2, the critical points property holds for  $\Gamma$ .  $\diamond$

*Example 5.4.* The graph  $\Gamma$  in Figure 9 is not dense. Its restriction to the fundamental domain is not the complete graph on 3 vertices and there are three and not nine edges between any two adjacent fundamental domains. Altogether, it has  $3 \cdot 6 + 1 = 19$  fewer edges than the corresponding dense graph. Its support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  forms the columns of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  whose convex hull is a hexagon of area 3.

Despite  $\Gamma$  not being dense, its Newton polytope  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  is equal to the Newton polytope of the dense graph with the same parameters. Figure 9 displays the Newton polytope,




FIGURE 9. Sparse graph with the same Newton polytope as the corresponding dense graph.

along with elements of the support of the dispersion relation that are visible. Observe that on each triangular face, there are four and not ten monomials.

By Theorem A (Corollary 2.4), there are at most  $2! \cdot 3^{2+1} \cdot 3 = 162$  critical points. There are eleven edges and three vertices in  $W$ , and independent computations in Macaulay2 and Singular find a point  $c \in Y = \mathbb{C}^{14}$  such that the critical point equations have 162 regular zeroes on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ . By Theorem 5.2, the critical points property holds for  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma'$  be a graph that has the same vertex set and support as  $\Gamma$ , and contains all the edges of  $\Gamma$ —then [8, Thm. 22] implies that the critical points property also holds for  $\Gamma'$ . This establishes the critical points property for an additional  $2^{19} - 1$  periodic graphs.  $\diamond$

*Example 5.5.* The graph  $\Gamma$  of Figure 10 has only ten edges but the same fundamental domain  $W$  and support  $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$  as the the graph of Figure 9, which had eleven edges. Its Newton polytope is smaller, as it is missing the vertices  $(3, 3, 0)$  and  $(-3, -3, 0)$ .




FIGURE 10. A periodic graph and its Newton polytope.

It has volume  $70/3$  and normalized volume  $3! \cdot 70/3 = 140$ . Independent computations in Macaulay2 and Singular find a point  $c \in Y = \mathbb{C}^{13}$  such that the critical point equations have 140 regular zeroes on  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ . Thus there are no critical points at infinity, and Theorem B implies that the Bloch variety is smooth at infinity.

As before, achieving the bound of Corollary 2.4 with regular zeroes implies that all critical points are nondegenerate and the critical points property holds for  $\Gamma$ .  $\diamond$

## 6. CONCLUSION

We considered the critical points of the complex Bloch variety for an operator on a periodic graph. We gave a bound on the number of critical points—the normalized volume of a Newton polytope—together with a criterion for when that bound is attained. We presented a class of graphs (dense periodic graphs) and showed that this criterion holds for general operators on a dense graph. Lastly, we used these results to show that the spectral edges conjecture holds for general operators on three graphs when  $d = 2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Berkolaiko, Y. Canzani, G. Cox, and J.L. Marzuola, *A local test for global extrema in the dispersion relation of a periodic graph*, [arXiv.org/2004.12931](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12931), 2020.
- [2] G. Berkolaiko and P. Kuchment, *Introduction to quantum graphs*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [3] D. N. Bernstein, *The number of roots of a system of equations*, Functional Anal. Appl. **9** (1975), no. 3, 183–185.
- [4] P. Breiding, F. Sottile, and J. Woodcock, *Euclidean distance degree and mixed volume*, Found. Comput. Math. (2021).
- [5] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Sur les singularités de van Hove génériques*, Analyse globale et physique mathématique (Lyon, 1989), no. 46, Soc. Math. France, 1991, pp. 99–110.
- [6] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea, *Using algebraic geometry*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 185, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [7] ———, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, third ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [8] N. Do, P. Kuchment, and F. Sottile, *Generic properties of dispersion relations for discrete periodic operators*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 10, 103502, 19.
- [9] G. Ewald, *Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 168, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [10] Jake Fillman, Wencai Liu, and Rodrigo Matos, *Irreducibility of the Bloch variety for finite-range Schrödinger operators*, 2021, [arXiv:2107.06447](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06447).
- [11] Wm. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [12] I. M. Gel’fand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [13] D. Gieseker, H. Knörrer, and E. Trubowitz, *The geometry of algebraic Fermi curves*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 14, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [14] A. G. Kouchnirenko, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), no. 1, 1–31.
- [15] C. Kravaris, *On the density of eigenvalues on periodic graphs*, [arXiv.org/2103.12734](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.12734), 2021.
- [16] P. Kuchment, *Floquet theory for partial differential equations*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 60, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.

- [17] ———, *An overview of periodic elliptic operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **53** (2016), no. 3, 343–414.
- [18] Wei Li and Stephen P. Shipman, *Irreducibility of the Fermi surface for planar periodic graph operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **110** (2020), no. 9, 2543–2572.
- [19] J. Lindberg, N. Nicholson, J.L. Rodriguez, and Z. Wang, *The maximum likelihood degree of sparse polynomial systems*, 2021, [ArXiv.org/2105.07449](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07449).
- [20] Wencai Liu, *Fermi isospectrality for discrete periodic Schrödinger operators*, 2021, [arXiv:2106.03726](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.03726).
- [21] ———, *Irreducibility of the Fermi variety for discrete periodic Schrödinger operators and embedded eigenvalues*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 1, 1–30.
- [22] S. P. Novikov, *Bloch functions in the magnetic field and vector bundles. Typical dispersion relations and their quantum numbers*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **257** (1981), no. 3, 538–543.
- [23] ———, *Two-dimensional Schrödinger operators in periodic fields*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 23, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1983, pp. 3–32.
- [24] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry. 1*, translated from the 2007 third russian edition ed., Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, Varieties in projective space.
- [25] F. Sottile, *Real solutions to equations from geometry*, University Lecture Series, vol. 57, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [26] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

MATTHEW FAUST, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, USA

*Email address:* [mfaust@tamu.edu](mailto:mfaust@tamu.edu)

*URL:* <http://mattfaust.github.io>

FRANK SOTTILE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, USA

*Email address:* [sottile@math.tamu.edu](mailto:sottile@math.tamu.edu)

*URL:* <http://www.math.tamu.edu/~sottile>