

OPTIMAL CONTROL OF TWO DIMENSIONAL THIRD GRADE FLUIDS

YASSINE TAHRAOUI AND FERNANDA CIPRIANO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is to study the optimal control problems of flows governed by the incompressible third grade fluid equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions. After recalling a result on the well-posedness of the state equations, we study the existence and the uniqueness of solution to the linearized state and adjoint equations. Furthermore, we present a stability result for the state, and show that the solution of the linearized equation coincides with the Gâteaux derivative of the control-to-state mapping. Next, we prove the existence of an optimal solution and establish the first order optimality conditions. Finally, an uniqueness result of the coupled system constituted by the state equation, the adjoint equation and the first order optimality condition is established, under sufficiently large intensity of the cost.

Keywords: Third grade fluids, Navier-slip boundary conditions, optimal control, Necessary optimality condition.

MSC: 35Q35, 49K20, 76A05, 76D55

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Content of the study	2
3. Formulation of the control problem and main results	3
4. Linearized state equation	5
5. A Stability result	11
6. Gâteaux differentiability of the control-to-state mapping	13
7. Adjoint equation	15
8. Existence of optimal control and optimality condition	17
9. Uniqueness of the optimal solution	19
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we are concerned with the optimal control of the velocity field y of an incompressible third grade fluid filling a two-dimensional bounded domain with a smooth boundary. More precisely, the evolution equation is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(v(y)) - \nu\Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)v(y) + \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla y^j - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\operatorname{div}(A^2) - \beta\operatorname{div}(|A|^2 A) \\ = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + U, \quad v(y) := y - \alpha_1 \Delta y, A := A(y) = \nabla y + \nabla y^T \end{cases}$$

and the control acts through the external force U . The constant ν represents the fluid viscosity, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta$ are the material moduli, and \mathbf{P} denotes the pressure. The equation will be supplemented with a homogeneous Navier-slip boundary condition which allows the slippage of the fluid against the boundary wall (see Section 2 for more details).

Recently, special attention has been devoted to the study of non-Newtonian viscoelastic fluids of differential type, which include natural biological fluids, geological flows and others, and arise in polymer processing, coating, colloidal suspensions and emulsions, ink-jet prints, etc. (see e.g [18, 19, 24]). It is worth to mention that several simulations studies have been performed by using the third grade fluid models, in order to understand and explain the characteristics of several nanofluids (see [20, 23, 25] and

Date: July 1, 2022.

This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 and UIDP/00297/2020 (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

references therein). We recall that nanofluids are engineered colloidal suspensions of nanoparticles (typically made of metals, oxides, carbides, or carbon nanotubes) in a base fluid as water, ethylene glycol and oil, which exhibit enhanced thermal conductivity compared to the base fluid, which turns out to be of great potential to be used in technology, including heat transfer, microelectronics, fuel cells, pharmaceutical processes, hybrid-powered engines, engine cooling/vehicle thermal management, etc. Therefore the mathematical analysis of third grade fluids equations should be relevant to predict and control the behavior of these fluids, in order to design optimal flows that can be successfully used and applied in the industry.

Besides the most studies on fluid dynamic equations consider the Dirichlet boundary condition, which assumes that the particles adjacent to the boundary surface have the same velocity as the boundary, there are physical reasons to consider slip boundary conditions. Namely, practical studies (see e.g [24, 28]) show that viscoelastic fluids slip against the boundary, and on the other hand, mathematical studies turn out that the Navier boundary conditions are compatible with the vanishing viscosity transition (see [8, 9, 16, 22]). Let us mention that the third grade fluid equation with the Dirichlet boundary conditions was studied in [2, 27], where the authors proved the existence and the uniqueness of local solutions for initial conditions in H^3 or global in time solution for small initial data when compared with the viscosity (see also [4]). Later on [5, 6], the authors considered the equation with a homogeneous Navier-slip boundary conditions and established the well-posedness of a global solution for initial conditions in H^2 , without any restriction on the size of the data. Recently, the authors in [1, 14] extended the later deterministic result to the stochastic models. It is worth recalling that the question of uniqueness in 3D is always an open problem.

Fluids of grade n constitute an hierarchy of fluids with increasing complexity, as n augments the nonlinear terms becomes more complex, requiring more involved analysis. Control problems for grade 1 fluids, which are described by the Navier-Stokes equations have been extensively studied in the literature. Without exhaustiveness, let us refer to [17, 21] and the references therein. Recently, the authors in [7] studied an optimal control problem for a two dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with measure valued controls. In [10], the authors considered a boundary optimal control for two dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, where the control acts on the boundary through an injection-suction device. The control problem for the deterministic second grade fluids has been addressed for the first time in [3], where the authors proved the existence of an optimal control and deduced the first order optimality conditions. By assuming enough intensity of the cost, the authors in [13] established an uniqueness result for the complete first order optimality system. The control problem for stochastic second grade fluid models have been studied in [11, 15]. This work corresponds to the next step to control complex fluids. As far as we know, the optimal control problem for third grade fluids is being addressed here for the first time.

The article is organized as follows: in Section 2, we state the third grade fluid model and define the appropriate functional spaces. Section 3 collects some estimates for the state already available in the literature and that are convenient for our analysis. Next, we formulate the control problem and establish the main results of the article. Section 4 is devoted to show the existence and the uniqueness of the solution to the linearized state equation. In Section 5, we prove a stability result for the state equation, which will be a key ingredient in Section 6 to study the differentiability of the control-to-state mapping. In Section 7, we write the adjoint equations and prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution. Finally, in Section 8 we establish a duality relation between the solution of the linearized equation and the adjoint state. Next, we prove the existence of the solution to the control problem, and we deduce the first order optimality condition. Section 9, which deals with the quadratic Lagrangian, is devoted to the proof of the uniqueness of the solution to the coupled system for a large cost intensity.

2. CONTENT OF THE STUDY

The goal of this work is to study the optimal control of a non-Newtonian third grade fluid, where the control is introduced via the external forces. The fluid fills a bounded and simply connected domain

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with regular boundary ∂D , and it is governed by the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(v(y)) - \nu \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)v + \sum_j v^j \nabla y^j - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}(A^2) - \beta \operatorname{div}(|A|^2 A) \\ \quad = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + U, \quad \operatorname{div}(y) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ y \cdot \eta = 0, \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(y)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } D, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where y denotes the fluid velocity field, $v := v(y) := y - \alpha_1 \Delta y$ and $A = A(y) = \nabla y + \nabla y^T = 2\mathbb{D}(y)$. \mathbf{P} denotes the pressure and U denotes the external force. The pair (η, τ) stands for the external normal vector and the unitary tangent vector to the boundary ∂D with positive orientation. The constants α_1, α_2 and β are the material moduli and satisfy the conditions:

$$\nu \geq 0, \quad \alpha_1 \geq 0, \quad |\alpha_1 + \alpha_2| \leq \sqrt{24\nu\beta}, \quad \beta > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

It is worth mentioning that (2.2) allows the motion of the fluid to be compatible with thermodynamic laws. More precisely, physical considerations related to the thermodynamics, fluid stability and the fact that free energy is minimal in equilibrium require (2.2) for fluids of grade 3 (see [18, 19]). It is worth noting that, generally, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\beta = 0$ corresponds to the fluids of grade 2.

2.1. Functional spaces and notations. Let us introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \{y \in L^2(D) \mid \operatorname{div}(y) = 0 \text{ in } D \text{ and } y \cdot \eta = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\}, \\ V &= \{y \in H^1(D) \mid \operatorname{div}(y) = 0 \text{ in } D \text{ and } y \cdot \eta = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\}, \\ W &= \{y \in V \cap H^2(D) \mid (\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(y)) \cdot \tau = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\}, \\ \widetilde{W} &= H^3(D) \cap W. \end{aligned}$$

The space H is endowed with the L^2 -inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and the associated norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. On the functional spaces V, W and \widetilde{W} , we will consider the following inner products

$$\begin{aligned} (u, z)_V &:= (v(u), z) = (u, z) + 2\alpha_1(\mathbb{D}u, \mathbb{D}z), \\ (u, z)_W &:= (u, z)_V + (\mathbb{P}v(u), \mathbb{P}v(z)), \\ (u, z)_{\widetilde{W}} &:= (u, z)_V + (\operatorname{curl}v(u), \operatorname{curl}v(z)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and denote by $\|\cdot\|_V, \|\cdot\|_W$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{W}}$ the corresponding norms. Now, let us introduce the scalar product between two matrices $A \cdot B = \operatorname{tr}(AB^T)$ and denote $|A|^2 := A \cdot A$. The divergence of a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}$ is given by $(\operatorname{div}(A))_i = \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j a_{ij}$.

Throughout the article, we will denote by $C, C_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, generic constants, which may varies from line to line.

3. FORMULATION OF THE CONTROL PROBLEM AND MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present some results known in the literature that will be convenient for further analysis. Next, we formulate the control problem and establish the main results.

3.1. The state equation. Let us recall some results about the solution of (2.1) based on [6].

Theorem 3.1. [6, Thm. 1] *Let $y_0 \in W$ and $U \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty[; L^2(D))$, then there exists a global unique solution y to (2.1). Moreover, if $y_0 \in W \cap H^3(D)$ and $U \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty[; H^1(D))$ the solution y belongs to $L^\infty_{loc}([0, \infty[; W \cap H^3(D))$.*

Following [6, Section 2], for $t \geq 0$ we have the following results.

