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Abstract. This paper first strictly proved that the growth of the second moment of a large class of Gaussian processes is not greater than \(ct^\beta\) (\(\beta < 2\)) and the covariance matrix is strictly positive definite. Under these two conditions, the maximum likelihood estimators of the mean and variance of such classes of drift Gaussian process have strong consistency under broader growth of \(t_n\). At the same time, the asymptotic normality of binary random vectors and the Berry-Esséen bound of estimators are obtained by using the Stein’s method via Malliavian calculus.
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1. Introduction

It is worth considering the statistical inference for Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE) driven by the general Gaussian process below

\[dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dG_t, \quad X_0 = 0, \quad t \geq 0,\]

where \(\{G_t, t \geq 0\}\) is a one-dimensional zero-mean Gaussian process, the covariance function \(R(s, t) = E(G_tG_s)\) of which is a symmetric real-valued function as defined on \([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)\). Parameters \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) are unknown, while \(X_t\) can be treated as a time series with a definite trend.

Model (1.1) is extensively applied in various fields, including the finance, hydrology, and network data analysis. In paper [1], Norros first make reference model (1.1) as a traffic model and applied it to construct the stationary storage model for exploring how its quality of service parameters relates to system parameters and the lower bound of tail distribution of storage model, where the input data is described by fractional Brownian motion with parameter \(H < \frac{1}{2}\). Duncan et al.[2] is applied model (1.1) to simulate the length of a single channel FIFO fluid queue with long-range dependent input and constant service rate for a study on the asymptotic behavior as well as the upper and lower bounds of the tail distribution for queuing models with fractional Brownian motion inputs. In these two paper, \(\mu > 0\) is taken as the average input rate and \(\sigma\) is treated as the fluctuation coefficient. In paper [3], model (1.1) is applied to construct the reflection fractional Brownian motion with drift coefficient, with the strong consistency and asymptotic normality of the estimator \(\hat{\mu}_n\) of the parameter \(\mu\) obtained, where \(\mu\) denotes the average input rate minus the service rate.

In general, the parameters \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) are unknown and can be estimated according to the observed value, which leads to the problem of parameter estimation about \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) as extensively
studied in recent years. Xiao et al. [4] applies model (1.1) to the Chinese stock market when \( G_t \) is the fractional Brownian motion of \( H < 1/2 \), and showing the strong consistency of the statistics of \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \). When \( \sigma > 0 \), the power variation method can be applied to estimate the parameter \( \sigma \) (see ([5],[6]). Stibrek [7] studied the hypothesis testing problem of \( \mu \) when \( \sigma = 1 \). In paper [8] a study is conducted on the strong consistency and asymptotic normality of the least squares estimation of \( \mu \) in the parameter \( \sigma = 1 \), \( H > 1/2 \) drift fractional Brownian motion model.

It is assumed that the process \( X \) is observed at discrete time \( t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)' \), where \( t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \), then the observed \( X = (X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \cdots, X_{t_n})' \) is a random vector. We introduce the notation 
\[
X = \mu t + \sigma G_t, \tag{1.2}
\]
where \( G_t = (G_{t_1}, G_{t_2}, \ldots, G_{t_n})' \) and \( G_t \sim N_n(0, V) \).

If the covariance matrix \( V \) of random vector \( X \) is strictly positive definite, there is a joint density function:
\[
f(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}|V|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(X - \mu t)'V^{-1}(X - \mu t)\right) \tag{1.3}
\]
And the exact maximum likelihood estimates of \( \mu \) and \( \sigma^2 \) can be obtained in [9].

\[
\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{t'V^{-1}X}{t'V^{-1}t}, \tag{1.4}
\]
\[
\hat{\sigma}^2_n = \frac{1}{n} \frac{(X'V^{-1}X)(t'V^{-1}t) - (t'V^{-1}t)^2}{t'V^{-1}t} \tag{1.5}
\]

When \( G_t \) represents fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameters \( H \in (0,1) \), Hu et al. [9] studies almost everywhere convergence, central limit Theorem and Berry-Esséen bound of estimators \( \hat{\mu}_n \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^2_n \). In paper [10], a study is conducted on the case of drift sub-fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter \( H > 1/2 \). In paper [11], the incomplete maximum likelihood estimation of \( \mu \) and the asymptotic properties of \( \hat{\mu}_n \) are presented:
\[
\hat{\mu} = N \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} j \Sigma_{i,j}^{-1} Y_i}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} j \Sigma_{i,j}^{-1}}.
\]

Herein, a study is conducted on the asymptotic properties of the above-mentioned estimator \( \hat{\mu}_n \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^2_n \) under the condition that the general Gaussian process satisfies two hypothesis as follows.

**HYPOTHESIS 1.1.** For any \( t \geq 0 \), there exists a constant \( c \), such that \( \mathbb{E}(G_t^2) \leq ct^3 \).

