SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF ORDER STATISTICS UNDER SIMPLE-RANDOM-SAMPLING WITHOUT REPLACEMENT

B. O’NEILL,* Deloitte Australia**
WRITTEN 26 JUNE 2022

Abstract
This paper examines the distribution of order statistics from simple-random-sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) from a finite population composed of values 1, ..., N. This distribution is a shifted version of the beta-binomial distribution, parameterised in a particular way. We derive the distribution and show how it relates to the distribution of order statistics under IID sampling from a uniform distribution over the unit interval. We examine properties of the distribution, including moments and asymptotic results. We also generalise the distribution to allow for sampling from an arbitrary finite population which can include repeated values. Finally, we examine the properties of the order statistics for inference about an unknown population size (called the German tank problem) and we derive relevant estimation results based on observation of an arbitrary set of order statistics.
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Sampling problems occur widely in statistical practice and simple-random-sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) is the core method of sampling from a population. It is useful to examine the behaviour of the order statistics arising from SRSWOR from a finite population since these order statistics can be used for quantile analysis and for estimation of an unknown population size. In this paper we will examine the distribution of these order statistics and derive a number of the properties of this distribution. We will also connect these results with well-known results pertaining to the distribution of order statistics from IID sampling from the continuous uniform distribution over the unit interval.

1. The finite-population-order-statistic (FPOS) distribution

Consider a finite population composed of elements 1, ..., N and suppose we take a sample of 1 ≤ n ≤ N values from this population using simple-random-sampling without replacement. We denote the sample values as $X_1, ..., X_n$ and the ordered sample values as $X_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(n)}$. We are interested in the distribution of the order statistic $X_{(k)}$ for a value 1 ≤ k ≤ n. We will call this the finite-population-order-statistic (FPOS) distribution and denote its probability mass function by $FPOS(x|k, n, N) \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_{(k)} = x|n, N)$.
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We can establish the mass function for the FPOS distribution using a simple combinatorial argument. There are \( \binom{N}{n} \) possible samples that can be drawn from the population, with equal probability. To get the event \( X_{(k)} = x \) we have one way of choosing this order statistic, then \( \binom{x-1}{k-1} \) ways of choosing the previous order statistics and \( \binom{N-x}{n-k} \) ways of choosing the ensuing order statistics. Consequently, applying the multiplication principle of counting gives the probability mass function:

\[
FPOS(x|k,n,N) = \frac{\binom{x-1}{k-1}\binom{N-x}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}} \quad \text{for} \quad k \leq x \leq N - n + k.
\]

The support of the distribution reflects the fact that there are \( k - 1 \) distinct sample values below the order statistic and \( n - k + 1 \) distinct sample values above it. This distribution is a shifted version of the beta-binomial distribution, and it can be expressed in the latter form with the parameterisation \( FPOS(x|k,n,N) = \text{BetaBin}(x - k|N - n, k, n - k + 1) \). This connection immediately leads to the following mixture form:

\[
FPOS(x|k,n,N) = \int_0^1 \text{Bin}(x - k|N - n, u) \cdot \text{Beta}(u|k, n - k + 1) \, du.
\]

Consequently, an order statistic from a finite population can be generated by the process:

\[
X_{(k)} \sim k + \text{Bin}(N - n, U_{(k)}) \quad U_{(k)} \sim \text{Beta}(k, n - k + 1).
\]

Readers familiar with the theory of order statistics will recognise the distribution of \( U_{(k)} \) as that of the \( k \)th order statistic generated from \( n \) IID samples from a uniform distribution over the unit interval. The present mixture result therefore shows an interesting connection to the distribution of an order statistic in the IID uniform case.

Since the FPOS distribution is just a scaled and re-parameterised version of the beta-binomial distribution, the properties of the former are easy to determine from the known properties of the latter (see e.g., Tripathi, Gupta and Gurland 1994). In particular, the generating functions for the FPOS distribution are scaled versions of the ordinary hypergeometric function and the moments are closely related to the moments of the beta-binomial distribution. Although it is possible to appeal to known results for the beta-binomial distribution, in the present paper we derive distributional properties from scratch, to elucidate the mathematics of the distribution. Theorems 1-2 below show the rising factorial moments and the mean and variance and then Theorem 3 formally establishes the mixture results we have previously mentioned.
**THEOREM 1 (Rising factorial moments):** Using the notation \( x^{(r)} = x(x + 1) \ldots (x + r - 1) \) to denote the rising factorials, we have:

\[
\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}_{(k)}) = \frac{(N + 1)^{(r)} \cdot k^{(r)}}{(n + 1)^{(r)}}.
\]

**THEOREM 2 (Mean and variance):** The mean and variance are:

\[
\mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}) = \frac{N + 1}{n + 1} \cdot k \\
\mathbb{V}(X_{(k)}) = \frac{(N + 1)(N - n)}{(n + 1)^2(n + 2)} \cdot k(n - k + 1).
\]

