

# FAITHFULLY FLAT DESCENT OF QUASI-COHERENT COMPLEXES ON RIGID ANALYTIC VARIETIES VIA CONDENSED MATHEMATICS

YUTARO MIKAMI

ABSTRACT. Faithfully flat descent of pseudo-coherent complexes in rigid geometry was proved by Mathew. In this paper, we generalize the result of Mathew to quasi-coherent complexes on rigid analytic varieties, which have been introduced by Clausen and Scholze by means of condensed mathematics.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Review of analytic rings associated to complete affinoid pairs	4
2. Faithfully flat descent for discrete rings	12
3. Adic completeness and small complete adic rings	19
4. Faithfully flat descent over a complete non-archimedean field	24
5. Descent of pseudo-coherent complexes and perfect complexes	29
References	34

## INTRODUCTION

We begin with the statement of faithfully flat descent in commutative ring theory. Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of (commutative unital) rings. Then faithfully flat descent states that an  $A$ -module can be described as a  $B$ -module with a *descent datum*. Recently Lurie has generalized the theory of faithfully flat descent to complexes of modules in [Lur18] by using higher algebra. This generalization is important even if we are interested only in discrete objects (i.e., not higher categorical objects). For example, it is used in [BS19, CS19] whose main theorems are concerned with discrete objects.

On the other hand, there is an analogue of the classical theory of faithfully flat descent in rigid geometry. Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field. Then it states that for a faithfully flat map  $A \rightarrow B$  of affinoid  $K$ -algebras, a coherent  $A$ -module can be described as a coherent  $B$ -module with a descent datum; see [BG98, Con03] for more details. It has been generalized by Mathew in [Mat22] as follows:

---

*Date:* June 30, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14G22, secondary 11G25.

**Theorem 0.1** ([Mat22, Theorem 1.4]). *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field, and let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathrm{PCoh}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathrm{PCoh}(B^{n/A}),$$

where  $\mathrm{PCoh}(A)$  is the category of pseudo-coherent complexes of  $A$ -modules and  $\Delta$  is the simplex category.

Unlike commutative ring theory, in rigid geometry we only treat objects which satisfy some finiteness conditions, that is coherent modules and pseudo-coherent complexes. This is because of issues of topological algebraic systems such as topological rings and topological modules.

Recently Clausen and Scholze have developed a new approach to treat more general objects in [Sch19, Sch20], which is called *condensed mathematics*. In condensed mathematics, topological sets are generalized to *condensed sets*, which are sheaves of sets on the site of profinite sets. Similarly, topological groups, rings, etc. are generalized to *condensed groups*, *rings*, etc. respectively. One of the advantages to using condensed objects is that the category of condensed abelian groups becomes an abelian category as opposed to the category of topological abelian groups.

In this context, complete affinoid pairs are generalized to *analytic rings*. Roughly speaking, an analytic ring is a pair of a condensed ring  $\mathcal{A}$  and some additional data  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is called the *functor of measures* of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . For a complete analytic ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ , we can define a symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . For a complete affinoid pair  $(A, A^+)$ , we can define an analytic ring  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  associated to  $(A, A^+)$ , and we call an object of  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$  a quasi-coherent complex over  $(A, A^+)$ .

The following is a basic property of quasi-coherent complexes.

**Theorem 0.2** ([And21, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $X$  be an analytic affinoid adic space and let  $U$  denote an arbitrary open affinoid subspace of  $X$ . Then the functor  $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^+(U))_{\blacksquare})$  defines a sheaf of  $\infty$ -categories on  $X$ .*

In [Mat22], it is expected that faithfully flat descent in rigid geometry is formulated in this framework. In this paper, we will carry it out. The following is the main theorem in this paper.

**Theorem 0.3.** *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field, and let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A}, (B^{n/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}).$$

This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 0.1. In the proof, we will consider formal models and prove faithfully flat descent for them. We explain two difficulties

of proving it. Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $\pi$  be a pseudo-uniformizer. Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras (i.e.  $\pi$ -torsion-free topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras).

The first problem is that, in this setting, the functor  $-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare$  is not equal to the functor  $-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} \underline{B}$ , where  $\underline{B}$  is an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  associated to the topological  $A$ -module  $B$ . Therefore, we can not apply the argument in [Mat16] naively. However, we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.4.** *There exists an object  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- *The object  $N_{B/A}$  is  $A_\blacksquare$ -complete and compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ .*
- *We have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$*

$$-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare \xrightarrow{\sim} R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{A_\blacksquare}(N_{B/A}, -).$$

*In particular, the functor*

$$-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare: \mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$$

*commutes with small limits.*

By this theorem, we can make use of  $N_{B/A}$  instead of  $\underline{B}$  to prove faithfully flat descent.

Next, we want to reduce faithfully flat descent for admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras to fppf-descent for discrete rings by taking a quotient by an ideal of definition. However, for an extremally disconnected set  $S$ , a map  $A_\blacksquare[S] \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} (A/\pi^n)_\blacksquare[S]$  is not necessarily

equivalent, so we can not reduce the problem to fppf-descent for discrete rings naively. To resolve this problem, we will introduce the notion of small complete adic rings. Roughly speaking, a small complete adic ring is a complete adic ring whose reduction is integral over some finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. For a small complete adic ring, the map above becomes equivalent. By using a limit argument, we can reduce the problem to the case over a small complete adic ring. Then we can reduce the problem to the case over discrete rings by taking a quotient by an ideal of definition.

To complete the proof, it is necessary to prove fppf-descent for discrete rings in the context of condensed mathematics.

**Theorem 0.5.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented faithfully flat map of discrete rings (in the sense of topological rings, not animated rings). Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A})_\blacksquare).$$

In this setting, we can also define  $N_{B/A}$  as Theorem 0.4. We will prove some properties of  $N_{B/A}$  based on ideas by Mathew in [Mat16], then we will prove stronger results; see Theorem 2.14 and Proposition 2.19.

*Remark 0.6.* While writing this paper, the author was informed that Mann proves faithfully flat descent for discrete rings by a slightly different approach in his thesis [Man22]. In this paper, it will be proved by using  $N_{B/A}$ . On the other hand, Mann expands  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  to a stable monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{E}(A_{\blacksquare})$ . By using it instead of  $N_{B/A}$ , Mann defines the notion of descendable maps of analytic rings and constructs a general theory of them to prove faithfully flat descent.

**Outline of the paper.** This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we begin with the definition of analytic rings, then recall the construction of analytic rings from affinoid pairs. For more details, see [Sch19, Sch20, And21]. In Section 2, we prove fppf-descent for discrete rings in the context of condensed mathematics. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of adic completeness in the context of condensed mathematics, then introduce the notion of small adic rings. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 0.3. Finally, in Section 5, we recover Theorem 0.1 from Theorem 0.3 by using the method used in [And21, Section 5].

**Convention.**

- All rings, including condensed ones, are assumed unital and commutative.
- In contrast to [And21, Man22], we use the term *ring* to refer to an *ordinary ring* (not an animated ring).
- All complete adic rings are assumed Hausdorff.
- We use the term “extremally disconnected set” to refer to an *extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space*.
- We use the term *f-adic ring* and *affinoid pair* rather than *Huber ring* and *Huber pair*.
- We denote the simplex category by  $\Delta$ , which is the full subcategory of the category of totally ordered sets consisting of the linearly ordered set  $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover, for every  $m \geq 0$  we denote the full subcategory of  $\Delta$  consisting of  $[n]$  for all  $0 \leq n \leq m$  by  $\Delta_{\leq m}$ .
- For an f-adic ring  $A$ , we denote the ring of power-bounded elements of  $A$  by  $A^\circ$ .
- For a topological ring  $A$ , we denote the discrete ring whose underlying ring is the underlying ring of  $A$  by  $A_{\text{disc}}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to Yoichi Mieda for his support in studies of the author. In addition the author is grateful to Ko Aoki for answering questions of the author about higher algebra, and to Lucas Mann for his comment on this paper.

## 1. REVIEW OF ANALYTIC RINGS ASSOCIATED TO COMPLETE AFFINOID PAIRS

In this section, we recall some results in [Sch19, Sch20, And21], which will be needed later. Most of the propositions in this section are stated without proofs. For complete proofs, see [Sch19, Sch20, And21]. Basically we use terminologies and notations used in [And21].

**1.1. Analytic rings.** We begin with the definition of condensed sets, condensed abelian groups, condensed rings, etc.

**Definition 1.1** ([Sch19, Definition 1.2]). Let  $*_{\text{proét}}$  denote the pro-étale site of the point  $*$ , i.e., the category of profinite sets with covers given by finite families of jointly surjective maps. A *condensed set* is a sheaf of sets on  $*_{\text{proét}}$ . Similarly, a condensed ring, group, etc. is a sheaf of rings, groups, etc. on  $*_{\text{proét}}$  respectively. We denote the category of condensed sets by  $\text{Cond}$ .

*Remark 1.2.* This definition has minor set-theoretic issues. For the correct definition, see [Sch19, Appendix to Lecture II] or [Man22]. In this paper, we will ignore this kind of problems.

**Example 1.3** ([Sch19, Proposition 2.15]). Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space (i.e., a topological space all of whose points are closed). Then the presheaf  $\underline{X}$  defined via sending a profinite set  $S$  to the set of continuous maps from  $S$  to  $X$  becomes a condensed set. Here, the  $T_1$  condition is related to set-theoretical issues. Similarly, for a Hausdorff topological abelian group or ring  $A$ , we can define a condensed abelian group or ring  $\underline{A}$ .

