

# EXPANSION AND COLLAPSE OF SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC ISOTROPIC ELASTIC BODIES SURROUNDED BY VACUUM

THOMAS C. SIDERIS

ABSTRACT. A two-parameter family of isotropic and scale invariant strain energy functions is given for which the corresponding elastic motion includes spherically symmetric bodies whose diameter becomes infinite with time or collapses to zero in finite time, depending on the sign of the residual pressure. The bodies are surrounded by vacuum so that the boundary surface forces vanish, while the density remains strictly positive. The body is subject only to internal elastic stress.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We shall be concerned with  $C^2$  spherically symmetric and separable motions of a hyperelastic material based on a two-parameter family of isotropic and scale invariant strain energy functions. The solid elastic body is surrounded by vacuum so that the boundary surface force vanishes, while the boundary density remains strictly positive. The body is subject only to internal elastic stress. Depending on the sign of the residual pressure, we shall show that the diameter of a spherical body can expand to infinity with time or it can collapse to zero in finite time.

In addition to the assumptions of objectivity and isotropy, we shall impose the more severe restriction of scale invariance on the strain energy function  $W$ . That is,  $W$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $\hbar$  in the deformation gradient  $F$ :

$$W(\sigma F) = \sigma^{\hbar} W(F), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}), \quad \sigma > 0.$$

This implies that

$$W(F) = (\det F)^{\hbar/3} W(\Sigma(F)), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}),$$

in which

$$\Sigma(F) = (\det F)^{-1/3} F \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$$

is the isochoric deformation gradient. The restriction  $\Phi = W|_{\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})}$  measures the resistance of the material to shear. The special case of a polytropic fluid arises when  $\Phi$  is constant and  $\hbar/3 = -(\gamma - 1)$ , where

$\gamma > 1$  is the adiabatic index. Here, however, we shall focus on the case where  $\Phi(\Sigma)$  is far from a constant. The factor  $(\det F)^{\hbar/3}$  accounts for compressibility, and the quantity  $\kappa(\hbar) = \frac{\hbar}{3} \left( \frac{\hbar}{3} - 1 \right)$  represents the bulk modulus at  $F = I$ . It is physically natural and mathematically advantageous to assume that  $\kappa(\hbar) > 0$ , and so, we take  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 3]$ .

Little is known about the long-time behavior of solutions to the initial free boundary value problem in elastodynamics. In order to gain some insight into this possible behavior, we shall investigate the restricted class of separable motions, the existence of which is made possible by the scale invariance hypothesis mentioned above. We shall call a motion *separable* if its material description has the form

$$x(t, y) = a(t)\varphi(y),$$

in which  $a : [0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a scalar function and  $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a time-independent deformation of the reference domain  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Separable motions are self-similar in spatial coordinates. In order to have nonconstant shear  $\Phi$ , the function  $a(t)$  must be a scalar. This contrasts with the case of polytropic fluids where there exist affine motions with  $a(t)$  taking values in  $\text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

Under the separability assumption, the spatial configuration of the body evolves by simple dilation, whereby the scalar  $a(t)$  controls the diameter. The equations of motion split into an ordinary differential equation for the scalar  $a(t)$  and an eigenvalue problem for a nonlinear partial differential equation involving the deformation  $\varphi(y)$ . The evolution of  $a(t)$  depends on the sign of the residual pressure, which turns out to be  $-\text{sgn } \hbar$ . When  $\hbar < 0$ , there holds  $a(t) \sim t$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and the body continuously expands for all time. On the other hand, when  $\hbar > 3$ , there holds  $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \tau < \infty$ , and the body collapses to a point in finite time.

The main effort, then, will be devoted to solving the nonlinear eigenvalue problem for the deformation  $\varphi$  in  $C^2$ . This will be carried out under the assumption of spherical symmetry, made possible by the objectivity and isotropy of  $W$ , whence the PDE for  $\varphi$  reduces to an ODE. For spherically symmetric bodies, the boundary surface force is a pressure, and the nonlinear vacuum boundary condition requires that the pressure vanishes on  $\partial\mathcal{B}$ . The vacuum boundary condition shall be fulfilled with the material in a solid phase, i.e. with strictly positive density on  $\partial\mathcal{B}$ . This also contrasts with the results on affine compressible fluid motion where the vacuum boundary condition holds in a gaseous phase, i.e. both pressure and density vanish on the boundary.

The existence of spherically symmetric solutions hinges on the behavior of  $\Phi$  restricted to the spherically symmetric isochoric deformation

gradients. For the sake of simplicity, we shall take a one-parameter family of functions  $\Phi_\beta$ , so that

$$W(F) = W_{\mathfrak{h},\beta}(F) = (\det F)^{\mathfrak{h}/3} \Phi_\beta(\Sigma(F)).$$

The parameter  $\beta$  is proportional to the shear modulus of the material, and it must be large in order to satisfy the solid vacuum boundary condition. This forces  $\Phi_\beta$  to be far from constant, thereby ruling out the hydrodynamical case. For each  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 3]$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , existence of a family of solutions, parameterized by the eigenvalue  $\mu$ , close to the identity deformation is achieved through a fixed point argument. For sufficiently large  $\beta$ , the nonlinear boundary condition can be satisfied by means of a continuity argument involving the eigenvalue  $\mu$ . This is carried out in Section 9, and a detailed statement of the existence results for expanding and collapsing spherically symmetric separable motion follows in Section 10.

In the final section, we aim to persuade the reader that the assumptions imposed on the strain energy function are physically plausible. We show that any self-consistent choice for the values of  $W$ , restricted to the spherically symmetric deformation gradients, can be extended to all deformation gradients, and we also show that the choice of the family  $\Phi_\beta$  is consistent with the Baker-Ericksen condition [1].

**Related literature.** The equations of motion for nonlinear elastodynamics with a solid vacuum boundary condition are locally well-posed in appropriate function spaces, see for example [19], [15], [16], [11]. Local well-posedness for compressible fluids with liquid and gaseous vacuum boundary conditions was proven in [12] and [5], respectively.

Global in time expanding affine motions for compressible ideal fluids satisfying the gaseous vacuum boundary conditions were constructed and analyzed in [18].

For *self-gravitating* polytropic fluids in the mass critical case,  $\gamma = 4/3$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{h} = -1$ ), spherically symmetric self-similar collapsing solutions satisfying the gaseous vacuum boundary condition were first studied numerically in [8] and later constructed rigorously in [13], [7], [6]. In the mass supercritical case,  $1 < \gamma < 4/3$ , the existence of spherically symmetric collapsing solutions with continuous mass absorption at the origin was established in [9].

An interesting recent article [3] considers the separable (the term homologous is used instead) motion of self-gravitating elastic balls in the mass critical case  $\mathfrak{h} = -1$ . Expanding solutions are constructed with a solid vacuum boundary condition, and collapsing solutions with

a gaseous vacuum boundary condition are predicted on the basis of numerical simulations.

We emphasize that the present work neglects self-gravitation and external forces. The sign of the residual pressure alone determines whether the body collapses or expands.

## 2. NOTATION AND BASIC ASSUMPTIONS

We denote by  $\mathbb{M}^3$  the set of  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$  with the Euclidean inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr } AB^\top.$$

We define the groups

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) &= \{F \in \mathbb{M}^3 : \det F > 0\} \\ \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) &= \{V \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) : \det V = 1\} \\ \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}) &= \{U \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) : U^{-1} = U^\top\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.1a) \quad W : \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

be a smooth strain energy function. We shall assume that  $W$  is objective:

$$(2.1b) \quad W(F) = W(UF), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}), U \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}),$$

and isotropic:

$$(2.1c) \quad W(F) = W(FU), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}), U \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

Conditions (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c) allow for spherically symmetric motion. Finally, we assume that  $W$  is scale invariant, that is, it is homogeneous<sup>1</sup> of degree  $h$  in  $F$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.1d) \quad W(\sigma F) = \sigma^h W(F), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}), \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Homogeneity of  $W$  in  $F$  is necessary in order to obtain separable motions. As a consequence of (2.1d), we have

$$W(F) = (\det F)^{h/3} W(\Sigma(F)), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$\Sigma(F) = (\det F)^{-1/3} F$$

is called the isochoric deformation gradient. Note that  $\Sigma(F) \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Sigma(F) = I$  if and only if  $F$  is a multiple of the identity. The term

---

<sup>1</sup>Use of the term *homogeneous* here should not be confused with the distinct notion of a *homogeneous material* which in continuum mechanics refers to the independence of the strain energy function with respect to the material coordinates in some reference configuration. This has been tacitly assumed in (2.1a).

$W(\Sigma(F))$  measures the response of the material to shear. One further restriction will be imposed on  $W$  later on.

Using the polar decomposition, it follows from (2.1b),(2.1c) that

$$W(F) = W((F^\top F)^{1/2}) = W((FF^\top)^{1/2}), \quad F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

The positive definite symmetric matrices  $(F^\top F)^{1/2}$  and  $(FF^\top)^{1/2}$  are called the right and left stretch tensors, respectively, and their common eigenvalues are the principal stretches.

Associated to  $W$ , we define its (first) Piola-Kirchhoff stress

$$(2.2a) \quad S : \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^3, \quad S(F) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial F}(F)$$

and Cauchy stress

$$(2.2b) \quad T : \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^3, \quad T(F) = (\det F)^{-1} S(F) F^\top.$$

If  $W$  satisfies (2.1d), then by differentiation with respect to  $F$  we find

$$(2.2c) \quad S(\sigma F) = \sigma^{\delta-1} S(F), \quad \text{for all } F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}), \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

### 3. EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR SEPARABLE SOLUTIONS

We shall be concerned with the problem of constructing certain smooth motions of an elastic body whose reference configuration  $\mathcal{B}$  shall be the unit sphere

$$\mathcal{B} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |y| < 1\}.$$

A motion is a time-dependent family of orientation-preserving deformations  $x(t, y)$

$$x : [0, \tau) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with

$$D_y x : [0, \tau) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

The image,  $\Omega_t$ , of  $\mathcal{B}$  under the deformation  $x(t, \cdot)$  represents the spatial configuration of an elastic body at time  $t$ . The spatial description of the body can be given in terms of the velocity vector  $\mathbf{u}(t, x) = D_t x(t, y(t, x))$  and density  $\varrho(t, x) = \bar{\varrho} / \det D_y x(t, y(t, x))$  where  $y(t, \cdot) = x^{-1}(t, \cdot)$  is the reference map taking the spatial domain  $\Omega_t$  back to the material domain  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\bar{\varrho} > 0$  is the constant reference density.

The governing equations of elastodynamics, in the absence of external forces, can be written in the form

$$(3.1a) \quad \bar{\varrho} D_t^2 x - D_y \cdot S(D_y x) = 0, \quad \text{in } [0, \tau) \times \mathcal{B},$$

subject to the nonlinear vacuum boundary condition

$$(3.1b) \quad S(D_y x(t, y)) \omega = 0, \quad \text{on } [0, \tau) \times \partial \mathcal{B},$$

where  $\omega = y/|y|$  is the normal at  $y \in \partial\mathcal{B}$ . The initial conditions

$$x(0, y), \quad D_t x(0, y), \quad y \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}$$

are also prescribed. Local well-posedness for this system was studied in [11], [15], [16], [19].

*Remark.* In the case of polytropic fluids,

$$W(F) = (\det F)^{\hbar/3} = (\det F)^{-(\gamma-1)}, \quad \gamma > 1,$$

the Cauchy stress is

$$T(F) = -(\det F)^{-\gamma} I.$$

The vacuum boundary condition can only be fulfilled with vanishing density, i.e.  $(\det F)^{-1} = 0$  on  $\partial\mathcal{B}$ . In the sequel, we shall solely consider the case of nonvanishing density on  $\partial\mathcal{B}$ , in order that  $F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ .

We shall now impose the major restriction of separability, namely, that the motion can be written in the form

$$(3.2) \quad x(t, y) = a(t)\varphi(y),$$

for some scalar function

$$a : [0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

and a time-independent orientation-preserving deformation

$$\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{with} \quad D_y \varphi : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the spatial configuration of an elastic body under a separable motion evolves by dilation,  $\Omega_t = \{x = a(t)y : y \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}\}$ .

In spatial coordinates, the reference map, velocity, and density of a separable motion are self-similar

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, x) &= \varphi^{-1}(a(t)^{-1}x), \\ \mathbf{u}(t, x) &= \dot{a}(t)\varphi(y(t, x)) = \dot{a}(t)a(t)^{-1}x, \\ \varrho(t, x) &= \bar{\varrho} / \det[a(t)D_y \varphi(y(t, x))] \\ &= a(t)^{-3} \bar{\varrho} \det D_x \varphi^{-1}(a(t)^{-1}x), \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in \Omega_t$ . We shall, however, continue to work in material coordinates.

Henceforth, we take  $\bar{\varrho} = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\hbar, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $W$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1d), and let  $S$  be defined by (2.2a).*

*Suppose that  $a \in C^2([0, \tau))$  is a positive solution of*

$$(3.3) \quad \ddot{a}(t) = \mu a(t)^{\hbar-1}, \quad \text{on} \quad [0, \tau).$$

Suppose that  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{R}^3) \cap C^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}}, \mathbb{R}^3)$  is an orientation-preserving deformation which solves

$$(3.4a) \quad D_y \cdot S(D_y \varphi(y)) = \mu \varphi(y), \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}$$

and satisfies the boundary condition

$$(3.4b) \quad S(D_y \varphi(y)) \omega = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{B}.$$

Then

$$x(t, y) = a(t) \varphi(y), \quad (t, y) \in [0, \tau) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$

is a motion satisfying the elasticity system (3.1a), (3.1b), with  $\bar{\varrho} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a$  is assumed to be positive and  $\varphi$  is assumed to be a deformation,  $x(t, y)$ , as defined, is a motion.

By (2.2c), (3.3), (3.4a), the motion  $x$  satisfies the system (3.1a):

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^2 x(t, y) &= \ddot{a}(t) \varphi(y) \\ &= \mu a(t)^{\hbar-1} \varphi(y) = a(t)^{\hbar-1} D_y \cdot S(D_y \varphi(y)) \\ &= D_y \cdot S(a(t) D_y \varphi(y)) = D_y \cdot S(D_y x(t, y)). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition (3.1b) is similarly verified using (3.4b) and the homogeneity of  $S$  in  $F$

$$S(D_y x(t, y)) \omega = S(a(t) D_y \varphi(y)) \omega = a(t)^{\hbar-1} S(D_y \varphi(y)) \omega = 0.$$

□

*Remark.* The PDE (3.4a) is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the action

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathcal{B}} (W(D_y \varphi) + \frac{\mu}{2} |\varphi|^2) dy.$$

We shall consider the initial value problem for (3.3) in the next section. Sections 5–9 will be devoted to the solution of eigenvalue problem (3.4a), (3.4b).

#### 4. DYNAMICAL BEHAVIOR

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $a(t)$  is a  $C^2$  positive solution of (3.3) with  $\hbar \neq 0$ , then the quantity*

$$(4.1) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{a}(t)^2 - \frac{\mu}{\hbar} a(t)^{\hbar}$$

*is conserved.*

*If  $\hbar < 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ , then for every  $(a(0), \dot{a}(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ , the initial value problem for (3.3) has a positive solution  $a \in C^2([0, \infty))$  with  $0 < (2E(0))^{1/2} - a(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

If  $\hbar > 1$  and  $\mu < 0$ , then for every  $(a(0), \dot{a}(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ , the initial value problem for (3.3) has a positive solution  $a \in C^2([0, \tau))$ , with  $\tau < \infty$  and  $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \tau$ .

*Proof.* If  $(a(0), \dot{a}(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ , then the initial value problem for (3.3) has a  $C^2$  positive solution on a maximal interval  $[0, \tau)$ . If  $\tau < \infty$ , then either  $a(t) \rightarrow 0$  or  $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $t \rightarrow \tau$ .

Conservation of  $E(t)$  on the interval  $[0, \tau)$  follows directly from (3.3).

Assume that  $\hbar < 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ . Then by (4.1),  $a(t)^{-1}$  and  $|\dot{a}(t)|$  are bounded above by some constant  $C_0$  on  $[0, \tau)$ . This implies that  $C_0^{-1} \leq a(t) \leq a(0) + C_0 t$ , on  $[0, \tau)$ . It follows that  $\tau = +\infty$ .

Let  $X(t) = \frac{1}{2}a(t)^2$ . Then

$$\ddot{X}(t) = \dot{a}(t)^2 + \mu a(t)^\hbar.$$

From (4.1), we obtain

$$(4.2a) \quad mE(0) \leq \ddot{X}(t) \leq ME(0),$$

in which

$$m = \min\{2, -\hbar\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \max\{2, -\hbar\}.$$

This leads to the bounds

$$(4.2b) \quad mE(0)t \leq \dot{X}(t) - \dot{X}(0) \leq ME(0)t$$

and

$$(4.2c) \quad mE(0)t^2 \leq X(t) - \dot{X}(0)t - X(0) \leq ME(0)t^2.$$

By (4.2b), we see that

$$\dot{a}(t) = \dot{X}(t)/a(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t > -\dot{X}(0)/(mE(0)),$$

and by (4.2c), we deduce that

$$a(t) = (2X(t))^{1/2} \sim t, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

With these facts,  $E(t) = E(0)$  implies that

$$0 < (2E(0))^{1/2} - \dot{a}(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

from which follows the asymptotic statement.

Now assume that  $\hbar > 1$  and  $\mu < 0$ . Let  $\underline{a} = \inf\{a(t) : t \in [0, \tau)\}$ . We obtain from (3.3)

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq a(t) \leq a(t_0) + \dot{a}(t_0)(t - t_0) + \frac{\mu}{2\hbar} \underline{a}^{\hbar-1} (t - t_0)^2,$$

for all  $0 \leq t_0 \leq t < \tau$ . This shows that  $a(t) < \infty$ ,  $t \in [0, \tau)$ , so if  $\tau < \infty$ , then  $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \tau$ . If  $\underline{a} > 0$ , then, since  $\mu/\hbar < 0$ , it follows from (4.3) that  $\tau < \infty$ . On the other hand, if  $\underline{a} = 0$ , then since  $a(0) > 0$ , there exists a  $t_0 \in [0, \tau)$  such that  $\dot{a}(t_0) < 0$ , whence from (4.3) again there holds  $\tau < \infty$ .  $\square$



*Remark.* When  $\hbar > 1$  and  $\mu < 0$ , the time of collapse is given by

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \int_0^{a(0)} [2(E(0) + \frac{\mu}{\hbar}s^\hbar)]^{-1/2} ds, & \text{if } \dot{a}(0) \leq 0 \\ \left( \int_0^{(\hbar E(0))^{1/\hbar}} + \int_{a(0)}^{(\hbar E(0))^{1/\hbar}} \right) [2(E(0) + \frac{\mu}{\hbar}s^\hbar)]^{-1/2} ds, & \text{if } \dot{a}(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Remark.* In Lemma 4.1, we have only discussed the qualitative behavior of solutions to equation (3.3) for the parameter range in which we shall construct separable solutions to (3.1a), (3.1b).

## 5. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC DEFORMATIONS

**Lemma 5.1.** *If*

$$(5.1) \quad \phi \in C^2([0, 1]), \quad \phi(0) = \phi''(0) = 0, \quad \text{and } \phi' > 0 \quad \text{on } [0, 1],$$

then

$$\varphi(y) = \phi(r)\omega, \quad r = |y|, \quad \omega = y/|y|$$

defines an orientation-preserving deformation  $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\mathcal{B}}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

The function

$$\lambda(r) = (\lambda_1(r), \lambda_2(r)) = (\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r)$$

belongs to  $C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , and  $\lambda(0) = (\phi'(0), \phi'(0))$ .

The deformation gradient of  $\varphi$ ,  $D_y\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$ , is given by

$$(5.2a) \quad D_y\varphi(y) = F(\lambda(r), \omega), \quad r = |y|, \quad \omega = y/|y|,$$

with

$$(5.2b) \quad F(\lambda, \omega) = \lambda_1 P(\omega) + \lambda_2 Q(\omega), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad \omega \in S^2,$$

and

$$(5.2c) \quad P(\omega) = \omega \otimes \omega, \quad Q(\omega) = I - P(\omega).$$

*Proof.* By writing

$$\phi(r) = \int_0^1 D_s(\phi(sr)) ds = r \int_0^1 \phi'(sr) ds,$$

we see that the function

$$\lambda_2(r) = \phi(r)/r = \int_0^1 \phi'(sr) ds$$

is strictly positive and  $C^1$  on  $(0, 1]$  with

$$\lambda_2(r) \rightarrow \phi'(0) > 0, \quad \lambda_2'(r) = \int_0^1 s\phi''(sr) ds \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0) = 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_2(r)$  extends to a strictly positive function in  $C^1([0, 1])$ . It follows that the function

$$\chi(r) = \phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r = \lambda_1(r) - \lambda_2(r)$$

belongs to  $C^1([0, 1])$ , and  $\chi(0) = \chi'(0) = 0$ .

Clearly,  $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}} \setminus \{0\})$ , so to prove that  $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ , we need only show that the derivatives up to second order extend continuously to the origin.

The formula (5.2a) for  $D_y\varphi(y)$  is easily verified for  $y \neq 0$ , and it equivalent to

$$(5.3a) \quad D_y\varphi(y) = \chi(r)P(\omega) + \lambda_2(r)I.$$

This implies that

$$D_y\varphi(y) \rightarrow \phi'(0)I, \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow 0,$$

and so  $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ .

From the formula (5.2a), we also see that  $D_y\varphi(y)$  has the eigenspaces  $\text{span}\{\omega\}$  and  $\text{span}\{\omega\}^\perp$ , with strictly positive eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(r)$ ,  $\lambda_2(r)$ ,  $\lambda_2(r)$ . Thus,

$$\det D_y\varphi(y) = \lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)^2, \quad \text{for all } y \in \overline{\mathcal{B}},$$

which shows that  $D_y\varphi : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\phi' > 0$ , we see that  $\varphi$  is a bijection of  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  onto its range. So we conclude that  $\varphi$  is a  $C^1$  orientation-preserving deformation on  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ .

It remains to show that  $D_y\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$ . For  $y \neq 0$ , we find from (5.3a) that

$$(5.3b) \quad D_j D_k \varphi_i(y) = (\chi'(r) - 2\chi(r)/r)\omega_i \omega_j \omega_k \\ + (\chi(r)/r)(\delta_{ij}\omega_k + \delta_{ik}\omega_j + \delta_{jk}\omega_i).$$

Since  $\chi(0) = \chi'(0) = 0$ , we have that  $\chi'(r)$ ,  $\chi(r)/r \rightarrow \chi'(0) = 0$ , as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, we see that the second derivatives of  $\varphi$  extend to continuous functions on  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  which vanish at the origin.  $\square$

A deformation of the form  $\varphi(y) = \phi(r)\omega$  is said to be *spherically symmetric*. From now on, we focus exclusively upon  $C^2$  spherically symmetric orientation-preserving deformations of the reference domain  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  where  $\phi$  satisfies (5.1).

*Remark.* The spatial configuration of a body at time  $t$  under a spherically symmetric separable motion  $x(t, y) = a(t)\phi(r)\omega$ , defined on  $[0, \tau) \times \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ , is a sphere of radius  $a(t)\phi(1)$ .

The next result summarizes the properties of the gradient of a spherically symmetric deformation.

**Lemma 5.2.** *The matrix  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  defined in (5.2b), (5.2c) satisfies*

- *the eigenspaces of  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  are  $\text{span}\{\omega\}$  and  $\text{span}\{\omega\}^\perp$ ,*
- *the eigenvalues of  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2$ ,*
- *$\det F(\lambda, \omega) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2$ ,*
- *$F : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,*
- *$F(\lambda, \omega)$  is positive definite symmetric, and*
- *$F(\lambda, \omega) = (F(\lambda, \omega)F(\lambda, \omega)^\top)^{1/2} = (F(\lambda, \omega)^\top F(\lambda, \omega))^{1/2}$ .*

## 6. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC STRAIN ENERGY AND STRESS

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $W$  be a strain energy function satisfying (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c). If  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  is given by (5.2b), (5.2c), then  $W \circ F(\lambda, \omega)$  is independent of  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a vector  $\omega_0 \in S^2$ . For an arbitrary vector  $\omega \in S^2$ , choose  $U \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\omega = U\omega_0$ . Then

$$F(\lambda, \omega) = F(\lambda, U\omega_0) = \lambda_1 P(U\omega_0) + \lambda_2 Q(U\omega_0) = UF(\lambda, \omega_0)U^\top.$$

Using (2.1b) and (2.1c), we obtain

$$W \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = W \circ F(\lambda, \omega_0),$$

which is independent of  $\omega$ . □

Using the result of Lemma 6.1, we may define a  $C^2$  function  $\mathcal{L}$  by

$$(6.1a) \quad \mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = W \circ F(\lambda, \omega).$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{L}$  is the restriction of  $W$  to the set of spherically symmetric deformation gradients. By (5.2b), (2.1d),  $\mathcal{L}$  scales like  $W$ :

$$(6.1b) \quad \mathcal{L}(\sigma\lambda) = W(F(\sigma\lambda, \omega)) = W(\sigma F(\lambda, \omega)) = \sigma^6 \mathcal{L}(\lambda), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We now obtain expressions for the stresses restricted to the set of spherically symmetric deformation gradients.

**Lemma 6.2.** *If  $W$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), and  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined by (6.1a), then the Piola-Kirchhoff stress defined in (2.2a) satisfies*

$$(6.2a) \quad S \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda)P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda)Q(\omega),$$

*and the Cauchy stress defined in (2.2b) satisfies*

$$(6.2b) \quad T \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)^{-1} [\lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda)P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda)Q(\omega)].$$

*Proof.* Differentiation of (6.1a) with respect to  $\lambda$  yields

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda) &= \langle S \circ F(\lambda, \omega), P(\omega) \rangle \\ \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda) &= \langle S \circ F(\lambda, \omega), Q(\omega) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Euclidean product on  $\mathbb{M}^3$ .

It is a standard fact ([14], Theorem 4.2.5) that the Cauchy stress  $T(F)$  associated to an objective and isotropic strain energy function satisfies

$$T(F) \in \text{span}\{I, A, A^2\} \quad \text{with} \quad A = (FF^\top)^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$A(\lambda, \omega) = (F(\lambda, \omega)F(\lambda, \omega)^\top)^{1/2} = F(\lambda, \omega).$$

Since

$$F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \omega)^k = F(\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \omega) \in \text{span}\{P(\omega), Q(\omega)\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we obtain

$$T \circ F(\lambda, \omega) \in \text{span}\{P(\omega), Q(\omega)\}.$$

By (2.2b), there also holds

$$S \circ F(\lambda, \omega) \in \text{span}\{P(\omega), Q(\omega)\}.$$

Hence, we may write

$$S \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = c_1(\lambda, \omega)P(\omega) + c_2(\lambda, \omega)Q(\omega).$$

Taking the  $\mathbb{M}^3$ -inner product with  $P(\omega)$  and  $Q(\omega)$ , we have from (6.3)

$$\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda) = c_1(\lambda, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda) = 2c_2(\lambda, \omega).$$

This proves (6.2a), and (6.2b) now follows from (2.2b) and Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 6.2, there holds*

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\alpha, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\alpha, \alpha), \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\alpha > 0$ , the map  $\varphi(y) = \alpha y = \alpha r\omega$  is a smooth spherically symmetric deformation, and  $D_y\varphi(y) = \alpha I$ . Since  $S : \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^3$  is  $C^1$ , the map  $S(\alpha I)$  is  $C^1$  in  $\alpha$ . By (6.2a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha I) &= \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\alpha, \alpha)P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\alpha, \alpha)Q(\omega) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\alpha, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\alpha, \alpha))P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\alpha, \alpha)I \end{aligned}$$

Now  $P(\omega)$  is bounded and discontinuous at the origin, so (6.4) must hold.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 6.2, there holds*

$$T(\alpha I) = \alpha^{-2}\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\alpha, \alpha)I \equiv -\mathcal{P}(\alpha)I.$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 6.2 and Corollary 6.4.  $\square$

We define the residual stress to be  $S(I) = T(I) = -\mathcal{P}(1)I$ . We shall refer to  $\mathcal{P}(1)$  as the *residual pressure*.

**Corollary 6.5.** *Under the assumptions and notation of Lemmas 5.1 and 6.2, we have*

$$(6.5) \quad S(D_y \varphi(y)) = \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda(r))P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda(r))Q(\omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(D_y \varphi(y)) &= (\lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\lambda_1(r)\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda(r))P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2(r)\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda(r))Q(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

## 7. THE NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose that  $W$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c) and that  $\mathcal{L}$  is defined by (6.1a).*

*Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\phi$  satisfies (5.1) and*

$$(7.1a) \quad \begin{aligned} D_r[\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r)] \\ + \frac{2}{r}[\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r)] \\ = \mu\phi(r), \quad r \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

*then  $\varphi(y) = \phi(r)\omega$  is a  $C^2$  spherically symmetric orientation-preserving deformation on  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$  which solves (3.4a).*

*If*

$$(7.1b) \quad \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r)|_{r=1} = 0,$$

*then  $\varphi$  satisfies the boundary condition (3.4b).*

*Proof.* Set

$$\Lambda_k(r) = \mathcal{L}_{,k}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

so that by (6.5),

$$S(D_y \varphi(y)) = (\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r))P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r)I.$$

Then, using the facts  $D_r = \omega_j D_j$ ,  $D_j \omega_j = 2/r$ , and  $D_j r = \omega_j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [D_y \cdot S(D_y \varphi(y))]_i &= [D_y \cdot ((\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r))P(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r)I)]_i \\ &= D_j \left( (\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r))\omega_i \omega_j + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r)\delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= D_r (\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r))\omega_i + (\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r))\omega_i D_j \omega_j + \frac{1}{2}D_i \Lambda_2(r) \\ &= \left( \Lambda_1'(r) + \frac{2}{r}(\Lambda_1(r) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2(r)) \right) \omega_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (7.1a) implies that (3.4a) holds.

If  $y \in \partial\mathcal{B}$ , then  $r = 1$ , so we have from (6.2a)

$$S(D_y\varphi(y)) \omega|_{y \in \partial\mathcal{B}} = \Lambda_1(1)\omega.$$

So (7.1b) implies (3.4b).  $\square$

*Remark.* The ODE (7.1a) is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the action

$$4\pi \int_0^1 [\mathcal{L}(\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r) + \frac{\mu}{2}\phi(r)^2] r^2 dr,$$

which is the action (3.5) restricted to the set of spherically symmetric deformations.

*Remark.* If  $\mathcal{L}^0 : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $C^2$  and

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{,11}^0(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\mathcal{L}_{,12}^0(\lambda) + 2\mathcal{L}_{,1}^0(\lambda) - \mathcal{L}_{,2}^0(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

then (7.1a) is unchanged by replacing  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}^0$ . Condition (7.2) holds provided there exists a pair of  $C^2$  functions  $\mathcal{C}_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , such that

$$\mathcal{L}^0(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}_1(\lambda_2)\lambda_1 + \mathcal{C}_0(\lambda_2)$$

and

$$-\lambda_2\mathcal{C}'_1(\lambda_2) + 2\mathcal{C}_1(\lambda_2) - \mathcal{C}'_0(\lambda_2) = 0,$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ . If  $W^0$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c) and if  $W^0$  is a null Lagrangian, see [2], then  $\mathcal{L}^0 = W^0 \circ F(\lambda, \omega)$  satisfies (7.2). Thus, we can think of solutions of (7.2) as being spherically symmetric null Lagrangians.

## 8. STRAIN ENERGY WITH SCALING INVARIANCE

It is convenient to define the quantities

$$(8.1a) \quad v = \det F(\lambda, \omega) = \lambda_1\lambda_2^2, \quad u = \lambda_1/\lambda_2, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

so that

$$(8.1b) \quad \lambda_1 = v^{1/3}u^{2/3}, \quad \lambda_2 = v^{1/3}u^{-1/3}, \quad (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2.$$

Note that  $u = 1$  if and only if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  if and only if  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  is a multiple of the identity.

**Lemma 8.1.** *If  $W$  is a  $C^2$  strain energy function satisfying (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d), then there exists a  $C^2$  function*

$$f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad \text{with } f'(1) = 0,$$

such that

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = v^{6/3}f(u), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$f(u) = \mathcal{L}(u^{2/3}, u^{-1/3}), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Then  $f$  is  $C^2$  and nonnegative, by (6.1a). It follows from Corollary 6.4 that

$$f'(1) = \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{L}_{,1}(1, 1) - \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(1, 1) = 0.$$

By (8.1b) and (6.1b), we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}(v^{1/3}u^{2/3}, v^{1/3}u^{-1/3}) = v^{\hbar/3}\mathcal{L}(u^{2/3}, u^{-1/3}) = v^{\hbar/3}f(u),$$

so that (8.2) holds.  $\square$

A partial converse to Lemma 8.1 will be given in Theorem 11.1. We shall also show, in Proposition 11.2, that condition (8.2) is physically plausible, insofar as it is consistent with the Baker-Ericksen inequality, when  $f$  is convex.

*Remark.* In the polytropic fluid case,  $W(F) = (\det F)^{-(\gamma-1)}$ , we see that  $f(u) = 1$ .

Next, we explore the implications of (8.2) for the equation (7.1a).

**Lemma 8.2.** *Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies (5.1) and  $\varphi(y) = \phi(r)\omega$ . Define*

$$(8.3a) \quad \lambda(r) = (\lambda_1(r), \lambda_2(r)) = (\phi'(r), \phi(r)/r),$$

and

$$(8.3b) \quad v(r) = \lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)^2, \quad u(r) = \lambda_1(r)/\lambda_2(r).$$

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by (8.2), then the ODE (7.1a) is equivalent to the equation

$$(8.4a) \quad U_1(u(r))\phi''(r) + U_2(u(r))u(r)\frac{1}{r}(\phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r) \\ = \mu r v(r)^{-\hbar/3+1}u(r),$$

in which

$$(8.4b) \quad U_1(u) = \kappa(\hbar)f(u) + \frac{2\hbar}{3}uf'(u) + u^2f''(u), \\ U_2(u) = 2\kappa(\hbar)f(u) + \left(\frac{\hbar}{3} - 1\right)uf'(u) \\ + (2u + u^2)\frac{f'(u)}{u-1} - u^2f''(u),$$

and

$$(8.4c) \quad \kappa(\hbar) = \frac{\hbar}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar}{3} - 1\right).$$

The boundary condition (7.1b) is equivalent to the condition

$$(8.4d) \quad \frac{\hbar}{3}f(u(1)) + u(1)f'(u(1)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Beginning with (7.1a), we may write

$$(8.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_{,11}(\lambda(r)) \lambda_1'(r) + \mathcal{L}_{,12}(\lambda(r)) \lambda_2'(r) \\ + \frac{2}{r}[\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda(r)) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda(r))] = \mu r \lambda_2(r).$$

From (8.1a), we derive

$$\partial_1 = v_{,1}\partial_v + u_{,1}\partial_u = v^{-1/3}u^{-2/3}(v\partial_v + u\partial_u) \\ \partial_2 = v_{,2}\partial_v + u_{,2}\partial_u = v^{-1/3}u^{1/3}(2v\partial_v - u\partial_u),$$

where  $\partial_1, \partial_2$  denote derivatives with respect to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .

Direct computation from (8.2) yields

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda) &= v^{(\hbar-1)/3}u^{-2/3}[\frac{\hbar}{3}f(u) + uf'(u)] \\ \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda) &= v^{(\hbar-1)/3}u^{-2/3}[\frac{2\hbar}{3}uf(u) - u^2f'(u)] \\ \mathcal{L}_{,11}(\lambda) &= v^{(\hbar-2)/3}u^{-4/3}[\kappa(\hbar)f(u) + \frac{2\hbar}{3}uf'(u) + u^2f''(u)] \\ \mathcal{L}_{,12}(\lambda) &= v^{(\hbar-2)/3}u^{-1/3}[2(\frac{\hbar}{3})^2f(u) + (\frac{\hbar}{3} - 1)uf'(u) - u^2f''(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

The result (8.4a) now follows upon substitution of the foregoing relations into (8.5), after a bit of simplification.

By (8.6), the boundary condition (7.1b) is equivalent to

$$v(1)^{(\hbar-1)/3}u(1)^{-2/3}[\frac{\hbar}{3}f(u(1)) + u(1)f'(u(1))] = 0.$$

But according to Lemma 5.1,  $u(1) > 0$ ,  $v(1) > 0$ , and so this reduces to (8.4d).  $\square$

*Remark.* The quantity  $\kappa(\hbar)$  defined in (8.4c) corresponds to the bulk modulus, as we shall explain in Lemma 11.3. Materials with a negative bulk modulus are uncommon, and therefore on physical grounds, it is reasonable to assume  $\kappa(\hbar) > 0$ . In the next lemma, we will also see that positivity of  $\kappa(\hbar)$  relates to the coercivity of the differential operator in (8.4a), and hence the hyperbolicity of the equations of motion.

*Remark.* When (8.2) holds, we have

$$(8.7) \quad -\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = \alpha^{-2}\mathcal{L}_{,1}(\alpha, \alpha) = (\hbar/3)f(1)\alpha^{\hbar-3},$$

by Corollary 6.4 and (8.6).

*Remark.* We shall continue to use the notation (8.3a), (8.3b) below.

To simplify matters, we shall now assume that the function  $f$  in (8.2) is quadratic. Since we are going to adopt a perturbative approach to



solving equation (8.4a) close to the identity solution  $\phi(r) = r$ ,  $\mu = 0$ , this assumption entails no essential loss of generality.

**Lemma 8.3.** *Fix  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be defined by (8.2), with  $f$  given by*

$$(8.8) \quad f(u) = f_\beta(u) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(u-1)^2, \quad \beta \in [0, \infty).$$

*Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies (5.1) and  $u(r) = \lambda_1(r)/\lambda_2(r) = r\phi'(r)/\phi(r)$  satisfies*

$$(8.9a) \quad u(r) \in \mathcal{U}(\delta) = \{|u-1| \leq \delta\}, \quad r \in [0, 1],$$

*where*

$$(8.9b) \quad \delta \equiv \min\{1/8, 1/(8|\mathfrak{h}|\}\}.$$

*Then equation (8.4a) is equivalent to*

$$(8.10a) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi''(r) + \frac{2}{r}(\phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r) \\ = V_1(u(r))(u(r) - 1)\frac{1}{r}(\phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r) \\ + \mu(\kappa(\mathfrak{h}) + \beta)^{-1} r v(r)^{-\mathfrak{h}/3+1} V_2(u(r)), \end{aligned}$$

*in which  $V_i(u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are smooth functions such that*

$$(8.10b) \quad V_2(1) = 1, \quad V_2(u) > 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}(\delta),$$

*and*

$$(8.10c) \quad \sum_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ j=0,1}} |V_i^{(j)}(u)| < C_0, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}(\delta),$$

*for some constant  $C_0$  independent of  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ .*

*The boundary condition (7.1b) is equivalent to*

$$(8.10d) \quad g_\beta(u(1)) = 0,$$

*with*

$$(8.10e) \quad g_\beta(u) = \frac{1}{3}\mathfrak{h} + \beta(u-1) + \beta\left(\frac{1}{6}\mathfrak{h} + 1\right)(u-1)^2.$$

*Remark.* We note the appearance of the key quantities

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{h}) + \beta = U_1(1) \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathcal{P}(1) = \mathfrak{h}/3 = g_\beta(1)$$

in (8.10a), (8.10e). In Lemma 11.3, we shall link the parameter  $\beta$  with the shear modulus.

*Remark.* It will be shown in Theorem 11.1 that (8.8) is consistent with our standing assumptions on the strain energy function  $W$ .

*Remark.* A particularly friendly case arises with  $\hbar = -3$ , whence  $V_1(u) = 2$  and  $V_2(u) = u$  are independent of  $\beta$ .

*Proof of Lemma 8.3.* Substituting (8.8) into (8.4b), we derive

$$U_1(u) = \kappa(\hbar) \left[ 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(u-1)^2 \right] + \frac{2\hbar}{3}\beta u(u-1) + \beta u^2,$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} 2U_1(u) - U_2(u)u &= 2U_1(u) - U_2(u) - U_2(u)(u-1) \\ &= [\beta(\hbar+3)u - U_2(u)](u-1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{U}(\delta)$ , we obtain the coercivity estimate

$$U_1(u) \geq \kappa(\hbar) - \frac{2}{3}\beta|\hbar|\delta(1+\delta) + \beta(1-\delta)^2 > \kappa(\hbar) + \frac{1}{2}\beta > 0,$$

for all  $\beta \geq 0$ . So as long as (8.9a), (8.9b) holds, (8.4a) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''(r) + \frac{2}{r}(\phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r) \\ = [2U_1(u(r)) - U_2(u(r))u(r)]U_1(u(r))^{-1} \frac{1}{r}(\phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r) \\ + \mu r v(r)^{-\hbar/3+1}u(r)U_1(u(r))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the equivalence of (8.4a) and (8.10a), on  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(u) &= [\beta(\hbar+3)u - U_2(u)]U_1(u)^{-1}, \\ V_2(u) &= (\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)uU_1(u)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (8.10b) holds, and it is straight-forward to verify that (8.10c) holds, uniformly for  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ .

Condition (7.1b) translates to (8.10d), (8.10e) since

$$\frac{\hbar}{3}f_\beta(u) + uf'_\beta(u) = g_\beta(u).$$

□

*Remark.* The homogeneous solutions of (7.2) are given by

$$\mathcal{L}^0(\lambda) = c_0\lambda_2^\hbar \left( \frac{\hbar}{3}\lambda_1/\lambda_2 + \left(1 - \frac{\hbar}{3}\right) \right) = c_0v^{\hbar/3}u^{-\hbar/3} \left( \frac{\hbar}{3}u + \left(1 - \frac{\hbar}{3}\right) \right),$$

for any  $c_0, \hbar \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, spherically symmetric null Lagrangians may be homogeneous of any degree in  $F$ . Null Lagrangians are necessarily homogeneous of degree  $\hbar = 1, 2$ , or  $3$  in  $F$ , see [2]. For example, the classical null Lagrangian  $W^0(F) = \det F$  has  $\hbar = 3$  and  $\mathcal{L}^0(\lambda) = \det F(\lambda, \omega) = \lambda_1\lambda_2^2 = v$ .

## 9. EXISTENCE OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

We shall now address the question of existence of solutions to the problem (8.10a), (8.10d), (8.10e).

**Theorem 9.1.** *Fix  $\hbar$  with  $\kappa(\hbar) > 0$ . Let*

$$(9.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = v^{\hbar/3} f_\beta(u), \quad f_\beta(u) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta(u-1)^2, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

where  $v$  and  $u$  are defined by (8.1a). There exists a small constant  $R \leq \delta$  depending only on  $\hbar$  such that if

$$|\mu|/(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta) \leq R,$$

then equation (8.10a) has a solution  $\phi^{(\beta, \mu)} \in C^2([0, 1])$  satisfying

$$(9.2a) \quad \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = D_r^2 \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = 0, \quad D_r \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = 1,$$

as well as the estimates

$$(9.2b) \quad |\phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)/r - 1|, |D_r \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - 1|, |u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - 1| \leq Rr^2,$$

and

$$(9.2c) \quad |D_r^2 \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)| \leq Rr,$$

for  $r \in [0, 1]$ .

The map from  $\{(\beta, \mu) : |\mu|/(2 + \beta) \leq R\}$  to  $C([0, 1])$  given by

$$(\beta, \mu) \mapsto \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}$$

is continuous.

If  $\mu > 0$ , then

$$(9.3a) \quad \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) > \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) > 1, \quad r \in (0, 1],$$

and if  $\mu < 0$ , then

$$(9.3b) \quad \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) < \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) < 1, \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

If  $\hbar < 0$ , respectively  $\hbar > 3$ , and  $\beta$  is sufficiently large, then there exists a  $\mu > 0$ , respectively  $\mu < 0$ , such that the solution  $\phi^{(\beta, \mu)}$  satisfies the boundary condition (8.10d), (8.10e).

*Remark.* The assumption that  $D_r \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = 1$  in (9.2a) will not restrict the possible initial data of the motion in (3.2).

*Proof of Theorem 9.1.* In order to handle the apparent singularity at  $r = 0$ , it is convenient to make the ansatz

$$(9.4) \quad \phi(r) = r + K\zeta(r) \equiv r + \int_0^r (r-\rho)\rho\zeta(\rho)d\rho, \quad \text{with } \zeta \in C([0, 1]).$$

Notice that  $K$  is a bounded linear operator from  $C([0, 1])$  into  $C^2([0, 1])$ . In fact, it follows from (9.4) that

$$(9.5a) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi'(r) &= \lambda_1(r) = 1 + \int_0^r \rho \zeta(\rho) d\rho \\ \phi(r)/r &= \lambda_2(r) = 1 + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r (r - \rho) \rho \zeta(\rho) d\rho \\ \phi'(r) - \phi(r)/r &= \lambda_1(r) - \lambda_2(r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \rho^2 \zeta(\rho) d\rho \\ \phi''(r) &= r \zeta(r). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\phi(r) = r + K\zeta(r)$  satisfies the conditions (9.2a).

Assume now that (9.4) holds with

$$\zeta \in N_R = \{\zeta \in C([0, 1]) : \|\zeta\|_\infty < R\}, \quad R \leq \delta,$$

where  $\delta = \min\{1/8, 1/(8|h|)\}$  was defined in (8.9b).

Straight-forward pointwise estimates for  $r \in [0, 1]$  yield

$$(9.5b) \quad \begin{aligned} |\phi(r) - r| &\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\zeta\|_\infty r^3 \leq \frac{1}{6} R r^3 \\ |\phi'(r) - 1| &= |\lambda_1(r) - 1| < \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta\|_\infty r^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} R r^2 \\ |\phi(r)/r - 1| &= |\lambda_2(r) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{6} \|\zeta\|_\infty r^2 \leq \frac{1}{6} R r^2 \\ |\lambda_1(r) - \lambda_2(r)| &\leq \frac{1}{3} \|\zeta\|_\infty r^2 \leq \frac{1}{3} R r^2 \\ \lambda_2(r) &\geq 1 - |\lambda_2(r) - 1| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{6} R r^2 \geq 2/3 \\ |u(r) - 1| &= |\lambda_2(r)^{-1}(\lambda_1(r) - \lambda_2(r))| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta\|_\infty r^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} R r^2 \\ |v(r) - 1| &= |\lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)^2 - 1| \leq 2\|\zeta\|_\infty r^2 \leq 2R r^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (9.5b), it follows that (9.2b), (9.2c) hold, and as a consequence (5.1), (8.9a) also are valid.

Since  $\zeta \in N_R$  is small, we regard  $\phi(r) = r + K\zeta(r)$  as a perturbation of the identity map. Explicitly,  $\zeta(s) \equiv 0$  implies that

$$\phi(r) = r \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(r) = \lambda_2(r) = u(r) = v(r) = 1.$$

Note that  $\phi(r) = r$  solves (8.10a) with  $\mu = 0$ .

Making the substitution (9.4) in equation (8.10a), we find using (9.5a)

$$(9.6a) \quad L\zeta(r) = \mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu)(r),$$

with

$$(9.6b) \quad L\zeta(r) = \zeta(r) + \frac{2}{r^3} \int_0^r \rho^2 \zeta(\rho) d\rho$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu)(r) &= V_1(u(r))(u(r) - 1) \frac{1}{r^2}(\lambda_1(r) - \lambda_2(r)) \\ &\quad + \mu(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1} v(r)^{-\hbar/3+1} V_2(u(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the functions  $V_i(u)$  depend on  $\beta$  and satisfy the uniform estimates (8.10c). Note that

$$\mathcal{F}(0, \beta, \mu)(r) = \mu(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1},$$

since  $V_2(1) = 1$ , as noted in (8.10b). The operator  $L$  in (9.6b) is an isomorphism on  $C([0, 1])$  with inverse

$$(9.7a) \quad L^{-1}\eta(r) = \eta(r) - \frac{2}{r^5} \int_0^r \rho^4 \eta(\rho) d\rho.$$

From (9.6a), (9.7a), we arrive at the reformulation

$$(9.7b) \quad \zeta(r) = L^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu)(r).$$

In order to solve (8.10a), (9.2a), it is sufficient to find a solution  $\zeta$  of (9.7b) in  $N_R$ , with  $R \leq \delta$ .

Let us define

$$\varepsilon = \mu(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1},$$

since this expression appears repeatedly.

The claim is that for  $|\varepsilon| \leq R \ll 1$  the map

$$\zeta \mapsto L^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu)$$

is a uniform contraction on  $N_R$  taking  $N_R$  into itself.

Assume that

$$(9.8) \quad |\varepsilon| \leq R \leq \delta.$$

As a consequence of (8.10c), (9.5b), there are constants  $C_1, C_2$ , independent of  $R$  and  $\beta$ , such that

$$(9.9a) \quad \|\mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu) - \varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C_1 (R^2 + \varepsilon R) \leq 2C_1 R^2,$$

for all  $\zeta \in N_R$ , and

$$(9.9b) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\zeta_1, \beta, \mu) - \mathcal{F}(\zeta_2, \beta, \mu)\|_\infty \\ \leq C_2 (R + \varepsilon) \|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\|_\infty \leq 2C_2 R \|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in N_R$ .

By (9.7a),  $L^{-1}$  is bounded on  $C([0, 1])$ , and  $L^{-1}\varepsilon = 3\varepsilon/5$ , for any constant function  $\varepsilon$ . Thus by (9.9a), (9.8), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|L^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu)\|_\infty &= \|L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\zeta, \beta, \mu) - \varepsilon) + 3\varepsilon/5\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|L^{-1}\| 2C_1 R^2 + 3\varepsilon/5 \leq R/10 + 3R/5 < R, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\zeta \in N_R$ , provided  $\|L^{-1}\|2C_1R \leq 1/10$ . This shows that the map leaves  $N_R$  invariant.

For the contraction estimate, we have from (9.9b)

$$\begin{aligned} \|L^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\zeta_1, \beta, \mu) - L^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\zeta_2, \beta, \mu)\|_\infty \\ \leq \|L^{-1}\| 2C_2R \|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\|_\infty \leq (1/2)\|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in N_R$ , provided  $\|L^{-1}\|2C_2R \leq 1/2$ .

This establishes the claim under the restrictions

$$(9.10) \quad R \leq \delta, \quad 2C_1\|L^{-1}\|R \leq 1/10, \quad 2C_2R\|L^{-1}\| \leq 1/2.$$

By the uniform contraction principle (see [4] Section 2.2, or [17] Section 5.3) the equation (9.7b) has a unique fixed point  $\zeta^{(\beta, \mu)} \in N_R \subset C([0, 1])$ , for each  $\beta, \mu$  such that  $|\varepsilon| \leq R$ , and the map  $(\beta, \mu) \mapsto \zeta^{(\beta, \mu)}$  is continuous. In particular,  $\zeta^{(\beta, 0)}(r) \equiv 0$ , by uniqueness.

For  $|\varepsilon| = |\mu|(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1} \leq R$ , we obtain a solution

$$\phi^{(\beta, \mu)} = r + K\zeta^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)$$

of (8.10a) which satisfies (9.2a), (9.2b), (9.2c) and depends continuously on the parameters.

Since, by definition (8.3a),

$$(9.11) \quad D_r\lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \left( \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right),$$

it follows from (8.10a) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_r \left( \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) + \frac{3}{r} \left( \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) \\ = V_1 \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) \frac{1}{r} \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - 1 \right) \left( \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) \\ + \mu (\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1} r v^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)^{-\hbar/3+1} V_2 \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This, in turn, may be expressed in the form

$$\mathcal{X}'(r) = \mathcal{Y}(r)\mathcal{X}(r) + \mu\mathcal{Z}(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(r) &= r^3 \left( \lambda_1^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) \\ \mathcal{Y}(r) &= V_1 \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right) \frac{1}{r} \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) - 1 \right) \\ \mathcal{Z}(r) &= (\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)^{-1} r^4 v^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)^{-\hbar/3+1} V_2 \left( u^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (9.5b), the coefficients  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$  are continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  is strictly positive on  $(0, 1]$ , by (8.10b). So we can write

$$\mathcal{X}(r) = \mu \int_0^r \left( \exp \int_0^\rho \mathcal{Y}(s) ds \right) \mathcal{Z}(\rho) d\rho.$$

We conclude that if  $\mu > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is strictly positive on  $(0, 1]$ , and then from (9.11), that  $D_r \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r)$  is strictly positive on  $(0, 1]$ . Thus, from (9.2a), we have

$$\lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(r) > \lambda_2^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = D_r \phi^{(\beta, \mu)}(0) = 1, \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

This proves (9.3a), and (9.3b) follows analogously.

We shall now show that for all  $\hbar$  with  $\kappa(\hbar) > 0$  and  $\beta$  sufficiently large, the eigenvalue  $\mu$  may be chosen so that the boundary condition (8.10d), (8.10e) is satisfied.

Assume that  $R$  satisfies the conditions (9.10). Fix

$$(9.12) \quad \beta \geq 7|\hbar|/R.$$

To streamline the notation, define the pair  $\nu(\varepsilon) = (\beta, \varepsilon(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta))$ ,  $|\varepsilon| \leq R$ , and consider the solution family

$$\{\phi^{\nu(\varepsilon)}(r) = r + \zeta^{\nu(\varepsilon)}(r) : |\varepsilon| \leq R\}.$$

The first step will be to establish lower bounds for  $|u^{\nu(\pm R)}(1) - 1|$ . Since  $\zeta^{\nu(\varepsilon)}$  is a fixed point, we have from (9.9a), (9.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta^{\nu(\varepsilon)} - 3\varepsilon/5\|_\infty &= \|L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\zeta^{\nu(\varepsilon)}, \nu(\varepsilon)) - \varepsilon)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|L^{-1}\| 2C_1 R^2 \leq R/10. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon = \pm R$ , this implies that

$$(9.13) \quad \zeta^{\nu(R)}(r) \geq R/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^{\nu(-R)}(r) \leq -R/2, \quad \text{for } r \in [0, 1].$$

From (9.5a), (9.5b), (9.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{\nu(R)}(1) - \lambda_2^{\nu(R)}(1) &= \int_0^1 \rho^2 \zeta^{\nu(R)}(\rho) d\rho \geq (R/2)(1/3) = R/6 \\ \lambda_1^{\nu(-R)}(1) - \lambda_2^{\nu(-R)}(1) &= \int_0^1 \rho^2 \zeta^{\nu(-R)}(\rho) d\rho \leq -R/6 \\ 1 < \lambda_2^{\nu(R)}(1) &= 1 + \int_0^1 (1 - \rho) \rho \zeta^{\nu(R)}(\rho) d\rho \leq 1 + R/6 < 7/6 \\ 1 > \lambda_2^{\nu(-R)}(1) &= 1 + \int_0^1 (1 - \rho) \rho \zeta^{\nu(-R)}(\rho) d\rho \geq 1 - R/6 > 5/6. \end{aligned}$$

These estimates combine to show that

$$(9.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{\nu(R)}(1) - 1 &= \frac{\lambda_1^{\nu(R)}(1) - \lambda_2^{\nu(R)}(1)}{\lambda_2^{\nu(R)}(1)} \geq \frac{R/6}{7/6} = R/7 \\ u^{\nu(-R)}(1) - 1 &= \frac{\lambda_1^{\nu(-R)}(1) - \lambda_2^{\nu(-R)}(1)}{\lambda_2^{\nu(-R)}(1)} \leq \frac{-R/6}{5/6} < -R/7. \end{aligned}$$

On the interval  $\mathcal{U}(\delta) = \{|u - 1| < \delta\}$ , we have

$$\left| \left( \frac{1}{6}\hbar + 1 \right) (u - 1) \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{6}|\hbar| + 1 \right) \delta < 1/4,$$

Thus, it follows from (8.10e) that

$$\left| g_\beta(u) - \frac{1}{3}\hbar - \beta(u - 1) \right| = \beta \left| \left( \frac{1}{6}\hbar + 1 \right) (u - 1)^2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\beta|u - 1|$$

and

$$\left| g'_\beta(u) - \beta \right| = 2\beta \left| \left( \frac{1}{6}\hbar + 1 \right) (u - 1) \right| \leq \beta/2.$$

These estimates imply, in turn, that

$$(9.15) \quad \begin{aligned} g_\beta(u) &\geq \frac{1}{3}\hbar + \frac{3}{4}\beta(u - 1), & 0 < u - 1 < \delta \\ g_\beta(u) &\leq \frac{1}{3}\hbar + \frac{3}{4}\beta(u - 1), & -\delta < u - 1 < 0 \\ g'_\beta(u) &\geq \beta/2, & |u - 1| < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

By (9.15),  $g_\beta$  is increasing on  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$ . Since  $u^{\nu(\varepsilon)}(r)$  take values in  $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$ , we see from (9.12), (9.14), (9.15) that

$$g_\beta(u^{\nu(R)}(1)) \geq g_\beta(1 + R/7) \geq \frac{1}{3}\hbar + \frac{3}{4}\beta(R/7) > \frac{1}{3}\hbar + \frac{3}{4}|\hbar| > 0.$$

$$g_\beta(u^{\nu(-R)}(1)) \leq g_\beta(1 - R/7) \leq \frac{1}{3}\hbar - \frac{3}{4}\beta(R/7) < \frac{1}{3}\hbar - \frac{3}{4}|\hbar| < 0.$$

By continuous dependence upon parameters, the function  $z(\varepsilon) = g_\beta(u^{\nu(\varepsilon)}(1))$  is continuous for  $|\varepsilon| \leq R$ . Note that  $\zeta^{\nu(0)} = 0$  implies  $u^{\nu(0)} = 1$ . In summary, we have  $z(0) = g_\beta(1) = \hbar/3$ ,  $z(R) > 0$ , and  $z(-R) < 0$ . Thus, by continuity, if  $\hbar < 0$ , then  $z(\varepsilon)$  has a zero  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, R)$ , and if  $\hbar > 0$ , then  $z(\varepsilon)$  has a zero  $\varepsilon_0 \in (-R, 0)$ .

Therefore, we have shown that  $\phi^{(\beta, \mu)}$  satisfies the boundary condition (8.10d), (8.10e), with the eigenvalue  $\mu = \varepsilon_0(\kappa(\hbar) + \beta)$ . □

**Corollary 9.2.** *Fix  $\hbar$  with  $\kappa(\hbar) > 0$ . Suppose that  $W_\beta$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d), and*

$$W_\beta \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = v^{\hbar/3} f_\beta(u) = v^{\hbar/3} \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(u - 1)^2 \right),$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $\omega \in S^2$ . Let  $S_\beta$  be defined by (2.2a), with  $W = W_\beta$ .

If  $\hbar < 0$ , respectively  $\hbar > 3$ , and  $\beta$  is sufficiently large, then there exists a  $C^2$  spherically symmetric orientation-preserving deformation



$\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mu > 0$ , respectively  $\mu < 0$ , satisfying (3.4a), (3.4b), with  $S = S_\beta$ .

If  $\mathfrak{h} < 0$ , then  $\varphi(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) \supset \bar{\mathcal{B}}$  and the principal stretches satisfy  $\lambda_1(r) > \lambda_2(r) > 1$ ,  $r \in (0, 1]$ , while when  $\mathfrak{h} > 3$ , the inclusion and inequalities are reversed.

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$ . If  $\beta$  is sufficiently large, Theorem 9.1 ensures the existence of an eigenvalue  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\text{sgn } \mu = -\text{sgn } \mathfrak{h}$ , and a function  $\phi = \phi^{(\beta, \mu)} \in C^2([0, 1])$  satisfying the ODE (8.10a) and the boundary condition 8.10e, as well as the conditions (9.2a), (9.2b), (9.2c). It follows that  $\phi$  also fulfills the conditions (5.1) and (8.9a). By Lemma 5.1,  $\varphi(y) = \phi(r)\omega$  is a  $C^2$  spherically symmetric orientation-preserving deformation on  $\mathcal{B}$ . By Lemmas 8.2 and 7.1,  $\varphi$  satisfies (3.4a), (3.4b), with  $S = S_\beta$ .

Since  $\varphi(\bar{\mathcal{B}})$  is a sphere of radius  $\phi(1) = \lambda_2(1)$ , the final statements follow from (9.3a), (9.3b).  $\square$

*Remark.* The existence of a strain energy function  $W_\beta$  satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 9.2 will be settled in Theorem 11.1.

*Remark.* Since we have assumed that the reference density is  $\bar{\rho} = 1$ , the density of the deformed configuration in material coordinates is  $\varrho \circ \varphi(y) = v(r)^{-1} = (\lambda_1(r)\lambda_2(r)^2)^{-1}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h} < 0$ , we have  $\varrho \circ \varphi(y) < 1$ , for  $r \in (0, 1]$ , and if  $\mathfrak{h} > 3$ , we have  $\varrho \circ \varphi(y) > 1$ , for  $r \in (0, 1]$ .

## 10. EXISTENCE OF EXPANDING AND COLLAPSING BODIES

Putting together the results of Lemma 3.1 and 4.1 with Corollary 9.2 yields our main result.

**Theorem 10.1.** *Fix  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\kappa(\mathfrak{h}) > 0$ . Suppose that  $W_\beta$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d), and*

$$W_\beta \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = v^{\mathfrak{h}/3} f_\beta(u) = v^{\mathfrak{h}/3} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(u-1)^2\right),$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  and  $\omega \in S^2$ , where  $\beta$  is sufficiently large. Let  $S_\beta$  be defined by (2.2a), with  $W = W_\beta$ , and let  $\varphi : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the  $C^2$  spherically symmetric orientation-preserving deformation solving (3.4a), (3.4b) with corresponding eigenvalue  $\mu$ , such that  $\text{sgn } \mu = -\text{sgn } \mathfrak{h}$ , given in Corollary 9.2.

Given the eigenvalue  $\mu$ , let  $a : [0, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be the  $C^2$  solution of the initial value problem for (3.3) with initial data  $(a(0), \dot{a}(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ , from Lemma 4.1.

Then by Lemma 3.1,  $x(t, y) = a(t)\varphi(y)$  is a motion in  $C^2([0, \tau) \times \bar{\mathcal{B}})$  satisfying (3.1a), (3.1b). Under this motion, the spatial configuration of the elastic body at time  $t$  is a sphere  $\Omega_t$  of radius  $a(t)\phi(1)$ .

If  $\hbar < 0$ , then  $\tau = +\infty$  and  $0 < (2E(0))^{1/2} - a(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $E(0) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}(0)^2 - \frac{\mu}{\hbar}a(0)^\hbar$ .

If  $\hbar > 3$ , then  $\tau < \infty$  and  $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \tau$ .

*Remark.* The existence of a strain energy function  $W_\beta$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 10.1 is guaranteed by Theorem 11.1 below.

*Remark.* By (8.7), the sign of the residual pressure  $\mathcal{P}(1) = -\hbar/3$  determines whether the body expands or collapses.

## 11. CONSTITUTIVE THEORY

The next result is a partial converse to Lemma 8.1.

**Theorem 11.1.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is smooth, with  $f'(1) = 0$ , then there exists a  $C^2$  strain energy function  $W$  satisfying (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d), such that (8.2) holds.*

*Remark.* Note that  $f$  is required to be strictly positive and sufficiently differentiable. The function  $f_\beta$  defined in (8.8) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 11.1.

*Proof of Theorem 11.1.* As motivation for the construction, we recall that a strain energy function  $W$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c) if and only if it can be expressed as a nonnegative function of the principal invariants of the left (or right) stretch tensor, (see for example [14], Section 4.3.4). For  $F \in \text{GL}_+(3, \mathbb{R})$ , the stretch tensor  $A = (FF^\top)^{1/2}$  is positive definite, symmetric with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^3$ . The (rescaled) the principal invariants of the stretch tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(A) &= \frac{1}{3} \text{tr } A = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \\ (11.1) \quad I_2(A) &= \frac{1}{3} \text{tr } \text{cof } A = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_3\lambda_1) \\ I_3(A) &= \det A = \det F = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3. \end{aligned}$$

The normalizing factors of  $1/3$  are included so that  $I_i(A) = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , when  $F$  is equal to the identity. For our purposes, it is better to work with the shear strain tensor  $\Sigma(A) = (\det A)^{-1/3}A$ , see [20], so we set

$$\begin{aligned} (11.2) \quad H_1(A) &= I_1(\Sigma(A)) = I_3(A)^{-1/3}I_1(A) \\ H_2(A) &= I_2(\Sigma(A)) = I_3(A)^{-2/3}I_2(A). \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Lemma 5.2 that  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  is positive definite and symmetric with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2$ . So from (8.1b), (11.1), (11.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3(F(\lambda, \omega)) &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 = v \\
 H_1(F(\lambda, \omega)) &= I_3(F(\lambda, \omega))^{-1/3} I_1(F(\lambda, \omega)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)^{-1/3} (\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (u^{2/3} + 2u^{-1/3}) \\
 (11.3) \quad &\equiv h_1(u) \\
 H_2(F(\lambda, \omega)) &= I_3(F(\lambda, \omega))^{-2/3} I_2(F(\lambda, \omega)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)^{-2/3} (\lambda_2^2 + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (u^{-2/3} + 2u^{1/3}) \\
 &\equiv h_2(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

With these preliminaries, we can begin the proof proper.

Suppose that we are given a smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $f$  in  $C^8$  will be sufficient) such that  $f'(1) = 0$ . Assume for the moment that we can find a  $C^2$  function  $\widehat{W} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(11.4) \quad f(u) = \widehat{W}(h_1(u), h_2(u)), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where the functions  $h_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  were defined in (11.3). This is not entirely routine because the curve

$$(11.5) \quad \mathcal{H} = \{(h_1(u), h_2(u)) : u \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

has a cusp at  $u = 1$ , as shown in Figure 1.

Under the assumption (11.4), we use (11.2) to define

$$W(F) = I_3(A)^{6/3} \widehat{W}(H_1(A), H_2(A)),$$

where  $A = (FF^\top)^{1/2}$ . Thus,  $W$  automatically satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d). The functions  $\{I_j(A)\}_{j=1}^3$  depend smoothly upon  $F$  (see [10], Section 3), so  $\widehat{W} \in C^2$  implies that  $W \in C^2$ . Finally, by (11.3), (11.4), we have

$$W \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = v^{6/3} \widehat{W}(h_1(u), h_2(u)) = v^{6/3} f(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

so that (8.2) holds. Thus, it is sufficient to prove (11.4).

Equivalently, the result will be proven if we can find a  $C^2$  function  $\widetilde{W} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\widetilde{W}(\ell_1(u), \ell_2(u)) = f(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\ell_1(u) = h_1(u) + h_2(u) - 2, \quad \ell_2(u) = h_1(u) - h_2(u),$$

for then we can simply take

$$\widehat{W}(x_1, x_2) = \widetilde{W}(x_1 + x_2 - 2, x_1 - x_2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Observe that  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$(11.6a) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_1(1) = \ell'_1(1) = 0, & \quad \ell''_1(1) \neq 0, \\ \ell_2(1) = \ell'_2(1) = \ell''_2(1) = 0, & \quad \ell'''_2(1) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(11.6b) \quad |\ell_2^{(k)}(u)| \sim |u - 1|^{3-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad |u - 1| \ll 1.$$

The function  $\ell_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism, and it can be written as

$$(11.6c) \quad \ell_2(u) = \hat{\ell}_2(u)(u - 1)^3,$$

where  $\hat{\ell}_2$  is a smooth positive function.

Let  $\xi = \ell_2^{-1}$ . Then by (11.6b),

$$|v| = |\ell_2 \circ \xi(v)| \sim |\xi(v) - 1|^3, \quad |v| \ll 1,$$

and so

$$(11.7a) \quad |\xi(v) - 1| \sim |v|^{1/3}, \quad |v| \ll 1.$$

Now  $\xi \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , and for  $v \neq 0$ , we have

$$\xi'(v) = 1/\ell'_2 \circ \xi(v), \quad \xi''(v) = -\ell''_2 \circ \xi(v) / (\ell'_2 \circ \xi(v))^3.$$

Thus, for  $0 < |v| \ll 1$ , we obtain from (11.6b), (11.7a),

$$(11.7b) \quad \begin{aligned} |\xi'(v)| &\sim |\xi(v) - 1|^{-2} \sim |v|^{-2/3}, \\ |\xi''(v)| &\sim |\xi(v) - 1|^{-5} \sim |v|^{-5/3}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , define the smooth function

$$g(u) = f(u) - f(1) - g_1 \ell_1(u) - g_2 \ell_2(u) - g_3 \ell_1(u)^2 - g_4 \ell_1(u) \ell_2(u),$$

with  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^4$  to be determined so that

$$(11.8) \quad g^{(k)}(1) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, 5.$$

Using the hypothesis  $f'(1) = 0$  and (11.6a), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(1) &= 0 \\ g'(1) &= 0 \\ g^{(2)}(1) &= f^{(2)}(1) - g_1 \ell_1^{(2)}(1) \\ g^{(3)}(1) &= f^{(3)}(1) - g_1 \ell_1^{(3)}(1) - g_2 \ell_2^{(3)}(1) \\ g^{(4)}(1) &= f^{(4)}(1) - g_1 \ell_1^{(4)}(1) - g_2 \ell_2^{(4)}(1) - 6g_3 \ell_1^{(2)}(1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$g^{(5)}(1) = f^{(5)}(1) - g_1 \ell_1^{(5)}(1) - g_2 \ell_2^{(5)}(1) - 20g_3 \ell_1^{(2)}(1) \ell_1^{(3)}(1) \\ - 10g_4 \ell_1^{(2)}(1) \ell_2^{(3)}(1).$$

Since  $\ell_1^{(2)}(1), \ell_2^{(3)}(1) \neq 0$ , this system is diagonal, and there exist unique values  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^4$  such that (11.8) holds. Now  $g$  is smooth, so by (11.8), there exists  $G \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$g(u) = (u - 1)^6 G(u).$$

By (11.6c), we may write

$$g(u) = \ell_2(u)^2 \hat{\ell}_2(u)^{-2} G(u) \equiv \ell_2(u)^2 \hat{G}(u),$$

with  $\hat{G} = (\hat{\ell}_2)^{-2} \cdot G \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . This, in turn, may be expressed as

$$g(u) = \tilde{G} \circ \ell_2(u), \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{G}(v) = v^2 \hat{G} \circ \xi(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Although  $\xi$  is not differentiable at  $v = 0$ , it follows from (11.7a), (11.7b) that  $\tilde{G} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , define

$$\tilde{W}(x) = f(1) + g_1 x_1 + g_2 x_2 + g_3 x_1^2 + g_4 x_1 x_2 + \tilde{G}(x_2).$$

Then  $\tilde{W}$  is in  $C^2$  and  $\tilde{W}(\ell_1(u), \ell_2(u)) = f(u)$ , as desired.

Since  $f > 0$ , by assumption, the resulting function  $\tilde{W}$  is positive in a neighborhood of the curve  $\mathcal{H}$ , and it can be modified away from  $\mathcal{H}$ , if necessary, to ensure positivity on its entire domain without changing its values along the curve  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The range of the map  $A \mapsto (H_1(A), H_2(A))$  on the domain of positive definite symmetric matrices  $A$  is given by the region

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : \Delta \equiv 3x_1^2 x_2^2 - 4x_1^3 - 4x_2^3 + 6x_1 x_2 - 1 \geq 0\}.$$

The boundary of this region corresponds to positive definite symmetric matrices  $A$  with a repeated eigenvalue, and it is given by the curve  $\mathcal{H}$  defined in (11.5). This is because if  $A$  has eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^3$  and


$$(x_1, x_2) = (H_1(A), H_2(A)),$$

then

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{27}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)^2 / (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)^2,$$

which is nonnegative and vanishes if and only if  $A$  has a repeated eigenvalue.<sup>2</sup> By the spectral theorem, a matrix  $A$  is positive definite, symmetric, with a repeated eigenvalue if and only if  $A = F(\lambda, \omega)$ , for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,  $\omega \in S^2$ , so  $\partial \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H}$ , by (11.3).

<sup>2</sup>The expression  $\Delta$  is the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of the shear strain tensor.

FIGURE 1. The region  $\mathcal{R}$  and its boundary  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 11.2.** *Any  $C^2$  strain energy function  $W$  satisfying (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d) is consistent with the Baker-Ericksen condition [1] along  $\mathcal{H}$ , provided (8.2) holds with*

$$f'(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f''(u) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

*Proof.* As noted in Lemma 5.2, the eigenvalues of  $F(\lambda, \omega)$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  with corresponding eigenspaces  $\text{span}\{\omega\}, \text{span}\{\omega\}^\perp$ . By (6.2b), the Cauchy stress  $T \circ F(\lambda, \omega)$  shares these eigenspaces, with corresponding eigenvalues

$$t_1(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)^{-1} \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda), \quad t_2(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda).$$

Since the eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  is repeated, the Baker-Ericksen inequality reads

$$\frac{t_1(\lambda) - t_2(\lambda)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \geq 0,$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . This is equivalent to the statement

$$\frac{\lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \geq 0,$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .

By (8.1a), (8.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{,1}(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{,2}(\lambda)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\ &= v^{(\hbar-1)/3} u^{-2/3} \frac{\frac{\hbar}{3}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 u) f(u) + (\lambda_1 u + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 u^2) f'(u)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\ &= v^{(\hbar-1)/3} u^{-2/3} \frac{3u^2 f'(u)}{2(u-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . This is nonnegative since  $f'(1) = 0$  and  $f'' \geq 0$ , by assumption. (Note that if  $f'' > 0$ , then the inequality is strict.)  $\square$

*Remark.* The function  $f_\beta$  defined in (8.8) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 11.2 with strict inequality:  $f''_\beta > 0$ .

As a final result, we discuss the physical significance of the parameters  $\kappa(\hbar)$  and  $\beta$ .

**Lemma 11.3.** *Let  $\hbar \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 3]$  and  $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $W$  satisfies (2.1a), (2.1b), (2.1c), (2.1d), and*

$$W \circ F(\lambda, \omega) = v^{\hbar/3} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(u-1)^2\right), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad \omega \in S^2.$$

*Then the bulk and shear moduli of  $W$  at  $F = I$  are*

$$\kappa = \kappa(\hbar) = \frac{\hbar}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar}{3} - 1\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{g} = \frac{3}{4}\beta,$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* The bulk modulus at  $F = I$  is defined as the change in  $-\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$  with respect to the fractional change in volume at  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus, from (8.7), we find that

$$\kappa = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{-\mathcal{P}(\alpha) + \mathcal{P}(1)}{\alpha^3 - 1} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{\hbar}{3}(\alpha^{\hbar-3} - 1)}{\alpha^3 - 1} = \kappa(\hbar).$$

For isotropic materials, the linearization of the operator

$$D \cdot S(D_y \varphi)$$

at  $\varphi(y) = y$  is

$$(11.9) \quad \mathcal{g} \Delta \bar{\varphi} + \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{g}\right) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{\varphi}), \quad \bar{\varphi}(y) = \varphi(y) - y,$$

where  $\mathcal{g}$  is the shear modulus. In the case of spherically symmetric deformations, we have from (5.3b) that

$$\Delta \varphi(y) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \varphi(y)) = \left(\phi''(r) + \frac{2}{r} \phi'(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} \phi(r)\right) \omega,$$

and so (11.9) reduces to

$$\left(\kappa + \frac{4}{3}g\right) \left(\bar{\phi}''(r) + \frac{2}{r}\bar{\phi}'(r) - \frac{2}{r^2}\bar{\phi}(r)\right) \omega, \quad \bar{\phi}(r) = \phi(r) - r.$$

Comparing this with (8.4a), we obtain

$$\kappa + \frac{4}{3}g = U_1(1) = \kappa(\mathfrak{h}) + \beta,$$

which implies that  $g = \frac{3}{4}\beta$ .

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] BAKER, M., AND ERICKSEN, J. L. Inequalities restricting the form of the stress-deformation relations for isotropic elastic solids and Reiner-Rivlin fluids. *J. Washington Acad. Sci.* *44* (1954), 33–35.
- [2] BALL, J. M., CURRIE, J. C., AND OLVER, P. J. Null Lagrangians, weak continuity, and variational problems of arbitrary order. *J. Funct. Anal.* *41*, 2 (1981), 135–174.
- [3] CALOGERO, S. On self-gravitating polytropic elastic balls, arXiv: 2104.11126, 2021.
- [4] CHOW, S. N., AND HALE, J. K. *Methods of bifurcation theory*, vol. 251 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [5] COUTAND, D., LINDBLAD, H., AND SHKOLLER, S. A priori estimates for the free-boundary 3D compressible Euler equations in physical vacuum. *Commun. Math. Phys.* *296*, 2 (2010), 559–587.
- [6] DENG, Y., XIANG, J., AND YANG, T. Blowup phenomena of solutions to Euler-Poisson equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *286*, 1 (2003), 295–306.
- [7] FU, C.-C., AND LIN, S.-S. On the critical mass of the collapse of a gaseous star in spherically symmetric and isentropic motion. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* *15*, 3 (1998), 461–469.
- [8] GOLDREICH, P., AND WEBER, S. Homologously collapsing stellar cores. *Astrophys. J.* *238* (1980), 991–997.
- [9] GUO, Y., HADŽIĆ, M., AND JANG, J. Continued gravitational collapse for Newtonian stars. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* *239*, 1 (2021), 431–552.
- [10] GURTIN, M. E. *An introduction to continuum mechanics*, vol. 158 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1981.
- [11] KOCH, H. Mixed problems for fully nonlinear hyperbolic equations. *Math. Z.* *214*, 1 (1993), 9–42.
- [12] LINDBLAD, H. Well posedness for the motion of a compressible liquid with free surface boundary. *Commun. Math. Phys.* *260*, 2 (2005), 319–392.
- [13] MAKINO, T. Blowing up solutions of the Euler-Poisson equation for the evolution of gaseous stars. *Transport theory and statistical physics* *21*, 4–6 (1992), 615–624.
- [14] OGDEN, R. W. *Nonlinear elastic deformations*. Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and its Applications. Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York, 1984.



- [15] SHIBATA, Y., AND KIKUCHI, M. On the mixed problem for some quasilinear hyperbolic system with fully nonlinear boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* 80, 1 (1989), 154–197.
- [16] SHIBATA, Y., AND NAKAMURA, G. On a local existence theorem of Neumann problem for some quasilinear hyperbolic systems of 2nd order. *Math. Z.* 202, 1 (1989), 1–64.
- [17] SIDERIS, T. C. *Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems*, vol. 2 of *Atlantis Studies in Differential Equations*. Atlantis Press, Paris, 2013.
- [18] SIDERIS, T. C. Global existence and asymptotic behavior of affine motion of 3D ideal fluids surrounded by vacuum. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 225, 1 (2017), 141–176.
- [19] STOPPELLI, F. Un teorema di esistenza ed unicità relativo alle equazioni dell’elastostatica isoterma per deformazioni finite. *Ricerche Mat.* 3 (1954), 247–267.
- [20] TAHVILDAR-ZADEH, A. S. Relativistic and nonrelativistic elastodynamics with small shear strains. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* 69, 3 (1998), 275–307.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA

*Email address:* sideris@math.ucsb.edu