**THEOREM 3 (Mixture characterisation):** The mass function can be written as:

\[
\text{FPOS}(x|k, n, N) = \int_0^1 \text{Bin}(x - k|N - n, u) \cdot \text{Beta}(u|k, n - k + 1) \, du.
\]

It is useful to express the order statistics of present interest in a form that scales them so that they are comparable to the scale of the \( U_{(k)} \) values. To do this, we define the scaled statistics:

\[
\tilde{U}_{(k)} = \frac{X_{(k)}}{N + 1}.
\]

It is then simple to establish that:

\[
\mathbb{E}(\tilde{U}_{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}(U_{(k)}) \\
\mathbb{V}(\tilde{U}_{(k)}) = \frac{N - n}{N + 1} \cdot \mathbb{V}(U_{(k)}).
\]

As we can see, this scaling yields discrete values \( \tilde{U}_{(k)} \) that are within the unit interval and have the same expectation as the order statistics for IID sampling from the uniform distribution over the unit interval. These values have a lower variance than corresponding order statistics from the IID uniform case, owing mostly to the fact that sampling without replacement results in “sandwiching” the order statistics within a narrower range than occurs when one obtains order statistics from an IID sample.\(^1\)

---

\(^1\) Here we note that there is arguably a slight deficiency in the scaling which also contributes to this result. One could take the view that an appropriate scaling to obtain a discrete analogy to the uniform distribution would be to use the discrete points that are the midpoints of a partition of \( N \) equally-spaced subsets of the unit interval. This form of scaling would yield the \( N \) discrete points:

\[
\frac{1}{2N}, \frac{3}{2N}, \ldots, \frac{2N - 3}{2N}, \frac{2N - 1}{2N}.
\]

However, the scaling we have used (in order to scale the expectation adequately) yields the \( N \) discrete points:

\[
\frac{1}{N + 1}, \frac{2}{N + 1}, \ldots, \frac{n - 1}{N + 1}, \frac{N}{N + 1}.
\]

The latter is slightly compacted compared to the former, and this contributes to the lower variance.
It is useful to examine the asymptotic behaviour of the order statistics of interest as all the relevant parameters become large. To do this, suppose we consider the limit where \( N \to \infty, n \to \infty \) and \( k \to \infty \) in such a way that \( n/N \to \lambda \) and \( k/n \to \phi \) with fixed limits \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) and \( 0 < \phi < 1 \). This gives the asymptotic equivalences \( n \approx \lambda N \) and \( k \approx \lambda \phi N \) which gives:

\[
\bar{U}(k) \approx \lambda \phi + (1 - \lambda) U(k).
\]

Consequently, we have the asymptotic distribution:

\[
\bar{U}(k) \sim \lambda \phi + (1 - \lambda) \text{Beta}(\phi \lambda N, (1 - \phi) \lambda N + 1).
\]

Since \( U(k) \to \phi \) in the limit we also have \( \bar{U}(k) \to \phi \), which is an intuitively reasonable result, since we have stipulated that \( k/n \to \phi \) in the limit.

So far we have considered a population to be composed of distinct items labelled by the positive integers \( 1, \ldots, N \). We can generalise this analysis by considering a finite population of values \( \omega(1) \leq \omega(2) \leq \cdots \leq \omega(N) \) that may include non-distinct population values. In this case the order statistics \( Z(1) \leq \cdots \leq Z(n) \) in the sample are given by \( Z(k) = \omega(X(k)) \) and we denote the probability mass function of the latter by \( \text{FPOS}(z|k, n, \omega) \equiv \mathbb{P}(Z(k) = z) \), with \( \omega \) referring to a mapping of the form shown in the footnote.\(^2\) We can then use the rules for probabilities of functions of discrete random variables to get:

\[
\text{FPOS}(z|k, n, \omega) = \sum_{x: \omega(x) = z} \binom{x-1}{k-1} \binom{N-x}{n-k} \cdot \frac{x}{N} \quad \text{for } z \in \{\omega(k), \ldots, \omega(N - n + k)\}.
\]

This generalised version of the distribution allows for an arbitrary finite population where the values are not necessarily equally-spaced or distinct. This latter form of the order statistics can be generated by the process:

\[
Z(k) = \omega(X(k)) \quad X(k) \sim k + \text{Bin}(N - n, U(k)) \quad U(k) \sim \text{Beta}(k, n - k + 1).
\]

Obviously, taking the mapping \( \omega \) to be the identity function leads us back to the canonical form of the distribution with the population having elements \( 1, \ldots, N \). This present form is therefore a valid generalisation of the canonical form we have previously examined. While the canonical form has elegant and compact moment results, the general form gives the case-by-case result:

\[
\mathbb{E}(f(Z(k))) = \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} f(\omega(x)) \cdot \frac{x-1}{k-1} \binom{N-x}{n-k} \cdot \binom{x}{n}.
\]