**HYPOTHESIS 1.2.** The covariance matrix \( V = (R(t_i, t_j))_{n \times n} \) is a positive definite matrix.

Theorem 1.3 presents fractional Brownian motion, sub-fractional Brownian motion, and some other zero-mean Gaussian processes, and all of which satisfy the aforementioned hypothesis. However, Theorem 1.5 shows the statistical properties of this class of zero-mean Gaussian processes in this class.

**Theorem 1.3.** The Gaussian process with the following covariance functions satisfies hypothesis 1.1 and 1.2:
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Remark 1.4. Despite many zero-mean Gaussian processes that satisfy hypothesis 1.1 and 1.2, not all zero-mean Gaussian processes satisfy both of them simultaneously. For example, covariance function is a zero-mean Gaussian process with $R(s, t) = \sqrt{|\nabla R|}$ ($H > 0$) or $R(s, t) = \cos(s - t)$.

Theorem 1.5. (1) When hypothesis 1.1 and 1.2 are satisfied and assume $c_1 n^\alpha \leq t_n \leq c_2 n^\alpha$.

Then, the estimator $\hat{\mu}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ are strongly consistent

$$
\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \quad (1.6)
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma^2 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \quad (1.7)
$$

where, $\alpha > 0$, $c_1$ and $c_2$ are fixed constants.

(2) If the Gaussian process satisfies hypothesis 1.2, then

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
Y_n \\
Q_n
\end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{law} N_2(0, I) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty
$$

where $Y_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\nabla R} (\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)$, $Q_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\nabla R} (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)$, $I$ is the second identity matrix.

(3) If the Gaussian process satisfies hypothesis 1.2, the following properties are considered true:

(a) $\sup_{z \in R} |P(\hat{Q}_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{n}$, where $\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$.

(b) $\sqrt{n} \left( P(\hat{Q}_n \leq z) - \Phi(z) \right) \to \infty, \frac{\Phi^{(3)}(z)}{3}$, for every $z \in R$, as $n \to \infty$, where $\Phi^{(3)}(z) = (z^2 - 1) \frac{\Phi^{(3)}(z)}{3}$ is the third-order derivative of $\Phi(z)$.

(c) There exists a constant $C \in (0, 1)$ and an integer $n_0 \geq 1$, such that

$$
C < \frac{n}{\sqrt{2n - 1}} \sup_{z \in R} |P(\hat{Q}_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq 1.
$$
for every \( n \geq n_0 \), where \( Q_n = Q_n - \mathbb{E}(Q_n) \).

**Remark 1.6.** (a) By combining Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5, it can be known that in Theorem 1.3, the statistics \( \hat{\mu}_n \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^2_n \) of the 9 types of Gaussian processes with drift terms have properties of Theorem 1.5, including the cases of fractional Brownian motion and sub-fractional Brownian motion as proved in the past (b). Compared to [9] and [10], the first property in Theorem 1.5 lead to a broader growth of \( t_n \) and the second property takes into account the asymptotic property of random vector \((\hat{\mu}_n, \hat{\sigma}^2_n)\).

The rest of this thesis is organized as follow. In Chapter II, the tools used to prove these results are introduced. In Chapter III a detailed proof of the main results is presented. In the future, model (1.1) will be extend to the case with intercept term and nonlinearity.

### 2. Preliminary

#### 2.1. Zero-Mean Gaussian Processes.

1. Gaussian process
   \[
   X^K(t) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\theta t}) \theta^{-\frac{1+K}{2}} dW_\theta,
   \]
   where \( W_\theta \) represents Brownian motion, parameters \( H \in (0, 1), K \in (0, 2) \). Initially, this process was proposed by Lei and Nualart in [12], the value range of \( K \) is extended from \((0, 1)\) to \((0, 2)\) in Bardina and Bascompte [13], when \( X^{H,K}(t) = X^K(t^{2H}) \), the covariance function of the process is presented by 1 of Theorem 1.3.

2. The covariance function of fractional Brownian motion \( \{B^H(t), t \geq 0\} \) is presented by 2 of Theorem 1.3.

3. Gaussian process
   \[
   (U(t)) \overset{fdd}{=} \left( B^{\alpha/2}(t) - B^{\alpha/2}(-t) \right),
   \]
   The corresponding covariance function is presented by 2 of Theorem 1.3, where \( B^{\alpha/2} \) represents the two-sided fractional Brownian motion with parameter \( \alpha/2 = H \in (0, 1) \) (see [14]).

4. The covariance function of sub-fractional Brownian motion \( \{S^H(t), t \geq 0\} \) is presented by 4 of Theorem 1.3.

5. The covariance function of the Gaussian process \( \{N(t), t \geq 0\} \) is presented by 5 of Theorem 1.3. (see [15], [14])

6. The covariance function of the Gaussian process \( Z(t) = e^{-HKt}B^{H,K}(e^t) \) is presented by 6 of Theorem 1.3 (see [15]).

