

Generalize dissipaton theory versus Fokker–Planck quantum master equation with quadratic coupling environment.

Zi-Hao Chen,¹ Yao Wang,¹ Rui-Xue Xu,^{1, a)} and YiJing Yan^{1, b)}

Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

(Dated: 30 June 2022)

Quadratic system–bath coupling term plays a critical role in many real–world physical models. To treat the quadratic coupling term exactly, we have developed the generalized dissipaton–equations–of–motion (DEOM) method and stochastic–fields–dressed DEOM (SFD–DEOM) method. Among them, the generalized DEOM needs to be scrutinized and SFD–DEOM has many restrictions in the application. In this article, we combine the traditional DEOM theory with the Fokker–Planck algebra. The resulting Fokker–Planck quantum master equation (FP-QME) is an exact dynamical master equation for open quantum systems, and can be directly applied to non-linear system–bath coupling cases. We scrutiny those three methods and the results witness the establishment of the exact theory and numerical method of the open quantum system under quadratic system–bath coupling.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum dissipation plays a crucial role in many fields of modern science. Various methods, such as hierarchical–equations–of–motion (HEOM) formalism,^{1–8} have been constructed but are strict in the linear bath coupling cases. While the non–linear system–bath coupling cases are widespread in real–world open quantum systems and play an important role in it. Such as the vibronic optical lineshape and rate problems,^{9–11} beyond the linear response theory.^{12–14} The interest here is also closely related to the recent efforts toward quantum computing devices, where the fluctuations of linear noise could be effectively suppressed in optimal operational situations.

In our previous paper, we have developed dissipaton–equations–of–motion-2 (DEOM-2)¹⁵ and stochastic-fields-dressed DEOM (SFD–DEOM)¹⁶ methods to handle these scenarios. These approaches start from different physical foundations and obtain distinct equations of motion (EOM). Among them, the generalized DEOM method has a good numerical performance and more clarify algebra structure, but needs to be scrutinized. And the SFD–DEOM method is regarded as the strict numerical method, which can be utilized as the validation, but has many restrictions in the application due to the bad numerical performance.

In this paper, we treat the first–shell bath (the solvation modes) explicitly by combining the traditional HEOM theory with the Fokker–Planck (FP) algebra. We regard the core system, which was formed by the first–shell bath and the system, as the new system. This result in the coupling of the core system and the bath is always linear coupling. Then this model can be exactly solved using the traditional HEOM theory. The resulting Fokker–Planck quantum master equation (FP-QME), which can be directly applied to non-linear system–bath coupling cases, is an exact dynamical equation of motion for open quantum systems. It also explicitly treats the

solvation phase–space (both coordinate and momentum). Just like what we do in the development of phase–space DEOM theory¹⁷. As such, it extends both the application and interpretation of DEOM algebra. As shown later, we scrutiny generalized DEOM and FP-QME using SFD–DEOM approaches. These results witness the establishment of the exact theory and numerical method of the open quantum system under quadratic system–bath coupling. Especially, the validation of the Generalized Wick’s Theorem–2 (GWT–2) shows the superiority of DEOM theory.⁸

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review the FP algebra and then derive the FP-QME. In Sec. III, we cross check FP-QME not only with generalized DEOM¹⁵ but also with SFD–DEOM.¹⁶ This work is summarized in Sec. IV, together with perspectives on further applications. Throughout the paper we set $\hbar = 1$ and $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$, with k_B the Boltzmann constant and T the temperature.

II. HYBRIDIZED DEOM THEORY

Without loss of generality, we focus explicitly on a single–dissipative–mode case. The total system–plus–bath composite Hamiltonian reads

$$H_T = \hat{H}_S + \hat{Q}_S F(\hat{x}_B) + h_B. \quad (1)$$

The system Hamiltonian \hat{H}_S and hybridizing mode \hat{Q}_S are rather arbitrary. For the bath, h_B and \hat{x}_B are modeled to be harmonic and linear; i.e.,

$$h_B = \sum_j \frac{\omega_j}{2} (\hat{p}_j^2 + \hat{x}_j^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{x}_B = \sum_j c_j \hat{x}_j. \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (1), $F(\hat{x}_B)$ is assumed to be a polynomial of the solvation coordinate \hat{x}_B , i.e.,

$$F(\hat{x}_B) = \sum_{u=0}^{N_c} \alpha_u \hat{x}_B^u. \quad (3)$$

^{a)}Electronic mail: rxxu@ustc.edu.cn

^{b)}Electronic mail: yanyj@ustc.edu.cn

A. Hybrid description

In the hybrid description, bath Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) can be divided into the solvation modes and secondary bath parts with the Calderia–Leggett model, which reads

$$h_B = \frac{1}{2} \omega_B (\hat{p}_B^2 + \hat{x}_B^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \tilde{\omega}_j [\tilde{p}_j^2 + (\tilde{x}_j - \frac{\tilde{c}_j}{\tilde{\omega}_j} \hat{x}_B)^2]. \quad (4)$$

The solvation mode of frequency ω_B , with coordinate operator \hat{x}_B and momentum operator \hat{p}_B , is a Brownian oscillator subject to the stochastic force $\tilde{F}(t) = e^{i\tilde{h}_B t} (\sum_j \tilde{c}_j \tilde{x}_j) e^{-i\tilde{h}_B t}$ from secondary \tilde{h}_B -bath oscillators $\{\tilde{\omega}_j, \tilde{x}_j, \tilde{p}_j\}$. By denoting $\hat{x}_B(t) \equiv e^{i\tilde{h}_B t} \hat{x}_B e^{-i\tilde{h}_B t}$, and $\langle \hat{O} \rangle_B \equiv \text{tr}_B(\hat{O} e^{-\beta h_B}) / \text{tr}_B e^{-\beta h_B}$, we can obtain

$$\langle \hat{x}_B(t) \hat{x}_B \rangle_B = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\chi_B''(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}}{1 - e^{-\beta \omega}}, \quad (5)$$

with $\chi_B''(\omega) = \text{Im} \chi_B(\omega)$ and

$$\chi_B(\omega) = \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_B^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega \zeta_B(\omega)}. \quad (6)$$