\(^2\) The values \( \omega(1) \leq \omega(2) \leq \cdots \leq \omega(N) \) are by obtained by taking a non-decreasing function \( \omega: \{1, \ldots, N\} \to \mathbb{R} \), where the range of the function will always have \( N \) or less elements.
2. Extension to the joint distribution of order statistics

Our previous analysis examined a single order statistic but we will now extend our analysis to look at the joint distribution of multiple order statistics. Suppose we now consider the vector of order statistics \( \mathbf{X} \equiv (X_{(k_1)}, ..., X_{(k_r)}) \) for some arbitrary ranks \( 1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n \). To facilitate our analysis we also define the observed order statistics \( \mathbf{x} \equiv (x_{(k_1)}, ..., x_{(k_r)}) \) and the rank vector \( \mathbf{k} \equiv (k_1, ..., k_r) \). As before, we can establish the probability mass function for the joint distribution of the order statistics using a combinatorial argument. This argument hinges on a simple probabilistic property of SRSWOR — if we condition on the event \( X_{(k_i)} = x_i \) then the remaining sample values corresponding to higher order statistics are a SRSWOR from the elements \( x_i + 1, ..., N \). Consequently, we have:

\[
P(X_{(k_{i+1})} = x|X_{(k_i)} = x_i) = \text{FPOS}(x - x_i|k_{i+1} - k_i, n - k_i, N - x_i).
\]

Applying the multiplication rule of probability then gives the mass function:

\[
\text{FPOS}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k}, n, N) = \frac{\binom{x_1}{k_1-1} \binom{x_2-x_1-1}{k_2-k_1-1} \binom{x_3-x_2-1}{k_3-k_2-1} \cdots \binom{x_r-x_{r-1}-1}{k_r-k_{r-1}-1} \binom{N-x_r}{N-k_r}}{\binom{N}{n}}.
\]

This distribution is a shifted version of the Dirichlet-multinomial distribution, written in terms of differences pertaining to the order statistics and their ranks. In order to express the FPOS distribution in this form we define the difference vectors \( \Delta \equiv \Delta(x) \equiv (\Delta_{x,1}, ..., \Delta_{x,r}, \Delta_{x,r+1}) \) and \( \Delta_k \equiv \Delta_k(k) \equiv (\Delta_{k,1}, ..., \Delta_{k,r}, \Delta_{k,r+1}) \) by:

\[
\Delta_{x,1} \equiv x_1 \quad \Delta_{x,i+1} \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i \quad \Delta_{x,r+1} \equiv N - x_r + 1, \\
\Delta_{k,1} \equiv k_1 \quad \Delta_{k,i+1} \equiv k_{i+1} - k_i \quad \Delta_{k,r+1} \equiv n - k_r + 1.
\]

We can now express the distribution as \( \text{FPOS}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k}, n, N) = \text{DiMu}(\Delta_x - \Delta_k|N - n, \Delta_k) \). This connection immediately leads to the following mixture form:

\[
\text{FPOS}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{k}, n, N) = \int_{\Theta} \text{Mu}(\Delta_x - \Delta_k|N - n, s) \cdot \text{Di}(s|\Delta_k) \, ds,
\]

where the space \( \Theta \) is the probability space for the vector \( s = (s_1, ..., s_{r+1}) \). Consequently, an order statistic from a finite population can be generated by the process:

\[
\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{k} + \Lambda \, \text{Mu}(N - n, \mathbf{S}) \quad \mathbf{S} \sim \text{Di}(\Delta_k) \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

As before, there is an interesting connection between the FPOS distribution and the distribution of order statistics in the IID uniform case. The vector \( \mathbf{S} \) represents differences in the order
statistics (at the ranks in $k$) generated from $n$ IID samples from a uniform distribution over the unit interval, and the order statistics are obtained by the summation $U_{(k)} = \Lambda S$. Although the Dirichlet-multinomial mixture result is a known representation of the Dirichlet-multinomial distribution, we establish it here formally as a theorem relating to the FPOS distribution.

**Theorem 4 (Mixture characterisation):** The mass function can be written as:

$$\text{FPOS}(x|k_*, n, N) = \int_{\Theta} \text{Mu}(\Delta x - \Delta_k|N - n, s) \cdot \text{Di}(s|\Delta_k) \, ds,$$

where the space $\Theta$ is the probability space for the vector $s = (s_1, ..., s_{r+1})$.