*Remark 1.4.* We can identify the category of condensed sets, rings, groups, etc. with the category of functors

$$\{\text{extremally disconnected sets}\}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \{\text{sets, rings, groups, etc.}\}$$

sending finite disjoint unions to finite products, respectively (see [Sch19, Lecture II]). Therefore, we will often regard a condensed set, ring, group, etc. as a functor

$$\{\text{extremally disconnected sets}\}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \{\text{sets, rings, groups, etc.}\}$$

sending finite disjoint unions to finite products, respectively. However the  $\infty$ -category of sheaves of spaces on  $*_{\text{proét}}$  is not equivalent to the  $\infty$ -category of functors

$$\{\text{extremally disconnected sets}\}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

sending finite disjoint unions to finite products, where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spaces, since the former category is not hypercomplete but the latter category is hypercomplete (see [BH19, Warning 2.2.2]). In such a case, we will use the latter as condensed objects.

For a condensed ring  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote the category of condensed  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules by  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}}$ , and the derived  $\infty$ -category of condensed  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Theorem 1.5** ([Sch19, Theorem 1.10]). *The category  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}}$  is an abelian category which satisfies Grothendieck's axioms (AB3), (AB4), (AB5), (AB6), (AB3\*), and (AB4\*). Furthermore, it is generated by compact projective objects.*

Note that, for an extremally disconnected set  $S$ , the functor  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}; M \mapsto M(S)$  is exact. Let  $\mathcal{A}[S]$  denote the sheafification of  $T \mapsto \mathcal{A}(T)^{\oplus \mathcal{S}(T)}$ . Then

$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[S], M)$  is isomorphic to  $M(S)$  for every condensed  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}[S]$  is a compact projective condensed  $\mathcal{A}$ -module for any extremally disconnected set  $S$ , and such modules form a family of compact projective generators (see [Sch19, Lecture II]). Moreover  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{cond}}$  has a tensor product and an internal hom as usual. We denote the latter by  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$ . Note that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)(S)$  is equal to  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}[S], N)$  for each extremally disconnected set  $S$ . In addition, for an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ , we denote  $R\Gamma(S, M) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Ab})$  by  $M(S)$ .

Next, we define analytic rings.

**Definition 1.6** ([Sch19, Definitions 7.1, 7.4]). A *pre-analytic ring*  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is a condensed ring  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with a functor

$$\{\text{extremally disconnected sets}\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{cond}}; S \mapsto \mathcal{M}[S]$$

that sends finite disjoint unions to finite products, and a natural transformation  $\Phi_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}: \underline{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[S]$  of functors

$$\{\text{extremally disconnected sets}\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cond}.$$

For an analytic ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ , we call  $\mathcal{A}$  the *underlying condensed ring* of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the *functor of measures* of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . A pre-analytic ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is said to be *analytic* if, for every complex

$$C: \cdots \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules such that each  $C_i$  is a direct sum of objects of the form  $\mathcal{M}[T]$  for various extremally disconnected sets  $T$ , the map

$$R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}[S], C) \rightarrow R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[S], C)$$

is an equivalence for all extremally disconnected sets  $S$ . An analytic ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is said to be *complete* if the map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[*]$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  be an analytic ring.

- (1) We define  $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{cond}} \subset \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{cond}}$  to be the full subcategory of all  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $M$  such that for all extremally disconnected sets  $S$ , the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}[S], M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[S], M) \cong M(S)$$

is an isomorphism. An object of  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{cond}}$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -*complete* if it lies in  $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{cond}}$ .

- (2) We define  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  to be the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of all complexes of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $M$  such that for all extremally disconnected sets  $S$ , the map

$$R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}[S], M) \rightarrow R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[S], M)$$

is an equivalence. An object of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -*complete* if it lies in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Proposition 1.8** ([Sch19, Proposition 7.5], [And21, Lemma 5.27]). *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  be an analytic ring.*

- (1) • The full subcategory  $\text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}} \subset \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}}$  is an abelian category stable under limits, colimits, and extensions. The objects of the form  $\mathcal{M}[S]$ , where  $S$  is an extremally disconnected set, form a family of compact projective generators.
- The inclusion functor  $\text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}}$  admits a left adjoint

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}}; M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}),$$

which is a unique colimit-preserving extension of  $\mathcal{A}[S] \mapsto \mathcal{M}[S]$ . There is a unique symmetric monoidal tensor product  $- \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})} -$  making the functor above symmetric monoidal.

- For  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}}$ ,  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$  is also  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete, and  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$  becomes an internal hom of  $\text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}}$ .
- (2) • The full  $\infty$ -subcategory  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is a stable subcategory stable under limits and colimits. The image of the functor  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is included in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\text{cond}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is an equivalence. For  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ ,

$$R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}[S], M) \rightarrow R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}[S], M)$$

is also equivalent. An object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete if and only if all  $H^i(M)$  are  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete.

- The inclusion functor  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  admits a left adjoint

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}); M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}),$$

which is a left derived functor of  $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . There is a unique symmetric monoidal tensor product  $- \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} -$  making the functor above symmetric monoidal.

- For  $M, N \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$  is also  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete, and  $R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -)$  becomes an internal hom of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Definition 1.9** ([Sch19, Lecture VII, 47 page]). Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  be analytic rings. A map of analytic rings from  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  to  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  is a map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of underlying condensed rings such that for all extremally disconnected sets  $S$ , the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{N}[S]$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete.

*Remark 1.10.* For a map  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  of analytic rings, every object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete, since  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  is generated by  $\mathcal{N}[S]$  for various extremally disconnected sets  $S$  and  $\mathcal{N}[S]$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete.

**Proposition 1.11** ([Sch19, Proposition 7.7]). Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  be analytic rings, and let  $f: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  be a map of analytic rings.

- (1) The composite functor

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{cond}} \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}} \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{cond}} \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})} \text{Mod}_{(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})}^{\text{cond}}$$

factors over  $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{cond}}$ , via a functor denoted by

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{cond}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})}^{\mathrm{cond}}; M \rightarrow M \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}).$$

This is a left adjoint of the functor  $\mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})}^{\mathrm{cond}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathrm{cond}}$ .

(2) The composite functor

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$$

factors over  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ , via a functor denoted by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}); M \rightarrow M \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}).$$

This is a left adjoint functor of the functor  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ .

Similarly, we can also make a definition of a complete commutative analytic animated ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ , and similar propositions hold true for it. In essence, however, we treat only (0-truncated) analytic rings in this paper, so we do not give the precise definition. See [Sch20, Lectures XI, XII] for more details. We denote the  $\infty$ -category of complete commutative analytic animated rings by  $\mathrm{AnRing}$ . Then the category of analytic rings becomes a full  $\infty$ -subcategory of  $\mathrm{AnRing}$  in a natural way.

**Theorem 1.12** ([Sch20, Proposition 12.12]). *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{AnRing}$  admits all small colimits. In particular,  $\mathrm{AnRing}$  admits pushouts. Moreover, sifted colimits in  $\mathrm{AnRing}$  commute with the functor  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[S]$  to  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}(\mathrm{Cond}(\mathrm{Ab}))$  for every extremally disconnected set  $S$ , where  $\mathrm{Cond}(\mathrm{Ab})$  is the category of condensed abelian groups.*

**Proposition 1.13** ([Sch20, Proposition 12.12]). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}) \end{array}$$

be a pushout diagram in  $\mathrm{AnRing}$ . Then for any object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $M$  is  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}})$ -complete if and only if  $M$  is  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$ -complete and  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$ -complete.

The following lemma is useful for showing that a given diagram is a pushout diagram.

**Lemma 1.14** ([Sch20, Proposition 12.12]). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}) \end{array}$$

be a diagram in  $\mathrm{AnRing}$ . We assume that the following are satisfied:



- (1) The object  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$ -complete, and the natural map  $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is equivalent.
- (2) For any object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $M$  is  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}})$ -complete if and only if  $M$  is  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$ -complete and  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$ -complete.

Then the diagram is a pushout diagram.

**Definition 1.15.** A map  $f: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$  in  $\text{AnRing}$  is *steady* if for all maps  $g: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$  in  $\text{AnRing}$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$ , the object  $M \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$ , which is a priori an object of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B})$ , is  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$ -complete.

By definition, the class of steady maps is stable under base change and composition.

*Remark 1.16.* The original definition [Sch20, Definition 12.13] of steady maps is different from our definition. It is claimed in [Sch20, 83 page] that the original definition is equivalent to our definition, but this is not true. However this causes no issues in the result of [Sch20] because only our definition is used there.

**Proposition 1.17** ([Sch20, Proposition 12.14]). *A map  $f: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}})$  in  $\text{AnRing}$  is steady if and only if for all pushout diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{f} & (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}) \end{array}$$

and all  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})$ , the base change map

$$(M|_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (M \otimes_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}}))|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

is equivalent.

**1.2. Analytic rings associated to complete affinoid pairs.** We begin with the construction of analytic rings from complete affinoid pairs.

**Construction 1.18** ([Sch19, Example 7.3, Theorem 8.1]). Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. We define a pre-analytic ring  $A_{\blacksquare}$  as follows:

- The underlying ring is  $\underline{A}$ .
- For an extremally disconnected set  $S = \varprojlim_i S_i$  (each  $S_i$  is a finite set), we define  $A_{\blacksquare}[S] = \varprojlim_i \underline{A}[S_i]$ .
- A natural transform  $S \mapsto A_{\blacksquare}[S]$  is defined in the obvious way.

Then the pre-analytic ring  $A_{\blacksquare}$  is analytic.

**Construction 1.19** ([And21, Lemma 3.19, Definition 3.20]). Let  $(A, A^+)$  be an affinoid pair such that  $A$  is a discrete ring. We define a pre-analytic ring  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  as follows:

- The underlying ring is  $\underline{A}$ .
- For an extremally disconnected set  $S$ , we define  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S] = \varinjlim_{B \subset A^+} \underline{A} \otimes_{\underline{B}} B_{\blacksquare}[S]$ , where the colimit is taken over all finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras  $B$  of  $A^+$ .
- A natural transform  $S \mapsto (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S]$  is defined in the obvious way.