Lemma 3.2. (H^1 estimates) *There exist $C, C_1, C_2, K_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\|_{H^1} &\leq e^{Ct} (\|y_0\|_{H^1} + \|U\|_{L^2(D \times (0, t))}) := M_0(t); \\ \|A(y)\|_{L^4(D \times (0, t))} &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{M_0(t)}; \\ \|y\|_{L^4((0, t); W^{1,4}(D))} &\leq K_0 e^{\frac{Ct}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + C_1 t^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{Ct}{2}}\right] (1 + \|y_0\|_{H^1} + \|U\|_{L^2(D \times (0, t))}) \\ &:= M_1(t) \\ \|y\|_{L^1(0, t; L^\infty(D))} &\leq C_2 t^{\frac{3}{4}} M_1(t) := M_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. (H^2 estimates) *There exists $C_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\|_{H^2}^2 + \min(\beta, \frac{\alpha_1\beta}{4}) \int_0^t \| |A(y)|^2 \|_{H^1}^2 ds + \frac{\alpha_1\beta}{2} \int_0^t \int_D |A(y)|^2 |\nabla A|^2 dx ds \\ \leq e^{C_3(t+M_2(t)+tM_0^2(t))} \left(\|y_0\|_{H^2} + 2 \int_0^t \|U(s)\|_2^2 ds + C_3 M_1^4(t) \right) := M_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. (H^3 estimates) *Let $t \geq 0$, then there exists $\epsilon_0(t) > 0$ such that*

$$\|y(t)\|_{H^3}^2 \leq 4 \frac{1}{\epsilon_0(t)} (1 + \|y_0\|_{H^3}^2) := M_4^2(t). \quad (3.1)$$

We recall the Helmholtz projector $\mathbb{P} : L^2(D) \rightarrow H$, which is a linear bounded operator characterized by the following L^2 -orthogonal decomposition

$$v = \mathbb{P}v + \nabla\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(D).$$

Now we introduce the following modified Stokes problem (see [12, 26] for the properties of the solution)

$$\begin{cases} h - \alpha_1 \Delta h + \nabla \pi = f, & \operatorname{div}(h) = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ h \cdot \eta = 0, & [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(h)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and denotes its solution h by $h = (I - \alpha_1 \mathbb{P} \Delta)^{-1} f$. We also consider the trilinear form

$$b(\phi, z, y) = (\phi \cdot \nabla z, y) = \int_D (\phi \cdot \nabla z) \cdot y dx, \quad \forall \phi, z, y \in H^1(D),$$

which verifies $b(y, z, \phi) = -b(y, \phi, z)$, $\forall y \in V; \forall z, \phi \in H^1(D)$.

Let $T > 0$, we assume that the initial data y_0 and the force U satisfy

$$y_0 \in \widetilde{W}, \quad U \in L^2((0, T), H^1(D)). \quad (\text{H-1})$$

3.2. Control problem. Our main goal is to control the solution of the equation (2.1) by a distributed force U . The control variables U belong to the set \mathcal{U}_{ad} of admissible controls, which is defined as a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $L^2(0, T; H^1(D))$.

We consider the cost functional

$$J(U, y) = \int_0^T L(t, U, y) dt \quad (3.3)$$

associated with a Lagrangian $L : [0, T] \times V \times \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following assumptions:

$H_{2,1}$: $L(t, u, y)$ is Gâteaux differentiable on y for any fixed $u \in V, t \in [0, T]$;

$H_{2,2}$: there exists a positive constant C , such that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|\nabla_u L(t, u, y)\|_2 + \|\nabla_y L(t, u, y)\|_2 \leq C(1 + \|u\|_V + \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}), \quad \forall (u, y) \in V \times \widetilde{W};$$

$H_{2,3}$: L is L^1 -integrable on the time variable

$$L(\cdot, u, y) \in L^1(0, T), \quad \forall u \in V, y \in \widetilde{W};$$

$H_{2,4}$: J is lower semicontinuous with respect to a weak topology (see Section 8).

Our aim is to solve the following problem

$$\min_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \{J(U, y) : y \text{ is the solution of (2.1) with force } U\}. \quad (3.4)$$

A classical example of the cost functional (3.3) is given by

$$J(U, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|y - y_d\|_2^2 dt + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt, \quad (3.5)$$

where $y_d \in L^2(D \times (0, T))$ corresponds to a desired target field and $\lambda \geq 0$. In this particular case, the control problem reads

$$\min_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|y - y_d\|_2^2 dt + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt : y \text{ is the solution of (2.1) with force } U \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.1. Under (H-1), the solution y of (2.1), belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; H^3(D))$.

3.3. Main results. Our first main result shows the existence of a solution to the control problem, and establishes the first order optimality conditions.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume (H-1) and $H_{2,1} - H_{2,4}$. Then the control problem (3.4) admits, at least, one optimal solution*

$$(\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \times (L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^3(D))) \cap H^1(0, T; V),$$

where \tilde{y} is the unique solution of (2.1) with $U = \tilde{U}$. Moreover, there exists a unique solution \tilde{p} of (7.1) with $f = \nabla_y L(t, \tilde{U}, \tilde{y})$, such that if \tilde{z} is the solution of (4.2) for $y = \tilde{y}$ and $\psi = \psi - \tilde{U}$, the following duality property

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t), \tilde{p}(t)) dt = \int_0^T (\nabla_y L(t, \tilde{U}, \tilde{y}), \tilde{z}(t)) dt,$$

and the following optimality condition hold

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t), \tilde{p}(t) + \nabla_u L(t, \tilde{U}, \tilde{y})) dt \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

An additional step in the study of the control problem relies on the analysis of the solutions of the coupled system constituted by the state equation (2.1), the adjoint equation (7.1) and the optimality relation (3.7). Our next result goes in this direction and establishes an uniqueness result for the solutions of the coupled system for (3.6).

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that $\lambda > 2\tilde{C}\tilde{\lambda}(\Gamma + 4\kappa(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 12\kappa\beta\gamma)$, where $\gamma = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} M_4(t)$ (see (3.1)) and $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{C}, \kappa$ are given by (9.1), (5.3), (9.8), respectively. Then the optimal control problem (3.6) has a unique global solution.*

The proof of Theorem 3.5 will be splitted into several steps. First, we prove the solvability of the linearized state equation. Then, we establish a stability result for the state, which is a key ingredient to show that the solution of the linearized state equation corresponds to the Gâteaux derivative of the control-to-state mapping. Next, we will write and study the well-posedness of the adjoint equation. Finally, we prove the existence of an optimal pair and deduce the first order optimality condition. The proof of Theorem 3.6 is presented in Section 9.

4. LINEARIZED STATE EQUATION

This section is devoted to the study of the linearized state equation. The existence of the solution is based on the Faedo-Galerkin's approximation method, which relies on a special basis designed according to the structure of the equation, in order to derive the uniform estimates in H^1 and in H^2 .

Let us consider $\psi : D \times]0, T[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\psi \in L^2(D \times]0, T[). \quad (4.1)$$

Our goal is to prove an existence and uniqueness result for the following problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t(v(z)) - \nu \Delta z + (y \cdot \nabla)v(z) + (z \cdot \nabla)v(y) + \sum_j v(z)^j \nabla y^j \\ \quad + \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z^j - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z) + A(z)A(y)] \\ \quad - \beta \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z)] - 2\beta \operatorname{div}[(A(z) \cdot A(y))A(y)] = \psi - \nabla \pi \quad \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}(z) = 0 \quad \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ z \cdot \eta = 0 \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(z)] \cdot \tau = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ z(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{in } D. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.2)$$

Definition 4.1. A function $z \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ with $\partial_t z \in L^2(0, T; V)$ is a solution of (4.2) if $z(0) = 0$ and for any $t \in [0, T]$, the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t v(z(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}z, \mathbb{D}\phi) + b(y, v(z), \phi) + b(z, v(y), \phi) + b(\phi, y, v(z)) + b(\phi, z, v(y)) \\ & + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(z) + A(z)A(y), \nabla\phi) + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(z), \nabla\phi) \\ & + 2\beta((A(z) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla\phi) = (\psi, \phi), \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. For $u \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ and $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; V)$, $(\partial_t v(u), \phi)$ will be understood in the following sense

$$(\partial_t v(u), \phi) = (\partial_t u, \phi)_V = (\partial_t u, \phi) + 2\alpha_1(\partial_t \mathbb{D}u, \mathbb{D}\phi).$$

4.1. Approximation. Following the same strategy as in [12], the solution of (4.2) can be obtained as a limit of the finite dimensional Faedo-Galerkin's approximations. In this way, let us consider an orthonormal basis $\{h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^4(D) \cap W$ in V , which satisfies

$$(v, h_i)_W = \mu_i(v, h_i)_V, \quad \forall v \in W, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$ of the corresponding eigenvalues fulfils the properties:

$\mu_i > 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mu_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence of (4.3), the sequence $\{\tilde{h}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_i}} h_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis in W . Let us introduce the Galerkin approximations of (4.2).

Consider $W_n = \text{span}\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ and define $z_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) h_i$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. The approximated problem for (4.2) reads $z_n(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t v(z_n), \phi) = \left(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla) v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla) v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j \right. \\ \quad \left. - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \text{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \right. \\ \quad \left. + \beta \text{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] + 2\beta \text{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], \phi \right), \quad \text{for any } \phi \in W_n. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that (4.4) defines a system of linear ordinary differential equations, which has a unique solution

$$z_n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], W_n). \quad (4.5)$$

4.2. Uniform estimates.

4.2.1. *Estimate in the space V for z_n .* Setting $\phi = h_i$ in (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t v(z_n), h_i) &= \left(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla) v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla) v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \text{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta \text{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] + 2\beta \text{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], h_i \right) := (f(z_n), h_i). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Multiplying the equality by $c_i(t)$ and summing from $i = 1$ to n , we deduce

$$2(\partial_t v(z_n), z_n) = 2(f(z_n), z_n). \quad (4.7)$$

Integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$2(\partial_t v(z_n), z_n) = 2(\partial_t(z_n), z_n) + 4\alpha_1(\partial_t \mathbb{D}z_n, \mathbb{D}z_n) = \frac{d}{dt} (\|z_n\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}z_n\|_2^2).$$

Let us estimate the term $(f(z_n), z_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= 2 \left(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla) v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla) v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \text{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] + \beta \text{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\beta \text{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], z_n \right) = I_1^0 + I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3 + I_1^4. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the free divergence property, we have

$$I_1^0 = 2(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n, z_n) = 2(\psi, z_n) - 4\nu \|\mathbb{D}z_n\|_2^2.$$

Notice that

$$I_1^1 = -2(b(y, v(z_n), z_n) + b(z_n, y, v(z_n)) + b(z_n, v(y), z_n) + b(z_n, z_n, v(y))).$$