Again, it is useful to examine the asymptotic behaviour of the order statistics of interest as all the relevant parameters become large. To do this, we express the scaled order statistics as:

$$\bar{U}_{(k)} = \frac{k_*}{N + 1} + \frac{\Lambda \text{Mu}(N - n, S)}{N + 1}.$$

Suppose we take analogous limits to the previous asymptotic analysis with $k_i/n \to \phi_i$ using a partial probability vector $\phi = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_r)$ (i.e., a vector with non-negative elements that sum to a value no greater than one). This gives the asymptotic equivalences $n \approx \lambda N$ and $k_i \approx \lambda \phi_i N$ which gives $k_* \approx \lambda \phi_i N$ which then gives:

$$\bar{U}_{(k)} \approx \lambda \phi + (1 - \lambda) U_{(k)}.$$

Consequently, we have the asymptotic distribution:

$$\bar{U}_{(k)} = \lambda \phi + (1 - \lambda) \Lambda \text{Mu}(N - n, S).$$

Since $U_{(k)} \to \phi$ in the limit we also have $\bar{U}_{(k)} \to \phi$, which is an intuitively reasonable result, since we have stipulated that $k_* / n \to \phi$ in the limit.

As before, the FPOS distribution can be generalised to give the distribution of order statistics from an arbitrary finite population, allowing for non-distinct values in the population. This is done by taking a simple sum of the probabilities in the canonical case, taken over outcomes of the order statistics that are non-distinguishable due to non-distinct values. This generalisation gives the broadest form of the distribution that is of interest when taking a sample from a finite population using SRSWOR.

We can use the joint distribution above to obtain useful properties of the order statistics relating to the population size $N$ when this is considered as an unknown parameter subject to inference.
Intuition tells us that lower order statistics should not add any information about $N$ once any higher order statistic has been observed. Consequently, given multiple order statistics, the only one relevant for inference for $N$ is the largest. This is confirmed in Theorem 5, which shows that the highest observed order statistic is complete and sufficient for $N$ and the remaining order statistics are then conditionally ancillary for $N$.

**THEOREM 5 (Sufficient and ancillary statistics):** For any ranks $1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n$ we consider the random vector $X_\bullet \equiv (X_{(k_1)}, \ldots, X_{(k_r)})$ and subvector $X_{\bullet\bullet} \equiv (X_{(k_1)}, \ldots, X_{(k_{r-1})})$. If we observe $X_\bullet$ as our sample then the following properties hold:

(a) The statistic $X_{(k_r)}$ is complete and sufficient for $N$; and

(b) The statistic $X_{\bullet\bullet}$ is ancillary for $N$ once we condition on $X_{(k_r)}$.

These two statistical properties establish that the highest observed order statistic is the only one relevant to inference about the population size. The underlying nature of SRSWOR means that once any order statistic is observed, any lower order statistics give no further information about the population size. The highest observed order statistic gives a lower bound to the population size but it is also a sufficient statistic for the population size. This fact is used in statistical estimation problems where $N$ is unknown.

**3. The German tank problem and extensions**

The inference problem of estimating $N$ is a famous statistical problem that is commonly known as the “German tank problem”. The problem arose in WWII with American efforts to estimate the number of tanks in the German army based on the serial numbers of captured tanks (see Ruggles and Brodie 1947; Goodman 1952; Goodman 1954). In this historical military context, the German tanks were labelled by consecutive serial numbers $1, \ldots, N$ up to some unknown population size and the allies had access to a sample of $n$ captured tanks where the serial numbers had been inspected. Inference for $N$ was conducted by assuming that the sample was SRSWOR from the population.

The standard form of the German tank problem is a statistical inference based on the highest order statistic $X_{(n)}$. From Theorem 2 we have $E(X_{(n)}) = n(N + 1)/(n + 1)$ so we can obtain an unbiased estimator for $N$ by scaling this order statistic as follows:
\[ \hat{N}_n \equiv \frac{n + 1}{n} \cdot X_{(n)} - 1. \]

It is well-known that this estimator is the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) for the problem; this is a simple consequence of applying the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem using Theorem 5. Using Theorem 2 and our subsequent asymptotic analysis, the variance of this estimator (and its asymptotic equivalent for large \( n \) and \( N \)) are given by:

\[ \mathbb{V}(\hat{N}_n) = \frac{(N + 1)(N - n)}{n(n + 2)} \approx \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda^2}. \]

This estimator is the standard classical estimator used in inference problems of this kind, owing to its status as the MVUE. This estimator is widely applied when estimating the population size with sampling by SRSWOR. While the underlying assumption of SRSWOR was tenuous in the historical context of captured tanks in WWI, it is notable that this estimator performed well in the estimation of German tank numbers, famously outperforming alternative estimates from direct intelligence sources. This suggests that the estimator is somewhat robust to modest deviations from the assumed sampling method.

