

A BI-VARIANT ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM VIA CORRESPONDENCES

SHOJI YOKURA

ABSTRACT. A bi-variant theory $\mathbb{B}(X, Y)$ defined for a pair (X, Y) is a theory satisfying properties similar to those of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ defined for a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$. In this paper, using correspondences we construct a bi-variant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$ such that $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, pt)$ is isomorphic to Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism of vector bundles $\Omega_{-*,\sharp}(X)$. In particular, $\Omega^*(X, pt) = \Omega^{*,0}(X, pt)$ is isomorphic to Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{-*}(X)$. Namely, $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$ is a *bi-variant version* of Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism of bundles $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This is a continuation of our previous works [20] and [21] and also partially motivated by a recent book [11] by D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum.

In [10] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson have introduced *bivariant theory* $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ with an aim to deal with Riemann–Roch type theorems for singular spaces and to unify them. $\mathbb{B}_*(X) := \mathbb{B}^{-*}(X \rightarrow pt)$ becomes a covariant functor and $\mathbb{B}^*(X) := \mathbb{B}^*(X \xrightarrow{id_X} X)$ a contravariant functor. In this sense $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is called a *bivariant theory*. In [18] (cf. [19]) the author introduced *an oriented bivariant theory* and a *universal oriented bivariant theory* in order to eventually construct a bivariant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ which is supposed to be a bivariant-theoretic version of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism¹ $\Omega_*(X)$ in such a way that the covariant part $\Omega^{-*}(X \rightarrow pt)$ becomes isomorphic to Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$, thus $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{id_X} X)$ would become really a new contravariant “cobordism”.

Our general universal bivariant theory $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ defined on a category \mathcal{C} , equipped with a class \mathcal{C} of *confined* morphisms, a class \mathcal{S} of *specialized* morphisms and a class $\mathcal{I}nd$ of independent squares, is defined to be the free abelian group generated by the set of isomorphism classes of confined morphisms $c : W \rightarrow X$ such that *the composite of c and f is a specialized morphism*:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ c \ni c \swarrow & & \searrow f \circ c \in \mathcal{S} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

Here we note that confined and specialized morphisms are both *closed under composition and base change* and *the identity morphisms are defined to be both confined and specialized*. In the case of the category of complex algebraic varieties, a proper morphism is confined and a smooth morphism is specialized and in [18] we consider the universal bivariant theory $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ where $prop = \mathcal{C}$ is the class of proper morphisms and $sm = \mathcal{S}$ the class of smooth morphisms, in order to construct the above-mentioned bivariant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$. If the coproduct \sqcup is well-defined in a category \mathcal{C} , then we can consider the Grothendieck group $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$, which is the quotient group $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ modulo the relations $[W \xrightarrow{h} X] + [V \xrightarrow{k} X] = [W \sqcup V \xrightarrow{h \sqcup k} X]$.

In [2] T. Annala considered the universal bivariant theory $\mathbb{M}_{qsm}^{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \otimes \mathbb{L}$ where \mathbb{L} is the Lazard ring and $qsm = \mathcal{S}$ is the class of quasi-smooth morphisms in the category of derived schemes, instead of considering the above $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$. A quasi-smooth morphism is closed under composition and base change. Note that a local complete intersection (abbr., *l.c.i.*) morphism is *not closed under base change* in the

keywords : (co)bordism, algebraic cobordism, algebraic cobordism of bundles, correspondence
Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 55N35, 55N22, 14C17, 14C40, 14F99, 19E99.

¹It is called “cobordism”, but it is a theory of “bordism”.

classical category of algebraic schemes, but it is *closed under base change in the category of derived schemes* since it is quasi-smooth. Then, by considering some bivariant ideal $\langle R^{\text{LS}} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ of $\mathbb{M}_{\text{quism}}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$, he defined the quotient group

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\mathbb{M}_{\text{quism}}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \otimes \mathbb{L}}{\langle R^{\text{LS}} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)} =: \Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y),$$

which is his *bivariant derived algebraic cobordism* $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$. It turns out that $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow pt)$ is isomorphic to the derived algebraic cobordism $d\Omega_*(X)$ of Lowrey–Schürg [17], which is isomorphic to Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$. Thus T. Annala has succeeded in constructing a bivariant-theoretic version of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism.

A motivation of considering $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, i.e., the form (1.1) above with $\text{prop} = \mathcal{C}$ and $\text{sm} = \mathcal{S}$, is that cobordism cycles, which are key ingredients of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$, are of the form $[W \xrightarrow{p} X; L_1, \dots, L_r]$ where $p : W \rightarrow X$ is a *proper* morphism, W is *smooth* and L_i ’s are line bundles over W . Namely, putting aside line bundles L_i ’s, the data of a *proper morphism* $W \xrightarrow{p} X$ with *smooth* W is the same as the above commutative diagram (1.1) with $Y = pt$ a point, $f = a_X : X \rightarrow pt$ and $a_W = a_X \circ p : W \rightarrow pt$, the morphisms to a point, such that $c : W \rightarrow X$ is *proper* and $a_W : W \rightarrow pt$ is *smooth*. Namely, it is the left commutative diagram below:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow a_W \\ X & \xrightarrow{a_X} & pt. \end{array} \quad \iff \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow a_W \\ X & & pt. \end{array}$$

However, it is clear that the left commutative diagram is actually the same as the right diagram (without making it commutative via the map $a_X : X \rightarrow pt$). A general diagram $X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{s} Y$ is called a *correspondence*. Then the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of such correspondences $X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{s} Y$ with proper p and smooth s is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X, Y)$, similarly to $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \rightarrow Y)$. When $Y = pt$ is a point, we have the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \rightarrow pt) \cong \mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X, pt)$. In a general situation we have “forgetting the morphism f ”, $\mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X, Y)$, which is an embedding or a monomorphism, defined by $\mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{p} X]) := [X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{f \circ p} Y]$:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow f \circ p \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{forget the morphism } f} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow f \circ p \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

Here we note that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is not surjective, then there does not exist a proper morphism $p : W \rightarrow X$ such that the composite $f \circ p : W \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, thus $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) = 0$, however $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X, Y) \neq 0$. As explained above, in [2] Annala overcomes this kind of drawback $\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{\text{prop}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) = 0$ by considering *quasi-smooth morphisms in the category of derived schemes*.

In [5] Annala and the author constructed a bivariant theory $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, which is generated by the isomorphism classes of $[W \xrightarrow{p} X; E]$ where p is proper and the composite $f \circ p$ is quasi-smooth and E is a vector bundle over W . \sharp refers to the rank of a vector bundle E . $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt)$ is isomorphic to Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism of vector bundles $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$ [15]. Thus $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is a bivariant-theoretic version of $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$.

In §4.3 we show that there is a *bi-variant*²[20] algebraic cobordism $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$, using isomorphism classes of correspondences $[X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]$ with vector bundles E over W , and we have the canonical homomorphism “forgetting the morphism f ”:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$$

²In order not to be confused with a *bivariant* theory in the sense of Fulton–MacPherson, we use “bi-variant”.

defined by $\mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{p} X; E]) := [X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{f \circ p} Y; E]$. $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, pt)$ is isomorphic to Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$, hence $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$ is a *bi-variant version* of Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$. In the case when we consider $E = \mathbf{0}$ the zero bundles, $\Omega^*(X, Y) = \Omega^{*,0}(X, Y)$ is a *bi-variant version* of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$.

For considering the above bi-variant theory $\Omega(X, Y)$, there are a few more motivations other than the above very simple observation (1.3):

- (1) Speaking of a bivariant theory, there is another bivariant theory, which is called a bivariant K -theory or KK -theory $K(X, Y)$ due to G. Kasparov [12]. This is well-known and has been studied very well in C^* -algebra and operator theories. In [8] (cf. [6, 7]) H. Emerson and R. Meyer described $K(X, Y)$, using correspondences. *Hence it would be reasonable or natural to consider such a KK -theory type “bivariant” theory $\Omega(X, Y)$, instead of $\Omega(X \rightarrow Y)$ in the sense of Fulton–MacPherson.*
- (2) Since we have the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{M}_{quasm}^{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{quasm}^{prop}(X, Y)^+$, it would be quite natural to think that there must be some “bi-variant” version $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$, using $\mathbb{M}_{quasm}^{prop}(X, Y)^+$, corresponding to $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ which is constructed by using $\mathbb{M}_{quasm}^{prop}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$.
- (3) Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$ satisfies the following:
 - For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we have the pushforward $f_* : \Omega_*(X) \rightarrow \Omega_*(Y)$, which is covariantly functorial,
 - For a smooth morphism $g : X \rightarrow Y$ we have the pullback $g^* : \Omega_*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega_*(X)$, which is contravariantly functorial,
 - For a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

where f is proper and g is smooth, thus so are f' and g' , respectively, we have

$$f'_* \circ (g')^* = g^* \circ f_* : \Omega_*(X) \rightarrow \Omega_*(Y')$$

which is called *base change isomorphism* or *Beck–Chevalley condition*.

Such a functor is called is a “bi-variant” functor, e.g., see [11, Part III Categories of Correspondences, §1.1, p.271 and §0.1.1., p.285]. In order to encode such a bi-variant functor, in [11] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum consider a category \mathcal{C} (with finite limits) equipped with two classes of morphisms *vert* and *horiz* (both *closed under composition and base change*) and consider correspondences written as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,1} & \xrightarrow{g} & c_0 \\ f \downarrow & & \\ c_1 & & \end{array}$$

where f is *vert* and g is *horiz*. For such a correspondence they consider a pushforward $\Phi(f) : \Phi(c_{0,1}) \rightarrow \Phi(c_1)$ and a pullback $\Phi^!(g) : \Phi(c_0) \rightarrow \Phi(c_{0,1})$ for some bi-variant functor Φ . Using these, they consider the composition $\Phi(f) \circ \Phi^!(g) : \Phi(c_0) \rightarrow \Phi(c_1)$, which is considered as a functor defined on the category of correspondences, i.e., a morphism from c_0 to c_1 is this correspondence. They use such correspondences in order to encode a bi-variant functor with values in a ∞ -category and furthermore to encode Grothendieck six-functor formalism. As the above diagram (1.5) indicates, *vert* and *horiz* clearly come from “vertical” and “horizontal”, respectively, however their real roles or properties are exactly the same as those of *confined* and *specialized*, respectively. Hence, it would be *reasonable or natural to consider some theories similar to a bivariant theory in the sense of Fulton–MacPherson and/or our bi-variant theory in Gaitsgory–Rozenblyum’s study of correspondences*. For example, in [1] T. Abe introduces a kind of bivariant theory (in the sense of Fulton–MacPherson, but missing the structure of bivariant product) with values in an ∞ -category, using correspondences.

In §2 we make a quick review of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory [10] and the author’s universal bi-variant theory [18] (cf. [19]). In §3 we recall cobordism bicycles of vector bundles $[X \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]$ and

their properties, which are key ingredients for our bi-variant theory $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$. In §4 we define our bi-variant theory

$$\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)}$$

after defining a bi-variant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$. As one can see §4.3, it is not that easy to prove that $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$ is a bi-variant ideal. We also show that $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$ is a bi-variant version of Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism of vector bundles $\Omega_{*,\sharp}(X)$, namely that $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, pt) \cong \Omega_{-*,\sharp}(X)$. In the final section §5 we discuss some possible generalized versions of Annala’s bivariant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ and our bi-variant theory $\Omega^*(X, Y)$.

2. FULTON–MACPHERSON’S BIVARIANT THEORY AND A UNIVERSAL BIVARIANT THEORY

We make a quick review of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory [10] (also see [9]) and the author’s universal bivariant theory [18] (also see [19]).

2.1. Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory. Let \mathcal{C} be a category which has a final object pt and on which the fiber product or fiber square is well-defined. Also we consider a class of morphisms, called “confined morphisms” (e.g., proper morphisms, projective morphisms, in algebraic geometry), which are *closed under composition and base change and contain all the identity morphisms*, and a class of fiber squares, called “independent squares” (or “confined squares”, e.g., “Tor-independent” in algebraic geometry, a fiber square with some extra conditions required on morphisms of the square), which satisfy the following:

(i) if the two inside squares in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y'' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Y \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

are independent, then the outside square is also independent,

(ii) any square of the following forms are independent:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} & Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is any morphism.

A bivariant theory \mathbb{B} on a category \mathcal{C} with values in the category of graded abelian groups is an assignment to each morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in the category \mathcal{C} a graded abelian group (in most cases we ignore the grading) $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ which is equipped with the following three basic operations. The i -th component of $\mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is denoted by $\mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

(1) **Product:** For morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, the product operation

$$\bullet : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{B}^j(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{i+j}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)$$

is defined.

