

On Bernstein type quantitative estimates for Ornstein non-inequalities

Krystian Kazaniecki¹ and Michał Wojciechowski²

¹Institute of Analysis, Johannes Kepler University Linz

¹Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw

²Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences

Abstract

For the sequence of multi-indexes $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and β we study the inequality

$$\|D^\beta f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq K_N \sum_{j=1}^m \|D^{\alpha_j} f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

where f is a trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N on d -dimensional torus. Assuming some natural geometric property of the set $\{\alpha_j\} \cup \{\beta\}$ we show that

$$K_n \geq C (\ln N)^\phi,$$

where $\phi < 1$ depends only on the set $\{\alpha_j\} \cup \{\beta\}$.

Introduction

In his inspiring article [10] D. Ornstein showed that if $Q(D), P_1(D), \dots, P_n(D)$ are homogeneous differential operators of the same order and $Q \notin \text{span}\{P_j\}$ then the inequality

$$\|Q(D)f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \|P_j(D)f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad (1)$$

does not hold for any $C > 0$. In this paper we deal with the quantitative version of this theorem. We are interested in the constant of Bernstein type i.e. what is the growth of the best constant C in (1) when the inequality is restricted to the polynomials of degree at most n . To the best of our knowledge no result of such type is known.

Our main idea is to use the properties of finite Riesz products [12]. In fact we are constructing explicitly the trigonometric polynomials for which our bounds hold. Probably in this paper trigonometric polynomials are used for first in the context of Ornstein problem. For different (but qualitative) proofs of Ornstein non-inequality in the isotropic case check for example [2],[7].

Focusing for a moment on the simplest case of our results we get the following:

MSC2020: 42A55, 42B37, 46E35

Keywords: Ornstein non-inequalities, Bernstein inequality, Riesz products

Corollary 1. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial P_n on \mathbb{T}^2 of degree n , which satisfies*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P_n \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} P_n \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 1$$

but

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} P_n \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} > C \ln^{\frac{1}{2}} n.$$

We do not know if the bound from Corollary 1 is sharp. In fact one can establish in a rather standard way that the mixed derivative from Corollary 1 could not have norm greater than $c \ln n$. Indeed the (linear and invariant) operator T which retrieves the mixed derivative from the pure ones is of a weak type $(1, 1)$ ([4]). Hence by the Nikolskii type inequality for Lorentz spaces [13], for trigonometric polynomial f of degree n

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} &\leq C \left(\left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f \right\|_{L_{1,\infty}} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f \right\|_{L_{1,\infty}} \right) \\ &\leq C \ln(1+n) \left(\left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The same comment concerns all the results obtained in this paper. All bounds from below presented here are of the form $(\ln n)^\phi$ for some $\phi < 1$, while the common upper bound is $\ln n$. Nevertheless we conjecture that the optimal exponent ϕ should be equal to one (see Remark 8).

This paper contains final results of the study we began in [6].

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank Maciej Rzeszut for helpful discussions.

This research was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, and Austrian Science Foundation FWF joint CEUS programme. FWF project no. I5231 and National Science Centre project no. 2020/02/Y/ST1/00072.

Results

In the paper we consider more general, anisotropic case of the inequality (1) i.e. such that there exists $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_2, \Lambda \rangle = \dots = \langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle$. This case was already considered in the literature (see [5]), with an additional assumption on the parity of derivatives $|\alpha_j| \equiv_2 |\beta|$. However the results obtained there were of the qualitative nature. In our present approach we remove this "parity assumption". However we still need other geometric conditions.

The paper contains two results which are proved in quite similar way. Each of them provides a geometric criterion for a set of symbols of partial derivatives which yields quantitative estimates of Ornstein type.

Theorem 2. *Assume $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta$ are multi-indices in \mathbb{R}^d and there exists a pair of vectors $\Gamma, \Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^d$ for which the following conditions occurs*

$$\langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_2, \Lambda \rangle = \dots = \langle \alpha_m, \Lambda \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle > \langle \beta, \Gamma \rangle > \langle \alpha_2, \Gamma \rangle \geq \dots \geq \langle \alpha_m, \Gamma \rangle, \quad (2)$$

Let K_N be the smallest constant such that for every trigonometric polynomial f of degree at most N following estimate hold

$$\left\| D^\beta f \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq K_N \sum_{j=1}^m \|D^{\alpha_j} f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad (3)$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K_N > C(\ln N)^\phi,$$

where $\phi = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle} \right)$.