7. Gaussian process
   \[
   M^{H,K}(t) = \sqrt{1-K}B^H(t) + \sqrt{\frac{H K}{\Gamma(1-2H)}} X^{2H}(t),
   \]
   The corresponding covariance function is presented by 7 of Theorem 1.3, as obtained by combining fractional Brownian motion and \( X^K(t) \) process (see [16]), where the parameters \( H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), K \in (0, 1) \).
The covariance function of bi-fractional Brownian motion \( \{B^{H,K}(t), t \geq 0\} \) is presented by equation (8) of Theorem 1.3, where the parameters \( H \in (0, 1), K \in (0, 1), HK \in (0, 1) \) (see [17]) or \( H \in (0, 1), K \in (1, 2), HK \in (0, 1) \) (see [18]).

The covariance function of the Gaussian process \( \{S^{H,K}(t), t \geq 0\} \) is presented by equation (9) of Theorem 1.3, which is referred to as sub-bifractional Brownian motion with parameters \( K \in (0, 1) \) (see [19]), the parameter \( K \in (1, 2) \) is referred to as generalized sub-fractional Brownian motion (see [20]).

\[2.2. \text{Gaussian Space.} \]

Given a complete probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\). The filtration \(\mathcal{F}\) is generated by the Gaussian family \(G = \{G_t, t \in [0, T]\}\) is zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function

\[ \mathbb{E}(G_s G_t) = R(s, t), s, t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.1) \]

Suppose in addition that the covariance function \(R\) is continuous. Let \(E\) denote the space of all real valued step functions on \([0, T]\). The Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) is defined as the closure of \(E\) endowed with the inner product

\[ \langle 1_{[a,b]}, 1_{[c,d]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}((G_b - G_a)(G_d - G_c)). \]

We denote \(G = \{G(h), h \in \mathcal{H}\}\) as the isonormal Gaussian process on the probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\), indexed by the elements in the Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\). In other words, \(G\) is a Gaussian family of random variables such that

\[ \mathbb{E}(G) = \mathbb{E}(G(h)) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(G(g)G(h)) = \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad g, h \in \mathcal{H}. \]

The following proposition comes from Theorem 2.3 of [21], which gives a concrete representation of the inner product operation in Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\).

**Proposition 2.1.** Denote \(V_{[0, T]}\) as the set of bounded variation functions on \([0, T]\). Then \(V_{[0, T]}\) is dense in \(\mathcal{H}\) and we have

\[ \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{[0, T]^2} R(t, s) \nu_f(dt)\nu_g(ds), \quad \forall f, g \in V_{[0, T]}, \quad (2.2) \]

where \(\nu_g\) is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes signed measure associated with \(g^0\) defined as

\[ g^0(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } x \in [0, T]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3) \]

We will introduce some elements of the Malliavin calculus associated with \(G\) (please refer to [22] for details). Let \(C_0^\infty(R^n)\) be the class of infinitely differentiable functions \(f : R^n \to R\) such that \(f\) and all its partial derivatives have polynomial growth order. We denote by \(S\) the class of smooth and cylindrical random variables of the form

\[ F = f(G(\varphi_1), \cdots, G(\varphi_n)), \]

where \(n \geq 1, \varphi_i \in \mathcal{H}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\) and \(f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)\).
The Malliavin derivative operator $D$ of a smooth and cylindrical random variable $F = f(G(\varphi_1), \cdots, G(\varphi_n))$ of the form is defined as the $\mathcal{S}_t$-valued random variable

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} (G(\varphi_1), \cdots, G(\varphi_n)) \varphi_i(t) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}),$$

In particular, $D_\mathcal{S} = I_{[0, t]}(s)$.

$\mathbb{D}^p_{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the closure of the set of smooth random variables with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_{\mathcal{S}}}^p = \mathbb{E}(F^p) + \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{l} \|DF\|^2_{\mathcal{S}, \otimes k}.$$

Denote $\mathcal{S}^{\otimes p}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\otimes p}_G$ as the $p$th tensor product and the $p$th symmetric tensor product of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}$. Let $H_p$ be the $p$th Wiener chaos with respect to $G$. It is defined as the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ generated by the random variables $\{H_p(G(h)) : h \in \mathcal{S}, \|h\|_{\mathcal{S}} = 1\}$, where $H_p$ is the $p$th Wiener chaos defined by

$$H_p(x) = \left(\frac{-1}{p!}\right)^p e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

and $H_0(x) = 1$.