Here, $\zeta_B(\omega) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \tilde{\zeta}_B(t)$, with the classical friction function reading

$$\tilde{\zeta}_B(t) = \omega_B \sum_j (\tilde{c}_j^2 / \tilde{\omega}_j) \cos(\omega_j t). \quad (7)$$

The spectral density of secondary bath is then

$$\tilde{J}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\omega_B} \text{Re} \zeta_B(\omega), \quad (8)$$

with the secondary bath fluctuation–dissipation theorem reading

$$\langle \tilde{F}(t) \tilde{F} \rangle_{\tilde{B}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\tilde{J}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}}{1 - e^{-\beta \omega}}. \quad (9)$$

Using Eq. (9) with (8), we can write down the HEOM where system and solvation are considered to be a composite system, and the second bath correlation function contributes to the DDOs. According to the fluctuation–dissipation theorem Eq. (9), together with Matsubara, Padé, and Prony expansion, we can obtain

$$\langle \tilde{F}(t) \tilde{F} \rangle_{\tilde{B}} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{\eta}_k e^{-\tilde{\gamma}_k t} \quad (t > 0). \quad (10)$$

B. Hybridized DEOM

The traditional DEOM then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} = & -i [\hat{H}_{\text{core}}, \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] - \gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} [\hat{x}_B, \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^+}] \\ & - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{\eta}_k (\tilde{\eta}_k \hat{x}_B \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \hat{x}_B). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with the core–system Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{core} defined as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{core}} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{Q}_S F(\hat{x}_B) + \frac{\omega_B}{2} (\hat{p}_B^2 + \hat{x}_B^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \frac{\tilde{c}_j^2}{\tilde{\omega}_j} \hat{x}_B^2. \quad (12)$$

Note that with Eq. (7), we obtain the reorganization coefficient,

$$\tilde{\zeta}_B(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \frac{\tilde{c}_j^2}{\tilde{\omega}_j}. \quad (13)$$

Here, the involved $\{\rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}\}$ include both system and solvation degrees of freedom, where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ is a K -dimensional vector labeling the secondary bath dissipatons. In Eq. (11), we denote $\gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} = \sum_k \tilde{\eta}_k \tilde{\gamma}_k$. Written in the solvation modes in the Wigner’s representation, See Eq. (A18). We can expand $\{\rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}(x_B, p_B)\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}(x_B, p_B) = & \left(\frac{\beta \omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \\ & \times e^{-\frac{\beta \omega_B}{4} (x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where the coefficients $\{\rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}\}$ is a core system operator. It is easy to show that $\rho_{00; \tilde{\mathbf{0}}}$ is the reduced system operator. The Derivation details of Eq. (14) are in Appendix. A 1. We can obtain the EOM for $\{\rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} = & -i [\mathcal{L}_{\text{core}} - \gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} (\hat{x}_B^> - \hat{x}_B^<) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} \\ & - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{\eta}_k (\tilde{\eta}_k \hat{x}_B^> \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \hat{x}_B^< \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{core}} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} = & -i \left[\frac{\omega_B}{2} (\hat{p}_B^>^2 + \hat{x}_B^>^2 - \hat{p}_B^<^2 - \hat{x}_B^<^2) \right. \\ & \left. + \tilde{\zeta}_B(0) (\hat{x}_B^>^2 - \hat{x}_B^<^2) \right] \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - i [H_S, \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] \\ & - i [\hat{Q}_S F(\hat{x}_B^>) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - F(\hat{x}_B^<) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The Eq. (15) has the same structure as the traditional DEOM, and Eq. (16) is the Liouville operator of the core systems under the description of FP algebra. We note that it is a hybridized description of coordinate and momentum phase-space.

III. NUMERICAL DEMONSTRATION

For demonstration, we apply the Drude model,

$$\hat{\zeta}_B(\omega) = \frac{i\tilde{\eta} \omega_B}{\omega + i\tilde{\gamma}}, \quad (17)$$

for the second bath, which lead to $\tilde{J}(\omega)$ the expression [c.f. Eq. (8)]

$$\tilde{J}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{\eta} \tilde{\gamma} \omega}{\omega^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2}. \quad (18)$$

For numerical demonstrations, we select a two-state model system as in Ref. 15. In this model, the Eq. (1) can be described by

$$H_T = h_g |g\rangle\langle g| + (h_e + \Delta) |e\rangle\langle e|, \quad (19)$$

where,

$$h_e = \frac{1}{2} \omega_B (\hat{p}_B^2 + \hat{x}_B^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \tilde{\omega}_k [\hat{p}_k^2 + (\hat{x}_k - \frac{\tilde{c}_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k} \hat{x}_B)^2], \quad (20)$$

and,

$$h_g = \frac{1}{2} \omega'_B (\hat{p}'_B{}^2 + \hat{x}'_B{}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \tilde{\omega}'_k [\hat{p}'_k{}^2 + (\hat{x}'_k - \frac{\tilde{c}'_k}{\tilde{\omega}'_k} \hat{x}'_B)^2], \quad (21)$$

follow the Caldeira–Leggett’s interaction form.¹⁸ Then in the H_g -based description, H_T can be reformulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} H_T &= \Delta |e\rangle\langle e| + h_g + (h_e - h_g) |e\rangle\langle e| \\ &= \Delta |e\rangle\langle e| + h_g + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{x}_B + \alpha_2 \hat{x}_B^2) |e\rangle\langle e|, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where,

$$\alpha_0 = \lambda \theta_B^2, \quad \alpha_1 = -(2\lambda \omega_B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta_B^2, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\omega_B}{2} (\theta_B^2 - 1). \quad (23)$$

Here, λ represents the linear-displacement induced reorganization and $\theta_B = \omega_B' / \omega_B$.

