

# THE LONGEST EDGE OF THE SOFT RANDOM GEOMETRIC GRAPH WITH BOUNDARIES

ARNAUD ROUSSELLE AND ERCAN SÖNMEZ

**ABSTRACT.** The object of study is a soft random geometric graph with vertices given by a Poisson point process on a line and edges between vertices present with probability that has a polynomial decay in the distance between them. Various aspects of such models related to connectivity structures have been studied extensively. In this paper we study the random graph from the perspective of extreme value theory and focus on the occurrence of single long edges. The model we investigate has non-periodic boundaries and is parametrized by a positive constant  $\alpha$ , which is the power for the polynomial decay of the probabilities determining the presence of an edge. As a main result we provide a precise yet extensive description of the magnitude of the longest edge in terms of asymptotic behavior in distribution. Thereby we illustrate a crucial dependence on the power  $\alpha$  and we recover a phase transition which coincides with exactly the same phases in [2]. As a by-product of our methods we formulate a general convergence criterion that relates the convergence in distribution of suitable transformations of an arbitrary increasing sequence of random variables to Poisson approximation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Introductory remarks.** The soft random geometric graph [16] receives considerable attention in both theory and practical applications for already many years. It is a sophisticated way of constructing random graphs from a Poisson point process by randomly connecting each pair of points independently. It naturally extends the Gilbert graph [10], also known as the hard random geometric graph, because it connects each pair of points at distance below a given threshold and otherwise not. Concrete applications of such random graphs are numerous and range from computer science [11], engineering [1], communication theory [22], robotic swarming [23], climate dynamics [7] and spread of diseases [9]. In particular they proved to be relevant because of taking into account realistic features of modern networks of various types.

---

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05C80, 60G70; Secondary: 60F05, 05C82, 82B21.

*Key words and phrases.* Random graphs, extreme value theory, soft random geometric graph, maximum edge length, Poisson approximation.

Not only since it was termed a soft random geometric graph in [16], its mathematical literature is yet continuously growing to a large extent. Predominantly the investigations have been concerned with connectivity structures, including component counts, degree counts and graph distances (see [6, 12, 17, 21] for example). Particular attention has been devoted to low-dimensional versions of the soft random geometric graph separately, very recently in dimension 2 [18] and in dimension 1 [24, 25]. The model in dimension 1 admits an interesting application in vehicular ad-hoc networks (see [25] for a detailed discussion) and will be the object of study in this paper.

**1.2. The model.** Throughout this paper let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_n = [-n, n]$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  a homogeneous Poisson point process on  $\mathbb{R}$  with unit intensity. We will consider  $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P} \cap B_n$  and view it as a random (countable) subset of  $B_n$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}_n$  will be the vertex set of the soft random geometric graph. Given  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the edge-set is constructed as follows. Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a measurable function. We assume that  $g$  is symmetric, i.e.  $g(x) = g(-x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Each pair of points  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_n$  will be connected by an edge  $\{x, y\}$  with probability  $g(x - y)$  and independently of all other pairs of points. All such edges  $\{x, y\}$  will be summarized in the edge-set  $E_n$ . Thus the graph is given by  $(\mathcal{P}_n, E_n)$ . The function  $g$  is called the connection function. Let  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . We explicitly assume that  $g$  is given by

$$(1.1) \quad g(x) = 1 - \exp(-|x|^{-\alpha}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular  $g$  is non-increasing and has unbounded support.

**1.3. Motivation and connection to earlier works.** The model in the infinite regime, in which the vertex set is constituted of the entire Poisson point process on the real line, was termed random connection model in [13] and is commonly known as such. In such a context percolation questions arise of interest and have been treated in [14].

The choice (1.1) of the connection function naturally admits a comparison with long-range percolation [20], for which it can also be regarded as its continuous counterpart. Notably many works on long-range percolation originated from the pioneering paper [2] focusing on graph distances in a finite version of long-range percolation, which has later been continued in a high-dimensional framework [4] and resulted in a prosperous research branch, see [3] and references therein. Long-range percolation,

if considered in arbitrary dimension  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , is parametrized by  $\alpha$  and  $d$ . The investigations on graph distances have essentially exposed a phase transition with five distinct regimes of typical behavior, namely  $\alpha < d$ ,  $\alpha = d$ ,  $d < \alpha < 2d$ ,  $\alpha = 2d$ ,  $\alpha > 2d$  and particular attention to each of these regimes has been devoted separately. Aforementioned results carry over to their continuous version as well [21].

Aside from the studies of connectivity structures of such graphs not much attention was given to the description of the occurrence of single long edges, which is of interest not only from a mathematical point of view. In a previous paper [19] we initiated the study of the largest edge-length in both (infinite versions of) the random connection model and long-range percolation. Our main discovery was that, depending on specific choices of the connection function, the edge-lengths can be linked to each extreme value distribution [8], with unforeseeable subtle, but crucial differences for long-range percolation (see [19, Section 2] for a detailed presentation). In all of these examples the connection function satisfies

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx < \infty.$$

In this paper we provide a description of the precise behavior of the largest edge-length in the soft random geometric graph above, in particular provide results in the highly irregular case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = \infty,$$

which is a quite rarely studied framework in the literature. A noteworthy connection to the previously mentioned works on graph distances is that we recover a phase transition in our results with exactly the same phases.

