

# KÄHLER SURFACES WITH SIX-POSITIVE CURVATURE OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND

XIAOLONG LI

ABSTRACT. We initiate the investigation of curvature operator of the second kind for Kähler manifolds. The main result asserts that a closed Kähler surface with six-positive curvature operator of the second kind is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . We also show that a nonflat Kähler surface with six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is either biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Riemannian curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold defines two kinds of curvature operators: the curvature operator  $\hat{R}$  (of the first kind) acting on two-forms via

$$\hat{R}(e_i \wedge e_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{ijkl} e_k \wedge e_l,$$

and  $\check{R}$  of the second kind named by Nishikawa [Nis86] acting on symmetric two-tensors via

$$\check{R}(e_i \odot e_j) = \sum_{k,l} R_{iklj} e_k \odot e_l,$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ ,  $\odot$  denotes the symmetric product. See Section 2 for a detailed introduction on curvature operator of the second kind.

Positivity assumptions on curvature operators often have strong restrictions on the topology of the underlying manifold. A fundamental result in Riemannian geometry asserts that the  $n$ -sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , up to diffeomorphism, is the only simply-connected closed manifold which admits a metric with two-positive curvature operator (of the first kind). This is proved via Ricci flow by Hamilton [Ham82] for  $n = 3$ , Hamilton [Ham86] and Chen [Che91] for  $n = 4$ , and Böhm and Wilking [BW08] for  $n \geq 5$ . For curvature operator of the second kind, Nishikawa [Nis86] conjectured in 1986 that a closed Riemannian manifold with positive curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form and a closed Riemannian manifold with nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is diffeomorphic to a Riemannian locally symmetric space. The positive and nonnegative case of Nishikawa's conjecture was recently settled under weaker assumptions by Cao, Gursky and Tran [CJT21] and the author [Li21], respectively.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C55.

*Key words and phrases.* curvature operator of the second kind, orthogonal bisectonal curvature, Kähler surfaces.

The author's research is partially supported by a start-up grant at Wichita State University.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ .*

- (1) *If  $M$  have three-positive curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.*
- (2) *If  $M$  have three-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a Riemannian locally symmetric space.*

Part (1) of Theorem 1.1 was proved by Cao, Gursky and Tran [CJT21] under two-positivity of curvature operator of the second kind, which was weakened to three-positivity by the author [Li21]. A more precise statement for part (2) was proved by the author in [Li21]. By the recent result of Nienhaus, Petersen and Wink [NPW22] asserting that a closed  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with  $\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind is either a rational homology sphere or flat, the conclusion in part (2) can be further strengthened to that a closed Riemannian manifold with three-nonnegative curvature operator is either flat or diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

Another important result obtained by Cao, Gursky and Tran [CJT21] states that  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is, up to homomorphism, the only simply-connected closed manifold which admits a metric with four-positive curvature operator of the second kind. This follows from their observation that four-positive curvature operator of the second kind implies positive isotropic curvature, and the work of Micallef and Moore [MM88]. An improvement of their result has been obtained by the author in [Li22].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 4$  with  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind. Then  $M$  is homeomorphic to a spherical space form. If either  $n = 4$  or  $n \geq 12$ , then the “homeomorphism” can be upgraded to “diffeomorphism”.*

We refer the reader to Section 2 for definition of  $(k + \alpha)$ -positive/nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. The curvature assumption in Theorem 1.2 is sharp in dimension four, as for both  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , their curvature operators of the second kind are  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative, but not  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive (see [BK78] and [Li21]).

The purpose of this paper is to initiate the study of curvature operator of the second kind for Kähler manifolds. A natural question is to seek for a positivity condition on curvature operator of the second kind that characterizes the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ . We manage to achieve this in complex dimension two.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Kähler surface with six-positive curvature operator of the second kind. Then  $M$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .*

The curvature assumption in Theorem 1.3 cannot be weakened, as the product manifold  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , with the same round metric on both factors, has  $(6 + \alpha)$ -positive curvature operator of the second for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  (see [CJT21] or [Li21]).