In this article, we only consider the scenario that $F(\hat{x}_B) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{x}_B + \alpha_2 \hat{x}_B^2$ due to the designated model described before. We can use Eq. (A22) to obtain the explicit result; See appendix B. Note in Eq. (15), the $F(\hat{x}_B^<)$ only appears in one term, so the FP-QME is still a general method for nonlinear coupling and a little modification is needed in the other cases. As the validation step, we show the evolution of the FP-QME, SFD-DEOM, and generalize DEOM. Although these SFD-DEOM and generalized DEOM methods are applicable in this scenario. To have consistency in the dynamic evolution, we must set these two methods with the same initial state. So we use step Hamiltonian $H_T = \omega_{eg} |e\rangle\langle e| + V \Theta(t) (|e\rangle\langle g| + |g\rangle\langle e|)$ to make sure they have the same initial state at $t = 0$, where the $\Theta(t)$ is the step function. This step Hamiltonian guarantee the initial state at $t = 0$ is the equilibrium state of $H_T = \omega_{eg} |e\rangle\langle e|$.

In this paper, we set $\omega_B = \Delta$, $\tilde{\eta} = 10\Delta$, $\tilde{\gamma} = 15\Delta$, $\beta\Delta = 1$. We truncate the FP-QME by set all ADO satisfied $n_1 + n_2 > 8$ and $\sum_k \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k > 30$ to 0 with filter as 1×10^{-8} , these results are converged compare to $L_{FP} = 9$ and $L_{Tra} = 40$. The SFD-DEOM method uses 100000 samples and the generalized DEOM method truncates at $L = 40$ with the same filter as FP-QME. We set $\omega_{eg} = \Delta$ in the validation step and $\omega_{eg} = 50\Delta$ in the calculation of spectrum density.

We show the evolution of the von Neumann entropy (upper panel) and the density matrix (lower panel) under step Hamiltonian in Fig. 2 with $\omega_{eg} = \Delta$ and $[\theta_B, \lambda]$ parameters: $[8/9, 0.1\Delta]$, and corresponding coupling strength $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ are: $[0.13, -0.57, 0.13]$. It can be seen that the result of generalized DEOM is the same as FP-QME. The result of generalized DEOM and FP-QME have a little bit different from SFD-DEOM, especially in the long time region. This may be




FIG. 1. Validation of FP-QME and generalized DEOM with nonlinear effect. We set $\omega_{eg} = 50\Delta$. and the parameters of the spectrum density are: $\omega_B = \Delta$, $\tilde{\eta} = 10\Delta$, $\tilde{\gamma} = 15\Delta$, $\beta\Delta = 1$. The $[\theta_B, \eta]$ parameters are $[9/8, 0.1]$. Here, $S_{vN} = -\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$ and $\langle \sigma_z \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho \sigma_z) = \rho_S^{ee} - \rho_S^{gg}$.

caused by the difficulty in the convergence of SFD-DEOM for a long time. Those numerical results, especially, the results of the SFD-DEOM, mean the obtained FP-QME and the generalized DEOM are validated. The different structures in generalized DEOM and FP-QME can be viewed as different truncate schemes.¹⁹

The results of the absorption spectrum using FP-QME and generalized DEOM are also shown in the Fig. 2. The SFD-DEOM is absent due to difficulty in converging. The absorption spectrum is defined as:

$$S(\omega) = \text{Re} \int_0^\infty t e^{i\omega t} \langle \hat{\mu}_S(t) \hat{\mu}_S(0) \rangle, \quad (24)$$

where, $\mu_S = |e\rangle\langle g| + |g\rangle\langle e|$. The detailed protocol of evolution on the dipole-dipole correlation function via traditional DEOM can be found in Ref. 20. The only difference in the protocol of the FP-QME is in the first step. The initial state ($\rho_{0\dots 0} = |e\rangle\langle e|$) is the equilibrium state in the generalized DEOM and SFD-DEOM methods but not in the FP-QME methods. Under the FP-QME, we have to solve the equilibrium state to obtain the same equilibrium state as other DEOM (DEOM, generalized DEOM, and SFD-DEOM) methods.

As shown in Fig. 2, the FP-QME can obtain the same result as GWT-2 DEOM with $\omega_{eg} = 50\Delta$ and different $[\theta_B, \lambda]$ parameters: $[4/3, 0.25\Delta]$, $[4/3, 0]$, $[1, \Delta]$, and corresponding coupling strength $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ are: $[0.44, -1.26, 0.39]$, $[1.00, -1.41, 0.00]$, $[0.00, -0.00, 0.39]$. We use the same truncate as before. The numerical unstable in FP-QME are observed under parameters of $[4/3, 0.5\Delta]$ and $[3/4, \Delta]$. Moreover, the reported numerical unstable in generalized DEOM¹⁵ is confirmed under FP-QME, and both the numerical stability and




FIG. 2. Comparison of absorption spectra using FP-QME and generalized DEOM. Parameters of spectrum density are the same as Fig. 1. The $[\theta_B, \eta]$ parameters are $[4/3, 0.25\Delta]$, $[4/3, 0]$, $[1, \Delta]$, $\omega_{eg} = 50\Delta$ and others the same as Fig. 1.