The German tank problem assumes that we observe an entire sample, so that we can obtain all order statistics including the highest order statistic (i.e., the maximum). Our previous results in Theorem 5 show that the highest observed order statistic is sufficient for \( N \) and the remaining order statistics give no further information about this parameter. Nevertheless, we can also consider the broader case where some order statistics are unobserved, generalising this analysis to consider how to estimate the population size if we observe an arbitrary order statistic \( X_{(k)} \) without any of the higher order statistics. In this case, Theorem 5 ensures that this latter statistic is complete and sufficient for \( N \). We can scale it to obtain the estimator:

\[ \hat{N}_k \equiv \frac{n + 1}{k} \cdot X_{(k)} - 1, \]

which is an unbiased estimator with variance:

\[ \mathbb{V}(\hat{N}_k) = \frac{(N + 1)(N - n)}{n + 2} \cdot \frac{n - k + 1}{k}. \]

This estimator remains the MVUE for the generalised version of the problem (again using the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem and Theorem 5). It can easily be shown that \( \mathbb{V}(\hat{N}_k) \) is a strictly

---

3 It is also interesting to note that the German army were victims of their own systematic approach to numbering of their tanks; the systematic nature of the serial numbers gave the allies useful intelligence which was exploited by statisticians. Countermeasures against this type of inference are now used in military applications, which reduce the information given by serial numbers on military resources that can be captured by an enemy.
decreasing function of $k$, so the variance is minimised when using the largest order statistic.
This accords with our intuition that we can estimate the population size most accurately using
the order statistic that is closest to the population size.

Some intuition for the estimator above is captured by supposing that the $n$ order statistics fall
at equidistant points on the continuum $[0, N + 1]$ (for this intuitive scenario we allow non-
integer values for the order statistics) also with equidistance from the boundaries. This setup
is shown in Figure 1 below. (It is notable that for the special case $n = N$ (i.e., a full census of
the population) the order statistics would then fall on the values $1, ..., N$ which is the exact
result.) Using this placement of points on the continuum, the $k$th order statistic is:

$$X_{(k)} = \frac{k}{n + 1} \times (N + 1).$$

Looking now at the formula for $\hat{N}_k$ we can see that the estimator involves scaling up the order
statistic by the multiplier $(n + 1)/k$ to estimate the endpoint $N + 1$ of this continuum. This
scaling up involves inflating the order statistic in this setup up to the inferred place of the $n$th
order statistic and then scaling it up one additional “unit” of distance to get to the inferred
endpoint. In this placement of the order statistics we have $\hat{N}_k = N$ so that the estimator for the
population size is equal to the true population size. This illustrative approach and the
对应的 intuition is similar to the spacing of quantiles in a QQ plot.

![Figure 1: Order statistics falling at equidistant points on the continuum $[0, N + 1]$](image)

To examine the asymptotic behaviour of the population size estimator we can examine the ratio
of the estimator to the true population size, which can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{\hat{N}_k}{N} = \frac{n + 1}{k} \cdot \frac{N + 1}{N} \cdot \frac{\tilde{U}_{(k)}}{N} - \frac{1}{N}.$$

Taking the limits $N \to \infty$, $n \to \infty$ and $k \to \infty$ with all the relative limiting rates as before, we
get the asymptotic equivalence:

$$\frac{\hat{N}_k}{N} \approx \frac{\tilde{U}_{(k)}}{\phi} \approx \lambda + (1 - \lambda) \cdot \frac{\text{Beta}(\phi\lambda N, (1 - \phi)\lambda N + 1)}{\phi}.$$
This asymptotic form implies that $\hat{N}_k$ is a consistent estimator for $N$ (also implied from the fact that it is unbiased with asymptotically vanishing variance).

The classical estimator above is simple and performs well --- amongst the class of unbiased estimators it has minimum variance. However, it does not incorporate prior information. An alternative approach is to use Bayesian analysis for a stipulated prior distribution for the population size $N$. Given a single observation $X_{(k)} = x$ the likelihood function for $N$ is:

$$L_x(N) = \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!}.$$

To facilitate our analysis, we can stipulate a prior mass function $\pi_0$ and define the series of shifted priors $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \ldots$ by the requirement:

$$\pi_r(N - r) = \pi_0(N) \quad \text{for all } N.$$

Using the stipulated prior distribution, the marginal probability that $X_{(k)} = x$ is given by:

$$H(n, k, x, \pi_0) = \sum_{N=n}^{\infty} \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \pi_0(N).$$

Applying Bayes rule we then have the posterior mass function:

$$\pi(N|n, k, x) = \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \frac{\pi_0(N)}{H(n, k, x)}.$$

We can then write the factorial moments of the posterior distribution as:

$$\mathbb{E}((N)_r|n, k, x) = \sum_{N=n}^{\infty} (N)_r \cdot \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \frac{\pi_0(N)}{H(n, k, x)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{H(n, k, x)} \sum_{N=n}^{\infty} \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{(N - r)! (N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \pi_0(N)$$

$$= \frac{H(n - r, k, x - r, \pi_r)}{H(n, k, x, \pi_0)}.$$

In particular, we have the posterior mean and variance:

$$\mathbb{E}(N|n, k, x) = \frac{H(n - 1, k, x - 1, \pi_1)}{H(n, k, x, \pi_0)},$$

$$\text{Var}(N|n, k, x) = \frac{H(n - 2, k, x - 2, \pi_2)}{H(n, k, x, \pi_0)} + \frac{H(n - 1, k, x - 1, \pi_1)}{H(n, k, x, \pi_0)} \times \left[ \frac{H(n, k, x, \pi_0) - H(n - 1, k, x - 1, \pi_1)}{H(n, k, x, \pi_0)} \right].$$

Computing the posterior distribution and its moments requires computation of the function $H$, which depends on the stipulated prior distribution. In general, this function will not exist in
closed form, so numerical methods are required to compute the values of the function to some required level of accuracy. For any proper prior the tails of the prior mass function can be bounded by a decreasing power function in $N$ past some point, which allows us to compute the function $H$ up to an arbitrary level of precision (see Appendix for details).

4. Conclusion

We have conducted a detailed examination of the distribution of order statistics arising in the case of simple-random-sampling-without-replacement (SRSWOR) from a finite population of values. This distribution is an interesting variation of the beta-binomial distribution using a location shift and reparameterisation (we have called it the finite-population-order-statistic distribution using the acronym FPOS for short). We have examined some useful properties of the distribution including its moments, mixture characterisation and asymptotic properties.

Examination of the beta-binomial mixture representation shows a close connection between the scaled order statistics (scaled to be in the interior of the unit interval) and the distribution of the order statistics arising from IID sampling from the continuous uniform distribution on the unit interval. This provides an interesting connection between the distribution of order statistics is SRSWOR and the distribution of order statistics in continuous uniform sampling. Our analysis of the joint distribution of order statistics likewise shows a close connection, this time using the Dirichlet-multinomial mixture representation. One useful aspect of this result is that it allows direct pseudo-random generation of order statistics from SRSWOR without having to undertake the sampling and sorting inherent in standard generative methods.

Our analysis has also examined the properties of the distribution with respect to inferences for the population size $N$. We have established that the highest observed order statistic is the complete sufficient statistic for $N$ and all lower order statistics are conditionally ancillary for $N$. This confirms the intuition that inference about the population size ought to be based on only the highest observed order statistic, with any lower order statistics contributing no more information. This finding gives rise to a generalisation of the German tank problem, where we estimate the population size based on observation of an arbitrary order statistic. We have derived the properties of a generalised classical estimator of the population size and we have given a heuristic explanation of this estimator based on the idea of considering the observed
order statistics as being equally spaced in the interior of the interval \([0, N + 1]\). We have also shown how one can incorporate prior information to obtain the posterior distribution for the population size in a Bayesian analysis.

We hope that the present paper sheds some light on the distribution of order statistics under SRSWOR from a finite population. This is a common method of sampling and it is useful to see the distribution of the order statistics in this case. It is particularly interesting to see the connection to the distribution of order statistics in other well-known cases.
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Appendix: Proofs of Theorems and Computation Result

**Proof of Theorem 1:** We have:

\[
\mathbb{E}(X^{(r)}_{(k)}) = \sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{(r)} \cdot \text{FPOS}(x|k, n, N)
\]

\[
= \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} x^{(r)} \cdot \frac{(x-1)^{(N-x)}}{\binom{N}{n}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} k^{(r)} \cdot \frac{(x+r-1)^{(N-x)}}{\binom{N}{n}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} \frac{(N+1)^{(r)} \cdot k^{(r)}}{(n+1)^{(r)}} \cdot \frac{(x+r-1)^{(N-x)}}{\binom{N+n+r}{n+n-r}}
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)^{(r)} \cdot k^{(r)}}{(n+1)^{(r)}} \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} \text{FPOS}(x+r|k+r, n+r, N+r)
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)^{(r)} \cdot k^{(r)}}{(n+1)^{(r)}}
\]

which was to be shown. ■

**Proof of Theorem 2:** Substituting \( r = 1 \) and \( r = 2 \) in Theorem 1 gives the moments:

\[
\mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}) = \frac{N+1}{n+1} \cdot k
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}(X_{(k)} + 1)) = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{(n+1)(n+2)} \cdot k(k+1).
\]

The first result gives us the mean, and to obtain the variance we have:

\[
\mathbb{V}(X_{(k)}) = \mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}^{2}) - \mathbb{E}(X_{(k)})^{2}
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}(X_{(k)} + 1)) - \mathbb{E}(X_{(k)}) - \mathbb{E}(X_{(k)})^{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{(n+1)(n+2)} \cdot k(k+1) - \frac{N+1}{n+1} \cdot k - \frac{N+1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{N+1}{n+1} \cdot k^2
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)k}{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
(N+2)(n+1)(k+1) \\
-(n+1)(n+2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)k}{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
(Nk + Nn + Nk + N) \\
+ (2nk + 2n + 2k + 2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)k}{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
-(n^2 + 3n + 2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \frac{(N+1)k}{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
-(Nnk + 2Nk + nk + 2k)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\frac{(N + 1)k}{(n + 1)^2(n + 2)} \cdot [Nn - Nk + N + nk - n^2 - n]
\]
\[
= \frac{(N + 1)(N - n)}{(n + 1)^2(n + 2)} \cdot k(n - k + 1),
\]

which was to be shown. ■

**Proof of Theorem 3:** Using repeated application of the beta integral we have:

\[
H(x|k, n, N) \equiv \int_0^1 \text{Bin}(x - k|N - n, u) \cdot \text{Beta}(u|k, n - k + 1) \, du
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 \frac{(N - n)}{x - k} \cdot u^{x-k}(1-u)^{N-n-x+k} \cdot \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \cdot u^{k-1}(1-u)^{n-k} \, du
\]
\[
= \frac{(N - n)!}{(x - k)!(N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{(x - 1)!(N - x)!}{N!}
\]
\[
= \frac{(N - n)!n!}{N!(x - 1)!(N - x)!} \cdot \frac{(x - k)!(n - k)!}{(N - n - x + k)!}
\]
\[
= \frac{(x - 1)^N}{N!}
\]

which was to be shown. ■

**Proof of Theorem 4:** Using repeated application of the multivariate beta integral we have:

\[
H(x, |k, n, N) \equiv \int_\Theta \text{Mu}(\Delta_x - \Delta_k|N - n, s) \cdot \text{Di}(s|\Delta_k) \, ds
\]
\[
= \int_\Theta \Gamma(N - n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i}}{\Gamma(\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i} + 1)} \cdot \Gamma(n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\Delta_{k,i} - 1}{\Gamma(\Delta_{k,i})} \, ds
\]
\[
= \Gamma(N - n + 1) \Gamma(n + 1) \int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{s_{\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i}}}{\Gamma(\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i} + 1)} \Gamma(\Delta_{k,i}) \, ds
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma(N - n + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}{\prod_i \Gamma(\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i} + 1) \Gamma(\Delta_{k,i})} \int_\Theta \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{s_{\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i}}}{\Gamma(\Delta_{x,i})} \Gamma(\Delta_{k,i}) \, ds
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma(N - n + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}{\prod_i \Gamma(\Delta_{x,i} - \Delta_{k,i} + 1) \Gamma(\Delta_{k,i})} \cdot \prod_i \Gamma(\Delta_{x,i}) \cdot \Gamma(N + 1)
\]
\[
\frac{\Gamma(N - n + 1) \Gamma(n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \Gamma(\Delta x_i)}{\Gamma(N + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \Gamma(\Delta x_i - \Delta k_i + 1) \Gamma(\Delta k_i)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}}, \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{(\Delta x_i - 1)}{(\Delta k_i - 1)}
\]

\[
= \frac{(x_1-1)}{(k_1-1)} \frac{(x_2-x_1-1)}{(k_2-k_1-1)} \frac{(x_3-x_2-1)}{(k_3-k_2-1)} \cdots \frac{(x_r-x_{r-1}-1)}{(k_r-k_{r-1}-1)} \frac{(N-x_r)}{(n-k_r)},
\]

which was to be shown. ■

**Lemma 1:** Given any function \( g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) we define the corresponding function:

\[
G(N) \equiv \sum_{x=k}^{N-k} g(x) \cdot \frac{(N-x)! \cdot (x-1)!}{(N-n-x+k)!(x-k)!}.
\]

If \( G(N) = 0 \) for all \( N \geq n \) then \( g(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \geq k \).

**Proof of Lemma 1:** We prove this lemma using strong induction on \( N \). For all remaining steps we assume that the antecedent condition of the lemma is true. Taking \( N = n \) gives:

\[
0 = G(n) = \sum_{x=k}^{k} g(x) \cdot \frac{(n-x)! \cdot (x-1)!}{(k-x)!(x-k)!} = g(k) \cdot (n-k)! \cdot (k-1)!
\]

which implies that \( g(k) = 0 \). This gives the base case for the induction. Now, suppose that \( g(k) = \cdots = g(k+r-1) = 0 \) for some \( r \geq 1 \). Taking \( N = n + r \) gives:

\[
0 = G(n + r) = \sum_{x=k}^{k+r} g(x) \cdot \frac{(n+r-x)! \cdot (x-1)!}{(r-x+k)!(x-k)!} = g(r + k) \cdot \frac{(n-k)! \cdot (r+k-1)!}{r!}
\]

which implies that \( g(k + r) = 0 \). This establishes the induction step, which is sufficient to establish that \( g(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \geq k \). ■

**Proof of Theorem 5(a):** Taking \( k_{*} = (k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}) \) and \( x_{*} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}) \) we write:

\[
\text{FPOS}(x_{*}|k_{*}, n, N) = \text{FPOS}(x_{*}|k_{*}, k_r - 1, x_r - 1) \cdot \text{FPOS}(x_r|k_r, n, N).
\]

Since only the latter part depends on \( N \) this gives the Fisher-Neyman factorisation of the joint distribution, which shows that \( X_{(k_r)} \) is a sufficient statistic for \( N \). To prove completeness, we
take an arbitrary function \( g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} \) and set \( G: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R} \) to be the corresponding function defined in Lemma 1. We then have:

\[
\mathbb{E}(g(X_{(k_r)})) = \sum_x g(x) \cdot \text{FPOS}(x|k_r, n, N) \\
= \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} g(x) \cdot \frac{(x-1)(N-x)}{(n-k)} \\
= \frac{(N-n)! n!}{N! (n-k)! (k-1)!} \sum_{x=k}^{N-n+k} g(x) \cdot \frac{(N-x) (x-1)!}{(N-n-x+k) (x-k)!} \\
= \frac{(N-n)! n!}{N! (n-k)! (k-1)!} \cdot G(N).
\]

Since \( 1 \leq k \leq n \leq N \) the multiplicative term at the front of this expression is strictly positive. Consequently, we have \( \mathbb{E}(g(X_{(k_r)})) = 0 \) if and only if \( G(N) = 0 \). Applying Lemma 1 ensures that if \( \mathbb{E}(g(X_{(k_r)})) = 0 \) for all \( N \geq n \) then we have \( g(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \geq k \), which establishes that \( X_{(k_r)} \) is complete with respect to \( N \). This establishes that \( X_{(k_r)} \) is a complete sufficient statistic for \( N \) which was to be shown. □

**Proof of Theorem 5(b):** We again take \( k_{*s} = (k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}) \) and \( x_{*s} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}) \). Using this notation the distribution of \( X_{*s} \) conditional on \( X_{(k_r)} \) has probability mass function:

\[
\mathbb{P}(X_{*s} = x_{*s}|X_{(k_r)} = x_r) = \frac{\text{FPOS}(x_s|k_{*s}, n, N)}{\text{FPOS}(x_r|k_r, n, N)} = \frac{(x_1-1)(x_2-x_1-1)(x_3-x_2-1) \ldots (x_r-x_{r-1}-1)}{(k_1-1)(k_2-k_1-1)(k_3-k_2-1) \ldots (k_r-k_{r-1}-1)}.
\]

Since this distribution does not depend on \( N \) this means that \( X_{*s} \) is ancillary for \( N \) conditional on \( X_{(k_r)} \), which was to be shown. □

**Computing the function \( H \) up to arbitrary precision:** By way of reminder, we have:

\[
H(n, k, x, \pi_0) \equiv \sum_{N=n}^{\infty} \frac{(N-n)! (N-x)!}{N! (N-n-x+k)!} \cdot \pi_0(N).
\]

To facilitate our analysis, we define the finite-sum version of this function as:

\[
H_{N_s}(n, k, x, \pi_0) \equiv \sum_{N=n}^{N_s} \frac{(N-n)! (N-x)!}{N! (N-n-x+k)!} \cdot \pi_0(N),
\]

which gives the relationship:
\[ H(n, k, x, \pi_0) = H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \sum_{N=N_*+1}^{\infty} \frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} \cdot \pi_0(N). \]

Now, we can write the ratio of factorial terms in alternative form as:
\[
\frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (N - i)} \times \prod_{i=0}^{x-k-1} \frac{N - n - i}{N - k - i}.
\]

This gives us the upper bound:
\[
\frac{(N - n)! (N - x)!}{N! (N - n - x + k)!} \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (N - i)} \leq \frac{1}{N^k}.
\]

(We can also see that this upper bound is asymptotically equivalent to the ratio of factorials for large \( N \).) Since all terms are non-negative, the function \( H_{N_*} \) provides a lower bound for the function \( H \). To obtain an upper bound for the latter, we note that we can bound the right tail of any proper prior distribution by a power function using an inequality of the form:
\[
\pi_0(N) \leq \frac{a_U}{N^{\alpha+1}} \quad \text{for all} \quad N \geq N_*.
\]

We then have the upper bound:
\[
H(n, k, x, \pi_0) \leq H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \sum_{N=N_*+1}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_0(N)}{N^k} \leq H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \sum_{N=N_*+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_U}{N^{k+\alpha+1}} \leq H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \int_{N_*}^{\infty} \frac{a_U}{N^{k+\alpha+1}} dN \leq H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \frac{1}{k + \alpha} \cdot \frac{a_U}{N_*^{k+\alpha}}.
\]

Putting these asymptotic bounds together gives:
\[
H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) \leq H(n, k, x, \pi_0) \leq H_{N_*}(n, k, x, \pi_0) + \frac{1}{k + \alpha} \cdot \frac{a_U}{N_*^{k+\alpha}}.
\]

The function \( H_{N_*} \) has a closed form expression (a finite sum of terms using finite products) so it can be computed with sufficient computing power. We can therefore compute the function \( H \) up to some desired level of accuracy by choosing the value \( N_* \) to be large enough that the term \( a_U/((k + \alpha)N_*^{k+\alpha}) \) is small. ■