**1.4. Problem formulation and main results.** We focus on the length of the longest edge in the soft random geometric graph, formally defined as

$$(1.2) \quad e_n^* := \max_{\{x,y\} \in E_n} |x - y|.$$

We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of  $e_n^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We note that what makes the study of the sequence  $(e_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  mathematically challenging is that the corresponding maxima are taken over (randomly many) random variables, which are neither independent nor identically distributed nor stationary, due to boundary effects

in the model. Nevertheless, our findings reveal that they exhibit a strong mathematical structure, in particular provide an extensive description of the magnitude of the largest edge-length. Here is the statement of the main results, followed immediately after by discussions and interpretations that manifest their significance.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  let  $(\mathcal{P}_n, E_n)$  be the soft random geometric graph with connection function  $g$  given by (1.1) as defined in Section 1.2. Let  $e_n^*$  given in (1.2) be the length of the longest edge in this graph. Assume that  $Z$  is an arbitrary random variable with distribution function  $F$  and denote its generalized inverse by  $F^{\leftarrow}$ .*

(I) *If  $\alpha > 2$  then*

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2}{1-\alpha} 2n(e_n^*)^{1-\alpha} \right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(II) *If  $\alpha = 2$  then*

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ h \left( \frac{e_n^*}{n} \right)^{-2} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z,$$

where  $h(x) = \frac{x}{2} \exp \left( \frac{2-x}{x} \right)$  for  $x \in (0, 2]$ .

(III) *If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  then*

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp \left( - (2n)^{\alpha-2} (2-\alpha)^{-2} \left( (e_n^*)^{2-\alpha} - (2n)^{2-\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(IV) *If  $\alpha = 1$  then*

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp \left( - 2n \left( \ln \frac{e_n^*}{2n} \right)^2 \right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(V) *If  $\alpha < 1$  then*

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp \left( - (2n)^\alpha (1-\alpha)^{-2} \left( (e_n^*)^{1-\alpha} - (2n)^{1-\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

**1.5. Interpretations and discussions.** Theorem 1.1 is stated in a large generality, but enables to spot many particular examples, additionally illustrates significant differences stated in this way between each of the phases. Noticeably the largest edge-length after suitable transformation approximates an arbitrary distribution. A

related conclusion is the fact that  $F^{\leftarrow}(U)$  has distribution function  $F$  for a standard uniform distribution  $U$  by the well-known inversion method (see [5, Theorem 2.1]).

Let  $\alpha > 2$ . The perhaps most natural example from Theorem 1.1 is that

$$\left(\frac{2}{\alpha-1}2n\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}e_n^* \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z_{\alpha-1},$$

where  $Z_{\alpha-1}$  has a Fréchet distribution with parameter  $\alpha - 1$ . That is after scaling the edge-length we obtain a convergence towards a heavy-tailed extreme value distribution, as is typically the case for maxima of heavy-tailed random variables. It is interesting to compare this statement with the case  $\alpha = 2$ , in which Theorem 1.1 yields the asymptotics

$$\frac{1}{4n}e_n^* \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z^*,$$

where now  $Z^*$  is a random variable with continuous distribution function

$$\mathbb{P}(Z^* \leq r) = \frac{1}{4}r^{-2} \exp\left(\frac{2r-1}{r}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \frac{1}{2})}(r) + \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, \infty)}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R},$$

a statement we could not have estimated.

Now let  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . The most apparent example we encounter here is a Weibull convergence for a power of the maximum edge-length, namely

$$\frac{1}{2-\alpha}(2n)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \left( (e_n^*)^{2-\alpha} - (2n)^{2-\alpha} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z_W,$$

where  $Z_W$  has a Weibull distribution with parameter 2, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_w \leq r) = \exp\left(-(-r)^2\right) \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}(r) + \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Another interesting comparison is the one of this statement with an analogous statement in case  $\alpha < 1$ , which reads

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}(2n)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( (e_n^*)^{1-\alpha} - (2n)^{1-\alpha} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z_W.$$

We note that for  $\alpha < 1$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = \infty,$$

whereas for  $1 < \alpha < 2$  it holds

$$0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx < \infty$$

and the corresponding statements illustrate a crucial change of behavior of the edge-lengths. For example, for  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$  the assertion is

$$2(2n)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \sqrt{e_n^*} - \sqrt{2n} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z_W,$$

whereas for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  it is

$$2(2n)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \sqrt{e_n^*} - \sqrt{2n} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z_W.$$

Notice here the crucial difference in the order of the sequences scaling the centred quantity  $\sqrt{e_n^*} - \sqrt{2n}$ , illustrating contrarily in case  $\alpha > 1$  the edge-lengths grow much smaller than  $2n$ . We point out that in the infinite regime of the random connection model the case  $\alpha < 1$  yields a locally infinite graph, but this observation and Theorem 1.1 allow for an insight and precise description of the growth of the edge-lengths.

Finally, as an example of the case  $\alpha = 1$  one can derive the asymptotics

$$\left( \frac{e_n^*}{2n} \right)^{\sqrt{2n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z^{**},$$

where  $Z^{**}$  has distribution function

$$\mathbb{P}(Z^{**} \leq r) = r^{-\ln r} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r) + \mathbf{1}_{[1,\infty)}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We close this Subsection with a few remarks pointing out once again that the well-known phase transition of graph distances on long-range percolation clusters appears in our discoveries about the largest edge-length, which should demonstrate its relevance in the study of their graphical structure and the fact that important information is also reflected in the maximal edge-length as well.