Using [12, Lem. 3.5.] we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^1 &= 2(b(y, z_n, v(z_n)) - b(z_n, y, v(z_n))) = -2(\text{curl}(v(z_n)) \times y, z_n), \\ |I_1^1| &= |2(\text{curl}(v(z_n)) \times y, z_n)| \leq C_1 \|y\|_{\tilde{W}} \|z_n\|_{\tilde{V}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Stokes theorem allows to infer that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 &= 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \text{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)], z_n \\ &= -2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) [\int_D [A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \cdot \nabla z_n dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial D} (A(y)A(z_n)\eta + A(z_n)A(y)\eta) \cdot z_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Since y and z_n satisfy the Navier boundary conditions (see (4.2)₃), we have

$$\int_{\partial D} (A(y)A(z_n)\eta + A(z_n)A(y)\eta) \cdot z_n = 0.$$

Hence, Hölder inequality ensures

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1^2| &= \left| 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_D [A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \cdot \nabla z_n dx \right| \\ &\leq C_2 \|z_n\|_{H^1}^2 \|y\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C_2 \|z_n\|_V^2 \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Stokes theorem once more and using the symmetry of $A(z_n)$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^3 &= 2\beta (\operatorname{div}(|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)), z_n) \\ &= -2\beta \int_D |A(y)|^2 A(z_n) \cdot \nabla z_n dx + \int_{\partial D} |A(y)|^2 (A(z_n)\eta) \cdot z_n ds \\ &= -\beta \int_D |A(y)|^2 |A(z_n)|^2 dx \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Navier boundary conditions to cancel the boundary term. Concerning I_1^4 , we have

$$I_1^4 = 4\beta (\operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)], z_n) = 4\beta \int_D \operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)] \cdot z_n dx.$$

A similar reasoning yields

$$I_1^4 = -4\beta \int_D [(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)] \cdot \nabla z_n dx + 4\beta \int_{\partial D} (A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) (A(y)\eta) \cdot z_n.$$

Again, using the Navier boundary conditions, we deduce

$$|I_1^4| = |4\beta \int_D [(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)] \cdot \nabla z_n dx| \leq C_3 \|z_n\|_{H^1}^2 \|y\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 \leq C_3 \|z_n\|_V^2 \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}^2.$$

Gathering the previous estimates, there exists $C > 0$ independent of n such that

$$|I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3 + I_1^4| \leq C \|z_n\|_V^2 \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}} (1 + \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}).$$

For any $t \in]0, T]$, we integrate over the time variable to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\|z_n(t)\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}z_n(t)\|_2^2) + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}z_n\|_2^2 ds &\leq C \int_0^t \|z_n\|_V^2 \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}} (1 + \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}) ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.4, for any $t \in]0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}z_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ \leq C \sup_{r \in [0, t]} [1 + M_4^2(r)] \int_0^t \|z_n(s)\|_V^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Now, the Gronwall's inequality gives that $(z_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; V)$, i.e.

$$\sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}z_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds. \quad (4.8)$$

4.2.2. *Estimate in the space W for z_n .* Let \tilde{f}_n be the solutions of (3.2) for $f = f(z_n)$. Then

$$(\tilde{f}_n, h_i)_V = (f(z_n), h_i), \quad \text{for each } i. \quad (4.9)$$

Multiplying (4.6) by μ_i and using (4.3), we get

$$(\partial_t z_n, h_i)_W = (\tilde{f}_n, h_i)_W, \quad (4.10)$$

Multiplying these equalities by $c_i(t)$ and summing from $i = 1$ to n , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t z_n, z_n)_V + (\mathbb{P}v(\partial_t z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) &= (\partial_t z_n, z_n)_W = (\tilde{f}_n, z_n)_V + (\mathbb{P}v \tilde{f}_n, \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &= (f(z_n), z_n) + (f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.7), the last equality reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbb{P}v(z_n(t))\|_2^2 = 2(\partial_t \mathbb{P}v(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) = 2(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)).$$

Let us estimate $2(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n))$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} 2(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) &= 2(\psi - \nabla\pi_n + \nu\Delta z_n, \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) + 2\beta(\operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &\quad - 2((y \cdot \nabla)v(z_n) + (z_n \cdot \nabla)v(y), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &\quad - 2(\sum_j [v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j + v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &\quad + 4\beta(\operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) = J_1^0 + J_1^1 + J_1^2 + J_1^3 + J_1^4. \end{aligned}$$

The first term verifies

$$J_1^0 = 2(\psi - \nabla\pi_n + \nu\Delta z_n, \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \leq 2|(\psi, \mathbb{P}v(z_n))| + C\|z_n\|_W^2.$$

Taking into account that $b(y, \mathbb{P}v(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^2 &= -2(b(y, v(z_n) - \mathbb{P}v(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) + b(z_n, v(y), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &\quad + b(\mathbb{P}v(z_n), y, v(z_n)) + b(\mathbb{P}v(z_n), z_n, v(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1^2| &\leq C\|y\|_\infty\|v(z_n) - \mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_{H^1}\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2 + C\|z_n\|_\infty\|v(y)\|_{H^1}\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2 \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla y\|_\infty\|v(z_n)\|_2\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2 + C\|\nabla z_n\|_4\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2\|v(y)\|_4. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\widetilde{W} \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(D) \cap W^{2,4}(D)$, $W \hookrightarrow W^{1,4}(D) \cap L^\infty(D)$. Hence

$$|J_1^2| \leq K_1\|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}\|z_n\|_W^2,$$

where we used $\|v(z_n) - \mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_{H^1} \leq K_1\|z_n\|_{H^2}$ (see [6, Lem. 5]).

Concerning J_1^3 , we have

$$J_1^3 = 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_D \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \cdot \mathbb{P}v(z_n) dx.$$

We observe that $\operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)]$ can be expressed as a sum of the first and the second derivative of y and z_n . Therefore,

$$|\operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)]| \leq C(|\nabla y||\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n||\nabla^2 y|).$$

Hence $|J_1^3| \leq C \int_D (|\nabla y||\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n||\nabla^2 y|) \cdot |\mathbb{P}v(z_n)| dx$. The Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1^3| &\leq C\|\nabla y\|_\infty\|\nabla^2 z_n\|_2\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2 + C\|\nabla^2 y\|_4\|\nabla z_n\|_4\|\mathbb{P}v(z_n)\|_2 \\ &\leq K_2\|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}\|z_n\|_W^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^1 + J_1^4 &= 2\beta(\operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) + 4\beta(\operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) \\ &= 2\beta \int_D \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] \cdot \mathbb{P}v(z_n) dx \\ &\quad + 4\beta \int_D \operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)] \cdot \mathbb{P}v(z_n) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)]| &\leq C(|\nabla y|^2|\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n||\nabla^2 y||\nabla y|), \\ |\operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)]| &\leq C(|\nabla y|^2|\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n||\nabla^2 y||\nabla y|). \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments as in the estimation of J_1^2 give $|J_1^3 + J_1^4| \leq K_3\|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}^2\|z_n\|_W^2$. Thus there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|J_1^1 + J_1^2 + J_1^3 + J_1^4| \leq K\|y\|_{\widetilde{W}}(1 + \|y\|_{\widetilde{W}})\|z_n\|_W^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^t |(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n)) ds| &\leq C \sup_{r \in [0, t]} [1 + M_4^2(r)] \int_0^t \|z_n\|_W^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t |(\psi, \mathbb{P}v(z_n))| ds + C \int_0^t \|z_n\|_W^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$2 \int_0^t |(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n))| ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|z_n\|_W^2 ds$$

and

$$\sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|\mathbb{P}v(z_n(r))\|_2^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t |(f(z_n), \mathbb{P}v(z_n))| ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|z_n(s)\|_W^2 ds.$$

Gronwall's inequality ensures that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|\mathbb{P}v(z_n(r))\|_2^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds. \quad (4.12)$$

Gathering (4.8) and the last inequality, we write

$$\sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|\mathbb{P}v(z_n(r))\|_2^2 + \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}z_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds.$$

We conclude that there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_W^2 \leq M_1(T) \int_0^T \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.13)$$

4.2.3. *Estimate in the space V for $\partial_t z_n$.* Multiplying (4.6) by $\partial_t c_i(t)$ and summing from $i = 1$ to n , we get

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t v(z_n), \partial_t z_n) = \left(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla)v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla)v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j \right. \\ \quad \left. - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \right. \\ \quad \left. + \beta \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] + 2\beta \operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)], \partial_t z_n \right) \\ := (f(z_n), \partial_t z_n). \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$2(\partial_t v(z_n), \partial_t z_n) = 2(\partial_t z_n, \partial_t z_n) + 4\alpha_1(\partial_t \mathbb{D}z_n, \mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n) = \|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n\|_2^2.$$