Then the pre-analytic ring  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  is analytic. If  $A^+$  is equal to  $A$ , then we denote  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  by  $A_{\blacksquare}$ . This notation is compatible with that of Construction 1.18.

**Construction 1.20** ([And21, Lemma 3.25, Theorem 3.28]). Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a complete affinoid pair. We define a pre-analytic ring  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  as follows:

- The underlying ring is  $\underline{A}$ .
- For an extremally disconnected set  $S$ , we define  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S] = \underline{A} \otimes_{(A_{\text{disc}}, A_{\text{disc}}^+)_{\blacksquare}} (A_{\text{disc}}, A_{\text{disc}}^+)_{\blacksquare}[S]$ .
- A natural transform  $S \mapsto (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S]$  is defined in the obvious way.

Then the pre-analytic ring  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  is analytic. If  $A^+$  is equal to  $A$ , then we denote  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$  by  $A_{\blacksquare}$ .

We recall some results about such analytic rings.

**Proposition 1.21** ([And21, Proposition 3.32]). *Let  $A$  be an  $f$ -adic ring, and  $f_I = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of elements of  $A^\circ$ . Let  $A^+$  be the minimal ring of integral elements containing  $f_I$ . Then  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{A})$  is  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$ -complete if and only if  $M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[f_i]_{\blacksquare}$ -complete for all  $i \in I$ .*

*Remark 1.22.* It follows from this proposition that for a map  $(A, A^+) \rightarrow (B, B^+)$  of complete affinoid pairs,  $\underline{B}$  is  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}$ -complete.

**Proposition 1.23** ([And21, Proposition 3.34]). *Let  $\text{cAff}$  denote the category of complete affinoid pairs. Then the following functor is fully faithful:*

$$\text{cAff} \rightarrow \text{AnRing}; (A, A^+) \mapsto (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}.$$

*Moreover the image of this functor is contained in the full  $\infty$ -subcategory consisting of (0-truncated) analytic rings.*

**Proposition 1.24** ([And21, Proposition 3.33]). *Let  $A$  be a discrete ring, and  $B, C$  be discrete  $A$ -algebras such that  $B \otimes_A C \simeq B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} C$ . Then we have the following equivalence:*

$$B_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} C_{\blacksquare} \simeq (B \otimes_A C)_{\blacksquare}.$$

*Remark 1.25.* We have the functor  $\text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{AnRing}; A \mapsto A_{\blacksquare}$ , where  $\text{Ring}$  is the category of (discrete) rings. We can extend this functor to a functor  $\text{Ani}(\text{Ring}) \rightarrow \text{AnRing}$ , where  $\text{Ani}(\text{Ring})$  is the  $\infty$ -category of animated rings. Since we have an equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m]_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_{\blacksquare} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_{\blacksquare}$$

([And21, The proof of Proposition 3.32]), the functor  $\text{Ani}(\text{Ring}) \rightarrow \text{AnRing}$  commutes with small colimits. Proposition 1.24 easily follows from it.

**Lemma 1.26** ([And21, Lemma 3.8]). *The natural map  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T]_{\blacksquare}$  in AnRing is steady.*

**Lemma 1.27** ([And21, Lemma 3.14]). *Let  $M$  be a prodiscrete topological abelian group. Let  $M\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$  denote the topological abelian group of convergent formal power series with  $n$  variables. Then we have the following equivalence:*

$$M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \simeq \underline{M\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}.$$

**Lemma 1.28** ([And21, Lemma 4.7]). *Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a complete affinoid pair. Then we have the following equivalence:*

$$(A\langle T \rangle, A^+\langle T \rangle)_{\blacksquare} \simeq (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T]_{\blacksquare}.$$

**Theorem 1.29** ([And21, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $X$  be an analytic affinoid adic space and let  $U$  denote an arbitrary open affinoid subspace of  $X$ . Then the functor  $U \mapsto \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^+(U))_{\blacksquare})$  defines a sheaf of  $\infty$ -categories on  $X$ .*

Finally, we compute  $(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S]$  for an extremally disconnected set  $S$ . In the following, we fix an extremally disconnected set  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.30** ([Sch19, Corollary 5.5]). *There is a set  $J$ , and an isomorphism of condensed abelian groups*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_J \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Definition 1.31** ([And21, Definition 3.4]). *Let  $A$  be an  $f$ -adic ring, and  $B$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $A^\circ$ . Then a  $B$ -submodule  $M$  of  $A$  is said to be *quasi-finitely generated* over  $B$  if  $M$  is closed in  $A$  and for some pair of definition  $(A_0, I)$  such that  $B \subset A_0$ , the image of  $M \rightarrow A/(I^n A_0)$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module for any positive integer  $n$ .*

*Remark 1.32.* If  $M$  is quasi-finitely generated over  $B$ , then for any pair of definition  $(A_0, I)$  such that  $B \subset A_0$ , the image of  $M \rightarrow A/(I^n A_0)$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module for any positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 1.33** ([And21, Theorem 3.27]). *Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a complete affinoid pair, and let  $J$  be a set such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_J \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have an isomorphism*

$$(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \varinjlim_{B \subset A^+, M} \prod_J M,$$

where the colimit is taken over all finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras  $B \subset A^+$  and all quasi-finitely generated  $B$ -submodules  $M$  of  $A$ .

**Corollary 1.34.** *Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a complete affinoid pair, and let  $J$  be a set. Then*

$\varinjlim_{B \subset A^+, M} \prod_J M$  *is a compact projective object of  $\text{Mod}_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\text{cond}}$ .*

## 2. FAITHFULLY FLAT DESCENT FOR DISCRETE RINGS

In this section, we prove a version of fppf-descent for discrete rings in condensed mathematics. In this section, all rings are discrete topological rings unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a condensed ring. Then a *finite projective  $\mathcal{A}$ -module* is a condensed  $\mathcal{A}$ -module which is a direct summand of finite free  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}^n$  for some  $n$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([And21, Lemma 5.7]). Let  $A$  be a ring and endow every module over  $A$  with the discrete topology. Then the functor  $\text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\underline{A}}^{\text{cond}}$ ;  $M \mapsto \underline{M}$  extends to a fully faithful exact functor  $\text{dCond}_A: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ , which is called the *discrete condensification functor*. For an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $\text{dCond}(M)$  is given by  $\underline{N^\bullet}$ , where  $N^\bullet$  is any complex representing  $M$ .

*Remark 2.3.* From this, we find that for a perfect  $A$ -module  $M$  (i.e.,  $M[0]$  is a perfect complex),  $\underline{M}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}$ -modules.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  be a pseudo-coherent complex of  $A$ -modules. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a \leq b$ . If for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  we have  $H^i(M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$  for all  $i \notin [a, b]$ , then  $M$  is a perfect complex with Tor-amplitude in  $[a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* It easily follows from [FGI<sup>+</sup>05, Corollary 8.3.6.5] or [SP, Tag 068V].  $\square$

By using the above lemma, we get the following decomposition (cf. [SP, Tag 068Y]).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring, and  $B$  be a finitely presented flat  $A$ -algebra. Then there exists a decomposition*

$$A \rightarrow A[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow B$$

*such that  $B$  is a perfect  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ -module.*

*Proof.* We take a surjection  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow B$ , and we will show that  $B$  is a perfect  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ -module. We take any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . The prime ideal  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} \cap A$  of  $A$  is a maximal ideal, so the global dimension of  $A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is equal to  $n$ . Therefore, we get the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & B \otimes_{A[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq (B \otimes_{A[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq (B \otimes_{A[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} (A[T_1, \dots, T_n] \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n})) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq (B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq B/\mathfrak{n}B \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $H^i(B \otimes_{A[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$  for all  $i \notin [-n, 0]$ . Since  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is noetherian,  $B$  is a pseudo-coherent  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ -module, so we get the claim by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a ring, and  $B$  be a finitely presented flat  $A$ -algebra. Then  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady.*

*Proof.* By a limit argument, we can take a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $A_0$  of  $A$  and a finitely presented flat  $A_0$ -algebra  $B_0$  such that  $A \otimes_{A_0} B_0 \cong B$ . By Proposition 1.24, we have an equivalence  $A_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{(A_0)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B_0)_{\blacksquare} \simeq B_{\blacksquare}$ . Since the class of steady maps is stable under base change, we may assume that  $A$  is noetherian. Since the class of steady maps is stable under composition, we may assume that  $B$  is equal to  $A[T]$  or  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module by Lemma 2.5. If  $B$  is equal to  $A[T]$ , then  $B_{\blacksquare}$  is equivalent to  $A_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T]_{\blacksquare}$ . Therefore,  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady, since  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T]_{\blacksquare}$  is steady by Lemma 1.26. Next, we show the claim when  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module. Since  $\underline{B}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}$ -modules, for an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ ,  $M \otimes_{\underline{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \underline{B}$  is  $A_{\blacksquare}$ -complete and also  $B_{\blacksquare}$ -complete by Proposition 1.21. This implies that  $M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare}$  is equivalent to  $M \otimes_{\underline{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \underline{B}$ . Therefore, for all maps  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$  in  $\text{AnRing}$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} \simeq M \otimes_{\underline{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \underline{B}$  is  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M})$ -complete since  $\underline{B}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}$ -modules. From the above,  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady.  $\square$

*Remark 2.7.* By the proof of this proposition, we can also show that  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady if  $A \rightarrow B$  is a perfect ring map, i.e. there exists a decomposition as in Lemma 2.5. More generally, it is proved in [Man22] that for every ring map  $A \rightarrow B$  of discrete rings,  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $J$  be a set and  $A$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. We have the following equivalence:*

- (1)  $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\bigoplus_J \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_J \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2)  $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\prod_J \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_J \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (3)  $(\prod_J \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare} \simeq \prod_J \underline{A}$ .