(2) **Pushforward:** For morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ with f *confined*, the pushforward operation

$$f_* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^i(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

is defined.

(3) **Pullback** : For an *independent* square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

the pullback operation

$$g^* : \mathbb{B}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^i(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$$

is defined.

These three operations are required to satisfy the following seven compatibility axioms ([10, Part I, §2.2]):

(A₁) **Product is associative**: for $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{B}(Z \xrightarrow{h} W)$,

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma = \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma).$$

(A₂) **Pushforward is functorial** : for $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ with f and g confined and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{h \circ g \circ f} W)$

$$(g \circ f)_*(\alpha) = g_*(f_*(\alpha)).$$

(A₃) **Pullback is functorial**: given independent squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y'' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

$$(g \circ h)^* = h^* \circ g^*.$$

(A₁₂) **Product and pushforward commute**: for $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} W$ with f confined and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(Z \xrightarrow{h} W)$,

$$f_*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = f_*(\alpha) \bullet \beta.$$

(A₁₃) **Product and pullback commute**: given independent squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Y \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$,

$$h^*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = h'^*(\alpha) \bullet h^*(\beta).$$

(A₂₃) **Pushforward and pullback commute**: given independent squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{h''} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h'} & Y \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

with f confined and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$,

$$f'_*(h^*(\alpha)) = h^*(f_*(\alpha)).$$

(A₁₂₃) **Projection formula:** given an independent square with g confined and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y), \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y' \xrightarrow{h \circ g} Z)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \xrightarrow{h} Z \end{array}$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y), \beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y' \xrightarrow{h \circ g} Z)$,

$$g'_*(g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta) = \alpha \bullet g_*(\beta).$$

We also assume that \mathbb{B} has units:

Units: \mathbb{B} has units, i.e., there is an element $1_X \in \mathbb{B}^0(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)$ such that $\alpha \bullet 1_X = \alpha$ for all morphisms $W \rightarrow X$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(W \rightarrow X)$, such that $1_X \bullet \beta = \beta$ for all morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ and all $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(X \rightarrow Y)$, and such that $g^*1_X = 1_{X'}$ for all $g : X' \rightarrow X$.

Commutativity: \mathbb{B} is called *commutative* if whenever both

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \end{array}$$

are independent squares with $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Z)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{B}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$,

$$g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha.$$

Let \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}' be two bivariate theories on a category \mathcal{C} . A *Grothendieck transformation* from \mathbb{B} to \mathbb{B}' , $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'$ is a collection of homomorphisms $\mathbb{B}(X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'(X \rightarrow Y)$ for a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in the category \mathcal{C} , which preserves the above three basic operations:

- (1) $\gamma(\alpha \bullet_{\mathbb{B}} \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathbb{B}'} \gamma(\beta)$,
- (2) $\gamma(f_*\alpha) = f_*\gamma(\alpha)$, and
- (3) $\gamma(g^*\alpha) = g^*\gamma(\alpha)$.

A bivariate theory unifies both a covariant theory and a contravariant theory in the following sense:

$\mathbb{B}_*(X) := \mathbb{B}^{-*}(X \rightarrow pt)$ becomes a covariant functor for *confined* morphisms and

$\mathbb{B}^*(X) := \mathbb{B}^*(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X)$ becomes a contravariant functor for *any* morphisms. A Grothendieck transformation $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'$ induces natural transformations $\gamma_* : \mathbb{B}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'_*$ and $\gamma^* : \mathbb{B}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'^*$.

Definition 2.1. As to the grading, $\mathbb{B}_i(X) := \mathbb{B}^{-i}(X \rightarrow pt)$ and $\mathbb{B}^j(X) := \mathbb{B}^j(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X)$.

Definition 2.2. ([10, Part I, §2.6.2 Definition]) Let \mathcal{S}' be a class of maps in \mathcal{V} , closed under composition³ and containing all identity maps. Suppose that to each $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{S}' there is assigned an element $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ satisfying that

- (i) $\theta(g \circ f) = \theta(f) \bullet \theta(g)$ for all $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{S}'$ and
- (ii) $\theta(\text{id}_X) = 1_X$ for all X with $1_X \in \mathbb{B}^*(X) := \mathbb{B}^*(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)$ the unit element.

Then $\theta(f)$ is called an *canonical orientation* of f .

Gysin homomorphisms : Note that such a canonical orientation makes the covariant functor $\mathbb{B}_*(X)$ a contravariant functor for morphisms in \mathcal{S}' , and also makes the contravariant functor \mathbb{B}^* a covariant functor for morphisms in $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S}'$: Indeed,

- (1) As to the covariant functor $\mathbb{B}_*(X)$: For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}'$ and a canonical orientation θ on \mathcal{S}' , the following *Gysin (pullback) homomorphism*

$$f^! : \mathbb{B}_*(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_*(X) \quad \text{defined by} \quad f^!(\alpha) := \theta(f) \bullet \alpha$$

is *contravariantly functorial*.

³In the case of confined maps, we require that the pullback of a confined map is confined. For this class \mathcal{S}' we do not require the stability of pullback. For example, in [10] the class of local complete intersection (abbr. *l.c.i.*) morphisms is considered as such a class, and the pullback of an *l.c.i.* morphism is not necessarily a *l.c.i.* morphism.

(2) As to contravariant functor \mathbb{B}^* : For a fiber square (which is an independent square by hypothesis)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S}'$, the following *Gysin (pushforward) homomorphism*

$$f_! : \mathbb{B}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^*(Y) \quad \text{defined by} \quad f_!(\alpha) := f_*(\alpha \bullet \theta(f))$$

is *covariantly functorial*.

The above Gysin homomorphisms are sometimes denoted by $f_!^\theta$ and $f_!^\theta$ respectively in order to make it clear that the canonical orientation θ is used.

2.2. A universal bivariant theory. (i) Let \mathcal{S} be another class of morphisms called “specialized morphisms” (e.g., smooth morphisms in algebraic geometry) in \mathcal{C} , which is *closed under composition and base change and contains all identity morphisms*.

(ii) Let \mathcal{S} be as in (i). Furthermore, if the orientation θ on \mathcal{S} satisfies that for an independent square with $f \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

$\theta(f') = g^*\theta(f)$, i.e., the orientation θ preserves the pullback operation, then we call θ a *nice canonical orientation* of \mathbb{B} . We also assume that our category \mathcal{V} satisfies that any fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P' & \longrightarrow & P \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Q' & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

with f being confined, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{C}$, is an independent square. In [18] this condition is called “*C-independence*”.

Theorem 2.3. ([18, Theorem 3.1]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a class \mathcal{C} of confined morphisms, a class $\mathcal{I}nd$ of independent squares and a class \mathcal{S} of specialized morphisms. We define $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ to be the free abelian group generated by the set of isomorphism classes of confined morphisms $h : W \rightarrow X$ such that the composite of h and f is a specialized morphism: $h \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \circ h : W \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}$.*

(1) *The association $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a bivariant theory if the three bivariant operations are defined as follows:*

(a) **Product:** *For morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, the product*

$$\bullet : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z)$$

is defined by $[V \xrightarrow{h} X] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y] := [V' \xrightarrow{h \circ k'} X]$ and extended linearly, where we consider the following fiber squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & W \\ k'' \downarrow & & k' \downarrow & & k \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{h} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z. \end{array}$$

(b) **Pushforward:** *For morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ with f confined, the pushforward*

$$f_* : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{gf} Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)$$

is defined by $f_([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := [V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y]$ and extended linearly.*

(c) **Pullback:** For an independent square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

the pullback

$g^* : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$
is defined by $g^*([V \xrightarrow{h} X]) := [V' \xrightarrow{h'} X']$ and extended linearly, where we consider the following fiber squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' & \xrightarrow{g''} & V \\ h' \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

(2) For a specialized morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\theta_{\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}}(f) = [X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X] \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$$

is a nice canonical orientation of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ for \mathcal{S} .

(3) (A universality of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}$) Let \mathbb{B} be a bivariate theory on the same category \mathcal{C} with the same class \mathcal{C} of confined morphisms, the same class $\mathcal{I}nd$ of independent squares and the same class \mathcal{S} of specialized morphisms, and let θ be a nice canonical orientation of \mathbb{B} for \mathcal{S} . Then there exists a unique Grothendieck transformation $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that for a specialized morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ satisfies the normalization condition that $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}}(\theta_{\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}}(f)) = \theta_{\mathbb{B}}(f)$.

Proposition 2.4. (Commutativity⁴) The universal bivariate theory $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is commutative in the sense that $g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha$ for a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \textcircled{\alpha} \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \textcircled{\beta} \end{array}$$

Remark 2.5. Here we note that

- (1) for a confined morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ we have the pushforward $f_* : (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(X')$,
- (2) for a specialized morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ we have the (Gysin) pullback $g^* : (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(Y') \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(Y)$, where we use the above canonical orientation $\theta_{\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}}(g)$,
- (3) for a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

where f is confined and g is specialized, thus so are f' and g' , respectively. Then we have

$$f'_* \circ (g')^* = g^* \circ f_* : (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}})_*(Y')$$

which is called *base change isomorphism* or *Beck–Chevalley condition*.

⁴If $g^*(\alpha) \bullet \beta = (-1)^{\deg(\alpha) \deg(\beta)} f^*(\beta) \bullet \alpha$ holds, then it is called *skew-commutative* (see [10, Part I: Bivariate Theories, §2.2]).

Hence the functor $(\mathbb{M}_S^{\mathcal{C}})_*$ is a *bi-variant functor* (e.g., see [11, Part III Categories of Correspondences, §1.1, p.271 and §0.1.1., p.285]) from the category \mathcal{C} equipped with two classes of morphisms *confined* and *specialized* to the category of abelian groups.

Remark 2.6. Suppose that the coproduct \sqcup (like the disjoint union in the category of sets, the category of topological spaces, etc.) is well-defined⁵ in the category \mathcal{C} and $f : X_1 \sqcup X_2 \rightarrow Y$ is defined to be confined (resp., specialized) if and only if the restrictions $f|_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow Y$ is confined (resp., specialized). Here $f|_{X_i} := f \circ \iota_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ where $\iota_i : X_i \rightarrow X_1 \sqcup X_2$ is the inclusion. If we consider the following relations on $\mathbb{M}_S^{\mathcal{C}}(X \rightarrow Y)$

$$[V_1 \sqcup V_2 \xrightarrow{h} X] = [V_1 \xrightarrow{h|_{V_1}} X] + [V_2 \xrightarrow{h|_{V_2}} X]$$

where we note that $(h_1 \sqcup h_2)|_{V_i} = (h_1 \sqcup h_2) \circ \iota_i = h_i : V_i \rightarrow X$, then we get the Grothendieck group, denoted by $\mathbb{M}_S^{\mathcal{C}}(X \rightarrow Y)^+$. Or the set of isomorphism classes of $[V \rightarrow X]$ becomes a commutative monoid by the coproduct

$$[V_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} X] + [V_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} X] := [V_1 \sqcup V_2 \xrightarrow{h_1 \sqcup h_2} X].$$

Then the Grothendieck group $\mathbb{M}_S^{\mathcal{C}}(X \rightarrow Y)^+$ is the group completion of this monoid.

3. COBORDISM BICYCLES OF VECTOR BUNDLES

In [20] we considered extending the notion of algebraic cobordism of vector bundles due to Y.-P. Lee and R. Pandharipande [13] (cf. [16]) to “correspondences”. For later use, we give a quick recall of them.

3.1. Cobordism bicycles of vector bundles.

Definition 3.1. Let $X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y$ be a correspondence such that p is *proper* and s is *smooth*, and let E be a complex vector bundle over V . Then $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)$ is called a *cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle*.

Definition 3.2. Let $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)$ and $(X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y; E')$ be two cobordism bicycles of vector bundles of the same rank. If there exists an isomorphism $h : V \cong V'$ such that

- (1) $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \cong (X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y)$ as correspondences, i.e., the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow s \\ X & & Y \\ p' \swarrow & h \downarrow & \searrow s' \\ & V' & \end{array}$$

- (2) $E \cong h^* E'$,

then they are called *isomorphic* and denoted by

$$(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E) \cong (X \xleftarrow{p'} V' \xrightarrow{s'} Y; E').$$

The isomorphism class of $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)$ is denoted by $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]$, which is still called a cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle. For a fixed rank r for vector bundles, the set of isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles for a pair (X, Y) becomes a commutative monoid by the disjoint sum:

$$[X \xleftarrow{p_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{s_1} Y; E_1] + [X \xleftarrow{p_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{s_2} Y; E_2] := [X \xleftarrow{p_1+p_2} V_1 \sqcup V_2 \xrightarrow{s_1+s_2} Y; E_1 \sqcup E_2].$$

This monoid is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^r(X, Y)$ and another grading of $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]$ is defined by the relative dimension of the smooth morphism s , denoted by $\dim s$, thus by double grading, $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \in \mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, Y)$, where we set $-n = \dim s$ and $r = \text{rank } E$. The group completion of this monoid, i.e., the Grothendieck group, is denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, Y)^+$. Using similar notations as in §2.3, $\mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, Y)^+$ could or should be denoted by $(\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{prop})^{n,r}(X, Y)^+$ where $prop = \mathcal{C}$ is the class of proper morphisms and $sm = \mathcal{S}$ is the class of smooth morphisms. But, in order to avoid some messy notation, we use $\mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, Y)^+$, mimicking the notation used in [14].

