

On the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ weight system

Zhuoke Yang*
zetadkyzk@gmail.com

Abstract

To a finite type knot invariant, a weight system can be associated, which is a function on chord diagrams satisfying so-called 4-term relations. In the opposite direction, each weight system determines a finite type knot invariant. In particular, a weight system can be associated to any metrized Lie algebra, and any metrized Lie superalgebra. However, computation of these weight systems is complicated. In the recent paper by the present author, an extension of the $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ -weight system to arbitrary permutations is defined, which allows one to develop a recurrence relation for an efficient computation of its values. In addition, the result proves to be universal, valid for all values of N and allowing thus to define a unifying \mathfrak{gl} -weight system taking values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables $C_0 = N, C_1, C_2, \dots$. In the present paper, we extend this construction to the weight system associated to the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Then we prove that the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system is equivalent to the \mathfrak{gl} -one, under the substitution $C_0 = m - n$.

1 Introduction

In V. A. Vassiliev's theory of finite type knot invariants [15], a weight system can be associated to each such invariant. A weight system is a function on chord diagrams satisfying so-called 4-term relations.

In the opposite direction, according to a Kontsevich theorem [10], to each weight system taking values in a field of characteristic 0, a finite type knot invariant can be associated in a canonical way. This makes studying weight systems an important part of knot theory.

There is a number of approaches to constructing weight systems. In particular, a huge class of weight systems can be constructed from metrized finite dimensional Lie algebras. In spite of the fact that the construction is straightforward, explicit computations are elaborative, and until recently no efficient way to implement them was known. In a recent paper [17], the present author, following a suggestion of M. Kazarian, extended the weight system corresponding

*Higher School of Economics, partially supported by International Laboratory of Cluster Geometry NRU HSE, RF Government grant, ag. № 075-15-2021-608 dated 08.06.2021

to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ to arbitrary permutations, which allowed for proving a recurrence relation for it, whence computing its values explicitly. By means of the recurrence relation, we have defined a universal \mathfrak{gl} -weight system, which contains in itself all the $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ -weight systems, for arbitrary N .

In the present paper, we do a similar thing for the weight system corresponding to the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. We prove that it is a specialization of the \mathfrak{gl} -weight system, for $C_0 = m - n$.

The original references to the Lie superalgebras can be found in [8]. Weight systems arising from Lie superalgebras are defined in [14]. The straightforward approach to computing the values of a Lie superalgebra weight system on a general chord diagram amounts to elaborating calculations in the noncommutative universal enveloping algebra, in spite of the fact that the result belongs to the center of the latter. This approach is rather inefficient even for the simplest noncommutative Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$. For this Lie Superalgebra, however, there is a recurrence relation due to Figueroa-O’Farrill, T. Kimura and A. Vaintrob [7]. Much less is known about other Lie superalgebras; the goal of the present paper is to establish an efficient way to compute the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system, for arbitrary m and n , and to prove that it is equivalent to the \mathfrak{gl} -weight system.

The approach is based on defining an invariant of permutations taking values in the center of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. The restriction of this invariant to involutions without fixed points (such an involution determines a chord diagram) coincides with the value of the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system on this chord diagram. We prove the recursion for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system, which is the same as the recursion for the \mathfrak{gl} -one with $C_0 = m - n$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we recall necessary information about Lie superalgebras, especially, about the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. In Sec. 3, we review the general definitions of Lie algebra/Lie superalgebra weight systems. In Sec. 4, we review the \mathfrak{gl} -weight system and the recurrence rule we have introduced in our previous paper. Sec. 5 is devoted to the definition of the extension of the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system to permutations and calculations of some small examples. In Sec. 6, we prove another main theorem, which asserts that the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system is equivalent to the \mathfrak{gl} -one.

The author is grateful to M. Kazarian G. I. Olshanskii and A. N. Sergeev for valuable suggestions, and to S. Lando for permanent attention.

2 Lie superalgebras

First we recall the notion of Lie superalgebra, more details can be found in [8]. Everywhere in the paper, the ground field is \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers.

A *super vector space*, or a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, is a vector space decomposed as a direct sum

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1.$$

The indices (or *degrees*) 0 and 1 are thought of as elements of \mathbb{Z}_2 ; V_0 is called the *even* part of V , and V_1 is the *odd* part of V . An element $x \in V$ is *homogeneous*

if it belongs to either V_0 or V_1 . For x homogeneous, we write $|x|$ for the degree of x . The (super) dimension of V is the pair $(\dim V_0 \mid \dim V_1)$ also sometimes written as $\dim V_0 + \dim V_1$.