*Proof.* The first equivalence follows from Theorem 1.5. The second equivalence follows from [Sch19, Theorem 4.3] and its proof. For the third equivalence, we can take an extremally disconnected set  $S$  and a set  $I$  containing  $J$  as a subset such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_I \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the claim follows from the equivalence  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare} \simeq A_{\blacksquare}[S]$  and  $A_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_I \underline{A}$  by Theorem 1.33.  $\square$

The following lemma is a key in this paper.

**Lemma 2.9.** *We have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare})$*

$$- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \xrightarrow{\sim} R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -).$$

*Proof.* We begin with the outline of the proof. We have a natural map of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$

$$\mathrm{id} = R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}), -) \rightarrow R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -)$$

which is induced by the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . First, we will show that for any object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$ ,  $R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), M)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$ -complete. Then we get a map of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare})$

$$- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -),$$

and we will show that this is equivalent.

We take an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$ , and we will show that

$$R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), M)$$

is  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$ -complete. The object  $R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  is equivalent to  $\prod \mathbb{Z}$  by Lemma 2.8, so it is compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$ . Therefore, the functor

$$R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -): \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n])$$

commutes with small colimits. Since the objects of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S]$  for an extremally disconnected set  $S$  form a family of compact generators of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n])$  is stable under small colimits, we may assume that  $M$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S]$  for an extremally disconnected set  $S$ . By Lemma 1.30, we have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_J \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the functor

$$R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -): \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n])$$

commutes with small limits and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n])$  is stable under small limits, we may assume that  $M$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 2.8 (1), (2), the natural map

$$\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \rightarrow R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$$

is an equivalence. In particular,

$$R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$$

is  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$ -complete.

Finally, we prove that the map of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare})$

$$- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), -)$$

is equivalent. Since both functors commute with small colimits, it is enough to show that

$$\prod_J \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), \prod_J \mathbb{Z})$$

is equivalent for any set  $J$ . We may also assume that  $J$  is a singleton by Lemma 2.8 (3), and in this case the claim is already shown.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $B$  be a finitely presented flat  $A$ -algebra. Then we have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_{\blacksquare})$*

$$- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathbf{Hom}_A(R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{B}, \underline{A}), -).$$

*Proof.* In this proof, we denote  $A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  by  $A_n$ . First, we assume that  $B = A_n$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.9, it is enough to show the following:

- (1)  $R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A})$  is compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ .
- (2) The map  $\underline{A}_n \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_A(R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}), \underline{A})$  is equivalent.
- (3) The functor  $- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (A_n)_{\blacksquare}$  commutes with small limits.

We have an equivalence  $R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}) \simeq \prod \underline{A}$ , and this is compact by Corollary 1.34, which proves (1). Next, we prove (2). By Lemma 2.8 (3), we have an equivalence  $R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}) \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare}$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R\mathbf{Hom}_A(R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}), \underline{A}) \\ & \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_A(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare}, \underline{A}) \\ & \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}), \underline{A}) \\ & \simeq \underline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} \\ & \simeq \underline{A}_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equivalence follows from Lemma 2.9 and the fourth equivalence follows from Lemma 1.27. Finally we prove (3). We have the equivalence

$$(A_n)_{\blacksquare} \simeq A_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$$

by Lemma 1.28. By Lemma 1.26 and an easy induction,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$  is steady. According to Proposition 1.17, it suffices to show that the functor

$$- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare})$$

commutes with small limits, which follows from Lemma 2.9.

Next, we assume that  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module. It is enough to show the following:

- (1)  $R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{B}, \underline{A})$  is compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ .
- (2) The map  $\underline{B} \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_A(R\mathbf{Hom}_A(\underline{B}, \underline{A}), \underline{A})$  is equivalent.
- (3) The functor  $- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare}$  commutes with small limits.

The complex  $\underline{B}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}$ -modules, and the functor  $-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare$  is equivalent to  $-\otimes_{\underline{A}}^{\mathbb{L}} \underline{B}$  by the proof of Proposition 2.6. The conditions (1), (2), and (3) easily follow from them.

Finally, we treat a general case. We take a decomposition  $A \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B$  as Lemma 2.5. By the discussion above, we have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare \\ & \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_n}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_n}(\underline{B}, \underline{A}_n), R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}), -)) \\ & \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_n}(\underline{B}, \underline{A}_n) \otimes_{\underline{A}_n}^{\mathbb{L}} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}), -). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that the natural map

$$R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_n}(\underline{B}, \underline{A}_n) \otimes_{\underline{A}_n}^{\mathbb{L}} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}_n, \underline{A}) \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{B}, \underline{A})$$

is an equivalence. This follows from that  $\underline{B}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}_n$ -modules.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented faithfully flat map of rings. Then there exists an object  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- *The object  $N_{B/A}$  is  $A_\blacksquare$ -complete and compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ .*
- *We have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$*

$$-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, -).$$

*In particular, the functor*

$$-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare: \mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$$

*commutes with small limits.*

*Proof.* By a limit argument, we can take a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $A_0$  of  $A$  and a finitely presented flat  $A_0$ -algebra  $B_0$  such that  $A \otimes_{A_0} B_0 \cong B$ . We define  $N_{B/A} = R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_0}(\underline{B}_0, \underline{A}_0) \otimes_{(\underline{A}_0)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A_\blacksquare$  which is an object of  $\mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$ . Since for every object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ ,

$$R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, M) \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_0}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_0}(\underline{B}_0, \underline{A}_0), M) \simeq M \otimes_{(\underline{A}_0)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (B_0)_\blacksquare$$

is  $A_\blacksquare$ -complete and  $(B_0)_\blacksquare$ -complete, we find that  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, M)$  is also  $B_\blacksquare$ -complete, where we use Proposition 1.13 and Proposition 1.24. We have a natural map  $N_{B/A} \rightarrow \underline{A}$  induced by the natural map  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}_0}(\underline{B}_0, \underline{A}_0) \rightarrow \underline{A}_0$ . Therefore, we get a natural map of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$

$$-\otimes_{A_\blacksquare} B_\blacksquare \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, -)$$

induced by the natural map  $N_{B/A} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ , and it is equivalent by Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 1.17.  $\square$

From the corollary above, we find that it is enough to examine properties of  $N_{B/A}$  to prove faithfully flat descent. To examine them, we will use a property of maps of rings introduced by Mathew in [Mat16].



**Definition 2.12** ([Mat16, Definition 3.18, Proposition 3.20]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category where the  $\otimes$ -product commutes with small colimits in each variable, which is called a *stable homotopy theory* in [Mat16]. A map  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  is *descendable* if a pro-object  $\{\text{Tot}_n(B^{\bullet/A})\}_n$  of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is a constant pro-object which converges to  $A$ , where  $B^{\bullet/A}$  is the derived Čech nerve of  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $\text{Tot}_n(B^{\bullet/A})$  is the limit of the  $n$ -truncation of  $B^{\bullet/A}$ .

**Proposition 2.13** ([Mat16, Corollary 3.31]). *A finitely presented faithfully flat map  $A \rightarrow B$  of rings is descendable.*

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented faithfully flat map of rings. We take  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  as in Corollary 2.11 and the natural map  $N_{B/A} \rightarrow \underline{A}$ . Then an ind-object  $\{\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}\}_n$  of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  is a constant ind-object which converges to  $\underline{A}$ , where  $N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}$  is the  $(m+1)$ -fold derived tensor product of  $N_{B/A}$  over  $A_{\blacksquare}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $A$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra by a limit argument. Since  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady, we have the following equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & - \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B \otimes_A B)_{\blacksquare} \\ & \simeq (- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare}) \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} \\ & \simeq R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, -)) \\ & \simeq R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A} \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} N_{B/A}, -). \end{aligned}$$

By repeating the same argument, we get the following equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ :

$$- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{n/A})_{\blacksquare} \simeq R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}^{\otimes(n+1)}, -),$$

where  $B^{n/A}$  is the  $(n+1)$ -fold tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Therefore, the diagram  $\{N_{B/A}^{\otimes(n+1)}\}_{n \in \Delta^{\text{op}}}$  over  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  is equivalent to the diagram  $\{R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(B^{n/A}, \underline{A})\}_{n \in \Delta^{\text{op}}}$ , so the theorem follows from Proposition 2.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented faithfully flat map of rings. Then the functor*

$$- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} : \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\blacksquare})$$

*is conservative.*

*Proof.* We take an object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  such that  $M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} \simeq 0$ . We want to show that  $M$  is equivalent to 0. We have that  $\{R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}, M)\}_n$  is a constant pro-object which converges to  $R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, M) \simeq M$ . On the other hand,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& R\mathbf{H}\mathbf{om}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}, M\right) \\
& \simeq \varprojlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}} R\mathbf{H}\mathbf{om}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}, M) \\
& \simeq \varprojlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}} R\mathbf{H}\mathbf{om}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(N_{B/A}^{\otimes m}, R\mathbf{H}\mathbf{om}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(N_{B/A}, M)) \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \geq 0$ . Therefore, we find  $M \simeq 0$ .  $\square$

Faithfully flat descent follows from the following general result.

**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  be a map in  $\text{AnRing}$ . We assume that it satisfies the following:*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  is steady.
- (2) The functor  $- \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  commutes with small limits.
- (3) The functor  $- \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  is conservative.

Then the map of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{n/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})})$$

is equivalent, where  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{\bullet/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}$  is the Čech nerve of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$ .

