

ONE DIMENSIONAL DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH RESONANT EMBEDDED EIGENVALUES

WENCAI LIU AND KANG LYU

Dedicated to the memory of Sergey Nikolaevich Naboko (1950–2020)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a new family of functions to construct Schrödinger operators with embedded eigenvalues. This particularly allows us to construct discrete Schrödinger operators with arbitrary prescribed sets of eigenvalues.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the discrete Schrödinger operator,

$$Hu(n) = (\Delta + V)u(n) = u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V(n)u(n),$$

and the eigen-equation $Hu = Eu$, where Δ is the free discrete Schrödinger operator, and V is the potential.

For simplicity, we only consider the eigen-equation on the half line,

$$(1) \quad Hu(n) = u(n+1) + u(n-1) + V(n)u(n) = Eu(n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

with the boundary condition

$$(2) \quad \frac{u(1)}{u(0)} = \tan \theta, \theta \in [0, \pi).$$

By Weyl's criterion for the essential spectrum, when $V(n) = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the essential spectrum of H

$$\sigma_{ess}(H) = \sigma_{ess}(\Delta) = [-2, 2].$$

We are interested in constructions of potentials V such that $H = \Delta + V$ have eigenvalues embedded into the essential spectrum $[-2, 2]$.

The study of problems of embedded eigenvalues has a long history. The classical Wigner-von Neumann functions $V_k(x) = \frac{K}{1+x} \sin(kx + \phi)$ could provide one embedded eigenvalue. Naboko [20] and Simon [24] constructed continuous Schrödinger operators with countably many (dense) eigenvalues. Recently there are remarkable developments in the area of embedded eigenvalues for both continuous and discrete Schrödinger operators [1, 4–6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16–19, 23, 25].

The previous results about perturbed discrete Schrödinger operators can be summarized as follows. For any $E \in (-2, 2)$, let $E = 2 \cos \pi k(E)$ with $k(E) \in$

$(0, 1)$ ($k(E)$ is referred to as quasimomentum). Naboko and Yakovlev [22] constructed potentials V such that $\Delta + V$ have any given eigenvalues that their quasimomenta are rationally independent (see [8, 20, 24] for continuous cases and [12, 21] for Stark type potentials). In [3], Jitomirskaya and Liu introduced the piecewise constructions and gluing techniques to construct embedded eigenvalues of Laplacians on non-compact manifolds. Those techniques have been further developed by Liu and Ong in [15]. In particular, they constructed potentials of any prescribed embedded eigenvalues when their quasimomenta are non-resonant, namely $k(E) + k(\tilde{E}) \neq 1$ (in other words, $E \neq -\tilde{E}$). See [13] for an alternative construction based on explicit Wigner-von Neumann type functions.

It is natural to ask if we could relax the non-resonance assumption in [13, 15], namely construct discrete Schrödinger operators with arbitrary prescribed sets of eigenvalues.

When $k(E) = 1 - \tilde{k}(E)$, their corresponding Wigner-von Neumann functions $V_k(x) = \frac{K}{1+x} \sin(kx + \phi)$ and $V_{\tilde{k}}(x) = \frac{K}{1+x} \sin(\tilde{k}x + \phi)$ are the same up to a phase ϕ . This is a big obstacle to construct potentials such that both E and \tilde{E} are eigenvalues. We also want to mention that non-resonant conditions appear naturally in the study of Schrödinger operators with Wigner-von Neumann type or bounded variation potentials [2, 19].

The main goal of this paper is to construct arbitrary embedded eigenvalues (without non-resonance restriction). The proof (contains two steps) follows the general scheme of Jitomirskaya and Liu [3]. The first step is to construct a “nice” function (we call it a generating function) attached to one chosen eigenvalue E . The second step is to glue all pieces together by adding eigenvalues slowly (the idea is inspired by Naboko [20] and Simon [24]). With the recent development [12, 13, 15], the second step is rather standard. So following the plan of Jitomirskaya and Liu [3], the difficulty lies in finding the generating function. The Wigner-von Neumann function $V_k(x) = \frac{K}{1+x} \sin(kx + \phi)$ (k is the quasimomentum of E) is a natural candidate, which has been used to do the constructions in [3] and [13]. In order to study perturbed periodic operators, inspired by [8], Liu and Ong [15] chose the generating function by solving an equation involving Prüfer angles and potentials. Both generating functions fail in the case of resonant eigenvalues, since the generating function attached to E completely breaks the oscillatory estimate to the eigen-equation $Hu = \tilde{E}u$ (for example, see Lemma 4.2).

In the present work, we propose a new family of functions as our generating functions. There are two novelties in our constructions of generating functions. We first obtain a function $\tilde{V}_k + \tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}}$ attached to a pair of resonant eigenvalues E and \tilde{E} by solving a system of two equations. Both functions \tilde{V}_k and $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}}$ have similar properties of (but not) Wigner-von Neumann functions. However, $\tilde{V}_k + \tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}}$ can not directly serve as a generating function since the Prüfer angle

$\theta_{\tilde{k}}(n) + \theta_k(n)$ may stay fixed up to an integer by the fact that $k + \tilde{k} = 1$. In order to overcome the difficulty, we modify the function $\tilde{V}_k + \tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}}$ by adding an extra function $\frac{1}{1+n}$. In other words, our generating functions have the format $\tilde{V}_k + \tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}} + \frac{1}{1+n}$. The additional function $\frac{1}{1+n}$ will play a significant role in our constructions. We believe the novel family of functions $\tilde{V}_k + \tilde{V}_{\tilde{k}} + \frac{1}{1+n}$ introduced in this paper will have wider applications, in particular problems of perturbed periodic operators with resonant embedded eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are a finite set of distinct points in $(-2, 2)$. Then for any given $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset [0, \pi)$, there exist potentials $V(n) = \frac{O(1)}{1+n}$ such that for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the eigen-equation $Hu = (\Delta + V)u = E_j u$ has a solution $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$ satisfying the boundary condition*

$$\frac{u(1)}{u(0)} = \tan \theta_j.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are a countable set of distinct points in $(-2, 2)$. Then for any given $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset [0, \pi)$ and any non-decreasing positive function $h(n)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n) = \infty$, there exist potentials V satisfying*

$$|V(n)| \leq \frac{h(n)}{1+n},$$

such that for any $j = 1, 2, \dots$, the eigen-equation $Hu = (\Delta + V)u = E_j u$ has a solution $u \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$ satisfying the boundary condition

$$\frac{u(1)}{u(0)} = \tan \theta_j.$$

2. SOME BASIC LEMMAS

The basic tool we use in this paper is the modified Prüfer transformation. We refer readers to [7] and [23] for more details.

For any $E \in (-2, 2)$, denote by $E = 2 \cos \pi k$ with $k = k(E) \in (0, 1)$. Suppose $u(n) = u(n, E)$ is a solution of (1). Let

$$Y(n) = \frac{1}{\sin \pi k} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \pi k & 0 \\ -\cos \pi k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(n-1) \\ u(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the Prüfer variables $R(n)$ and $\theta(n)$ as

$$Y(n) = R(n) \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi\theta(n) - \pi k) \\ \cos(\pi\theta(n) - \pi k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $R(n)$ and $\theta(n)$ obey

$$(3) \quad \frac{R(n+1)^2}{R(n)^2} = 1 - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin 2\pi\theta(n) + \frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k} \sin^2 \pi\theta(n)$$

and

$$(4) \quad \cot(\pi\theta(n+1) - \pi k) = \cot \pi\theta(n) - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}.$$

When $|\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}| \leq \frac{1}{10}$, by (3), one has that

$$(5) \quad \ln R(n+1)^2 - \ln R(n)^2 = -\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin 2\pi\theta(n) + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right)$$

Now let us introduce some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [7, Proposition 2.4] Suppose $|\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}| < \frac{1}{2}$, then the $\theta(n)$ defined by (4) satisfies

$$(6) \quad |\theta(n+1) - \theta(n) - k| \leq \left| \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \right|.$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $|\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}| < \frac{1}{10}$, then the $\theta(n)$ defined by (4) satisfies

$$(7) \quad \theta(n+1) = \theta(n) + k + \sin^2 \pi\theta(n) \frac{V(n)}{\pi \sin \pi k} + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right).$$

Proof. Denote by $\theta_1 = \pi\theta(n+1) - \pi k$, $\theta_0 = \pi\theta(n)$.

Since

$$e^{2i\theta} = 1 - \frac{2}{1 + i \cot \theta},$$

by (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2i\theta_1} &= e^{2i\theta_0} - \frac{\frac{iV(n)}{2\sin \pi k}(e^{2i\theta_0} - 1)^2}{1 - \frac{iV(n)}{2\sin \pi k}(1 - e^{2i\theta_0})} \\ &= e^{2i\theta_0} - \frac{iV(n)}{2\sin \pi k}(e^{2i\theta_0} - 1)^2 \left(1 + O\left(\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}\right)\right) \\ &= e^{2i\theta_0} \left(1 - \frac{iV(n)}{2\sin \pi k} e^{2i\theta_0} - \frac{iV(n)}{2\sin \pi k} e^{-2i\theta_0} + \frac{iV(n)}{\sin \pi k}\right) + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right) \\ &= e^{2i\theta_0} \left(1 - \frac{iV(n)}{\sin \pi k} \cos 2\theta_0 + \frac{iV(n)}{\sin \pi k}\right) + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right) \\ &= e^{2i\theta_0} \left(1 + \frac{2iV(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin^2 \theta_0\right) + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right) \\ (8) \quad &= e^{2i\theta_0} e^{2i\frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin^2 \theta_0} + O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|e^{i\theta} - 1| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} |\theta|$, by (8) one obtains

$$\frac{4}{\pi} \left| \theta_1 - \theta_0 - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin^2 \theta_0 \right| \leq \left| e^{2i\theta_1} - e^{2i(\theta_0 + \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k} \sin^2 \theta_0)} \right| = O\left(\frac{V(n)^2}{\sin^2 \pi k}\right).$$

This leads to (7). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $k \in (0, 1)$ with $k \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on k and $\varepsilon > 0$) such that for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in \{2, 4\}$, we have*

$$(9) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \cos(\theta \pm \nu \pi k l) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$(10) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sin(\theta \pm \nu \pi k l) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Clearly, (10) follows from (9). In order to avoid repetition, we only prove (9) for $\nu = 2$.

Case 1: k is rational

In this case, let $k = \frac{p}{q}$, and p and q are coprime. Direct computations imply that for $x \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\cos \theta + \cos(\theta + x) + \cdots + \cos(\theta + (N-1)x) = \frac{\sin(\theta - \frac{x}{2} + Nx) - \sin(\theta - \frac{x}{2})}{2 \sin \frac{x}{2}}.$$

Therefore, one has that for $N = q$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \cos(\theta + 2\pi k l) \right| = 0.$$

This obviously implies (9).

Case 2: k is irrational

We note that the map $T : x \rightarrow x + 2k\pi \pmod{2\pi}$ is ergodic. By the ergodicity, one has that for large N ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \cos(\theta + 2\pi k l) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \cos(\theta + 2\pi k l) - \int_0^{2\pi} \cos t dt \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We finish the proof. □

3. TECHNICAL PREPARATIONS

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, which will be determined later.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \in (0, 1)$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $F = F(n)$ and $\varphi = \varphi(n)$ satisfy for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(11) \quad \ln F(n_0 + (m+1)N)^2 = \ln F(n_0 + mN)^2 - \frac{K}{2(n_0 + mN - b) \sin \pi k} (1 - \cos 2\pi\varphi(n_0 + mN) + \delta(m))$$

and

(12)

$$\varphi(n_0 + (m+1)N) = \varphi(n_0 + mN) + L + \frac{K}{(n_0 + mN - b)\pi \sin \pi k} (100 + \varepsilon(m)),$$

where $K > 10^8\pi N$, $|\delta(m)| \leq \frac{\sin \pi k}{10^4}$ and $|\varepsilon(m)| < 1$. Then we have that for large enough $n_0 - b > 0$,

$$(13) \quad F(n_0 + mN) \leq 1.5F(n_0) \left(\frac{n_0 - b + mN}{n_0 - b} \right)^{-100}.$$

Proof. Denote by $\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) = \varphi(n_0 + mN) - mL$. Then by (11) and (12) one has that

$$(14) \quad \ln F(n_0 + (m+1)N)^2 = \ln F(n_0 + mN)^2 - \frac{K}{2(n_0 + mN - b) \sin \pi k} (1 - \cos 2\pi\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) + \delta(m))$$

and

(15)

$$\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m+1)N) = \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) + \frac{K}{(n_0 + mN - b)\pi \sin \pi k} (100 + \varepsilon(m)).$$

Inequality $1 - \cos 2\pi\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) + \delta(m) \geq -\frac{\sin \pi k}{10^4}$ and (14) imply that

$$(16) \quad \ln F(n_0 + (m+1)N)^2 \leq \ln F(n_0 + mN)^2 + \frac{K}{2 \times 10^4} \frac{1}{n_0 + mN - b}.$$

In the case that $\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) \bmod \mathbb{Z} \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$, one has that

$$1 - \cos 2\pi\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + mN) + \delta(m) \geq 1,$$

and hence (by (14)),

$$(17) \quad \ln F(n_0 + (m+1)N)^2 \leq \ln F(n_0 + mN)^2 - \frac{K}{2 \sin \pi k} \frac{1}{n_0 + mN - b}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\tilde{\varphi}(n_0) \in [-\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}]$. By (15) we have that there exists an increasing sequence $\{m_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + m_{2l}N) \leq \frac{3l-1}{3}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m_{2l}+1)N) > \frac{3l-1}{3},$$

and

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N) \leq \frac{3l+1}{3}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m_{2l+1} + 1)N) > \frac{3l+1}{3}.$$

By (15), one has that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m_{2l+1} + 1)N) \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + m_{2l}N) + \sum_{m=m_{2l}}^{m_{2l+1}} \frac{K}{(n_0 + mN - b)\pi \sin \pi k} (100 + \varepsilon(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (18) and (19) imply that

$$(21) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m_{2l+1} + 1)N) - \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + m_{2l}N) \geq \frac{2}{3}.$$

By (20) and (21), we have that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3} &\leq \sum_{m=m_{2l}}^{m_{2l+1}} \frac{K}{(n_0 + mN - b)\pi \sin \pi k} (100 + \varepsilon(m)) \\ &\leq 101 \frac{K}{N\pi \sin \pi k} \sum_{m=m_{2l}}^{m_{2l+1}} \frac{1}{\frac{n_0-b}{N} + m} \\ &\leq 102C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_k = \frac{K}{N\pi \sin \pi k} \geq 10^8$.

Replacing (21) with the inequality

$$\tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N) - \tilde{\varphi}(n_0 + (m_{2l} + 1)N) \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

and following the proof of (22), we have

$$98C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Therefore, one concludes that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(23) \quad 98C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{2}{3} \leq 102C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b}.$$

Similar to the proof of (23), we have that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(24) \quad 98C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{1}{3} \leq 102C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}.$$

Rewrite (23) and (24) as

$$(25) \quad \frac{1}{153C_k} \leq \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{1}{147C_k},$$

and

$$(26) \quad \frac{1}{306C_k} \leq \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{1}{294C_k}.$$

By (16) and (17), we obtain for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln F(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N)^2 &\leq \ln F(n_0 + m_{2l}N)^2 + \frac{K}{10^4 N} \ln \frac{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{m_{2l}N + n_0 - b}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \ln F(n_0 + m_{2l+2}N)^2 &\leq \ln F(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N)^2 - \frac{K}{2N \sin \pi k} \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{m_{2l+1}N + n_0 - b}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (25) and (27), one has for large $n_0 - b$,

$$(29) \quad F(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N)^2 \leq F(n_0 + m_{2l}N)^2 e^{\frac{K}{146NC_k \times 10^4}} \leq F(n_0 + m_{2l}N)^2 e^{\frac{1}{10^5}}.$$

By (26) and (28), one has for large $n_0 - b$,

$$(30) \quad F(n_0 + m_{2l+2}N)^2 \leq F(n_0 + m_{2l+1}N)^2 e^{-\frac{K}{613NC_k \sin \pi k}} \leq F(n_0 + m_{2l}N)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{10^3}}.$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to see that (similar to the proof of (29)) for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in [m_{2l}, m_{2l+2}]$,

$$(31) \quad F(n_0 + mN)^2 \leq F(n_0 + m_{2l}N)^2 e^{\frac{1}{10^5}}.$$

Iterating (30) (l times) and by (31), one has that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in [m_{2l}, m_{2l+2}]$,

$$(32) \quad F(n_0 + mN)^2 \leq F(n_0 + m_0N)^2 e^{-\frac{l}{10^3} + \frac{1}{10^5}}.$$

Similar to the proof of (23) or (24), one has that

$$(33) \quad \ln \frac{m_0N + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} \leq \frac{1}{98C_k}.$$

Similar to the proof of (29) (also see (31)), one has that

$$(34) \quad F(n_0 + m_0N)^2 \leq e^{\frac{1}{10^5}} F(n_0)^2.$$

By (32) and (34), one has that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in [m_{2l}, m_{2l+2}]$,

$$(35) \quad F(n_0 + mN)^2 \leq F(n_0)^2 e^{-\frac{l}{10^3} + \frac{2}{10^5}}.$$

By (25) and (26), one has

$$(36) \quad \frac{l+1}{102C_k} \leq \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_0N + n_0 - b} \leq \frac{l+1}{98C_k}.$$

By (33) and (36), one has that

$$\ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} = \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{m_0N + n_0 - b} + \ln \frac{m_0N + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} \leq \frac{l+2}{98C_k}.$$

This implies that

$$(37) \quad l \geq 98C_k \ln \frac{m_{2l+2}N + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} - 2 \geq 98C_k \ln \frac{mN + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} - 2.$$

By (35), (37) and the fact that $C_k \geq 10^8$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} F(n_0 + mN)^2 &\leq F(n_0)^2 e^{\frac{2}{10^5} + \frac{2}{10^3}} e^{-\frac{98C_k}{10^3} \ln \frac{mN + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b}} \\ &\leq F(n_0)^2 e^{\frac{3}{10^3}} \left(\frac{mN + n_0 - b}{n_0 - b} \right)^{-200}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (13). □

4. CONSTRUCTIONS OF POTENTIALS AND PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In this section, we always assume that $E = 2 \cos \pi k$, $E_j = 2 \cos \pi k_j$, $\tilde{E} = -E = 2 \cos \pi \tilde{k}$, we also denote by $(R(n), \theta(n))$, $(R_j(n), \theta_j(n))$, $(\tilde{R}(n), \tilde{\theta}(n))$ the Prüfer variables of E, E_j, \tilde{E} (or say k, k_j, \tilde{k}). We remark that $k = 1 - \tilde{k}$ and $\sin \pi k = \sin \pi \tilde{k}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A = \{E_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset (-2, 2)$. Let $E \in (-2, 2)$ be such that both E and $\tilde{E} = -E$ are not in A . Suppose $\theta_0, \tilde{\theta}_0 \in [0, \pi)$. Then there exist constants $K_1(E, A), K_2(E, A)$ and a function $V(E, A, n_0, b, \theta_0, \tilde{\theta}_0)$ such that the following holds for $n_0 - b \geq K_2(E, A)$:*

Perturbation: $\text{supp}(V) \subset [n_0, \infty)$, and for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$(38) \quad \left| V(E, A, n_0, b, \theta_0, \tilde{\theta}_0) \right| \leq \frac{K_1(E, A)}{n - b};$$

Solution for E : the solution of $(\Delta + V)u = Eu$ with the boundary condition $\theta(n_0) = \theta_0$ satisfies for any n with $n > n_0$,

$$(39) \quad R(n) \leq 2 \left(\frac{n - b}{n_0 - b} \right)^{-100} R(n_0);$$

Solution for \tilde{E} (if $E \neq 0$): the solution of $(\Delta+V)u = \tilde{E}u$ with the boundary condition $\tilde{\theta}(n_0) = \tilde{\theta}_0$ satisfies for any $n > n_0$,

$$(40) \quad \tilde{R}(n) \leq 2 \left(\frac{n-b}{n_0-b} \right)^{-100} \tilde{R}(n_0);$$

Solution for E_j : any solution of $(\Delta+V)u = E_j u$ satisfies for any $n > n_0$,

$$(41) \quad R_j(n) \leq 2R_j(n_0).$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of [10, Lemma 3.1]. For readers' convenience, we include a proof.

Lemma 4.2. Let E and $A = \{E_j\}_{j=1}^m$ satisfy the same assumptions as in Proposition 4.1. Assume for $n > b$,

$$|V(n)| \leq \frac{K}{n-b}.$$

Then we have for any $n > n_0 > b$,

$$(42) \quad \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(l)}{l-b} \right| \leq \frac{C(E, K)}{n_0-b},$$

and for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$(43) \quad \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(l) \sin 2\pi\theta_j(l)}{l-b} \right| \leq \frac{C(E, A, K)}{n_0-b}.$$

Proof. Let us first show (42). It suffices to prove

$$\left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| \leq \frac{C(E, K)}{n_0-b}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (e^{2\pi ki} - 1) \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| = \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i(\theta(l)+k)}}{l-b} - \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i(\theta(l)+k)}}{l-b} - \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l-b} + \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l-b} - \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i(\theta(l)+k)}}{l-b} - \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l-b} \right| + \left| \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l-b} - \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{l=n_0}^n \left| \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(\theta(l+1)-\theta(l)-k)}}{l-b} \right| + \frac{2}{n_0-b} + \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l-b} - \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l+1)}}{l+1-b} \right| \\
 (44) \quad &= \sum_{l=n_0}^n \left| \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(\theta(l+1)-\theta(l)-k)}}{l-b} \right| + \frac{2}{n_0-b} + \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(l-b)(l+1-b)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (6), one has that

$$(45) \quad \theta(l+1) - \theta(l) - k = \frac{O(K)}{(l-b) \sin \pi k}.$$

By (44) and (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (46) \quad & \left| (e^{2\pi ki} - 1) \sum_{l=n_0}^n \frac{e^{2\pi i\theta(l)}}{l-b} \right| \leq \frac{2}{n_0-b} + O\left(\frac{K}{\sin \pi k}\right) \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} + \sum_{l=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(l-b)^2} \\
 & \leq \frac{C(E, K)}{n_0-b}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\sin 2\pi\theta(l) \sin 2\pi\theta_j(l) = \frac{\cos 2\pi(\theta(l) - \theta_j(l)) - \cos 2\pi(\theta(l) + \theta_j(l))}{2}.$$

By changing $k, \theta(l)$ in the proof of (42) to $k \pm k_j, \theta(l) \pm \theta_j(l)$, we have (43). \square

For simplicity, denote by $K_2 = K_2(E, A)$ and $K_1 = K_1(E, A)$, we require that

$$K_2 \gg K_1 > 0.$$

For $E \neq 0$, we solve the following system of two equations for $\theta(n)$ and $\tilde{\theta}(n)$ on $[n_0, \infty)$ with the initial condition $(\theta(n_0), \tilde{\theta}(n_0)) = (\theta_0, \tilde{\theta}_0)$

$$(47) \quad \begin{cases} \cot(\pi\theta(n+1) - \pi k) = \cot \pi\theta(n) - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}, \\ \cot(\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+1) - \pi \tilde{k}) = \cot \pi\tilde{\theta}(n) - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi \tilde{k}}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(48) \quad V(n) = K_1(E, A) \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n) + 100}{n - b}.$$

For $E = 0$, instead of solving (47), we solve

$$(49) \quad \cot(\pi\theta(n+1) - \pi k) = \cot \pi\theta(n) - \frac{V(n)}{\sin \pi k}$$

with the initial condition $\theta(n_0) = \theta_0$, where

$$(50) \quad V(n) = K_1(E, A) \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n) + 100}{n - b}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.1 . Substitute (48) into (47) (or (50) into (49)). Then by solving (47) (or (49)), we obtain $\theta(n)$ and $\tilde{\theta}(n)$ (or $\theta(n)$) for $n \geq n_0$. For $n \geq n_0$, define $V(n)$ as (48) (or (50)). We finish the construction of $V(n)$. Now we are in the position to prove (39), (40) and (41).

Case 1. $E \neq 0$, namely $k \neq \frac{1}{2}$:

Choose a fixed large enough N , which will be determined later.

By (5) and (48), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln R(n+N)^2 \\ &= \ln R(n)^2 - \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{V(n+l)}{\sin \pi k} \sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2} \\ &= \ln R(n)^2 - K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l) + 100}{(n-b) \sin \pi k} \sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) \\ (51) \quad & + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (6) and (48), one has that for any l with $0 \leq l \leq N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) &= \sin 2\pi \left(\theta(n) + kl + \frac{O(1)}{1+n-b} \right) \\ (52) \quad &= \sin(2\pi\theta(n) + 2\pi kl) + \frac{O(1)}{1+n-b}, \end{aligned}$$

and ($k = 1 - \tilde{k}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l) &= \sin 2\pi \left(\tilde{\theta}(n) + \tilde{k}l + \frac{O(1)}{1+n-b} \right) \\ (53) \quad &= \sin(2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n) - 2\pi kl) + \frac{O(1)}{1+n-b}. \end{aligned}$$

By trigonometric identities, (51), (52) and (53), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln R(n+N)^2 \\ = & \ln R(n)^2 - K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{1 - \cos(4\pi\theta(n) + 4\pi kl)}{2(n-b)\sin\pi k} - 100K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{\sin(2\pi\theta(n) + 2\pi kl)}{(n-b)\sin\pi k} \\ & - K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{\cos(2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n) - 2\pi\theta(n) - 4\pi kl) - \cos(2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n) + 2\pi\theta(n))}{2(n-b)\sin\pi k} + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $O(1)$ depends on E and A through N and $K_1(E, A)$.

Applying Lemma 2.3 with large enough N , and using the fact that $n-b \geq K_2$, one has that there exists $|\delta(n)| \leq \frac{\sin\pi k}{10^4}$ such that

$$(54) \quad \ln R(n+N)^2 = \ln R(n)^2 - \frac{K_1 N}{2(n-b)\sin\pi k} (1 - \cos 2\pi\varphi(n) + \delta(n)),$$

where $\varphi(n) = \tilde{\theta}(n) + \theta(n)$.

By (7), (48) and Lemma 2.3 (with trigonometric identities), we have that there exists $|\varepsilon(n)| < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(n+N) \\ = & \varphi(n) + N + \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (\sin^2 \pi\theta(n+l) + \sin^2 \pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l)) \frac{V(n+l)}{\pi \sin \pi k} + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2} \\ = & \varphi(n) + N + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2} \\ & + K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (\sin^2 \pi\theta(n+l) + \sin^2 \pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l)) \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l) + 100}{(n-b)\pi \sin \pi k} \\ = & \varphi(n) + N + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2} + 100K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(n-b)\pi \sin \pi k} \\ & + K_1 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l)}{(n-b)\pi \sin \pi k} \\ & - \frac{K_1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (\cos 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \cos 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l)) \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(n+l) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(n+l) + 100}{(n-b)\pi \sin \pi k} \\ (55) \quad & = \varphi(n) + N + \frac{K_1 N}{(n-b)\pi \sin \pi k} (100 + \varepsilon(n)). \end{aligned}$$

By (54), (55) and applying Lemma 3.1 with $L = N$, one has that for $n = n_0 + mN$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(56) \quad R(n) \leq 1.5 \left(\frac{n-b}{n_0-b} \right)^{-100} R(n_0)$$

By (5) and the fact that $n_0 - b$ is large enough, (56) implies for any l with $0 \leq l \leq N - 1$ and $n = n_0 + mN + l$,

$$(57) \quad R(n) \leq 2 \left(\frac{n-b}{n_0-b} \right)^{-100} R(n_0).$$

This implies (39). The proof of (40) follows that of (39) step by step. We omit the details.

By (5) and (48), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \ln R_j(n)^2 &\leq \ln R_j(n_0)^2 - \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{V(l)}{\sin \pi k_j} \sin 2\pi\theta_j(l) + \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{O(1)}{(l-b)^2} \\ &= \ln R_j(n_0)^2 + \frac{O(1)}{n_0-b} - \frac{K_1}{\sin \pi k_j} \sum_{l=n_0}^{n-1} \frac{\sin 2\pi\theta(l) + \sin 2\pi\tilde{\theta}(l) + 100}{l-b} \sin 2\pi\theta_j(l). \end{aligned}$$

$$(58)$$

$$= \ln R_j(n_0)^2 + \frac{O(1)}{n_0-b},$$

where the last inequality holds by Lemma 4.2. Therefore, for large $n_0 - b$, we have (41).

Case 2. $k = \frac{1}{2}$ ($E = 0$):

Since $\sin \pi k = 1$, by (5), (7) and (50), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ln R(n+2)^2 &= \ln R(n)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^1 V(n+j) \sin 2\pi\theta(n+j) + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2} \\ &= \ln R(n)^2 - \frac{K_1}{n-b} (1 - \cos 4\pi\theta(n)) + \frac{O(1)}{(n-b)^2}, \\ (59) \quad &= \ln R(n)^2 - \frac{K_1}{n-b} \left(1 - \cos 4\pi\theta(n) + \frac{O(1)}{n-b} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(60) \quad \theta(n+2) = \theta(n) + 1 + \frac{K_1}{\pi(n-b)} \left(100 - \frac{1}{2} \sin 4\pi\theta(n) + \frac{O(1)}{n-b} \right).$$

By (59), (60) and applying Lemma 3.1 with $\varphi(n) = \theta(n)$ and $L = 1$, we have (39).

In this case, the proof of (41) is similar to the proof in Case 1.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. As we already mentioned in the introduction, once we have the generating functions (Proposition 4.1) at hand, proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow from the standard construction first introduced in [3] and further developed in [12, 15]. We only give an outline of the proof here.

Let $\{N_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non-decreasing sequence. In the construction of Theorem 1.1, $N_r = M$ for sufficiently large r , where M is the number of all non-resonant eigenvalues being constructed. In Theorem 1.2, N_r goes to infinity arbitrarily slowly. We further assume $N_{r+1} = N_r + 1$ when $N_{r+1} > N_r$. The construction is proceeded by inductions. Suppose we construct the potential on $[0, J_{r-1}]$ before $r - 1$ steps. At the r th step, we take N_r (non-resonant) eigenvalues into consideration. Our strategy is to construct the potential on $[J_{r-1}, J_r] = \cup_{l=1}^{N_r} [J_{r-1} + (l-1)T_r, J_{r-1} + lT_r]$ in a piecewise manner (namely at r th step, we construct N_r pieces of the potential of size T_r starting at J_{r-1} and ending at $J_r = J_{r-1} + N_r T_r$). For each piece $[J_{r-1} + (l-1)T_r, J_{r-1} + lT_r]$, we apply Proposition 4.1 with E being one eigenvalue (in total we have N_r choices so we obtain N_r pieces) and A being the rest of eigenvalues.

The main difficulty is to control the size of each piece T_r . The construction in [3, 12, 15] only uses inequalities (38), (39), (40) and (41) to obtain appropriate T_r and N_r . Therefore Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow from Proposition 4.1.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee, whose comments helped the exposition of this paper. W. Liu was supported by NSF DMS-2000345 and DMS-2052572. K. Lyu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11871031).

REFERENCES

- [1] DENISOV, S. A., AND KISELEV, A. Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials. In *Spectral theory and mathematical physics: a Festschrift in honor of Barry Simon's 60th birthday*, vol. 76 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 565–589.
- [2] JANAS, J., AND SIMONOV, S. A Weyl-Titchmarsh type formula for a discrete Schrödinger operator with Wigner–von Neumann potential. *Studia Math.* 201, 2 (2010), 167–189.
- [3] JITOMIRSKAYA, S., AND LIU, W. Noncompact complete Riemannian manifolds with dense eigenvalues embedded in the essential spectrum of the Laplacian. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 29, 1 (2019), 238–257.

- [4] JUDGE, E., NABOKO, S., AND WOOD, I. Eigenvalues for perturbed periodic Jacobi matrices by the Wigner–von Neumann approach. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 85, 3 (2016), 427–450.
- [5] JUDGE, E., NABOKO, S., AND WOOD, I. Embedded eigenvalues for perturbed periodic Jacobi operators using a geometric approach. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 24, 8 (2018), 1247–1272.
- [6] JUDGE, E., NABOKO, S., AND WOOD, I. Spectral results for perturbed periodic Jacobi matrices using the discrete Levinson technique. *Studia Math.* 242, 2 (2018), 179–215.
- [7] KISELEV, A., LAST, Y., AND SIMON, B. Modified Prüfer and EFGP transforms and the spectral analysis of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 194, 1 (1998), 1–45.
- [8] KISELEV, A., REMLING, C., AND SIMON, B. Effective perturbation methods for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators. *J. Differential Equations* 151, 2 (1999), 290–312.
- [9] KRÜGER, H. On the existence of embedded eigenvalues. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 395, 2 (2012), 776–787.
- [10] LIU, W. Absence of singular continuous spectrum for perturbed discrete Schrödinger operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 472, 2 (2019), 1420–1429.
- [11] LIU, W. The asymptotical behaviour of embedded eigenvalues for perturbed periodic operators. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 4, 3 (2019), 589–602.
- [12] LIU, W. Criteria for eigenvalues embedded into the absolutely continuous spectrum of perturbed Stark type operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 276, 9 (2019), 2936–2967.
- [13] LIU, W. Criteria for embedded eigenvalues for discrete Schrödinger operators. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 20 (2021), 15803–15832.
- [14] LIU, W. Revisiting the Christ-Kiselev’s multi-linear operator technique and its applications to Schrödinger operators. *Nonlinearity* 34, 3 (2021), 1288–1315.
- [15] LIU, W., AND ONG, D. C. Sharp spectral transition for eigenvalues embedded into the spectral bands of perturbed periodic operators. *J. Anal. Math.* 141, 2 (2020), 625–661.
- [16] LOTOREICHIK, V., AND SIMONOV, S. Spectral analysis of the half-line Kronig-Penney model with Wigner–Von Neumann perturbations. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 74, 1 (2014), 45–72.
- [17] LUKIC, M. Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying Wigner-von Neumann type potentials. *J. Spectr. Theory* 3, 2 (2013), 147–169.
- [18] LUKIC, M. A class of Schrödinger operators with decaying oscillatory potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 326, 2 (2014), 441–458.
- [19] LUKIC, M., AND ONG, D. C. Wigner-von Neumann type perturbations of periodic Schrödinger operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367, 1 (2015), 707–724.

- [20] NABOKO, S. N. On the dense point spectrum of Schrödinger and Dirac operators. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 68, 1 (1986), 18–28.
- [21] NABOKO, S. N., AND PUSHNITSKIĬ, A. B. A point spectrum, lying on a continuous spectrum, for weakly perturbed operators of Stark type. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 29, 4 (1995), 31–44, 95.
- [22] NABOKO, S. N., AND YAKOVLEV, S. I. The point spectrum of a discrete Schrödinger operator. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 26, 2 (1992), 85–88.
- [23] REMLING, C. The absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 193, 1 (1998), 151–170.
- [24] SIMON, B. Some Schrödinger operators with dense point spectrum. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125, 1 (1997), 203–208.
- [25] SIMONOV, S. Zeroes of the spectral density of discrete Schrödinger operator with Wigner-von Neumann potential. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 73, 3 (2012), 351–364.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA

Email address: liuwencai1226@gmail.com; wencail@tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NANJING, 210094, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: lvkang201905@outlook.com