**1.6. Possible generalizations and extensions.** Our investigations can be extended in various directions. We mention two of them.

**1.6.1. High dimensions.** A possible extension to arbitrary dimension  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  is by considering a soft random geometric graph on a  $d$ -dimensional torus. Such a model has been considered in [6] with the focus on graph distances. Another mentionable reference is [15], which studied the largest edge-length of the minimal spanning tree generated by a Poisson point process on a torus, which is called there a toroidal model.

Here is a precise formulation of the question we have in mind. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_n^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| = n\}$$

denote a sphere of radius  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The  $d$ -fold topological product

$$\mathcal{T}_n^d := \mathcal{S}_n^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_n^1$$

is a  $d$ -dimensional torus. Now we can define a Poisson point process  $\mathcal{P}_n$  on  $\mathcal{T}_n^d$  and construct the edge-set  $E_n$  as previously. Equivalently, we can consider  $B_n^d = [0, n]^d$  and denote by  $d(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in B_n^d$ , the toroidal metric, which is induced by the  $2d$ -dimensional Euclidean metric. For example in dimension  $d = 1$  we have

$$d(x, y) = \min(|x - y|, n - |x - y|).$$

If  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a Poisson point process on  $B_n^d$  then each pair of points  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_n$  is connected independently with probability

$$g(x - y) = 1 - \exp(-d(x, y)^\alpha), \quad \alpha \in (0, \infty),$$

resulting in the edge-set  $E_n$ . Then one can study the asymptotic behavior (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) of the largest edge-length

$$e_n^* := \max_{\{x, y\} \in E_n} d(x, y).$$

Such a toroidal model eliminates boundary effects, essentially makes the problem tractable in higher dimensions. Here we expect the phase transition  $\alpha < d, \alpha = d, d < \alpha < 2d, \alpha = 2d, \alpha > 2d$ .

1.6.2. *Long-range percolation clusters.* The question studied here can be considered for long-range percolation as well. There are two possible versions of long-range percolation on finite graphs that make the problem admissible. First one can define long-range percolation on finite cycles, which we formulate as a high-dimensional version of the graph considered in [2]. Let  $V_n$  be the  $d$ -fold cartesian product of the cycle  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.

$$V_n = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

For every  $v \in V_n$  write  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$  and for each pair of vertices  $x, y$  define

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \rho_i(x_i, y_i), \quad \text{with} \quad \rho_i(x_i, y_i) = \min(|x_i - y_i|, n - |x_i - y_i|).$$

Now each pair of vertices is connected independently with probability

$$1 - \exp\left(-\rho(x, y)^{-\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha \in (0, \infty).$$

The corresponding edge-set is again summarized in a set  $E_n$ . Now we let

$$e_n^* := \max_{\{x, y\} \in E_n} \rho(x, y).$$

The second model of long-range percolation on finite graphs is the one considered in [4]. The vertex set is  $V_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}^d$  and now each edge  $\{x, y\} \in E_n$  is present with probability

$$1 - \exp\left(-|x - y|^{-\alpha}\right), \quad \alpha \in (0, \infty),$$

independently of every other edge, where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the 1-norm. The random variable  $e_n^*$  is defined by

$$e_n^* := \max_{\{x, y\} \in E_n} |x - y|.$$

The second model includes boundary effects, which makes the problem more difficult than in the first model. Again in both models one could expect the same phase transition. It could also be interesting to find out potential differences to the results presented here.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**2.1. Prerequisites.** The first aim of this subsection is to briefly give a definition of the soft random geometric graph in terms of a construction derived from a marked Poisson point process (see *e.g.* [17, Section 4] for more details). This fact is an essential property of the soft random geometric graph, useful for practical purposes and allows for instance to apply several convergence theorems that enable to investigate and characterize various structures exhibited in the model. This formal construction has been used in various instances, such as in the study of degree counts, component counts and number of edges [17, 12]. It will permit us to apply particularly a general Poisson approximation theorem via coupling related to Stein's method taken from [17], which we previously applied in the same fashion as in the study of the longest edge in the random connection model. We recall this result below for the reader's convenience.



2.1.1. *A formal construction of the soft random geometric graph.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}$  a marked Poisson point process of unit intensity on  $B_n$  with marks in  $\mathbb{M} = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  endowed with the product Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and the product Lebesgue measure, which we denote by  $\mathbf{m}$ . The marks being sequences of independent uniform random variables on  $[0, 1)$ , independent of all the other random variables, their first terms are almost surely pairwise distinct. Thus, almost every realization of this marked Poisson point process can be enumerated as  $\{(x_i, (t_{i,0}, t_{i,1}, \dots))\}_{i=1, \dots, |\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}|}$ , where  $t_{1,0} < t_{2,0} < \dots < t_{|\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}|,0}$ . Then the edge-set  $E_n$  consists in the edges  $\{x_i, x_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq |\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}|$ , such that  $t_{i,j} \leq g(x_i - x_j)$ . This presents the advantage to give a canonical way to list the points in order to decide if they are connected or not. For a set  $\xi$  of marked points as above, we denote by  $G(\xi) = (V(\xi), E(\xi))$  the soft random geometric graph constructed from  $\xi$  as we just described.