The vector space $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of all endomorphisms of a super vector space V is a super vector space itself: the subspace $\mathfrak{gl}(V)_i$, $i = 0, 1$, consists of maps $f : V \rightarrow V$ such that $f(V_j) \subseteq V_{j+i}$; each $f \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ can be written as a sum $f_0 + f_1$ with $f_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)_i$. If V is finite-dimensional, then the *supertrace* of f is defined as

$$\text{sTr} f = \text{Tr} f_0 - \text{Tr} f_1.$$

A *superalgebra* is a super vector space A together with a bilinear product which respects the degree:

$$|xy| = |x| + |y|$$

for all homogeneous x and y in A . The *supercommutator* in a superalgebra A is a bilinear operation defined on homogeneous $x, y \in A$ by

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{|x||y|}yx.$$

The elements of A whose supercommutator with the whole of A is zero form the *super center* of A .

The supercommutator satisfies the following identities:

$$|[x, y]| = |x| + |y|,$$

$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]$$

and

$$(-1)^{|z||x|}[x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|}[z, [x, y]] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [z, x]] = 0,$$

where x, y, z are homogeneous. A super vector space with a bilinear bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfying these identities is called a *Lie superalgebra*.

Each Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} can be thought of as a subspace of its *universal enveloping superalgebra* $U(\mathfrak{g})$ defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal J generated by the elements of the form

$$x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|}y \otimes x - [x, y],$$

where x and y are arbitrary homogeneous elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Note that the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ inherits a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading from \mathfrak{g} . Since the ideal J is generated by homogeneous elements, it follows that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is also \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and the supercommutator in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ induces the bracket in \mathfrak{g} . We remark that $ZU(\mathfrak{g})$, the *center* of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, can be defined as follows

$$ZU(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

The theory of Lie superalgebras was developed by V. Kac [8]; it closely parallels the usual Lie theory.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ be the vector space of all block square $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ -matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where A is a square $m \times m$ -matrix and D is a square $n \times n$ -matrix. Let \mathfrak{g}_0 denote the subspace of all such matrices with $B = C = 0$ and \mathfrak{g}_1 the subspace of all such matrices with $A = D = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative algebra with respect to ordinary matrix multiplication, and \mathfrak{g}_i is the set of all homogeneous elements of degree i , $i = 0, 1$. Elements of \mathfrak{g}_0 are called *even*, those of \mathfrak{g}_1 *odd*. Throughout what follows, if $|a|$ occurs in an expression, then it is assumed that a is homogeneous, so that $|a| = 0$ provided a is even, and $|a| = 1$ if a is odd. The vector space $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ becomes a Lie superalgebra where the bracket is defined in terms of the usual matrix product by

$$[a, b] = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba.$$

Similarly, if $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, then $\text{End}(V)$ becomes a Lie superalgebra which we denote $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. If $\dim V_0 = m$ and $\dim V_1 = n$, then by choosing a homogeneous basis, we identify $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.

If X is as in (2), we define the *supertrace* of X , denoted $\text{sTr}(X)$, by

$$\text{sTr}(X) = \text{Tr}(A) - \text{Tr}(D)$$

and the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is defined as $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{sTr}(ab)$. Clearly, this bilinear form is nondegenerate.

We denote by E_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, m+n$, the standard basis of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ consisting of matrix units. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading on $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is defined by $|E_{ij}| = \bar{i} + \bar{j}$, where \bar{i} is an element of \mathbb{Z}_2 which equals $\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$ depending on whether $i \leq m$ or $i > m$. The commutation relations in this basis are given by

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}E_{il} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})}\delta_{il}E_{kj}.$$

Below, we recall the result about the images of the Casimir elements $C_k \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ under the Harish-Chandra isomorphism related with supersymmetric functions.

Definition 2.1 (supersymmetric functions) The ring of *supersymmetric functions* $S(x_1, \dots, x_m | x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n})$ is defined as the subring of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}]$ generated by the homogeneous generators p_k given by

$$p_k = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^k - (-1)^k \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} x_j^k.$$

We have $f \in S(x_1, \dots, x_m | x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n})$ iff f is symmetric separately in x_1, \dots, x_m and x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n} , and if substituting $x_m = t, x_{m+n} = -t$ in f provides a function independent of t .