*Proof.* By [Lur17, Proposition 5.2.2.36], it is enough to show that

$$\varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} (M^n \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{m/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}) \simeq M^m$$

for any object  $(M^n) \in \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{n/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})})$  and any non-negative integer  $m$ . By

the assumption (3), it suffices to prove the equivalence after applying the functor  $- \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$ . By the assumptions (1) and (2), the corresponding limit is equivalent to

$$\varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} ((M^n \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{m/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})})$$

and  $(M^n \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}))$  is an object of  $\varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}(((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}))^{n/(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})})$ . In this

case, the claim follows from that  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  has a retraction.  $\square$

*Remark 2.17.* Since the codiagonal map  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  in  $\text{AnRing}$  is steady for a steady map  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$  (cf. [Man22, Proposition 2.3.19 (2)]), the condition (1) of Lemma 2.16 implies that for every map  $[m] \rightarrow [n]$  in  $\Delta$ , the map  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{m/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})} \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})^{n/(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}$  is steady. Therefore Lemma 2.16 follows also

from the Barr-Beck-Lurie monadicity theorem [Lur17, 4.7.5], and we can weaken the condition (2) of Lemma 2.16 as stated loc. cit.

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented faithfully flat map of rings. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n + 1)$ -fold tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A})_{\blacksquare}).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.16, Proposition 1.24, Proposition 2.6, Corollary 2.11, and Corollary 2.15.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the uniform boundedness of  $N_{B/A}$ , which is essential for the proof of the main theorem.

**Proposition 2.19.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a finitely presented flat map of rings. We take  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  as in Corollary 2.11. Then for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $N_{B/A}^{\otimes n}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of the form  $0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0$  where  $M^0$  is placed in cohomological degree 0 and  $M^0, M^1$  are projective objects of  $\text{Mod}_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\text{cond}}$ .*

*Proof.* By the proof of Corollary 2.14, it is enough to show the claim in the case where  $n = 1$ . We take a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $A_0$  of  $A$  and a finitely presented flat  $A_0$ -algebra  $B_0$  such that  $A \otimes_{A_0} B_0 \cong B$ . Then  $N_{B/A}$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{A_0}(\underline{B_0}, \underline{A_0}) \otimes_{(A_0)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare}$ , so it is enough to show that  $R \underline{\text{Hom}}_{A_0}(\underline{B_0}, \underline{A_0})$  is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of the form  $0 \rightarrow \prod_I \underline{A_0} \rightarrow \prod_J \underline{A_0} \rightarrow 0$ , where we note that  $\prod_I \underline{A_0}, \prod_J \underline{A_0}$  are projective objects of  $\text{Mod}_{(A_0)_{\blacksquare}}^{\text{cond}}$ . By [GR71, Seconde partie: Corollary 3.3.2]  $B_0$  has a free resolution of length 1 over  $A_0$ , and the claim follows from it.  $\square$

### 3. ADIC COMPLETENESS AND SMALL COMPLETE ADIC RINGS

In this section, we will introduce some notions which are necessary for proving the main theorem.

**3.1. Adic completeness.** First, we will introduce the notion of adic completeness in the context of analytic rings. It is an analogue of derived adic completeness of ordinary rings (cf. [SP, Tag 091N]). For our need, it is sufficient to consider cases over analytic rings associated to complete  $\pi$ -adic rings where  $\pi$  is a non-zero-divisor. For more general theory, see [Man22, 2.12].

Let  $A$  be a complete  $\pi$ -adic ring where  $\pi \in A$  is a non-zero-divisor.

**Definition 3.1.** An object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete if  $R \varprojlim(\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$  is equivalent to 0. We denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of  $\pi$ -adic complete objects of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  by  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\pi}(A_{\blacksquare})$ .

By definition,  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_\pi(A_\blacksquare)$  is a stable subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  stable under retracts.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The object  $M$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete.*
- (2) *For every integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H^i(M)$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete (as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ ).*
- (3) *The map  $M \rightarrow R\varprojlim_n M \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n$  is equivalent.*

*Proof.* First, we show the equivalence of (1) and (2). Since direct products in  $\text{Mod}_{A_\blacksquare}^{\text{cond}}$  are exact, we have the following fiber sequence:

$$R\varprojlim(\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} M \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} M,$$

where the map  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} M \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} M$  is given by  $(x_n) \mapsto (x_n - \pi x_{n+1})$ . Therefore, we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^{i-1}(R\varprojlim(\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M)) &\rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^{i-1}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^{i-1}(M) \\ &\rightarrow H^i(R\varprojlim(\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M)) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^i(M) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^i(M) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H^i(M)$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete if and only if the map  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^i(M) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} H^i(M)$  is equivalent, the equivalence of (1) and (2) follows.

Next, we show the equivalence of (1) and (3). We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \\ & & \downarrow \pi^3 & & \downarrow \pi^2 & & \downarrow \pi \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^3 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^2 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi, \end{array}$$

where the vertical sequences are fiber sequences. Therefore, we have the following fiber sequence:

$$R\varprojlim(\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow R\varprojlim_n M \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n.$$

The equivalence of (1) and (3) follows from it.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\pi$ -adic complete object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . Then  $M(*) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete, where  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is the usual derived  $\infty$ -category of  $A$ -modules without any topology.*

*Proof.* We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)(*) \\
& \simeq \text{cofib}(M \xrightarrow{\pi^n} M)(*) \\
& \simeq \text{cofib}(M(*) \xrightarrow{\pi^n} M(*)) \\
& \simeq M(*) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(*) \\
& \simeq (R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} (M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n))(*) \\
& \simeq R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} ((M \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)(*)) \\
& \simeq R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} (M(*) \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\pi$ -adic complete object of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . If  $M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi$  is belong to  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq -2}(A)$ , then we have  $H^0(M) \cong 0$ .*

*Proof.* We note  $M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2}(A)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Then the lemma follows from that the cohomological dimension of  $R\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\text{Mod}_A$  is 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $M, N$  be  $\pi$ -adic complete objects of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ . Then  $R\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is also  $\pi$ -adic complete.*

*Proof.* It follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} (R\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n) \\
& \simeq R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} R\text{Hom}_A(M, N \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n) \\
& \simeq R\text{Hom}_A(M, R\varprojlim_{n \geq 1} (N \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)) \\
& \simeq R\text{Hom}_A(M, N).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**3.2. Small complete adic rings.** Next, we define small complete adic rings.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $A$  be a complete adic ring. The ring  $A$  is said to be *small* if there exists an ideal of definition  $I \subset A$ , a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  and an integral ring map  $R \rightarrow A/I$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a complete adic ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The ring  $A$  is small.*

- (2) *There exists a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  and a ring map  $R \rightarrow A$  such that, for every ideal of definition  $I \subset A$ ,  $R \rightarrow A/I$  is integral.*

*Proof.* It follows from a straightforward argument.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** The ring of integers of a non-archimedean local field is small. Moreover  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  is small.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $A$  be a complete adic ring and  $I \subset A$  be an ideal of definition. Let  $\overline{R} \subset A/I$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra. Then  $R = (A \rightarrow A/I)^{-1}(\overline{R})$  is a small complete  $IR$ -adic ring.

The following proposition is the reason why we introduce small complete adic rings.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $A$  be a small complete  $\pi$ -adic ring where  $\pi \in A$  is a non-zero-divisor. Then for every extremally disconnected set  $S$ ,  $A_{\blacksquare}[S]$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete. Especially, every compact object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete.*

*Proof.* We have to show that  $A_{\blacksquare}[S] \rightarrow R\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)$  is equivalent. First, we prove that  $R\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)$  is concentrated on degree 0. By Construction 1.20, we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n \\ & \simeq ((A_{\text{disc}})_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{(A_{\text{disc}})_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A) \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n \\ & \simeq (A_{\text{disc}})_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{(A_{\text{disc}})_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n \\ & \simeq (A/\pi^n)_{\blacksquare}[S]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every extremally disconnected set  $T$ , we find that  $R\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)(T)$  is concentrated on degree 0, since the transition maps of the projective system  $\{A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n(T)\}_n$  are surjective. Hence, it is shown that  $R\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^n)$  is concentrated on degree 0.

From the above, it suffices to show that

$$A_{\blacksquare}[S] \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}} A/\pi^n)$$

is an isomorphism. We take a set  $I$  and an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}[S] \cong \prod_I \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, we have the equivalence

$$\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}} A/\pi^n) \cong \varprojlim_n \left( \varinjlim_{B_n \subset A/\pi^n} \prod_I B_n \right),$$

where the colimits taken over all finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $B_n$  of  $A/\pi^n$ . From the above and Theorem 1.33, we can regard  $A_{\blacksquare}[S]$  and  $\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}} A/\pi^n)$  as

submodules of  $\prod_I \underline{A}$ . The inclusion  $A_{\blacksquare}[S] \subset \varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}} A/\pi^n)$  is clear, so we will show the opposite inclusion. We take an extremally disconnected set  $T$  and an element  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in (\varprojlim_n (A_{\blacksquare}[S] \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}} A/\pi^n))(T) = \varprojlim_n (\varinjlim_{B_n \subset A/\pi^n} \prod_I \underline{B}_n(T)) \subset \prod_I \underline{A}(T)$ .

Let  $B_n$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $A/\pi^n$  such that  $x_i \bmod \pi^n \in \underline{B}_n(T)$  for every  $i \in I$ . We can take a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  and a ring map  $R \rightarrow A$  such that, for every ideal of definition  $I \subset A$ ,  $R \rightarrow A/I$  is integral. Let us construct inductively a finitely generated  $R$ -submodule  $M_n$  of  $A/\pi^n$  satisfying that for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x_i \bmod \pi^n \in \underline{M}_n(T)$  for every  $i \in I$  and  $M_n \rightarrow M_{n+1}$  is well-defined and surjective. First, we take generators  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of  $B_n$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. As  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are integral over  $R$ ,  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A/\pi$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. We take  $y_1, \dots, y_l \in A/\pi^n$  such that the image of them in  $A/\pi^{n-1}$  generates  $M_{n-1}$ . Let  $M'_n$  be the  $R$ -submodule of  $A/\pi^n$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_l$ . Then  $M_n = (M'_n \rightarrow A/\pi^{n-1})^{-1}(M_{n-1})$  satisfies the conditions, where we note that  $M_n$  is finitely generated  $R$ -module since  $R$  is noetherian. Let  $M$  be the limit of  $(M_n)$ . By the construction,  $M$  is quasi-finitely generated over  $R$  and  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_I \underline{M}(T)$ . Therefore, we get  $(x_i) \in \varinjlim_{B \subset A^+, M} \prod_I \underline{M}(T) = A_{\blacksquare}[S](T)$ , which proves the former part of the proposition.