2.1.2. *A Poisson approximation theorem via coupling.* One important intermediate result in our proof is Lemma 2.4. Since the soft random geometric graph can be constructed from a marked Poisson point process as above, this result will be derived from Theorem 3.1 in [17]. We state it here in the particular case where the underlying Poisson point process is of intensity 1 in a bounded measurable subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The mark space will be taken as above but can be a more general mark space  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{m})$  endowed with a diffusive probability measure  $\mathbf{m}$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{S}$  the set of all locally finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{M}$  and by  $\mathbf{S}_k$  the set of subsets of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{M}$  of cardinality  $k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([17, Theorem 3.1.]). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f: \mathbf{S}_k \times \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  a measurable function and for  $\xi \in \mathbf{S}$  set*

$$(2.1) \quad F(\xi) := \sum_{\psi \in \mathbf{S}_k: \psi \subset \xi} f(\psi, \xi \setminus \psi).$$

*Let  $\mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}}$  be a marked Poisson point process with intensity  $\text{Leb}(\cdot) \times \mathbf{m}$  in  $B \times \mathbb{M}$  and set  $W := F(\mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}})$  and  $\beta := \mathbb{E}[W]$ . For  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in B$ , set*

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \mathbb{E} \left[ f \left( \{(x_1, \tau_1), \dots, (x_k, \tau_k)\}, \mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}} \right) \right],$$

*where the  $\tau_i$  are independent random elements of  $\mathbb{M}$  with common distribution  $\mathbf{m}$ .*

*Suppose that for almost every  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B^k$  with  $p(x_1, \dots, x_k) > 0$  we can find coupled random variables  $U_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $V_{\mathbf{x}}$  such that:*

$$(1) \quad W \stackrel{d}{=} U_{\mathbf{x}},$$

- (2)  $F\left(\mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{(x_i, \tau_i)\}\right)$  conditional on  $f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{(x_i, \tau_i)\}, \mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}}\right) = 1$  has the same distribution as  $1 + V_{\mathbf{x}}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbb{E}[|U_{\mathbf{x}} - V_{\mathbf{x}}|] \leq w(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $w: B^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a measurable function.

Let  $P(\beta)$  be a mean  $\beta$  Poisson random variable. Then

$$(2.2) \quad d_{TV}(W, P(\beta)) \leq \frac{\min(1, \beta^{-1})}{k!} \int_{B^k} w(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where  $d_{TV}$  denotes the total variation distance between two discrete random variables.

*Remark 2.2.* Actually, [17, Theorem 3.1] also provides a bound for the Wasserstein distance between  $W$  and  $P(\beta)$ , which is almost the same as the one for the total variation distance up to a multiplicative constant.

The quantities involved in Theorem 2.1 at first glance might seem quite abstract, but they are in close relation with the formal construction of the soft random geometric graph given in Subsection 2.1.1. For example important quantities in the graph such as degree and component counts can be recovered as a special choice of the function  $F$  in (2.1). A key observation here is that the number of edges longer than certain values can be formulated by means of a function of the type (2.1), which we discuss in the following.

**2.2. The sum of exceedances.** Theorem 1.1 is achieved by proving the convergence of the corresponding distribution functions, which we put into the context of Poisson approximation. Such a procedure is renowned in extreme value theory, but as far as we know it has not appeared in such a general framework as done in this paper.

Let  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $0 < r_n < 2n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence. We count the exceedances, formally defined as a random variable  $W(n, r_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given by

$$W(n, r_n) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} |x-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{(x,y) \in E_n\}} > r_n\}}.$$

The following are auxiliary results in proving that  $W(n, r_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , approximates a Poisson random variable.

**Lemma 2.3.** *It holds*

$$\mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = \int_{B_n} 1 - \exp\left(-\int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy\right) dx.$$

*Proof.* From the Mecke formula we recall that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = \int_{B_n} \mathbb{P}_x \left( \max_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n: \{x, y\} \in E_n^x} |x - y| > r_n \right) dx.$$

Here  $\mathbb{P}_x$  denotes the distribution of the Poisson point process with a point added at  $x$  and  $E_n^x$  the edge-set of the soft random geometric graph constructed with a point added at  $x$ . Following the lines of the proof of [19, Theorem 3.3] we furthermore have

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left( \max_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n: \{x, y\} \in E_n^x} |x - y| > r_n \right) = 1 - \exp \left( - \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y - x) dy \right)$$

for every  $x \in B_n$ . Combining this with (2.3) closes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $P(n)$  a Poisson random variable with mean  $\mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)]$ .*

*It holds*

$$d_{TV}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq \min(1, \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)]^{-1}) \mathfrak{J}(n),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{J}(n) = \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y - x) dy \times \left( 1 - \exp \left( - \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y - x) dy \right) \right) dx.$$

*Proof.* By using the construction of the soft random geometric graph in terms of a marked Poisson point process described in Subsection 2.1.1, we can apply Theorem 2.1 with  $k = 1$  and  $f: \mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}$ , where  $\mathbf{S}_1$  is the set of all singletons of  $B_n \times \mathbb{M}$ , defined by

$$f \left( \{(x, (u_i)_{i \geq 0})\}, \xi \right) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{y \in V(\xi \cup \{(x, (u_i)_{i \geq 0})\})} |x - y| \mathbf{1}_{\{\{x, y\} \in E(\xi \cup \{(x, (u_i)_{i \geq 0})\})\}} > r_n\}}$$

for  $\{(x, (u_i)_{i \geq 0})\} \in \mathbf{S}_1$ , so that  $W = F(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}) = W(n, r_n)$ . One can formally check that  $f$  is the indicator function of a measurable set, see [19, Section 3] for details.