Remark 3. The inequalities (2) could be satisfied for different vectors Γ and then for different order of the set $\{\alpha_i\}$. For any fixed set of multi-indices the choice of the optimal vector Γ is simple optimization problem. In dimension 2 for fixed α_1 the value of ϕ doesn't depend on choice of Γ .

Theorem 4. Assume $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta$ are multi-indices in \mathbb{R}^d and there exists a vector $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^d$ for which the following conditions are satisfied

$$\langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_2, \Lambda \rangle = \dots = \langle \alpha_m, \Lambda \rangle,$$

and there exists vector $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ such that

$$\langle \beta, \varepsilon \rangle \not\equiv \langle \alpha_1, \varepsilon \rangle \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \alpha_i, \varepsilon \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha_1, \varepsilon \rangle \pmod{2} \quad (4)$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let K_N be the smallest constant such that for every trigonometric polynomial f of degree at most N following estimate hold

$$\left\| D^\beta f \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq K_N \sum_{j=1}^m \|D^{\alpha_j} f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \quad (5)$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$K_N > C(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 5. The case $\varepsilon = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ corresponds to the anisotropic Sobolev space which contains an invariant, complemented, infinite dimensional hilbertian subspace [11].

Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ and $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$. We introduce an auxiliary sequence depending on the parity of our multi-indices. If $|\alpha_1| - |\beta|$ is even we put $b_k = (2 + (-1)^k)$, if not we put $b_k = 1$. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a sequence of vectors in \mathbb{Z}^d by a formula $a_k = (a_k(1), \dots, a_k(d))$, where

$$a_k(j) = 3^{\lambda_j 2kn} b_k^{\gamma_j} \lfloor n^{\theta \gamma_j} \rfloor$$

and

$$\theta = \frac{1}{\langle \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \Gamma \rangle} \quad (6)$$

Since γ_j and λ_j are positive integers we know that

$$|a_k(j)| > 3^{2(n-1)} |a_{k-1}(j)| \quad (7)$$

and

$$\|a_k\|_2 > 3^{2(n-1)} \|a_{k-1}\|_2. \quad (8)$$

We define a modified Riesz product based on this sequence

$$R_n(x) = -1 + \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \cos\langle x, a_k \rangle), \quad (9)$$

and the family of sets

$$A_k = \left\{ q : q = a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \xi_j a_j, \xi_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \right\}.$$

From (8) by standard calculations we know that every point in A_k has a unique representation as $a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \xi_j a_j$. From (7) there exists a constant $2 \geq \tau > 1$ independent of k and j such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau} |a_k(j)| \leq |q(j)| \leq \tau |a_k(j)| \quad \forall q \in A_k. \quad (10)$$

For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we denote $n^\mu = \prod_{j=1}^d n_j^{\mu(j)}$. For $q \in A_k$ of the form $q = a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \xi_j a_j$ we set $r(q) = \#\{j : \xi_j \neq 0\} + 1$ and $r(-q) = r(q)$. Let $W_n(x)$ be a polynomial given by the formula

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{q \in A_k \cup -A_k} \frac{i^{-|\alpha_1|}}{q^{\alpha_1}} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{i\langle q, x \rangle}. \quad (11)$$

Note that

$$D^{\alpha_1} W_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{q \in A_k \cup -A_k} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{i\langle q, x \rangle} = R_n(x).$$

Moreover, for $\mu \in \{\beta, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$

$$D^\mu W_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{q \in A_k \cup -A_k} i^{|\mu| - |\alpha|} \frac{q^\mu}{q^{\alpha_1}} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} e^{i\langle q, x \rangle},$$

which could be represented as

$$D^\mu W_n(x) = B_{\mu, n}(x) + G_{\mu, n}(x), \quad (12)$$

where

$$B_{\mu, n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{q \in A_k} \frac{i^{|\mu| - |\alpha_1|}}{2^{r(q)}} \left(\left(\frac{q^\mu}{q^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{a_k^\mu}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right) e^{i\langle q, x \rangle} + \left(\frac{(-q)^\mu}{(-q)^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{(-a_k)^\mu}{(-a_k)^{\alpha_1}} \right) e^{i\langle -q, x \rangle} \right) \quad (13)$$

and

$$G_{\mu, n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n i^{|\mu| - |\alpha_1|} \frac{a_k^\mu}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \sum_{q \in A_k} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} \left(e^{i\langle q, x \rangle} + (-1)^{|\mu| - |\alpha_1|} e^{i\langle -q, x \rangle} \right). \quad (14)$$