Rigidity theorems for three-nonnegative and  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind were obtained in [Li21] and [Li22], respectively. Here we also have a rigidity theorem for six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(M^4, g)$  be a closed Kähler surface. Suppose that  $M$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then one of the following statements holds:*

- (1)  $M$  is flat;
- (2)  $M$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ ;
- (3) the universal cover of  $M$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , with both factors equipped with the same round metric.

In Kähler geometry, there are several positivity conditions on curvature that characterize the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ . For instance,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$  is, up to biholomorphism, the only closed manifold that admits a Kähler metric with positive bisectional curvature. This was known as the Frankel conjecture [Fra61] and it was proved independently by Mori [Mor79] and Siu and Yau [SY80]. A weaker condition, called positive orthogonal bisectional curvature, also characterizes  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$  up to biholomorphism among closed Kähler manifolds. This is due to Chen [Che07] and Gu and Zhang [GZ10] (see also Wilking [Wil13] for an alternative proof using Ricci flow).

Therefore, Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $(M^m, g)$  be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $m \geq 2$ . If  $M$  has six-positive (respectively, six-nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  has positive (respectively, nonnegative) orthogonal bisectional curvature.*

Theorem 1.5 implies that in complex dimensions  $m \geq 3$ , a closed Kähler manifold with six-positive curvature operator of the second kind, if exists, is necessarily biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ . However,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$  equipped with the Fubini-Study metric does not have six-positive curvature operator of the second kind for any  $m \geq 3$ . Bourguignon and Karcher [BK78] determined that the eigenvalues of the curvature operator of the second kind for  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$  are:  $-2$  with multiplicity  $(m-1)(m+1)$  and  $4$  with multiplicity  $m(m+1)$ . In particular  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$  have 12-nonnegative, but not 12-positive curvature operator of the second kind. Thus, characterizing  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$  using a positivity condition on curvature operator of the second kind remains an interesting problem in higher dimensions  $m \geq 3$ .

Naturally, one might wonder what can be said for a closed Riemannian manifold with six-positive (respectively, six-nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind. Regarding this question, we prove

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 4$ . If  $M$  has six-positive (respectively, six-nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind, then for any  $p \in M$  and any orthonormal four-frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  in  $T_p M$ , it holds that*

$$(1.1) \quad R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} > (\text{respectively, } \geq) 0.$$

Theorem 1.6 is sharp on the example  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , whose curvature operator of the second kind is six-nonnegative (but not six-positive) and (1.1) holds as an equality for suitable four-frames. Indeed, the strategy to prove Theorem 1.6 is to use  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  as a model case. Similar strategies have been used to show prove Theorem 1.2 in [Li22] using  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  as model cases.

Although (1.1) alone does not even imply positive/nonnegative Ricci curvature for Riemannian manifolds, it implies positive/nonnegative orthogonal bisectional

curvature for Kähler manifolds. As shown in Section 4, Theorem 1.5 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.6.

We point out that although both positive orthogonal bisectional curvature and six-positive curvature operator of the second kind characterize  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  up to biholomorphism among closed Kähler manifolds, six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind turns out to be geometrically much stronger than nonnegative orthogonal bisectional curvature by comparing Theorem 1.4 and [GZ10, Theorem 1.3]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give an introduction to curvature operator of the second kind. The proof of Theorem 1.6 is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.3. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is given in Section 5.

## 2. CURVATURE OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND

The purpose of this section is to give an introduction to curvature operator of the second kind, as it is significantly less investigated than curvature operator (of the first kind) in the literature. Along the way, we also fix our notations, state our conventions and make some definitions. Most of this section is extracted from [Li21]. The reader is also referred to [OT79, BK78, Nis86, Kas93, CJT21] for more information as well as previous results concerning curvature operator of the second kind.