In order to apply Theorem 2.1, we now need to define the coupled random variables  $U_x$  and  $V_x$ . To this end, let us enlarge  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}$  by adding a marked point  $x^{\text{marked}} = (x, (u_i)_{i \geq 0})$ . Then we define  $V_x$  as the number of exceedances in the enlarged graph  $G(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}} \cup \{x^{\text{marked}}\})$  other than the one at  $x$  (if there is one), namely

$$V_x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n \cup \{x\}} |z - y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow z\}} > r_n\}}.$$

Now consider the restriction of  $G(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}} \cup \{x^{\text{marked}}\})$  to  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}$ . We denote this graph by  $G(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}} \cup \{x^{\text{marked}}\})|_{\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}}$  and we note that it has the same distribution

as  $G(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}})$ . The random variable  $U_x$  is then defined as the number of exceedances in the induced graph  $G(\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}} \cup \{x^{\text{marked}}\})|_{\mathcal{P}_n^{\text{marked}}}$ , namely:

$$U_x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n} |z-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow z\}} > r_n\}}.$$

Observe that the coupled random variables  $U_x$  and  $V_x$  defined above satisfy Assumptions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.1 and are such that  $V_x \geq U_x$  by construction. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|U_x - V_x|] &= \mathbb{E}[V_x - U_x] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left( \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n \cup \{x\}} |z-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow z\}} > r_n\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n} |z-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow z\}} > r_n\}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow x\}} > r_n\}} \right] = \int_{B_n} \mathbb{P}_y(|x-y| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow x\}} > r_n) dy \\ &= \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(x-y) dy =: w(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Mecke formula. So Assumption (3) of Theorem 2.1 is also satisfied.

Finally, the integral in the r.h.s of (2.2) rewrites

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_n} w(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(x-y) dy \mathbb{E}[f(\{x^{\text{marked}}\}, \mathcal{P}_B^{\text{marked}})] dx \\ &= \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(x-y) dy \mathbb{P}_x \left( \max_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n} |y-x| \mathbf{1}_{\{y \leftrightarrow x\}} > r_n \right) dx \\ &= \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \times \left( 1 - \exp \left( - \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \right) \right) dx \\ &= \mathfrak{I}(n). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

The following fact will be useful in applying Lemma 2.4. If  $r_n$  is so that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$(2.4) \quad \max_{x \in B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} I(n) := \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}(n) &\leq C' \int_{B_n} \left( \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y - x) dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] \max_{x \in B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y - x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

In completing the proof of Theorem 1.1 we now devote separate subsections for each regime, additionally illustrate some subtle differences regarding the upper bounds one obtains for the convergence speed. From now on let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and recall that  $F(r)$  denotes the distribution function of the limiting random variable  $Z$  at point  $r$ .

### 2.3. The case $\alpha > 2$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $\alpha > 2$  and*

$$r_n = (2n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln F(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

*there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  not depending on  $n$  such that*

$$d_{TV}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq C n^{1-\alpha}.$$

*Moreover, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

*Proof.* We first check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) = -\ln F(r).$$

We write

$$I(n) = 2 \int_0^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy dx = 2I_1(n) + 2I_2(n)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(n) &= \int_{n-r_n}^n \int_{-n}^{x-r_n} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy dx = \int_{n-r_n}^n \int_{r_n}^{n+x} s^{-\alpha} ds dx \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{n-r_n}^n (n+x)^{1-\alpha} - r_n^{1-\alpha} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{2-\alpha} \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - (2n-r_n)^{2-\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} r_n^{2-\alpha}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(n) &= \int_0^{n-r_n} \left( \int_{-n}^{x-r_n} |y-x|^{-\alpha} dy + \int_{x+r_n}^n |y-x|^{-\alpha} dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{n-r_n} \left( \int_{r_n}^{n+x} s^{-\alpha} ds + \int_{r_n}^{n-x} s^{-\alpha} ds \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^{n-r_n} \left( (n+x)^{1-\alpha} + (n-x)^{1-\alpha} - 2r_n^{1-\alpha} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{2-\alpha} \left( (2n-r_n)^{2-\alpha} - r_n^{2-\alpha} \right) - \frac{2}{1-\alpha} (n-r_n) r_n^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2-\alpha} \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - r_n^{2-\alpha} \right) - (2n-r_n) r_n^{1-\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2-\alpha} \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - (2n)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln F(r) \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( 1 - (2n)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln F(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln F(r) \right) \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} -\ln F(r), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha > 2$ . Thus, the claim follows from Lemma 2.3 and (2.4). The assertion (2.4) is easily verified. Then from Lemma 2.4 and straightforward calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{TV}}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) &\leq \min(1, \mathbb{E}[P(n)]^{-1}) \int_{B_n} \left( \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq \max_{x \in B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \\ &\quad \times \min(1, \mathbb{E}[P(n)]^{-1}) \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy dx \\ &\leq C n^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 2.4. The case $\alpha = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $\alpha = 2$  and*

$$r_n = h^{-1} \left( F(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) n$$

there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  not depending on  $n$  such that

$$d_{TV}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq Cn^{-1}.$$

Moreover, it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

*Proof.* Again (2.4) is easily verified and the upper bound on the total variation distance follows as in the previous regime, once we check the second assertion of this Theorem. Note that the function  $h: (0, 2] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is bijective. For notational simplicity define  $c_r = h^{-1}\left(F(r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \in (0, 2]$ . First, assume that  $r$  is such that  $c_r \in (0, 1]$ . It holds

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= 2 \int_0^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-2} dy dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^n \int_{c_r n}^{n+x} s^{-2} ds dx + 2 \int_0^{(1-c_r)n} \int_{c_r n}^{n-x} s^{-2} ds dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^n ((c_r n)^{-1} - (n+x)^{-1}) dx + 2 \int_0^{(1-c_r)n} ((c_r n)^{-1} - (n-x)^{-1}) dx \\ &= 2 \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} - 2 \int_0^n (n+x)^{-1} dx - 2 \int_0^{(1-c_r)n} (n-x)^{-1} dx \\ &= 2 \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} - 2 \ln(2n) + 2 \ln(n) + 2 \ln(c_r n) - 2 \ln(n) \\ &= 2 \left( \ln \left( \exp \left( \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} \right) \right) - \ln(2n) + \ln(c_r n) \right) \\ &= 2 \ln \left( \frac{c_r}{2} \exp \left( \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} \right) \right) = -\ln \left( \left( \frac{c_r}{2} \exp \left( \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} \right) \right)^{-2} \right) \\ &= -\ln(F(r)) \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $c_r$ .

Assume now that  $r$  is such that  $c_r \in (1, 2]$ . Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= \int_{-n}^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-2} dy dx = 2 \int_0^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-2} dy dx \\ &= 2 \int_{(c_r-1)n}^n \int_{c_r n}^{n+x} s^{-2} ds dx = 2 \int_{(c_r-1)n}^n ((c_r n)^{-1} - (n+x)^{-1}) dx \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{2-c_r}{c_r} - \ln(2n) + \ln(c_r n) \right) = -\ln(F(r)) \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $c_r$  as before. □

## 2.5. The case $1 < \alpha < 2$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and*

$$r_n = \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - (2-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}$$

*there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  not depending on  $n$  such that*

$$d_{TV}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq Cn^{1-\alpha}.$$

*Moreover, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha > 1$ , (2.4) easily follows. Note that, for  $n$  large,  $n < r_n < 2n$ . It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= 2 \int_0^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y-x|^{-\alpha} dy dx = 2 \int_{-n+r_n}^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y-x|^{-\alpha} dy dx \\ &= 2 \int_{-n+r_n}^n \int_{r_n}^{n+x} s^{-\alpha} ds dx = \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \int_{-n+r_n}^n (n+x)^{1-\alpha} - r_n^{1-\alpha} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2-\alpha} ((2n)^{2-\alpha} - r_n^{2-\alpha}) - (2n-r_n)r_n^{1-\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{1-\alpha} ((2n)^{1-\alpha} - r_n^{1-\alpha}) 2n - \frac{2}{2-\alpha} ((2n)^{2-\alpha} - r_n^{2-\alpha}) \\ (2.5) \quad &=: \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \tilde{I}(n) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}(n) &= \left( (2n)^{1-\alpha} - \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - (2-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \right) 2n \\ &\quad - (1-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &= n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[ - (1-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{2-\alpha} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - (2-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} 2^{-2+\alpha} n^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$



By using l'Hôpital's rule, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2-\alpha} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - (2-\alpha) 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} 2^{-2+\alpha} n^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \right) \\ &= (1-\alpha) 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} \end{aligned}$$

and then conclude by using l'Hôpital's rule again that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) = \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}(n) = -\ln F(r).$$

The conclusion of this Theorem then follows the same arguments as before.  $\square$

## 2.6. The case $\alpha = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_n = 2ng_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}}$  with*

$$g_r = \exp\left(-\sqrt{-8\ln F(r)}\right)$$

*there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  not depending on  $n$  such that*

$$d_{TV}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Moreover, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

*Proof.* First, we verify (2.4). Observe that, for  $x \in [0, r_n - n)$ ,  $B_n \setminus B_n(x) = \emptyset$ .

Besides, for  $x \in [r_n - n, n]$ , we have

$$\int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy \leq r_n^{-\alpha} (x - r_n + n) \leq r_n^{-\alpha} (2n - r_n).$$

Hence,

$$\max_{x \in [0, n]} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy \leq 2r_n^{-\alpha} (2n - r_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which proves (2.4).

For  $n$  large, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} I(n) &= 2 \int_0^n \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-1} dy dx = 2 \int_{-n+r_n}^n \int_{x-r_n}^{-n} |y - x|^{-1} dy dx \\ &= 2 \int_{-n+r_n}^n \int_{r_n}^{n+x} s^{-1} ds dx = 2 \int_{-n+r_n}^n \ln(n+x) - \ln r_n dx \\ &= 2(2n \ln(2n) - n - r_n \ln(r_n) - n + r_n - (2n - r_n) \ln r_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4n \ln \left( \frac{r_n}{2n} \right) + 2r_n - 4n = -\sqrt{n} \ln g_r + 4n \left( g_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}} - 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{4\sqrt{n} \left( g_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}} - 1 \right) - \ln g_r}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$4\sqrt{n} \left( g_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln g_r,$$

we use once again l'Hôpital's rule to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4\sqrt{n} \left( g_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}} - 1 \right) - \ln g_r}{n^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{8} (\ln g_r)^2 = -\ln F(r).$$

Thus, from (2.4) and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

Finally, Lemma 2.4 gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\text{TV}}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) &\leq \min(1, \mathbb{E}[P(n)]^{-1}) \int_{B_n} \left( \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \max_{x \in B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy \\
&\quad \times \min(1, \mathbb{E}[P(n)]^{-1}) \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} g(y-x) dy dx \\
&\leq C' \left( \frac{2n}{r_n} - 1 \right) = C' \left( \exp \left( \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2n} \ln F(r)} \right) - 1 \right) \leq Cn^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.7. The case $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and*

$$r_n = \left( (2n)^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha) 2^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

*there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  not depending on  $n$  such that*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(W(n, r_n), P(n)) \leq Cn^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

*Moreover, it holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

*Proof.* We begin by verifying (2.4). Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{x \in [0, n]} \int_{B_n \setminus B_{r_n}(x)} |y - x|^{-\alpha} dy &\leq 2 \max_{x \in [r_n - n, n]} \int_{r_n \wedge (n+x)}^{n+x} s^{-\alpha} ds \\
 &\leq \max_{x \in [r_n - n, n]} \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \left( (n+x)^{1-\alpha} - r_n^{1-\alpha} \right) \\
 &= \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \left( (2n)^{1-\alpha} - r_n^{1-\alpha} \right) = 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
 &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[W(n, r_n)] = -\ln F(r).$$

The calculations are very close to the ones in the case  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . Equation (2.5) is satisfied here with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I}(n) &= n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (2 - \alpha) 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} \\
 &\quad + \left( (2n)^{1-\alpha} - (1 - \alpha) 2^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} - (2n)^{2-\alpha} \\
 &= n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[ (2 - \alpha) 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2^{2-\alpha} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( \left( 1 - (1 - \alpha) 2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} 2^{-2+\alpha} n^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}} - 1 \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

From here one can conclude by using l'Hôpital's rule twice again. Finally, the first assertion follows from similar calculations as in case  $\alpha = 1$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.8. A convergence criterion and conclusion.

2.8.1. *The criterion.* We state here a convergence criterion of own interest which will allow us to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Criterion 2.10.** *Let  $Z$  be an arbitrary random variable with distribution function  $F$  and denote its generalized inverse by  $F^{\leftarrow}$ . Let  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(N_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of random variables with values in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . Set  $e_n^* := \max_{k \leq N_n} e_k$  and*

$$W(n) = W(n, r) := \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{e_k > r_n\}}$$

where  $r_n = f_n(F(r))$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of invertible and monotonically increasing functions.

If  $\mathbb{E}[W(n)]$  tends to  $-\ln F(r)$  and  $d_{TV}(W(n), P(n))$  tends to 0, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $P(n)$  is a Poisson random variable with mean  $\mathbb{E}[W(n)]$ , then

$$X_n := F^{\leftarrow} (f_n^{-1}(e_n^*)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

*Proof.* Since  $W(n)$  converges in distribution to a Poisson random variable with mean  $-\ln F(r)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq r) = \mathbb{P}(e_n^* \leq r_n) = \mathbb{P}(W(n) = 0) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} F(r).$$

□

2.8.2. *Conclusion.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  an enumeration of the points of the Poisson point process such that  $|x_1| \leq |x_2| \leq \dots$ . Thanks to Theorems 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, respectively, Criterion 2.10 applies with  $e_k = \max_{y \in \mathcal{P}_n: \{x_k, y\} \in E_n} |y_x|$ ,  $N_n = |\mathcal{P}_n|$  and

$$(2.6) \quad r_n := \begin{cases} (2n)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ln F(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & \text{if } \alpha > 2, \\ c_r n, & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \\ \left( (2n)^{2-\alpha} - (2-\alpha)2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}, & \text{if } 1 < \alpha < 2, \\ 2ng_r^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}}, & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ \left( (2n)^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha)2^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{-\ln F(r)} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

to get Theorem 1.1.

*Remark 2.11.* The following is a purely mathematical observation, which seems interesting for us. For fixed  $r$  and  $n$ , the thresholds  $r_n$  in (2.6), seen as a function of  $\alpha$ , are continuous on  $(0, 1]$ , but discontinuous on  $(1, \infty]$  with discontinuity points in the transition of the phases. Though the random graphs are more irregular for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , as seen in our results and as they approximate locally infinite graphs, it appears that they exhibit mathematically interesting structures.

**2.9. A specific convergence criterion.** We remark that as a by-product our proofs essentially reveal the following result on approximations of arbitrary distributions, which could be used elsewhere.

Let  $Z$  be an arbitrary random variable with distribution function  $F$ . Let  $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of random variables. Assume that there is a function  $p(x)$

with polynomial decay, i.e. there is a parameter  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $p(x) \sim x^{-\alpha}$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $M_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} Z_n$  and

$$Y_n(r) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_m > r\}}.$$

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

(c1) If  $r \in (0, 2n)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n(r)]$  is asymptotically equivalent to

$$\int_{B_n} 1 - \exp\left(-\int_{B_n \setminus B_r(x)} p(y-x) dy\right) dx.$$

(c2) There exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_{\text{TV}}(P(n), Y_n(r)) \leq C \min(1, \mathbb{E}[P(n)]^{-1}) \mathfrak{J}(n)$$

with

$$\mathfrak{J}(n) = \int_{B_n} \int_{B_n \setminus B_r(x)} p(y-x) dy \times \left(1 - \exp\left(-\int_{B_n \setminus B_r(x)} p(y-x) dy\right)\right) dx,$$

where  $P(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are Poisson distributed random variables with respective means  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n(r)]$ .