First we estimate the norm of $B_{\mu, n}$. Let $v = \left(\frac{q(1)}{a_k(1)}, \dots, \frac{q(d)}{a_k(d)} \right)$ for $q \in A_k$. From (7)

$$\|v - 1\| \leq C(d) 3^{-2n} \quad (15)$$

Observe that for $q \in A_k$ by (10), (15) and by Lipschitz continuity of functions x^{α_1} , x^μ on the cube $[\frac{1}{\tau}, \tau]^d$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|q^\mu a_k^{\alpha_1} - a_k^\mu q^{\alpha_1}| &\leq |q^\mu (a_k^{\alpha_1} - q^{\alpha_1})| + |q^{\alpha_1} (q^\mu - a_k^\mu)| \\
&\leq C|q^{\alpha_1}| |a_k^\mu| |1 - v^{\alpha_1}| + |q^\mu| |a_k^{\alpha_1}| |1 - v^\mu| \\
&\stackrel{(10)}{\leq} C|a_k^{\alpha_1}| |a_k^\mu| (|1 - v^{\alpha_1}| + |1 - v^\mu|) \\
&\stackrel{Lip.}{\leq} C|1 - v| |a_k^{\alpha_1}| |a_k^\mu| \\
&\stackrel{(15)}{\leq} C3^{-2n} |a_k^{\alpha_1}| |a_k^\mu|.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate a_k^μ and $a_k^{\alpha_1}$:

$$a_k^\mu = 3^{\sum_{j=1}^d \mu(j)\lambda_j 2kn} b_k^{\sum_{j=1}^d \mu(j)\gamma_j} \prod_{i=1}^d [n^{\theta\gamma_i}]^{\mu(j)} = 3^{\langle \mu, \Lambda \rangle 2kn} b_k^{\langle \mu, \Gamma \rangle} \prod_{j=1}^d [n^{\theta\gamma_j}]^{\mu(j)}, \quad (16)$$

$$a_k^{\alpha_1} = 3^{\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_1(j)\lambda_j 2kn} b_k^{\sum_{i=j}^d \alpha_1(j)\gamma_j} \prod_{j=1}^d [n^{\theta\gamma_j}]^{\alpha_1(j)} = 3^{\langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle 2kn} b_k^{\langle \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle} \prod_{j=1}^d [n^{\theta\gamma_j}]^{\alpha_1(j)}. \quad (17)$$

Since we only use finite number of exponents, there is a constant $C > 1$ such that for $\nu \in \{\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$

$$\frac{1}{C} n^{\theta \cdot \langle \nu, \Gamma \rangle} \leq \prod_{j=1}^d [n^{\theta\gamma_j}]^{\nu(j)} \leq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \nu, \Gamma \rangle}. \quad (18)$$

For every μ as above we have $\langle \mu, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle$. By (18), (10)

$$\left| \left(\frac{q^\mu}{q^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{a_k^\mu}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{q^\mu a_k^{\alpha_1} - a_k^\mu q^{\alpha_1}}{q^{\alpha_1} a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right| \leq C 3^{-2n} \frac{|a_k^{\alpha_1}| |a_k^\mu|}{|a_k^{\alpha_1}|^2} \leq C 3^{-2n} n^{\langle \mu - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle} \leq C 3^{-n}.$$

Plugging the above estimates for $\mu \in \{\beta, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ into the formula for $B_{\mu, n}$ we get

$$\|B_{\mu, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{q \in A_k} 2C 3^{-n} \leq 2C 3^{-n} 3^n = 2C.$$

We pass to the estimates of the L^1 norm of $G_{\alpha_j, n}$ for $j \geq 2$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq l \leq n$ we define

$$\psi_l(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (1 + \cos \langle x, a_k \rangle),$$

Simple algebraic manipulation gives us

$$G_{\alpha_j, n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n i^{|\mu| - |\alpha_1|} \frac{a_k^{\alpha_j}}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i \langle a_k, x \rangle} + (-1)^{|\alpha_j| - |\alpha_1|} e^{i \langle -a_k, x \rangle} \right) \psi_k(x).$$

Since $\langle \alpha_j, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle$, by (16) we get

$$\left| \frac{a_k^{\alpha_j}}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right| \leq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \alpha_j - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}.$$

Therefore

$$\|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \alpha_j - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\psi_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

As Riesz products ψ_l 's have L^1 norms equal to 1. Hence

$$\|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \alpha_j - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle + 1}.$$

By (2) and (6)

$$\theta \cdot \langle \alpha_j - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha_j - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \Gamma \rangle} \leq -1.$$

Hence there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall j \in \{2, \dots, m\}.$$

Therefore for $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|D^{\alpha_j} W_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \|B_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C.$$

Since $D^{\alpha_1} W$ is a modified Riesz product,

$$\|D^{\alpha_1} W_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2.$$

Summing the above inequalities we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|D^{\alpha_j} W_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C. \quad (19)$$

Now we estimate $\|D^{\beta} W_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ from below. Since the norm of $B_{\beta, n}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to n , it is enough to show that the norm of $G_{\beta, n}$ is large.

Remark 6. By (7) we have $\sum \frac{a_n(j)}{a_{n+1}(j)} < +\infty$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. In [9] Y. Meyer has shown that this condition yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{\xi \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^k} b \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n a_n(j) \right) \exp \left(i \sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n a_n(j) t \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \\ & \simeq \left\| \sum_{\xi \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^k} b \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n a_n(j) \right) \exp \left(i \sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n t_n \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^k)} \end{aligned}$$

By a simple tensoring argument

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{\xi \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^k} b \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n a_n \right) \exp \left(i \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi_n a_n, t \rangle \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ & \simeq \left\| \sum_{\xi \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^k} b \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \xi_n a_n \right) \exp \left(i \sum_{n=1}^k \langle \xi_n, t_n \rangle \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^{kd})} \end{aligned}$$

Hence when calculating L^1 norm we can treat exponents with different a_k as independent random variables.

We consider two cases separately.

Case I: $|\alpha_1| - |\beta|$ is even.

In this case

$$|G_{\beta,n}(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k^\beta}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \cos(\langle a_k, x \rangle) \psi_k(x) \right| = \left| \frac{a_1^\beta}{a_1^{\alpha_1}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{a_{k+1}^\beta}{a_{k+1}^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{a_k^\beta}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right) \psi_{k+1} \right|$$

Since ψ_l are Riesz products, by (8) and by the inequality of Latała (Theorem 1 [8], see also [1], [3]).

$$\|G_{\beta,n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq \left| \frac{a_1^\beta}{a_1^{\alpha_1}} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{a_{k+1}^\beta}{a_{k+1}^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{a_k^\beta}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right|.$$

From the definition of a_k we get

$$\left| \frac{a_{k+1}^\beta}{a_{k+1}^{\alpha_1}} - \frac{a_k^\beta}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \right| \geq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle} |b_k^{\langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle} - b_{k+1}^{\langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle}| \geq C n^{\theta \cdot \langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Lambda \rangle} |3^{\langle \beta, \Lambda \rangle} - 1|$$

From the definition of θ we get

$$\|G_{\beta,n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq C n^{1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}$$

and consequently

$$\|D^\beta W_n\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq C n^{1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}.$$

Since $\deg W_n(x) \leq 3^{Cn^2}$ we have $\ln(\deg W_n(x)) \leq Cn^2$ and for large enough n there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|D^\beta W_n\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq C (\ln \deg W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}\right).$$

From (19) and (3) we get

$$K \geq C (\ln \deg W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}\right).$$

Case II: $|\alpha_1| - |\beta|$ is odd.

In this case

$$|G_{\beta,n}(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k^{\alpha_j}}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} \sin(\langle a_k, x \rangle) \psi_k(x) \right|.$$

By the definition of the sequence a_k

$$\left| \frac{a_k^{\alpha_j}}{a_k^{\alpha_1}} - n^{-\frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}} \right| = \left| \frac{\prod_{s=1}^d \lfloor n^{\theta \gamma_j} \rfloor^{\alpha_j(s)}}{\prod_{s=1}^d \lfloor n^{\theta \gamma_j} \rfloor^{\alpha_1(s)}} - n^{-\frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n^\theta} \cdot n^{-\frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|G_{\beta,n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq n^{-\frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sin(\langle a_k, x \rangle) \psi_k(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} - \frac{C}{n^\theta} \cdot n^{1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}.$$

However

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \sin(\langle a_k, x \rangle) \psi_k(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\cos(\langle a_k, x \rangle) - e^{i\langle a_k, x \rangle} \right) \psi_k(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\
&\geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i\langle a_k, x \rangle} \psi_k(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} - \|\psi_{n+1}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \\
&\geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i\langle a_k, x \rangle} \psi_k(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

The sequence a_k satisfies assumptions of Meyer's theorem (see Remark 6). Because of that we can use Lemma 2 from [14], which gives

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\langle a_j, x \rangle} \psi_j(x) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq Cn.$$

Thus

$$\|G_{\beta, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq \left(C_1 - \frac{C}{n^\theta} \right) n^{1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}.$$

Therefore for large enough n we get

$$\|G_{\beta, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq Cn^{1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}}$$

and similarly as is in Case I we obtain

$$K \geq C(\ln \deg W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle}{\langle \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \Gamma \rangle} \right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. We prove Theorem 4 in an analogous way. First we define the sequence a_k by the formula

$$a_k(j) = 3^{\lambda_j 2kn} (-1)^{\varepsilon_j k}.$$

Once again we use the modified Riesz products (9) and the corresponding polynomials W_n (11). Similarly as in the proof Theorem 2 we have

$$D^\mu W_n(x) = B_{\mu, n}(x) + G_{\mu, n}(x)$$

for $\mu \in \{\beta, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and $B_{\mu, n}(x)$, $G_{\mu, n}(x)$ defined as in (13) and (14). Since the sequence a_k has super-exponential growth

$$\frac{|a_j|}{|a_{j+1}|} \leq 3^{-2n},$$

we obtain the bounds on $B_{\mu, n}(x)$

$$\|B_{\mu, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C$$

for $\mu \in \{\beta, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Note that by (4)

$$a_k^{\alpha_j} = 3^{\langle \Lambda, \alpha_j \rangle} (-1)^{k \langle \varepsilon, \alpha_j \rangle} = 3^{\langle \Lambda, \alpha_1 \rangle} (-1)^{k \langle \varepsilon, \alpha_1 \rangle} = a_k^{\alpha_1}.$$

Hence by (14),

$$G_{\alpha_j, n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n i^{|\alpha_j| - |\alpha_1|} \sum_{q \in A_k} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} \left(e^{i\langle q, x \rangle} + (-1)^{|\alpha_j| - |\alpha_1|} e^{i\langle -q, x \rangle} \right).$$

Thus for $|\alpha_1| \equiv |\alpha_j| \pmod{2}$ we get

$$\|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \left\| -1 + \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \cos\langle x, a_k \rangle) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|R_n\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2$$

and for $|\alpha_1| \equiv |\alpha_j| + 1 \pmod{2}$

$$\|G_{\alpha_j, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \left\| -1 + \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \sin\langle x, a_k \rangle) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 2.$$

The only thing left to do is the estimate on the norm of $G_{\beta, n}$ from below. By (4) we get

$$a_k^\beta = 3^{\langle \Lambda, \beta \rangle} (-1)^{k\langle \varepsilon, \beta \rangle} = 3^{\langle \Lambda, \alpha_1 \rangle} (-1)^{k(\langle \varepsilon, \alpha_1 \rangle + 1)} = (-1)^k a_k^{\alpha_1}$$

Therefore

$$G_{\beta, n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k i^{|\beta| - |\alpha_1|} \sum_{q \in A_k} \frac{1}{2^{r(q)}} \left(e^{i\langle q, x \rangle} + (-1)^{|\beta| - |\alpha_1|} e^{i\langle -q, x \rangle} \right)$$