⁵Note that there is a category in which the coproduct is not defined, e.g., the category of finite groups.

Remark 3.3. The reason for why we set $-n = \dim s$ in the above grading is requiring that $\mathcal{M}_{-n,r}(X, pt) := \mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, pt)$ in the case when $Y = pt$ and $\mathcal{M}_{-n,r}(X, pt)$ is supposed to be the same as $\mathcal{M}_{-n,r}(X)^+$ considered in Lee–Pandharipande [15], where $-n = \dim s$ is nothing but the dimension of the source variety V . This is just like setting $\mathbb{B}_i(X) := \mathbb{B}^{-i}(X \rightarrow pt)$.

For late use, we define the following special cobordism bicycles:

Definition 3.4. (1) For a smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, we denote

$$\mathbb{1}_g := [Y \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y \xrightarrow{g} Y'; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{-\dim g, 0}(X, Y)^+,$$

(2) For a proper morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, we denote

$$g \mathbb{1} := [Y' \xleftarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{0, 0}(X, Y)^+,$$

(3) For any variety Y , $\mathbb{1}_Y := [Y \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{0, 0}(Y, Y)^+$.

Here $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero bundle over Y .

Definition 3.5. For three varieties X, Y, Z , by using Whitney sum \oplus we define the following product⁶

$$\bullet : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y, Z)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m+n, r+k}(X, Z)^+$$

$$[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] \bullet [Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z; F] := [(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \circ (Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z); (q')^* E \oplus (s')^* F]$$

Here we consider the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (q')^* E \oplus (s')^* F & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & E & & V \times_Y W & F \\
 & \downarrow & q' & \swarrow & \searrow s' \\
 & V & & & W \\
 & \swarrow p & & s & \swarrow q \\
 X & & & Y & & t \\
 & & & & & Z
 \end{array}$$

The middle diamond square is the fiber product and $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \circ (Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z)$ is the composition of correspondences, defined by $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y) \circ (Y \xleftarrow{q} W \xrightarrow{t} Z) := (X \xleftarrow{p \circ q'} V \times_Y W \xrightarrow{t \circ s'} Z)$ in the above diagram.

Now we define pushforward and pullback of cobordism bicycles of vector bundles:

Definition 3.6. (1) (Pushforward)

(a) For a *proper* morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, the (proper) pushforward *acting on the first factor* $X \xleftarrow{p} V$, denoted by $f_* : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X', Y)^+$, is defined by

$$f_*([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) := [X' \xleftarrow{f \circ p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E].$$

Note that $f_*(-) = f \mathbb{1} \bullet (-)$.

(b) For a *smooth* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, the (smooth) pushforward *acting on the second factor* $V \xrightarrow{s} Y$, denoted by $*g : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim g, r}(X, Y')^+$ ⁷, is defined by

$$([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E])_* g := [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{g \circ s} Y'; E].$$

Here we emphasize that $*g$ is written on the right side of $([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E])$ *not on the left side*.

Note that $(-)_* g = (-) \bullet \mathbb{1}_g$.

(Note also that $-m = \dim s$ and $\dim(g \circ s) = \dim s + \dim g = -m + \dim g = -(m - \dim g)$.)

(2) (Pullback)

⁶In [20] we consider another product \bullet_{\otimes} using tensor product \otimes . In this paper we do not consider \bullet_{\otimes} .

⁷In [20] we have $\mathcal{M}^{m+\dim g, r}(X, Y')^+$, instead of $\mathcal{M}^{m-\dim g, r}(X, Y')^+$, because in [20] we set $n = \dim s$, not $-n = \dim s$, for the first grading n of $\mathcal{M}^{n,r}(X, Y)^+$ (cf. Remark 3.3 above). This sign change is applied to the other situations below, as long as the relative dimensions of smooth morphisms are involved.

- (a) For a *smooth* morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, the (smooth) pullback *acting on the first factor* $X \xleftarrow{p} V$, denoted by $f^* : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim f, r}(X', Y)^+$ is defined by

$$f^*([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]) := [X' \xleftarrow{p'} X' \times_X V \xrightarrow{s \circ f'} Y; (f')^* E].$$

Here we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (f')^* E & & E \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & X' \times_X V & \xrightarrow{f'} & V \\ & \swarrow p' & & \searrow p & \searrow s \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X & & Y \end{array}$$

Note that $f^*(-) = \mathbb{1}_f \bullet (-)$.

(Note also that the left diamond is a fiber square, thus $f' : X' \times_X V \rightarrow V$ is smooth and $p' : X' \times_X V \rightarrow X'$ is proper. Note that $\dim f' = \dim f$ and $\dim(s \circ f') = \dim s + \dim f' = -m + \dim f = -(m - \dim f)$.)

- (b) For a *proper* morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$, the (proper) pullback *acting on the second factor* $V \xrightarrow{s} Y$, denoted by ${}^*g : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y')^+$, is defined by

$$([X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E])^*g := [X \xleftarrow{p \circ g'} V \times_Y Y' \xrightarrow{s'} Y'; (g')^* E].$$

Here we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & & (g')^* E \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & V & \xleftarrow{g'} & V \times_Y Y' \\ & \swarrow p & & \searrow s & \searrow s' \\ X & & & & Y \xleftarrow{g} Y' \end{array}$$

Note that $(-)^*g = (-) \bullet {}_g \mathbb{1}$.

(Note that the right diamond is a fiber square, thus $s' : V \times_Y Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is smooth and $g' : V \times_Y Y' \rightarrow V$ is proper, and $\dim s = \dim s'$.)

Proposition 3.7. *The above three operations of product, pushforward and pullback satisfy the following properties.*

- (A₁) **Product is associative:** *For three varieties X, Y, Z, W we have*

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma = \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma).$$

where $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+, \beta \in \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y, Z)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{\ell,e}(Z, W)^+$,

- (A₂) **Pushforward is functorial :**

- (a) *For two proper morphisms $f_1 : X \rightarrow X', f_2 : X' \rightarrow X''$, we have*

$$(f_2 \circ f_1)_* = (f_2)_* \circ (f_1)_*$$

where $(f_1)_* : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X', Y)^+$ and

$(f_2)_* : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X', Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X'', Y)^+$.

- (b) *For two smooth morphisms $g_1 : Y \rightarrow Y', g_2 : Y' \rightarrow Y''$ we have*

$${}^*(g_2 \circ g_1) = {}^*(g_1) \circ {}^*(g_2) \quad \text{i.e., } \alpha {}^*(g_2 \circ g_1) = (\alpha {}^*(g_1)) {}^*(g_2)$$

where ${}^*(g_1) : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim g_1, r}(X, Y')$ and

${}^*(g_2) : \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim g_1, r}(X, Y') \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim g_1-\dim g_2, r}(X, Y'')$.

- (A₂)' **Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute:** *For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and a smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ we have*

$${}^*g \circ f_* = f_* \circ {}^*g, \quad \text{i.e., } (f_* \alpha) {}^*g = f_* (\alpha {}^*g).$$

(A₃) Pullback is functorial:(a) For two smooth morphisms $f_1 : X \rightarrow X'$, $f_2 : X' \rightarrow X''$ we have

$$(f_2 \circ f_1)^* = (f_1)^* \circ (f_2)^*$$

where $(f_2)^* : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X'', Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim f_2, r}(X', Y)^+$ and
 $(f_1)^* : \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim f_2, r}(X', Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim f_2-\dim f_1, r}(X, Y)^+$.

(b) For two proper morphisms $g_1 : Y \rightarrow Y'$, $g_2 : Y' \rightarrow Y''$ we have

$$*(g_2 \circ g_1) = *(g_2) \circ *(g_1) \quad \text{i.e., } \alpha^*(g_2 \circ g_1) = (\alpha^*(g_2))^*(g_1)$$

where $*(g_1) : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y')^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and
 $*(g_2) : \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y'')^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y')^+$.

(A₃)' Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute: For a smooth morphism $g : X' \rightarrow X$ and a proper morphism $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ we have

$$g^* \circ *f = *f \circ g^*, \quad \text{i.e., } g^*(\alpha^*f) = (g^*\alpha)^*f.$$

(A₁₂) Product and pushforward commute: Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y, Z)^+$.(a) For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$,

$$f_*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = (f_*\alpha) \bullet \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n, r+k}(X', Z)^+).$$

(b) For a smooth morphism $g : Z \rightarrow Z'$,

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta)_*g = \alpha \bullet (\beta_*g) \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n-\dim g, r+k}(X, Z')^+).$$

(A₁₃) Product and pullback commute: Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y, Z)^+$.(a) For a smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$,

$$f^*(\alpha \bullet \beta) = (f^*\alpha) \bullet \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n-\dim f, r+k}(X', Z)^+).$$

(b) For a proper morphism $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$,

$$(\alpha \bullet \beta)^*g = \alpha \bullet (\beta^*g) \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n, r+k}(X, Z')^+).$$

(A₂₃) Pushforward and pullback commute: For $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ (a) (proper pushforward and proper pullback commute) For proper morphisms $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$

$$(f_*\alpha)^*g = f_*(\alpha^*g) \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X', Y')^+).$$

(b) (smooth pushforward and smooth pullback commute) For smooth morphisms $f : X' \rightarrow X$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$

$$f^*(\alpha_*g) = (f^*\alpha)_*g \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m-\dim f-\dim g, r}(X', Y')^+).$$

(c) (proper pushforward and smooth pullback “commute” in the following sense) For the following fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X'' \\ \tilde{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

with f proper and g smooth, we have

$$g^*f_* = \tilde{f}_*\tilde{g}^*.$$

(d) (smooth pushforward and proper pullback “commute” in the following sense) For the following fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y'' \\ \tilde{g} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

with f proper and g smooth, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y'')^+$ we have

$$(\alpha *_g) * f = (\alpha * \tilde{f}) * \tilde{g}.$$

(A₁₂₃) **“Projection formula”**:

(a) For a smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y', Z)^+$,

$$(\alpha *_g) \bullet \beta = \alpha \bullet g_* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n-\dim g, r+k}(X, Z)^+).$$

(b) For a proper morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{n,k}(Y', Z)^+$,

$$(\alpha *_g) \bullet \beta = \alpha \bullet g_* \beta \quad (\in \mathcal{M}^{m+n, r+k}(X, Z)^+).$$

(Units) $\mathbb{1}_X = [X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{0,0}(X, X)^+$ satisfies that $\mathbb{1}_X \bullet \alpha = \alpha$ for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \bullet \mathbb{1}_X = \beta$ for any element $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{m,r}(Y, X)^+$.

The following fact is emphasized for a later use.

Proposition 3.8. (Pushforward-Product Property for Units (abbr. PPPU)) For the following fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V \times_Y W & \\ \tilde{p} \swarrow & & \searrow \tilde{s} \\ V & & W \\ s \searrow & & \swarrow p \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

with $s : V \rightarrow Y$ smooth and $p : W \rightarrow Y$ proper, we have

$$(\mathbb{1}_V *_s) \bullet p_* \mathbb{1}_W = (\tilde{p}_* \mathbb{1}_{V \times_Y W}) * \tilde{s} = \tilde{p}_* (\mathbb{1}_{V \times_Y W} * \tilde{s}) \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(V, W)^+.$$

Remark 3.9. We note that for the free abelian group $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$ Definitions 3.5 and 3.6 are also well-defined and Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 hold as well.

3.2. \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{S} - correspondences. The cobordism bicycle of the zero bundle $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; \mathbf{0}]$ is the same as the isomorphism class $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y]$ of a correspondence with a proper morphism $p : V \rightarrow X$ and a smooth morphism $s : V \rightarrow Y$. So this shall be called a *proper-smooth correspondence*. Then the above Grothendieck group $\mathcal{M}^*(X, Y)^+ = \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(X, Y)^+$ with the second degree $r = 0$ is nothing but the Grothendieck group of proper-smooth correspondences.