Let us estimate $(f(z_n), \partial_t z_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (f(z_n), \partial_t z_n) &= \left(- (y \cdot \nabla)v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla)v(y) - \sum_j (v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j - v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j), \partial_t z_n \right) \\ &\quad + \left((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] + \beta \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)], \partial_t z_n \right) \\ &\quad + 2\beta \left(\operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y)) A(y)], \partial_t z_n \right) + (\psi + \nu \Delta z_n, \partial_t z_n) \\ &\leq (\|\psi\|_2 + \nu \|z_n\|_W) \|\partial_t z_n\|_2 + |b(y, v(z_n), \partial_t z_n)| + |b(z_n, v(y), \partial_t z_n)| \\ &\quad + |b(\partial_t z_n, z_n, v(y))| + C(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_D (|\nabla y| |\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n| |\nabla^2 y|) \cdot |\partial_t z_n| dx \\ &\quad + |b(\partial_t z_n, y, v(z_n))| + C\beta \int_D (|\nabla y|^2 |\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n| |\nabla^2 y| |\nabla y|) \cdot |\partial_t z_n| dx. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument to the one used to get (4.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |b(y, v(z_n), \partial_t z_n)| &+ |b(z_n, v(y), \partial_t z_n)| + |b(\partial_t z_n, y, v(z_n))| + |b(\partial_t z_n, z_n, v(y))| \\ &\leq C \|y(t)\|_{H^3} \|z_n(t)\|_W (\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2 + \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (|\nabla y| |\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n| |\nabla^2 y|) \cdot |\partial_t z_n| dx &\leq C \|y\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \|z_n\|_W \|\partial_t z_n\|_2 \\ &+ C \|z_n\|_{W^{1, 4}} \|y\|_{W^{2, 4}} \|\partial_t z_n\|_2 \leq C \|y(t)\|_{H^3} \|z_n(t)\|_W \|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (|\nabla y|^2 |\nabla^2 z_n| + |\nabla z_n| |\nabla^2 y| |\nabla y|) \cdot |\partial_t z_n| dx \\ \leq C \|y\|_{W^{1, \infty}}^2 \|z_n\|_W \|\partial_t z_n\|_2 + C \|y\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \|z_n\|_{W^{1, 4}} \|y\|_{W^{2, 4}} \|\partial_t z_n\|_2 \\ \leq C \|y(t)\|_{H^3}^2 \|z_n(t)\|_W \|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\psi\|_2 + \nu\|z_n\|_W)\|\partial_t z_n\|_2 + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}\|z_n(t)\|_W(\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2 + \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2) \\ & \quad + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}\|z_n(t)\|_W\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2 + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}^2\|z_n(t)\|_W\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, the Young inequality ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\psi\|_2^2 + \nu^2\|z_n\|_W^2) + 2\delta\|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}^2\|z_n(t)\|_W^2 + \delta\|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}^2\|z_n(t)\|_W^2 + \delta\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2^2 + C\|y(t)\|_{H^3}^4\|z_n(t)\|_W^2 + \delta\|\partial_t z_n(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

An appropriate choice of δ and integration with respect t give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n\|_2^2) ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds + C \int_0^T (1 + \|y(s)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y(s)\|_{H^3}^4)\|z_n(s)\|_W^2 ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds + C \sup_{r \in [0, T]} [1 + M_4^2(r) + M_4^4(r)] \int_0^T \|z_n(s)\|_W^2 ds := C_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. Before passing to the limit in the approximate problem, let us note that (4.13) guarantees the global in time existence of z_n , i.e. $z_n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], W_n)$.

Finally, we derive the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume ψ satisfies (4.1). Then, there exists a unique solution $z_n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], W_n)$ to (4.6). Moreover, there exist positive constants $C(T), C_1(T)$, which are independent on the index n , such that the following estimates hold for each $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}z_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds, \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z_n(r)\|_W^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds, \\ & \int_0^t (\|\partial_t z_n\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z_n\|_2^2) ds \leq C_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Transition to the limit.

Proposition 4.2. *Let ψ satisfies (4.1), then there exists a unique solution z to (4.2) in the sense of Definition 4.1 and satisfying the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_V^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds \quad \text{for any } t \in]0, T]; \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|z(r)\|_W^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|_2^2 ds; \\ & \int_0^t (\|\partial_t z\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t z\|_2^2) ds \leq C_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By compactness with respect to the weak-* topology in the spaces $L^\infty(0, T; V)$, $L^\infty(0, T; W)$, there exists $z \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ such that the following convergences hold, up to sub-sequences (denoted in the same way as the sequences)

$$\begin{aligned} z_n & \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} z \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \\ z_n & \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} z \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; W), \\ \partial_t z_n & \rightharpoonup \partial_t z \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; V). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

From (4.14), we deduce that $z \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], V)$ and therefore $z_n(0) = 0$ converges to $z(0)$ in V , i.e. $z(0) = 0$. We recall that z_n solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t v(z_n), \phi) &= \left(\psi + \nu \Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla) v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla) v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] + 2\beta \operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], \phi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\phi = h_i$ and passing to the limit, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t v(z(t)), h_i) &+ 2\nu(\mathbb{D}z, \mathbb{D}h_i) + b(y, v(z), h_i) + b(z, v(y), h_i) + b(h_i, y, v(z)) \\ &+ b(h_i, z, v(y)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(z) + A(z)A(y), \nabla h_i) + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(z), \nabla h_i) \\ &+ 2\beta((A(z) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla h_i) = (\psi, h_i) \end{aligned}$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, a standard density argument gives the claimed result, namely $z \in L^2(0, T; W)$ is a solution of (4.2) in the sense of Definition 4.1. \square

5. A STABILITY RESULT

The main task of this section is to establish a stability result for the solution of the state equation. This is a crucial step to study the Gâteaux derivative of the control-to-state mapping.

Let us recall the relation (see [5, Appendix])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla(\alpha_1 |\nabla y|^2 - |y|^2) - (y \cdot \nabla) y + \operatorname{div}(N(y)) \\ = -(y \cdot \nabla) v(y) - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla y^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}(A(y)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

which allows to write the equations (2.1) in the following form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(v(y)) = -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{P}} + \nu \Delta y - (y \cdot \nabla) y + \operatorname{div}(N(y)) + \operatorname{div}(S(y)) + U & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}(y) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ y \cdot \eta = 0, \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(y)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } D, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(y) &:= \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y)), \\ N(y) &:= \alpha_1(y \cdot \nabla A(y) + (\nabla y)^T A(y) + A(y) \nabla y) + \alpha_2(A(y))^2. \end{aligned}$$

H_4 : Assume that $U_1, U_2 \in L^2(0, T; H^1(D))$; $y_0^1, y_0^2 \in \widetilde{W}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let us take U_1, U_2 and y_0^1, y_0^2 verifying H_4 , and consider the corresponding solutions of (2.1)*

$$y_1, y_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; \widetilde{W}).$$

Then, there exists a positive constant \widetilde{C} , which depends only on the data such that the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|y_1(r) - y_2(r)\|_{\widetilde{W}}^2 \leq \widetilde{C} [\|y_0^1 - y_0^2\|_{\widetilde{W}}^2 + \int_0^T \|U_1(s) - U_2(s)\|_2^2 ds]. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. Let y_1 and y_2 be two solutions of (2.1) associated with the external forces U_1 and U_2 and the initial data y_0^1 and y_0^2 , respectively.

Denoting $y = y_1 - y_2$ and $y_0 = y_0^1 - y_0^2$, we can verify that y solves the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(v(y)) = -\nabla(\bar{\mathbf{P}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{P}}_2) + \nu \Delta y - [(y \cdot \nabla) y_1 + (y_2 \cdot \nabla) y] \\ \quad + \operatorname{div}(N(y_1) - N(y_2)) + \operatorname{div}(S(y_1) - S(y_2)) + (U_1 - U_2) & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}(y) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ y \cdot \eta = 0, \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(y)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } D. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Let us test (5.4)₁ by y . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \|y(t)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \|\mathbb{D}y\|_2^2 dt \\ &= -2 \int_D [(y \cdot \nabla)y_1 + (y_2 \cdot \nabla)y] y dx + 2 \langle \operatorname{div}(N(y_1) - N(y_2)), y \rangle \\ & \quad + 2 \langle \operatorname{div}(S(y_1) - S(y_2)), y \rangle + 2 \int_D (U_1 - U_2)y dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate $I_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$. Since $V \hookrightarrow L^4(D)$, the first term verifies

$$|I_1| = 2 \left| \int_D (y \cdot \nabla)y_1 \cdot y dx \right| \leq C \|y\|_4^2 \|\nabla y_1\|_2 \leq C \|y\|_V^2 \|\nabla y_1\|_2 \leq C \|y\|_V^2 \|y_1\|_{H^3}.$$

After an integration by parts, the term I_3 , can be treated using the same arguments as in [6, Sect.3], the term on the boundary vanish and we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= 2 \langle \operatorname{div}(S(y_1) - S(y_2)), y_1 - y_2 \rangle = -2 \int_D (S(y_1) - S(y_2)) \cdot \nabla y dx \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_D (|A(y_1)|^2 - |A(y_2)|^2)^2 dx + \int_D (|A(y_1)|^2 + |A(y_2)|^2) |A(y_1 - y_2)|^2 dx \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning I_4 , one has

$$|I_4| = 2 \left| \int_D (U_1 - U_2) \cdot y dx \right| \leq \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 + \|y\|_2^2 \leq \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 + \|y\|_V^2.$$

Let us estimate the term I_2 . Integrating by parts and taking into account that the boundary terms vanish (see [6, Sect. 3]), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 2 \langle \operatorname{div}(N(y_1) - N(y_2)), y \rangle = -2 \int_D (N(y_1) - N(y_2)) \cdot \nabla y dx \\ &= -\alpha_2 \int_D (A(y_1)^2 - A(y_2)^2) \cdot A(y) dx - \alpha_1 \int_D (y_1 \cdot \nabla A(y_1) - y_2 \cdot \nabla A(y_2)) \cdot A(y) dx \\ & \quad - \alpha_1 \int_D ((\nabla y_1)^T A(y_1) + A(y_1) \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_2)^T A(y_2) - A(y_2) \nabla y_2) \cdot A(y) dx \\ &= -\alpha_2 I_2^1 - \alpha_1 I_2^2 - \alpha_1 I_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^1 &= \int_D (A(y_1)^2 - A(y_2)^2) \cdot A(y) dx = \int_D (A(y)A(y_1) + A(y_2)A(y)) \cdot A(y) dx; \\ I_2^2 &= \int_D (y_1 \cdot \nabla A(y_1) - y_2 \cdot \nabla A(y_2)) \cdot A(y) dx \\ &= \int_D (y_1 \cdot \nabla A(y_1 - y_2) + (y_1 - y_2) \cdot \nabla A(y_2)) \cdot A(y) dx = \int_D (y \cdot \nabla A(y_2)) \cdot A(y) dx; \\ I_2^3 &= \int_D ((\nabla y_1)^T A(y_1) + A(y_1) \nabla y_1 - (\nabla y_2)^T A(y_2) - A(y_2) \nabla y_2) \cdot A(y) dx \\ &= 2 \int_D (A(y_1)A(y)) \cdot \nabla y_1 - (A(y_2)A(y)) \cdot \nabla y_2 dx \\ &= 2 \int_D ((A(y))^2 \cdot \nabla y_1 + (A(y_2)A(y)) \cdot \nabla y) dx; \end{aligned}$$