Theorem 2.2 (Casimir elements C_k in $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$) [13]

The center $ZU(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is a polynomial algebra generated by the Casimir elements C_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, defined as

$$C_k = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{m+n} (-1)^{\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_3 + \dots + \bar{i}_k} E_{i_1 i_2} E_{i_2 i_3} \dots E_{i_{k-1} i_k} E_{i_k i_1},$$

where we omit the tensor product sign \otimes between the matrix units.

Below, we omit the tensor product sign provided this causes no confusion with the matrix product.

The triangular decomposition of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ gives a vector space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$$

where \mathfrak{n}_- and \mathfrak{n}_+ are the nilpotent subalgebras of, respectively, upper and lower triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, and \mathfrak{h} is the subalgebra of diagonal matrices.

The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ admits the direct sum decomposition

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)) = (\mathfrak{n}_- U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)) + U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)) \mathfrak{n}_+) \oplus U(\mathfrak{h}),$$

The *Harish–Chandra projection* for $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ is the projection to the second summand

$$\phi : U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathbb{C}[E_{1,1}, E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{m+n, m+n}],$$

where $E_{1,1}, E_{2,2}, \dots, E_{m+n, m+n}$ are the diagonal matrix units in $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$; they commute with one another.

Theorem 2.3 (a reformulation of Eq. (3.4) in [13]) *The Harish–Chandra projection, when restricted to the center $ZU(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$, is an algebra isomorphism to the polynomial algebra of supersymmetric functions $S(x_1, \dots, x_m | x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n})$ in the shifted generators $x_i = E_{ii} + r_i$, where*

$$r_i = \sum_{j>i} (-1)^{\bar{i}+\bar{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - (-1)^{\bar{i}} \right).$$

Explicitly, we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi(C_k) z^{k+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{m+n} \left(1 - \frac{z}{1 - z(-1)^{\bar{i}} x_i} \right) (-1)^{\bar{i}}$$

Remark The center $ZU(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is not a finitely generated polynomial algebra. However, for fixed m, n , one can express the variables x_i in terms of the first $m+n$ Casimirs C_1, \dots, C_{m+n} . After substituting these expressions in the formula above, one can write the higher Casimirs C_k , for $k > m+n$, as rational functions in the variables C_1, \dots, C_{m+n} .

3 Chord diagrams and weight systems

Below, we use standard notions from the theory of finite order knot invariants; see, e.g. [5, 12].

A *chord diagram* of order n is an oriented circle (called the *Wilson loop*) endowed with $2n$ pairwise distinct points split into n disjoint pairs, considered up to orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the circle.

A *weight system* is a function w on chord diagrams satisfying the 4-term relations; see Fig. 1.




Figure 1: 4-term relations

In figures, the outer circle of the chord diagram is always assumed to be oriented counterclockwise. Dashed arcs may contain ends of arbitrary sets of chords, same for all the four terms in the picture. Let us recall the construction of the $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ -weight system for permutations, as introduced in [17].

Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} equipped with a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form, one can construct a weight system with values in the center of its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. This is the form M. Kontsevich [10] gave to a construction due to D. Bar-Natan [1]. Kontsevich's construction proceeds as follows.

Given a chord diagram D with n chords, we first choose a base point on the circle, away from the ends of the chords of D . This gives a linear order on the endpoints of the chords, increasing in the positive direction of the Wilson loop. We order the chords of D according to the order of their left endpoints. Let us number the chords from 1 to n , and their endpoints from 1 to $2n$, in the increasing order. Then D gives a permutation σ_D of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ the permutation σ_D sends $2i - 1$ to the (number of the) left endpoint of the i th chord, and $2i$ to the (number of the) right endpoint of the same chord. The permutation σ_D is exactly the re-arrangement, which sends the endpoints of the diagram with n consecutive isolated chords into D .

Definition 3.1 (Universal Lie algebra weight system) Let \mathfrak{g} be a metrized Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} , that is, a Lie algebra with an ad-invariant non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} is a tensor in $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$. The algebra \mathfrak{g} being metrized, we can identify \mathfrak{g}^* with \mathfrak{g} and think of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as of an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The permutation σ_D acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n}$ by interchanging the factors. The value of the universal Lie algebra weight system $w_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ is the image of the

n th tensor power $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{\otimes n}$ under the map

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_D} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where the second map is the restriction of the natural projection of the tensor algebra on \mathfrak{g} to its universal enveloping algebra.