In general, for the class \mathcal{C} of *confined* morphisms and the class \mathcal{S} of *specialized* morphisms, the isomorphism class $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y]$ of a correspondence with $p \in \mathcal{C}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$ shall be called a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{S} -correspondence. Then the free abelian group generated by \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{S} -correspondences is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. If we assume the conditions as in Remark 2.6, then the Grothendieck group generated by \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{S} -correspondences is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)^+$. Then for both $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ and $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)^+$ Definitions 3.5 and 3.6 with deleting the data of vector bundles are also well-defined and Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 with deleting the data of vector bundles hold as well.

We also have the following “forgetting the map f ” defined by $\mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{p} X]) := [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{f \circ p} Y]$:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y),$$

$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)^+,$$

which are both embeddings or monomorphisms.

4. A BI-VARIANT ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM WITH BUNDLES $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$

In this section we consider the “correspondence” version of Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ [2] and furthermore that of Annala-Yokura’s bivariant algebraic cobordism with vector bundles $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow Y)$ [5] (also see [3, 4]).

From now on, as in [2] and [5], we work in derived algebraic geometry, thus we deal with an ∞ -category of derived schemes projective over a field of characteristic 0. So, commutative square and fiber square treated in previous sections become *homotopy commutative square* and *homotopy fiber square* in this section, although we do not write “homotopy” in what follows.

4.1. A bi-variant theory $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+$. In order to construct our bi-variant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$, we modify our previous abelian groups $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+$ as follows.

Definition 4.1. Let $X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y$ be a correspondence such that $p : V \rightarrow X$ is *proper* and $s : V \rightarrow Y$ is *quasi-smooth*, and let E be a complex vector bundle over V . Then $(X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E)$ is still called a *cobordism bicycle of a vector bundle*.

Then we define $\mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$ (using the same symbol as before) to be an abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of cobordism bicycles of the form $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E]$ such that $s : V \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi-smooth morphism of *virtual relative dimension* $-i$ and $r = \text{rank}(E)$. Furthermore we consider

$$\mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ := \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$$

which is the free module over the Lazard ring \mathbb{L} .

Here we note that in the case of the bivariant algebraic cobordism with vector bundles $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow Y)$ we consider

$$\mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X \rightarrow Y)^+ := \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X \rightarrow Y)^+$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$ is generated by the isomorphism classes of cobordism cycles of the form

$$[V \xrightarrow{p} X; E]$$

such that $p : V \rightarrow X$ is proper and the composite $f \circ p : V \rightarrow Y$ is quasi-smooth of *virtual relative dimension* $-i$ and $r = \text{rank}(E)$.

Remark 4.2. If we consider the case when E is the zero bundle $\mathbf{0}$, i.e., $[V \xrightarrow{p} X; \mathbf{0}]$, or equivalently we consider $[V \xrightarrow{p} X]$, then we have $\mathcal{M}^{i,0}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$, which is nothing but $\mathcal{M}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$ used in [2]. If we use the notation in Remark 2.6, $\mathcal{M}^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$ is denoted by $(\mathbb{M}_{quasm}^{prop})^i(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$ where $prop = \mathcal{C}$ is the class of proper morphisms and $quasm = \mathcal{S}$ is the class of quasi-smooth morphisms.

Therefore we have the canonical morphism, “forgetting” the morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$$

defined by

$$\mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{p} X; E]) := [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{f \circ p} Y; E].$$

Then we get the same results for $\mathcal{M}^{i,r}(-, -)^+$ as Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.8 as in §3, so omitted for the sake of simplicity.

We recall the following definition of the top Chern class of a vector bundle [5, Definition 5.5]:

Definition 4.3. ([5, Definition 5.5]) Given a vector bundle E of rank n on X , we define its *top Chern class* as

$$c_n(E) = s^* s_!(1_X) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0}(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)^+$$

where $s : X \rightarrow E$ is the zero section.

We note (see [5, Remark 5.6]) that the above $c_n(E)$ can be expressed explicitly as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad c_n(E) = [V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X; \mathbf{0}]$$

where $V(s)$ is the derived vanishing locus of the zero section and $i_{V(s)}$ is the inclusion. Hence, it is quite natural to define the “correspondence” version of the Chern class, still called the top Chern class of the vector bundle E and denoted by $c_n(E) \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0}(X, X)^+$, as follows:

Definition 4.5.

$$c_n(E) := [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0}(X, X)^+.$$

Lemma 4.6. Let E be a vector bundle of rank n over X .

- (1) For a proper morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, $f_* c_n(f^* E) = c_n(E) * f$.
- (2) For a quasi-smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, $c_n(f^* E) * f = f^* c_n(E)$.

Proof. We prove only the first one, since that of the second one is similar. By definition we have $c_n(E) = [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X]$, where we drop the zero bundle $\mathbf{0}$ for the sake of simplicity. Hence, using the following diagram in which the right diamond is a fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V(s) & \xleftarrow{\widehat{f}} & \widehat{V(s)} \\
 & i_{V(s)} \swarrow & & & \searrow i_{V(s)} \\
 & X & & & X' \\
 & & i_{V(s)} \searrow & & \swarrow f \\
 & & X & & X'
 \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_n(E)^* f &= [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)} \circ \widehat{f}} \widehat{V(s)} \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X'] \\
 &= [X \xleftarrow{f \circ i_{V(s)}} \widehat{V(s)} \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X'] \\
 &= f_* [X' \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} \widehat{V(s)} \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X']
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{V(s)}$ is the pullback of the vanishing locus $V(s)$ of the zero section s by the morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, $\widehat{V(s)}$ is equal to the vanishing locus of the pullbacked zero section f^*s of the pullbacked vector bundle f^*E , i.e., we have $c_n(f^*E) = [X' \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} \widehat{V(s)} \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X']$. Hence we have $f_* c_n(f^*E) = c_n(E)^* f$. \square

For a vector bundle L of rank ℓ over X or a vector bundle M of rank m over Y , the homomorphisms

$$c_\ell(L) \bullet : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+\ell,r}(X, Y)^+, \quad \bullet c_m(M) : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+m,r}(X, Y)^+$$

defined respectively by $c_\ell(L) \bullet \alpha$ and $\beta \bullet c_m(M)$, are called the ‘‘Chern class operators’’.

Proposition 4.7. *The Chern class operators of line bundles satisfy the following properties.*

- (1) (*identity*): If L and L' are line bundles over X and isomorphic and if M and M' are line bundles over Y and isomorphic, then

$$c_1(L) \bullet = c_1(L') \bullet : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+1,r}(X, Y)^+,$$

$$\bullet c_1(M) = \bullet c_1(M') : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+1,r}(X, Y)^+.$$

- (2) (*commutativity*): If L and L' are line bundles over X and if M and M' are line bundles over Y , then

$$c_1(L) \bullet c_1(L') \bullet = c_1(L') \bullet c_1(L) \bullet : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+2,r}(X, Y)^+,$$

$$\bullet c_1(M) \bullet c_1(M') = \bullet c_1(M') \bullet c_1(M) : \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{i+2,r}(X, Y)^+.$$

- (3) (*compatibility with product*) Let L be a line bundle over X and N be a line bundle over Z . For $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{j,k}(Y, Z)^+$, then

$$c_1(L) \bullet (\alpha \bullet \beta) = (c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) \bullet \beta, \quad (\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet c_1(N) = \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet c_1(N)).$$

- (4) (*compatibility with pushforward = ‘‘projection formula’’*) For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and a line bundle L over X' and for a quasi-smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ and a line bundle M over Y' we have that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$

$$f_* (c_1(f^*L) \bullet \alpha) = c_1(L) \bullet f_* \alpha, \quad (\alpha \bullet c_1(g^*M)) * g = \alpha * g \bullet c_1(M).$$

- (5) (*compatibility with pullback = ‘‘pullback formula’’*) For a quasi-smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ and a line bundle L over X and for a proper morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and a line bundle M over Y we have that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{i,r}(X, Y)^+$

$$f^* (c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) = c_1(f^*L) \bullet f^* \alpha, \quad (\alpha \bullet c_1(M)) * g = \alpha * g \bullet c_1(g^*M).$$

- (6) (*Pullback Property for Unit (abbr. PPU)*) For a quasi-smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ and a line bundle L over X and for a proper morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and a line bundle M over Y we have that for $\mathbb{1}_X \in \mathcal{M}^{0,0}(X, X)^+$ and $\mathbb{1}_Y \in \mathcal{M}^{0,0}(Y, Y)^+$

$$c_1(f^*L) \bullet f^* \mathbb{1}_X = f^* \mathbb{1}_X \bullet c_1(L) \in \mathcal{M}^{\dim f+1,0}(X', X)^+,$$

$$\mathbb{1}_Y * g \bullet c_1(g^*M) = c_1(M) \bullet \mathbb{1}_Y * g \in \mathcal{M}^{1,0}(Y, Y')^+.$$

In particular

(7) (*Commutativity of the unit and Chern class*) Let L be a line bundle over X . Then we have

$$c_1(L) \bullet \mathbb{1}_X = \mathbb{1}_X \bullet c_1(L).$$

Proof. It suffices to show (2), (4), (5) and (6).

(2) We just show the first one. Let $s : X \rightarrow L$ and $s' : X \rightarrow L'$ be the zero sections. It follows from the fact that the derived fiber product $V(s) \times_X V(s') = V((s, s'))$ where $(s, s') : X \rightarrow L \oplus L'$ is the zero section. Hence by the definition of the product \bullet we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(L) \bullet c_1(L') &= [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X; \mathbf{0}] \bullet [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s')}} V(s') \xrightarrow{i_{V(s')}} X; \mathbf{0}] \\ &= [X \xleftarrow{i_{V((s, s'))}} V((s, s')) \xrightarrow{i_{V((s, s'))}} X; \mathbf{0}] \\ &= c_2(L \oplus L') = c_2(L' \oplus L) = c_1(L') \bullet c_1(L). \end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(c_1(f^*L) \bullet \alpha) &= f_*(c_1(f^*L)) \bullet \alpha \quad (\text{by } (A_{12})(a)) \\ &= c_1(L)^* f \bullet \alpha \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (1)}) \\ &= c_1(L) \bullet f_* \alpha \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \bullet c_1(g^*M))^* g &= \alpha \bullet c_1(g^*M)^* g \quad (\text{by } (A_{12})(b)) \\ &= \alpha \bullet g^* c_1(M) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (2)}) \\ &= \alpha_* g \bullet c_1(M) \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)). \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) &= f^* c_1(L) \bullet \alpha \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(a)) \\ &= c_1(f^*L)^* f \bullet \alpha \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (2)}) \\ &= c_1(f^*L) \bullet f^* \alpha \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \bullet c_1(M))^* g &= \alpha \bullet c_1(M)^* g \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(b)) \\ &= \alpha \bullet g_* c_1(g^*M) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (1)}) \\ &= \alpha^* g \bullet c_1(g^*M) \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)). \end{aligned}$$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(f^*L) \bullet f^* \mathbb{1}_X &= c_1(f^*L)^* f \bullet \mathbb{1}_X \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)) \\ &= c_1(f^*L)^* f \\ &= f^* c_1(L) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (2)}) \\ &= f^*(\mathbb{1}_X \bullet c_1(L)) \\ &= f^* \mathbb{1}_X \bullet c_1(L) \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(a)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}_Y^* g \bullet c_1(g^*M) &= \mathbb{1}_Y \bullet g_* c_1(g^*M) \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)) \\ &= g_* c_1(g^*M) \\ &= c_1(M)^* g \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.6 (1)}) \\ &= (c_1(M) \bullet \mathbb{1}_Y)^* g \\ &= c_1(M) \bullet \mathbb{1}_Y^* g \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(b)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Here we recall the definition of a *bi-variant theory* [20], which is defined as one similar to the definition of Fulton–MacPherson’s bivariant theory. In this sense, it could be called “a bi-variant theory of Fulton–MacPherson-type”.

Definition 4.8 (Bi-variant theory). An association \mathcal{B} assigning to a pair (X, Y) a graded abelian group $\mathcal{B}^*(X, Y)$ is called a *bi-variant theory* provided that

(1) it is equipped with the following three operations

- (1) (Product) $\bullet : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \times \mathcal{B}^j(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i+j}(X, Z)$
 - (2) (Pushforward)
 - (a) For a *proper* morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, $f_* : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^i(X', Y)$.
 - (b) For a *smooth* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, $*g : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i-\dim g}(X, Y')$.
 - (3) (Pullback)
 - (a) For a *smooth* morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, $f^* : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{i-\dim f}(X', Y)$.
 - (b) For a *proper* morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$, $*g : \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^i(X, Y')$.
- (2) the three operations satisfy the following nine properties as in Proposition 3.7:
- (A₁) Product is associative.
 - (A₂) Pushforward is functorial. ((a), (b))
 - (A₂)' Proper pushforward and smooth pushforward commute.
 - (A₃) Pullback is functorial. ((a), (b))
 - (A₃)' Proper pullback and smooth pullback commute.
 - (A₁₂) Product and pushforward commute. ((a), (b))
 - (A₁₃) Product and pullback commute. ((a), (b))
 - (A₂₃) Pushforward and pullback commute. ((a), (b), (c), (d))
 - (A₁₂₃) Projection formula. ((a), (b))
- (3) \mathcal{B} has units, i.e., there is an element $1_X \in \mathcal{B}^0(X, X)$ such that $1_X \bullet \alpha = \alpha$ for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $\beta \bullet 1_X = \beta$ for any element $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$.
- (4) \mathcal{B} satisfies PPPU (as in Proposition 3.8).
- (5) \mathcal{B} is equipped with the Chern class operators satisfying the properties in Proposition 4.7.

Corollary 4.9. $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+$ is a bi-variant theory (with respect the grading $*$, or ignoring the grading \sharp).

4.2. Grothendieck transformation and a bi-variant ideal.

Definition 4.10. Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ be two bi-variant theories on a category \mathcal{V} . A *Grothendieck transformation* from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{B}' , $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is a collection of homomorphisms $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'(X, Y)$ for a pair (X, Y) in the category \mathcal{V} , which preserves the above three basic operations and the Chern class operator:

- (1) $\gamma(\alpha \bullet_{\mathcal{B}} \beta) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}'} \gamma(\beta)$,
- (2) $\gamma(f_* \alpha) = f_* \gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha *_g) = \gamma(\alpha) *_g$,
- (3) $\gamma(g^* \alpha) = g^* \gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha * f) = \gamma(\alpha) * f$,
- (4) $\gamma(c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) = c_1(L) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}'} \gamma(\alpha)$ and $\gamma(\alpha \bullet c_1(M)) = \gamma(\alpha) \bullet_{\mathcal{B}'} c_1(M)$.

The following bi-variant ideal is motivated by the bivariant ideal considered in [2] and [5]:

Definition 4.11. Let \mathcal{B} be a bi-variant theory. A *bi-variant ideal* $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ consists of (graded) subgroups $\mathcal{I}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ for each pair (X, Y) such that the following hold: Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.

- (1) (pushforward)
 - (a) $f_* \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$ for a *proper* morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, i.e., $f_* : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$,
 - (b) $\alpha *_g \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$ for a *quasi-smooth* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, i.e., $*g : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$,
- (2) (pullback)
 - (a) $f^* \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$ for a *quasi-smooth* morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, i.e., $f^* : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$,
 - (b) $\alpha * g \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$ for a *proper* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, i.e., $*g : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$,
- (3) (product)
 - (a) $\beta \bullet \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(X', X)$, i.e., $\bullet : \mathcal{B}(X', X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X', Y)$,
 - (b) $\alpha \bullet \delta \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$ for any $\delta \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Y')$, i.e., $\bullet : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y, Y') \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, Y')$,
- (4) (Chern class operator)
 - (a) $c_1(L) \bullet \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ for any line bundle L over X , i.e., $c_1(L) \bullet : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$,
 - (b) $\alpha \bullet c_1(M) \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ for any line bundle M over Y , i.e., $\bullet c_1(M) : \mathcal{I}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.

It is easy to see the following:

Proposition 4.12.

- (1) The (object-wise) kernel of a Grothendieck transformation $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ is a bi-variant ideal, i.e., the kernel $\gamma^{-1}(X, Y)$ of the homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'(X, Y)$ gives rise to a bi-variant ideal, denoted by γ^{-1} , of the bi-variant theory \mathcal{B} .

(2) Given a bi-variant ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{B}$, the following quotient \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} becomes a bi-variant theory by setting

$$(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) := \mathcal{B}(X, Y)/\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$$

and by taking the bi-variant operations to be the ones induced by \mathcal{B} . Namely, they are defined as follows:

(a) (product): the product operation

$$\bullet : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})^*(X, Y) \otimes (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})^*(Y, Z) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})^*(X, Z)$$

is defined by $[\alpha] \bullet [\beta] := [\alpha \bullet \beta]$.

(b) (pushforward):

(i) for a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, the pushforward

$$f_* : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X', Y)$$

is defined by $f_*[\alpha] := [f_*\alpha]$.

(ii) for a quasi-smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, the pushforward

$$*_g : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y')$$

is defined by $[\alpha] *_g := [\alpha *_g]$.

(c) (pullback)

(i) for a quasi-smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, the pullback

$$f^* : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X', Y)$$

is defined by $f^*[\alpha] := [f^*\alpha]$.

(ii) for a proper morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, the pull

$$^*g : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y')$$

is defined by $[\alpha] ^*g := [\alpha ^*g]$.

(d) (Chern class operator)

(i) for any line bundle L over X the Chern class operator

$$c_1(L)\bullet : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y)$$

is defined by $c_1(L)\bullet[\alpha] := [c_1(L)\bullet\alpha]$. (To be more precise, $c_1(L)\bullet$ should be written as $[c_1(L)]\bullet$.)

(ii) for any line bundle M over Y the Chern class operator

$$\bullet c_1(M) : (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I})(X, Y)$$

is defined by $[\alpha]\bullet c_1(M) := [\alpha\bullet c_1(M)]$. (As above, $\bullet c_1(M)$ should be written as $\bullet c_1(M)$.)

Remark 4.13. Proposition 4.12 (2) means, in other words, that the quotient morphism

$$\Theta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$$

is a Grothendieck transformation of bi-variant theories.

4.3. A bi-variant algebraic cobordism with bundles $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$. First we recall the definition of the bivariant algebraic cobordism with vector bundles $\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ [5, Definition 5.7]:

Definition 4.14 (The bivariant algebraic cobordism with vector bundles).

$$\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}$$

where $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle$ is the bivariant ideal generated by the bivariant subset \mathcal{R}^{LS} , which is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow Y) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow pt), & Y = pt, \\ \emptyset, & Y \neq pt. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow pt)$ is defined to be the kernel of the morphism

$$\mathcal{M}^{-*,0}(X \rightarrow pt) =: \mathcal{M}_*(X) \rightarrow d\Omega_*(X) = \Omega^*(X \rightarrow pt).$$

Here is an explicit description of the bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ [5, Proposition 5.12], which is a ‘‘vector bundle’’ version of [2, Lemma 3.8]:

Proposition 4.15. *The bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle$ satisfies that $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ consists of linear combinations of elements of the form*

$$(4.16) \quad h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet g^* s \bullet \beta_0)$$

where $h : A'' \rightarrow X, g : B' \rightarrow pt, \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(A'' \rightarrow A')^+, \beta_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(B' \rightarrow Y)^+$ and $s \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(A \rightarrow pt)$ are as in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & \nearrow h & & \searrow f & \\ A'' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & A' & \xrightarrow{g^* s} & B' & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & Y \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\ & & A & \xrightarrow{s} & pt & & \end{array}$$

E a vector bundle on A'' and $h : A'' \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism. Here note that $[E] := [A'' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A''; E] \in \mathcal{M}^{*,*}(A'' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A'')^+$.

Remark 4.17. Here we emphasize that if we let E be the 0 bundle in (4.16) or equivalently if we delete $[E] \bullet$ from (4.16), the above proposition is nothing but [2, Lemma 3.8].

In order to define a ‘‘correspondence’’ version $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$ of $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$, one might be tempted to simply define it as the image of $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ by the forgetting morphism \mathfrak{F} :

$$\mathfrak{F} \left(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \right)$$

which consists of linear combinations of the form $\mathfrak{F}(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet g^* s \bullet \beta_0))$ by Proposition 4.15. Here we observe that the ‘‘forgetting morphism’’ \mathfrak{F} is compatible with the product and the pushforward, i.e., we do have the following:

Lemma 4.18. (1) *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)^+ \\ \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Y)^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y, Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Z)^+. \end{array}$$

(2) *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)^+ \\ \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Z)^+ & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y, Z)^+. \end{array}$$

Proof. For the sake of convenience, we write down proofs:

(1) For $[V \xrightarrow{h} X; E] \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+$ and $[W \xrightarrow{k} Y; F] \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z)^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{k} Y; F]) &= \mathfrak{F}([V' \xrightarrow{h \circ k''} X; k''^* E \oplus (f' \circ h')^* F]) \\ &= [X \xleftarrow{h \circ k''} V' \xrightarrow{(g \circ f) \circ (h \circ k'')} Z; k''^* E \oplus (f' \circ h')^* F] \\ &= [X \xleftarrow{h \circ k''} V' \xrightarrow{(g \circ k) \circ (f' \circ f')} Z; k''^* E \oplus (f' \circ h')^* F] \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the following fiber squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & W & & \\ k'' \downarrow & & k' \downarrow & & k \downarrow & & \\ V & \xrightarrow{h} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{k} Y; F]) &= [X \xleftarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; E] \bullet [Y \xleftarrow{k} W \xrightarrow{g \circ k} Z; F] \\ &= [X \xleftarrow{h \circ k''} V' \xrightarrow{(g \circ k) \circ (f' \circ f')} Z; k''^* E \oplus (f' \circ h')^* F] \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the following diagram (reusing the above diagram):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V' & & \\ & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\ & & k'' & & f' \circ h' \\ & & V & & W \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \swarrow \\ X & & h & & f \circ h \\ & & & & Y \\ & & & & \swarrow \\ & & & & k \\ & & & & W \\ & & & & \searrow \\ & & & & g \circ k \\ & & & & Z. \end{array}$$

Therefore we do have $\mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{k} Y; F]) = \mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{k} Y; F])$.

(2) For $[V \xrightarrow{h} X; E] \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(f_*[V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) &= \mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; E]) \\ &= [Y \xleftarrow{f \circ h} V \xrightarrow{g \circ f \circ h} Z; E] \\ &= f_*[X \xleftarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{g \circ f \circ h} Z; E] \\ &= f_*(\mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E])) \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence, we have the following

$$(4.19) \quad \mathfrak{F}(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet g^* s \bullet \beta_0)) = h_*(\mathfrak{F}([E]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(\alpha_0) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(g^* s) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(\beta_0))$$

However, we emphasize that the forgetting morphism \mathfrak{F} is *not* compatible with pullback. One simple reason is that “pullback” of the bivariate theory $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(- \rightarrow -)^+$ and four kinds of “pullback” of the present bi-variate theory $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(-, -)^+$ are quite different. Thus, in (4.19) $\mathfrak{F}(g^* s)$ cannot be expressed as $g^* \mathfrak{F}(s)$. This is a crucial problem and how to circumvent this problem is a main issue.

Now, in order to come up with a right and reasonable “correspondence” version $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$, we consider a more explicit description of $g^* s \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(A' \rightarrow B')^+$. Here we note that s is a linear combination of the form $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A]$ with p proper and V a quasi-smooth scheme, thus $g^* s = g^* \left(\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \right) = \sum_V a_V [V \times B' \rightarrow A \times B']$ with $A' = A \times B'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V \times B' & \xrightarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} & A' = A \times B' & \longrightarrow & B' \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ V & \xrightarrow{p} & A & \longrightarrow & pt \end{array}$$

Hence the “correspondence” version of the part $g^* s$ is

$$(4.20) \quad \mathfrak{F}(g^* s) = \sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'].$$

So, instead of using forms, for example, something like $f^* s^* g$, using pullbacks f^* and *g of $\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(-, -)^+$, we use the above *concrete formula* (4.20), which is an *important key*.

Finally we are ready to define the “correspondence” version of Proposition 4.15:

Definition 4.21. We define $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(-, -)$ to consist of all the linear combinations of elements of the form

$$h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \right) \bullet \beta_0 \right) \bullet k$$

where

- $p : V \rightarrow A$ is proper with quasi-smooth scheme V such that $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(A \rightarrow pt)$,
- $h : A'' \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism,
- E is a vector bundle on A'' and $[E] := [A'' \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A'' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A''; E] \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(A'', A'')^+$,
- $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(A'', A \times B')^+$, $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(B', Z)^+$, and
- $k : Z \rightarrow Y$ a quasi-smooth morphism.

Then we have

Theorem 4.22. $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(-, -)$ is a bi-variant ideal in $\mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(-, -)^+$, i.e., the following holds: for $\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$

- (1) (pushforward)
 - (a) $f_* \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$ for a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$,
 - (b) $\alpha_* g \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$ for a quasi-smooth morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$,
- (2) (pullback)
 - (a) $f^* \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$ for a quasi-smooth morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$,
 - (b) $\alpha^* g \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$ for a proper morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$,
- (3) (product)
 - (a) $\beta \bullet \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X', X)^+$,
 - (b) $\alpha \bullet \delta \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$ for any $\delta \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y, Y')^+$,
- (4) (Chern class operator)
 - (a) $c_1(L) \bullet \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$ for any line bundle L over X ,
 - (b) $\alpha \bullet c_1(M) \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$ for any line bundle M over Y .

We also note that

$$(4.23) \quad \mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow Y)) \subset \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y).$$

Before starting a proof (not straightforward at all) of Theorem 4.22, we prepare some formulas to be used.

Lemma 4.24 (The pullback of the “vector bundle element”). Let $[E] = [Y \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y; E]$ with a vector bundle E over Y .

- (1) Let $s : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-smooth morphism. Then we have $f^*[E] = [f^*E]_* f$.
- (2) Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism. Then we have $[E]^* p = p_* [p^* E]$.

Proof. $f^*[E] = f^*[Y \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y; E] = [X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{f} Y; f^*E]$ is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f^*E & & E \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \text{id}_X \swarrow & & & & \searrow \text{id}_Y \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & & Y
 \end{array}$$

Thus $[X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{f} Y; f^*E] = [X \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X; f^*E]_* f$. Hence we get $f^*[E] = [f^*E]_* f$. Similarly we get $[E]^* p = p_* [p^* E]$. \square

Remark 4.25. These two formulas are similar to those in Lemma 4.6.

Lemma 4.26. $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; E] = p_* [E]_* s$.

Lemma 4.27. Let E be a vector bundle over V . Then $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y] \bullet [E] = p_* [s^* E]_* s$.

Proof. $[X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y] \bullet [E] = [X \xleftarrow{p} V \xrightarrow{s} Y; s^* E] = p_* [s^* E]_* s$ from Lemma 4.26. \square

Now we go on to the proof of Theorem 4.22.

Proof. Since (4) is a special case of (3), we prove only (1), (2) and (3).

Let $\alpha = h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{pr_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0 \right)_* k \right)$. For the sake of simplicity of presentation, we let $\Delta := \sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{pr_2} B']$.

- (1) (pushforward)

(a) For a proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\alpha &= f_*(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k) \\ &= (f \circ h)_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k \quad (\text{by } (A_2)(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_*\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$.

(b) For a *quasi-smooth* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_*g &= (h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k)_*g \\ &= h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*(g \circ k) \quad (\text{by } (A_2)(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha_*g \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$.

(2) (pullback)

(a) For a *quasi-smooth* morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^*\alpha &= f^*(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k) \\ &= f^*h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta)_*k \\ &= \tilde{h}_*\tilde{f}^*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta)_*k \quad (\text{by } (A_{23})(c)). \\ &= \tilde{h}_*\left(\tilde{f}^*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta)\right)_*k \\ &= \tilde{h}_*\left(\tilde{f}^*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta\right)_*k \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & X' \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A'' & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array}$$

Then the above sequence continues as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \tilde{h}_*\left([\tilde{f}^*E]_*\tilde{f} \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta\right)_*k \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.24}) \\ &= \tilde{h}_*\left([\tilde{f}^*E] \bullet \tilde{f}^*\alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0\right)_*k \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{f}^*\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(\tilde{X}, A \times B')$, we can conclude that $f^*\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$.

(b) We let $\Gamma := h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)$ for the sake of simplicity of presentation. For a *proper* morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*g &= \left(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k\right)^*g \\ &= \Gamma(*k^*g) \\ &= \Gamma(*\tilde{g}_*\tilde{k}) \quad (\text{by } (A_{23})(d)) \end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & Z \\ \tilde{k} \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

Then the above sequence continues as:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma^* \tilde{g})_* \tilde{k} &= \left(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0^* \tilde{g}) \right)_* \tilde{k} \\ &= \left(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0^* \tilde{g}) \right)_* \tilde{k} \quad (\text{by } (A_{13})(b)). \\ &= h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0^* \tilde{g})_* \tilde{k} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_0^* \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(B', \tilde{Y}')$, we can conclude that $\alpha^* g \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$.

(3) (product)

(a) We want to show that $\beta \bullet \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X', X)^+$. For that, first we observe that β is a linear combination of the form

$$[X' \xleftarrow{a} V \xrightarrow{b} X; F]$$

with a proper, b quasi-smooth and F a vector bundle over V . Then, as observed in Lemma 4.26, we have $[X' \xleftarrow{a} V \xrightarrow{b} X; F] = a_*[F]_* b$. By this observation, we show that

$$[X' \xleftarrow{a} V \xrightarrow{b} X; F] \bullet \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y).$$

$$\begin{aligned} [X' \xleftarrow{a} V \xrightarrow{b} X; F] \bullet \alpha &= (a_*[F]_* b) \bullet \left(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_* k \right) \\ &= (a_*[F]_* b) \bullet h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0_* k \quad (\text{by } (A_{12})(a)(b)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi := \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0_* k$ for the sake of simplicity of presentation. The above sequence continues as

$$\begin{aligned} (a_*[F]_* b \bullet h_*[E]) \bullet \Psi &= (a_*[F] \bullet b^* h_*[E]) \bullet \Psi \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)) \\ &= a_*([F] \bullet b^* h_*[E]) \bullet \Psi \quad (\text{by } (A_{12})(a)) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition we have

$$b^* h_*[E] = b^* h_*[A'' \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A'' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A''; E] = [V \xleftarrow{\tilde{h}} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A''; \tilde{b}^* E]$$

Here we use the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} & A'' \\ \tilde{h} \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ V & \xrightarrow{b} & X. \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [F] \bullet b^* h_*[E] &= [F] \bullet [V \xleftarrow{\tilde{h}} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A''; \tilde{b}^* E] \\ &= [V \xleftarrow{\tilde{h}} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A''; \tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E] \\ &= \tilde{h}_*[\tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E]_* \tilde{b} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.26}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the above sequence continues as

$$\begin{aligned} a_*([F] \bullet b^* h_*[E]) \bullet \Psi &= a_*\left(\tilde{h}_*[\tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E]_* \tilde{b}\right) \bullet \Psi \\ &= a_*\left(\tilde{h}_*[\tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E]_* \tilde{b}\right) \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0_* k \\ &= (a_* \tilde{h}_*[\tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E])_* \tilde{b} \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0_* k \\ &= (a \circ \tilde{h})_*[\tilde{h}^* F \oplus \tilde{b}^* E]_* \tilde{b} \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0_* k \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \circ \tilde{h} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow X'$ and $\tilde{b}^* \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(\tilde{V}, A \times B')^+$, we can conclude that $[X' \xleftarrow{a} V \xrightarrow{b} X; F] \bullet \alpha \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X', Y)$.

- (b) Similarly as above, we can show that $\alpha \bullet \delta \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$ for any $\delta \in \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(Y, Y')^+$. However, the proof is not the same as above and in this case the element $[A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B']$ plays a tricky but crucial role. We let $\delta = [Y \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{d} Y'; H]$ with c proper, d quasi-smooth and H a vector bundle over W . Then, by Lemma 4.26, we have $[Y \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{d} Y'; H] = c_*[H]_*d$. For the sake of simplicity of presentation we let

$$\Gamma := h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(h_*([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \Delta \bullet \beta_0)_*k \right) \bullet [Y \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{d} Y'; H] \\ &= \Gamma_*k \bullet c_*[H]_*d \\ &= \Gamma_*k^*c \bullet [H]_*d \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)) \\ &= \Gamma_*k^*c \bullet [H]_*d \\ &= \left(h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \right) \bullet \beta_0 \right)_*k^*c \right) \bullet [H]_*d \\ &= \left(\left(h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \right) \bullet \beta_0_*k^*c \right) \bullet [H] \right)_*d \\ & \hspace{15em} (\text{by } (A_{12})(a)(b)) \\ &= \sum_V a_V \left(\left(h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0_*k^*c \right) \bullet [H] \right)_*d \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider expressing the following element differently

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0_*k^*c \right) \bullet [H] \\ &= h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet (\beta_0_*k^*c \bullet [H]) \quad (\text{by } (A_1)) \end{aligned}$$

First we observe that $\beta_0_*k^*c \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(B', W)$, which is a linear combination of the form $[B' \xleftarrow{b} S \xrightarrow{c} W]$. So, for the part $\beta_0_*k^*c \bullet [H]$, it suffices to consider $[B' \xleftarrow{b} S \xrightarrow{c} W] \bullet [H]$, which is, by Lemma 4.27, equal to $b_*[c^*H]_*c$. So let us consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet b_*[c^*H]_*c \\ &= [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B']_*b \bullet [c^*H]_*c \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)) \\ &= [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B']_*b \bullet [c^*H]_*c \\ &= [A \times B' \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_{B'}) \circ (\text{id}_V \times b)} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^*H]_*c \quad (\text{see (4.28) below}) \\ &= [A \times B' \xleftarrow{(\text{id}_A \times b) \circ (p \times \text{id}_S)} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^*H]_*c \quad (\text{see (4.29) below}) \\ &= (\text{id}_A \times b)_* [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^*H]_*c \\ &= (\text{id}_A \times b)_* \left([A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^*H] \right)_*c \end{aligned}$$

(4.28)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V \times B' & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_V \times b} & V \times S \\ & \swarrow p \times \text{id}_{B'} & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ A \times B' & & & & S \\ & & & \swarrow \text{pr}_2 & \xleftarrow{b} \\ & & & B' & \end{array}$$

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \times B' & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_V \times b} & V \times S \\ p \times \text{id}_{B'} \downarrow & & \downarrow p \times \text{id}_S \\ A \times B' & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_A \times b} & A \times S \end{array}$$

If we apply Lemma 4.27 to $[A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^* H]$, we have

$$[A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^* H] = (p \times \text{id}_S)_* ([pr_2^* c^* H]_* (\text{pr}_2)).$$

However, we do not use this trick, instead we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^* H] \\ &= [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S; pr_2^* c^* H] \\ &= [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S; (p \times \text{id}_S)^* pr_2^* c^* H] \quad (\text{see (4.30) below}) \\ &= [A \times S \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{A \times S}} A \times S \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A \times S}} A \times S; pr_2^* c^* H] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \\ & \quad (\text{see (4.31) below}) \\ &= [pr_2^* c^* H] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & V \times S & \\ p \times \text{id}_S \swarrow & & \searrow pr_2 \\ A \times S & \xrightarrow{pr} & S \end{array}$$

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & (p \times \text{id}_S)^* pr_2^* c^* H = pr_2^* c^* H & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & V \times S & & \\ pr_2^* c^* H \downarrow & \swarrow p \times \text{id}_S & & \searrow \text{id}_{V \times S} & \\ A \times S & & & & V \times S \\ \text{id}_{A \times S} \swarrow & \searrow \text{id}_{A \times S} & & \swarrow p \times \text{id}_S & \searrow pr_2 \\ A \times S & & A \times S & & S \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{id}_A \times b)_* \left([A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \bullet [c^* H] \right)_* c \\ &= (\text{id}_A \times b)_* \left([pr_2^* c^* H] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \right)_* c \\ &= (\text{id}_A \times b)_* [pr_2^* c^* H] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_* c \end{aligned}$$

Finally we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & h_*[E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet (\text{id}_A \times b)_* [pr_2^* c^* H] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_* c \\ &= h_*[E] \bullet (\alpha_0 \bullet (\text{id}_A \times b)_* [pr_2^* c^* H]) \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_* c \\ &= h_*[E] \bullet (\alpha_0^* (\text{id}_A \times b) \bullet [pr_2^* c^* H]) \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_* c \\ & \quad (\text{by } (A_{123})(b)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\alpha_0^*(\text{id}_A \times b) \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(A'', A \times S)^+$, which is a linear combination of the form $[A'' \xleftarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} A \times S]$, it suffices to consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & h_*[E] \bullet ([A'' \xleftarrow{u} Q \xrightarrow{v} A \times S] \bullet [pr^*c^*H]) \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_*c \\ &= h_*[E] \bullet (u_*[u^*pr^*c^*H] \bullet [Q \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Q} Q \xrightarrow{v} A \times S]) \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_*c \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by Lemma 4.27).} \\ &= (h_*[E] \bullet u_*[u^*pr^*c^*H]) \bullet [Q \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Q} Q \xrightarrow{v} A \times S] \bullet [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S]_*c \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_*[E] \bullet u_*[u^*pr^*c^*H] &= h_*[E]^*u \bullet [u^*pr^*c^*H] \quad \text{(by (A}_{123}\text{)}(b)). \\ &= h_*u_*[u^*E] \bullet [u^*pr^*c^*H] \quad \text{(by Lemma 4.24).} \\ &= (h_*u_*)([u^*E] \bullet [u^*pr^*c^*H]) \\ &= (h_*u_*)[u^*E \oplus u^*pr^*c^*H] \\ &= (h \circ u)_*[u^*E \oplus u^*pr^*c^*H] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha \bullet \delta$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (h \circ u)_*[u^*E \oplus u^*pr^*c^*H] \bullet [Q \xleftarrow{\text{id}_Q} Q \xrightarrow{v} A \times S] \\ & \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times S \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_S} V \times S \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} S] \right)_*(d \circ c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can conclude that $\alpha \bullet \delta \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y')$.

□

Now we are ready to define the following:

Definition 4.32 (The bi-variant algebraic cobordism with vector bundles *via correspondences*). Let the situation be as above:

$$\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)}.$$

The forgetting morphism $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow Y)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+$ descends to

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} : \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)$$

defined by $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}([\alpha]) := [\mathfrak{f}(\alpha)]$, where on the left-hand side $[\alpha] := \alpha + \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow Y)$ and on the right-hand side $[-]$ is $[\beta] := \beta + \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$, since we have $\mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow Y)) \subset \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y)$. In other words, we do have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} & \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, Y)^+ \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} & \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, Y) \end{array}$$

where both π 's are the quotient morphisms. This is a positive answer to a problem proposed in the introduction of [20].

Theorem 4.33. *We have the following isomorphism:*

$$\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, pt) \cong \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt) = \Omega_{-*,\sharp}(X) \cong \omega_{-*,\sharp}(X).$$

Proof. First we observe that the “forgetting morphism”

$$\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, pt)^+$$

is an isomorphism because $\mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) = [X \xleftarrow{h} V \rightarrow pt; E]$ and the inverse of \mathfrak{F}

$$\mathfrak{F}^{-1} : \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, pt)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt)^+$$

defined by $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}([X \xleftarrow{h} V \rightarrow pt; E]) := [V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]$ is well-defined. As observed above, for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow Y)) \subset \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, Y).$$

Now we want to show the following reverse inclusion in the case of $X \rightarrow pt$:

$$(4.34) \quad \mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow pt)) \supset \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, pt),$$

hence we have $\mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow pt)) = \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, pt)$. Therefore we can see that the above isomorphism $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{*,\sharp}(X, pt)^+$ implies the isomorphism

$$\Omega^{*,\sharp}(X \rightarrow pt) \cong \Omega^{*,\sharp}(X, pt).$$

Here is a proof of (4.34): Let us consider the following typical element of $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X, pt)$, as expressed in Theorem 4.22:

$$\begin{aligned} & h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0 \right)_* k \right) \\ &= \sum_V a_V \left(h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0 \right)_* k \right) \end{aligned}$$

We want to claim that this element belongs to $\mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \rightarrow pt))$. Recall that $h : A'' \rightarrow X$, $[E] = [A'' \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A'' \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{A''}} A''; E]$, $k : Z \rightarrow pt$. Let $\alpha_0 = [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B']$ and $\beta_0 = [B' \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{d} Z]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0 \right)_* k \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0_* k \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet [B' \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{d} Z]_* k \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet [B' \xleftarrow{c} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_{B'}) \circ (\text{id}_V \times c)} V \times W \xrightarrow{(\text{kod}) \circ \text{pr}_2} pt] \text{ (see (4.36) below)} \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{(\text{id}_A \times c) \circ (p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{(\text{kod}) \circ \text{pr}_2} pt] \text{ (see (4.37) below)} \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet (\text{id}_A \times c)_* [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a} D \xrightarrow{b} A \times B'] \bullet (\text{id}_A \times c) \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by (A}_{123}\text{)(b))} \\ &= h_* [E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a \circ e} \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A \times W] \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(see (4.38) below)} \\ &= h_* \left([E] \bullet [A'' \xleftarrow{a \circ e} \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A \times W] \right) \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= h_* [A'' \xleftarrow{a \circ e} \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A \times W; (a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= h_* \left((a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A \times W] \right) \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= (h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} A \times W] \bullet [A \times W \xleftarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} V \times W \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} W] \bullet [W \xleftarrow{\text{id}_W} W \xrightarrow{\text{kod}} pt] \\ &= (h \circ a \circ e)_* \mathfrak{F}([(a \circ e)^* E]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W]) \bullet \mathfrak{F}([W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W]) \\ &= \mathfrak{F} \left((h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_V a_V \left(h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \bullet \beta_0 \right)_* k \right) \\
&= \sum_V a_V f \left((h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \right) \\
&= f \left(\sum_V a_V \left((h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W] \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \right) \right) \\
&= f \left((h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W] \right) \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \right) \\
&= (h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W] \right) \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \\
&= (h \circ a \circ e)_* [(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet g^* s \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \\
&= (h \circ a \circ e)_* \left([(a \circ e)^* E] \bullet [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}] \bullet g^* s \bullet [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W] \right) \in \langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle (X \rightarrow pt)
\end{aligned}$$

for which see (4.35) below:

(4.35)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & X & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
\tilde{D} & \xrightarrow[\tilde{b}]{\alpha'_0} & A \times W & \xrightarrow[\tilde{g}]{g^* s} & W & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\beta}'_0]{\beta'_0} & pt \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\
& & A & \xrightarrow[s]{s} & pt & &
\end{array}$$

where

- $[(a \circ e)^* E] = [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}; (a \circ e)^* E]$,
- $\alpha'_0 = [\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\tilde{D}}} \tilde{D}]$,
- $s = \sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow pt)$,
- $g^* s = \sum_V a_V [V \times W \xrightarrow{(p \times \text{id}_W)} A \times W]$,
- $\beta'_0 = [W \xrightarrow{\text{id}_W} W]$.

(4.36)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & V \times W & & \\
& \swarrow & \text{id}_V \times c & \searrow & \text{pr}_2 \\
& & V \times B' & & W \\
& \swarrow & p \times \text{id}_{B'} & \searrow & \text{pr}_2 \\
& & A \times B' & & B' \\
& & & & \swarrow c \\
& & & & W \\
& & & & \searrow \text{cod} \\
& & & & pt
\end{array}$$

(4.37)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V \times B' & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_V \times c} & V \times W \\
\downarrow p \times \text{id}_{B'} & & \downarrow p \times \text{id}_W \\
A \times B' & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_A \times c} & A \times W
\end{array}$$

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & \xleftarrow{\tilde{e}} & \tilde{D} & & \\ & & \swarrow a & & \searrow e & & \\ & & A'' & & & & \\ & & & & \searrow b & & \\ & & & & A \times B' & \xleftarrow[\text{id}_A \times c]{} & A \times W \\ & & & & & & \swarrow \tilde{b} \end{array}$$

Therefore we have

$$h_* \left([E] \bullet \alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} B'] \right) \bullet \beta_0 \right) \bullet k \in \mathfrak{F}(\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle (X \rightarrow pt)).$$

□

Remark 4.39. We emphasize that Theorem 4.33 implies that our bi-variant theory $\Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y)$ is a *bi-variant version* of Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{*,\#}(X)$ and, in particular, $\Omega^*(X, Y) := \Omega^{*,0}(X, Y)$ is a *bi-variant version* of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$, just as Annala–Yokura’s bivariant theory $\Omega^{*,\#}(X \rightarrow Y)$ is a *bivariant version (in the sense of Fulton–macPherson’s bivariant theory)* of Lee–Pandharipande’s algebraic cobordism $\omega_{*,\#}(X)$ and, in particular, Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y) := \Omega^{*,0}(X \rightarrow Y)$ is a *bivariant version* of Levine–Morel’s algebraic cobordism $\Omega_*(X)$.

Problem 4.40. *Would it be possible to give some conditions on $f : X \rightarrow Y$, X and Y so that we have an isomorphism $\Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \cong \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y)$?*

Remark 4.41. The following diagrams are commutative:

(1) As to the product \bullet :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \otimes \Omega^{*,\#}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z) \\ \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) \otimes \Omega^{*,\#}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Z). \end{array}$$

This commutativity follows from Lemma 4.18 (1).

(2) As to the pushforward:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \Omega^{*,\#}(Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \\ \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Z) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \Omega^{*,\#}(Y, Z). \end{array}$$

This follows from Lemma 4.18(2).

(3) As to the Chern class operator: for a line bundle L over X and a line bundle M over Y

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \xrightarrow{c_1(L) \bullet} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \xrightarrow{\bullet c_1(M)} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \\ \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} & \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{c_1(L) \bullet} \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) & \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\bullet c_1(M)} \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) \end{array}$$

Again, these commutativities follow from the following commutativities on the level $\mathcal{M}^{*,*}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \xrightarrow{c_1(L) \bullet} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \xrightarrow{\bullet c_1(M)} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)^+ \\ \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} & \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & \downarrow \mathfrak{F} \\ \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Y)^+ \xrightarrow{c_1(L) \bullet} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Y)^+ & \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Y)^+ \xrightarrow{\bullet c_1(M)} \mathcal{M}^{*,\#}(X, Y)^+ \end{array}$$

which are special cases of the above (1). Recall that for a vector bundle E of rank n over X , the top Chern classes are

$$\begin{aligned} c_n(E) &= [V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0}(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)^+, \\ c_n(E) &= [X \xleftarrow{i_{V(s)}} V(s) \xrightarrow{i_{V(s)}} X; \mathbf{0}] \in \mathcal{M}^{n,0}(X, X)^+. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we use the same symbol.) Thus $f(c_n(E)) = c_n(E)$. Therefore, in particular, for line bundles L and M , it follows from the above (1) that for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^{*,*}(X \rightarrow Y)$ we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(c_1(L) \bullet \alpha) = \mathfrak{F}(c_1(L)) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(\alpha) = c_1(L) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(\alpha),$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(\alpha \bullet c_1(M)) = \mathfrak{F}(\alpha) \bullet \mathfrak{F}(c_1(M)) = \mathfrak{F}(\alpha) \bullet c_1(M).$$

(4) As to the pullback, we cannot expect that the following diagram is commutative,

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \Omega^{*,\#}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y') \\ \mathfrak{F} \downarrow & & \mathfrak{F} \downarrow \\ \Omega^{*,\#}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{(g')^* \circ (*g)} & \Omega^{*,\#}(X', Y') \end{array}$$

since the definitions of pullback are different. For the sake of simplicity, we show it. Here we consider the following fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' & \xrightarrow{g''} & V \\ h' \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

for the pullback $g^* : \Omega^{*,\#}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega^{*,\#}(X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{F} \circ g^*)([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) &= \mathfrak{F}([V' \xrightarrow{h'} X'; (g'')^* E]) \\ &= [X' \xleftarrow{h'} V' \xrightarrow{f' \circ h'} Y'; (g'')^* E]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((g')^* \circ (*g)) \circ \mathfrak{F}([V \xrightarrow{h} X; E]) &= ((g')^* \circ (*g))([X \xleftarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; E]) \\ &= (g')^*([X \xleftarrow{h} V \xrightarrow{f \circ h} Y; E]) * g \\ &= [X' \xleftarrow{h' \circ g''} V' \times_V V' \xrightarrow{f' \circ h' \circ g''} Y'; (\widetilde{g''})^* (g'')^* E]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we consider the following fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' \times_V V' & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{g''}} & V' \\ \widetilde{g''} \downarrow & & \downarrow g'' \\ V' & \xrightarrow{g''} & V. \end{array}$$

Thus in general we have that $\mathfrak{F} \circ g^* \neq ((g')^* \circ (*g)) \circ \mathfrak{F}$ in the above diagram (4.42).

5. GENERALIZATIONS

In this section we discuss some possible generalized versions of Annala's bivariant derived algebraic cobordism and our bi-variant algebraic cobordism treated in the previous section. We hope to study them in more details in a different paper.