the Hölder's inequality and the embedding $H^1(D) \hookrightarrow L^4(D)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2^1| &\leq \int_D |(A(y)A(y_1) + A(y_2)A(y))| \cdot |A(y)| dx \leq C (\|y_1\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|y_2\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \|\nabla y\|_2^2; \\ |I_2^2| &\leq \int_D |(y \cdot \nabla A(y_2)) \cdot A(y)| dx \leq C \|y\|_4 \|y_2\|_{W^{2,4}} \|\nabla y\|_2 \leq C \|y_2\|_{W^{2,4}} \|\nabla y\|_2^2; \\ |I_2^3| &\leq C \int_D |((A(y))^2 \cdot \nabla y_1 + (A(y_2)A(y)) \cdot \nabla y)| dx \\ &\leq C (\|y_1\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|y_2\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \|\nabla y\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the embedding $H^3(D) \hookrightarrow W^{2,4}(D) \cap W^{1,\infty}(D)$ gives $|I_2| \leq C(\|y_1\|_{H^3} + \|y_2\|_{H^3})\|y\|_V^2$. By gathering the previous estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y(t)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}y\|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq \|y_0\|_V^2 + M_0 \int_0^t (\|y_1\|_{H^3} + \|y_2\|_{H^3} + 1)\|y\|_V^2 ds + \int_0^t \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Now, multiplying (5.4)₁ by $\mathbb{P}v(y)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2^2 &= 2\langle \nu \Delta y, \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle - 2\langle [(y \cdot \nabla)y_1 + (y_2 \cdot \nabla)y], \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle + 2\langle (U_1 - U_2), \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle \\ & \quad + 2\langle \operatorname{div}(N(y_1) - N(y_2)), \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle + 2\langle \operatorname{div}(S(y_1) - S(y_2)), \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.1) and knowing that $\mathbb{P}v(y)$ is divergence free, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & - 2\langle [(y \cdot \nabla)y_1 + (y_2 \cdot \nabla)y], \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle + 2\langle \operatorname{div}(N(y_1) - N(y_2)), \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle \\ &= -2b(y, v(y_1), \mathbb{P}v(y)) - 2b(y_2, v(y) - \mathbb{P}v(y), \mathbb{P}v(y)) - 2b(\mathbb{P}v(y), y_1, v(y)) \\ & \quad - 2b(\mathbb{P}v(y), y, v(y_2)) + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \langle \operatorname{div}[A(y_1)A(y) + A(y)A(y_2)], \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle \\ & \leq C\|y\|_\infty \|v(y_1)\|_{W^{1,2}} \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2 + C\|y_2\|_\infty \|v(y) - \mathbb{P}v(y)\|_{H^1} \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2 \\ & \quad + C\|y_1\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|v(y)\|_2 \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2 + C\|y\|_{W^{1,4}} \|v(y_2)\|_4 \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2 \\ & \quad + C\|\operatorname{div}[A(y_1)A(y) + A(y)A(y_2)]\|_2 \|\mathbb{P}v(y)\|_2 \leq C(\|y_1\|_{H^3} + \|y_2\|_{H^3})\|y\|_W^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $H^3(D) \hookrightarrow W^{2,4}(D) \cap W^{1,\infty}(D)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\langle \operatorname{div}(S(y_1) - S(y_2)), \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle \\ &= 2\beta \langle \operatorname{div} \{ |A(y_1)|^2 A(y) + [A(y_1) \cdot A(y) + A(y) \cdot A(y_2)] A(y_2) \}, \mathbb{P}v(y) \rangle \\ & \leq C(\|y_1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_2\|_{H^3}^2)\|y\|_W^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used a similar arguments to the one used to get (4.11) to estimate the last two terms. Hence, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{P}v(y(t))\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbb{P}v(y_0)\|_2^2 + M \int_0^t (1 + \|y_1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_2\|_{H^3}^2)\|y\|_W^2 ds + \int_0^t \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 ds. \quad (5.6)$$

Consequently, (5.5) and (5.6) give the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{P}v(y(t))\|_2^2 + \|y(t)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}y\|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq \|y_0\|_V^2 + \|\mathbb{P}v(y_0)\|_2^2 + M \int_0^t (1 + \|y_1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_2\|_{H^3}^2)\|y\|_W^2 ds + M \int_0^t \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

As a conclusion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|y(t)\|_W^2 \\ & \leq \|y_0\|_W^2 + M \int_0^T (1 + \|y_1\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_2\|_{H^3}^2)\|y\|_W^2 ds + M \int_0^T \|U_1 - U_2\|_2^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where K is a positive constant. Finally, (5.3) is a consequence of Gronwall's inequality with

$$C = (M + 1)e^{M(1+2K)T}, \quad K = \sup_{r \in [0, T]} M_4^2(t).$$

□

6. GÂTEAUX DIFFERENTIABILITY OF THE CONTROL-TO-STATE MAPPING

This section studies the differentiability of the control-to-state mapping. More precisely, with the help of the stability property established in the previous section, we will prove that the Gâteaux derivative of the control-to-state mapping is provided by the solution of the linearized equation.

Proposition 6.1. *Let us consider U and y_0 satisfying (H-1) and $\psi \in L^2(0, T; H^1(D))$. Defining*

$$U_\rho = U + \rho\psi, \quad \rho \in (0, 1),$$

let y and y_ρ be the solutions of (2.1) associated with (U, y_0) and (U_ρ, y_0) , respectively, then the following representaiton holds

$$y_\rho = y + \rho z + \rho\delta_\rho \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 = 0, \quad (6.1)$$

where $z \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ is the solution of (4.2), satisfying the estimates of Proposition 4.2.

Proof. We recall that y verifies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(v(y)) &= -\nabla \bar{\mathbf{P}} + \nu \Delta y - (y \cdot \nabla)v - \sum_j v^j \nabla y^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}(A^2) \\ &\quad + \beta \operatorname{div}(|A|^2 A) + U. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(v(y_\rho - y)) &= -\nabla(\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\rho - \bar{\mathbf{P}}) + \nu \Delta(y_\rho - y) - ((y_\rho \cdot \nabla)v_\rho - (y \cdot \nabla)v) \\ &\quad - \sum_j (v_\rho^j \nabla y_\rho^j - v^j \nabla y^j) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}(A_\rho^2 - A^2) \\ &\quad + \beta \operatorname{div}(|A(y_\rho)|^2 A(y_\rho) - |A(y)|^2 A(y)) + \rho\psi, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where $v_\rho = v(y_\rho)$, $v = v(y)$, $A_\rho = A(y_\rho)$, $A = A(y)$.

Setting $z_\rho = \frac{y_\rho - y}{\rho}$, $\pi_\rho = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\rho - \bar{\mathbf{P}}}{\rho}$, we notice that z_ρ is the unique solution for the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(v(z_\rho)) &= \psi - \nabla \pi_\rho + \nu \Delta z_\rho - [(z_\rho \cdot \nabla)v(y_\rho) + (y \cdot \nabla)v(z_\rho)] \\ &\quad - \sum_j [v^j(z_\rho) \nabla y_\rho^j + v^j(y) \nabla z_\rho^j] + \beta \operatorname{div}(|A(y_\rho)|^2 A(z_\rho) + [A(z_\rho) \cdot A(y_\rho) \\ &\quad + A(y) \cdot A(z_\rho)] A(y)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(z_\rho) A(y_\rho) + A(y) A(z_\rho)]. \end{aligned}$$

Defining $\delta_\rho = z_\rho - z$, the following equation holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(v(\delta_\rho)) &= -\nabla(\pi_\rho - \pi) + \nu \Delta \delta_\rho - [(y \cdot \nabla)v(\delta_\rho) + (\delta_\rho \cdot \nabla)v(y_\rho)] - (z \cdot \nabla)v(y_\rho - y) \\ &\quad - \sum_j [v^j(y) \nabla \delta_\rho^j + v^j(\delta_\rho) \nabla y_\rho^j + v^j(z) \nabla (y_\rho - y)^j] \\ &\quad + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y) A(\delta_\rho) + A(\delta_\rho) A(y_\rho) + A(z) A(y_\rho - y)] \\ &\quad + \beta \operatorname{div}\{(A(y) \cdot A(\delta_\rho)) A(y) + |A(y_\rho)|^2 A(\delta_\rho)\} \\ &\quad + \beta \operatorname{div}([A(y_\rho - y) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(y) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)] A(z)) \\ &\quad + \beta \operatorname{div}([(A(\delta_\rho) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(z) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)) A(y)] =: g(\delta_\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Multiplying this equation by δ_ρ , we write $\partial_t \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 = 2(g(\delta_\rho), \delta_\rho)$. Let us estimate the right hand side.