This construction of Lie algebra weight systems works also for Lie superalgebras, which are more general than Lie algebras. Let us recall the definition of the Lie superalgebra weight system on chord diagrams.

If \mathfrak{g} is a metrized Lie superalgebra, the very same construction works with only one modification: re-arranging the factors in the final step should be done with certain care. Instead of simply permuting the factors in the tensor product one should use a representation of the symmetric group S_k on k letters, which acts on the k th tensor power of any super vector space.

This representation is defined as follows. Define

$$\begin{aligned} S &: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}; \\ S &: x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x. \end{aligned}$$

The map S is an involution; in other words, it defines a representation of the symmetric group S_2 on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$. More generally, the representation of S_k on $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ is defined by sending the elementary transposition $(i, i+1)$ to $id^{\otimes i-1} \otimes S \otimes id^{\otimes k-i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Definition 3.2 (Universal Lie superalgebra weight system) Let \mathfrak{g} be a metrized Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{C} , that is, a Lie superalgebra with an ad-invariant non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} is a tensor in $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$. The algebra \mathfrak{g} being metrized, we can identify \mathfrak{g}^* with \mathfrak{g} and think of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as of an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The permutation σ_D acts on $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n}$ by interchanging the factors. The value of the universal Lie superalgebra weight system $w_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ is the image of the n th tensor power $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{\otimes n}$ under the map

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n} \xrightarrow{S_{2n} \circ \sigma_D} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2n} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where the second map is the restriction of the natural projection of the tensor algebra on \mathfrak{g} to its universal enveloping algebra.

Claim 3.3 [5, 10] *The function $w_{\mathfrak{g}} : D \mapsto w_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ on chord diagrams has the following properties:*

1. *the element $w_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ does not depend on the choice of the base point on the diagram;*
2. *its image belongs to the ad-invariant subspace*

$$U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{x \in U(\mathfrak{g}) | xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = ZU(\mathfrak{g});$$

3. *this map from chord diagrams to $ZU(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies the 4-term relations.*

Therefore, $w_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a weight system taking values in $ZU(\mathfrak{g})$.

4 Review of the \mathfrak{gl} -weight system and the Recurrence Rule

Let us recall the construction of the $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ -weight system for permutations, as introduced in [17]. For a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$, set

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N E_{i_1 i_{\sigma(1)}} E_{i_2 i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots E_{i_k i_{\sigma(k)}} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N)).$$

For example, the standard Casimir generator

$$C_k = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N E_{i_1 i_2} E_{i_2 i_3} \cdots E_{i_{k-1} i_k} E_{i_k i_1}$$


corresponds to the cyclic permutation $1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto k \mapsto 1 \in S_k$.

It is shown in [17] that

- the value of $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ on any permutation lies in the center of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$;
- this element is invariant under conjugation by the standard cyclic permutation, that is

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N E_{i_2 i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots E_{i_k i_{\sigma(k)}} E_{i_1 i_{\sigma(1)}}.$$


Definition 4.1 (digraph of a permutation) Let us represent a permutation as an oriented graph. The k vertices of the graph correspond to the permuted elements. They are ordered and are placed on a horizontal arrow looking right. The arc arrows show the action of the permutation (so that each vertex is incident with exactly one incoming and one outgoing arc edge). The digraph of a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ consists of these k vertices and k oriented edges, for example:



Theorem 4.2 ([17]) *The value of the $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ invariant of permutations possesses the following properties:*


- for the empty graph (with no vertices) the value of $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ is equal to 1, $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}(\emptyset) = 1$;
- $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ is multiplicative with respect to concatenation of permutations;
- for a cyclic permutation (with the cyclic order on the set of permuted elements compatible with the permutation), the value of $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ is the standard generator, $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}(1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto k \mapsto 1) = C_k$.

- (**Recurrence Rule**) For the graph of an arbitrary permutation σ in S_k , and for any two neighboring elements $l, l+1$, of the permuted set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we have for the values of the $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ weight system



In the diagrams on the left, two horizontally neighboring vertices and the edges incident to them are depicted, while on the right these two vertices are replaced with a single one; the other vertices are placed somewhere on the circle and their positions are the same on all diagrams participating in the relations, but the numbers of the vertices to the right of the latter are to be decreased by 1.