numerical performance of FP-QME are much worse than generalized DEOM.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper, we scrutiny dissipaton–equations–of–motion–2 (DEOM-2) theorem via the FP-QME methods. The FP-QME methods, combining the traditional with FP algebra, have the consistent result with the DEOM-2¹⁵ and SFD-DEOM¹⁶ we developed before. The consistent numerical methods under the quadratic system–bath coupling scenarios, which plays a critical role in many real–world physical models, can provide a broader and more detailed range of applications for the theoretical study of the open quantum system. Specifically, these methods can be directly applied to nonlinear system–bath coupling cases and possess application prospects in various subjects such as spectra and dynamics in the excitation energy transfer processing and molecular dynamics. Moreover, in the theoretical aspects, the FP-QME can be regarded as an extension of DEOM theory, which treats the solvation phase–space (both coordinate and momentum) explicitly and extends the meaning of dissipaton a lot.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0204904 and 2021YFA1200103) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 22103073 and 22173088) is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A: FP operator and FP algebra

In this appendix, we combine the traditional HEOM theory with the Fokker–Planck (FP) algebra. The result in hybridized DEOM theory is an exact dynamical theory for open quantum systems, and it also treats the solvation phase–space (both coordinate and momentum) explicitly. This formalism can be directly applied to nonlinear system–bath coupling cases, which is beyond the scope of existing DEOM formalism.

1. Review of FP algebra

We start with Eq. (5). In the Markovian limit, $\zeta_B(\omega) = \zeta_B$. By further adopting the high–temperature approximation,²¹ we have

$$\langle \hat{x}_B(t) \hat{x}_B(0) \rangle_B \approx \eta_+ e^{-\gamma_+ t} + \eta_- e^{-\gamma_- t}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

with

$$\gamma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} [\zeta_B \pm (\zeta_B^2 - 4\omega_B^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}], \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$\eta_{\pm} = \mp \frac{\omega_B}{\gamma_+ - \gamma_-} \left(\frac{1}{\beta \gamma_{\pm}} - \frac{i}{2} \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

It should be noticed that the Markovian and high–temperature limit are needed only for the construction of FP operator and FP algebra, and are not necessary for the derivation of FP-QME.

Now we construct the FP operator that would generate FP algebra. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}}(\cdot) \equiv & i \frac{\omega_B}{2} [\hat{p}_B^2 + \hat{x}_B^2, \cdot] + \frac{\zeta_B}{\beta \omega_B} [\hat{x}_B, [\hat{x}_B, \cdot]] \\ & + i \frac{\zeta_B}{2} [\hat{x}_B, \{\hat{p}_B, \cdot\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

When expressed in the Wigner’s representation, the FP operator Eq. (A4) can be converted to a solvation phase–space operator as

$$L_{\text{FP}} \equiv \omega_B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_B} p_B - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B} x_B \right) - \frac{\zeta_B}{\beta \omega_B} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_B^2} - \zeta_B \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B} p_B, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where x_B and p_B are c–number valued solvation coordinate and momentum respectively. Under the similarity transformation

$$\tilde{L}_{\text{FP}} \equiv e^S L_{\text{FP}} e^{-S}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with $S \equiv \frac{\beta \omega_B}{4} (x_B^2 + p_B^2)$, we can recast \tilde{L}_{FP} as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_{\text{FP}} = & \omega_B (a_2^\dagger a_1 - a_1^\dagger a_2) + \zeta_B a_2^\dagger a_2 \\ = & \gamma_+ c_1^+ c_1^- + \gamma_- c_2^+ c_2^-, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where the solvation phase-space operators

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}{2}x_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_B}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}{2}p_B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_B}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^- &\equiv r_2 a_1 + r_1 a_2, & c_2^- &\equiv r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2, \\ c_1^+ &\equiv -r_2 a_1^\dagger + r_1 a_2^\dagger, & c_2^+ &\equiv r_1 a_1^\dagger - r_2 a_2^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Here,

$$r_1 \equiv \sqrt{\gamma_+ / (\gamma_+ - \gamma_-)}, \quad r_2 \equiv \sqrt{\gamma_- / (\gamma_+ - \gamma_-)}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Here we set $\text{Re}(r_{1/2}) > 0$. It is easy to verify that they satisfy the boson-like commutation relations as

$$\begin{aligned} [c_j^-, c_k^+] &= [a_j, a_k^\dagger] = \delta_{jk}, \\ [c_j^\pm, c_k^\pm] &= [a_j, a_k] = [a_j^\dagger, a_k^\dagger] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

We can now obtain the eigenfunction of \tilde{L}_{FP} . It is easy to recognize that the functions

$$\Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} (c_1^+)^{n_1} (c_2^+)^{n_2} \Psi_{00}(x_B, p_B), \quad (\text{A12})$$

with

$$\Psi_{00}(x_B, p_B) \equiv \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

are eigenfunctions of \tilde{L}_{FP} ; i.e.,

$$\tilde{L}_{\text{FP}} \Psi_{n_1, n_2} = (n_1 \gamma_+ + n_2 \gamma_-) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Furthermore, by denoting $\tilde{c}_j^\pm \equiv (c_j^\mp)^\dagger$, we can also construct the eigenfunctions $\{\tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}\}$ of $\tilde{L}_{\text{FP}}^\dagger$ in a similar way reading

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} (\tilde{c}_1^+)^{n_1} (\tilde{c}_2^+)^{n_2} \Psi_{00}(x_B, p_B). \quad (\text{A15})$$

As resulted

$$\tilde{L}_{\text{FP}}^\dagger \tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2} = (n_1 \gamma_+^* + n_2 \gamma_-^*) \tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}. \quad (\text{A16})$$