$$\begin{aligned} 2(g(\delta_\rho), \delta_\rho) &= -4\nu \|\mathbb{D}\delta_\rho\|_2^2 - 2b(y, v(\delta_\rho), \delta_\rho) - 2b(\delta_\rho, v(y_\rho), \delta_\rho) - 2b(z, v(y_\rho - y), \delta_\rho) \\ &\quad - 2b(\delta_\rho, \delta_\rho, v(y)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, y_\rho, v(\delta_\rho)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, (y_\rho - y), v(z)) \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) (\operatorname{div}[A(y) A(\delta_\rho) + A(\delta_\rho) A(y_\rho) + A(z) A(y_\rho - y)], \delta_\rho) \\ &\quad + 2\beta (\operatorname{div}[(A(y) \cdot A(\delta_\rho)) A(y) + |A(y_\rho)|^2 A(\delta_\rho)], \delta_\rho) \\ &\quad + 2\beta (\operatorname{div}[(A(y_\rho - y) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(y) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)) A(z)], \delta_\rho) \\ &\quad + 2\beta (\operatorname{div}[(A(\delta_\rho) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(z) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)) A(y)], \delta_\rho) \\ &= -4\nu \|\mathbb{D}\delta_\rho\|_2^2 + R_1 + R_2 + R_3. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= -2b(y, v(\delta_\rho), \delta_\rho) - 2b(\delta_\rho, v(y_\rho), \delta_\rho) - 2b(z, v(y_\rho - y), \delta_\rho) \\ &\quad - 2b(\delta_\rho, \delta_\rho, v(y)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, y_\rho, v(\delta_\rho)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, (y_\rho - y), v(z)) \\ &= 2b(y, \delta_\rho, v(\delta_\rho)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, y_\rho, v(\delta_\rho)) - [2b(\delta_\rho, v(y_\rho), \delta_\rho) + 2b(z, v(y_\rho - y), \delta_\rho)] \\ &\quad - 2b(\delta_\rho, \delta_\rho, v(y)) - 2b(\delta_\rho, (y_\rho - y), v(z)) \\ &\leq C \|y\|_{H^2} \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C \|y_\rho\|_{H^3} \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C \|\delta_\rho\|_4^2 \|v(y_\rho)\|_{H^1} + C \|z\|_\infty \|\delta_\rho\|_V \|v(y_\rho - y)\|_2 \\ &\quad + C \|\delta_\rho\|_4 \|\delta_\rho\|_V \|v(y)\|_4 + C \|\delta_\rho\|_4 \|y_\rho - y\|_{W^{1,4}} \|v(z)\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where, to estimate the first two terms, we adapted the arguments used in [12, (3.22)-(3.23)], and for the other terms we applied the Hölder inequality. Consequently, we derive

$$R_1 \leq C(\|y\|_{H^3} + \|y_\rho\|_{H^3})\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|z\|_{H^2}^2\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Using the Stokes theorem and the boundary conditions for δ_ρ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &= -2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_D [A(y)A(\delta_\rho) + A(\delta_\rho)A(y_\rho) + A(z)A(y_\rho - y)] \cdot \nabla \delta_\rho dx \\ &\leq C\|y\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|z\|_{W^{1,4}}\|y_\rho - y\|_{W^{1,4}}\|\delta_\rho\|_V \\ &\leq C(\|y\|_{H^3} + \|y_\rho\|_{H^3})\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|z\|_{H^2}^2\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous arguments give

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= -2\beta \int_D \{A(y) \cdot A(\delta_\rho)A(y) + |A(y_\rho)|^2 A(\delta_\rho)\} \cdot \nabla \delta_\rho dx \\ &\quad - 2\beta \int_D \{[A(y_\rho - y) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(y) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)] A(z)\} \cdot \nabla \delta_\rho dx \\ &\quad - 2\beta \int_D [((\delta_\rho) \cdot A(y_\rho) + A(z) \cdot A(y_\rho - y)) A(y)] \cdot \nabla \delta_\rho dx \\ &\leq C(\|y\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_\rho\|_{H^3}^2)(1 + \|z\|_{H^2}^2)\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder and Young inequalities to deduce the last estimate. Summing up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 &\leq C(1 + \|y\|_{H^3}^2 + \|y_\rho\|_{H^3}^2)(1 + \|z\|_{H^2}^2)\|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{K}_0 \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 + C\|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 4.2. Finally, Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\delta_\rho(s)\|_V^2 \leq C \int_0^T \|y_\rho - y\|_{H^2}^2 ds.$$

Applying (5.3) with $y_1 = y$ and $y_2 = y_\rho$, we derive

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\delta_\rho(s)\|_V^2 \leq C\rho^2 \int_0^T \|\psi\|_2^2 ds.$$

Now, taking $\rho \rightarrow 0$ we infer (6.1), i.e.

$$y_\rho = y + \rho z + \rho \delta_\rho \text{ with } \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\delta_\rho\|_V^2 = 0.$$

□

6.1. Variation of the cost functional (3.3). As a consequence of Proposition 6.1, we get the following result on the variation for the cost functional (3.3).

Proposition 6.2. *Let us consider U, y_0, ψ and $U_\rho = U + \rho\psi$ verifying the hypothesis of the Proposition 6.1. Assume that the cost functional (3.3) fulfils the assumptions $H_{2,1} - H_{2,4}$, then*

$$J(U_\rho, y_\rho) = J(U, y) + \rho \int_0^T \{(\nabla_u L(t, U, y), \psi) + (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z)\} dt + o(\rho),$$

where y_ρ, y are the solutions of (2.1), corresponding to (U_ρ, y_0) and (U, y_0) and z is the solution of (4.2).

7. ADJOINT EQUATION

Let $f \in L^2(D \times]0, T[)$. Our aim is to prove the well posedness of the adjoint equation given by

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t(v(p)) - \nu \Delta p - \operatorname{curl} v(y) \times p + \operatorname{curl} v(y \times p) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div} [A(y)A(p) + A(p)A(y)] \\ \quad - \beta \operatorname{div} [|A(y)|^2 A(p)] - 2\beta \operatorname{div} [(A(y) \cdot A(p))A(y)] = f - \nabla \pi & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}(p) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ p \cdot \eta = 0, \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(p)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ p(T) = 0 & \text{in } D. \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Definition 7.1. A function $p \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ with $\partial_t p \in L^2(0, T; V)$ is a solution of (7.1) if $p(T) = 0$ and for any $t \in [0, T]$, the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\partial_t v(p(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}p, \mathbb{D}\phi) - b(\phi, p, v(y)) + b(p, \phi, v(y)) + b(p, y, v(\phi)) \\ & - b(y, p, v(\phi)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(p) + A(p)A(y), \nabla\phi) + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(p), \nabla\phi) \\ & + 2\beta((A(p) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla\phi) = (f, \phi), \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Let us state the following result about the solution of (7.1).

Proposition 7.1. *Let $f \in L^2(D \times]0, T[)$, then there exists a unique solution p to (7.1) in the sense of Definition 7.1 satisfying the following estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|p(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|p(s)\|_V^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_2^2 ds \quad \text{for any } t \in]0, T]; \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|p(r)\|_W^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_2^2 ds; \\ & \int_0^T (\|\partial_t p\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t p\|_2^2) ds \leq C_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

7.1. Proof of Proposition 7.1. Notice that p is the solution of (7.1) if and only if $q(t) = p(T-t)$ is the solution of the following initial value problem with $\bar{y}(t) = \bar{y}(T-t)$, $\bar{f}(t) = f(T-t)$ and $\bar{\pi}(t) = \pi(T-t)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t(v(q)) - \nu\Delta q - \text{curl } v(\bar{y}) \times q + \text{curl } v(\bar{y} \times q) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\text{div}[A(\bar{y})A(q) + A(q)A(\bar{y})] \\ \quad - \beta\text{div}[|A(\bar{y})|^2 A(q)] - 2\beta\text{div}[(A(\bar{y}) \cdot A(q))A(\bar{y})] = \bar{f} - \nabla\bar{\pi} & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ \text{div}(q) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T), \\ q \cdot \eta = 0, \quad [\eta \cdot \mathbb{D}(q)] \cdot \tau = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \\ q(0) = 0 & \text{in } D. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.2)$$

According to the Definition 7.1, q is the solution of (7.2) if $q \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$ with $\partial_t q \in L^2(0, T; V)$, $q(0) = 0$ and, in addition, the following equality holds, for all $\phi \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t v(q(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}q, \mathbb{D}\phi) - b(\phi, q, v(\bar{y})) + b(q, \phi, v(\bar{y})) + b(q, \bar{y}, v(\phi)) - b(\bar{y}, q, v(\phi)) \\ & + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(\bar{y})A(q) + A(q)A(\bar{y}), \nabla\phi) + \beta(|A(\bar{y})|^2 A(q), \nabla\phi) \\ & + 2\beta((A(q) \cdot A(\bar{y}))A(\bar{y}), \nabla\phi) = (\bar{f}, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

To study the equation (7.2), we will follow closely the analysis used in Section 4 to study the linearized equation. For that, consider $W_n = \text{span}\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ and define the corresponding approximations $q_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(t)h_i$ for each $t \in [0, T]$. The approximated problem for (7.2) can be written as $q_n(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t v(q_n(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}q_n, \mathbb{D}\phi) - b(\phi, q_n, v(\bar{y})) + b(q_n, \phi, v(\bar{y})) + b(q_n, \bar{y}, v(\phi)) \\ & - b(\bar{y}, q_n, v(\phi)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(\bar{y})A(q_n) + A(q_n)A(\bar{y}), \nabla\phi) + \beta(|A(\bar{y})|^2 A(q_n), \nabla\phi) \\ & + 2\beta((A(q_n) \cdot A(\bar{y}))A(\bar{y}), \nabla\phi) = (\bar{f}, \phi), \quad \text{for any } \phi \in W_n. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Now, we remark that the structure of (7.3) and (4.6) are similar. Therefore, by adapting the arguments used to derive Lemma 4.1, we are able to deduce the following result for (7.3).

Lemma 7.2. *Let $f \in L^2(D \times]0, T[)$. Then there exists a unique solution $q_n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], W_n)$ to (7.3). Moreover, there exist positive constants $C(T), C_1(T)$, which are independent on the index n , such that the following estimates hold for each $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|q_n(r)\|_V^2 + 4\nu \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}q_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_2^2 ds; \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \|q_n(r)\|_W^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_2^2 ds; \\ & \int_0^T (\|\partial_t q_n\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|\mathbb{D}\partial_t q_n\|_2^2) ds \leq C_1(T). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Proposition 7.1 follows by passing to the limit in (7.3).

8. EXISTENCE OF OPTIMAL CONTROL AND OPTIMALITY CONDITION

This section starts with the presentation of a duality relation between the solution of the linearized equation and the solution of the adjoint equation and next shows that the control problem has a solution. Taking into account the duality relation, it will be proved that the solution of the control problem satisfies the first order optimality condition.

8.1. Duality property.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $y \in L^\infty(0, T; \widetilde{W})$ and $f, \psi \in L^2(D \times]0, T[)$. Then we have*

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t), p(t)) dt = \int_0^T (f(t), z(t)) dt,$$

where p is the solution of (7.1) and z is the solution of (4.2).