For the special case $\sigma(l+1) = l$, the recurrence looks like follows:



These relations are indeed a recursion, that is, they allow one to replace the computation of $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$ on a permutation with its computation on simpler permutations.

The recursion rule of the theorem defines a weight system on permutations taking values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables $\mathbb{C}[C_0 = N, C_1, C_2, \dots]$. We denote this universal \mathfrak{gl} -weight system by $w_{\mathfrak{gl}}$, where C_0 coincides with the number N in the second recursion rule and C_k corresponds to the standard length k cyclic permutation. The recursion in the theorem allows one to compute this weight system effectively.

5 Extension of the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system to permutations

We define $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}$ on permutations in the following way, which is similar to the definition for $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(N)}$.

For a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$, set

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{f_\sigma} E_{i_1 i_{\sigma(1)}} E_{i_2 i_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots E_{i_k i_{\sigma(k)}},$$

where f_σ is the sign function which is a polynomial in $\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2, \dots, \bar{i}_k$ in the field \mathbb{Z}_2 defined below.

The sign function f_σ is a polynomial that has linear and quadratic terms only. For example, for the standard cyclic permutation $(12\dots k) : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow k \rightarrow 1$, we have $f_{(12\dots k)} = \bar{i}_2 + \dots + \bar{i}_k$.

We say that an index a , $1 \leq a \leq k$, is *distinguished* with respect to σ if $\sigma^{-1}(a) < a$. The set of distinguished indices is denoted by $P_1(\sigma) \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$. We say that a pair of indices (a, b) , $1 \leq a < b \leq k$, is *distinguished* if the two pairs of distinct real numbers $(\sigma^{-1}(a) + \epsilon, a - \epsilon)$ and $(\sigma^{-1}(b) + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)$ alternate; here $\epsilon > 0$ is a small real number, say, $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$. The set of distinguished pairs of indices is denoted by $P_2(\sigma) \subset \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Definition 5.1 The sign function f_σ of a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ is defined by

$$f_\sigma(\bar{i}_1, \bar{i}_2, \dots) = \sum_{a \in P_1(\sigma)} \bar{i}_a + \sum_{(a,b) \in P_2(\sigma)} \bar{i}_a \bar{i}_b.$$


A more convenient treatment of the invariant $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma)$ and the sign function uses the language of digraphs from the previous section.

The set of indices participating in the summation will be labelled by the edges (rather than by vertices). For each vertex i , we denote by $in(i)$ and $out(i)$ the incoming edge and outgoing edge incident to the vertex i , respectively. With this notation, we have

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{f_\sigma} E_{i_{in(1)} i_{out(1)}} \cdots E_{i_{in(k)} i_{out(k)}}.$$


The original formula corresponds to the numbering of the edges such that the edge $i \rightarrow j$ is numbered j . The result is obviously independent of the numbering.

With this notation, an edge is distinguished if it is directed from left to right. A pair of edges with pairwise distinct ends is distinguished if the corresponding pairs of vertices alternate. If the edges have common vertices, we first bring them to a general position by shifting slightly the beginning of each edge to the right and the endpoint of each edge to the left, and then check whether the pairs of ends of the shifted edges do alternate.

Claim 5.2 For the cyclic permutation $\sigma = (12\dots k)$, the diagram is ;

and we have $f_{(12\dots k)} = \bar{i}_2 + \dots + \bar{i}_k$.

Assume that two permutations σ and σ' are conjugate by a transposition of two neighboring elements. Then these two elements are the endpoints of the four edges a, b, c, d as shown in the picture below (among the edges a, b, c, d there could be pairs of coincident ones).



Lemma 5.3 *The sign functions f_σ and $f_{\sigma'}$ are related by*

$$f_{\sigma'} = f_\sigma + (\bar{i}_a + \bar{i}_d)(\bar{i}_b + \bar{i}_c).$$

In other words, each of the four pairs of edges $(a, c), (a, d), (b, c), (b, d)$ changes the property of being distinguished when one passes from the permutation σ to σ' .