The functions $\{\tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}\}$ are orthonormal with respect to $\{\Psi_{n_1, n_2}\}$ as

$$\iint dx_B dp_B \tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}^*(x_B, p_B) \Psi_{n_1', n_2'}(x_B, p_B) = \delta_{n_1 n_1'} \delta_{n_2 n_2'}. \quad (\text{A17})$$

Any function $\Xi(x_B, p_B)$ including the dissipaton degree of freedom can be expanded under this set of eigenfunctions $\{\Psi_{n_1, n_2}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(x_B, p_B) &= \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} \\ &\times e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A18})$$

Here, the parameters

$$s_{n_1 n_2} \equiv (-1)^{n_1} (\beta\omega_B)^{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}} r_2^{-n_1} r_1^{-n_2}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

are chosen for later convenience and $\{\theta_{n_1, n_2}\}$ can be seen as coefficients. It should be noted that $\{\theta_{n_1, n_2}\}$ are not necessarily c-numbers, and they can be operators including other degrees of freedom. Inversely, using the orthonormal relation, Eq.(A17), we can recast $\{\rho_{n_1 n_2}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n_1, n_2} &= \frac{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}}{s_{n_1 n_2}} \iint dx_B dp_B \tilde{\Psi}_{n_1, n_2}^*(x_B, p_B) \\ &\times \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Xi(x_B, p_B). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A20})$$

2. Coordinate and momentum operator

In this subsection, we derive the action of coordinate and momentum operator on $\Xi(x_B, p_B)$ expressed in Eq.(A18). Firstly we map the left/right action of coordinate and momentum operators \hat{x}_B^{\gtrless} and \hat{p}_B^{\gtrless} into the Wigner space as

$$\hat{x}_B^{\gtrless} \rightarrow x_B \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_B^{\gtrless} \rightarrow p_B \mp \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_B}. \quad (\text{A21})$$

Then by using Eq.(A18), we can derive the action results on coefficient $\{\theta_{n_1, n_2}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_B^> : \theta_{n_1, n_2} &\rightarrow \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} + \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} + \eta_+ n_1 \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \\ &+ \eta_- n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_B^< : \theta_{n_1, n_2} &\rightarrow \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} + \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} + \tilde{\eta}_+^* n_1 \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \\ &+ \tilde{\eta}_-^* n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_B \hat{p}_B^> : \theta_{n_1, n_2} &\rightarrow -\gamma_+ \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} - \gamma_- \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} - \tilde{\eta}_-^* \gamma_- n_1 \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \\ &- \tilde{\eta}_+^* \gamma_+ n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_B \hat{p}_B^< : \theta_{n_1, n_2} &\rightarrow -\gamma_+ \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} - \gamma_- \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} - \eta_- \gamma_- n_1 \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \\ &- \eta_+ \gamma_+ n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22d})$$

Here, we denote

$$\tilde{\eta}_\pm^* \equiv \mp \frac{\omega_B}{\gamma_+ - \gamma_-} \left(\frac{1}{\beta\gamma_\pm} + \frac{i}{2} \right). \quad (\text{A23})$$

Note the index correspondence that $1(2) \leftrightarrow \pm$, then by using Eq.(A18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_B^{\gtrless} \Xi(x_B, p_B) &\equiv \left(x_B \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B}\right) \Xi(x_B, p_B), \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} \left(x_B \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B}\right) \\ &\times e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\frac{a_1 + a_1^\dagger}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \mp \frac{i\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}{2} a_2^\dagger \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \\
& \times \left[-r_2 c_1^- + r_1 c_2^- + (r_2 \mp ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2) c_1^+ \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (r_1 \mp ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2) c_2^+ \right] \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \\
& \times \left[-r_2 \sqrt{n_1} \Psi_{n_1-1, n_2} + r_1 \sqrt{n_2} \Psi_{n_1, n_2-1} \right. \\
& \quad + (r_2 \mp ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2) \sqrt{n_1+1} \Psi_{n_1+1, n_2} \\
& \quad \left. + (r_1 \mp ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2) \sqrt{n_2+1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2+1} \right], \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \left[(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) \right]. \quad (\text{A24})
\end{aligned}$$

In the above derivation, the 2nd and 3rd equalities use Eq.(B4) and (B5) in the previous paper [J. Chem. Phys. 146, 024104 (2017)]. After the rearrangement of the summation indices, the four terms in the last equality read

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_B^{\geq} \Xi(x_B, p_B) & \equiv \left(x_B \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B} \right) \Xi(x_B, p_B), \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} \left(x_B \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_B} \right) \\
& \times e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Psi_{n_1, n_2} \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \\
& \times \left(\frac{a_1 + a_1^\dagger}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \mp \frac{i\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}{2} a_2^\dagger \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \left[-r_2 c_1^- + r_1 c_2^- + (r_2 \mp ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2) c_1^+ \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (r_1 \mp ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2) c_2^+ \right] \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \left[-r_2 \sqrt{n_1} \Psi_{n_1-1, n_2} + r_1 \sqrt{n_2} \Psi_{n_1, n_2-1} \right. \\
& \quad + (r_2 \mp ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2) \sqrt{n_1+1} \Psi_{n_1+1, n_2} \\
& \quad \left. + (r_1 \mp ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2) \sqrt{n_2+1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2+1} \right], \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \left[(1') + (2') + (3') + (4') \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In the above derivation, the 2nd and 3rd equalities use Eq.(B4)

and (B5) in the previous paper [J. Chem. Phys. 146, 024104 (2017)]. After the rearrangement of the summation indices, the four terms in the last equality read