The latter part follows from [Lur09, Proposition 5.3.4.17] and that  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\pi}(A_{\blacksquare})$  is a stable subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  stable under retracts.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $A$  be a complete  $I$ -adic ring, where  $I \subset A$  is a finitely generated ideal. We write  $A/I$  as a filtered colimit  $\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A}_{\lambda}$  where each  $\overline{A}_{\lambda}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $A/I$ . Let  $A_{\lambda}$  denote a small complete  $I$ -adic ring  $(A \rightarrow A/I)^{-1}(\overline{A}_{\lambda})$ . Then in  $\text{AnRing}$ , we have the following equivalence:*

$$A_{\blacksquare} \simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (A_{\lambda})_{\blacksquare}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from a straightforward computation by using Theorem 1.33.  $\square$

This lemma is useful because of the following lemma. For an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of compact objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cpt}}$ .

**Lemma 3.12** ([Man22, Lemma 2.7.4]). *Let  $\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}$  be a filtered colimit in  $\text{AnRing}$ . Then the following map is equivalent:*

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda})_{\text{cpt}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{cpt}},$$

where the transition maps of left hand side are scalar extension functors and the map above is induced by the scalar extension functors.

## 4. FAITHFULLY FLAT DESCENT OVER A COMPLETE NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FIELD

In this section, we will prove faithfully flat descent for affinoid pairs over a complete non-archimedean field.

Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field, and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote the ring of integers of  $K$ , and  $\pi$  denote a pseudo-uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We begin with a review of classical results.

- Definition 4.1.** (1) A *topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra* is a topological  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra which is a quotient topological ring of  $\mathcal{O}_K\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$  for some  $n$  by a finitely generated ideal. An *admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra* is a  $\pi$ -torsion-free topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra.
- (2) An *affinoid  $K$ -algebra* is a topological  $K$ -algebra which is a quotient topological ring of  $K\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$  for some  $n$  by an ideal.

- Remark 4.2.* (1) For a topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra  $A$ , the topology of  $A$  coincides with the  $\pi$ -adic topology of  $A$ .
- (2) For a topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $A[1/\pi]$  naturally becomes an affinoid  $K$ -algebra.
- (3) Affinoid  $K$ -algebras are noetherian.
- (4) Topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras are not necessarily noetherian but coherent (see [BL93a, Proposition 1.3]).

**Definition 4.3.** A map  $A \rightarrow B$  of topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras (resp. affinoid  $K$ -algebras) is flat (resp. faithfully flat) if it is flat (resp. faithfully flat) as a map of ordinary rings.

**Lemma 4.4** ([BL93b]). *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Then there is a rational covering  $\{\mathrm{Spa}(A_i, (A_i)^\circ) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^\circ)\}$  which satisfies that for each  $i$  there exists a faithfully flat map  $A'_i \rightarrow B'_i$  of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras such that  $A'_i[1/\pi] \rightarrow B'_i[1/\pi]$  is isomorphic to  $A_i \rightarrow B \widehat{\otimes}_A A_i$ .*

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $A$  be a topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra. Then we have the following equivalence in  $\mathrm{AnRing}$ :*

$$(A[1/\pi], A[1/\pi]^\circ)_\blacksquare \simeq A_\blacksquare \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (K, \mathcal{O}_K)_\blacksquare.$$

*Proof.* We check the conditions of Lemma 1.14. As  $\underline{A[1/\pi]}$  is  $(K, \mathcal{O}_K)_\blacksquare$ -complete, we get the natural map  $\underline{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (K, \mathcal{O}_K)_\blacksquare \rightarrow \underline{A[1/\pi]}$ , and we want to prove that it is equivalent. Since  $\underline{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\mathbb{L}} K$  is equivalent to a colimit of the system in  $\mathcal{D}(\underline{\mathcal{O}_K})$

$$\underline{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \underline{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \underline{A} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

$\underline{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\mathbb{L}} K$  is equivalent to  $\underline{A[1/\pi]}$ , and it is  $(K, \mathcal{O}_K)_\blacksquare$ -complete. Therefore, we have  $\underline{A[1/\pi]} \simeq \underline{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\mathbb{L}} K \simeq A_\blacksquare \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K, \blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (K, \mathcal{O}_K)_\blacksquare$ , which proves (1). Since  $A[1/\pi]^\circ$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $A[1/\pi]$ , (2) follows from Proposition 1.21.  $\square$



**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $A$  be a topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, and  $M$  be a perfect  $A$ -module with the  $\pi$ -adic topology. Then  $\underline{M}$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finite projective  $\underline{A}$ -modules.*

*Proof.* It easily follows from [And21, Lemma 3.1].  $\square$

The following is an analogue of Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras or a flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Then there exists a decomposition*

$$A \rightarrow A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle \rightarrow B$$

*such that  $B$  is a perfect  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ -module.*

*Proof.* We will prove it when  $A \rightarrow B$  is a flat map of topologically finitely presented  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. We can also prove it in another case by almost the same way. We take a surjection  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle \rightarrow B$ , and we will show that  $B$  is a perfect  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ -module. We take any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ . Since  $\pi$  is topologically nilpotent, we have  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$ . The prime ideal  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m} \cap A$  of  $A$  is a maximal ideal, so the global dimension of  $A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is equal to  $n$ . Since  $A, B$  are  $\pi$ -torsion-free, we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & B \otimes_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \\ & \simeq (B \otimes_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi[T_1, \dots, T_n]) \otimes_{A/\pi[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \\ & \simeq B/\pi \otimes_{A/\pi[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} (A/\pi[T_1, \dots, T_n] \otimes_{A/\pi}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}) \\ & \simeq B/\pi \otimes_{A/\pi}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n} \\ & \simeq B/\mathfrak{n}B. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & B \otimes_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle / \mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq (B \otimes_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]) \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle / \mathfrak{m} \\ & \simeq B/\mathfrak{n}B \otimes_{A/\mathfrak{n}[T_1, \dots, T_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle / \mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $H^i(B \otimes_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle}^{\mathbb{L}} A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle / \mathfrak{m}) = 0$  for all  $i \notin [-n, 0]$ . Since  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$  is coherent,  $B$  is a pseudo-coherent  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ -module, so we get the claim by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow C$  be flat maps of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Then we have the following equivalence:*

$$(B \widehat{\otimes}_A C)_{\blacksquare} \simeq B_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} C_{\blacksquare}.$$

*Moreover, a similar statement holds true for affinoid  $K$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.7, we may assume that  $B$  is equal to  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$  or  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module. If  $B$  is equal to  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ , then the claim follows from Lemma 1.28. If  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module, then the claim follows from Lemma 1.14.  $\square$

By using Lemma 4.7, we can prove the following analogue of Proposition 2.6.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Then  $A_{\blacksquare} \rightarrow B_{\blacksquare}$  is steady. Moreover, a similar statement holds true for affinoid  $K$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.6 works well.  $\square$

We can also prove the following analogue of Theorem 2.10.

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Then we have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_{\blacksquare})$*

$$- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{B}, \underline{A}), -).$$

*Proof.* By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we may assume that  $B$  is equal to  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ . It is enough to show the following:

- (1)  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, \underline{A})$  is compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare})$ .
- (2) The map  $\underline{A}\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle \rightarrow R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, \underline{A}), \underline{A})$  is equivalent.
- (3) The functor  $- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle_{\blacksquare}$  commutes with small limits.

The condition (3) follows from the equivalence

$$A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle_{\blacksquare} \simeq A_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]_{\blacksquare}$$

(by Lemma 1.28), Lemma 1.17, Lemma 1.26, and Lemma 2.9. We have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, \underline{A}) \\ & \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, R\varprojlim_m A/\pi^m) \\ & \simeq R\varprojlim_m R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, A/\pi^m) \\ & \simeq R\varprojlim_m R\mathbf{Hom}_{A/\pi^m}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/\pi^m, A/\pi^m) \\ & \simeq R\varprojlim_m R\mathbf{Hom}_{A/\pi^m}(A/\pi^m[T_1, \dots, T_n], A/\pi^m) \\ & \simeq R\varprojlim_m \prod A/\pi^m \\ & \simeq \prod \underline{A}. \end{aligned}$$

There is a dense finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra  $A'$  of  $A$  (because  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field), and  $A$  is quasi-finitely generated over  $A'$ , so  $\prod \underline{A}$  is compact by Corollary 1.34, which proves (1). From the above, we have an equivalence  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle, \underline{A}) \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_{\blacksquare}$ . Therefore, we can apply the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 to prove (2).  $\square$

We can prove the following analogue of Theorem 2.11 by almost the same argument as above.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Then there exists an object  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- *The object  $N_{B/A}$  is  $A_\blacksquare$ -complete and compact as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ .*
- *We have an equivalence of functors from  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  to  $\mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$*

$$- \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare \xrightarrow{\sim} R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, -).$$

*In particular, the functor*

$$- \otimes_{A_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} B_\blacksquare : \mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_\blacksquare)$$

*commutes with small limits.*

*Proof.* By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we may assume that  $B$  is equal to  $A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle$ . We define  $N_{A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle/A} = R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} A_\blacksquare, A_\blacksquare)$ , which is an object of  $\mathcal{D}(A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle)$ . Then we can show that it satisfies the conditions by the same argument as in Corollary 2.11.  $\square$

*Remark 4.12.* In contrast to Theorem 4.10, it seems to be difficult to describe  $N_{B/A}$  concretely.