Since the sign function f_σ matches the sign in the Casimir elements and this lemma says the sign function f_σ matches the involution operation S , we have

Claim 5.4 *The $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system for chord diagrams in [14, 7] is a special case of the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system for permutations, where we treat a chord diagram with k chords as an involution without fixed points on the set of $2k$ elements.*

Example 5.5 Let $\sigma = (132) \in S_3$. According to the definition,

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}((132)) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{f(132)} E_{i_1 i_3} E_{i_2 i_1} E_{i_3 i_2},$$

where

$$f_{(132)} = \bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_3 \bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_2,$$

and we have the Lie superbracket $[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} E_{il} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})} \delta_{il} E_{kj}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 - w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}((132)) &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2} E_{i_1 i_3} \left(E_{i_3 i_2} E_{i_2 i_1} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_2)} E_{i_3 i_2} E_{i_2 i_1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2} E_{i_1 i_3} [E_{i_3 i_2}, E_{i_2 i_1}] \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2} E_{i_1 i_3} (\delta_{i_2 i_2} E_{i_3 i_1} - \delta_{i_3 i_1} (-1)^{(i_3 + \bar{i}_2)(i_1 + \bar{i}_2)} E_{i_2 i_2}) \\ &= (m-n) \sum_{i_1, i_3=1}^{m+n} (-1)^{\bar{i}_3} E_{i_1 i_3} E_{i_3 i_1} - \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^{m+n} E_{i_1 i_1} E_{i_2 i_2} \\ &= (m-n)C_2 - C_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}((132)) = C_3 - (m-n)C_2 + C_1^2$.

Result			
k	σ	f_σ	polynomial in Casimir elements
2	Id	0	C_1^2
	(1 2)	\bar{i}_2	C_2
3	Id	0	C_1^3
	(1 2)	\bar{i}_2	$C_1 C_2$
	(2 3)	\bar{i}_3	$C_1 C_2$
	(1 3)	\bar{i}_3	$C_1 C_2$
	(1 2 3)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_3$	C_3
	(1 3 2)	$\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2 + (\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_2)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_2)$	$C_3 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2$
4	Id	0	C_1^4
	(1 2)	\bar{i}_2	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(2 3)	\bar{i}_3	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(1 3)	\bar{i}_3	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(1 4)	\bar{i}_4	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(2 4)	\bar{i}_4	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(3 4)	\bar{i}_4	$C_1^2 C_2$
	(1 2)(3 4)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4$	C_2^2
	(1 4)(2 3)	$\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4$	C_2^2
	(1 3)(2 4)	$\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4 + (\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_3)$	$C_2^2 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2$
	(1 2 3)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_3$	$C_1 C_3$
	(1 2 4)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4$	$C_1 C_3$
	(1 3 4)	$\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4$	$C_1 C_3$
	(2 3 4)	$\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4$	$C_1 C_3$
	(1 3 2)	$(\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_3)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_3)$	$C_1(C_3 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2)$
	(1 4 2)	$(\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_4)$	$C_1(C_3 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2)$
	(1 4 3)	$(\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4)(\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_4)$	$C_1(C_3 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2)$
	(2 4 3)	$(\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4)(\bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4)$	$C_1(C_3 - (m - n)C_2 + C_1^2)$
	(1 2 3 4)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4$	C_4
	(1 2 4 3)	$\bar{i}_2 + \bar{i}_4 + \bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_4 + \bar{i}_1 \bar{i}_3 + \bar{i}_4 \bar{i}_3$	$C_4 - (m - n)C_3 + C_1 C_2$
(1 3 2 4)		$C_4 - (m - n)C_3 + C_1 C_2$	
(1 3 4 2)		$C_4 - (m - n)C_3 + C_1 C_2$	
(1 4 2 3)		$C_4 - (m - n)C_3 + C_1 C_2$	
(1 4 3 2)	$\bar{i}_4 + (\bar{i}_1 + \bar{i}_3)(\bar{i}_4 + \bar{i}_2)$	$C_4 - 2(m - n)C_3 + 2C_1 C_2 + (m - n)^2 C_2 - (m - n)C_1$	

In all the above examples, the value of the $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -weight system is a polynomial in the difference $m - n$. The following stronger theorem, which is another main result of the present paper, asserts that this is always true.

Theorem 5.6 *The weight system $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}$ for permutations is the result of substituting $m - n$ for C_0 , and the k th Casimir element in $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ for C_k , $k > 0$, in the weight system $w_{\mathfrak{gl}}$.*

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section.