Proof. For any $t \in [0, T]$, let $p_n(t) = q_n(T-t)$, where q_n is the solution of (7.3). Then $p_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{d}_i(t) h_i$ verifies $p_n(T) = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} (-\partial_t v(p_n(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}p_n, \mathbb{D}\phi) - b(\phi, p_n, v(y)) + b(p_n, \phi, v(y)) + b(p_n, y, v(\phi)) \\ -b(y, p_n, v(\phi)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(p_n) + A(p_n)A(y), \nabla\phi) \\ + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(p_n), \nabla\phi) + 2\beta((A(p_n) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla\phi) = (f, \phi), \text{ for any } \phi \in W_n. \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

On the other hand, let us recall that the solution of the linearized equation z_n given by $z_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) h_i$ satisfies $z_n(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t v(z_n), \phi) = (\psi + \nu\Delta z_n - (y \cdot \nabla)v(z_n) - (z_n \cdot \nabla)v(y) - \sum_j v(z_n)^j \nabla y^j \\ - \sum_j v(y)^j \nabla z_n^j + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div}[A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y)] \\ + \beta \operatorname{div}[|A(y)|^2 A(z_n)] + 2\beta \operatorname{div}[(A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y)], \phi), \text{ for any } \phi \in W_n. \end{cases}$$

This means that $z_n(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t v(z_n(t)), \phi) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}z_n, \mathbb{D}\phi) + b(y, v(z_n), \phi) + b(z_n, v(y), \phi) + b(\phi, y, v(z_n)) \\ & + b(\phi, z_n, v(y)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y), \nabla\phi) \\ & + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(z_n), \nabla\phi) + 2\beta((A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla\phi) = (\psi, \phi), \text{ for all } \phi \in W_n. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

Setting $\phi = h_i$ in (8.1), multiplying (8.1) by $c_i(t)$ and summing from $i = 1$ to n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\partial_t v(p_n(t)), z_n) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}p_n, \mathbb{D}z_n) - b(z_n, p_n, v(y)) + b(p_n, z_n, v(y)) \\ & + b(p_n, y, v(z_n)) - b(y, p_n, v(z_n)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(p_n) + A(p_n)A(y), \nabla z_n) \\ & + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(p_n), \nabla z_n) + 2\beta((A(p_n) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla z_n) = (f, z_n). \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

Similarly, taking $\phi = h_i$ in (8.2), multiplying (8.2) by $\bar{d}_i(t)$ and summing from $i = 1$ to n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t v(z_n(t)), p_n) + 2\nu(\mathbb{D}z_n, \mathbb{D}p_n) + b(y, v(z_n), p_n) + b(z_n, v(y), p_n) \\ & + b(p_n, y, v(z_n)) + b(p_n, z_n, v(y)) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y)A(z_n) + A(z_n)A(y), \nabla p_n) \\ & + \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(z_n), \nabla p_n) + 2\beta((A(z_n) \cdot A(y))A(y), \nabla p_n) = (\psi, p_n). \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

A standard integration by part ensures that

$$(-\partial_t v(p_n(t)), z_n) = (\partial_t v(z_n(t)), p_n) - \frac{d}{dt}((p_n(t), z_n(t)) + 2\alpha_1(\mathbb{D}p_n(t), \mathbb{D}z_n(t))).$$

Integrating with respect to the time variable on the interval $[0, T]$ and using that $z_n(0) = 0$, $p_n(T) = 0$, we derive

$$\int_0^T (-\partial_t v(p_n(t)), z_n) dt = \int_0^T (\partial_t v(z_n(t)), p_n) dt.$$

Now, combining (8.3) and (8.4), and using the fact that $(A, B) = (A^T, B^T)$, for any $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t), p_n(t)) dt = \int_0^T (f(t), z_n(t)) dt.$$

Therefore, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the result of the Proposition 8.1 holds. \square

Considering $f(t) = \nabla_y L(t, U, y) \in L^2(]0, T[\times D)$ in Proposition 8.1, we obtain

Corollary 8.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 8.1, the following duality relation holds*

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t), p(t)) dt = \int_0^T (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z(t)) dt.$$

8.2. Existence of an optimal control for (3.4). Let $(U_n, y_n)_n$ be a minimizing sequence, notice that $(U_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded in the closed convex set $\mathcal{U}_{ad} \subset L^2(0, T; H^1(D))$. On the other hand, denoting by y_n the solution of (2.1), where U is replaced by U_n , Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 ensures that $(y_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^3(D)) \cap H^1(0, T; V)$.

By compactness with respect to the weak and weak-* topologies in the spaces involved in the following product space

$$L^2(0, T; H^1(D)) \times (L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^3(D)) \cap H^1(0, T; V)),$$

there exists $(U, y) \in L^2(0, T; H^1(D)) \times (L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^3(D)) \cap H^1(0, T; V))$ such that the following convergences hold, up to sub-sequences (denoted by the sequences)

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &\rightharpoonup \tilde{U} && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1(D)), \\ y_n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \tilde{y} && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \\ y_n &\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \tilde{y} && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; W), \\ \partial_t y_n &\rightharpoonup \partial_t \tilde{y} && \text{in } L^2(0, T; V). \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

From (8.5), we deduce that $\tilde{y} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], V)$ and therefore $y_n(0) = y(0)$ converges to $\tilde{y}(0)$ in V , which gives $\tilde{y}(0) = y_0$. Now, standard arguments ensure that (\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) solves (2.1). From the lower semicontinuity of J with respect to the weak topology, we get

$$J(\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) \leq \liminf_n J(U_n, y_n),$$

which gives that (\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) is an optimal pair.

8.3. A necessary optimality condition for (3.4). Let (\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) be the optimal control pair. Consider $\psi \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ and define $U_\rho = \tilde{U} + \rho(\psi - \tilde{U})$. Thanks to Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, we have

$$\frac{J(U_\rho, y_\rho) - J(U, y)}{\rho} = \int_0^T \{(\nabla_u L(t, U, y), \psi - \tilde{U}) + (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z)\} dt + \frac{o(\rho)}{\rho}.$$

Then, the Gateaux derivative of the cost functional J is given by

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(U_\rho, y_\rho) - J(U, y)}{\rho} = \int_0^T \{(\nabla_u L(t, U, y), \psi - \tilde{U}) + (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z)\} dt \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have $\int_0^T \{(\nabla_u L(t, U, y), \psi - \tilde{U}) + (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z)\} dt \geq 0$, where z is the unique solution to the linearized problem (4.2) with ψ replaced by $\psi - \tilde{U}$.

Let \tilde{p} be the unique solution of (7.1). The application of Proposition 8.1 yields

$$\int_0^T (\psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t), \tilde{p}(t)) dt = \int_0^T (\nabla_y L(t, U, y), z(t)) dt.$$

Finally, we obtain the following optimality condition, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T (\psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t), \tilde{p}(t) + \nabla_u L(t, U, y)) dt \\ &= \int_0^T (\psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t), \tilde{p}(t)) dt + \int_0^T (\nabla_u L(t, U, y), \psi(t) - \tilde{U}(t)) dt \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 results from the combination of the previous sections.

9. UNIQUENESS OF THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION

In the previous section, we derived the coupled system constituted by the so-called first order necessary optimality conditions for the control problem. This means that, at this stage, a solution of the coupled system is just a candidate for an optimal solution. Thus, the uniqueness of the solution of the coupled system is a very important issue in determining the optimal solution. This section addresses this uniqueness problem for the cost functional given by the quadratic Lagrangian (3.5), and is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Let us consider the problem (3.6) and $f = \nabla_y L(s, U, y)$ in (7.1). Then

Corollary 9.1. *There exists $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|p(r)\|_W^2 \leq C(T) \int_0^T \|y - y_d\|_2^2 ds \leq C(T) \int_0^T (M_0^2(s) + \|y_d\|_2^2) ds := \tilde{\lambda}^2. \quad (9.1)$$

On the other hand, a standard computation leads to

$$\exists \Gamma > 0 : \quad |(\operatorname{curl} v(z) \times z, \phi)| \leq \Gamma \|\phi\|_{H^2} \|z\|_{H^2}^2, \quad \forall \phi, z \in W. \quad (9.2)$$

9.1. Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let U_1, U_2 be two optimal control variables for (3.6) and y_1, y_2 be the corresponding optimal states with the adjoint states p_1, p_2 .

Now, let us consider $y = y_1 - y_2, \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_2, U = U_1 - U_2$ and notice that y solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(v(y)) - \nu \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)v(y) + (y \cdot \nabla)v(y_2) + (y_2 \cdot \nabla)v(y) \\ & + \sum_j [v(y)^j \nabla y^j + v(y)^j \nabla y_2^j + v(y_2)^j \nabla y^j] \\ & - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \operatorname{div} [(A(y))^2 + A(y)A(y_2) + A(y_2)A(y)] \\ & - \beta \operatorname{div} [|A(y)|^2 A(y) + |A(y)|^2 A(y_2) + (A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y)] \\ & - \beta \operatorname{div} [(A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y_2) + (A(y_2) \cdot A(y)) A(y)] \\ & - \beta \operatorname{div} [(A(y_2) \cdot A(y)) A(y_2)] - \beta \operatorname{div} [|A(y_2)|^2 A(y)] = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + U. \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

Let us multiply (9.3) by p_2 and integrate on D . Then integrating by parts and taking into account the boundary conditions for the state and adjoint variables, we get the following variational formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t y, p_2)_V = -2\nu(\mathbb{D}y, \mathbb{D}p_2) - b(y, v(y), p_2) - b(y, v(y_2), p_2) - b(y_2, v(y), p_2) - b(p_2, y, v(y)) \\ & - b(p_2, y_2, v(y)) - b(p_2, y, v(y_2)) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(y))^2 + A(y)A(y_2) + A(y_2)A(y), A(p_2)) \\ & + (U, p_2) - \frac{1}{2}\beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) + |A(y)|^2 A(y_2) + (A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y), A(p_2)) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\beta((A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y_2) + (A(y_2) \cdot A(y)) A(y) + (A(y_2) \cdot A(y)) A(y_2) + |A(y_2)|^2 A(y), A(p_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