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Let  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  be as in Theorem 4.11 and  $N_{B/A} \rightarrow \underline{A}$  be the natural map in  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . Then an ind-object  $\{ \varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)} \}_n$  of  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$  is a constant ind-object*

*which converges to  $\underline{A}$ , where  $N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)}$  is the  $(m+1)$ -fold derived tensor product of  $N_{B/A}$  over  $A_\blacksquare$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $F_n = \mathrm{fib}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}) \in \mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . It is enough to show

that for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $F_n \rightarrow F_{n+3}$  is a zero map in  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . We take a directed system  $(R_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of small complete  $\pi$ -adic subrings of  $A$  as in Lemma 3.11. By Lemma 3.12, we can take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $N_{B/A}^\lambda \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{D}((A_\lambda)_\blacksquare)_{\mathrm{cpt}}$  such that

$$(N_{B/A}^\lambda \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda) \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A_\blacksquare \simeq (N_{B/A} \rightarrow \underline{A})$$

in  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ . By enlarging  $\lambda$ , if necessary, we may assume that there exists a finitely presented faithfully flat  $A_\lambda/\pi$ -algebra  $S_\lambda$  such that  $S_\lambda \otimes_{A_\lambda/\pi} A/\pi \cong B/\pi$ . Then two maps  $(N_{B/A}^\lambda \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda) \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare$  and  $N_{S_\lambda/(A_\lambda/\pi)} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda/\pi$  in  $\mathcal{D}((A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare)_{\mathrm{cpt}}$  become equivalent in  $\mathcal{D}((A/\pi)_\blacksquare)$ . Therefore, we may assume that these are equivalent by enlarging  $\lambda$ , if necessary. We define  $F_n^\lambda = \mathrm{fib}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}}} (N_{B/A}^\lambda)^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda) \in \mathcal{D}((A_\lambda)_\blacksquare)$ .

Since  $F_n^\lambda \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} A_\blacksquare$  is equivalent to  $F_n$ , so it suffices to show that for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $F_n^\lambda \rightarrow F_{n+3}^\lambda$  is a zero map in  $\mathcal{D}(A_\blacksquare)$ .

We will prove that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda}(F_n^\lambda, F_{n+3}^\lambda) \cong 0$ . Since  $F_n^\lambda$  is a compact object in  $\mathcal{D}((A_\lambda)_\blacksquare)$  and  $A_\lambda$  is small, so  $R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}_\lambda}(F_n^\lambda, F_{n+3}^\lambda)$  is  $\pi$ -adic complete by Proposition

3.10, Lemma 3.5, and Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda}(F_n^\lambda, F_{n+3}^\lambda) \otimes_{\underline{A}_\lambda}^{\mathbb{L}} A_\lambda/\pi \\ & \simeq R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}(F_n^\lambda \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare, F_{n+3}^\lambda \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2}(A_\lambda/\pi). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we write  $F_n^\lambda \otimes_{(A_\lambda)_\blacksquare}^{\mathbb{L}} (A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare$  by  $\overline{F_n^\lambda}$ . We note that  $\overline{F_n^\lambda}$  is equivalent to  $\operatorname{fib}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} (N_{S_\lambda/(A_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda)$ . We have the following fiber sequence:

$$\overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}(* ) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} (N_{S_\lambda/(A_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}) \rightarrow R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}(\overline{F_n^\lambda}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}).$$

Therefore, it is enough to show

$$\overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}(* ) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -1}(A_\lambda/\pi)$$

and

$$R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} (N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2}(A_\lambda/\pi).$$

As we have  $\overline{F_n^\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}^{[0,1]}((A_\lambda/\pi)_\blacksquare)$  by Proposition 2.19, we have

$$H^k(\overline{F_n^\lambda}) \cong H^k(\varprojlim_m \overline{F_m^\lambda})$$

for every  $k \geq 2 - n$  by [Lur17, Proposition 1.2.4.5]. By Theorem 2.14, we have

$$\varprojlim_m \overline{F_m^\lambda} \simeq \operatorname{fib}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} (N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}_\lambda) \simeq 0.$$

Therefore, we get  $H^k(\overline{F_n^\lambda}) \cong 0$  for every  $k \geq 2 - n$  and also  $\overline{F_n^\lambda}(* ) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1-n}(A_\lambda/\pi)$ . Especially, we get  $\overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}(* ) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2-n}(A_\lambda/\pi) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\leq -1}(A_\lambda/\pi)$ . Next, we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} & R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}(\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} (N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}) \\ & \simeq \varprojlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}((N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.19, we have

$$R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}((N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2-n}(A_\lambda/\pi).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\varprojlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}} R \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{A}_\lambda/\pi}((N_{S_\lambda/(R_\lambda/\pi)})^{\otimes(m+1)}, \overline{F_{n+3}^\lambda}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq -2}(A_\lambda/\pi)$$

(cf. [Lur17, 1.2.4]). □

**Corollary 4.14.** For a sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\text{op}}} N_{B/A}^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}$  has a section.

Especially, the functor

$$- \otimes_{A_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B_{\blacksquare} : \mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B_{\blacksquare})$$

is conservative.

*Remark 4.15.* If  $K$  is a non-archimedean local field, then these results follow from the results in [Mat22] by using Theorem 4.10.

**Theorem 4.16.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}(A_{\blacksquare}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A})_{\blacksquare}).$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.16, Corollary 4.8, Proposition 4.9, Theorem 4.11, and Corollary 4.14.  $\square$

From the above, we get our main theorem.

**Theorem 4.17.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\mathcal{D}((A, A^{\circ})_{\blacksquare}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A}, (B^{n/A})^{\circ})_{\blacksquare}).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.29 and Lemma 4.4, we may assume that there exists a faithfully flat map  $A' \rightarrow B'$  of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras such that  $A'[1/\pi] \rightarrow B'[1/\pi]$  is isomorphic to  $A \rightarrow B$ . Then the claim follows from Theorem 4.16, since we have an equivalence  $(B, B^{\circ})_{\blacksquare} \simeq (A, A^{\circ})_{\blacksquare} \otimes_{A'_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} B'_{\blacksquare}$  by Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

## 5. DESCENT OF PSEUDO-COHERENT COMPLEXES AND PERFECT COMPLEXES

In this section, we will recover descent for pseudo-coherent complexes and perfect complexes from the main theorem by the method used in [And21, Section 5]. Let us begin by briefly recalling the notion used in [And21, Section 5].

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $A$  be a discrete ring.

- (1) An object of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is called a *pseudo-coherent complex* if it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+m} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $P_i$  are finite projective  $A$ -modules. We denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of pseudo-coherent complexes in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  by  $\text{PCoh}(A)$ . In addition, for every integer  $n$ , we denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of pseudo-coherent complexes in  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq n}(A)$  by  $\text{PCoh}^{\leq n}(A)$ .

- (2) An object of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is called a *perfect complex* if it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of the form

$$0 \rightarrow P_{n+m} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $P_i$  are finite projective  $A$ -modules. We denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of perfect complexes in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  by  $\text{Perf}(A)$ . In addition, for every pair of integers  $a \leq b$ , we denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of perfect complexes with Tor-amplitude in  $[a, b]$  by  $\text{Perf}^{[a,b]}(A)$ .

*Remark 5.2.* The category  $\text{FP}(A)$  of finite projective  $A$ -modules is equivalent to  $\text{Perf}^{[0,0]}(A)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  be a (0-truncated) complete analytic ring.

**Definition 5.3** ([And21, Definition 5.13]). An object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is called a *pseudo-coherent complex* if the following are satisfied:

- $M$  is bounded above.
- For each integer  $i$ , the functor  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^0(M, -): \mathcal{D}^{\geq i}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  commutes with small filtered colimits.

We denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of pseudo-coherent complexes in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  by  $\text{PCoh}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $M$  be an object of  $\text{PCoh}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . Then for each integer  $i$ , the functor  $R\text{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, -): \mathcal{D}^{\geq i}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\text{Ab})$  commutes with small filtered colimits.*

*Proof.* It easily follows from the definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *An object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is a pseudo-coherent complex if and only if  $M$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded above complex with terms of the form  $\mathcal{M}[S]$  for various extremally disconnected sets  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}[S_i]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}[\prod_{i=1}^n S_i]$  for extremally disconnected sets  $S_i$ , and that  $\prod_{i=1}^n S_i$  is an extremally disconnected set, the lemma follows from [And21, Proposition 5.14].  $\square$

**Definition 5.6** ([And21, Definition 5.26]). Let  $M$  be an object of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$ . Then the object  $R\text{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \underline{A}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is called the *dual* of  $M$  and denoted  $M^\vee$ .

**Definition 5.7** ([And21, Definition 5.30]). An object  $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  is said to be *nuclear* if for any extremally disconnected set  $S$ , the natural map

$$(\mathcal{M}[S]^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})}^{\mathbb{L}} M)(*) \rightarrow M(S)$$

is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Ab})$ . We denote the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of nuclear objects in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})$  by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})_{\text{nc}}$ .

Next, we define a functor which associate  $A$ -modules with  $\underline{A}$ -modules.

**Definition 5.8** ([And21, Definition 5.8, Theorem 5.9]). Let  $(A, A^+)$  be an analytic complete affinoid pair. Then the *condensification functor* is the fully faithful functor  $\text{Cond}_{(A, A^+)}: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$  given by

$$M \mapsto \text{dCond}_{A_{\text{disc}}}(M) \otimes_{(A_{\text{disc}}, A^+_{\text{disc}})_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}.$$

An object of  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$  is said to be discrete if it lies in the essential image of  $\text{Cond}_{(A, A^+)}$ .