Example 5.7 In [7], a recurrence relation for computing the values of the $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ -weight system is given. Our approach suggests another recurrence for

this weight system extended to permutations. Setting $C_0 = 1 - 1 = 0$ and using Theorem 2.3 we can express higher Casimirs in $ZU(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ in terms of C_1, C_2 . Namely, we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi(C_k) z^{k+1} = \frac{1 - \frac{z}{1-zx_1}}{1 - \frac{z}{1+zx_2}},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(C_1) &= x_1 + x_2, \\ \varphi(C_2) &= (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_2 + 1), \\ \varphi(C_3) &= (x_1 + x_2)(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2 + x_1 - 2x_2 + 1) \\ &= \varphi(C_1) \left(\frac{3\varphi(C_2)^2}{4\varphi(C_1)^2} + \frac{\varphi(C_1)^2}{4} - \frac{\varphi(C_1)}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

...

so that we have

$$C_3 = \frac{3C_2^2}{4C_1} + \frac{C_1^3}{4} - \frac{C_1^2}{2} + \frac{C_1}{4}, \dots$$

and, more generally

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{C_1^2 - C_1 + C_2}{2C_1}, & y &= \frac{C_1^2 + C_1 - C_2}{2C_1}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k z^k &= \frac{1 - \frac{(1-(x+1)z)(yz+1)}{(1-xz)(1-(1-y)z)}}{z} = \frac{C_1 z}{\left(1 - \frac{(-C_1^2 + C_1 + C_2)z}{2C_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{(C_1^2 - C_1 + C_2)z}{2C_1}\right)} \end{aligned}$$


For example, if we make this substitution in the explicit formulas for the values of the $w_{\mathfrak{gl}}$ -weight system on chord diagrams whose intersection graph is a complete graph given in [17], we obtain the following values of the $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ -weight system on these diagrams:

These results are worth to be compared with the values of the skew characteristic polynomial of complete graphs from [6].

6 Proof of theorem 5.6

We prove the theorem by directly proving that $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}$ satisfies the same Recurrence Rule as $w_{\mathfrak{gl}}$ with $C_0 = m - n$.


Assuming the permutation σ is as shown before, and suppose $\tilde{\sigma}$ merges the two nodes and connects the edges a and c ,



Lemma 6.1 We have $f_{\tilde{\sigma}} = f_{\sigma|\bar{i}_a=\bar{i}_c}$.

Proof.

First assume the arrangement of the end points of the four arrows is as follows,




Then

1. The pairs of the other edges except a, b, c, d are not changed.
2. The pairs of b, d with the other edges are not changed.
3. The other edges making a distinguished pair with only a or c will also make a distinguished pair with a/c . And the edges making distinguished pairs both with a and c will not make a distinguished pair with a/c . However, since $\bar{i}_a = \bar{i}_c$ and the field is \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have $\bar{i}_x \bar{i}_a + \bar{i}_x \bar{i}_c = 0$. Therefore, these cases do not differ.
4. We only need to consider the relationship between a, b, c, d
 - (a) the linear term: since the edges a and c turn into a longer edge, the difference in the linear term is \bar{i}_a .
 - (b) the difference in the quadratic term is $\bar{i}_a \bar{i}_c = \bar{i}_a$ as well.

Summing everything together, we obtain no difference.

For the other arrangements of the end points of the four arrows the calculation similar, and we skip the rest of the proof. \blacksquare



Now let us look back at the Lie superbracket

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = E_{ij}E_{kl} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})} E_{kl}E_{ij} = \delta_{jk}E_{il} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})} \delta_{il}E_{kj}$$

We produce everything we need to let the first term be $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{ij}E_{kl} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma + (\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})} \dots E_{kl}E_{ij} \dots \\ &= \sum \delta_{jk} (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{il} \dots - \sum \delta_{il} (-1)^{f_\sigma + (\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{k}+\bar{l})} \dots E_{kj} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 6.1, we obtain


$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{ij} E_{kl} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_{\sigma'}} \dots E_{kl} E_{ij} \dots \\ &= \sum (-1)^{f_{\tilde{\sigma}}} \dots E_{il} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_{\tilde{\sigma}'}} \dots E_{kj} \dots' \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma) - w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma') = w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\tilde{\sigma}) - w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\tilde{\sigma}').$$

It is the same Recurrence Rule as for $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m-n)}$.

For the special case $\sigma(k+1) = k$, the recurrence looks like



We have the relationship

$$E_{ij} E_{jk} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{j}+\bar{k})} E_{jk} E_{ij} = \delta_{jj} E_{ik} - (-1)^{(\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{j}+\bar{k})} \delta_{ik} E_{jj}$$

We produce everything we need to let the first term be $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}(\sigma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{ij} E_{jk} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma + (\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{j}+\bar{k})} \dots E_{jk} E_{ij} \dots \\ &= \sum \delta_{jj} (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{ik} \dots - \sum \delta_{ik} (-1)^{f_\sigma + (\bar{i}+\bar{j})(\bar{j}+\bar{k})} \dots E_{jj} \dots \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-1)^{f_\sigma} \dots E_{ij} E_{jk} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_{\sigma'}} \dots E_{jk} E_{ij} \dots \\ &= \sum (-1)^{\bar{j}+f_{\tilde{\sigma}}} \dots E_{ik} \dots - \sum (-1)^{f_{\tilde{\sigma}'}} \dots E_{jj} \dots \\ &= (m-n) \sum (-1)^{f_{\tilde{\sigma}}} \dots E_{ik} \dots - C_1 \sum (-1)^{f_{\tilde{\sigma}'}} \dots 1 \dots, \end{aligned}$$

hence $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}$ satisfies the special case of the Recurrence Rule with number $m-n$.

Finally, $w_{\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)}$ obeys the same Recurrence Rule as $w_{\mathfrak{gl}}$ with $C_0 = m-n$.

References

- [1] Dror Bar-Natan, *On the Vassiliev knot invariants*, Topology, 34(2):423–472, 1995. (an updated version available at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/papers>).
- [2] Dror Bar-Natan, *Weights of Feynman diagrams and the Vassiliev knot invariants*, preprint, February 1991. (an updated version available at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/papers>).

- [3] S. Chmutov, S. Lando, *Mutant knots and intersection graphs*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 2007, 7, 3, 1579–1598
- [4] S. Chmutov, A. Varchenko, *Remarks on the Vassiliev knot invariants coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2* , *Topology* 1997, 36, 1, 153–178
- [5] S. Chmutov, S. Duzhin, and J. Mostovoy, *Introduction to Vassiliev Knot Invariants*, Cambridge University Press, May 2012.
- [6] R. Dogra, S. Lando, *Skew characteristic polynomial of graphs and embedded graphs*, [arXiv:2201.07084](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07084) [math.CO]
- [7] Figueroa-O’Farrill, T. Kimura, A. Vaintrob, *The Universal Vassiliev Invariant for the Lie Superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$* , *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1997, 185, 93–127
- [8] V. G. Kac, *Lie Superalgebras*, *Adv.Math.* 26 (1977) 8–96 DOI: 10.1016/0001-8708(77)90017-2
- [9] V. G. Kac, *Laplace operators of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and theta functions*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 81, no. 2 (1984): 645–647.
- [10] M. Kontsevich, *Vassiliev knot invariants*, in: *Advances in Soviet Math.*, 16(2):137–150, 1993.
- [11] Sergei K. Lando, *On a Hopf algebra in graph theory*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 80(1):104–121, 2000.
- [12] Sergei K. Lando and Alexander K. Zvonkin, *Graphs on surfaces and their applications*, volume 141. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [13] C. O. Nwachuku and M. A. Rashid, *New expressions for the eigenvalues of the invariant operators of the general linear and the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras*, *Journal of mathematical physics* 26, no. 8 (1985): 1914–1920.
- [14] A. Vaintrob, *Vassiliev knot invariants and Lie S-algebras* *Mathematical Research Letters*, 1, 579–595.(1994)
- [15] V. A. Vassiliev, *Cohomology of knot spaces*. in: *Advances in Soviet Math.*, bf 1, 1990, 23–69.
- [16] Z. Yang, *On values of \mathfrak{sl}_3 weight system on chord diagrams whose intersection graph is complete bipartite*, [arXiv:2102.00888](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00888) [math.CO]
- [17] Z. Yang, *New approaches to \mathfrak{gl}_N weight system*, [arXiv:2202.12225](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.12225) [math.CO]