5.1. A “generalized” bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ associated to a system \mathfrak{S} of subgroups $\mathfrak{s}(A)$ of $\mathcal{M}_*(A)$. For Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}^*(X \rightarrow Y)}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}$,

the bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ of $\mathcal{M}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ is constructed by using the kernels $\text{Ker } \eta_A$ of the morphisms $\eta_A : \mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt) = \mathcal{M}_*(A) \rightarrow d\Omega(A)$. The kernel $\text{Ker } \eta_A$ is a very special subgroup of $\mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$. So, instead of taking this very special subgroup, we can consider an arbitrary subgroup, putting aside the issue of what its geometric or algebraic or topological meaning is. Namely, if we let \mathfrak{S} be a family or system of subgroups $\mathfrak{s}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ where $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$. Then the bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ associated to the system \mathfrak{S} is defined to consist of linear combinations of elements of the following form, as in [2, Lemma 3.8] (also see Proposition 4.15 and Remark 4.17),

$$(5.1) \quad h_*(\alpha_0 \bullet g^* s \bullet \beta_0)$$

where $h : A'' \rightarrow X, g : B' \rightarrow pt, \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*(A'' \rightarrow A)^+, \beta_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*(B' \rightarrow Y)^+$ and $s \in \mathfrak{s}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ are as in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & X & & \\
& \nearrow h & & \searrow f & \\
A'' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & A' & \xrightarrow{g^* s} & B' & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & Y \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \\
& & A & \xrightarrow{s} & pt & &
\end{array}$$

$h : A'' \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism. Then a “generalized” bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ associated to a system \mathfrak{S} of subgroups $\mathfrak{s}(A)$ of $\mathcal{M}_*(A)$ is defined to be the following quotient

$$(5.2) \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}^*(X \rightarrow Y)}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}.$$

If each $\mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ contains the kernel $\text{Ker } \eta_A$, then we have the surjection $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$. If each $\mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ is contained in the kernel $\text{Ker } \eta_A$, then we have the other-way surjection $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$.

5.2. A naive “algebraic cobordism” $\Omega_{prop-sm}(X \rightarrow Y)$ using *proper and smooth* morphisms. Let us replace the class of quasi-smooth morphisms by the class of smooth morphisms in Annala’s bivariant theory $\mathcal{M}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ and denote the replaced one by $\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$. Of course, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is not surjective, then $\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y) = 0$ the trivial group. Note that this kind of thing *does* happen in bivariant theory as pointed out in [10, Remarks, p.62]. Then we have the following natural inclusion, since a smooth morphism is a quasi-smooth morphism:

$$\iota : \mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$$

Then the kernel of the following composite morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow pt) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{M}^*(X \rightarrow pt) = \mathcal{M}_*(X) \rightarrow d\Omega(X)$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow pt)$. Then, in the same way as the construction of Annala’s derived bivariant algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$, we define $\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ as follows: The bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ is defined to consist of linear combinations of elements of the above form (5.1) where $s \in \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\text{LS}}(X \rightarrow pt)$. Then we define

$$\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y)}{\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\text{LS}} \rangle(X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}.$$

Here we emphasize that the category considered in this case is the classical category, not the category of derived schemes. Hence the explicit description (4.4) is not well-defined as an element $\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X)$ in the classical category, thus the Chern class operator $c_1(L) \bullet$ is not available⁸ in this case. Thus $\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$

⁸If we consider the universal oriented bivariant theory $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{M}_{sm}^{prop}(X \rightarrow Y)$ [18], then the Chern class operator $c_1(L) \bullet$ is available.

is a bivariant theory without the data of the Chern class operator of line bundles. It is easy to see that there is an embedding

$$\tilde{\iota} : \Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y) \hookrightarrow \Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$$

since we have $\iota \left(\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{LS} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \right) \subset \langle \mathcal{R}^{LS} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

5.3. A generalization of the above naive ‘‘algebraic cobordism’’ $\Omega_{prop-sm}(X \rightarrow Y)$. In the same way as in the above section §5.1, we can consider the following generalization. Let \mathfrak{S} be a family or system of subgroups $\mathfrak{s}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ where $A \in Obj(\mathcal{C})$. Then the bivariant ideal $\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$ associated to the system \mathfrak{S} is defined to consist of linear combinations of elements of the above form (5.1) where $s \in \mathfrak{s}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$. Then a generalized naive algebraic cobordism $\Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ associated to a system \mathfrak{S} of subgroups $\mathfrak{s}(A)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(A \rightarrow pt)$ is defined to be the quotient

$$(5.3) \quad \Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y)}{\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle (X \xrightarrow{f} Y)}.$$

Here we do not consider the Chern class operator $c_1(L) \bullet$ of line bundles.

5.4. In the general case of confined morphisms and specialized morphisms or vert morphisms and horiz morphisms. Let *conf* and *spe* be the classes of confined morphisms and specialized morphisms, respectively and also *vert* and *horiz* be the classes of *vert* morphisms and *horiz* morphisms. Then, in the above section §5.3, *prop-sm* can be replaced by *conf-spe* and *vert-horiz*:

$$(5.4) \quad \Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y), \quad \Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y).$$

Note that in a general case when there is no notion of line bundles or vector bundles available, we cannot consider the Chern class operators $c_1(L) \bullet$ of line bundles. Thus the above are bivariant theories without Chern class operators $c_1(L) \bullet$. However, here we *emphasize* that in the category of derived schemes, if we let *confined* and *specialized* morphisms or *vert* and *horiz* morphisms be *proper* morphisms and *quasi-smooth* morphisms, respectively, and let \mathfrak{S} be the system consisting of the kernel $\text{Ker } \eta_A$ of the morphism $\eta_A : \mathcal{M}^*(A \rightarrow pt) \rightarrow d\Omega_*(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y) = \Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \rightarrow Y)$ is nothing but Annala’s bivariant derived algebraic cobordism $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)$, which is *equipped with* the Chern class operators $c_1(L) \bullet$ of line bundles.

5.5. Bi-variant versions of the above groups. For that purpose, we write down Definition 4.21 again, deleting $[E] \bullet$:

Definition 5.5. We define $\langle \mathcal{R}^{LS} \rangle (-, -)$ to consist of all the linear combinations of elements of the form

$$h_* \left(\alpha_0 \bullet \left(\sum_V a_V [A \times B' \xleftarrow{p \times \text{id}_{B'}} V \times B' \xrightarrow{pr_2} B'] \right) \bullet \beta_0 \right) * k$$

where

- $p : V \rightarrow A$ is *proper* with *quasi-smooth* scheme V (which means that the morphism $a_V : V \rightarrow pt$ is *quasi-smooth*) such that $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$,
- $h : A'' \rightarrow X$ is a *proper* morphism,,
- $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(A'', A \times B')^+$, $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{*,0}(B', Z)^+$, and
- $k : Z \rightarrow Y$ a *quasi-smooth* morphism.

- (1) $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y)$: In the above Definition 5.5, by replacing $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$ by $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathfrak{s}(A)$, we get $\langle \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle (X, Y)$, using which we define

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}(X, Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle (X, Y)}.$$

We have the canonical map ‘‘forgetting the map f ’’:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y).$$

- (2) $\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X, Y)$: In the above Definition 5.5, by replacing *quasi-smooth* by *smooth* and $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$ by $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$, we get $\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{LS} \rangle(-, -)$, using which we define

$$\Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}(X, Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{LS} \rangle(X, Y)}.$$

We have the canonical map “forgetting the map f ”:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{prop-sm}^*(X, Y).$$

- (3) $\Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y)$: In (1) above, replace $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$ by $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathfrak{s}(A)$, we get $\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(-, -)$, using which we define

$$\Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y) := \frac{\mathcal{M}(X, Y)^+}{\langle \mathcal{R}_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(X, Y)}.$$

We have the canonical map “forgetting the map f ”:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{prop-sm, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y).$$

- (4) $\Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y)$ and $\Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y)$: In the above Definition 5.5, by replacing *proper* by *confined* (resp., *vert* and *quasi-smooth* by *specialized* (resp., *horiz*) and $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathcal{R}^{LS}(A \rightarrow pt)$ by $\sum_V a_V [V \xrightarrow{p} A] \in \mathfrak{s}(A)$, we get $\langle \mathcal{R}_{conf-spe}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(-, -)$ (resp., $\langle \mathcal{R}_{vert-horiz}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rangle(-, -)$), using which we get

$$\Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y), \quad \Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y).$$

We have the canonical map “forgetting the map f ”:

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{conf-spe, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y),$$

$$\mathfrak{F} : \Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X \xrightarrow{f} Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{vert-horiz, \mathfrak{S}}^*(X, Y).$$

As pointed out above, for example, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is not surjective, then $\mathcal{M}_{prop-sm}^*(X \rightarrow Y) = 0$, thus $\Omega_{prop-sm}(X \rightarrow Y) = 0$. However, if we consider correspondences, the above bi-variant versions do not have such a drawback, which is a merit of considering correspondences.

5.6. A final remark. In [11, Chapter 7, §1.2 The Segal category of correspondences, p.298-299] they consider the following kind of commutative diagrams, which they call a *half-grid of size n of objects*:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{0,n} & \longrightarrow & X_{0,n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & X_{0,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X_{1,n} & \longrightarrow & X_{1,n-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{1,1} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & & \\ X_{n-1,n} & \longrightarrow & X_{n-1,n-1} & & & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & & & & & \\ X_{n,n} & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Here the vertical morphisms are *vert* and the horizontal morphisms are *horiz* and each square is a fiber or Cartesian square. This diagram is nothing but the successive compositions of the following chain of length n of *vert-horiz*-correspondences, which are on the rightest diagonal parts:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & X_{n-1,n} & & X_{n-2,n-1} & & X_{0,1} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ X_{n,n} & & & & X_{n-1,n-1} & & \cdots & X_{1,1} & & X_{0,0} \end{array}$$

In other words, (5.6) and (5.7) are equivalent or can be considered as the same object. So, one could construct a bi-variant theory $\Omega^*(X, Y)^n$ using these half-grids of size n or chains of length n of correspondences⁹. By these compositions the above half-grid diagram can be considered as one correspondence

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X_{0,n} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ X_{n,n} & & X_{0,0} \end{array}$$

In particular, if we consider *vert*= proper and *horiz* = quasi-smooth, then we would be probably able to get some reasonable groups $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)^n$ and $\Omega^*(X, Y)^n$. We hope to discuss $\Omega^*(X \rightarrow Y)^n$ and $\Omega^*(X, Y)^n$ (perhaps with values in an ∞ -category as well), using these half-grids of size n in a different paper.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K03468.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abe, *Enhanced bivariant homology theory attached to six functor formalism*, arXiv:2008.01948v2.
- [2] T. Annala, *Bivariant derived algebraic cobordism*, J. Algebraic Geom., **30** (2021), 205–252
- [3] T. Annala, *Chern classes in precobordism theories*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc., arXiv:1911.12493v2 [math.AG].
- [4] T. Annala, *Precobordism and cobordism*, Algebra Number Theory, **15** (2021), 2571–2646.
- [5] T. Annala and S. Yokura, *Bivariant algebraic cobordism with bundles*, arXiv:1911.12484v2 [math.AG].
- [6] A. Connes and G. Skandalis, *Théorème de l'indice pour les feuilletages*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I. Math. **292** (1981), no. 18, 871–876
- [7] A. Connes and G. Skandalis, *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), 1139–1183.
- [8] H. Emerson and R. Meyer, *Bivariant K-theory via correspondences*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 2883–2919.
- [9] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1981.
- [10] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, *Categorical frameworks for the study of singular spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **243**, 1981.
- [11] D. Gaitsgory and N. Rozenblyum, *A Study in Derived Algebraic Geometry, Volume I: Correspondences and Duality*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume **221**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2017.
- [12] G. Kasparov, *The operator K-functor and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **44** (1980), 571–636.
- [13] Y.-P. Lee and R. Pandharipande, *Algebraic cobordism of bundles on varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **14** (2012), 1081–1101.
- [14] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic Cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag (2007).
- [15] M. Levine and R. Pandharipande, *Algebraic cobordism revisited*, Invent. Math., **176** (2009), 63–130.
- [16] C.-H. Liu, Y.-J. Tzeng and S.-Y. Yau, *Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties: Notes on a work of Lee and Pandharipande*, arXiv:1104.0280v1 [math.AG].
- [17] P. Lowrey and T. Schürg, *Derived algebraic cobordism*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, **15**(2):407–443, 2016.
- [18] S. Yokura, *Oriented bivariant theory, I*, Internat. J. Math., Vol. **20**, No.10 (2009), 1305–1334.
- [19] S. Yokura, *Oriented bivariant theory, II - Algebraic cobordism of S-schemes-*, Internat. J. Math., **36**, No.6 (2019), 1950031-1 – 1950031-40.
- [20] S. Yokura, *Cobordism bicycles of vector bundles*, New York J. Math., **26** (2020), 950–1001.
- [21] S. Yokura, *Enriched categories of correspondences and characteristic classes of singular varieties*, Fund. Math., **253** (2021), 17–60.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, KAGOSHIMA UNIVERSITY, 21-35 KORIMOTO 1-CHOME, KAGOSHIMA 890-0065, JAPAN

Email address: yokura@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

⁹In [21] we consider such a chain of length n of correspondence, called a n -correspondence, in the case when a *vert* morphism is a proper morphism and a *horiz* morphism is a local complete intersection morphism. There we consider the classical category, not the category of derived schemes, thus a local complete intersection morphism is not closed under pull-back. Thus, in this case, (5.7) is not equivalent to (5.8).