Our proof shows that considering  $Y_n(r_n)$ , where  $r_n$  is given by (2.6) and applying Criterion 2.10, under conditions (c1) and (c2), the following convergences take place.

(I) If  $\alpha > 2$  then

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{2}{1-\alpha} 2n(M_n)^{1-\alpha}\right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(II) If  $\alpha = 2$  then

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ h\left(\frac{M_n}{n}\right)^{-2} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z,$$

where  $h(x) = \frac{x}{2} \exp\left(\frac{2-x}{x}\right)$  for  $x \in (0, 2]$ .

(III) If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  then

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp\left(- (2n)^{\alpha-2} (2-\alpha)^{-2} \left((M_n)^{2-\alpha} - (2n)^{2-\alpha}\right)^2\right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(IV) If  $\alpha = 1$  then

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left( \exp\left(-2n \left(\ln \frac{M_n}{2n}\right)^2\right) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

(V) If  $\alpha < 1$  then

$$F^{\leftarrow} \left[ \exp \left( - (2n)^\alpha (1 - \alpha)^{-2} \left( (M_n)^{1-\alpha} - (2n)^{1-\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z.$$

In particular, if  $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of random variables the corresponding assertions (I)-(V) with  $M_n$  replaced by  $Z_n$  are true, yielding a limit theorem for this sequence of variables.

**Acknowledgement.** The IMB receives support from the EIPHI Graduate School (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amin. The smart-grid solution. *Nature*, 499(7457):145–147, 2013.
- [2] I. Benjamini and N. Berger. The diameter of long-range percolation clusters on finite cycles. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 19(2):102–111, 2001.
- [3] M. Biskup and J. Lin. Sharp asymptotic for the chemical distance in long-range percolation. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 55(3):560–583, 2019.
- [4] D. Coppersmith, D. Gamarnik, and M. Sviridenko. The diameter of a long-range percolation graph. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 21(2):1–13, 2002.
- [5] L. Devroye. *Nonuniform random variate generation*. Springer, New York, NY, 1986.
- [6] L. Devroye and N. Fraiman. The random connection model on the torus. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 23(5):796–804, 2014.
- [7] J. F. Donges, Y. Zou, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths. Complex networks in climate dynamics. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 174(1):157–179, 2009.
- [8] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch. *Modelling extremal events: for insurance and finance*, volume 33. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] S. Eubank, H. Guclu, A. Kumar, M. V. Marathe, A. Srinivasan, Z. Toroczkai, and N. Wang. Modelling disease outbreaks in realistic urban social networks. *Nature*, 429(6988):180–184, 2004.
- [10] E. N. Gilbert. Random plane networks. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 9(4):533–543, 1961.
- [11] P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar. The capacity of wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 46(2):388–404, 2000.
- [12] G. Last, F. Nestmann, and M. Schulte. The random connection model and functions of edge-marked Poisson processes: second order properties and normal approximation. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 31(1):128–168, 2021.
- [13] R. Meester and R. Roy. *Continuum percolation*, volume 119. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [14] M. D. Penrose. On a continuum percolation model. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 23:536–556, 1991.
- [15] M. D. Penrose. The longest edge of the random minimal spanning tree. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, pages 340–361, 1997.
- [16] M. D. Penrose. Connectivity of soft random geometric graphs. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 26(2):986–1028, 2016.
- [17] M. D. Penrose. Inhomogeneous random graphs, isolated vertices, and Poisson approximation. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 55(1):112–136, 2018.

- [18] M. D. Penrose. Giant component of the soft random geometric graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.10219*, 2022.
- [19] A. Rousselle and E. Sönmez. The longest edge in discrete and continuous long-range percolation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.11472*, 2021.
- [20] L. S. Schulman. Long range percolation in one dimension. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 16(17):L639, 1983.
- [21] E. Sönmez. Graph distances of continuum long-range percolation. *Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 35(3):609–624, 2021.
- [22] D. Tse and P. Viswanath. *Fundamentals of wireless communication*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [23] J. von Brecht, T. Kolokolnikov, A. L. Bertozzi, and H. Sun. Swarming on random graphs. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 151(1):150–173, 2013.
- [24] M. Wilsher, C. P. Dettmann, and A. Ganesh. Connectivity in one-dimensional soft random geometric graphs. *Physical Review E*, 102(6):062312, 2020.
- [25] M. Wilsher, C. P. Dettmann, and A. Ganesh. The distribution of the number of isolated nodes in the 1-dimensional soft random geometric graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08044*, 2022.

ARNAUD ROUSSELLE, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BOURGOGNE, UMR 5584 CNRS,  
 UNIVERSITÉ BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, F-2100 DIJON, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `arnaud.rouselle@u-bourgogne.fr`

ERCAN SÖNMEZ, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF KLAGENFURT, UNIVER-  
 SITÄTSSTRASSE 65–67, 9020 KLAGENFURT, AUSTRIA  
*Email address:* `ercan.soenmez@aau.at`