Let g be given by the formula

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \cos\langle x, a_k \rangle), & \text{for } |\beta| \equiv |\alpha_j| \pmod{2} \\ \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \sin\langle x, a_k \rangle). & \text{for } |\beta| \not\equiv |\alpha_j| \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$|G_{\beta, n}(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k (g_{k+1}(x) - g_k(x)) \right| = \left| (-1)^{n-1} g_n + g_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2(-1)^{k-1} g_k(x) \right|.$$

Applying inequality of Latała (Theorem 1 [8]) gives us

$$\|G_{\beta, n}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \geq Cn. \quad (20)$$

Similarly as in previous section we get

$$K \geq C(\ln \deg W_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

Remark 7. Actually to obtain estimate (20) we could use a weaker (random) form of Latała's inequality (see Lemma 1 [14]). However to do this one needs to adjust the construction to the randomness of choice of signs, which significantly complicates the redaction.

Remark 8. The careful study of the above proofs shows that the source of sub-logarithmic growth of constant lies in super-exponential growth of the sequence a_k (see (7)). There are two reasons for significant growth of this sequence. In order to use the Latała's inequality just geometrical growth would be enough (see [1]). However we use a Riesz product as one of the derivatives involved in the proof. To recover the Riesz product structure for the remaining derivatives - which we need to use in the inequality of Latała - we perturbed the actual functions. Our method to control the arising error terms requires super-exponential growth of the sequence a_k . It seems that any improvement of this method would require a more delicate study of the aforementioned error terms.

References

- [1] A. BONAMI, R. LATAŁA, P. NAYAR, AND T. TKOCZ, *Bounds on moments of weighted sums of finite riesz products*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 26 (2020).
- [2] S. CONTI, D. FARACO, AND F. MAGGI, *A new approach to counterexamples to L^1 estimates: Korn's inequality, geometric rigidity, and regularity for gradients of separately convex functions*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 175 (2004), pp. 287–300.
- [3] E. DAMEK, R. LATAŁA, P. NAYAR, AND T. TKOCZ, *Two-sided bounds for L_p -norms of combinations of products of independent random variables*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 125 (2015), pp. 1688–1713.
- [4] E. FABES AND N. RIVIÈRE, *Singular integrals with mixed homogeneity*, Studia Mathematica, 27 (1966), pp. 19–38.
- [5] K. KAZANIECKI, D. M. STOLYAROV, AND M. WOJCIECHOWSKI, *Anisotropic ornstein noninequalities*, Analysis & PDE, 10 (2017), pp. 351–366.
- [6] K. KAZANIECKI AND M. WOJCIECHOWSKI, *Ornstein's non-inequalities riesz product approach*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7319>, (2014).
- [7] B. KIRCHHEIM AND J. KRISTENSEN, *On rank one convex functions that are homogeneous of degree one*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 221 (2016), pp. 527–558.
- [8] R. LATAŁA, *L_1 -norm of combinations of products of independent random variables*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 203 (2014), pp. 295–308.
- [9] Y. MEYER, *Endomorphismes des idéaux fermés de $L^1(G)$, classes de Hardy et séries de Fourier lacunaires*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 1 (1968), pp. 499–580.
- [10] D. ORNSTEIN, *A non-equality for differential operators in the L_1 norm.*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 11 (1962), pp. 40–49.
- [11] A. PELCZYNSKI AND M. WOJCIECHOWSKI, *Paley projections on anisotropic sobolev spaces on tori*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s3-65 (1992), pp. 405–422.
- [12] F. RIESZ, *Über die Fourierkoeffizienten einer stetigen Funktion von beschränkter Schwankung*, Math. Z., 2 (1918), pp. 312–315.
- [13] L. A. SHERSTNEVA, *Nikol'skii inequalities for trigonometric polynomials in Lorentz spaces*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1. Mat. Mekh., 4 (1984), pp. 75–79.
- [14] M. WOJCIECHOWSKI, *On the strong type multiplier norms of rational functions in several variables*, Illinois J. Math., 42 (1998), pp. 582–600.

Krystian Kazaniecki
Institute of Analysis, Johannes Kepler University Linz
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw
krystian.kazaniecki@jku.at

Michał Wojciechowski
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
miwoj@impan.pl