Let  $(V, g)$  be a Euclidean vector space of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . We always identify  $V$  with its dual space  $V^*$  via the metric  $g$ . The space of bilinear forms on  $V$  is denote by  $T^2(V)$ , and it splits as

$$T^2(V) = S^2(V) \oplus \Lambda^2(V),$$

where  $S^2(V)$  is the space of symmetric two-tensors on  $V$  and  $\Lambda^2(V)$  is the space of two-forms on  $V$ . Our conventions on symmetric products and wedge products are that, for  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V$ ,  $\odot$  denotes the symmetric product defined by

$$u \odot v = u \otimes v + v \otimes u,$$

and  $\wedge$  denotes the wedge product defined by

$$u \wedge v = u \otimes v - v \otimes u.$$

The inner product  $g$  on  $V$  naturally induces inner products on  $S^2(V)$  and  $\Lambda^2(V)$ . To be consistent with [CJT21], the inner product on  $S^2(V)$  is defined as

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \text{tr}(u^T v),$$

and the inner product on  $\Lambda^2(V)$  is defined as

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(u^T v).$$

In particular, if  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ , then  $\{e_i \wedge e_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\Lambda^2(V)$  and  $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_i \odot e_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cup \{\frac{1}{2}e_i \odot e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $S^2(V)$ .

The space of symmetric two-tensors on  $\Lambda^2(V)$  has the orthogonal decomposition

$$S^2(\Lambda^2(V)) = S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V)) \oplus \Lambda^4(V),$$

where  $S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V))$  consists of all tensors  $R \in S^2(\Lambda^2(V))$  that also satisfy the first Bianchi identity. Any  $R \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V))$  is called an algebraic curvature operator.

By the symmetries of  $R \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V))$  (not including the first Bianchi identity), there are (up to sign) two ways that  $R$  can induce a symmetric linear map  $\hat{R} : T^2(V) \rightarrow T^2(V)$ . The first one, denoted by  $\hat{R} : \Lambda^2(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V)$  in this paper, is the so-called curvature operator defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{R}(e_i \wedge e_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{ijkl} e_k \wedge e_l$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . Note that if the eigenvalues of  $\hat{R}$  are all greater than or equal to  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , then all the sectional curvatures of  $R$  are bounded from below by  $\kappa$ .

The second one, denoted by  $\mathring{R} : S^2(V) \rightarrow S^2(V)$ , is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathring{R}(e_i \odot e_j) = \sum_{k,l} R_{iklj} e_k \odot e_l.$$

However, on contrary to the case of  $\hat{R}$ , all eigenvalues of  $\mathring{R}$  being nonnegative implies all the sectional curvatures of  $R$  are zero, that is,  $R \equiv 0$ . The new feature here is that  $S^2(V)$  is not irreducible under the action of the orthogonal group  $O(V)$  of  $V$ . The space  $S^2(V)$  splits into  $O(V)$ -irreducible subspaces as

$$S^2(V) = S_0^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{R}g,$$

where  $S_0^2(V)$  denotes the space of traceless symmetric two-tensors on  $V$ . The map  $\mathring{R}$  defined in (2.2) then induces a bilinear form

$$(2.3) \quad \mathring{R} : S_0^2(V) \times S_0^2(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by restriction to  $S_0^2(V)$ . Note that if all the eigenvalues of  $\mathring{R}$  restricted to  $S_0^2(V)$  are bounded from below by  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the sectional curvatures of  $R$  are bounded from below by  $\kappa$ . It should be noted that  $\mathring{R}$  does not preserve the subspace  $S_0^2(V)$  in general, but it does, for instance, when  $R$  has constant Ricci curvature.

Following [Nis86], we call  $\mathring{R}$  in (2.3) (restricted to  $S_0^2(V)$ ) the *curvature operator of the second kind*, to distinguish it from the map  $\hat{R}$  defined in (2.1), which he called the *curvature operator of the first kind*.

We make the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R \in S_B^2(\Lambda^2(V))$  be an algebraic curvature operator. Denote by  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N$  the eigenvalues of  $\mathring{R}$  (restricted to  $S_0^2(V)$ ), where  $N = \dim(S_0^2(V)) = \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  satisfying  $k + \alpha \leq N$ , we say  $R$  has  $(k + \alpha)$ -nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind if

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k + \alpha \lambda_{k+1} \geq 0.$$

If the above inequality is strict,  $R$  is said to have  $(k + \alpha)$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  satisfying  $k + \alpha \leq N$ , we say  $M$  has  $(k + \alpha)$ -positive

(respectively,  $(k + \alpha)$ -nonnegative) curvature operator of the second kind if the Riemannian curvature tensor at each point  $p \in M$  has  $(k + \alpha)$ -positive (respectively,  $(k + \alpha)$ -nonnegative) curvature of the second kind.