Considering the adjoint equation for p_2 , from Definition 7.1, and by setting the test function $\phi = y$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t p_2, y)_V = 2\nu(\mathbb{D}p_2, \mathbb{D}y) - b(y, p_2, v(y_2)) + b(p_2, y, v(y_2)) + b(p_2, y_2, v(y)) - b(y_2, p_2, v(y)) \\ & - (y_2 - y_d, y) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(A(y_2)A(p_2) + A(p_2)A(y_2), \nabla y) \\ & + \beta(|A(y_2)|^2 A(p_2), \nabla y) + 2\beta((A(p_2) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y_2), \nabla y). \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

Therefore summing the last two equalities (9.4) and (9.5), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(y, p_2)_V = -(\operatorname{curl} v(y) \times y, p_2) - (y_2 - y_d, y) + (U, p_2) \\ & - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(y))^2, \nabla p_2) - \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) + |A(y)|^2 A(y_2), \nabla p_2) \\ & - 2\beta((A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y), \nabla p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the symmetry of A and the bilinear form b to get the last equality. By integrating from $t = 0$ to $t = T$ and taking into account the initial and terminal conditions for y and p_2 , we have

$$0 = - \int_0^T (\operatorname{curl} v(y) \times y, p_2) - (y_2 - y_d, y) + (U, p_2) dt - \int_0^T (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(y))^2, \nabla p_2) dt$$

$$- \int_0^T \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) + |A(y)|^2 A(y_2), \nabla p_2) - 2\beta((A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y), \nabla p_2) dt. \quad (9.6)$$

Analogously, we can show that $\bar{y} = -y$ verifies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\bar{y}, p_1)_V &= -(\operatorname{curl} v(\bar{y}) \times \bar{y}, p_1) - (y_1 - y_d, \bar{y}) - (U, p_1) \\ &\quad - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(\bar{y}))^2, \nabla p_1) - \beta(|A(\bar{y})|^2 A(\bar{y}) + |A(\bar{y})|^2 A(y_1), \nabla p_1) \\ &\quad - 2\beta((A(\bar{y}) \cdot A(y_1)) A(\bar{y}), \nabla p_1). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating from $t = 0$ to $t = T$, taking into account that $\bar{y} = -y$ and using the initial and terminal conditions for y and p_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int_0^T (\operatorname{curl} v(y) \times y, p_1) + (y_1 - y_d, y) - (U, p_1) dt - \int_0^T (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(y))^2, \nabla p_1) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) - |A(y)|^2 A(y_1), \nabla p_1) - 2\beta((A(y) \cdot A(y_1)) A(y), \nabla p_1) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

By summing (9.6) and (9.7), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \|y(t)\|_2^2 dt - \int_0^T (U, p_1 - p_2) dt \\ &= \int_0^T (\operatorname{curl} v(y) \times y, p_1 + p_2) dt + \int_0^T (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)((A(y))^2, \nabla p_1 + \nabla p_2) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) - |A(y)|^2 A(y_1), \nabla p_1) + 2\beta(A(y) \cdot A(y_1)) A(y), \nabla p_1) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \beta(|A(y)|^2 A(y) + |A(y)|^2 A(y_2), \nabla p_2) + 2\beta((A(y) \cdot A(y_2)) A(y), \nabla p_2) dt \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (8.6), the following optimality conditions hold

$$\int_0^T (\psi - U_1, p_1 + \lambda U_1) dt \geq 0, \quad \int_0^T (\psi - U_2, p_2 + \lambda U_2) dt \geq 0, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}.$$

Setting $\psi = U_2$ and $\psi = U_1$ in the first and the second optimality conditions, respectively, we deduce

$$\lambda \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt \leq - \int_0^T (U, p_1 - p_2) dt.$$

On the other hand, (5.3) and (9.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \Gamma \int_0^T (\|p_1\|_{H^2} + \|p_2\|_{H^2}) \|y\|_{H^2}^2 \leq 2\Gamma \tilde{C} \tilde{\lambda} \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt, \\ |I_2| &\leq 4(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_0^T \|y\|_{W^{1,4}}^2 (\|p_1\|_{H^2} + \|p_2\|_{H^2}) dt \leq 8\kappa \tilde{C} \tilde{\lambda} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where κ is a positive constant defined by the embedding $W^{1,4}(D) \hookrightarrow H^2(D)$,

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,4}}^2 \leq \kappa \|u\|_W^2, \quad \forall u \in W. \quad (9.8)$$

Thanks to (3.1), (5.3) and (9.1), by standard computations we obtain

$$|I_3| \leq 24\kappa\beta\tilde{C}\tilde{\lambda} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} M_4(r) \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt = 24\kappa\beta\gamma\tilde{C}\tilde{\lambda} \int_0^T \|U\|_2^2 dt.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_0^T \|y(t)\|_2^2 dt + \lambda \int_0^T \|U(t)\|_2^2 dt \leq 2\tilde{C}\tilde{\lambda} [\Gamma + 4\kappa(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 12\kappa\beta\gamma] \int_0^T \|U(t)\|_2^2 dt,$$

which gives the claimed result.

Remark 9.1. From practical point of view, the motion of a third grade fluid can be optimally controlled by taking a sufficiently large intensity of the cost λ .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Almeida and F. Cipriano. *Weak Solution for 3D-Stochastic Third Grade Fluid Equations*. Water 2020, 12, 3211, 2020.
- [2] C. Amrouche and D. Cioranescu. *On a class of fluids of grade 3*. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 32 (1), 73–88, 1997.
- [3] N. Arada and F. Cipriano. *Optimal control of non-stationary second grade fluids with Navier-slip boundary conditions*. 2015, pp. 1-30 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.01134>
- [4] D. Bresch and J. Lemoine. *On the existence of solutions for non-stationary third-grade fluids*. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 34(1), 1999.
- [5] A.V. Busuioc and D. Iftimie. *Global existence and uniqueness of solutions for the equations of third grade fluids*. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 39, 1–12, 2004.
- [6] A.V. Busuioc and D. Iftimie. *A non-Newtonian fluid with Navier boundary conditions*. J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 18 (2) 357–379 (2006).
- [7] E. Casas and K. Kunisch. *Optimal control of the two-dimensional evolutionary Navier-Stokes equations with measure valued controls*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 59(3), 2223–2246, 2021
- [8] N.V. Chemetov and F. Cipriano. *Boundary layer problem: Navier-Stokes equations and Euler equations*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 14, 2091–2104, 2013.
- [9] N.V. Chemetov and F. Cipriano. *The Inviscid Limit for the Navier-Stokes Equations with Slip Condition on Permeable Walls*. J. Nonlinear Sci. 23, 731–750, 2013.
- [10] N. Chemetov and F. Cipriano. *Injection-Suction Control for Two-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations with Slippage*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 56(2), 1253–1281, 2018.
- [11] N.V. Chemetov, F. Cipriano. *Optimal control for two-dimensional stochastic second grade fluids*. Stochastic Processes Appl. 128 (8), 2710–2749, 2018.
- [12] N. Chemetov and F. Cipriano. *Optimal control for two-dimensional stochastic second grade fluids*. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, Vol. 128, Issue 8, p. 2710-2749, 2018.
- [13] N. Chemetov and F. Cipriano. *Uniqueness for optimal control problems of two-dimensional second grade fluids*. EJDE, Vol. 2022, No. 22, 1–12, 2022.
- [14] F. Cipriano, P. Didier and S. Guerra. *Well-posedness of stochastic third grade fluid equation*. Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 285, p. 496-535, 2021.
- [15] F. Cipriano, D. Pereira. *On the existence of optimal and ϵ -optimal feedback controls for stochastic second grade fluids*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 475, 1956–1977, 2019.
- [16] T. Clopeau, A. Mikelić and R. Robert. *On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary conditions*. Nonlinearity 11, 1625–1636, 1998.
- [17] J. C. De Los Reyes and R. Griesse. *State-constrained optimal control of the three-dimensional stationary Navier-Stokes equations*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 343(1):257–272, 2008.
- [18] J. E. Dunn and K. R. Rajagopal. *Fluids of differential type: Critical review and thermodynamical analysis*. Int J. Eng. Sci. 33, 689–729, 1995.
- [19] R.L. Fosdick and K.R. Rajagopal. *Thermodynamics and stability of fluids of third grade*. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 339, 351–377, 1980.
- [20] T. Hayat, I. Ullah, A. Alsaedi, et al. *Impact of temperature dependent heat source and non-linear radiative flow of third grade fluid with chemical aspects*. Therm Sci. 24(2 Part B), 1173–1182, 2020.
- [21] M. Hinze and K. Kunisch. *Second order methods for optimal control of time-dependent fluid flow*. SIAM J. Control Optim., 40(3):925–946, 2001.
- [22] J. P. Kelliher. *Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for a bounded domain in the plane*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38, 210–232, 2006.
- [23] M. Parida and S. Padhy. *Electro-osmotic flow of a third-grade fluid past a channel having stretching walls*. Nonlinear Eng. 8(1), 56–64, 2019.
- [24] A. Rasheed, A. Kausar, A. Wahab, T. Akbar. *Stabilized approximation of steady flow of third grade fluid in presence of partial slip*. Results in Physics 7, 3181–3189, 2017.
- [25] G.J. Reddy, A. Hiremath, M. Kumar. *Computational modeling of unsteady third-grade fluid flow over a vertical cylinder: A study of heat transfer visualization*. Results Phys. 8, 671–682, 2018.
- [26] V. E. Ščadilov, V. A. Solonikov. *On a boundary value problem for a stationary system of Navier-Stokes equations*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 125, 186–199, 1973.
- [27] A. Sequeira and J. Videman. *Global existence of classical solutions for the equations of third grade fluids*. J. Math. Phys. Sci. 29 (2), 47–69, 1995.
- [28] S-Q. Wang, P. A. Drda. *Stick-slip transition in capillary flow of linear polyethylene: 3. Surface conditions*. Rheologica Acta 36, 128–134 (1997).

(Yassine Tahraoui)

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS (NOVAMATH), FCT NOVA

Email address, Yassine Tahraoui: tahraouiyacine@yahoo.fr

(Fernanda Cipriano)

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS (NOVAMATH), FCT NOVA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FCT NOVA

Email address, Fernanda Cipriano: cipriano@fct.unl.pt