We have the identity $I_p(h^{\otimes p}) = H_p(G(h))$ for any $h \in \mathcal{S}$, where $I_p(\cdot)$ is the generalized Wiener-Itô stochastic integral. Then the map $I_p$ provides a linear isometry between $\mathcal{S}^{\otimes p}$ (equipped with the norm $\mathbb{V}_p^p \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}^{\otimes p}}$) and $H_p$, namely, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{S}^{\otimes p}$ and $q \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}[I_q(f)I_q(g)] = \mathbb{E}[f(g)]_{\mathcal{S}^{\otimes q}},$$

to regulate that $H_\mathcal{S} = R$ and $I_0(x) = x$.

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator $L$ is defined by $LF = -\delta DF$. If $F = I_q(f_q)$ is in the $q$-th Wiener chaos of $G$, $f_q \in \mathcal{S}^{\otimes q}$, then $LF = -qF$.

We will adopt two fundamental Theorems: Theorem 6.2.3 of [22] and Theorem 3.1 of [23].

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

This chapter will apply the following well-known Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.**

(1): Let the continuous function $f(x) \geq 0$, if $\int_0^{\infty} f(x)dx = 0$, then $f(x) \equiv 0$.

(2): Let the continuous binary function $f(x, y) \geq 0$, if $\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(x, y)dydx = 0$, then $f(x, y) \equiv 0$.

(3): [24, P56] Let $f$ be a measurable function of the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, if $\int_\Omega f d\mu = 0$ and $f \geq 0$ a.e, then $f = 0$ a.e.

The following proves that the 9 types of zero-mean Gaussian processes in Theorem 1.3 satisfy hypothesis 1.1 and hypothesis 1.2.

(1) Proof. When $t = s$, it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true. As shown below, hypothesis 1.2 is proved true. According to the property 2.1 of [11], we have

$$\text{Cov}(X_{s+\theta}^{K}, X_{s}^{K}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\theta^2s^2H}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\theta s^2H}\right) \theta^{-1-K} \ d\theta,$$
Therefore, for any n-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)' \),
\[
\mathbf{a}'V\mathbf{a} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j R(t_j, t_l) a_l = \int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - e^{-\theta t_j^2} \right) a_j \right)^2 \theta^{-1-K} d\theta \geq 0.
\]
when \( \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - e^{-\theta t_j^2} \right) a_j \left| \theta^{-1-K} d\theta = 0 \right. \), \( \theta \) is take as 1, 2, \cdots, \( n-1 \) in turn, and based on Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - e^{-k t_j^2} ) a_j = 0 (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1)
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lim_{\theta \to \infty} (1 - e^{-\theta t_j^2} ) a_j = 0,
\]
which is true. As shown below, hypothesis 1.1 is true. F or any \( n \)-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} \), we have
\[
\int_0^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - e^{-\theta t_j^2} \right) a_j \left| \theta^{-1-K} d\theta = 0 \right., \theta \) is take as 1, 2, \cdots, \( n-1 \) in turn, and based on Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - e^{-k t_j^2} ) a_j = 0 (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1)
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lim_{\theta \to \infty} (1 - e^{-\theta t_j^2} ) a_j = 0,
\]
the only answer is zero for the homogeneous linear equation (3.1).

(2) \textbf{Proof.} When \( s = t \), it is evident that hypothesis 1.1 is true. As shown below, hypothesis 1.1 is true. For any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), there are
\[
|x|^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_H} \int_0^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-u^2} \right) u^{-2H} du,
\]
where \( c_H = \int_0^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-u^2} \right) u^{-1-2H} du < \infty \).

For any n-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)' \), and according to the property 1.6 on page 9 of [25], it can be known that:
\[
\mathbf{a}'V\mathbf{a} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j R(t_j, t_l) a_l
\]
\[
= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left( t_k^{2H} + t_l^{2H} - |t_k - t_l|^{2H} \right) a_k a_l
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2c_H} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{t_j^2}{t_k}}} {t_k^{2H}} \right)^2 a_k a_l
\]
\[
\geq \frac{1}{2c_H} \sum_{d=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d!} \int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^d e^{-u^2 t_k^2} a_k \right) \frac{u^{-2d+2H}} {u^{2H+1-2d}} du
\]
Based on Lemma 3.1, if the last equation is 0, then there is
\[
\sum_{d=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{k} e^{-u^2 t^2} a_k \right)^2 = 0,
\] (3.3)
namely,
\[
t_1^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} a_1 + t_2^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} a_2 + \cdots + t_n^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} a_n = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2 \cdots, n),
\] (3.4)
As
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
t_1 e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2 e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n e^{-u^2 t^2} \\
t_1^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n^2 e^{-u^2 t^2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_1^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\overset{\text{Lemma 3.1}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{n} t_k e^{-u^2 t^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j - t_i) \neq 0,
\]
Therefore, the only answer is zero for the homogeneous linear equation (3.3). □

(3) Proof. When \( t = s \), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true. As shown below, that hypothesis 1.2 is true.

For any \( n \)-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)' \), based on equation (3.2), there is
\[
a' \mathbf{V} \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i \left( |t_i + t_j|^{2H} - |t_i - t_j|^{2H} \right) a_j
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i a_j \frac{1}{c_H} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-u(t_i + t_j)^2} - 1 + e^{-u(t_i - t_j)^2}}{u^{2H+1}} \, du
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{c_H} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2d-1}}{(2d-1)!} \frac{1}{u^{2H-4d+3}} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i^{2d-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} \right)^2 \, du \geq 0.
\]
Lemma 3.1 means that the last equation is 0, then there is
\[
\sum_{d=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k e^{-u^2 t^2} a_k \right)^2 = 0,
\] (3.5)
namely,
\[
t_1^{2k-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} a_1 + t_2^{2k-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} a_2 + \cdots + t_n^{2k-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} a_n = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n),
\] (3.6)
As
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
t_1 e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2 e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n e^{-u^2 t^2} \\
t_1^3 e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2^3 e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n^3 e^{-u^2 t^2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_1^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} & t_2^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2} & \cdots & t_n^{2n-1} e^{-u^2 t^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\overset{\text{Lemma 3.1}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) \neq 0,
\]
Therefore, the homogeneous linear equation (3.6) have only answer of zero. □
is true. The following will show below, the strict positive definition of covariance matrix is proved true.

For any n-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)' \), according to equation (3.2), there is

\[
\mathbf{a}' \mathbf{V} \mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_k a_l \left( 2t_k^{2H} + 2t_l^{2H} - (t_k + t_l)^{2H} - |t_k - t_l|^{2H} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2c_H} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_k a_l \int_{0}^{\infty} 2 \left( 1 - e^{-u^2 t_k^2} - e^{-u^2 t_l^2} \right) \frac{e^{-u^2 t_k^2} - e^{-u^2 t_l^2} (e^{-2u^2 t_k t_l} - e^{-2u^2 t_l t_k})}{u^{2H+1}} du
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{c_H} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^d}{(2d)!} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-u^2 t_k^2} t_k^{2d} a_k \right)^2 du
\]

Therefore:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^{2d} e^{-u^2 t_k^2} a_k = 0 \quad (d = 1, 2, \ldots, n),
\]

(3.7)

Consider that

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
  t_1^2 e^{-u^2 t_1^2} & t_2^2 e^{-u^2 t_2^2} & \cdots & t_n^2 e^{-u^2 t_n^2} \\
  t_1^4 e^{-u^2 t_1^2} & t_2^4 e^{-u^2 t_2^2} & \cdots & t_n^4 e^{-u^2 t_n^2} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  t_1^{2n} e^{-u^2 t_1^2} & t_2^{2n} e^{-u^2 t_2^2} & \cdots & t_n^{2n} e^{-u^2 t_n^2}
\end{vmatrix} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} t_k^{2d} e^{-u^2 t_k^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_j^2 - t_i^2) \neq 0,
\]

Therefore, the only answer is zero for the homogeneous linear equation (3.8).

(5) Proof. When \( t = s \), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true. The following will prove that hypothesis 1.2 is true.

For any n-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)' \), base on Theorem 1.1 of [15], there is

\[
\mathbf{a}' \mathbf{R} \mathbf{a} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_j R(t_j, t_k) a_l = 2H \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j 1_{(u \leq t_j)} 1_{(r \leq (t_j + u)^{2H-1})} 1_{(s \neq (t_j + u)^{2H-1})} 1_{(s \neq (t_j + u)^{2H-1})} dr du \geq 0.
\]

when

\[
\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_j R(t_j, t_k) a_l = 2H \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j 1_{(u \leq t_j)} 1_{(r \leq (t_j + u)^{2H-1})} 1_{(s \neq (t_j + u)^{2H-1})} dr du = 0 \quad (3.9)
\]
Take the lower limit 0, \((t_{n-1} + u)^{2H-1}\), \((t_{n-2} + u)^{2H-1}, \cdots, (t_2 + u)^{2H-1}\) of the integral variable \(r\), and then from Lemma 3.1-(3), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j &= 0 \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j &= 0 \\
&\quad \quad \vdots \\
\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_j &= 0 \\
a_1 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.10)

Therefore, the only answer is zero for the homogeneous linear equation (3.10). \(\square\)

6) Proof. When \(t = s\), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true. As shown below, the hypothesis 1.2 is true.

For any \(n\)-dimensional vector \(a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)'\), according to Theorem 1.1 of [15], there is

\[
a'aV = \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_j R(t_j, t_k) a_k = c_{2H} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-2H} e^{-y'r} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j 1_{\{r \leq \epsilon_j\}} e^{iy\eta_j} \right]^2 dr dy \geq 0,
\]

where \(c_{2H} = \left(\frac{\epsilon_{2H}}{2\Gamma(1-2H)}\right)^{-1} = \frac{2H}{\Gamma(1-2H)}> 0\). when

\[
c_{2H} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-2H} e^{-y'r} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j 1_{\{r \leq \epsilon_j\}} e^{iy\eta_j} \right]^2 dr dy = 0,
\]  

(3.11)

the similar practice to equation (21) is adopted to take the lower limit 0, \(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{n-1}\) of the integral variable \(r\), then based on Lemma 3.1-(3), there is

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{iy\eta_j} &= 0 \\
\sum_{j=2}^{n} e^{iy\eta_j} &= 0 \\
&\quad \quad \vdots \\
\sum_{j=n-2}^{n} e^{iy\eta_j} &= 0 \\
a_n e^{iy\eta_n} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.12)

Therefore, the only answer is zero for homogeneous linear equation (23). \(\square\)

7) Proof. When \(t = s\), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true.

\(R(s, t)\) can be decomposed into

\[
R(s, t) = (1 - K) \frac{1}{2} \left[ l^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right] + K \frac{2H}{2\Gamma(1-2H)} \frac{\Gamma(1-2H)}{2H} \left[ l^{2H} + s^{2H} - (t + s)^{2H} \right],
\]

Judging From the conclusions of 1 and 2 in Theorem 1.3, it can be known that the covariance matrix corresponding to 7 is obtainable through the introduction of two positive definite matrices \(\square\)

8) Proof. When \(t = s\), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true in (a) and (b). The following will prove that hypothesis 1.2 is true.

(a) When \(H \in (0, 1), K \in (0, 1)\) and \(HK < 1\), base on property 2.1 of [17] and property 1.5 of [22], we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i a_j R(t_i, t_j)
= \frac{K}{\Gamma(1 - K)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} a_j e^{-xt_j^{2H}} \left( e^{(t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H})} - 1 \right) x^{-1-K} dx
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} \left( t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H} \right) a_j e^{-xt_j^{2H}} x^{-K} dx
+ \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} \frac{(t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H})^2}{2!} a_j e^{-xt_j^{2H}} x^{-1-K} dx + \cdots
\geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} \left( t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H} \right) a_j e^{-xt_j^{2H}} x^{-K} dx \geq 0.
\]

According to Lemma 3.1, if the last equation is 0, then there is
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} \left( t_i^{2H} + t_j^{2H} - |t_j - t_i|^{2H} \right) a_j e^{-xt_j^{2H}} = 0. \tag{3.13}
\]

According to the conclusion of 2 of Theorem 1.3, if equation (3.13) is equals to 0, then
\[
a_i e^{-xt_i^{2H}} = 0(i = 1, \ldots, n), \quad \text{Besides, since } e^{-xt_i^{2H}} \neq 0, \quad \text{so } a_i = 0(i = 1, \ldots, n).
\]

(b). When \( H \in (0, 1), K \in (1, 2) \) and \( HK < 1 \), \( R(t, s) \) can be decomposed into:
\[
R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2^{K}} \left[ \left( 2^{2H} + 2^{2K} \right)^{y} - t^{2HK} - s^{2HK} \right] + \left( t^{2HK} + s^{2HK} - |t - s|^{2HK} \right).
\]

Based on conclusions of 1 and 2 of Theorem 1.3, it can be know that the covariance matrix corresponding to (8)-(b) can be obtained by adding two strictly positive definite matrices.

(9) \textbf{Proof.} When \( t=s \), it can be seen clearly that hypothesis 1.1 is true in (a) and (b). As shown below, hypothesis 1.2 is proved true.

(a). \( H \in (0, 1), K \in (1, 2), HK < 1 \), \( R(t, s) \) can be decomposed into
\[
R(t, s) = \left[ (2^{2H} + t^{2HK})^{K} - t^{2HK} - s^{2HK} \right] + \left[ t^{2HK} + s^{2HK} - \frac{1}{2} \left( (t + s)^{2HK} + |t - s|^{2HK} \right) \right].
\]

From the conclusions of 1 and 4 of Theorem 1.3, we can know that the covariance matrix corresponding to (9)-(a) can be obtained by adding two strictly positive definite matrices.

(b). \( H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), K \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \), \( R(t, s) \) can be decomposed into
\[
R(s, t) = \left( \frac{s^{2H} + t^{2H})^{K}}{2} - (t + s)^{2HK} \right) + \left( s^{2H} + t^{2H})^{K} - |t - s|^{2HK} \right).
\]

According to 3.12 in [26] and the conclusion of 2 of Theorem 1.3, the covariance matrix corresponding to (9)-(b) can be obtained by introducing two strictly positive definite matrices.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Before proving Theorem, the following Lemmas are obtained according to the previous introduction and preliminary knowledge.

**Lemma 4.1.** Under the hypothesis that 1.2 is true, for any \( t' \in \mathbb{R}^n \), if \( G_t \sim N(0, V) \), the following conclusions can be drawn obtained in combination with \([27]\):

- \((A_1)\). \( t'V^{-1}G_t \sim N(0, t'V^{-1}t) \), \( \mathbb{E} \left( (t'V^{-1}G_t)^4 \right) = 3 (t'V^{-1}t)^2 \).
- \((A_2)\). \((G_t)'V^{-1}G_t \sim \chi_n^2 \), \( \mathbb{E}((G_t)'V^{-1}G_t) = n \), \( \mathbb{E}((G_t)'V^{-1}G_t)^2 = n(n+2) \).
- \((B_1)\). \( \mathbb{E}(G_t'V^{-1}G_t (t'V^{-1}G_t)) = 0 \).
- \((B_2)\). \( \mathbb{E} \left(G_t'V^{-1}G_t (t'V^{-1}G_t)^2 \right) = (n+2) (t'V^{-1}t) \).

**Proof.** Given that \( G_t \) conforms to a multivariate normal distribution, conclusion \((A_1)\) is supported. The conclusion \((A_2)\) is reached according to Theorem 1.5 in Chapter 3 of \([27]\). As shown below, conclusions \((B_1)\) and \((B_2)\) are validated:

Let \( V^{-1/2}G_t = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n]' \), then \( V^{-1/2}G_t \sim N_n(0, I_n) \). Therefore, \( y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n \) are independently and identically distributed and. Let \( t'V^{-1/2} = [a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n] \), then for the conclusion \((B_1)\), there is

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ G_t'V^{-1}G \left( t'V^{-1}G_t \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i \right) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{E} y_i^3 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i \mathbb{E} (y_i y_j^2) = 0.
\]

Then, for the conclusion \((B_2)\), there is

\[
\mathbb{E} \left(G_t'V^{-1}G_t (t'V^{-1}G_t)^2 \right) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \mathbb{E} (y_i y_j^2) = (n+2) \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 = (n+2) (t'V^{-1}t) .
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** For the proofs of conclusions \((B_1)\) and \((B_2)\), the standard perfect square technique of Theorem 3.2 of \([9]\) is also applicable. The proof method used in the thesis is advantageous in conciseness.

**Lemma 4.3.** Hypothesis that 1.1 and 1.2 is true, then the estimator \( \hat{\mu}_n \) of \( \mu \) is an unbiased estimate and \( L^2 \) converges.

**Proof.** By \((1.2)\) and \((1.4)\), we have

\[
\hat{\mu}_n = \mu + \sigma \frac{t'V^{-1}G_t}{t'V^{-1}t} . \tag{4.1}
\]
According to \((A_1)\), it can be known that \(\frac{t'V^{-1}G_t}{t'V^{-1}t} \sim N(\mu, \frac{1}{t'V^{-1}t})\). Therefore, \(E\hat{\mu}_n = \mu\), which means \(\hat{\mu}_n\) is an unbiased estimate of \(\mu\). Also,

\[
E[(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{t'V^{-1}t}.
\]

If the hypothesis \(1.2\) is true, it can be known that \(V\) is a strictly positive definite matrix. In this circumstance, all eigenvalues \(\lambda > 0\) of \(V\). Let \(\lambda_n\) be the maximum eigenvalue of \(V\), then it can be known that \(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\) is the minimum eigenvalue of \(V^{-1}\) according to

\[
V\alpha = \lambda \alpha \implies V^{-1}\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}.
\]

If the hypothesis \(1.1\) is true, according to 1.6.3 in [27], there is

\[
t'V^{-1}t \geq \frac{t'V^{-1}G_t}{\lambda_n} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2}{\lambda_n}.
\]

Since \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{t_i^2}{\lambda_n} = 0\), therefore, according to stolz equation, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2}{\lambda_n} = 0.
\]

so

\[
E(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{t'V^{-1}t} \leq \sigma^2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\] (4.2)

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.4.** If the hypothesis \(1.2\) is true, \(\hat{\sigma}_n^2\) is an asymptotically unbiased estimate and \(L^2\) converges.

**Proof.** By (1.2) and (1.5), we have

\[
\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left( G_t'V^{-1}G_t - \left( \frac{(t'V^{-1}G_t)^2}{t'V^{-1}t} \right) \right).
\] (4.3)

According to conclusion \((A_2)\) and conclusion \((B_2)\), there is

\[
E\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{n} \to \sigma^2 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\] (4.4)

According to conclusion \((A_2)\) and conclusion \((B_2)\) , there is

\[
E[(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)^2] = \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \left( \frac{2\sigma^2 (G_t'V^{-1}G_t)^2}{(t'V^{-1}t)^2} + \frac{t'V^{-1}E(G_tG_t')V^{-1}t}{(t'V^{-1}t)^2} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^4}{n} (n(n+2) - 2(n+2) + 3) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n} (n^2 - 1),
\]

namely,

\[
E[(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)^2] = \frac{\sigma^4}{n} (n^2 - 1).
\] (4.5)
Therefore
\[ E \left( |\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2|^2 \right) = E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)^2 - 2\sigma^2 E\hat{\sigma}_n^2 + \sigma^4 = \frac{2n - 1}{n^2} \sigma^4 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \] (4.6)

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5.1 To prove that \( \hat{\mu}_n \) converges almost everywhere, through the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, it is sufficient to prove
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|\hat{\mu}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) < \infty. \] (4.7)
According to equation (4.2), there is
\[ \mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)^2 \leq \sigma^2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^\beta}{t_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq \frac{2\sigma^2 \epsilon^2 n^{\alpha\beta}}{c_1^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} c_2 n^{2\alpha}} \leq cn^{\alpha(\beta - 2)}. \] (4.8)
Pick \( \epsilon > 0 \), Chebyshev inequality is used.
\[ P(|\hat{\mu}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-q} \mathbb{E}(|\hat{\mu}_n - \mu|^q) \leq c\epsilon^{-q} \left( \mathbb{E}( |\hat{\mu}_n - \mu|^2 ) \right)^{q/2} \leq cn^{-\frac{\alpha(q-2)}{2}}. \]
When \( q \) is large enough to the extent that \( \frac{(\beta - 2)\alpha q}{2} < -1 \), the convergence of equation (31) is achievable. It can be verified that when the growth of \( t_n \) is accelerated, the same conclusion can be drawn. For example, the growth of \( t_n \) is in the range of \( (c_1 n, c_2 n) \), where \( a > 1 \).
Similarly, it can be proved that \( \hat{\sigma}_n^2 \) converges almost everywhere. Based on Lemma 4.4, there is
\[ P(|\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-q} \mathbb{E}( |\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2|^q ) \leq c\epsilon^{-q} \left( \mathbb{E}( |\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2|^2 ) \right)^{q/2} \leq cn^{-\frac{q}{2}}. \]
When \( q \) is large enough to the extent that \( \frac{q}{2} < 1 \), according to the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, equation (1.7) is true.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5.2 From equation (4.1) and the conclusion (A_1), it can be known that \( Y_n \overset{law}{\to} N(0,1) \). According to equation (4.6), there is
\[ \mathbb{E}((Q_n)^2) = \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} \frac{2n - 1}{n^2} \sigma^4 \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]
since \( Q_n \in \mathcal{H}_2 \), which is similar to the proof method of Lemma 3.1 in sub-fractional Brownian motion in [10], it can be known that \( \|DQ_n\|_2^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sigma^2} \). Then according to equation (4.6), there is
\[ \mathbb{E}(\frac{2\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sigma^2} - 2)^2 = \frac{4}{\sigma^4} E(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = \frac{4}{\sigma^4} \frac{2n - 1}{n^2} \sigma^4 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \]
According to the Theorem 2.1 of the [28] (fourth order moment Theorem), there is
\[ Q_n = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2} (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)} \overset{law}{\to} N(0,1). \]
Therefore, \( \rho \) is defined as the correlation coefficient.

Finally, Theorem 1.5.2 is verified as true by Theorem 6.2.3 of [22].

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5.3 Based on Theorem 3.1 of [23], it is sufficient to prove:

(i): \( \varphi(n) := \sqrt{n} \left[ \frac{1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle_S}{\varphi(n)} \right]^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \).

(ii): \( \bar{Q}_n, \frac{1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle_S}{\varphi(n)} \to (N_1, N_2) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \),

where \((N_1, N_2)\) conforms to the binary standard normal distribution and the correlation coefficient is \( \rho \).

Firstly, for part (i), according to equation (30), there is

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( 1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle_S \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|D\bar{Q}_n\|^2_S \right] = \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\sigma^2 - \hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 = \frac{2n - 1}{n^2},
\]

Therefore,

\[
\varphi(n) = \frac{\sqrt{2n - 1}}{n} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

For part (ii), since

\[
\text{var}(\bar{Q}_n) = \text{var}(\bar{Q}_n) \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\]

\[
\text{var} \left( \frac{1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle_S}{\varphi(n)} \right) = \frac{\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2)}{\sigma^2 \varphi^2(n)} = \frac{2n - 2}{2n - 1} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{cov}(\bar{Q}_n, \frac{1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle}{\varphi(n)})}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\bar{Q}_n) \cdot \text{var} \left( \frac{1 - \langle D\bar{Q}_n, -DL^{-1}\bar{Q}_n \rangle_S}{\varphi(n)} \right)}}
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left( \bar{Q}_n(\sigma^2 - \hat{\sigma}_n^2) \right)}{\varphi(n)\sigma^2}
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left[ \mathbb{E}(\sigma^2 - \hat{\sigma}_n^2)^2 - (\mathbb{E}(\sigma^2 - \hat{\sigma}_n^2))^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{\sqrt{n}(n - 1)}{n} = -1.
\]
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