$$\begin{aligned}
(1') & = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1+1, n_2}}{\sqrt{(n_1+1)! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} \frac{-r_2}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \sqrt{n_1+1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1+1, n_2} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
(2') & = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1, n_2+1}}{\sqrt{n_1! (n_2+1)!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \sqrt{n_2+1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2} \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2+1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
(3') & = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\sqrt{n_1} s_{n_1-1, n_2}}{\sqrt{(n_1-1)! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \left(\frac{r_2 \mp ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2} \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} \left(\frac{-r_2^2}{\beta\omega_B} \pm \frac{ir_1 r_2}{2} \right) n_1 \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1-1, n_2} (\eta_+ / \tilde{\eta}_+^*) n_1 \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
(4') & = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\sqrt{n_2} s_{n_1, n_2-1}}{\sqrt{n_1! (n_2-1)!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2-1} \left(\frac{r_1 \mp ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2} \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2-1} \left(\frac{r_1^2}{\beta\omega_B} \mp \frac{ir_1 r_2}{2} \right) n_2 \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
& = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2-1} (\eta_- / \tilde{\eta}_-^*) n_2 \Psi_{n_1, n_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last steps of above two derivations, Eq. (A3) and (A10) are used. We then finish deriving relation Eq. (A22a) and (A22b) by adding the four terms together. Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}_B^{\geq} \theta(x_B, p_B) & \equiv \left(p_B \mp \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_B} \right), \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} \left(p_B \mp \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_B} \right) \\
& \times e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B), \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \\
& \times \left(\frac{a_2 + a_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \pm \frac{i\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}}{2} a_1^\dagger \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B), \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \\
& \times \left[-r_2 c_2^- + r_1 c_1^- + (r_2 \pm ir_1 \beta\omega_B/2) c_2^+ \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (r_1 \pm ir_2 \beta\omega_B/2) c_1^+ \right] \Psi_{n_1, n_2}(x_B, p_B), \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta\omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2} e^{-\frac{\beta\omega_B}{4}(x_B^2 + p_B^2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\omega_B}} \\
& \times \left[-r_2 \sqrt{n_2} \Psi_{n_1, n_2-1} + r_1 \sqrt{n_1} \Psi_{n_1-1, n_2} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (r_2 \pm ir_1 \beta \omega_B / 2) \sqrt{n_2 + 1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2 + 1} \\
& + (r_1 \pm ir_2 \beta \omega_B / 2) \sqrt{n_1 + 1} \Psi_{n_1 + 1, n_2} \Big], \\
& = \left(\frac{\beta \omega_B}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta \omega_B}{4} (\hat{x}_B^2 + \hat{p}_B^2)} \left[(1'') + (2'') + (3'') + (4'') \right].
\end{aligned}$$

After rearrangement of the summation indices, the four terms in the last equality read

$$\begin{aligned}
(1'') &= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2 + 1}}{\sqrt{n_1! (n_2 + 1)!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2 + 1} \frac{-r_2}{\sqrt{\beta \omega_B}} \sqrt{n_2 + 1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2 + 1} \left(-\frac{r_2}{r_1} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \omega_B^{-1} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2 + 1} (-\gamma_-) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}. \\
(2'') &= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 + 1 n_2}}{\sqrt{(n_1 + 1)! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1 + 1, n_2} \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{\beta \omega_B}} \sqrt{n_1 + 1} \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1 + 1, n_2} \left(-\frac{r_1}{r_2} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \omega_B^{-1} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1 + 1, n_2} (-\gamma_+) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}. \\
(3'') &= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\sqrt{n_2} s_{n_1 n_2 - 1}}{\sqrt{n_1! (n_2 - 1)!}} \theta_{n_1, n_2 - 1} \left(\frac{r_2 \pm ir_1 \beta \omega_B / 2}{\sqrt{\beta \omega_B}} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2 - 1} \left(\frac{r_1 r_2}{\beta \omega_B} \pm \frac{ir_1^2}{2} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \omega_B^{-1} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} n_2 \theta_{n_1, n_2 - 1} (-\gamma_+) (\tilde{\eta}_+^* / \eta_+) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}. \\
(4'') &= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{\sqrt{n_1} s_{n_1 - 1 n_2}}{\sqrt{(n_1 - 1)! n_2!}} \theta_{n_1 - 1, n_2} \left(\frac{r_1 \pm ir_2 \beta \omega_B / 2}{\sqrt{\beta \omega_B}} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2} \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} n_1 \theta_{n_1 - 1, n_2} \left(\frac{-r_1 r_2}{\beta \omega_B} \mp \frac{ir_2^2}{2} \right) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}, \\
&= \omega_B^{-1} \sum_{n_1, n_2} \frac{s_{n_1 n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} n_1 \theta_{n_1 - 1, n_2} (-\gamma_-) (\tilde{\eta}_-^* / \eta_-) \Psi_{n_1, n_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We then finish deriving the relation Eq. (A22c) and (A22d) by adding the four terms together.

Appendix B: Formula using in coding of Eqs. (15)

For convenience, we split Eq. (15) into 5 parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} &= -i \left[\mathcal{L}_S + \frac{\omega_B}{2} (\hat{p}_B^{>2} + \hat{x}_B^{>2} - \hat{p}_B^{<2} - \hat{x}_B^{<2}) \right. \\
&+ \tilde{\zeta}_B(0) (\hat{x}_B^{>2} - \hat{x}_B^{<2}) \Big] \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - \gamma_{\bar{\mathbf{n}}} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&- i [\hat{Q}_S F(\hat{x}_B^{>}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - F(\hat{x}_B^{<}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S] \\
&- i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} (\hat{x}_B^{>} - \hat{x}_B^{<}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} \\
&- i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{n}_k \left(\tilde{\eta}_k^* \hat{x}_B^{>} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \hat{x}_B^{<} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -i \mathcal{L}_S \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - i \frac{\omega_B}{2} (1) - i \tilde{\zeta}_B(0) (2) - \gamma_{\bar{\mathbf{n}}} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&- i(3) - i(4) - i(5)
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

The first part reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= (\hat{p}_B^{>2} + \hat{x}_B^{>2} - \hat{p}_B^{<2} - \hat{x}_B^{<2}) \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&= 4i(n_1 - n_2) r_1 r_2 \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + 2in_1 r_1 r_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_-}{\gamma_+} \right) \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2 + 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&- 2in_2 r_1 r_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_+}{\gamma_-} \right) \rho_{n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

The second part read:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) &= (\hat{x}_B^{>2} - \hat{x}_B^{<2}) \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&= (2n_1 + 1) \hat{A}_+ \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + (2n_2 + 1) \hat{A}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2 \binom{n_1}{2} \hat{B}_{++} \rho_{n_1 - 2, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \hat{B}_{--} \rho_{n_1, n_2 - 2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2n_1 \hat{A}_+ \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2 + 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_2 \hat{A}_- \rho_{n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2n_1 n_2 \hat{B}_{+-} \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

Here and after, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\pm} \hat{O} = \eta_{\pm} \hat{O} - \tilde{\eta}_{\pm}^* \hat{O}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{\pm\mp} \hat{O} = \eta_{\pm} \eta_{\mp} \hat{O} - \tilde{\eta}_{\pm}^* \tilde{\eta}_{\mp}^* \hat{O}$. The third part reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) &= \hat{Q}_S F(\hat{x}_B^{>}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - F(\hat{x}_B^{<}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S \\
&= \hat{Q}_S (\alpha_0) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - (\alpha_0) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S \\
&+ \hat{Q}_S (\alpha_1 \hat{x}_B^{>}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - (\alpha_1 \hat{x}_B^{<}) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S \\
&+ \hat{Q}_S (\alpha_2 (\hat{x}_B^{>})^2) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} - (\alpha_2 (\hat{x}_B^{<})^2) \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \hat{Q}_S \\
&= \alpha_0 (\text{I}) + \alpha_1 (\text{II}) + \alpha_2 (\text{III})
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{I}) &= [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] \\
(\text{II}) &= [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1 + 1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] + [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2 + 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] \\
&+ n_1 \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + n_2 \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
(\text{III}) &= [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1 + 2, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] + [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2 + 2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] + 2[\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}] \\
&+ (2n_1 + 1) \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + (2n_2 + 1) \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2n_1 \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2 + 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_2 \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2 \binom{n_1}{2} \hat{D}_{++} \rho_{n_1 - 2, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \hat{D}_{--} \rho_{n_1, n_2 - 2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&+ 2n_1 n_2 \hat{D}_{+-} \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

Here and after, $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_{\pm} \hat{O} = \eta_{\pm} \hat{Q}_S \hat{O} - \tilde{\eta}_{\pm}^* \hat{O} \hat{Q}_S$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\pm\mp} \hat{O} = \eta_{\pm} \eta_{\mp} \hat{Q}_S \hat{O} - \tilde{\eta}_{\pm}^* \tilde{\eta}_{\mp}^* \hat{O} \hat{Q}_S$. The fourth part reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} (\hat{x}_B^{>} - \hat{x}_B^{<}) \rho_{n_1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} (n_1 \hat{A}_+^{\times} \rho_{n_1 - 1, n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} + n_2 \hat{A}_-^{\times} \rho_{n_1, n_2 - 1; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^+})
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

The fifth part reads:

$$(5) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{n}_k \left(\tilde{\eta}_k^* \hat{x}_B^{>} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \hat{x}_B^{<} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \bar{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{n}_k \left(\tilde{\eta}_k \rho_{n_1+1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + \tilde{\eta}_k \rho_{n_1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right. \\
&\quad + n_1 \eta_+ \tilde{\eta}_k \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + n_2 \eta_- \tilde{\eta}_k \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \\
&\quad - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \rho_{n_1+1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - \tilde{\eta}_k^* \rho_{n_1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \\
&\quad \left. - n_1 \tilde{\eta}_+^* \tilde{\eta}_k^* \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} - n_2 \tilde{\eta}_-^* \tilde{\eta}_k^* \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{n}_k \left(\tilde{A}_k \rho_{n_1+1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + \tilde{A}_k \rho_{n_1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + n_1 \tilde{B}_{k+} \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + n_2 \tilde{B}_{k-} \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right). \quad (B7)
\end{aligned}$$