**Lemma 5.9** ([And21, Lemma 5.10]). *Let  $(A, A^+) \rightarrow (B, B^+)$  be a map of analytic complete affinoid pairs. Then the following diagram naturally commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cond}_{(A, A^+)}} & \mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}) \\ \downarrow -\otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B & & \downarrow -\otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare} \\ \mathcal{D}(B) & \xrightarrow{\text{Cond}_{(B, B^+)}} & \mathcal{D}((B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}). \end{array}$$

**Lemma 5.10** ([And21, Proposition 5.22, Lemma 5.46, Lemma 5.47, Theorem 5.50]). *Let  $(A, A^+)$  be a sheafy analytic complete affinoid pair. Then the functor*

$$\text{Cond}_{(A, A^+)}: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$$

*induces the following equivalences*

- $\text{PCoh}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})_{\text{nc}}$ .
- $\text{Perf}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})_{\text{nc, cpt}}$ ,

*where  $\text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})_{\text{nc}}$  is the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of nuclear objects of  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$  in  $\text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$ , and  $\text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})_{\text{nc, cpt}}$  is the full  $\infty$ -subcategory of nuclear compact objects of  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$  in  $\text{PCoh}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$ .*

The following is an analogue of [And21, Proposition 5.38].

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Then for every extremally disconnected set  $S$  and every object  $M \in \mathcal{D}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare})$ , we have an equivalence*

$$\begin{aligned} & R\underline{\text{Hom}}_A((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M) \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare} \\ & \simeq R\underline{\text{Hom}}_B((B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We take  $N_{B/A} \in \mathcal{D}(\underline{B})$  as in Theorem 4.11. Then the lemma follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M) \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare} \\
& \simeq R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M)) \\
& \simeq R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A} \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M) \\
& \simeq R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}(N_{B/A}, M)) \\
& \simeq R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{A}}((A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}) \\
& \simeq R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\underline{B}}((B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}[S], M \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^+)_{\blacksquare}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following is an analogue of [And21, Proposition 5.39].

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Then there exists a non-negative integer  $k$  such that for every integer  $i$  and every  $M \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq i}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ ,  $M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B, B^\circ)_{\blacksquare}$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}^{\geq i-k}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.7, we may assume that  $B$  is equal to  $A\langle T \rangle$  or  $B$  is a perfect  $A$ -module. The latter case is trivial, so we may assume that  $B = A\langle T \rangle$ . In this case, the claim follows from Lemma 2.9 and that  $R \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[T], \mathbb{Z})$  is a compact projective  $\mathbb{Z}_{\blacksquare}$ -module. □

By Lemma 5.10, in order to prove descent for perfect complexes and pseudo-coherent complexes, it is enough to prove descent for nuclear objects, pseudo-coherent objects, and compact objects.

**Theorem 5.13.** *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have the following equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories.*

- (1)  $\mathrm{PCoh}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathrm{PCoh}((B^{n/A}, (B^{n/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})_{\mathrm{nc}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A}, (B^{n/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})_{\mathrm{nc}}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})_{\mathrm{cpt}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}((B^{n/A}, (B^{n/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})_{\mathrm{cpt}}$ .

*Proof.* The same argument as in [And21, Theorem 5.42] works well to prove (2) by using Lemma 5.11 instead of [And21, Proposition 5.38].

By Lemma 4.4 and [And21, Theorem 5.41, Theorem 5.44], in order to prove (1) and (3), we may assume that there exists a faithfully flat map  $A' \rightarrow B'$  of admissible  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras such that  $A'[1/\pi] \rightarrow B'[1/\pi]$  is isomorphic to  $A \rightarrow B$ . We can take a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $\varinjlim_{[m] \in \Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}}} N_{B'/A'}^{\otimes(m+1)} \rightarrow \underline{A}'$  has a section by

Corollary 4.14. Then we have a retraction  $\mathrm{Tot}_n(M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}) \rightarrow M$



of the natural map  $M \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}_n(M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$  which is functorial in  $M \in \mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ .

We prove (1). It is enough to show the following:

- For  $M \in \mathrm{PCoh}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ ,  $M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{m/A}, (B^{m/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}$  is pseudo-coherent for every non-negative integer  $m$ .
- For  $M \in \mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ , if  $M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{m/A}, (B^{m/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}$  is pseudo-coherent for every non-negative integer  $m$ , then  $M$  is pseudo-coherent.

The former follows from Lemma 5.5. Let  $i$  be a non-negative integer and  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\geq i}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ ;  $j \mapsto M_j$  be any filtered diagram. We will show that a map

$$\varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, M_j)) \rightarrow R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \varinjlim_J M_j)$$

is equivalent. It is a retract of a map

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \mathrm{Tot}_n(M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}))) \\ & \rightarrow R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \mathrm{Tot}_n((\varinjlim_J M_j) \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}))). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \mathrm{Tot}_n(M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}))) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Tot}_n \varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Tot}_n \varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{B^{\bullet/A}}} (M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}, M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Tot}_n (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{B^{\bullet/A}}} (M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}, \\ & \quad \varinjlim_J (M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}))) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Tot}_n (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \varinjlim_J (M_j \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}))) \\ & \simeq \mathrm{Tot}_n (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, (\varinjlim_J M_j) \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})) \\ & \simeq R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \mathrm{Tot}_n((\varinjlim_J M_j) \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{\bullet/A}, (B^{\bullet/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare})), \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 5.12 in the third equivalence. Therefore,

$$\varinjlim_J (R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, M_j)) \rightarrow R \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(M, \varinjlim_J M_j)$$

is an equivalence.

Finally we prove (3). It is enough to show the following:

- For  $M \in \mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})_{\mathrm{cpt}}$ ,  $M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{m/A}, (B^{m/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}$  is compact for every non-negative integer  $m$ .
- For  $M \in \mathcal{D}((A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare})$ , if  $M \otimes_{(A, A^\circ)_{\blacksquare}}^{\mathbb{L}} (B^{m/A}, (B^{m/A})^\circ)_{\blacksquare}$  is compact for every non-negative integer  $m$ , then  $M$  is compact.

The former can be proven by the same argument as in [And21, Theorem 5.41], and the latter can be proven by the same argument of the latter part of the proof of (1).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let  $K$  be a complete non-archimedean field and  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat map of affinoid  $K$ -algebras. Let  $B^{n/A}$  denote the  $(n + 1)$ -fold completed tensor product of  $B$  over  $A$ . Then we have the following equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories:*

$$\mathcal{C}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}(B^{n/A}),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is one of the following  $\infty$ -categories:  $\mathrm{PCoh}$ ,  $\mathrm{PCoh}^{\leq m}$ ,  $\mathrm{Perf}$ ,  $\mathrm{Perf}^{[a,b]}$ , and  $\mathrm{FP}$ .

*Proof.* The descent for  $\mathrm{PCoh}$  and  $\mathrm{Perf}$  follows from Theorem 4.17, Lemma 5.10, Theorem 5.13. To prove the descent for  $\mathrm{PCoh}^{\leq m}$ , it is enough to show that for  $M \in \mathrm{PCoh}(A)$  if  $M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B$  is belong to  $\mathrm{PCoh}^{\leq m}(B)$  then  $M$  is also belong to  $\mathrm{PCoh}^{\leq m}(A)$ . This follows from that  $A \rightarrow B$  is faithfully flat, where we implicitly use Lemma 5.9. Finally, to prove the descent for  $\mathrm{Perf}^{[a,b]}$ , it is enough to show that for  $M \in \mathrm{Perf}(A)$  if  $M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B$  is belong to  $\mathrm{Perf}^{[a,b]}(B)$  then  $M$  is also belong to  $\mathrm{Perf}^{[a,b]}(A)$ . Since  $A \rightarrow B$  is faithfully flat, we have that for  $N \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H^i(N) = 0$  is equivalent to  $H^i(N \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B) = 0$ . The claim easily follows from it.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [And21] G. Andreychev, *Pseudocoherent and perfect complexes and vector bundles on analytic adic spaces*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.12591 (2021).
- [BG98] S. Bosch and U. Görtz, *Coherent modules and their descent on relative rigid spaces*.
- [BH19] C. Barwick and P. Haine, *Pyknotic objects, I. Basic notions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09966 (2019).
- [BL93a] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert, *Formal and rigid geometry. I. Rigid spaces.*, *Mathematische Annalen* **295** (1993), no. 2, 291–318.
- [BL93b] S. Bosch and W. Lütkebohmert, *Formal and rigid geometry. II. Flattening techniques.*, *Mathematische Annalen* **296** (1993), no. 3, 403–430.
- [BS19] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.08229 (2019).
- [Con03] B. Conrad, *Descent for coherent sheaves on rigid-analytic spaces*, Preprint (2003).
- [CS19] K. Cesnavicius and P. Scholze, *Purity for flat cohomology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10932 (2019).
- [FGI<sup>+</sup>05] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry: Grothendieck’s FGA explained*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 123, American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2005.
- [GR71] L. Gruson and M. Raynaud, *Criteres de platitude et de projectivite techniques de*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **13** (1971), 1–89.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory (am-170)*, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher algebra*, 2017.
- [Lur18] ———, *Spectral algebraic geometry*, 2018.
- [Man22] L. Mann, *A p-adic 6-functor formalism in rigid-analytic geometry*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02022 (2022).

- [Mat16] A. Mathew, *The galois group of a stable homotopy theory*, *Advances in Mathematics* **291** (2016), 403–541.
- [Mat22] ———, *Faithfully flat descent of almost perfect complexes in rigid geometry*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **226** (2022), no. 5, 106938.
- [Sch19] P. Scholze, *Lectures on condensed mathematics*, 2019.
- [Sch20] ———, *Lectures on analytic geometry*, 2020.
- [SP] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA,  
MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN  
*Email address:* y-mikmi@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp