

DIFFERENTIAL ANTISYMMETRIC INFINITESIMAL BIALGEBRAS, COHERENT DERIVATIONS AND POISSON BIALGEBRAS

YUANCHANG LIN, XUGUANG LIU, AND CHENGMING BAI

ABSTRACT. We establish a bialgebra theory for differential algebras, called differential antisymmetric infinitesimal (ASI) bialgebras by generalizing the study on ASI bialgebras to the context of differential algebras, in which the derivations play an important role. They are characterized by double constructions of differential Frobenius algebras as well as matched pairs of differential algebras. Antisymmetric solutions of an analogue of associative Yang-Baxter equation in differential algebras provide differential ASI bialgebras, whereas in turn the notions of \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras and differential dendriform algebras are also introduced to produce the former. On the other hand, the notion of coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras is introduced as an equivalent structure of differential ASI bialgebras. They include biderivations and the set of coherent derivations on an ASI bialgebra composes a Lie algebra which is the Lie algebra of the Lie group consisting of coherent automorphisms on this ASI bialgebra. Finally, we apply the study on differential ASI bialgebras to Poisson bialgebras, extending the construction of Poisson algebras from commutative differential algebras with two commuting derivations to the context of bialgebras, which is consistent with the well constructed theory of Poisson bialgebras. In particular, we construct Poisson bialgebras from differential Zinbiel algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Differential algebras	2
1.2. Differential antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras	2
1.3. Coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras	3
1.4. Poisson bialgebras via commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras	4
1.5. Layout of the paper	4
2. Differential algebras and their representations	5
2.1. Representations and admissible quadruples of differential algebras	5
2.2. Matched pairs of differential algebras	7
3. Differential ASI bialgebras and coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras	8
3.1. Double constructions of differential Frobenius algebra	9
3.2. Differential ASI bialgebras	10
3.3. Coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras	12
4. Coboundary differential ASI bialgebras	15
4.1. Coboundary differential ASI bialgebras and admissible AYBE	15
4.2. \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras	18
4.3. Differential dendriform algebras	20
5. Poisson bialgebras via commutative and commutative differential ASI bialgebras	22
5.1. Poisson algebras via commutative differential algebras: representations and matched pairs	22
5.2. Manin triples of Poisson algebras via double constructions of commutative differential Frobenius algebras	26

Date: July 4, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16T10, 16T25, 16W99, 17A30, 17B62, 57R56, 81R60.

Key words and phrases. differential algebra; antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebra; associative Yang-Baxter equation; \mathcal{O} -operator; dendriform algebra; Poisson bialgebra.

5.3. Poisson bialgebras via commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras	28
5.4. Coboundary Poisson bialgebras via coboundary commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras	30
References	38

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to develop a bialgebra theory for differential algebras and get some applications. The notion of differential antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras is introduced, gives a new kind of derivations, called coherent derivations, on antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. As an application, we generalize the typical construction of Poisson algebras from commutative differential algebras to the context of bialgebras.

1.1. Differential algebras. The notion of differential algebras was introduced in [38], which could be regarded as an \mathbb{F} -algebra with finitely many derivations. Such structures sprang from the classical study of algebraic differential equations with meromorphic functions as coefficients ([28]), and the abstraction of differential operators led to the development of differential algebras, which in turn has influenced other areas such as Diophantine geometry, computer algebra and model theory ([6, 14, 37]).

Differential algebras also have applications in mathematical physics such as Yang-Mills theory. A K -cycle over the tensor product algebra is used in [17] to derive the full standard model, which turns out to be called Connes-Lott Model, and the differential algebras become the basic mathematical structure in the construction. More details about differential algebras used in such an approach can be found in [16, 23, 26, 25, 34].

In this paper, we focus on the differential associative algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) , where (A, \cdot) is an associative algebra over a field \mathbb{F} , and Φ is a finite set of commuting derivations. For simplicity, the differential algebras in the rest of this paper refer to differential associative algebras. The study of such structures themselves is plentiful. For example, the operad of differential algebras with one derivation was studied in [33] and the free structure of differential algebras was studied in [22].


More interestingly, some algebra structures have been found having tight connections with differential algebras. As a typical example, there is a Poisson algebra obtained from a commutative differential algebra with two commuting derivations. More examples include the Lie algebras obtained from commutative differential algebras with one derivation ([40]), the 3-Lie algebras ([35]) and more general the n -Lie algebras ([20]) obtained from commutative differential algebras. Furthermore, every Novikov algebra is illustrated to be embedded into a commutative differential algebra with one derivation ([12]).

1.2. Differential antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. A bialgebra structure consists of an algebra structure and a coalgebra structure coupled by certain compatibility conditions. Such structures play important roles in many areas and have connections with other structures arising from mathematics and physics. For example, Lie bialgebras for Lie algebras are the algebra structures of Poisson-Lie groups, and play an important role in the study of quantized universal enveloping algebras ([15, 19]). For associative algebras, there are two bialgebra structures with different compatibility conditions. They are associative bialgebras in Hopf algebras with the comultiplication being a homomorphism and antisymmetric infinitesimal (ASI) bialgebras with the comultiplication being a derivation. For the former, Hopf algebras have their origin in algebraic topology, serve as universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras and provide a basic algebraic framework for the study of quantum groups ([1]). The latter is the associative analog of the Lie bialgebras, which can be characterized as double constructions of Frobenius algebras, widely applied in 2d topological field and string theory ([3, 7, 24, 27, 29]).


In this paper, we establish a bialgebra theory for differential algebras, called differential antisymmetric infinitesimal (ASI) bialgebras, by extending the study on ASI bialgebras in [7] to the context of differential

algebras. The derivations in a differential algebra play an important role in such generalizations by introducing an admissibility condition between the linear operators and the differential algebra, which gives a reasonable representation of the differential algebra on the dual space. Such an admissibility leads to the compatibility among the multiplication, the comultiplication and the linear operators, so that the theory of ASI bialgebras can be extended to differential ASI bialgebras.

Explicitly, differential ASI bialgebras are characterized equivalently by matched pairs of differential algebras and double constructions of differential Frobenius algebras, as the generalizations of matched pairs of algebras and double constructions of Frobenius algebras to the context of differential algebras. The coboundary cases lead to the introduction of the notion of admissible associative Yang-Baxter equation (AYBE) whose antisymmetric solutions are used to construct differential ASI bialgebras. The notions of \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras and differential dendriform algebras are introduced to construct antisymmetric solutions of admissible AYBE in differential algebras and hence give differential ASI bialgebras. We summarize these results in the following diagram.



1.3. Coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras. We have already regarded differential ASI bialgebras as a bialgebra theory for differential algebras. On the other hand, equivalently, we also regard differential ASI bialgebras as defining a kind of “derivations” on ASI bialgebras, namely, coherent derivations. It is an attempt to extend the viewpoint that differential algebras are viewed as “derivatization” of algebras by equipping derivations to the context of bialgebras, that is, differential ASI bialgebras may be viewed as “derivatization” of ASI bialgebras. Hence we have the following commutative diagram. The horizontal arrows are equipping the given algebra structures with suitable derivations and the vertical arrows are equipping the given algebra structures with suitable bialgebra structures. In particular, the below horizontal arrow is equipping ASI bialgebras with coherent derivations.




The notion of coherent derivations is interesting on its own right. It is known that the set of derivations on an algebra (A, \cdot) over \mathbb{R} forms a Lie algebra, the set of automorphisms on (A, \cdot) forms a Lie group and the former is the Lie algebra of the latter. Such features and properties are still available for ASI bialgebras, in which coherent derivations play the role as derivations do. Explicitly, the set of coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras forms a Lie algebra. Furthermore, we introduce the notion of coherent automorphisms on ASI bialgebras inspired by [10] and show that the set of coherent automorphisms forms a Lie group whose Lie algebra is exactly the Lie algebra formed by the set of coherent derivations.

On the other hand, the notion of biderivations on ASI bialgebras was introduced in [3] as an alternative approach to define “derivations” on ASI bialgebras, which is an analogue of the notion of derivations on Lie bialgebras ([15]). We show that a biderivation on an ASI bialgebra corresponds to a special coherent derivation and hence biderivations can be regarded as special coherent derivations.

1.4. Poisson bialgebras via commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras. As aforementioned, there are typical examples of Poisson algebras from commutative differential algebras with two commuting derivations. It is natural to consider extending such a relationship to the context of bialgebras. On the other hand, there is a bialgebra theory for Poisson algebras, namely, Poisson bialgebras, established in [36]. Note that there is a noncommutative version of Poisson bialgebras given in [30]. Therefore we apply the theory of differential ASI bialgebras to the study of Poisson bialgebras and thus Poisson bialgebras can be constructed from commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras.

Such an approach is consistent with the well constructed theory of Poisson bialgebras. We establish the explicit relationships between commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras and Poisson bialgebras, as well as the equivalent interpretation in terms of the corresponding double constructions (Manin triples) and matched pairs. For the coboundary cases, the relationships between the involved structures on commutative differential algebras and Poisson algebras, such as admissible AYBE and Poisson Yang-Baxter equation (PYBE), \mathcal{O} -operators on the two algebras, and differential Zinbiel (commutative dendriform) algebras and pre-Poisson algebras, are also given. In particular, an antisymmetric solution of admissible AYBE in a commutative differential algebra is naturally a solution of PYBE in the induced Poisson algebra under certain conditions and thus differential Zinbiel algebras can be employed to construct Poisson bialgebras. These notions and structures are illustrated by the following diagram. The up two layers are notions and structures in commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras which have been illustrated in the diagram in Section 1.2 and the below two layers are the corresponding notions and structures in Poisson bialgebras given in [36] (also see [30]).



1.5. Layout of the paper. The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce some basic notions about differential algebras and their representations. We introduce the notion of a representation of a differential algebra, while the notion of an admissible quadruple is introduced to get a representation on the dual space. Moreover, the notion of a matched pair is introduced to interpret the differential algebra whose underlying vector space is a linear direct sum of two subalgebras.

In Section 3, after the introduction of the notions of a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra and a differential ASI bialgebra, we give their equivalence in terms of matched pairs of differential algebras. Inspired by the notion of a differential ASI bialgebra, we then introduce the notion of a coherent derivation on an ASI bialgebra as an equivalent structure of a differential ASI bialgebra. Furthermore,

the set of coherent derivations on an ASI bialgebra turns out to be a Lie algebra, which is exactly the Lie algebra of the Lie group consisting of coherent automorphisms on this ASI bialgebra.

In Section 4, we study the coboundary differential ASI bialgebras. We first introduce the notion of Ψ -admissible AYBE in a differential algebra, whose antisymmetric solutions are used to construct differential ASI bialgebras. Then we introduce the notion of \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras to construct antisymmetric solutions of Ψ -admissible AYBE in semi-direct product differential algebras. Finally, we introduce the notion of a differential dendriform algebra, which gives out an \mathcal{O} -operator on the associated differential algebra. Thus both \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras and differential dendriform algebras give differential ASI bialgebras.

In Section 5, proceeding from the typical construction of Poisson algebras from commutative algebras with a pair of commuting derivations, we construct Poisson bialgebras introduced in [36] from commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras. The explicit relationships between them, as well as the equivalent interpretation in terms of the corresponding double constructions (Manin triples) and matched pairs, are established. We also exhibit the relationships between the involved structures on commutative differential algebras and Poisson algebras for the coboundary cases, such as admissible AYBE and PYBE, \mathcal{O} -operators on the two algebras, and differential Zinbiel algebras and pre-Poisson algebras. Finally, a construction of Poisson bialgebras from differential Zinbiel algebras is given.

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces and algebras are of finite dimension over a given field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0, although many results still hold in the infinite-dimensional cases. An algebra always refers to an associative algebra not necessarily having a unit unless otherwise stated.

2. DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

We introduce the notion of a representation of a differential algebra. The notion of an admissible quadruple of a differential algebra is introduced to get a reasonable representation on the dual space. We also introduce the notion of a matched pair of differential algebras to interpret the differential algebra whose underlying vector space is a linear direct sum of two subalgebras.

2.1. Representations and admissible quadruples of differential algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra. A linear map $\partial : A \rightarrow A$ is called a **derivation** if the Leibniz rule is satisfied, i.e.,

$$\partial(a \cdot b) = \partial(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \partial(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (1)$$

Definition 2.2. ([38]) A **differential algebra** is a triple (A, \cdot, Φ) , consisting of an algebra (A, \cdot) and a finite set of commuting derivations $\Phi = \{\partial_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$. A differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) is called **commutative** if (A, \cdot) is commutative.

Definition 2.3. Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra, V be a vector space and $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be two linear maps. Then (V, l, r) is called a **representation** of (A, \cdot) if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$l(a)l(b)v = l(a \cdot b)v, \quad r(b)r(a)v = r(a \cdot b)v, \quad r(b)l(a)v = l(a)r(b)v, \quad \forall a, b \in A, v \in V. \quad (2)$$

Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra. For any $a \in A$, let $L_A(a)$ and $R_A(a)$ denote the left and right multiplication operators on (A, \cdot) respectively, that is, $L_A(a)b = a \cdot b = R_A(b)a$, $\forall a, b \in A$. Define two linear maps

$$L_A, R_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A), \quad a \mapsto L_A(a), \quad a \mapsto R_A(a), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Then the triple (A, L_A, R_A) is a representation of (A, \cdot) .

Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra and $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be linear maps. Define a bilinear multiplication still denoted by \cdot on $A \oplus V$ by

$$(a + u) \cdot (b + v) := a \cdot b + (l(a)v + r(b)u), \quad \forall a, b \in A, u, v \in V. \quad (3)$$

Then (V, l, r) is a representation of (A, \cdot) if and only if $(A \oplus V, \cdot)$ is an algebra, which is denoted by $(A \ltimes_{l,r} V, \cdot)$ and called the **semi-direct product** of (A, \cdot) by its representation (V, l, r) .

Definition 2.4. A **representation** of a differential algebra $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a quadruple (V, l, r, Ω) where (V, l, r) is a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) and $\Omega = \{\alpha_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ is a set of commuting linear maps such that for any $k = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\alpha_k(l(a)v) = l(\partial_k(a))v + l(a)\alpha_k(v), \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_k(r(a)v) = r(\partial_k(a))v + r(a)\alpha_k(v), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V. \quad (5)$$

Two representations $(V_1, l_1, r_1, \{\alpha_{1k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ and $(V_2, l_2, r_2, \{\alpha_{2k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ of (A, \cdot, Φ) are called **equivalent** if there exists a linear isomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that for any $k = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\varphi(l_1(a)v) = l_2(a)\varphi(v), \quad \varphi(r_1(a)v) = r_2(a)\varphi(v), \quad \varphi(\alpha_{1k}(v)) = \alpha_{2k}(\varphi(v)), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V. \quad (6)$$

Example 2.5. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be a differential algebra. Then (A, L_A, R_A, Φ) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) .

For vector spaces V_1 and V_2 , and linear maps $\phi_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_1$ and $\phi_2 : V_2 \rightarrow V_2$, we abbreviate $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ for the linear map

$$\phi_{V_1 \oplus V_2} : V_1 \oplus V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2, \quad v_1 + v_2 \mapsto \phi_1(v_1) + \phi_2(v_2), \quad \forall v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, let $\Pi_1 = \{\alpha_k : V_1 \rightarrow V_1\}_{k=1}^m$ and $\Pi_2 = \{\beta_k : V_2 \rightarrow V_2\}_{k=1}^m$ be two sets of commuting linear maps, then obviously $\{\alpha_k + \beta_k\}_{k=1}^m$ is still a set of commuting linear maps, which is denoted by $\Pi_1 + \Pi_2$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $(A, \cdot_A, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let $l, r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be linear maps and $\Omega = \{\alpha_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then (V, l, r, Ω) is a representation of (A, \cdot_A, Φ) if and only if $(A \oplus V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$ is a differential algebra, where the multiplication \cdot on $A \oplus V$ is defined by Eq. (3). In this case, the resulting differential algebra is denoted by $(A \ltimes_{l,r} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$ and called the **semi-direct product** of (A, \cdot_A, Φ) by its representation (V, l, r, Ω) .

Proof. It can be proved directly according to Definitions 2.2 and 2.4 or as a special case of the matched pair of differential algebras in Theorem 2.13 where $B = V$ is equipped with the zero multiplication. \square

Denote the usual pair between the dual space V^* and V by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V^* \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad \langle v^*, v \rangle := v^*(v), \quad \forall v \in V, v^* \in V^*.$$

Let V, W be two vector spaces. For a linear map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$, the transpose map $\varphi^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ is defined by

$$\langle \varphi^*(w^*), v \rangle := \langle w^*, \varphi(v) \rangle, \quad \forall v \in V, w^* \in W^*.$$

Furthermore, when $\Pi = \{\alpha_k\}$ is a finite set of linear maps, set $\Pi^* := \{\alpha_k^* : \alpha_k \in \Pi\}$ as the linear dual of Π .

Let A be an algebra and V be a vector space. For a linear map $\mu : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, the linear map $\mu^* : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V^*)$ is defined by

$$\langle \mu^*(a)v^*, v \rangle := \langle v^*, \mu(a)v \rangle, \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V, v^* \in V^*.$$

Note that for a representation (V, l, r) of an algebra (A, \cdot) , (V^*, r^*, l^*) is again a representation of (A, \cdot) .

Lemma 2.7. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let (V, l, r) be a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) and $\Pi = \{\beta_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then the quadruple (V^*, r^*, l^*, Π^*) is a representation of the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if for any $k = 1, \dots, m$, the following equations hold:

$$r(a)\beta_k(v) = r(\partial_k(a))v + \beta_k(r(a)v), \quad (8)$$

$$l(a)\beta_k(v) = l(\partial_k(a))v + \beta_k(l(a)v), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V. \quad (9)$$

Proof. For any $a \in A, v \in V, v^* \in V^*$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\langle r^*(\partial_k(a))v^* + r^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) - \beta_k^*(r^*(a)v^*), v \rangle = \langle v^*, r(\partial_k(a))v + \beta_k(r(a)v) - r(a)\beta_k(v) \rangle,$$

$$\langle l^*(\partial_k(a))v^* + l^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) - \beta_k^*(l^*(a)v^*), v \rangle = \langle v^*, l(\partial_k(a))v + \beta_k(l(a)v) - l(a)\beta_k(v) \rangle.$$

Thus Eqs. (4)-(5) hold in which α_k is replaced by β_k^* , l is replaced by r^* and r is replaced by l^* respectively, if and only if Eqs. (8)-(9) hold. \square

We introduce a notion to conceptualize this basic property.

Definition 2.8. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let (V, l, r) be a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) and $\Pi = \{\beta_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then we say Π is **admissible to the differential algebra** (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) if (V^*, r^*, l^*, Π^*) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) or equivalently Eqs. (8)-(9) hold. When (V, l, r) is taken to be (A, L_A, R_A) , we say Π is **admissible to** (A, \cdot, Φ) .

Immediately we have the following conclusion by Lemma 2.7.

Corollary 2.9. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then Ψ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if for any $k = 1, \dots, m$, the following equations hold:

$$\delta_k(a) \cdot b = a \cdot \partial_k(b) + \delta_k(a \cdot b), \quad (10)$$

$$a \cdot \delta_k(b) = \partial_k(a) \cdot b + \delta_k(a \cdot b), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (11)$$

Example 2.10. Let $(V, l, r, \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a representation of a differential algebra $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{F}$ be given. Then by a straightforward checking, we have the following results.

(a) Suppose $\theta_k = \pm 1$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$). Then $\{\theta_k \alpha_k\}_{k=1}^m$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) if and only if

$$(1 + \theta_k)r(\partial_k(a))v = 0, \quad (1 + \theta_k)l(\partial_k(a))v = 0, \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V, 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

(b) $\{-\alpha_k + \theta_k \text{id}_V\}_{k=1}^m$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) . In particular, $\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) .

(c) Suppose α_k is invertible and $\theta_k \neq 0$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\{\theta_k \alpha_k^{-1}\}_{k=1}^m$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) if and only if

$$\theta_k r(\partial_k(a))\alpha_k^{-1}(v) = \alpha_k(r(\partial_k(a))v), \quad \theta_k l(\partial_k(a))\alpha_k^{-1}(v) = \alpha_k(l(\partial_k(a))v), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V, 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Hence for any $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{F}$, $(V^*, r^*, l^*, \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Thus $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a differential algebra.

2.2. Matched pairs of differential algebras. We recall the concept of a matched pair of algebras ([7]).

Definition 2.11. A **matched pair of algebras** consists of algebras (A, \cdot_A) and (B, \cdot_B) , together with linear maps $l_A, r_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ and $l_B, r_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ such that

- (a) (A, l_B, r_B) is a representation of (B, \cdot_B) ;
- (b) (B, l_A, r_A) is a representation of (A, \cdot_A) ;
- (c) the following compatibility conditions hold:

$$l_A(a)(b \cdot_B b') = l_A(r_B(b)a)b' + (l_A(a)b) \cdot_B b', \quad (12)$$

$$r_A(a)(b \cdot_B b') = r_A(l_B(b')a)b + b \cdot_B (r_A(a)b'), \quad (13)$$

$$l_B(b)(a \cdot_A a') = l_B(r_A(a)b)a' + (l_B(b)a) \cdot_A a', \quad (14)$$

$$r_B(b)(a \cdot_A a') = r_B(l_A(a')b)a + a \cdot_A (r_B(b)a'), \quad (15)$$

$$l_A(l_B(b)a)b' + (r_A(a)b) \cdot_B b' = r_A(r_B(b')a)b + b \cdot_B (l_A(a)b'), \quad (16)$$

$$l_B(l_A(a)b)a' + (r_B(b)a) \cdot_A a' = r_B(r_A(a')b)a + a \cdot_A (l_B(b)a'), \quad (17)$$

for any $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

By [7, Theorem 2.1.4], for algebras (A, \cdot_A) , (B, \cdot_B) and linear maps $l_A, r_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$, $l_B, r_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$, define a bilinear multiplication \star on the direct sum $A \oplus B$ by

$$(a + b) \star (a' + b') := (a \cdot_A a' + r_B(b')a + l_B(b)a') + (b \cdot_B b' + l_A(a)b' + r_A(a')b), \quad \forall a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B. \quad (18)$$

Then $(A \oplus B, \star)$ is an algebra if and only if $((A, \cdot_A), (B, \cdot_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of (A, \cdot_A) and (B, \cdot_B) . The resulting algebra $(A \oplus B, \star)$ is denoted by $(A \bowtie_{l_A, r_A}^{l_B, r_B} B, \star)$ or simply $(A \bowtie B, \star)$. Further any algebra whose underlying vector space is a linear direct sum of two subalgebras is obtained from a matched pair of these two subalgebras.

Definition 2.12. Let $(A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A = \{\partial_{A,k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ and $(B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B = \{\partial_{B,k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ be two differential algebras. Suppose $l_A, r_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ and $l_B, r_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ are linear maps. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) (A, l_B, r_B, Φ_A) is a representation of (B, \cdot_B, Φ_B) ;
- (b) (B, l_A, r_A, Φ_B) is a representation of (A, \cdot_A, Φ_A) ;
- (c) $((A, \cdot_A), (B, \cdot_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of algebras (A, \cdot_A) and (B, \cdot_B) ,

then $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is called a **matched pair of differential algebras**.

Theorem 2.13. Let $(A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A = \{\partial_{A,k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ and $(B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B = \{\partial_{B,k}\}_{k=1}^m)$ be two differential algebras. Suppose that $((A, \cdot_A), (B, \cdot_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of algebras and $(A \bowtie B, \star)$ is the algebra defined by Eq. (18). Then $(A \bowtie B, \star, \Phi_A + \Phi_B)$ is a differential algebra if and only if $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras. Further any differential algebra whose underlying vector space is a linear direct sum of two subalgebras is obtained from a matched pair of these two subalgebras.

Proof. We only need to prove the first half part since the second half part follows straightforwardly.

(\Leftarrow). Suppose $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras. Then for any $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})(a + b) \star (a' + b') + (a + b) \star (\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})(a' + b') \\ &= (\partial_{A,k}(a) \cdot_A a' + r_B(b') \partial_{A,k}(a) + l_B(\partial_{B,k}(b)) a') + (\partial_{B,k}(b) \cdot_B b' + l_A(\partial_{A,k}(a)) b' + r_A(a') \partial_{B,k}(b)) \\ & \quad + (a \cdot_A \partial_{A,k}(a') + r_B(\partial_{B,k}(b')) a + l_B(b) \partial_{A,k}(a')) + (b \cdot_B \partial_{B,k}(b') + l_A(a) \partial_{B,k}(b') + r_A(\partial_{A,k}(a')) b) \\ & \stackrel{(4)(5)}{=} \partial_{A,k}(a \cdot_A a') + \partial_{A,k}(r_B(b') a) + \partial_{A,k}(l_B(b) a') + \partial_{B,k}(b \cdot_B b') + \partial_{B,k}(l_A(a) b') + \partial_{B,k}(r_A(a') b) \\ &= (\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})((a + b) \star (a' + b')). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi_A + \Phi_B$ is a set of commuting derivations on the algebra $(A \bowtie B, \star)$.

(\Rightarrow). Suppose $\Phi_A + \Phi_B$ is a set of commuting derivations on $(A \bowtie B, \star)$. Then

$$(\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})((a + b) \star (a' + b')) = (\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})(a + b) \star (a' + b') + (a + b) \star (\partial_{A,k} + \partial_{B,k})(a' + b')$$

for any $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Taking $a' = b = 0$ and $a = b' = 0$ in the above equation respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{A,k}(r_B(b') a) &= r_B(b') \partial_{A,k}(a) + r_B(\partial_{B,k}(b')) a, & \partial_{B,k}(l_A(a) b') &= l_A(\partial_{A,k}(a)) b' + l_A(a) \partial_{B,k}(b'), \\ \partial_{A,k}(l_B(b) a') &= l_B(\partial_{B,k}(b)) a' + l_B(b) \partial_{A,k}(a'), & \partial_{B,k}(r_A(a') b) &= r_A(a') \partial_{B,k}(b) + r_A(\partial_{A,k}(a')) b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (A, l_B, r_B, Φ_A) is a representation of (B, \cdot_B, Φ_B) and (B, l_A, r_A, Φ_B) is a representation of (A, \cdot_A, Φ_A) . Hence $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), l_A, r_A, l_B, r_B)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras. \square

3. DIFFERENTIAL ASI BIALGEBRAS AND COHERENT DERIVATIONS ON ASI BIALGEBRAS

We introduce the notions of a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra and a differential antisymmetric infinitesimal (ASI) bialgebra, and give their equivalence in terms of matched pairs of differential algebras. The notion of a coherent derivation on an ASI bialgebra is introduced as an equivalent structure of a differential ASI bialgebra. The set of coherent derivations on an ASI bialgebra forms a Lie algebra, which is the Lie algebra of the Lie group consisting of coherent automorphisms on this ASI bialgebra.

3.1. Double constructions of differential Frobenius algebra. We recall the concept of a double construction of Frobenius algebra ([7]).

Definition 3.1. A bilinear form $\mathfrak{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on an algebra (A, \cdot) is called **invariant** if

$$\mathfrak{B}(a \cdot b, c) = \mathfrak{B}(a, b \cdot c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (19)$$

A **Frobenius algebra** (A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}) is an algebra (A, \cdot) with a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\mathfrak{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$. A Frobenius algebra (A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}) is called **symmetric** if $\mathfrak{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric.

Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra. Suppose that there is an algebra structure \circ on its dual space A^* and an algebra structure \star on the direct sum $A \oplus A^*$ of the underlying vector spaces A and A^* , which contains both (A, \cdot) and (A^*, \circ) as subalgebras. Define a bilinear form on $A \oplus A^*$ by

$$\mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, b + b^*) := \langle a, b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, b \rangle, \quad \forall a, b \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*. \quad (20)$$

If \mathfrak{B}_d is invariant, that is, $(A \oplus A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a symmetric Frobenius algebra, then the Frobenius algebra $(A \oplus A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is called a **double construction of Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot) and (A^*, \circ)** , and denoted by $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$. The notation $A \bowtie A^*$ is justified since the algebra structure on $A \oplus A^*$ comes from a matched pair of algebras (A, \cdot) and (A^*, \circ) .

We extend these notions to the context of differential algebras.

Definition 3.2. A **differential Frobenius algebra** is a quadruple $(A, \cdot, \Phi, \mathfrak{B})$ where $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a differential algebra and (A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}) is a Frobenius algebra. It is called **symmetric** if \mathfrak{B} is symmetric. For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, let $\hat{\partial}_k$ be the adjoint linear operator of ∂_k under the nondegenerate bilinear form \mathfrak{B} :

$$\mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a), b) = \mathfrak{B}(a, \hat{\partial}_k(b)), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (21)$$

We call $\hat{\Phi} := \{\hat{\partial}_k\}_{k=1}^m$ the **adjoint of $\Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m$ with respect to \mathfrak{B}** .

Proposition 3.3. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m, \mathfrak{B})$ be a symmetric differential Frobenius algebra. Let $\hat{\Phi}$ be the adjoint of Φ with respect to \mathfrak{B} . Then $\hat{\Phi}$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) , or equivalently, $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \hat{\Phi}^*)$ is a representation of the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) . Moreover as representations of (A, \cdot, Φ) , (A, L_A, R_A, Φ) and $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \hat{\Phi}^*)$ are equivalent. Conversely let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and $\Psi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m$ be admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) . If the resulting representation $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*)$ of (A, \cdot, Φ) is equivalent to (A, L_A, R_A, Φ) , then there exists a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form \mathfrak{B} on A such that $\hat{\Phi} = \Psi$.

Proof. Suppose $(A, \cdot, \Phi, \mathfrak{B})$ is a symmetric differential Frobenius algebra. Then for any $a, b, c \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a \cdot b), c) - \mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a) \cdot b, c) - \mathfrak{B}(a \cdot \partial_k(b), c) = \mathfrak{B}(a \cdot b, \hat{\partial}_k(c)) - \mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a), b \cdot c) - \mathfrak{B}(a, \partial_k(b) \cdot c) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}(a, b \cdot \hat{\partial}_k(c)) - \mathfrak{B}(a, \hat{\partial}_k(b \cdot c)) - \mathfrak{B}(a, \partial_k(b) \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $b \cdot \hat{\partial}_k(c) = \hat{\partial}_k(b \cdot c) + \partial_k(b) \cdot c$, that is, Eq. (11) holds. Similarly, Eq. (10) holds. Hence $\hat{\Phi}$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \hat{\Phi}^*)$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Define a linear map $\varphi : A \rightarrow A^*$ by

$$\varphi(a)(b) := \langle \varphi(a), b \rangle = \mathfrak{B}(a, b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Obviously, φ is a linear isomorphism. Moreover for any $a, b, c \in A$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(L_A(a)b), c \rangle &= \langle \varphi(a \cdot b), c \rangle = \mathfrak{B}(a \cdot b, c) = \mathfrak{B}(b, c \cdot a) = \langle \varphi(b), c \cdot a \rangle = \langle R_A^*(a)\varphi(b), c \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi(R_A(b)a), c \rangle &= \langle \varphi(a \cdot b), c \rangle = \mathfrak{B}(a \cdot b, c) = \mathfrak{B}(a, b \cdot c) = \langle \varphi(a), b \cdot c \rangle = \langle L_A^*(b)\varphi(a), c \rangle, \\ \langle \varphi(\partial_k(a)), b \rangle &= \mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a), b) = \mathfrak{B}(a, \hat{\partial}_k(b)) = \langle \varphi(a), \hat{\partial}_k(b) \rangle = \langle \hat{\partial}_k^* \varphi(a), b \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (A, L_A, R_A, Φ) and $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \hat{\Phi}^*)$ are equivalent as representations of (A, \cdot, Φ) .

Conversely suppose $\varphi : A \rightarrow A^*$ is the linear isomorphism giving the equivalence between (A, L_A, R_A, Φ) and $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*)$. Define a bilinear form \mathfrak{B} on A by

$$\mathfrak{B}(a, b) := \langle \varphi(a), b \rangle, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Then by a similar argument as above, we show that \mathfrak{B} is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on (A, \cdot) such that $\widehat{\Phi} = \Psi$. \square

Definition 3.4. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Suppose that there is a differential algebra structure $(A^*, \circ, \Psi^* = \{\delta_k^*\}_{k=1}^m)$ on the dual space A^* . A **double construction of differential Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*)** is a double construction $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ of Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot) and (A^*, \circ) such that $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$ is a differential algebra, which is denoted by $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ be a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra associated to $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ and $(A^*, \circ, \Psi^* = \{\delta_k^*\}_{k=1}^m)$.

- (a) The adjoint $\widehat{\Phi + \Psi^*}$ of $\Phi + \Psi^*$ with respect to \mathfrak{B}_d is $\Psi + \Phi^*$. Further $\Psi + \Phi^*$ is admissible to $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$.
- (b) Ψ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) .
- (c) Φ^* is admissible to (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) .

Proof. Let $a, b \in A, a^*, b^* \in A^*$.

- (a). By Eq. (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_d((\partial_k + \delta_k^*)(a + a^*), b + b^*) &= \mathfrak{B}_d(\partial_k(a) + \delta_k^*(a^*), b + b^*) = \langle \partial_k(a), b^* \rangle + \langle \delta_k^*(a^*), b \rangle \\ &= \langle a, \partial_k^*(b^*) \rangle + \langle a^*, \delta_k(b) \rangle = \mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, (\delta_k + \partial_k^*)(b + b^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the adjoint $\widehat{\Phi + \Psi^*}$ of $\Phi + \Psi^*$ with respect to \mathfrak{B}_d is $\Psi + \Phi^*$. By Proposition 3.3, $\Psi + \Phi^*$ is admissible to $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$.

- (b). By (a), $\Psi + \Phi^*$ is admissible to $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$. Then by Eqs. (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_k + \partial_k^*)(a + a^*) \star (b + b^*) &= (a + a^*) \star (\partial_k + \delta_k^*)(b + b^*) + (\delta_k + \partial_k^*)((a + a^*) \star (b + b^*)), \\ (a + a^*) \star (\delta_k + \partial_k^*)(b + b^*) &= (\partial_k + \delta_k^*)(b + b^*) \star (a + a^*) + (\delta_k + \partial_k^*)((a + a^*) \star (b + b^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $a^* = b^* = 0$ in the above equations, we show that Ψ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) .

- (c). Taking $a = b = 0$ in the above equations, we show that Φ^* is admissible to (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) . \square

Theorem 3.6. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be a differential algebra. Suppose that there is a differential algebra structure (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) on A^* . Then there is a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ associated to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) if and only if $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras.

Proof. (\implies). By the assumption, $(A \oplus A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a double construction of Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot) and (A^*, \circ) . By [7, Theorem 2.2.1], $((A, \cdot), (A^*, \circ), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of algebras. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*)$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) and $(A, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*, \Phi)$ is a representation of (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively. Hence $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras.

(\impliedby). If $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras, then $((A, \cdot), (A^*, \circ), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of algebras. Hence by [7, Theorem 2.2.1] again, $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a Frobenius algebra, where \star is defined by Eq. (18). Moreover by Theorem 2.13, $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$ is a differential algebra. Hence $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) . \square

3.2. Differential ASI bialgebras. With the previous preparations, we are ready to introduce the notion of a differential ASI bialgebra as an enrichment of the notion of an ASI bialgebra ([3, 7, 24]).

Recall that a **coalgebra** (A, Δ) is a vector space A with a linear map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the coassociative law:

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta. \quad (22)$$

A coalgebra (A, Δ) is called **cocommutative** if $\Delta = \sigma\Delta$, where $\sigma : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the flip operator defined by $\sigma(a \otimes b) := b \otimes a$ for any $a, b \in A$.

Definition 3.7. ([7]) An **antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebra** or simply an **ASI bialgebra** is a triple (A, \cdot, Δ) consisting of a vector space A and linear maps $\cdot : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that

- (a) (A, \cdot) is an algebra;
- (b) (A, Δ) is a coalgebra;
- (c) the following equations hold:

$$\Delta(a \cdot b) = (R_A(b) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) + (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a))\Delta(b), \quad (23)$$

$$(L_A(a) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes R_A(a))\Delta(b) = \sigma(\text{id} \otimes R_A(b) - L_A(b) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (24)$$

Definition 3.8. ([18]) Let (A, Δ) be a coalgebra. A linear map $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a **coderivation** on (A, Δ) if the following equation holds:

$$\Delta\delta = (\delta \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta)\Delta. \quad (25)$$

Definition 3.9. A **differential coalgebra** is a triple (A, Δ, Ψ) , consisting of a coalgebra (A, Δ) and a finite set of commuting coderivations $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$. A differential coalgebra (A, Δ, Ψ) is called **cocommutative** if (A, Δ) is cocommutative.

Remark 3.10. The notion of a differential coalgebra is the dualization of the notion of a differential algebra, that is, (A, Δ, Ψ) is a differential coalgebra if and only if (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) is a differential algebra. Moreover, (A, Δ) is cocommutative if and only if (A^*, Δ^*) is commutative.

Lemma 3.11. Let $(A, \Delta, \Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential coalgebra and $\Phi = \{\partial_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then Φ^* is admissible to the differential algebra (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(\partial_k \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \delta_k)\Delta + \Delta\partial_k, \quad (26)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \partial_k)\Delta = (\delta_k \otimes \text{id})\Delta + \Delta\partial_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (27)$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.9, Φ^* is admissible to (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) if and only if the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k^*(a^*) \circ b^* &= a^* \circ \delta_k^*(b^*) + \partial_k^*(a^* \circ b^*), \\ a^* \circ \partial_k^*(b^*) &= \delta_k^*(a^*) \circ b^* + \partial_k^*(a^* \circ b^*), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where $a^* \circ b^* = \Delta^*(a^* \otimes b^*)$, for any $a^*, b^* \in A^*$. Rewriting the above equations in terms of the comultiplication, we get Eqs. (26) and (27) respectively. Hence the conclusion holds. \square

Definition 3.12. A **differential antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebra** or simply a **differential ASI bialgebra** is a quintuple $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ satisfying

- (a) (A, \cdot, Δ) is an ASI bialgebra;
- (b) $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a differential algebra;
- (c) $(A, \Delta, \Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ is a differential coalgebra;
- (d) Ψ and Φ^* are admissible to the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) respectively, that is, Eqs. (10), (11), (26) and (27) hold.

A differential ASI bialgebra $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is called **commutative and cocommutative** if (A, \cdot) is a commutative algebra and (A, Δ) is a cocommutative coalgebra.

Theorem 3.13. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be a differential algebra. Suppose that there is a differential algebra structure (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) on A^* . Let $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear dual of the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$, that is, (A, Δ, Ψ) is a differential coalgebra. Then the quintuple $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra if and only if $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras.

Proof. (\implies). If the quintuple $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra, then (A, \cdot, Δ) is an ASI bialgebra, and Ψ and Φ^* are admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively. The former means that $((A, \cdot), (A^*, \circ), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of algebras by [7, Theorem 2.2.3]. The latter means that $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*)$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) and $(A, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*, \Phi)$ is a representation of (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) . Hence $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras.

(\impliedby). If $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras, then $((A, \cdot), (A^*, \circ), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of algebras, and Ψ and Φ^* are admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively. By [7, Theorem 2.2.3] again, (A, \cdot, Δ) is an ASI bialgebra and hence $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra. \square

Combining Theorems 3.6 and 3.13, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.14. *Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be a differential algebra. Suppose that there is a differential algebra structure (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) on A^* . Let $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear dual of the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *There is a double construction of differential Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) .*
- (b) *$((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of differential algebras.*
- (c) *$(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra.*

3.3. Coherent derivations on ASI bialgebras. In this subsection, we consider the case that the set Φ in a differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) contains exactly one derivation.

Definition 3.12 motivates us to give the following notion.

Definition 3.15. A **coherent derivation** on an ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) is a pair (∂, δ) , where ∂ is a derivation on the algebra (A, \cdot) and δ is a coderivation on the coalgebra (A, Δ) satisfying Eqs. (10), (11), (26) and (27).

Immediately we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 3.16. *$(A, \cdot, \Delta, \{\partial\}, \{\delta\})$ is a differential ASI bialgebra if and only if (∂, δ) is a coherent derivation on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) .*

There is another notion of a derivation on an ASI bialgebra, namely, biderivation, introduced in [4].

Definition 3.17. ([4]) A **biderivation** on an ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) is a linear map $\partial : A \rightarrow A$ such that ∂ is both a derivation on the algebra (A, \cdot) and a coderivation on the coalgebra (A, Δ) .

Example 3.18. Every ASI bialgebra has a canonical biderivation. Let (A, \cdot, Δ) be an ASI bialgebra and let $\partial : A \rightarrow A$ be the composite of the comultiplication $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, the flip operator σ , and the multiplication $\cdot : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. Then ∂ is a biderivation on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) . In fact, let $a, b \in A$ and write $\Delta(a) = \sum_i a_i^1 \otimes a_i^2$, $\Delta(b) = \sum_i b_i^1 \otimes b_i^2$. Then we have

$$\partial(a \cdot b) = \cdot \sigma \Delta(a \cdot b) \stackrel{(23)}{=} \cdot \sigma \left(\sum_i a_i^1 \cdot b \otimes a_i^2 + \sum_i b_i^1 \otimes a \cdot b_i^2 \right) = \sum_i a_i^2 \cdot a_i^1 \cdot b + \sum_i a \cdot b_i^2 \cdot b_i^1 = \partial(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \partial(b),$$

that is, ∂ is a derivation on (A, \cdot) . Applying the preceding argument to (A^*, Δ^*) , we obtain ∂^* is a derivation on (A^*, Δ^*) . Thus ∂ is a biderivation on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) .

Proposition 3.19. *Let (A, \cdot, Δ) be an ASI bialgebra. Then ∂ is a biderivation on (A, \cdot, Δ) if and only if $(\partial, -\partial)$ is a coherent derivation on (A, \cdot, Δ) .*

Proof. (\implies). Take $\delta = -\partial$. Since ∂ is a coderivation on (A, Δ) , δ is a coderivation. Moreover Eqs. (10), (11), (26) and (27) hold naturally. Thus $(\partial, -\partial)$ is a coherent derivation on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) .

(\impliedby). Since $-\partial$ is a coderivation on (A, Δ) , ∂ is a coderivation. Thus ∂ is a biderivation on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) . \square

The notions of coherent homomorphisms and coherent isomorphisms of Lie bialgebras were introduced in [10] to interpret the categorical equivalences among Lie bialgebras, Manin triples and matched pairs. Generalizing them to the context of ASI bialgebras, we give the following notion.

Definition 3.20. A **coherent endomorphism** on an ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) is a pair (ϕ, ψ) consisting of an algebra endomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ on the algebra (A, \cdot) and a coalgebra endomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow A$ on the coalgebra (A, Δ) , that is, ψ is a linear map satisfying $(\psi \otimes \psi)\Delta = \Delta\psi$, such that

$$\psi(\phi(a) \cdot b) = a \cdot \psi(b), \quad (28)$$

$$\psi(a \cdot \phi(b)) = \psi(a) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A, \quad (29)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \phi)\Delta = (\psi \otimes \text{id})\Delta\phi, \quad (30)$$

$$(\phi \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \psi)\Delta\phi. \quad (31)$$

If in addition both ϕ and ψ are bijective, then the pair (ϕ, ψ) is called a **coherent automorphism** on the ASI bialgebra (A, \cdot, Δ) .

Proposition 3.21. Suppose (A, \cdot, Δ) is an ASI bialgebra over the real number field \mathbb{R} and $\partial, \delta : A \rightarrow A$ are linear maps. Then (∂, δ) is a coherent derivation on (A, \cdot, Δ) if and only if $(e^{t\partial}, e^{t\delta})$ is a coherent automorphism on (A, \cdot, Δ) for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Obviously $e^{t\partial}$ (resp. $e^{t\delta}$) is an automorphism (resp. coalgebra automorphism) for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if ∂ (resp. δ) is a derivation (resp. coderivation).

(\Leftarrow). Suppose $(e^{t\partial}, e^{t\delta})$ is a coherent automorphism for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$e^{t\delta}(e^{t\partial}(a) \cdot b) = a \cdot e^{t\delta}(b), \quad e^{t\delta}(a \cdot e^{t\partial}(b)) = e^{t\delta}(a) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Differentiating them at $t = 0$, we have

$$\delta(a \cdot b) + \partial(a) \cdot b = a \cdot \delta(b), \quad \delta(a \cdot b) + a \cdot \partial(b) = \delta(a) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

That is, Eqs. (10) and (11) hold. Similarly we can get Eqs. (26)-(27) in terms of the multiplication on A^* .

(\Rightarrow). Suppose (∂, δ) is a coherent derivation on (A, \cdot, Δ) . Then

$$a \cdot \delta(b) = \partial(a) \cdot b + \delta(a \cdot b), \quad \text{or equivalently, } \delta(a \cdot b) = a \cdot \delta(b) - \partial(a) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Using induction on n , we have

$$\delta^n(a \cdot b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-\partial)^{n-i}(a) \cdot \delta^i(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Then for any $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\delta}(a \cdot b) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \frac{\delta^n(a \cdot b)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-\partial)^{n-i}(a) \cdot \delta^i(b) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-i)!i!} (-t\partial)^{n-i}(a) \cdot (t\delta)^i(b) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t\partial)^i(a)}{i!} \cdot \frac{(t\delta)^j(b)}{j!} = e^{-t\partial}(a) \cdot e^{t\delta}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $e^{t\delta}(e^{t\partial}(a) \cdot b) = a \cdot e^{t\delta}(b)$, that is, Eq. (28) holds. Similarly we can obtain Eq. (29) and Eqs. (30)-(31) in terms of the multiplication on A^* . \square

Given a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, we define the **opposite Lie algebra** \mathfrak{g}^{op} as the vector space \mathfrak{g} with the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^{\text{op}}$ given by

$$[a, b]^{\text{op}} := [b, a] = -[a, b], \quad \forall a, b \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular, let V be a vector space and $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be the general linear Lie algebra with the Lie bracket $[S, T] = ST - TS$ for any $S, T \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Then $\mathfrak{gl}(V)^{\text{op}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a Lie algebra with the following Lie bracket

$$[(S_1, S_2), (T_1, T_2)] := (-[S_1, T_1], [S_2, T_2]) = (T_1 S_1 - S_1 T_1, S_2 T_2 - T_2 S_2), \quad \forall S_1, S_2, T_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{gl}(V). \quad (32)$$

Given a Lie group G , let G^{op} be the opposite group of G with the same manifold structure as G . Then G^{op} is a Lie group, called the **opposite Lie group** of G . Let $\text{Lie}(G)$ denote the Lie algebra of the Lie group G . Then $\text{Lie}(G^{\text{op}}) = (\text{Lie}(G))^{\text{op}}$ ([13]). In particular, let V be a vector space and $GL(V)$ be the general linear Lie group. Then the direct product $GL(V)^{\text{op}} \times GL(V)$ is a Lie group with the following multiplication:

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\beta_1\alpha_1, \alpha_2\beta_2), \quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in GL(V). \quad (33)$$

Moreover, we have $\text{Lie}(GL(V)^{\text{op}} \times GL(V)) \cong \text{Lie}(GL(V)^{\text{op}}) \oplus \text{Lie}(GL(V)) = \mathfrak{gl}(V)^{\text{op}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Theorem 3.22. *Let (A, \cdot, Δ) be a real ASI bialgebra. Let G be the set of coherent automorphisms on (A, \cdot, Δ) and \mathfrak{D} be the set of coherent derivations on (A, \cdot, Δ) . Then G is a closed Lie subgroup of $GL(A)^{\text{op}} \times GL(A)$ and \mathfrak{D} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(A)^{\text{op}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(A)$. Moreover the Lie algebra of G is exactly \mathfrak{D} .*

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that \mathfrak{D} is a vector space by

$$\lambda(\partial_1, \delta_1) + \mu(\partial_2, \delta_2) = (\lambda\partial_1 + \mu\delta_1, \lambda\partial_2 + \mu\delta_2), \quad \forall (\partial_1, \delta_1), (\partial_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{D}, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $(\partial_1, \delta_2), (\partial_2, \delta_2) \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $a, b \in A$. It is known that $-\partial_1, \partial_2$ is a derivation on (A, \cdot) and $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ is a coderivation on (A, Δ) . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_1, \delta_2](a \cdot b) &= \delta_1(\delta_2(a \cdot b)) - \delta_2(\delta_1(a \cdot b)) \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} a \cdot \delta_1(\delta_2(b)) - \partial_1(a) \cdot \delta_2(b) - (\partial_2(a) \cdot \delta_1(b) - \partial_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b) \\ &\quad - (a \cdot \delta_2(\delta_1(b)) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \delta_1(b)) + (\partial_1(a) \cdot \delta_2(b) - \partial_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b) \\ &= a \cdot [\delta_1, \delta_2](b) + [\partial_1, \partial_2](a) \cdot b, \\ \Delta[\partial_1, \partial_2] &= \Delta\partial_1\partial_2 - \Delta\partial_2\partial_1 \\ &\stackrel{(26)}{=} (\partial_1 \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_1)(\partial_2 \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta - (\partial_2 \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_2)(\partial_1 \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta \\ &= ([\partial_1, \partial_2] \otimes \text{id})\Delta + (\text{id} \otimes [\delta_1, \delta_2])\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2](a \cdot b) = a \cdot [\delta_1, \delta_2](b) + [\partial_1, \partial_2](a) \cdot b, \quad \Delta[\partial_1, \partial_2] = (\text{id} \otimes [\partial_1, \partial_2])\Delta + ([\delta_1, \delta_2] \otimes \text{id})\Delta.$$

Thus $(-\partial_1, \partial_2), [\delta_1, \delta_2]$ is a coherent derivation on (A, \cdot, Δ) . Then \mathfrak{D} is a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket defined by Eq. (32). Hence \mathfrak{D} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(A)^{\text{op}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(A)$.

Let $(\phi_1, \psi_1), (\phi_2, \psi_2) \in G$ and $a, b \in A$. It is known that $\phi_2\phi_1$ is an algebra automorphism on (A, \cdot) and $\psi_1\psi_2$ is a coalgebra automorphism. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_1\psi_2)((\phi_2\phi_1)(a) \cdot b) &\stackrel{(28)}{=} \psi_1(\phi_1(a) \cdot \psi_2(b)) \stackrel{(28)}{=} a \cdot (\psi_1\psi_2)(b), \\ (\text{id} \otimes \phi_2\phi_1)\Delta &\stackrel{(30)}{=} (\psi_1 \otimes \phi_2)\Delta\phi_1 \stackrel{(30)}{=} (\psi_1\psi_2 \otimes \text{id})\Delta(\phi_2\phi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(\psi_1\psi_2)(a \cdot (\phi_2\phi_1)(b)) = (\psi_1\psi_2)(a) \cdot b, \quad (\phi_2\phi_1 \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \psi_1\psi_2)\Delta(\phi_2\phi_1).$$

Thus $(\phi_2\phi_1, \psi_1\psi_2)$ is a coherent automorphism. Meanwhile, it is straightforward to verify $(\text{id}, \text{id}) \in G$ and $(\phi_1^{-1}, \psi_1^{-1}) \in G$. Then G is a group with the multiplication defined by Eq. (33). Hence G is a subgroup of $GL(A)^{\text{op}} \times GL(A)$.

Moreover, G is closed in $GL(A)^{\text{op}} \times GL(A)$ since A is finite-dimensional and G is a subset of $GL(A)^{\text{op}} \times GL(A)$ determined by Eqs. (28)-(31) and the following equations

$$\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b), \quad (\psi \otimes \psi)\Delta = \Delta\psi, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Hence by [39, Theorem 6.9], G is a closed Lie subgroup of $GL(A)^{\text{op}} \times GL(A)$ and the Lie algebra of G is $\{(\partial, \delta) \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)^{\text{op}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(A) : (e^{t\partial}, e^{t\delta}) \in G, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. By Proposition 3.21, we have $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{D}$. \square

4. COBOUNDARY DIFFERENTIAL ASI BIALGEBRAS

We study the coboundary differential ASI bialgebras, leading to the introduction of the notion of Ψ -admissible associative Yang-Baxter equation (AYBE) in a differential algebra. The antisymmetric solutions of the latter give the former. The notions of \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras and differential dendriform algebras are introduced to provide antisymmetric solutions of Ψ -admissible AYBE in semi-direct product differential algebras and hence give differential ASI bialgebras.

4.1. Coboundary differential ASI bialgebras and admissible AYBE.

Definition 4.1. A differential ASI bialgebra $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is called **coboundary** if Δ is defined by

$$\Delta(a) := (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r), \quad \forall a \in A, \quad (34)$$

for some $r \in A \otimes A$.

Proposition 4.2. ([7, Theorem 2.3.5]) *Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define a linear map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by Eq. (34). Then (A, \cdot, Δ) is an ASI bialgebra if and only if the following equations hold:*

$$(L_A(a) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes R_A(a))(\text{id} \otimes L_A(b) - R_A(b) \otimes \text{id})(r + \sigma(r)) = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - R_A(a) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(r_{12}r_{13} + r_{13}r_{23} - r_{23}r_{12}) = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (36)$$

Here for $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, we denote

$$r_{12}r_{13} = \sum_{i,j} a_i \cdot a_j \otimes b_i \otimes b_j, \quad r_{13}r_{23} = \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes b_i \cdot b_j, \quad r_{23}r_{12} = \sum_{i,j} a_j \otimes a_i \cdot b_j \otimes b_i.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m$ -admissible differential algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define a linear map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ by Eq. (34). Then the following conclusions hold.*

(a) *For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, Eq. (25) holds in which δ is replaced by δ_k if and only if the following equation holds:*

$$(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a))(\text{id} \otimes \partial_k - \delta_k \otimes \text{id})(r) + (R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_k - \partial_k \otimes \text{id})(r) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (37)$$

(b) *For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, Eq. (26) holds if and only if the following equation holds:*

$$(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(\partial_k \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)(r) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (38)$$

(c) *For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, Eq. (27) holds if and only if the following equation holds:*

$$(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \partial_k - \delta_k \otimes \text{id})(r) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (39)$$

Proof. (a). By Eqs. (10)-(11), we have

$$L_A(\delta_k(a)) = L_A(a)\partial_k + \delta_k L_A(a), \quad R_A(\delta_k(a)) = R_A(a)\partial_k + \delta_k R_A(a), \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (40)$$

Then for any $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta\delta_k(a) - (\delta_k \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)\Delta(a) \\ &= [(\text{id} \otimes L_A(\delta_k(a)) - R_A(\delta_k(a)) \otimes \text{id} - \delta_k \otimes L_A(a) + \delta_k R_A(a) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_k L_A(a) + R_A(a) \otimes \delta_k)](r) \\ &\stackrel{(40)}{=} [\text{id} \otimes L_A(a)\partial_k - R_A(a)\partial_k \otimes \text{id} - \delta_k \otimes L_A(a) + R_A(a) \otimes \delta_k](r) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a))(\text{id} \otimes \partial_k - \delta_k \otimes \text{id})(r) + (R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta_k - \partial_k \otimes \text{id})(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (25) holds if and only if Eq. (37) holds.

(b). By Eqs. (11) and (1), we have

$$L_A(a)\delta_k = L_A(\partial_k(a)) + \delta_k L_A(a), \quad \partial_k R_A(a) = R_A(a)\partial_k + R_A(\partial_k(a)), \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (41)$$

Then for any $a \in A$, we have

$$(\partial_k \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_k)\Delta(a) - \Delta\partial_k(a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\partial_k \otimes L_A(a) - \partial_k R_A(a) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_k L_A(a) + R_A(a) \otimes \delta_k - \text{id} \otimes L_A(\partial_k(a)) + R_A(\partial_k(a)) \otimes \text{id}](r) \\
&\stackrel{(41)}{=} [\partial_k \otimes L_A(a) - \text{id} \otimes L_A(a) \delta_k - R_A(a) \partial_k \otimes \text{id} + R_A(a) \otimes \delta_k](r) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - R_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(\partial_k \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (26) holds if and only if Eq. (38) holds.

(c). It follows from a similar argument as of (b). \square

Combining Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m$ -admissible differential algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Define a linear map Δ by Eq. (34). Then $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra if and only if Eqs. (35)-(39) are satisfied.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m, \Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential ASI bialgebra. Let $\delta : A^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$ be the linear dual of the multiplication \cdot on A and $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be the linear dual of Δ . Then $(A^*, \circ, -\delta, \Psi^*, \Phi^*)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra. Further there is a differential ASI bialgebra structure on the direct sum $A \oplus A^*$ of the underlying vector spaces of A and A^* , containing the two differential ASI bialgebra as differential ASI sub-bialgebras.*

Proof. By [7, Remark 2.2.4], $(A^*, \circ, -\delta)$ is an ASI bialgebra. Moreover Ψ is admissible to the differential algebra $(A, -\delta^*, \Phi)$ if and only if Ψ is admissible to the differential algebra (A, δ^*, Φ) . Therefore with the fact that Φ^* is admissible to (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) , we know that $(A^*, \circ, -\delta, \Psi^*, \Phi^*)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra.

Let $r \in A \otimes A^* \subset (A \oplus A^*) \otimes (A \oplus A^*)$ correspond to the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A and $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ be its dual basis. Then $r = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e^i$. Let $(A \bowtie A^*, \star)$ be the algebra structure on $A \oplus A^*$ obtained from the matched pair $(A, A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, R_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ of algebras. Define

$$\Delta_{A \bowtie A^*}(u) = (\text{id} \otimes L_{A \bowtie A^*}(u) - R_{A \bowtie A^*}(u) \otimes \text{id})(r), \quad \forall u \in A \bowtie A^*.$$

Moreover, $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$ is a $(\Psi + \Phi^*)$ -admissible differential algebra by Theorem 3.14 and Lemma 3.5. For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\partial_k + \delta_k^*) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes (\delta_k + \delta_k^*))(r) = ((\partial_k + \delta_k^*) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes (\delta_k + \delta_k^*)) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e^i \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_k(e_i) \otimes e^i - e_i \otimes \delta_k^*(e^i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_k(e_i) \otimes e^i - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e_i \otimes \langle \delta_k^*(e^i), e_j \rangle e^j \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_k(e_i) \otimes e^i - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, \partial_k(e_j) \rangle e_i \otimes e^j = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_k(e_i) \otimes e^i - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_k(e_j) \otimes e^j = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly $((\delta_k + \delta_k^*) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes (\partial_k + \delta_k^*))(r) = 0$. Hence Eqs. (37)-(39) hold. By [7, Theorem 2.3.6], we show that r satisfies Eqs. (35) and (36). Therefore $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Delta_{A \bowtie A^*}, \Phi + \Psi^*, \Psi + \Phi^*)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra by Corollary 4.4. Obviously it contains $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ and $(A^*, \circ, -\delta, \Psi^*, \Phi^*)$ as differential ASI sub-bialgebras. \square

We have the following conclusion as a consequence of Corollary 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m$ -admissible differential algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Then the linear map Δ defined by Eq. (34) makes $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ be a differential ASI bialgebra if Eq. (35) and the following equations hold (for any $i = 1, \dots, m$):*

$$r_{12}r_{13} + r_{13}r_{23} - r_{23}r_{12} = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$(\partial_k \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)(r) = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$(\delta_k \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \partial_k)(r) = 0. \quad (44)$$

Definition 4.7. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Suppose $r \in A \otimes A$ and $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ is a set of commuting linear maps. Then Eq. (42) with conditions given by Eqs. (43) and (44) is called **Ψ -admissible associative Yang-Baxter equation** in (A, \cdot, Φ) or simply **Ψ -admissible AYBE** in (A, \cdot, Φ) .

Remark 4.8. Note that Eq. (42) is exactly the associative Yang-Baxter equation (AYBE) in an associative algebra. Also note that if r is antisymmetric (i.e. $r = -\sigma(r)$), then Eq. (35) holds naturally, and Eq. (43) holds if and only if Eq. (44) holds.

In terms of Ψ -admissible AYBE, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.9. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^m$ -admissible differential algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. If r is an antisymmetric solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) , then $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a differential ASI bialgebra, where the linear map Δ is defined by Eq. (34).

For a vector space A , through the isomorphism $A \otimes A \cong \text{Hom}(A^*, A)$, any $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A$ can be identified as a map from A^* to A , which we denote by r^\sharp , explicitly

$$r^\sharp : A^* \rightarrow A, \quad a^* \mapsto \sum_i \langle a^*, a_i \rangle b_i, \quad \forall a^* \in A^*. \quad (45)$$

Theorem 4.10. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric. Let $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then r is a solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if r^\sharp satisfies the following equations:

$$r^\sharp(a^*) \cdot r^\sharp(b^*) = r^\sharp(R_A^*(r^\sharp(a^*))b^* + L_A^*(r^\sharp(b^*))a^*), \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*, \quad (46)$$

$$\partial_k r^\sharp = r^\sharp \delta_k^*, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (47)$$

Proof. By [7, Proposition 2.4.7], r is a solution of AYBE in (A, \cdot) if and only if Eq. (46) holds. Moreover, writing $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, then for any $a^* \in A^*$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$r^\sharp(\delta_k^*(a^*)) = \sum_i \langle \delta_k^*(a^*), a_i \rangle b_i = \sum_i \langle a^*, \delta_k(a_i) \rangle b_i, \quad \partial_k(r^\sharp(a^*)) = \sum_i \langle a^*, a_i \rangle \partial_k(b_i).$$

So $\partial_k r^\sharp = r^\sharp \delta_k^*$ if and only if Eq. (44) holds. \square

Now let $(A, \cdot, \Phi, \mathfrak{B})$ be a symmetric differential Frobenius algebra. Then under the natural bijection $\text{Hom}(A \otimes A, \mathbb{F}) \cong \text{Hom}(A, A^*)$, the bilinear form \mathfrak{B} corresponds to the linear map (see the proof of Proposition 3.3)

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow A^*, \quad a \mapsto \varphi(a), \quad \text{where } \langle \varphi(a), b \rangle := \mathfrak{B}(a, b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

For any $r \in A \otimes A$, define a linear map $P_r : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$P_r : A \rightarrow A, \quad a \mapsto r^\sharp(\varphi(a)), \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (48)$$

Theorem 4.11. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m, \mathfrak{B})$ be a symmetric differential Frobenius algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric. Then r is a solution of $\hat{\Phi}$ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if P_r satisfies the following equations:

$$P_r(a) \cdot P_r(b) = P_r(a \cdot P_r(b) + P_r(a) \cdot b), \quad \forall a, b \in A, \quad (49)$$

$$\partial_k P_r = P_r \partial_k, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (50)$$

Moreover, in this case, $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \hat{\Phi})$ is a differential ASI bialgebra, where Δ is defined by Eq. (34).

Proof. By [8, Corollary 3.17], r is a solution of AYBE in the algebra (A, \cdot) if and only if P_r satisfies Eq. (49). Moreover, set $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$. For any $a \in A$, we have

$$\partial_k P_r(a) = \partial_k r^\sharp(\varphi(a)),$$

$$P_r \partial_k(a) = r^\sharp(\varphi(\partial_k(a))) = \sum_i \mathfrak{B}(\partial_k(a), a_i) b_i = \sum_i \mathfrak{B}(a, \hat{\partial}_k(a_i)) b_i = \sum_i \langle \varphi(a), \hat{\partial}_k(a_i) \rangle b_i = r^\sharp \hat{\partial}_k^*(\varphi(a)).$$

Note φ is a linear isomorphism. Thus $\partial_k r^\sharp = r^\sharp \hat{\partial}_k^*$ if and only if $\partial_k P_r = P_r \partial_k$. Hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.10, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 4.9. \square

4.2. \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras. Theorem 4.10 leads us to give the following notion.

Definition 4.12. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let (V, l, r) be a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) and $\Omega = \{\alpha_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow A$ is called a **weak \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, l, r) and Ω** if T satisfies the following equations:

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T(l(T(u))v + r(T(v))u), \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad (51)$$

$$\partial_k T = T \alpha_k, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (52)$$

In addition, if (V, l, r, Ω) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) , then T is called an **\mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, l, r, Ω)** .

Note ([7]) that for a representation (V, l, r) of an algebra (A, \cdot) , a linear map $T : V \rightarrow A$ satisfying Eq. (51) is called an **\mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot) associated to (V, l, r)** .

Example 4.13. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be a differential algebra. Then the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to $(A, L_A, 0, \Phi)$ or $(A, 0, R_A, \Phi)$.

In terms of \mathcal{O} -operators, Theorem 4.10 is rewritten as follows.

Corollary 4.14. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric and $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ be a set of commuting linear maps. Then r is a solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if r^\sharp is a weak \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*) and Ψ^* . If in addition, (A, \cdot, Φ) is Ψ -admissible, then r is a solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) if and only if r^\sharp is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the representation $(A^*, R_A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*)$.

Recall that \mathcal{O} -operators on algebras give antisymmetric solutions of AYBE in semi-direct product algebras.

Proposition 4.15. ([8, Corollary 3.10]) Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra and (V, l, r) be a representation. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be a linear map which is identified as an element in $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*) \otimes (A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*)$ (through $\text{Hom}(V, A) \cong A \otimes V^* \subset (A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*) \otimes (A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*)$). Then $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of AYBE in the algebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot)$ if and only if T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot) associated to (V, l, r) .

We next generalize the above construction to the context of differential algebras, showing that \mathcal{O} -operators on differential algebras give antisymmetric solutions of admissible AYBE in semi-direct product differential algebras and hence give rise to differential ASI bialgebras.

Proposition 4.16. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and (V, l, r) be a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) . Let $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$, $\Omega = \{\alpha_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ and $\Pi = \{\beta_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be sets of commuting linear maps. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) There is a differential algebra $(A \ltimes_{l, r} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$ such that $\Psi + \Pi$ is admissible to $(A \ltimes_{l, r} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$.
- (b) There is a differential algebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \Pi^*)$ such that $\Psi + \Omega^*$ is admissible to $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \Pi^*)$.
- (c) The following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) (V, l, r, Ω) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) ;
 - (ii) (A, \cdot, Φ) is Ψ -admissible;
 - (iii) Π is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) ;

(iv) for any $a \in A, v \in V$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, the following equations hold:

$$l(\delta_k(a))v = l(a)\alpha_k(v) + \beta_k(l(a)v), \quad (53)$$

$$r(\delta_k(a))v = r(a)\alpha_k(v) + \beta_k(r(a)v). \quad (54)$$

Proof. (a) \iff (c). By Proposition 2.6, $(A \ltimes_{l,r} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$ is a differential algebra if and only if (V, l, r, Ω) is a representation of the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) . Let $a, b \in A, u, v \in V$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((\delta_k + \beta_k)(a + u)) \cdot (b + v) &= \delta_k(a) \cdot b + r(b)\beta_k(u) + l(\delta_k(a))v, \\ (a + u) \cdot ((\partial_k + \alpha_k)(b + v)) &= a \cdot \partial_k(b) + l(a)\alpha_k(v) + r(\partial_k(b))u, \\ (\delta_k + \beta_k)((a + u) \cdot (b + v)) &= \delta_k(a \cdot b) + \beta_k(l(a)v) + \beta_k(r(b)u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Eq. (10) holds (where δ_k is replaced by $\delta_k + \beta_k$, ∂_k by $\partial_k + \alpha_k$, a by $a + u$ and b by $b + v$) if and only if Eq. (10) (corresponding to $u = v = 0$), Eq. (8), where a is replaced by b and v by u , (corresponding to $a = v = 0$) and Eq. (53) (corresponding to $b = u = 0$) hold. Similarly, Eq. (11) holds (where δ_k is replaced by $\delta_k + \beta_k$, ∂_k by $\partial_k + \alpha_k$, a by $a + u$ and b by $b + v$) if and only if Eq. (11), Eq. (9) and Eq. (54) hold.

(b) \iff (c). In Item (a), take $V = V^*, l = r^*, r = l^*, \Pi = \Omega^*, \Omega = \Pi^*$. Then from the above equivalence between Condition (a) and Condition (c), we show that Condition (b) holds if and only if the conditions (i)-(iii) in Condition (c) as well as the following equations hold (for any $a \in A, v^* \in V^*, k = 1, \dots, m$):

$$r^*(\delta_k(a))v^* = r^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) + \alpha_k^*(r^*(a)v^*), \quad (55)$$

$$l^*(\delta_k(a))v^* = l^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) + \alpha_k^*(l^*(a)v^*). \quad (56)$$

For any $a \in A, v \in V, v^* \in V^*$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r^*(\delta_k(a))v^* - r^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) - \alpha_k^*(r^*(a)v^*), v \rangle &= \langle v^*, r(\delta_k(a))v - \beta_k(r(a)v) - r(a)\alpha_k(v) \rangle, \\ \langle l^*(\delta_k(a))v^* - l^*(a)\beta_k^*(v^*) - \alpha_k^*(l^*(a)v^*), v \rangle &= \langle v^*, l(\delta_k(a))v - \beta_k(l(a)v) - l(a)\alpha_k(v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (55) holds if and only if Eq. (54) holds, and Eq. (56) holds if and only if Eq. (53) holds. Therefore Condition (b) holds if and only if Condition (c) holds. \square

Theorem 4.17. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Suppose that $\Pi = \{\beta_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) and hence (V^*, r^*, l^*, Π^*) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Let $\Psi = \{\delta_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ and $\Omega = \{\alpha_k : V \rightarrow V\}_{k=1}^m$ be sets of commuting linear maps. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be a linear map.

- The element $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(\Psi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible AYBE in the differential algebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \Pi^*)$ if and only if T is a weak \mathcal{O} -operator associated to (V, l, r) and Ω , and $T\beta_k = \delta_k T$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$.
- Assume that (V, l, r, Ω) is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . If T is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to (V, l, r, Ω) and $T\beta_k = \delta_k T$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$, then $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(\Psi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible AYBE in the differential algebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \Pi^*)$. If in addition, (A, \cdot, Φ) is Ψ -admissible and Eqs. (53)-(54) are satisfied, then the differential algebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \Pi^*)$ is $(\Psi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible. Therefore in this case, there is a differential ASI bialgebra $(A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi + \Pi^*, \Psi + \Omega^*)$, where the linear map Δ is defined by Eq. (34) with $r = T - \sigma(T)$.

Proof. (a). By Proposition 4.15, r satisfies Eq. (42) if and only if Eq. (51) holds. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V and $\{v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n\}$ be its dual basis. Then $T = \sum_{i=1}^n T(v_i) \otimes v^i \in (A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*) \otimes (A \ltimes_{r^*, l^*} V^*)$ and $r = T - \sigma(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n (T(v_i) \otimes v^i - v^i \otimes T(v_i))$. Note that for any $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$((\partial_k + \beta_k^*) \otimes \text{id})(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_k T(v_i) \otimes v^i - \beta_k^*(v^i) \otimes T(v_i)),$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes (\delta_k + \alpha_k^*))(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n (T(v_i) \otimes \alpha_k^*(v^i) - v^i \otimes \delta_k T(v_i)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_k^*(v^i) \otimes T(v_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \langle \beta_k^*(v^i), v_j \rangle v^j \otimes T(v_i) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v^i, \beta_k(v_j) \rangle T(v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \langle \beta_k(v_i), v^j \rangle v_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes T \beta_k(v_i). \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, $\sum_{i=1}^n T(v_i) \otimes \alpha_k^*(v^i) = \sum_{i=1}^n T \alpha_k(v_i) \otimes v^i$. Therefore $((\partial_k + \beta_k^*) \otimes \text{id})(r) = (\text{id} \otimes (\delta_k + \alpha_k^*))(r)$ if and only if $\partial_k T = T \alpha_k$ and $\delta_k T = T \beta_k$ for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. Hence Conclusion (a) holds.

(b). It follows from Item (a), Proposition 4.16 and Corollary 4.9. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and $(V, l, r, \Omega = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{F}$. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, l, r, Ω) . Then $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Omega^*)$ -admissible AYBE in the $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Omega^*)$ -admissible differential algebra $(A \bowtie_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^m)$. Therefore there is a differential ASI bialgebra $(A \bowtie_{r^*, l^*} V^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi + \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^m, \{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Omega^*)$, where the linear map Δ is defined by Eq. (34) with $r = T - \sigma(T)$.*

Proof. Take $\Psi = \{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m$ and $\Pi = \{-\alpha_k + \theta_k \text{id}_V\}_{k=1}^m$ in Theorem 4.17 (b). By Example 2.10, Π is admissible to the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, l, r) and (A, \cdot, Φ) is Ψ -admissible. Obviously, we have

$$T(-\alpha_k + \theta_k \text{id}_V) = (-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A)T, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m,$$

for T being an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, l, r, Ω) . Moreover, Eqs. (53)-(54) hold, where $\delta_k = -\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A, \beta_k = -\alpha_k + \theta_k \text{id}_V$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n$. Hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.17 (b). \square

Corollary 4.19. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A and $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ be the dual basis. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{F}$. Then $r = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \otimes e^i - e^i \otimes e_i)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$ -admissible AYBE in the $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$ -admissible differential algebra $(A \bowtie_{R_A^*, 0} A^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\}_{k=1}^m)$ or $(A \bowtie_{0, L_A^*} A^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\}_{k=1}^m)$. Hence there are differential ASI bialgebras $(A \bowtie_{R_A^*, 0} A^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\}_{k=1}^m, \{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$ and $(A \bowtie_{0, L_A^*} A^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\}_{k=1}^m, \{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$, where the linear map Δ is defined by Eq. (34) with the above r .*

Proof. By Example 4.13, the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to $(A, L_A, 0, \Phi)$ or $(A, 0, R_A, \Phi)$. Note that $\text{id} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e^i$. Hence the conclusion follows from Corollary 4.18. \square

4.3. Differential dendriform algebras. We recall the notion of dendriform algebras.

Definition 4.20. ([32]) Let A be a vector space with two bilinear multiplications denoted by $>$ and $<$. The triple $(A, >, <)$ is called a **dendriform algebra** if

$$(a < b) < c = a < (b < c + b > c), \quad (a > b) < c = a > (b < c), \quad (a < b + a > b) > c = a > (b > c), \quad (57)$$

for any $a, b, c \in A$.

Let $(A, >, <)$ be a dendriform algebra. For any $a \in A$, let $L_{>}(a)$ and $R_{<}(a)$ denote the left multiplication operator on $(A, >)$ and the right multiplication operator on $(A, <)$ respectively. Define two linear maps

$$L_{>}, R_{<} : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A), \quad a \mapsto L_{>}(a), \quad a \mapsto R_{<}(a), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Proposition 4.21. ([7, 32]) *Let $(A, >, <)$ be a dendriform algebra. Then the multiplication*

$$a \cdot b := a > b + a < b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (58)$$

*defines an algebra (A, \cdot) , called the **associated algebra** of $(A, >, <)$. Moreover $(A, L_>, R_<)$ is a representation of the associated algebra (A, \cdot) and the identity map id is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot) associated to $(A, L_>, R_<)$.*

Definition 4.22. Let $(A, >, <)$ be a dendriform algebra. A linear operator ∂ on A is called a **derivation** on the dendriform algebra $(A, >, <)$ if ∂ satisfies

$$\partial(a > b) = \partial(a) > b + a > \partial(b), \quad (59)$$

$$\partial(a < b) = \partial(a) < b + a < \partial(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (60)$$

$(A, >, <, \Phi)$ is called a **differential dendriform algebra** if $(A, >, <)$ is a dendriform algebra and $\Phi = \{\partial_k : A \rightarrow A\}_{k=1}^m$ is a finite set of commuting derivations on $(A, >, <)$.

Proposition 4.23. *Let $(A, >, <, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential dendriform algebra. Then with the multiplication \cdot defined by Eq. (58), (A, \cdot, Φ) is a differential algebra, called the **associated differential algebra** of $(A, >, <, \Phi)$. Moreover, $(A, L_>, R_<, \Phi)$ is a representation of the associated differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) and the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to $(A, L_>, R_<, \Phi)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward that ∂_k is a derivation on (A, \cdot) for any $k = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, Eqs. (4) and (5) hold, where $l = L_>$, $r = R_<$, if and only if Eqs. (59) and (60) hold respectively. That is, $(A, L_>, R_<, \Phi)$ is a representation of the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) and the last conclusion follows immediately. \square

Proposition 4.24. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential algebra and $(V, l, r, \Omega = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, l, r, Ω) . Then there exists a differential dendriform algebra structure $(V, >, <, \Omega)$ on V , where $>, <$ are respectively defined by*

$$u > v := l(T(u))v \quad \text{and} \quad u < v := r(T(v))u, \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (61)$$

Proof. By [9, Theorem 2.7], $(V, >, <)$ is a dendriform algebra. Moreover, for any $u, v \in V$, we have

$$\alpha_k(u > v) = \alpha_k(l(T(u))v) \stackrel{(4)}{=} l(\partial_k(T(u)))v + l(T(u))\alpha_k(v) \stackrel{(61)}{=} \alpha_k(u) > v + u > \alpha_k(v).$$

Hence Eq. (59) holds. Similarly, Eq. (60) holds. Then the conclusion holds. \square

At the end of this section, we illustrate a construction of antisymmetric solutions of admissible AYBE in differential algebras and thus differential ASI bialgebras from differential dendriform algebras.

Proposition 4.25. *Let $(A, >, <, \Phi = \{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be a differential dendriform algebra. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be the associated differential algebra, where \cdot is defined by Eq. (58). Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A and $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ be the dual basis. Let $\{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \mathbb{F}$. Then $r = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \otimes e^i - e^i \otimes e_i)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$ -admissible AYBE in the $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$ -admissible differential algebra $(A \bowtie_{R_<, L_>} A^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\})$. Therefore there is a differential ASI bialgebra $(A \bowtie_{R_<, L_>} A^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi + \{-\partial_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{A^*}\}_{k=1}^m, \{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^m + \Phi^*)$, where the linear map Δ is defined by Eq. (34) with the above r .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.23, (A, \cdot, Φ) is a differential algebra and the identity map id is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to $(A, L_>, R_<, \Phi)$. Note that $\text{id} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e^i$. Then the conclusion follows from Corollary 4.18. \square

Remark 4.26. Corollary 4.19 is a special case of Proposition 4.25, that is, the former corresponds to the trivial differential dendriform algebra structure $(A, >, <, \Phi)$ on a differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) given by $> = \cdot, < = 0$ or $> = 0, < = \cdot$.

5. POISSON BIALGEBRAS VIA COMMUTATIVE AND COMMUTATIVE DIFFERENTIAL ASI BIALGEBRAS

We generalize the construction of Poisson algebras from commutative algebras with a pair of commuting derivations to the context of bialgebras, that is, we construct Poisson bialgebras introduced in [36] from commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras. We establish the explicit relationships between them, as well as the equivalent interpretation in terms of the corresponding double constructions (Manin triples) and matched pairs. For the coboundary cases, the relationships between the involved structures on commutative differential algebras and Poisson algebras, such as admissible AYBE and Poisson Yang-Baxter equation (PYBE), \mathcal{O} -operators on the two structures, and differential Zinbiel (commutative dendriform) algebras and pre-Poisson algebras, are also given. In particular, we give a construction of Poisson bialgebras from differential Zinbiel algebras.

In this section, we always assume that (A, \cdot) is a commutative algebra. In this case, we use (V, μ) to denote a representation (V, l, r) of (A, \cdot) , where $\mu = l = r$. In particular, (A, L_A) is a representation of (A, \cdot) , and (V^*, μ^*) is again a representation of (A, \cdot) if (V, μ) is a representation. Further, we use $(A \ltimes_{\mu} V, \cdot)$ to denote the semi-direct product of (A, \cdot) by (V, μ) .

Definition 5.1. A **Poisson algebra** is a triple $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ where $(A, [\ , \])$ is a Lie algebra and (A, \cdot) is a commutative algebra satisfying the following equation:

$$[a, b \cdot c] = [a, b] \cdot c + b \cdot [a, c], \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (62)$$

The following result is known (cf. [11]).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Then $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ is a Poisson algebra, called the **induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ)** , where $[\ , \]$ is defined by*

$$[a, b] := \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (63)$$

5.1. Poisson algebras via commutative differential algebras: representations and matched pairs.

Definition 5.3. ([36]) Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra, V be a vector space and $\rho, \mu : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be two linear maps. Then (V, ρ, μ) is called a **representation** of the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ if (V, ρ) is a representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [\ , \])$ and (V, μ) is a representation of the algebra (A, \cdot) such that the following equations hold:

$$\rho(a \cdot b) = \mu(b)\rho(a) + \mu(a)\rho(b), \quad (64)$$

$$\mu([a, b]) = \rho(a)\mu(b) - \mu(b)\rho(a), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (65)$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose that $(V, \mu, \Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) . Define $\rho_{\mu} : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ by*

$$\rho_{\mu}(a) := \mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2 - \mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1, \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (66)$$

*Then (V, ρ_{μ}, μ) is a representation of the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$, called the **induced representation of $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω)** .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$. Note that

$$\rho_{\mu}(a) = \mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2 - \mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1 \stackrel{(4)}{=} (\alpha_1\mu(a) - \mu(a)\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - (\alpha_2\mu(a) - \mu(a)\alpha_2)\alpha_1 = \alpha_1\mu(a)\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\mu(a)\alpha_1.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mu}(a)\rho_{\mu}(b) &= \alpha_1\mu(a)\alpha_2\alpha_1\mu(b)\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\mu(a)\alpha_2\alpha_2\mu(b)\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\mu(a)\alpha_1\alpha_1\mu(b)\alpha_2 + \alpha_2\mu(a)\alpha_1\alpha_2\mu(b)\alpha_1 \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \alpha_1(\alpha_2\mu(a) - \mu(\partial_2(a)))(\mu(\partial_1(b)) + \mu(b)\alpha_1)\alpha_2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_2\mu(a) - \mu(\partial_2(a)))(\mu(\partial_2(b)) + \mu(b)\alpha_2)\alpha_1 \\ &\quad - \alpha_2(\alpha_1\mu(a) - \mu(\partial_1(a)))(\mu(\partial_1(b)) + \mu(b)\alpha_1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_2(\alpha_1\mu(a) - \mu(\partial_1(a)))(\mu(\partial_2(b)) + \mu(b)\alpha_2)\alpha_1 \\ &= \alpha_1\mu(\partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_2(b))\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\mu(\partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b))\alpha_2 + \alpha_2\mu(\partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_1(b))\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\mu(\partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b))\alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$[\rho_\mu(a), \rho_\mu(b)] = \rho_\mu(a)\rho_\mu(b) - \rho_\mu(b)\rho_\mu(a) = \alpha_1\mu([a, b])\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\mu([a, b])\alpha_1 = \rho_\mu([a, b]).$$

That is, (V, ρ_μ) is a representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [,], \cdot)$. Similarly, we show that

$$\rho_\mu(a)\mu(b) - \mu(b)\rho_\mu(a) = \mu([a, b]), \quad \mu(b)\rho_\mu(a) + \mu(a)\rho_\mu(b) = \rho_\mu(a \cdot b).$$

Therefore (V, ρ_μ, μ) is a representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$. \square

Example 5.5. Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra and $(A, [,], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) . The induced representation (A, ρ_{L_A}, L_A) of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to the representation (A, L_A, Φ) of (A, \cdot, Φ) is exactly the representation (A, ad_A, L_A) of $(A, [,], \cdot)$, where $\text{ad}_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ is the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra $(A, [,], \cdot)$ defined by $\text{ad}_A(a)(b) = [a, b]$ for any $a, b \in A$.

Lemma 5.6. ([30, Proposition 2.5]) *Let $(A, [,], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra and (V, ρ, μ) be a representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$. Then $(V^*, -\rho^*, \mu^*)$ is a representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$.*

Proposition 5.7. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Let $(A, [,], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is $[,]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose that $(V, \mu, \Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) and $\Pi = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) on (V, μ) . Then the induced representation $(V^*, \rho_{\mu^*}, \mu^*)$ of the Poisson algebra $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to (V^*, μ^*, Π^*) is exactly the representation $(V^*, -\rho_{\mu^*}^*, \mu^*)$ of $(A, [,], \cdot)$, where (V, ρ_μ, μ) is the induced representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω) , if and only if the following equation holds*

$$\beta_2(\mu(\partial_1(a))v) - \beta_1(\mu(\partial_2(a))v) + \mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2(v) - \mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1(v) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V. \quad (67)$$

In particular, when taking $(V, \mu, \Omega) = (A, L_A, \Phi)$, the induced representation $(A^, \rho_{L_A^*}, L_A^*)$ of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to (A^*, L_A^*, Π^*) is exactly the representation $(A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*)$ of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ if and only if the following equation holds:*

$$\beta_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b = \beta_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (68)$$

Proof. For any $a \in A, v \in V, v^* \in V^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_{\mu^*}(a)v^*, v \rangle &= \langle \mu^*(\partial_1(a))\beta_2^*(v^*) - \mu^*(\partial_2(a))\beta_1^*(v^*), v \rangle = \langle v^*, \beta_2(\mu(\partial_1(a))v) - \beta_1(\mu(\partial_2(a))v) \rangle, \\ \langle -\rho_{\mu^*}^*(a)v^*, v \rangle &= \langle v^*, -\rho_\mu(a)v \rangle = \langle v^*, -\mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2(v) + \mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1(v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\rho_{\mu^*} = -\rho_{\mu^*}^*$ if and only if Eq. (67) holds. For the particular case, we have

$$\beta_2(\partial_1(a) \cdot b) - \beta_1(\partial_2(a) \cdot b) + \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \beta_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b - \beta_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Note the induced representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to (A, L_A, Φ) is (A, ad_A, L_A) . We conclude $\rho_{L_A^*} = -\text{ad}_A^*$ if and only if Eq. (68) holds. \square

Recall that a **matched pair of Lie algebras** is a quadruple $((A, [,]_A), (B, [,]_B), \rho_A, \rho_B)$, where $(A, [,]_A)$ and $(B, [,]_B)$ are two Lie algebras, (B, ρ_A) and (A, ρ_B) are representations of $(A, [,]_A)$ and $(B, [,]_B)$ respectively such that the following compatible conditions hold:

$$\rho_A(a)[b, b']_B - [\rho_A(a)b, b']_B - [b, \rho_A(a)b']_B + \rho_A(\rho_B(b)a)b' - \rho_A(\rho_B(b')a)b = 0, \quad (69)$$

$$\rho_B(b)[a, a']_A - [\rho_B(b)a, a']_A - [a, \rho_B(b)a']_A + \rho_B(\rho_A(a)b)a' - \rho_B(\rho_A(a')b)a = 0, \quad (70)$$

for any $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Proposition 5.8. ([36, Theorem 1]) *Let $(A, [,]_A, \cdot_A)$ and $(B, [,]_B, \cdot_B)$ be two Poisson algebras. Let $\rho_A, \mu_A : A \rightarrow \text{End}(B)$ and $\rho_B, \mu_B : B \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ be four linear maps such that $((A, [,]_A), (B, [,]_B), \rho_A, \rho_B)$ is a matched pair of Lie algebras and $((A, \cdot_A), (B, \cdot_B), \mu_A, \mu_B)$ is a matched pair of commutative algebras.*

If in addition, (B, ρ_A, μ_A) and (A, ρ_B, μ_B) are representations of the Poisson algebras $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A)$ and $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B)$ respectively, and $\rho_A, \rho_B, \mu_A, \mu_B$ are compatible in the following sense:

$$\rho_B(b)(a \cdot_A a') = (\rho_B(b)a) \cdot_A a' + a \cdot_A (\rho_B(b)a') - \mu_B(\rho_A(a)b)a' - \mu_B(\rho_A(a')b)a, \quad (71)$$

$$[a, \mu_B(b)a']_A - \rho_B(\mu_A(a')b)a = \mu_B(\rho_A(a)b)a' - (\rho_B(b)a) \cdot_A a' + \mu_B(b)([a, a']_A), \quad (72)$$

$$\rho_A(a)(b \cdot_B b') = (\rho_A(a)b) \cdot_B b' + b \cdot_B (\rho_A(a)b') - \mu_A(\rho_B(b)a)b' - \mu_A(\rho_B(b')a)b, \quad (73)$$

$$[b, \mu_A(a)b']_B - \rho_A(\mu_B(b')a)b = \mu_A(\rho_B(b)a)b' - (\rho_A(a)b) \cdot_B b' + \mu_A(a)([b, b']_B), \quad (74)$$

for any $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$, then there exists a Poisson algebra structure $(A \oplus B, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ on the vector space $A \oplus B$ given by

$$[a + b, a' + b'] := ([a, a']_A + \rho_B(b)a' - \rho_B(b')a) + ([b, b']_B + \rho_A(a)b' - \rho_A(a')b), \quad (75)$$

$$(a + b) \cdot (a' + b') := (a \cdot_A a' + \mu_B(b)a' + \mu_B(b')a) + (b \cdot_B b' + \mu_A(a)b' + \mu_A(a')b). \quad (76)$$

We denote this Poisson algebra by $(A \bowtie_{\rho_A, \mu_A}^{\rho_B, \mu_B} B, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ or simply $(A \bowtie B, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$. Moreover, $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B), \rho_A, \mu_A, \rho_B, \mu_B)$ satisfying the above conditions is called a **matched pair of Poisson algebras**. Conversely, every Poisson algebra whose underlying vector space is a linear direct sum of two subalgebras is obtained from a matched pair of these two subalgebras.

The following result is the case that $B = V$ which is a vector space equipped with the zero multiplication.

Lemma 5.9. ([36]) Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra and (V, ρ, μ) be a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$. Define two bilinear multiplications still denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and \cdot on $A \oplus V$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} [(a + u), (b + v)] &:= [a, b] + (\rho(a)v - \rho(b)u), \\ (a + u) \cdot (b + v) &:= a \cdot b + (\mu(a)v + \mu(b)u), \quad \forall a, b \in A, \forall u, v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(A \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ is a Poisson algebra, which is denoted by $(A \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ and called the **semi-direct product** of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ by its representation (V, ρ, μ) .

Proposition 5.10. Let $(A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A = \{\partial_{A,1}, \partial_{A,2}\}), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B = \{\partial_{B,1}, \partial_{B,2}\}), \mu_A, \mu_B$ be a matched pair of commutative differential algebras. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A)$ and $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B)$ be the induced Poisson algebras of (A, \cdot_A, Φ_A) and (B, \cdot_B, Φ_B) respectively, that is, both $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_B$ are defined by Eq. (63). Then $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B), \rho_{\mu_A}, \mu_A, \rho_{\mu_B}, \mu_B)$ is a matched pair of Poisson algebras, called the **induced matched pair of Poisson algebras with respect to** $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), \mu_A, \mu_B)$, where (B, ρ_{μ_A}, μ_A) is the induced representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A)$ with respect to (B, μ_A, Φ_B) and (A, ρ_{μ_B}, μ_B) is the induced representation of $(B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B)$ with respect to (A, μ_B, Φ_A) . Moreover, the Poisson algebra $(A \bowtie B, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ obtained in Proposition 5.8 by the matched pair $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B), \rho_{\mu_A}, \mu_A, \rho_{\mu_B}, \mu_B)$ of Poisson algebras is exactly the induced Poisson algebra of the commutative differential algebra $(A \bowtie B, \star, \Phi_A + \Phi_B)$ obtained in Theorem 2.13 by the matched pair $((A, \cdot_A, \Phi_A), (B, \cdot_B, \Phi_B), \mu_A, \mu_B)$ of commutative differential algebras.

Proof. Obviously $((A, \cdot_A), (B, \cdot_B), \mu_A, \mu_B)$ is a matched pair of commutative algebras. In order to show that $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot_A), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B), \rho_A, \mu_A, \rho_B, \mu_B)$ is a matched pair of Poisson algebras, we need to show that Eqs. (69)-(74) hold. As an example, we give an explicit proof that Eq. (72) holds and we omit the proofs of the other cases since they are similar. For any $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_B(\rho_A(a)b)a' - (\rho_B(b)a) \cdot_A a' + \mu_B(b)([a, a']_A) - [a, \mu_B(b)a']_A + \rho_B(\mu_A(a')b)a \\ &= \mu_B(\mu_A(\partial_{A,1}(a))\partial_{B,2}(b))a' - \mu_B(\mu_A(\partial_{A,2}(a))\partial_{B,1}(b))a' - (\mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(b))\partial_{A,2}(a)) \cdot_A a' \\ &\quad + (\mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(b))\partial_{A,1}(a)) \cdot_A a' + \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a')) - \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a')) \\ &\quad - \partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(\mu_B(b)a') + \partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(\mu_B(b)a') + \mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,2}(a) - \mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,1}(a) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \mu_B(\mu_A(a')\partial_{B,2}(b))\partial_{A,1}(a) - \mu_B(\mu_A(a')\partial_{B,1}(b))\partial_{A,2}(a) - (\mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(b))a') \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a) \\ &\quad + (\mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(b))a') \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a) + \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a')) - \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a')) \\ &\quad - \partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(\mu_B(b)a') + \partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(\mu_B(b)a') + \mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,2}(a) - \mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,1}(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\mu_B(\mu_A(a')\partial_{B,2}(b))\partial_{A,1}(a) - \mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,1}(a)) - (\mu_B(\mu_A(a')\partial_{B,1}(b))\partial_{A,2}(a) - \mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(\mu_A(a')b))\partial_{A,2}(a)) \\
&\quad + ((\mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(b))a') \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a) - \partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(\mu_B(b)a')) + (\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(\mu_B(b)a') - (\mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(b))a') \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a)) \\
&\quad + \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a')) - \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a')) \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} -\mu_B(\mu_A(\partial_{A,2}(a'))b)\partial_{A,1}(a) + \mu_B(\mu_A(\partial_{A,1}(a'))b)\partial_{A,2}(a) - (\mu_B(b)\partial_{A,2}(a')) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a) \\
&\quad + (\mu_B(b)\partial_{A,1}(a')) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a) + \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a')) - \mu_B(b)(\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a')) \stackrel{(14)}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (72) holds.

Let $(A \oplus B, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, \star)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \bowtie B, \star, \Phi_A + \Phi_B)$. Let $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{a + b, a' + b'\} &= (\partial_{A,1}(a) + \partial_{B,1}(b)) \star (\partial_{A,2}(a') + \partial_{B,2}(b')) - (\partial_{A,2}(a) + \partial_{B,2}(b)) \star (\partial_{A,1}(a') + \partial_{B,1}(b')) \\
&= (\partial_{A,1}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,2}(a') + \mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(b'))\partial_{A,1}(a) + \mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(b))\partial_{A,2}(a')) \\
&\quad + (\partial_{B,1}(b) \cdot_B \partial_{B,2}(b') + \mu_A(\partial_{A,1}(a))\partial_{B,2}(b') + \mu_A(\partial_{A,2}(a'))\partial_{B,1}(b)) \\
&\quad - (\partial_{A,2}(a) \cdot_A \partial_{A,1}(a') + \mu_B(\partial_{B,1}(b'))\partial_{A,2}(a) + \mu_B(\partial_{B,2}(b))\partial_{A,1}(a')) \\
&\quad - (\partial_{B,2}(b) \cdot_B \partial_{B,1}(b') + \mu_A(\partial_{A,2}(a))\partial_{B,1}(b') + \mu_A(\partial_{A,1}(a'))\partial_{B,2}(b)) \\
&= ([a, a']_A - \rho_B(b')a + \rho_B(b)a') + ([b, b']_B + \rho_A(a)b' - \rho_A(a')b) = [a + b, a' + b'].
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $(a + b) \star (a' + b') = (a + b) \cdot (a' + b')$. Therefore the Poisson algebra $(A \bowtie B, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ obtained from the induced matched pair of Poisson algebras is exactly the induced Poisson algebra of the commutative differential algebra $(A \bowtie B, \star, \Phi_A + \Phi_B)$. \square

When taking $B = V$ which is a vector space equipped with the zero multiplication in Proposition 5.10, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.11. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra and $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Let $(A \ltimes_{\mu} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$ be the semi-direct product of (A, \cdot, Φ) by its representation (V, μ, Ω) . Then the semi-direct product of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ by (V, ρ_{μ}, μ) , where (V, ρ_{μ}, μ) is the induced representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω) , is exactly the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \ltimes_{\mu} V, \cdot, \Phi + \Omega)$.*

Corollary 5.12. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Suppose there is a commutative differential algebra structure $(A^*, \circ, \Psi^* = \{\partial_1^*, \partial_2^*\})$ on A^* . Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ)$ be the induced Poisson algebras of (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively, that is, both $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ are defined by Eq. (63). Suppose $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of commutative differential algebras. Then $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of Poisson algebras such that it is the induced matched pair of Poisson algebras with respect to $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ if and only if the following equations hold:*

$$\partial_2^*(\partial_1^*(a^*)) \circ b^* = \partial_1^*(\partial_2^*(a^*)) \circ b^*, \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*, \quad (77)$$

$$\partial_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b = \partial_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (78)$$

Proof. It follows from Propositions 5.10 and 5.7. \square

Remark 5.13. Let $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear dual of the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$, we rewrite Eq. (77) in terms of the comultiplication as

$$(\partial_2 \partial_1 \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\partial_1 \partial_2 \otimes \text{id})\Delta. \quad (79)$$

Given a matched pair $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ of commutative differential algebras, there is a more general condition such that $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of Poisson algebras, **without** the requirement that $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is the induced matched pair of Poisson algebras with respect to $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$. Explicitly,

Proposition 5.14. *With the same assumptions in Corollary 5.12. Let $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear dual of the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$. Then $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is a matched pair of Poisson algebras if and only if the following equations hold:*

$$(\text{id} \otimes L_A(b) - L_A(b) \otimes \text{id})(S \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) + (L_A(S(a)) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(b) = 0, \quad (80)$$

$$(\text{ad}_A(a) \otimes S - S \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))\Delta(b) - (\text{ad}_A(b) \otimes S - S \otimes \text{ad}_A(b))\Delta(a) \quad (81)$$

$$-(L_A(S(a)) \otimes S - S \otimes L_A(S(a)))\Delta(b) + (L_A(S(a)) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_A(S(a)))(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(b)$$

$$+ (L_A(S(b)) \otimes S - S \otimes L_A(S(b)))\Delta(a) - (L_A(S(b)) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes L_A(S(b)))(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(a) = 0,$$

for any $a, b \in A$, where $S := \delta_2\delta_1 - \delta_1\delta_2$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show Eqs. (80)-(81) hold if and only if Eqs. (69)-(74) hold in which $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (B, [\cdot, \cdot]_B, \cdot_B), \rho_A, \mu_A, \rho_B, \mu_B)$ is replaced by $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$.

Suppose $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), \rho_{L_A^*}, L_A^*, \rho_{L_{A^*}^*}, L_{A^*}^*)$ is the induced matched pair of Poisson algebras with respect to $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$. By the proof of Proposition 5.7, we have

$$-\text{ad}_A^*(a) = \rho_{L_A^*}(a) - L_A^*(S(a)), \quad \forall a \in A; \quad -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*) = \rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(a^*) + L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*)), \quad \forall a^* \in A^*.$$

Note that here we have $\partial_2^*\delta_1^* - \partial_1^*\delta_2^* = -(\delta_2\delta_1 - \delta_1\delta_2)^* = -S^*$. Let $a, b \in A$ and $a^*, b^* \in A^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)a) \cdot b + a \cdot (-\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)b) - L_{A^*}^*(-\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)a^*)b - L_{A^*}^*(-\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(b^*)a^*)a - (-\text{ad}_{A^*}^*(a^*)(a \cdot b)) \\ &= (\rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(a^*)a) \cdot b + a \cdot (\rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(a^*)b) - L_{A^*}^*(\rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(a^*)a^*)b - L_{A^*}^*(\rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(b^*)a^*)a - \rho_{L_{A^*}^*}(a^*)(a \cdot b) \\ &+ (L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))a) \cdot b + a \cdot (L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))b) + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(a))a^*)b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(b))a^*)a - L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))(a \cdot b) \\ &= (L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))a) \cdot b + a \cdot (L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))b) + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(a))a^*)b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(b))a^*)a - L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))(a \cdot b) \\ &= (L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))a) \cdot b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(a))a^*)b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(b))a^*)a - L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(b)S^*(a^*))a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Eq. (71) holds if and only if the following equation holds.

$$(L_{A^*}^*(S^*(a^*))a) \cdot b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(a))a^*)b + L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(S(b))a^*)a - L_{A^*}^*(L_A^*(b)S^*(a^*))a = 0.$$

Rewrite the above equation in terms of the comultiplication as

$$(S \otimes L_A(b) + L_A(S(b)) \otimes \text{id} - S(L_A(b)) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) + (L_A(S(a)) \otimes \text{id})\Delta(b) = 0.$$

Note that $S(a \cdot b) = a \cdot S(b) + S(a) \cdot b$. Therefore Eq. (71) holds if and only if Eq. (80) holds. Similarly we show that any equation of Eqs. (72)-(74) holds if and only if Eq. (80) holds, and any equation of Eqs. (69)-(70) holds if and only if Eq. (81) holds. \square

Remark 5.15. If Eqs. (78)-(79) hold, then Eqs. (80)-(81) hold naturally, but the converse is not true. A counterexample could be given when $\Delta = 0$ with $S(a) \cdot b \neq 0$. That is, Corollary 5.12 is a particular case of Proposition 5.14. Furthermore, note that in the case of Proposition 5.14, the Poisson algebra structure on $A \oplus A^*$ obtained from the matched pair $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ might not be the induced Poisson algebra of the commutative differential algebra on $A \oplus A^*$ obtained from the matched pair $((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*)$.

5.2. Manin triples of Poisson algebras via double constructions of commutative differential Frobenius algebras.

Recall that a **quadratic Poisson algebra** $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ is a Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \mathfrak{B} on A which is invariant in the following sense:

$$\mathfrak{B}([a, b], c) = \mathfrak{B}(a, [b, c]), \quad \mathfrak{B}(a \cdot b, c) = \mathfrak{B}(a, b \cdot c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

Definition 5.16. ([36]) A **Manin triple of Poisson algebras** is a triple $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B}), A^+, A^-)$, where $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ is a quadratic Poisson algebra, A^+ and A^- are Poisson subalgebras of A such that

- (a) $A = A^+ \oplus A^-$ as vector spaces;
- (b) A^+ and A^- are isotropic with respect to \mathfrak{B} .

Remark 5.17. It is obvious that a Manin triple of Poisson algebras is simultaneously a Manin triple of Lie algebras ([15]) and a double construction of Frobenius algebra.

Proposition 5.18. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Suppose that there is a commutative differential algebra structure $(A^*, \circ, \Psi^* = \{\delta_1^*, \delta_2^*\})$ on A^* . Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ)$ be the induced Poisson algebras of (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively, that is, both $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ are defined by Eq. (63). Let $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear dual of the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$. Suppose $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a double construction of commutative differential Frobenius algebra associated to (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) . Then there is a Manin triple $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus A^*}, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d), A, A^*)$ of Poisson algebras such that the Poisson algebra structure on $A \oplus A^*$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$ if and only if Eqs. (78)-(79) hold. In this case, we call the resulting Manin triple of Poisson algebras the **induced Manin triple** of Poisson algebras with respect to $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$.*

Proof. Let $(A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus A^*}, \star)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$. It is sufficient to prove that $(A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus A^*}, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d)$ is a quadratic Poisson algebra if and only if Eqs. (78)-(79) hold. Let $a, b, c \in A, a^*, b^*, c^* \in A^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, [b + b^*, c + c^*]) \\
&= \mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, (\partial_1(b) + \delta_1^*(b^*)) \star (\partial_2(c) + \delta_2^*(c^*)) - (\partial_2(b) + \delta_2^*(b^*)) \star (\partial_1(c) + \delta_1^*(c^*))) \\
&= \mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, \partial_1(b) \star \partial_2(c) + \partial_1(b) \star \delta_2^*(c^*) + \delta_1^*(b^*) \star \partial_2(c) + \delta_1^*(b^*) \star \delta_2^*(c^*)) \\
&\quad - \mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, \partial_2(b) \star \partial_1(c) + \partial_2(b) \star \delta_1^*(c^*) + \delta_2^*(b^*) \star \partial_1(c) + \delta_2^*(b^*) \star \delta_1^*(c^*)) \\
&= \mathfrak{B}_d(a, \partial_1(b) \cdot \partial_2(c)) + \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_2^*(c^*), a \cdot \partial_1(b)) + \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_1^*(b^*), \partial_2(c) \cdot a) + \mathfrak{B}_d(a, \delta_1^*(b^*) \circ \delta_2^*(c^*)) \\
&\quad + \mathfrak{B}_d(a^*, \partial_1(b) \cdot \partial_2(c)) + \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_2^*(c^*) \circ a^*, \partial_1(b)) + \mathfrak{B}_d(a^* \circ \delta_1^*(b^*), \partial_2(c)) + \mathfrak{B}_d(a^*, \delta_1^*(b^*) \circ \delta_2^*(c^*)) \\
&\quad - \mathfrak{B}_d(a, \partial_2(b) \cdot \partial_1(c)) - \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_1^*(c^*), a \cdot \partial_2(b)) - \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_2^*(b^*), \partial_1(c) \cdot a) - \mathfrak{B}_d(a, \delta_2^*(b^*) \circ \delta_1^*(c^*)) \\
&\quad - \mathfrak{B}_d(a^*, \partial_2(b) \cdot \partial_1(c)) - \mathfrak{B}_d(\delta_1^*(c^*) \circ a^*, \partial_2(b)) - \mathfrak{B}_d(a^* \circ \delta_2^*(b^*), \partial_1(c)) - \mathfrak{B}_d(a^*, \delta_2^*(b^*) \circ \delta_1^*(c^*)) \\
&= \langle a, \delta_1^*(b^*) \circ \delta_2^*(c^*) - \delta_2^*(b^*) \circ \delta_1^*(c^*) \rangle + \langle a^*, \partial_1(b) \cdot \partial_2(c) - \partial_2(b) \cdot \partial_1(c) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle b, \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(c^*) \circ a^*) - \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(c^*) \circ a^*) \rangle + \langle b^*, \delta_1(\partial_2(c) \cdot a) - \delta_2(\partial_1(c) \cdot a) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle c, \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ a^*) - \delta_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*) \circ a^*) \rangle + \langle c^*, \delta_2(\partial_1(b) \cdot a) - \delta_1(\partial_2(b) \cdot a) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}_d([a + a^*, b + b^*], c + c^*) &= \langle a, \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*) \circ c^*) - \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ c^*) \rangle + \langle a^*, \delta_1(\partial_2(b) \cdot c) - \delta_2(\partial_1(b) \cdot c) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle b, \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(a^*) \circ c^*) - \delta_1^*(\delta_2^*(a^*) \circ c^*) \rangle + \langle b^*, \delta_2(\partial_1(a) \cdot c) - \delta_1(\partial_2(a) \cdot c) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle c, \delta_1^*(a^*) \circ \delta_2^*(b^*) - \delta_2^*(a^*) \circ \delta_1^*(b^*) \rangle + \langle c^*, \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, [b + b^*, c + c^*]) = \mathfrak{B}_d([a + a^*, b + b^*], c + c^*)$ if and only if the following six equations hold :

$$\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ \delta_2^*(c^*) - \delta_2^*(b^*) \circ \delta_1^*(c^*) = \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*) \circ c^*) - \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ c^*), \quad (82)$$

$$\partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(c^*) \circ a^*) - \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(c^*) \circ a^*) = \delta_2^*(\delta_1^*(a^*) \circ c^*) - \delta_1^*(\delta_2^*(a^*) \circ c^*), \quad (83)$$

$$\partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ a^*) - \delta_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*) \circ a^*) = \delta_1^*(a^*) \circ \delta_2^*(b^*) - \delta_2^*(a^*) \circ \delta_1^*(b^*), \quad (84)$$

$$\partial_1(b) \cdot \partial_2(c) - \partial_2(b) \cdot \partial_1(c) = \delta_1(\partial_2(b) \cdot c) - \delta_2(\partial_1(b) \cdot c), \quad (85)$$

$$\delta_1(\partial_2(c) \cdot a) - \delta_2(\partial_1(c) \cdot a) = \delta_2(\partial_1(a) \cdot c) - \delta_1(\partial_2(a) \cdot c), \quad (86)$$

$$\delta_2(\partial_1(b) \cdot a) - \delta_1(\partial_2(b) \cdot a) = \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b). \quad (87)$$

Note that we have the following relationships:

$$\text{Eq. (82)} \iff \text{Eq. (84)}, \quad \text{Eq. (85)} \iff \text{Eq. (87)}, \quad \text{Eq. (82)} \implies \text{Eq. (83)}, \quad \text{Eq. (85)} \implies \text{Eq. (86)}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{B}_d(a + a^*, [b + b^*, c + c^*]) = \mathfrak{B}_d([a + a^*, b + b^*], c + c^*)$ if and only if Eqs. (82) and (85) hold.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, Ψ is admissible to (A, \cdot, Φ) and Φ^* is admissible to (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) . By Corollary 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(b) \cdot \partial_2(c) - \partial_2(b) \cdot \partial_1(c) - \delta_1(\partial_2(b) \cdot c) + \delta_2(\partial_1(b) \cdot c) &= \delta_2(\partial_1(b)) \cdot c - \delta_1(\partial_2(b)) \cdot c, \\ \delta_1^*(b^*) \circ \delta_2^*(c^*) - \delta_2^*(b^*) \circ \delta_1^*(c^*) - \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*) \circ c^*) + \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*) \circ c^*) &= \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(b^*)) \circ c^* - \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(b^*)) \circ c^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eqs. (82) and (85) hold if and only if the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b &= \delta_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b \in A, \\ \partial_2^*(\delta_1^*(a^*)) \circ b^* &= \partial_1^*(\delta_2^*(a^*)) \circ b^*, \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the second equation above in terms of the comultiplication, we finish the proof. \square

As in the study of matched pairs, given a double construction of commutative differential Frobenius algebra $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*, \mathfrak{B}_d)$, there is a more general condition, such that $((A \oplus A^*, [\ , \], \star, \mathfrak{B}_d), A, A^*)$ is a Manin triple of Poisson algebras, **without** the requirement that $(A \oplus A^*, [\ , \], \star)$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$. With a similar study as of Proposition 5.14, we give the following conclusion omitting the proof.

Proposition 5.19. *With the same assumptions in Proposition 5.18. Then there is a Manin triple $((A \oplus A^*, [\ , \], \star, \mathfrak{B}_d), A, A^*)$ of Poisson algebras if and only if Eqs. (80)-(81) hold.*

5.3. Poisson bialgebras via commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras.

Recall that a pair (A, δ) is called a **Lie coalgebra**, where A is a vector space and $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a linear map, if δ is co-antisymmetric, in the sense that $\delta = -\sigma\delta$, and satisfies the co-Jacobian identity:

$$(\text{id} + \tau + \tau^2)(\text{id} \otimes \delta)\delta = 0, \quad (88)$$

where $\tau(a \otimes b \otimes c) := c \otimes a \otimes b$ for any $a, b, c \in A$. A **Lie bialgebra** is a triple $(A, [\ , \], \delta)$, where $(A, [\ , \])$ is a Lie algebra and (A, δ) is a Lie coalgebra, satisfying the following equation:

$$\delta([a, b]) = (\text{ad}_A(a) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))\delta(b) - (\text{ad}_A(b) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(b))\delta(a), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (89)$$

Definition 5.20. ([36]) A **Poisson coalgebra** is a triple (A, δ, Δ) , where (A, δ) is a Lie coalgebra and (A, Δ) is a commutative coalgebra such that the following equation holds:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\delta(a) = (\delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a) + (\sigma \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta)\Delta(a), \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (90)$$

Remark 5.21. The notion of a Poisson coalgebra is the dualization of the notion of a Poisson algebra, that is, (A, δ, Δ) is a Poisson coalgebra if and only if $(A^*, \delta^*, \Delta^*)$ is a Poisson algebra.

Lemma 5.22. *Let $(A, \Delta, \Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\})$ be a cocommutative differential coalgebra. Then (A, δ, Δ) is Poisson coalgebra, called the **induced Poisson coalgebra** of (A, Δ, Ψ) , where δ is defined by*

$$\delta = (\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)\Delta. \quad (91)$$

Moreover, $(A^*, \delta^*, \Delta^*)$ is exactly the induced Poisson algebra of (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) .

Proof. By assumption, (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) is a commutative differential algebra. Let $(A^*, [\ , \]_{A^*}, \Delta^*)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) , that is, $[\ , \]_{A^*}$ is defined by

$$[a^*, b^*]_{A^*} := \delta_1^*(a^*) \circ \delta_2^*(b^*) - \delta_2^*(a^*) \circ \delta_1^*(b^*), \quad \forall a^*, b^* \in A^*,$$

where $\circ = \Delta^*$. It is straightforward that $[\ , \]_{A^*}$ is the linear dual of δ . Hence $(A^*, \delta^*, \Delta^*)$ is the induced Poisson algebra of (A^*, Δ^*, Ψ^*) and thus (A, δ, Δ) is a Poisson coalgebra. \square

Definition 5.23. ([36]) Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra. Suppose that it is equipped with two comultiplications $\delta, \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that (A, δ, Δ) is a Poisson coalgebra. If in addition, $(A, [\ , \], \delta)$ is a

Lie bialgebra, (A, \cdot, Δ) is a commutative and cocommutative ASI bialgebra, δ and Δ are compatible in the following sense:

$$\delta(a \cdot b) = (L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})\delta(b) + (L_A(b) \otimes \text{id})\delta(a) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))\Delta(b) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(b))\Delta(a), \quad (92)$$

$$\Delta([a, b]) = (\text{ad}_A(a) \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))\Delta(b) + (L_A(b) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes L_A(b))\delta(a), \quad (93)$$

for any $a, b \in A$, then $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is called a **Poisson bialgebra**.

Theorem 5.24. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra and $(A, \Delta, \Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\})$ be a cocommutative differential coalgebra. Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A, δ, Δ) be the induced Poisson coalgebra of (A, Δ, Ψ) , that is, $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63) and δ is defined by Eq. (91). Suppose $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ is a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra. Then $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Poisson bialgebra if and only if Eqs. (80)-(81) hold. In particular, if Eqs. (78)-(79) hold, then $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Poisson bialgebra. In this case, we call it the **induced Poisson bialgebra** of $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$. Then we have

$$[a, b] = \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) \stackrel{(10)}{=} -\delta_2(\partial_1(a) \cdot b) + \delta_1(\partial_2(a) \cdot b) + \delta_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b - \delta_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b.$$

Hence $\text{ad}_A(a) = L_A(\partial_1(a))\partial_2 - L_A(\partial_2(a))\partial_1 = \delta_1 L_A(\partial_2(a)) - \delta_2 L_A(\partial_1(a)) + L_A(S(a))$. Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= (\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)\Delta \stackrel{(27)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)((\text{id} \otimes \partial_1)\Delta - \Delta\partial_1) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)((\text{id} \otimes \partial_2)\Delta - \Delta\partial_2) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta\partial_2 - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta\partial_1 + (\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})\delta(b) + (L_A(b) \otimes \text{id})\delta(a) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))\Delta(b) + (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(b))\Delta(a) - \delta(a \cdot b) \\ &= (L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})((\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(\partial_2(b)) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta(\partial_1(b)) + (\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta(b)) \\ &\quad + (L_A(b) \otimes \text{id})((\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(\partial_2(a)) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta(\partial_1(a)) + (\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta(a)) \\ &\quad + (\text{id} \otimes (\delta_1 L_A(\partial_2(a)) - \delta_2 L_A(\partial_1(a)) + L_A(S(a))))\Delta(b) \\ &\quad + (\text{id} \otimes (\delta_1 L_A(\partial_2(b)) - \delta_2 L_A(\partial_1(b)) + L_A(S(b))))\Delta(a) \\ &\quad - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(\partial_2(a \cdot b)) + (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta(\partial_1(a \cdot b)) - (\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta(a \cdot b) \\ &\stackrel{(23)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(a \cdot \partial_2(b) + \partial_2(a) \cdot b) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta(a \cdot \partial_1(b) + \partial_1(a) \cdot b) \\ &\quad - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(\partial_2(a \cdot b)) + (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2)\Delta(\partial_1(a \cdot b)) \\ &\quad + (-(\text{id} \otimes S)(\text{id} \otimes L_A(b)) + L_A(b) \otimes S + \text{id} \otimes L_A(S(b)))\Delta(a) + (\text{id} \otimes L_A(S(a)))\Delta(b) \\ &= (L_A(b) \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes L_A(b))(\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta(a) + (\text{id} \otimes L_A(S(a)))\Delta(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Eq. (92) holds if and only if Eq. (80) holds. Similarly we show Eqs. (93) and (89) hold if and only if Eqs. (80) and (81) hold respectively. So the first conclusion follows. The particular case is obvious. \square

Remark 5.25. Note that for a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$, there is a commutative differential algebra structure $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$ on $A \oplus A^*$, and for a Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$, there is a Poisson algebra structure $(A \bowtie A^*, [\ , \], \cdot)$ on $A \oplus A^*$. Hence with the conditions above, if Eqs. (78)-(79) hold, then the resulting induced Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ of $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ satisfies an additional condition that the Poisson structure on $A \oplus A^*$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \bowtie A^*, \star, \Phi + \Psi^*)$. So if one characterizes the resulting Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ of a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$ to be “induced” by this additional condition, then the converse still holds, that is, $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is the “induced” Poisson bialgebra in this sense if and only if Eqs. (78)-(79) hold.

Theorem 5.26. ([36, Structure Theorem (II)]) *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra. Suppose there is a Poisson algebra structure $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ)$ on A^* . Let $\delta, \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ denote the linear duals of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*} : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ and the multiplication $\circ : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$ respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Poisson bialgebra.
- (b) $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot), (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ), -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*)$ is matched pair of Poisson algebras.
- (c) There is a Manin triple of Poisson algebras $((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \star, \mathfrak{B}_d), A, A^*)$ associated to $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ)$ where \mathfrak{B}_d is defined by Eq. (20).

By the equivalences given in Theorems 3.14 and 5.26, Proposition 5.14 and Corollary 5.12 (in the induced case) are the ‘‘matched pair version’’ of Theorem 5.24 and Remark 5.25, whereas Propositions 5.19 and 5.18 (in the induced case) are the ‘‘Manin triple (double construction) version’’ of the latter. We illustrate these relationships in the ‘‘induced case’’ through a commutative diagram. Explicitly, let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Suppose that there is a commutative differential algebra structure $(A^*, \circ, \Psi^* = \{\delta_1^*, \delta_2^*\})$ on A^* . Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot)$ and $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}, \circ)$ be the induced Poisson algebras of (A, \cdot, Φ) and (A^*, \circ, Ψ^*) respectively, that is, both $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ are defined by Eq. (63). Let $\delta, \Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be the linear duals of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ and \circ respectively. So δ satisfies Eq. (91). Suppose Eqs. (78)-(79) hold. Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
((A, \cdot, \Phi), (A^*, \circ, \Psi^*), L_A^*, L_{A^*}^*) & \xleftarrow{\text{Thm. 3.14}} & (A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi) & \xleftarrow{\text{Thm. 3.14}} & (A \bowtie A^*, \star, \mathfrak{B}_d) \\
\text{a matched pair of} & & \text{a commutative and} & & \text{a double construction of} \\
\text{commutative differential} & & \text{cocommutative} & & \text{commutative differential} \\
\text{algebras} & & \text{differential ASI} & & \text{Frobenius algebra} \\
& & \text{bialgebra} & & \\
\text{Cor. 5.12} \downarrow & & \text{Thm. 5.24} \downarrow & & \text{Prop. 5.18} \downarrow \\
(A, A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*, -\text{ad}_{A^*}^*, L_{A^*}^*) & \xleftarrow{\text{Thm. 5.26}} & (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \cdot, \delta, \Delta) & \xleftarrow{\text{Thm. 5.26}} & ((A \oplus A^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \star, \mathfrak{B}_d), A, A^*) \\
\text{the induced matched} & & \text{the induced} & & \text{the induced Manin triple} \\
\text{pair of Poisson algebras} & & \text{Poisson bialgebra} & & \text{of Poisson algebras}
\end{array}$$

5.4. Coboundary Poisson bialgebras via coboundary commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebras.

Definition 5.27. ([36]) A Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is called **coboundary** if there exists an $r \in A \otimes A$ such that the following equations hold:

$$\delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a) + \text{ad}_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r), \quad (94)$$

$$\Delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r), \quad \forall a \in A. \quad (95)$$

Definition 5.28. ([36]) Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra. $r \in A \otimes A$ is called a solution of **Poisson Yang-Baxter equation (PYBE) in $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$** if r is a solution of both Eq. (42) and the following equation:

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] = 0, \quad (96)$$

where for $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$, we denote

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] = \sum_{i,j} [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_i \otimes b_j, \quad [r_{13}, r_{23}] = \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j], \quad [r_{12}, r_{23}] = \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j.$$

Proposition 5.29. ([36, Theorem 2]) *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$. Let $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ be two linear maps defined by Eqs. (94) and (95) respectively. If r is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$, then $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Poisson bialgebra.*

Lemma 5.30. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -admissible commutative differential algebra. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Let $r \in A \otimes A$ be an antisymmetric solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) . Suppose that Δ is defined by Eq. (95). Then we have the following conclusions.*

- (a) (A, Δ, Ψ) is a cocommutative differential coalgebra.
- (b) If Eq. (78) holds, then both Eq. (79) and the following equation hold:

$$[a, b] = \delta_2(a \cdot \partial_1(b)) - \delta_1(a \cdot \partial_2(b)), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (97)$$

Proof. (a). It is straightforward to show that (A, Δ) is a cocommutative coalgebra. For any $a \in A$ and $k = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_k \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)\Delta(a) &= (\delta_k \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta_k)(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r) \\ &\stackrel{(43)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes L_A(a)\partial_k + \text{id} \otimes \delta_k L_A(a) - \delta_k L_A(a) \otimes \text{id} - L_A(a)\partial_k \otimes \text{id})(r) \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes L_A(\delta_k(a)) - L_A(\delta_k(a)) \otimes \text{id})(r) = \Delta(\delta_k(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence δ_k is a coderivation on (A, Δ) . Therefore, (A, Δ, Ψ) is a cocommutative differential coalgebra.

- (b). Let $a, b \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(\partial_2(a \cdot b)) &= \delta_1(\partial_2(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \partial_2(b)) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \delta_1(\partial_2(a)) \cdot b - \partial_2(a) \cdot \delta_1(b) + a \cdot \delta_1(\partial_2(b)) - \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b), \\ \delta_2(\partial_1(a \cdot b)) &= \delta_2(\partial_1(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \partial_1(b)) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \delta_2(\partial_1(a)) \cdot b - \partial_1(a) \cdot \delta_2(b) + a \cdot \delta_2(\partial_1(b)) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by assumption, we have $\delta_1(\partial_2(a \cdot b)) = \delta_2(\partial_1(a \cdot b))$, i.e., $\delta_1\partial_2L_A(a) = \delta_2\partial_1L_A(a)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1\partial_2)\Delta(a) - (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2\partial_1)\Delta(a) &= (\text{id} \otimes \delta_1\partial_2 - \text{id} \otimes \delta_2\partial_1)(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r) \\ &= -(L_A(a) \otimes \delta_1\partial_2)(r) + (L_A(a) \otimes \delta_2\partial_1)(r) \stackrel{(43)}{=} -(L_A(a)\delta_2\partial_1 \otimes \text{id})(r) + (L_A(a)\delta_1\partial_2 \otimes \text{id})(r) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, Eq. (79) holds. Moreover, we have

$$\partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) + \delta_1(a \cdot \partial_2(b)) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) - \delta_2(a \cdot \partial_1(b)) \stackrel{(11)}{=} a \cdot \delta_1(\partial_2(b)) - a \cdot \delta_2(\partial_1(b)) \stackrel{(78)}{=} 0.$$

Therefore Eq. (97) holds. \square

Proposition 5.31. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -admissible commutative differential algebra. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose Eq. (78) holds. Then every solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) is a solution of PYBE in the Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$.*

Proof. Suppose $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$ is a solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_i \otimes b_j &= \sum_{i,j} (\partial_1(a_i) \cdot \partial_2(a_j) - \partial_2(a_i) \cdot \partial_1(a_j)) \otimes b_i \otimes b_j \\ &\stackrel{(43)}{=} \sum_{i,j} (a_i \cdot a_j \otimes \delta_1(b_i) \otimes \delta_2(b_j) - a_i \cdot a_j \otimes \delta_2(b_i) \otimes \delta_1(b_j)) \\ &\stackrel{(42)}{=} \sum_{i,j} (a_i \otimes \delta_1(b_i \cdot a_j) \otimes \delta_2(b_j) - a_i \otimes \delta_1(a_j) \otimes \delta_2(b_i \cdot b_j) - a_i \otimes \delta_2(b_i \cdot a_j) \otimes \delta_1(b_j) + a_i \otimes \delta_2(a_j) \otimes \delta_1(b_i \cdot b_j)) \\ &\stackrel{(43)}{=} \sum_{i,j} (a_i \otimes \delta_1(b_i \cdot \partial_2(a_j)) \otimes b_j - a_i \otimes a_j \otimes \delta_2(b_i \cdot \partial_1(b_j)) - a_i \otimes \delta_2(b_i \cdot \partial_1(a_j)) \otimes b_j + a_i \otimes a_j \otimes \delta_1(b_i \cdot \partial_2(b_j))) \\ &\stackrel{(97)}{=} \sum_{i,j} (-a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j - a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence r satisfies Eq. (96). Therefore r is a solution of PYBE in the Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$. \square

Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -admissible commutative differential algebra. Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose Eq. (78) holds and $r \in A \otimes A$ is an antisymmetric solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) . On the one hand, by Corollary 4.9, there is a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$, where Δ is defined by Eq. (95). Furthermore, by Lemma 5.30, (A, Δ, Ψ) is a cocommutative differential coalgebra and Eq. (79) holds and thus by Theorem 5.24, there is the induced Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ of $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi)$, where δ is defined by Eq. (91). On the other hand, by Proposition 5.31, r is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ and hence there is a Poisson bialgebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta', \Delta)$ by Proposition 5.29, where δ' is defined by Eq. (94).

Corollary 5.32. *With the conditions as above. Then the two Poisson bialgebras $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ and $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta', \Delta)$ coincide. Hence we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} r \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ \Psi\text{-admissible AYBE in } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor. 4.9}} & \begin{array}{c} (A, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi, \Psi) \\ \text{a commutative and cocommutative} \\ \text{differential ASI bialgebra} \end{array} \\
 \text{Prop. 5.31} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Thm. 5.24} \\
 \begin{array}{c} r \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ \text{PYBE in } (A, [\ , \], \cdot) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 5.29}} & \begin{array}{c} (A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta) \\ \text{the induced Poisson bialgebra} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(a) &= (\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)\Delta(a) = (\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2 - \delta_2 \otimes \delta_1)(\text{id} \otimes L_A(a) - L_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r) \\
 &\stackrel{(43)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes \delta_2 L_A(a) \partial_1 - \text{id} \otimes \delta_1 L_A(a) \partial_2)(r) - (\delta_1 L_A(a) \partial_2 \otimes \text{id} - \delta_2 L_A(a) \partial_1 \otimes \text{id})(r) \\
 &\stackrel{(97)}{=} (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_A(a))(r) + (\text{ad}_A(a) \otimes \text{id})(r) = \delta'(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the two Poisson bialgebras $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ and $(A, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta', \Delta)$ coincide. \square

Definition 5.33. ([30]) Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra and (V, ρ, μ) be a representation of $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow A$ is called an Θ -operator on $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ, μ) if the following equations hold:

$$[T(u), T(v)] = T(\rho(T(u))v - \rho(T(v))u), \quad (98)$$

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T(\mu(T(u))v + \mu(T(v))u), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (99)$$

Proposition 5.34. ([7, Proposition A.6, Theorem 2.4.7]) *Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be antisymmetric. Then r is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ if and only if r^\sharp is an Θ -operator associated to the representation $(A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*)$.*

Proposition 5.35. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra and $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be an Θ -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to a representation $(V, \mu, \Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$. Then T is an Θ -operator on $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ_μ, μ) , where (V, ρ_μ, μ) is the induced representation of $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω) . In particular, when taking $(V, \mu, \Omega) = (A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^* = \{\delta_1^*, \delta_2^*\})$, if addition Eq. (78) holds, then T is an Θ -operator on $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ associated to $(A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*)$.*

Proof. Clearly Eq. (99) holds. For any $u, v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [T(u), T(v)] &= \partial_1(T(u)) \cdot \partial_2(T(v)) - \partial_1(T(v)) \cdot \partial_2(T(u)) \stackrel{(52)}{=} T(\alpha_1(u)) \cdot T(\alpha_2(v)) - T(\alpha_1(v)) \cdot T(\alpha_2(u)) \\
 &\stackrel{(51)}{=} T(\mu(T(\alpha_1(u)))\alpha_2(v) + \mu(T(\alpha_2(v)))\alpha_1(u)) - T(\mu(T(\alpha_1(v)))\alpha_2(u) + \mu(T(\alpha_2(u)))\alpha_1(v)) \\
 &\stackrel{(52)}{=} T(\mu(\partial_1(T(u)))\alpha_2(v) + \mu(\partial_2(T(v)))\alpha_1(u)) - T(\mu(\partial_1(T(v)))\alpha_2(u) + \mu(\partial_2(T(u)))\alpha_1(v))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(66)}{=} T(\rho_\mu(T(u))v - \rho_\mu(T(v))u).$$

That is, Eq. (98) holds. Therefore T is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to (V, ρ_μ, μ) . The particular case follows from Proposition 5.7. \square

Combining Corollary 4.14, Propositions 5.31, 5.34 and 5.35 together, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.36. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a $\Psi = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ -admissible commutative differential algebra and $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose Eq. (78) holds and r is an antisymmetric solution of Ψ -admissible AYBE in (A, \cdot, Φ) . On the one hand, r is a solution of PYBE in the Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ by Proposition 5.31 and hence r^\sharp is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to $(A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*)$ by Proposition 5.34. On the other hand, r^\sharp is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (A^*, L_A^*, Ψ^*) by Corollary 4.14 and hence r^\sharp is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to $(A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*)$ by Proposition 5.35. That is, the two approaches to get r^\sharp as an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ coincide and thus we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} r \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ \Psi\text{-admissible AYBE in } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor. 4.14}} & \begin{array}{c} r^\sharp \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \\ \text{associated to } (A^*, L_A^*, \Psi^*) \end{array} \\ \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.31} & & \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.35} \\ \begin{array}{c} r \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ \text{PYBE in } (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 5.34}} & \begin{array}{c} r^\sharp \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot) \\ \text{associated to } (A^*, -\text{ad}_A^*, L_A^*) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.37. ([30, Theorem 5.24]) *Let (V, ρ, μ) be a representation of a Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$. Let $T : V \rightarrow A$ be a linear map. Then $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in $(A \ltimes_{-\rho^*, \mu^*} V^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ if and only if T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ, μ) .*

Proposition 5.38. *Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra and $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , that is, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Suppose that $(V, \mu, \Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$ is a representation of (A, \cdot, Φ) and (V, ρ_μ, μ) is the induced representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω) . Then $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*)$ is a $(-\Phi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible commutative differential algebra. Furthermore, $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*)$ and Eq. (78) holds where δ_k is replaced by $-\partial_k + \alpha_k^*$ and ∂_k is replaced by $\partial_k - \alpha_k^*$ for $k = 1, 2$.*

Proof. By Example 2.10 and the proof of Corollary 4.18, $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*)$ is a $(-\Phi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible commutative differential algebra. By Corollary 5.11, $(A \ltimes_{\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*)$, where (V^*, ρ_μ^*, μ^*) is the induced representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ with respect to the representation $(V^*, \mu^*, -\Omega^*)$. For any $a \in A, v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\alpha_2)(\mu(\partial_1(a))v) - (-\alpha_1)(\mu(\partial_2(a))v) + \mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2(v) - \mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1(v) \\ &= (\mu(\partial_1(a))\alpha_2(v) - \alpha_2(\mu(\partial_1(a))v)) - (\mu(\partial_2(a))\alpha_1(v) - \alpha_1(\mu(\partial_2(a))v)) \\ &= -\mu(\partial_2(\partial_1(a)))v + \mu(\partial_1(\partial_2(a)))v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 5.7, we have $\rho_\mu^* = -\rho_\mu^*$ and hence $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ is exactly the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$(-\partial_2 + \alpha_2^*)((\partial_1 - \alpha_1^*)(a + v^*)) \cdot (b + w^*) = (-\partial_1 + \alpha_1^*)((\partial_2 - \alpha_2^*)(a + v^*)) \cdot (b + w^*), \quad \forall a, b \in A, v^*, w^* \in V^*.$$

Hence Eq. (78) holds. \square

Remark 5.39. One may consider extending the above study to the case that $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^2)$ is a $(\{-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A\}_{k=1}^2 + \Omega^*)$ -admissible commutative differential algebra for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ as

in Corollary 4.18. Then by a similar argument, $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot)$ is the induced Poisson algebra of $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi + \{-\alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}\}_{k=1}^2)$, where (V, ρ_μ, μ) is the induced representation of $(A, [,], \cdot)$ with respect to $(V, \mu, \{\alpha_1 - \theta_1 \text{id}_V, \alpha_2 - \theta_2 \text{id}_V\})$. Furthermore, it is straightforward to show that Eq. (78) holds where δ_k is replaced by $-\partial_k + \theta_k \text{id}_A + \alpha_k^*$ and ∂_k is replaced by $\partial_k - \alpha_k^* + \theta_k \text{id}_{V^*}$ for $k = 1, 2$ if and only if

$$\theta_2 \partial_1(a)b = \theta_1 \partial_2(a)b, \quad \theta_2 \mu(\partial_1(a))v = \theta_1 \mu(\partial_2(a))v, \quad \theta_2 \alpha_1(\mu(a)v) = \theta_1 \alpha_2(\mu(a)v), \quad \forall a \in A, v \in V. \quad (100)$$

Suppose Eq. (100) holds for some $\theta_k \neq 0$. We assume $\theta_1 \neq 0$ without loss of generality. Let $a, b \in A$. Then $\partial_2(a) \cdot b = \theta_1^{-1} \theta_2 \partial_1(a) \cdot b$ and thus

$$[a, b] = \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) = \theta_1^{-1} \theta_2 \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) - \theta_1^{-1} \theta_2 \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) = 0.$$

Similarly, the Lie bracket in $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot)$ is also trivial. So in this sense, we only need to consider the case that $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$ as we have done in Proposition 5.38.

By Proposition 5.38, combining Corollary 4.18, Propositions 5.31 and 5.35 and Theorem 5.37 together, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.40. *With the conditions in Proposition 5.38. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow A$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (V, μ, Ω) . On the one hand, T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the induced Poisson algebra $(A, [,], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ_μ, μ) by Proposition 5.35 and hence $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot)$ by Theorem 5.37. On the other hand, $r = T - \sigma(T)$ is an antisymmetric solution of $(-\Phi + \Omega^*)$ -admissible AYBE in $(A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*)$ by Corollary 4.18. Due to Proposition 5.38, r is an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in the induced Poisson algebra $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot)$ by Proposition 5.31. That is, the two approaches to get $r = T - \sigma(T)$ as an antisymmetric solution of PYBE in $(A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot)$ coincide and thus we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} T \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \\ \text{associated to } (V, \mu, \Omega) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Cor. 4.18}} & \begin{array}{c} r = T - \sigma(T) \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ (-\Phi + \Omega^*)\text{-admissible AYBE} \\ \text{in } (A \ltimes_{\mu^*} V^*, \cdot, \Phi - \Omega^*) \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.35} & & \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.31} \\
 \begin{array}{c} T \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, [,], \cdot) \\ \text{associated to } (V, \rho_\mu, \mu) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Thm. 5.37}} & \begin{array}{c} r = T - \sigma(T) \\ \text{an antisymmetric solution of} \\ \text{PYBE in } (A \ltimes_{-\rho_\mu^*, \mu^*} V^*, [,], \cdot) \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Definition 5.41. ([21]) A **(left) pre-Lie algebra** (A, \diamond) is a vector space A together with a bilinear multiplication $\diamond : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following equation:

$$a \diamond (b \diamond c) - (a \diamond b) \diamond c = b \diamond (a \diamond c) - (b \diamond a) \diamond c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (101)$$

Definition 5.42. ([31]) A **(left) Zinbiel algebra** $(A, *)$ is a vector space A together with a bilinear multiplication $*$: $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the following equation:

$$a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c + (b * a) * c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (102)$$

A Zinbiel algebra $(A, *)$ is equivalently defined as a dendriform algebra $(A, >, <)$ for which

$$a > b = b < a = a * b, \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Hence for a Zinbiel algebra $(A, *)$, the associated algebra (A, \cdot) is commutative, where

$$a \cdot b = a * b + b * a, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (103)$$

Furthermore, we give the notion of a differential Zinbiel algebra: $(A, *, \Phi)$ is called a **differential Zinbiel algebra** if $(A, *, *^{\text{op}}, \Phi)$ is a differential dendriform algebra, where $a *^{\text{op}} b = b * a$ for any $a, b \in A$. It is obvious that the associated differential algebra of a differential Zinbiel algebra is commutative.

Definition 5.43. ([2]) A **(left) pre-Poisson algebra** is a triple $(A, \diamond, *)$, where (A, \diamond) is a pre-Lie algebra and $(A, *)$ is a Zinbiel algebra such that the following conditions hold:

$$(a \diamond b - b \diamond a) * c = a \diamond (b * c) - b * (a \diamond c), \quad (104)$$

$$(a * b + b * a) \diamond c = a * (b \diamond c) + b * (a \diamond c), \quad (105)$$

for any $a, b, c \in A$.

Proposition 5.44. ([2, Proposition 2.2]) Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be a pre-Poisson algebra. Define two bilinear multiplications $\cdot, [\ , \] : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ respectively by

$$a \cdot b := a * b + b * a \text{ and } [a, b] := a \diamond b - b \diamond a, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (106)$$

Then $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ is a Poisson algebra, called the **associated Poisson algebra** of $(A, \diamond, *)$.

Proposition 5.45. Let $(A, *, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a differential Zinbiel algebra. Define a bilinear multiplication $\diamond : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ by

$$a \diamond b := \partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (107)$$

Then $(A, \diamond, *)$ is a pre-Poisson algebra, called the **induced pre-Poisson algebra** of $(A, *, \Phi)$.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a \diamond (b \diamond c) - (a \diamond b) \diamond c = \partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b \diamond c) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b \diamond c) - \partial_1(a \diamond b) * \partial_2(c) + \partial_2(a \diamond b) * \partial_1(c) \\ &= \partial_1(a) * \partial_2(\partial_1(b) * \partial_2(c) - \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(c)) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(\partial_1(b) * \partial_2(c) - \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(c)) \\ &\quad - \partial_1(\partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b)) * \partial_2(c) + \partial_2(\partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b)) * \partial_1(c) \\ &= \partial_1(a) * (\partial_1(b) * \partial_2^2(c)) + \partial_2(a) * (\partial_2(b) * \partial_1^2(c)) + (\partial_1 \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(a) + \partial_1 \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b)) * \partial_2(c) \\ &\quad + (\partial_1 \partial_2(b) * \partial_2(a) + \partial_1 \partial_2(a) * \partial_2(b)) * \partial_1(c) - (\partial_2^2(b) * \partial_1(a) + \partial_2^2(a) * \partial_1(b)) * \partial_1(c) \\ &\quad - (\partial_1^2(b) * \partial_2(a) + \partial_1^2(a) * \partial_2(b)) * \partial_2(c) \\ &\quad - (\partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) + \partial_1(b) * \partial_2(a) + \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b) + \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(a)) * \partial_1 \partial_2(c). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of above equation is symmetric about a and b . Hence

$$a \diamond (b \diamond c) - (a \diamond b) \diamond c = b \diamond (a \diamond c) - (b \diamond a) \diamond c.$$

Thus (A, \diamond) is a pre-Lie algebra. Similarly, we have

$$(a \diamond b - b \diamond a) * c = a \diamond (b * c) - b * (a \diamond c), \quad (a * b + b * a) \diamond c = a * (b \diamond c) + b * (a \diamond c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

Therefore $(A, \diamond, *)$ is pre-Poisson algebra. \square

Theorem 5.46. Let $(A, *, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a differential Zinbiel algebra. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be the associated commutative differential algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \cdot is defined by Eq. (103). Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be the induced pre-Poisson algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \diamond is defined by Eq. (107). Then the associated Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ of the pre-Poisson algebra $(A, \diamond, *)$, where $[\ , \]$ and \cdot are respectively defined by Eq. (106), is exactly the induced Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ of the differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) , where $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Hence we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{differential Zinbiel algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{Eq. (103)}} & \text{commutative differential algebra} \\ (A, *, \Phi) & & (A, \cdot, \Phi) \\ \downarrow \text{Eq. (107)} & & \downarrow \text{Eq. (63)} \\ \text{pre-Poisson algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{Eq. (106)}} & \text{Poisson algebra} \\ (A, \diamond, *) & & (A, [\ , \], \cdot) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$. Then as the associated Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ of $(A, \diamond, *)$, by Eqs. (106) and (107), we have

$$[a, b] = a \diamond b - b \diamond a = (\partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b)) - (\partial_1(b) * \partial_2(a) - \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(a)).$$

On the other hand, as the induced Poisson algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ of (A, \cdot, Φ) , by Eqs. (63) and (103), we have

$$[a, b] = \partial_1(a) \cdot \partial_2(b) - \partial_2(a) \cdot \partial_1(b) = (\partial_1(a) * \partial_2(b) + \partial_2(b) * \partial_1(a)) - (\partial_2(a) * \partial_1(b) + \partial_1(b) * \partial_2(a)).$$

Hence two Lie algebras $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ coincide. Obviously, two commutative algebras (A, \cdot) coincide. Therefore the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 5.47. ([30, Theorem 5.18]) *Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be a Poisson algebra. Suppose T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ, μ) . Define two bilinear multiplications $*$, $\circ : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ respectively by*

$$u \diamond v := \rho(T(u))v, \quad u * v := \mu(T(u))v, \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (108)$$

*Then $(V, \diamond, *)$ is a pre-Poisson algebra.*

Let $(A, \cdot, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a commutative differential algebra. Suppose T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to a representation $(V, \mu, \Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$. Then $(V, *, \Omega)$ is a differential Zinbiel algebra by Proposition 4.24, where $*$ is defined by Eq. (61) in the commutative case, that is,

$$u * v := \mu(T(u))v \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (109)$$

Furthermore, there is the induced pre-Poisson algebra $(V, \diamond, *)$ of $(V, *, \Omega)$ by Proposition 5.45, where \diamond is defined by Eq. (107). On the other hand, let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Then by Proposition 5.35, T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to (V, ρ_μ, μ) which is the induced representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ with respect to (V, μ, Ω) . Hence there is a pre-Poisson algebra $(V, \diamond', *')$ by Lemma 5.47, where \diamond' and $*'$ are respectively defined by Eq. (108).

Proposition 5.48. *With the conditions above. Then the two pre-Poisson algebras $(V, \diamond, *)$ and $(V, \diamond', *')$ coincide. Hence we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} T \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \\ \text{associated to } (V, \mu, \Omega) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 4.24}} & \begin{array}{c} (V, *, \Omega) \\ \text{a differential} \\ \text{Zinbiel algebra} \end{array} \\ \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.35} & & \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.45} \\ \begin{array}{c} T \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot) \\ \text{associated to } (V, \rho_\mu, \mu) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lem. 5.47}} & \begin{array}{c} (V, \diamond, *) \\ \text{a pre-Poisson} \\ \text{algebra} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in V$. Then by Eqs. (107) and (109), we have

$$u * v = \mu(T(u))v, \quad u \diamond v = \alpha_1(u) * \alpha_2(v) - \alpha_2(u) * \alpha_1(v) = \mu(T(\alpha_1(u)))\alpha_2(v) - \mu(T(\alpha_2(u)))\alpha_1(v).$$

On the other hand, by Eqs. (108) and (66), we have

$$u *' v = \mu(T(u))v$$

$$u \diamond' v = \rho_\mu(T(u))v = \mu(\partial_1(T(u)))\alpha_2(v) - \mu(\partial_2(T(u)))\alpha_1(v) \stackrel{(52)}{=} \mu(T(\alpha_1(u)))\alpha_2(v) - \mu(T(\alpha_2(u)))\alpha_1(v).$$

Hence $(V, \diamond, *)$ and $(V, \diamond', *')$ coincide. \square

Proposition 5.49. ([30, Example 5.16]) *Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be a pre-Poisson algebra and $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ be the associated Poisson algebra of $(A, \diamond, *)$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and \cdot are defined by Eq. (106). Then (A, L_\diamond, L_*) is a representation of $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$, where $L_\diamond, L_* : A \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ are respectively defined by*

$$L_\diamond(a)(b) := a \diamond b, \quad L_*(a)(b) := a * b, \quad \forall a, b \in A. \quad (110)$$

Furthermore, the identity map $\text{id} : A \rightarrow A$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \cdot)$ associated to (A, L_\diamond, L_) .*

Proposition 5.50. *Let $(A, *, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a differential Zinbiel algebra. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be the associated commutative differential algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \cdot is defined by Eq. (103) and $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , where $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be the induced pre-Poisson algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$ given in Proposition 5.45, where \diamond is defined by Eq. (107). Then the representation (A, L_\diamond, L_*) of the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ is exactly the induced representation (A, ρ_{L_*}, L_*) of $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ with respect to (A, L_*, Φ) , that is, $L_\diamond = \rho_{L_*}$. Furthermore, on the one hand, the identity map id is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the commutative differential algebra (A, \cdot, Φ) associated to (A, L_*, Φ) by Proposition 4.23 and hence id is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ associated to (A, ρ_{L_*}, L_*) by Proposition 5.35. On the other hand, id is an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ associated to (A, L_\diamond, L_*) by Proposition 5.49. Therefore the two approaches for id as an \mathcal{O} -operator on the Poisson algebra $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ coincide and hence we have the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} (A, *, \Phi) \\ \text{a differential} \\ \text{Zinbiel algebra} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 4.23}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{id} \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, \cdot, \Phi) \\ \text{associated to } (A, L_*, \Phi) \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.45} & & \downarrow \text{Prop. 5.35} \\
 \begin{array}{c} (A, \diamond, *) \\ \text{a pre-Poisson} \\ \text{algebra} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\text{Prop. 5.49}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{id} \\ \text{an } \mathcal{O}\text{-operator on } (A, [\ , \], \cdot) \\ \text{associated to } (A, L_\diamond, L_*) \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. In fact, we have

$$\rho_{L_*}(a)(b) = L_*(\partial_1(a))\partial_2(b) - L_*(\partial_2(a))\partial_1(b) \stackrel{(107)}{=} a \diamond b = L_\diamond(a)(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Hence the conclusion follows from Propositions 4.23, 5.35, 5.45 and 5.49. \square

At the end of this paper, we give the following construction of Poisson bialgebras from differential Zinbiel algebras.

Proposition 5.51. *Let $(A, *, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ be a differential Zinbiel algebra. Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be the associated commutative differential algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \cdot is defined by Eq. (103) and $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , where $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63). Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be the induced pre-Poisson algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \diamond is defined by Eq. (107). Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A and $\{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n\}$ be its dual basis. Set*

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i \otimes e^i - e^i \otimes e_i).$$

Then there is a Poisson bialgebra $(A \ltimes_{-L_\diamond, L_} A^*, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$, where δ and Δ are defined by Eqs. (94) and (95) with the above r respectively. Moreover, $(A \ltimes_{L_*} A^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi - \Phi^*, -\Phi + \Phi^*)$ is a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra whose induced Poisson bialgebra is exactly $(A \ltimes_{-L_\diamond, L_*} A^*, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$.*

Proof. Note $\text{id} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e^i$ and $r = \text{id} - \sigma(\text{id})$. The first conclusion follows from Proposition 5.50, Theorem 5.37 and Proposition 5.29. The second conclusion follows from Proposition 5.50, Corollaries 5.40 and 5.32. Note that here Eq. (78) holds where δ_k is replaced by $-\partial_k + \partial_k^*$ and ∂_k is replaced by $\partial_k - \partial_k^*$ for $k = 1, 2$. \square

We give the following example to illustrate the above construction explicitly. Note that the classification of complex differential Zinbiel algebras in dimension ≤ 4 is given in [5].

Example 5.52. Let $(A, *)$ be a Zinbiel algebra in dimension 3 with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ whose non-zero product is given by

$$e_1 * e_1 = e_3, e_2 * e_1 = e_3, e_2 * e_2 = e_3.$$

Let $\partial_1, \partial_2 : A \rightarrow A$ be two liner maps respectively given by

$$\partial_1(e_1) = e_1, \partial_1(e_2) = e_2, \partial_1(e_3) = 2e_3; \quad \partial_2(e_1) = e_2, \partial_2(e_2) = -e_1 + e_2, \partial_2(e_3) = e_3.$$

Then $(A, *, \Phi = \{\partial_1, \partial_2\})$ is a differential Zinbiel algebra. Let $\{e^1, e^2, e^3\}$ be the dual basis of $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Then we have

$$\partial_1^*(e^1) = e^1, \partial_1^*(e^2) = e^2, \partial_1^*(e^3) = 2e^3; \quad \partial_2^*(e^1) = -e^2, \partial_2^*(e^2) = e^1 + e^2, \partial_2^*(e^3) = e^3.$$

Let (A, \cdot, Φ) be the associated commutative differential algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \cdot is defined by Eq. (103) whose non-zero product is given by

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2 \cdot e_2 = 2e_3, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_3.$$

Let $(A, [\ , \], \cdot)$ be the induced Poisson algebra of (A, \cdot, Φ) , where $[\ , \]$ is defined by Eq. (63) whose non-zero product is given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = -3e_3.$$

Let $(A, \diamond, *)$ be the induced pre-Poisson algebra of $(A, *, \Phi)$, where \diamond is defined by Eq. (107) whose non-zero product is given by

$$e_1 \diamond e_1 = -e_3, \quad e_1 \diamond e_2 = -2e_3, \quad e_2 \diamond e_1 = e_3, \quad e_2 \diamond e_2 = -e_3.$$

Hence the non-zero product of $[\ , \]$ and \cdot on the Poisson algebra $(A \ltimes_{-L_\diamond^*, L_*^*} A^*, [\ , \], \cdot)$ is given respectively by

$$[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1] = -3e_3, \quad [e_1, e^3] = -[e^3, e_1] = e^1 + 2e^2, \quad [e_2, e^3] = -[e^3, e_2] = -e^1 + e^2;$$

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2 \cdot e_2 = 2e_3, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_3, \quad e_1 \cdot e^3 = e^3 \cdot e_1 = e^1, \quad e_2 \cdot e^3 = e^3 \cdot e_2 = e^1 + e^2.$$

Set $r = \sum_{i=1}^3 (e_i \otimes e^i - e^i \otimes e_i)$. Define δ and Δ by Eqs. (94) and (95) respectively. Thus we have

$$\delta(e_1) = e_3 \otimes e^1 - e^1 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e^2 + e^2 \otimes e_3, \quad \delta(e_2) = 2e_3 \otimes e^1 - 2e^1 \otimes e^3 + e_3 \otimes e^2 - e^2 \otimes e_3,$$

$$\delta(e_3) = \delta(e^1) = \delta(e^2) = 0, \quad \delta(e^3) = 3e^1 \otimes e^2 - 3e^2 \otimes e^1;$$

$$\Delta(e_1) = -e_3 \otimes e^1 - e^1 \otimes e_3 - e^2 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e^2, \quad \Delta(e_2) = -e_3 \otimes e^2 - e^2 \otimes e_3,$$

$$\Delta(e_3) = \Delta(e^1) = \Delta(e^2) = 0, \quad \Delta(e^3) = -2e^1 \otimes e^1 - 2e^2 \otimes e^2 - e^2 \otimes e^1 - e^1 \otimes e^2.$$

Therefore $(A \ltimes_{-L_\diamond^*, L_*^*} A^*, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Poisson bialgebra. At the same time, we obtain a commutative and cocommutative differential ASI bialgebra $(A \ltimes_{L_*^*} A^*, \cdot, \Delta, \Phi - \Phi^*, -\Phi + \Phi^*)$ whose induced Poisson bialgebra is exactly $(A \ltimes_{-L_\diamond^*, L_*^*} A^*, [\ , \], \cdot, \delta, \Delta)$.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by NSFC (11931009). C. Bai is also supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and Nankai Zhide Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.
- [2] M. Aguiar, Pre-Poisson algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 54 (2000), 263–277.
- [3] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras, *J. Algebra* 244 (2001), 492–532.
- [4] M. Aguiar, Infinitesimal bialgebras, pre-Lie and dendriform algebras. In “Hopf Algebras”, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 237, Dekker, New York, 2004, 1–33.
- [5] H. Almutari and A. G. Ahmad, Derivations of some classes of Zinbiel algebras, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 118 (2018), 397–406.
- [6] M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, Asymptotic Differential Algebra and Model Theory of Transseries, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2017.
- [7] C. Bai, Double constructions of Frobenius algebras, Connes cocycles and their duality, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* 4 (2010), 475–530.
- [8] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, \mathcal{O} -operators on associative algebras and associative Yang-Baxter equations, *Pacific J. Math.* 256 (2012), 257–289.
- [9] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Relative Rota-Baxter operators and tridendriform algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 12 (2013), 1350027.

- [10] C. Bai, L. Guo and Y. Sheng, Coherent categorical structures for Lie bialgebras, Manin triples, classical r -matrices and pre-Lie algebras, to appear in *Forum Math.* (2022), DOI:10.1515/forum-2021-0240.
- [11] K. H. Bhaskara and K. Viswanath, Poisson Algebras and Poisson Manifolds, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1988.
- [12] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen and Z. Zhang, Gröbner-Shirshov bases method for Gelfand-Dorfman-Novikov algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 16 (2017), 1750001.
- [13] N. Bourbaki, Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. Chapters 1-3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [14] A. Buium, Differential Algebra and Diophantine Geometry, Hermann, Paris, 1994.
- [15] V. Chari and A. Pressley, A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [16] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [17] A. Connes and J. Lott, The metric aspect of noncommutative geometry. In “New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory (Cargèse, 1991)”, *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B: Phys.* 295, Plenum, New York, 1992, 53–93.
- [18] Y. Doi, Homological coalgebra, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 33 (1981), 31–50.
- [19] V. G. Drinfeld, Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 27 (1983), 68–71.
- [20] V. T. Filippov, n -Lie algebras, *Siberian Math. J.* 26 (1985), 879–891.
- [21] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. Math.* 78 (1963), 267–288.
- [22] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 212 (2008), 522–540.
- [23] B. Iochum and T. Schücker, Yang-Mills-Higgs versus Connes-Lott, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 178 (1996), 1–26.
- [24] S. A. Joni and G.-C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 61 (1979), 93–139.
- [25] W. Kalau, Hamilton formalism in non-commutative geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 18 (1996), 349–380.
- [26] W. Kalau, N. A. Papadopoulos, J. Plass and J.-M. Warzecha, Differential algebras in non-commutative geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 16 (1995), 149–167.
- [27] J. Kock, Frobenius algebras and 2D topological quantum field theories, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [28] E. R. Kolchin, Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [29] A. D. Lauda and H. Pfeiffer, Open-closed strings: two-dimensional extended TQFTs and Frobenius algebras, *Topology Appl.* 155 (2008), 623–666.
- [30] J. Liu, C. Bai and Y. Sheng, Noncommutative Poisson bialgebras, *J. Algebra* 556 (2020), 35–66.
- [31] J.-L. Loday, Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras, *Math. Scand.* 77 (1995), 189–196.
- [32] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras. In “Dialgebras and Related Operads”, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1763, Springer, Berlin 2001, 7–66.
- [33] J.-L. Loday, On the operad of associative algebras with derivation, *Georgian Math. J.* 17 (2010), 347–372.
- [34] R. Matthes, G. Rudolph and R. Wulkenhaar, On the structure of a differential algebra used by Connes and Lott, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 38 (1996), 45–66.
- [35] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 7 (1973), 2405–2412.
- [36] X. Ni and C. Bai, Poisson bialgebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 54 (2013), 023515.
- [37] A. Pillay, Model theory, differential algebra, and number theory. In “Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994)”, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 277–287.
- [38] J. F. Ritt, Differential Algebra, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1950.
- [39] A. A. Sagle and R. E. Walde, Introduction to Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [40] X. Xu, New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0, *J. Algebra* 224 (2000), 23–58.

CERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071 CHINA
 Email address: linyuanchang@mail.nankai.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064 USA
 Email address: xliu270@ucsc.edu

CERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071 CHINA
 Email address: baicm@nankai.edu.cn