Here and after, $\hat{A}_k^\times = \tilde{\eta}_k - \tilde{\eta}_k^*$ and $\hat{B}_{k\pm}^\times = \eta_\pm \tilde{\eta}_k - \tilde{\eta}_\pm^* \tilde{\eta}_k^*$. so, the final equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}_{n_1 n_2 \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} &= -i \mathcal{L}_S \rho_{n_1 n_2 \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2\omega_b (n_1 - n_2) r_1 r_2 \rho_{n_1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + n_1 \omega_b r_1 r_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_-}{\gamma_+} \right) \rho_{n_1-1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - n_2 \omega_b r_1 r_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_+}{\gamma_-} \right) \rho_{n_1+1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&\quad - i \tilde{\zeta}_B(0) \left((2n_1 + 1) \hat{A}_+ \rho_{n_1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + (2n_2 + 1) \hat{A}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2 \binom{n_1}{2} \hat{B}_{++} \rho_{n_1-2, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \hat{B}_{--} \rho_{n_1, n_2-2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \right. \\
&\quad + 2n_1 \hat{A}_+ \rho_{n_1-1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_2 \hat{A}_- \rho_{n_1+1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_1 n_2 \hat{B}_{+-} \rho_{n_1-1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \left. - \gamma_{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} - i\alpha_0 [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] \right) \\
&\quad - i\alpha_1 \left([\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1+1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] + [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] + n_1 \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + n_2 \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \right) - i\alpha_2 \left([\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1+2, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] + [\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1, n_2+2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] \right. \\
&\quad + 2[\hat{Q}_S, \rho_{n_1+1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}}] + 2n_1 n_2 \hat{D}_{+-} \rho_{n_1-1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + (2n_1 + 1) \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + (2n_2 + 1) \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1 n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \binom{n_1}{2} \hat{D}_{++} \rho_{n_1-2, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2 \binom{n_2}{2} \hat{D}_{--} \rho_{n_1, n_2-2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_1 \hat{C}_+ \rho_{n_1-1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} + 2n_2 \hat{C}_- \rho_{n_1+1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \right) \\
&\quad - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \tilde{n}_k \left(\tilde{A}_k \rho_{n_1+1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + \tilde{A}_k \rho_{n_1, n_2+1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + n_1 \tilde{B}_{k+} \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} + n_2 \tilde{B}_{k-} \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^-} \right) \\
&\quad - i \sum_{k=1}^{N_K} \left(n_1 \hat{A}_+ \rho_{n_1-1, n_2; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} + n_2 \hat{A}_- \rho_{n_1, n_2-1; \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_k^+} \right). \quad (B8)
\end{aligned}$$

- ¹Y. Tanimura, “Nonperturbative expansion method for a quantum system coupled to a harmonic-oscillator bath,” *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 6676 (1990).
- ²Y. Tanimura, “Stochastic Liouville, Langevin, Fokker-Planck, and master equation approaches to quantum dissipative systems,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75**, 082001 (2006).
- ³Y. A. Yan, F. Yang, Y. Liu, and J. S. Shao, “Hierarchical approach based on stochastic decoupling to dissipative systems,” *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **395**, 216 (2004).
- ⁴R. X. Xu, P. Cui, X. Q. Li, Y. Mo, and Y. J. Yan, “Exact quantum master equation via the calculus on path integrals,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 041103 (2005).
- ⁵R. X. Xu and Y. J. Yan, “Dynamics of quantum dissipation systems interacting with bosonic canonical bath: Hierarchical equations of motion approach,” *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 031107 (2007).
- ⁶J. S. Jin, X. Zheng, and Y. J. Yan, “Exact dynamics of dissipative electronic systems and quantum transport: Hierarchical equations of motion approach,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **128**, 234703 (2008).
- ⁷L. Z. Ye, X. L. Wang, D. Hou, R. X. Xu, X. Zheng, and Y. J. Yan, “HEOM-QUICK: A program for accurate, efficient and universal characterization of strongly correlated quantum impurity systems,” *WIREs Comp. Mol. Sci.* **6**, 608 (2016).
- ⁸Y. J. Yan, J. S. Jin, R. X. Xu, and X. Zheng, “Dissipaton equation of motion approach to open quantum systems,” *Frontiers Phys.* **11**, 110306 (2016).
- ⁹V. Chorošajev, T. Marčiulionis, and D. Abramavicius, “Temporal dynamics of excitonic states with nonlinear electron-vibrational coupling,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **147**, 074114 (2017).
- ¹⁰Y. J. Yan and S. Mukamel, “Eigenstate-free, Green function: Calculation of molecular absorption and fluorescence line shapes,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **85**, 5908 (1986).
- ¹¹T. Zhang, H. L. Ma, Y. L. Niu, W. Q. Li, D. Wang, Q. Peng, Z. G. Shuai, and

- W. Z. Liang, “Spectroscopic Signature of the Aggregation-Induced Emission Phenomena Caused by Restricted Nonradiative Decay: A Theoretical Proposal,” *J. Phys. Chem. C* **119**, 5040 (2015).
- ¹²R. Kubo, “A stochastic theory of line shape,” *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 101 (1969).
- ¹³Y. J. Yan and S. Mukamel, “Electronic dephasing, vibrational relaxation, and solvent friction in molecular nonlinear optical lineshapes,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **89**, 5160 (1988).
- ¹⁴S. Mukamel, *The Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- ¹⁵R. X. Xu, Y. Liu, H. D. Zhang, and Y. J. Yan, “Theories of quantum dissipation and nonlinear coupling bath descriptors,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**, 114103 (2018).
- ¹⁶Z.-H. Chen, Y. Wang, R.-X. Xu, and Y. Yan, “Quantum dissipation with nonlinear environment couplings: Stochastic fields dressed dissipaton equation of motion approach,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **155**, 174111 (2021).
- ¹⁷Y. Wang, R. X. Xu, and Y. J. Yan, “Entangled system-and-environment dynamics: Phase-space dissipaton theory,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 041102 (2020).
- ¹⁸A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, “Path integral approach to quantum Brownian motion,” *Physica A* **121**, 587 (1983).
- ¹⁹D. Zhang, X. Ding, H.-D. Zhang, X. Zheng, and Y. Yan, “Adiabatic terminator for fermionic hierarchical equations of motion,” *Chinese Journal of Chemical Physics* **34**, 905 (2021).
- ²⁰Z.-H. Chen, Y. Wang, R.-X. Xu, and Y. Yan, “Correlated vibration-solvent effects on the non-Condon exciton spectroscopy,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **154**, 244105 (2021).
- ²¹J. J. Ding, Y. Wang, H. D. Zhang, R. X. Xu, X. Zheng, and Y. J. Yan, “Fokker-Planck quantum master equation for mixed quantum-semiclassical

dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **146**, 024104 (2017).