### 3. SIX-POSITIVITY

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6.

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in M$  and let  $V = T_p M$ . Given an orthonormal four-frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  in  $V$ , we define the following symmetric two-tensors on  $V$ :

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{4}(e_1 \odot e_1 + e_2 \odot e_2 - e_3 \odot e_3 - e_4 \odot e_4),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \odot e_3$$

$$\varphi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \odot e_4,$$

$$\varphi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_2 \odot e_3,$$

$$\varphi_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_2 \odot e_4$$

$$\varphi_6 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 \odot e_2$$

$$\varphi_7 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_3 \odot e_4,$$

and

$$\varphi_8 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \odot e_1 - e_2 \odot e_2),$$

$$\varphi_9 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(e_3 \odot e_3 - e_4 \odot e_4).$$

One easily verifies that these tensors form an orthonormal subset in  $S_0^2(V)$ .

Suppose that  $M$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_8, \varphi_8) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9) \geq 0.$$

Averaging the above four inequalities yields

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_8, \varphi_8) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9) \right) \geq 0.$$

Next, we calculate the terms  $\mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i)$ . Notice that the only non-vanishing terms of  $\varphi_1$  are

$$(\varphi_1)_{11} = (\varphi_1)_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (\varphi_1)_{33} = (\varphi_1)_{44} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n R_{ijkl}(\varphi_1)_{il}(\varphi_1)_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{ijji}(\varphi_1)_{ii}(\varphi_1)_{jj} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-R_{1212} - R_{3434} + R_{1313} + R_{2424} + R_{1414} + R_{2323}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}(\varphi_2, \varphi_2) &= R_{1313}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_3, \varphi_3) &= R_{1414}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_4, \varphi_4) &= R_{2323}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_5, \varphi_5) &= R_{2424}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) &= R_{1212}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) &= R_{3434}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_8, \varphi_8) &= R_{1212}, \\ \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9) &= R_{3434}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above nine identities into (3.1) produces, after simplification, that

$$\frac{3}{2} (R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424}) \geq 0.$$

If  $M$  has six-positive curvature operator of the second kind, then all the above inequalities become strict and we conclude that

$$R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} > 0.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

In the section, we present the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Recall that on a Kähler manifold there exists a section  $J$  of the endomorphism bundle  $\text{End}(TM)$  with following properties:

- (1)  $J$  is parallel;
- (2) for each point  $p \in M$ , we have  $J^2 = -\text{id}$  and  $g(X, Y) = g(JX, JY)$  for all  $X, Y \in T_pM$ ;

(3) the Riemann curvature tensor satisfies

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, JZ, JW)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z, W \in T_p M$ .

Given two unit vectors  $X, Y \in T_p M$  satisfying  $g(X, Y) = g(X, JY) = 0$ , we have by (2) that

$$g(JX, Y) = g(J^2 X, JY) = -g(X, JY) = 0$$

and

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y) = 0.$$

Thus  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$  is an orthonormal four-frame in  $T_p M$ .

Since  $M$  has six-positive curvature operator of the second kind, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &R(X, Y, X, Y) + R(JX, Y, JX, Y) \\ &+ R(X, JY, X, JY) + R(JX, JY, JX, JY) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

via Theorem 1.6.

On the other hand, it follows from the first Bianchi identity and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2R(X, JX, Y, JY) &= R(X, Y, X, Y) + R(JX, Y, JX, Y) \\ &\quad + R(X, JY, X, JY) + R(JX, JY, JX, JY). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that for all unit vectors  $X, Y \in T_p M$  satisfying  $g(X, Y) = g(X, JY) = 0$ , it holds that

$$(4.1) \quad R(X, JX, Y, JY) = 0.$$

In other words,  $M$  has positive orthogonal bisectional curvature.

Similarly, if  $M$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  has nonnegative orthogonal bisectional curvature. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By Theorem 1.5, if  $M$  has six-positive curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  has positive orthogonal bisectional curvature. Theorem 1.3 follows from the classification of closed Kähler manifolds with positive orthogonal bisectional curvature (see for example [GZ10, Corollary 3.2] or [Wil13]). □

## 5. RIGIDITY

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Theorem 1.5, if  $M$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, then  $M$  has nonnegative orthogonal bisectional curvature.

Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the universal cover of  $M$ . According to [GZ10, Theorem 1.3], if  $M$  is non-flat, then  $\widetilde{M}$  is either biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or splits isometrically as  $M_1 \times M_2$ . In view of Lemma 5.1 below, both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with the round metric. □



**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose the Riemannian product manifold  $(M, g) = (M_1, g_1) \times (M_2, g_2)$  with  $\dim(M_1) = \dim(M_2) = 2$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. Then either  $M$  is flat or  $M$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ .*

*Proof.* We pick  $p \in M_1$ ,  $q \in M_2$  and then choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_p M_1$  and an orthonormal basis  $\{e_3, e_4\}$  of  $T_q M_2$ . Let  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_9\}$  be the basis of  $S_0^2(T_{p,q}M)$  defined in Section 3. Then we have

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) = -\frac{1}{2}(R_{1212} + R_{3434}),$$

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) = 0 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

and

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) = \mathring{R}(\varphi_8, \varphi_8) = R_{1212};$$

$$\mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) = \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9) = R_{3434}.$$

Since  $M$  has six-nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind, we get

$$2R_{1212} = \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_8, \varphi_8) \geq 0;$$

$$2R_{3434} = \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_9, \varphi_9) \geq 0;$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(R_{3434} - R_{1212}) = \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_6, \varphi_6) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \geq 0;$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(R_{1212} - R_{3434}) = \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathring{R}(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_7, \varphi_7) + \mathring{R}(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we must have

$$R_{1212} = R_{3434} \geq 0.$$

It follows that both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  has nonnegative constant scalar curvature, so  $M$  is either flat or isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  with both factors having the same round metric.

□

#### REFERENCES

- [BK78] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon and Hermann Karcher. Curvature operators: pinching estimates and geometric examples. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(1):71–92, 1978.
- [BW08] Christoph Böhm and Burkhard Wilking. Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1079–1097, 2008.
- [Che91] Haiwen Chen. Pointwise  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched 4-manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 9(2):161–176, 1991.
- [Che07] X. X. Chen. On Kähler manifolds with positive orthogonal bisectional curvature. *Adv. Math.*, 215(2):427–445, 2007.
- [CJT21] Xiaodong Cao, Gursky Matthew J., and Hung Tran. Curvature of the second kind and a conjecture of Nishikawa. *arXiv:2112.01212*, 2021.

- [Fra61] Theodore Frankel. Manifolds with positive curvature. *Pacific J. Math.*, 11:165–174, 1961.
- [GZ10] HuiLing Gu and ZhuHong Zhang. An extension of Mok’s theorem on the generalized Frankel conjecture. *Sci. China Math.*, 53(5):1253–1264, 2010.
- [Ham82] Richard S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(2):255–306, 1982.
- [Ham86] Richard S. Hamilton. Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator. *J. Differential Geom.*, 24(2):153–179, 1986.
- [Kas93] Toyoko Kashiwada. On the curvature operator of the second kind. *Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.*, 44(2):69–73, 1993.
- [Li21] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with nonnegative curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2112.08465v2*, 2021.
- [Li22] Xiaolong Li. Manifolds with  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -positive curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2206.15011*, 2022.
- [MM88] Mario J. Micallef and John Douglas Moore. Minimal two-spheres and the topology of manifolds with positive curvature on totally isotropic two-planes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 127(1):199–227, 1988.
- [Mor79] Shigefumi Mori. Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 110(3):593–606, 1979.
- [Nis86] Seiki Nishikawa. On deformation of Riemannian metrics and manifolds with positive curvature operator. In *Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985)*, volume 1201 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 202–211. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [NPW22] Jan Nienhaus, Peter Petersen, and Matthias Wink. Betti numbers and the curvature operator of the second kind. *arXiv:2206.14218*, 2022.
- [OT79] Koichi Ogiue and Shun-ichi Tachibana. Les variétés riemanniennes dont l’opérateur de courbure restreint est positif sont des sphères d’homologie réelle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 289(1):A29–A30, 1979.
- [SY80] Yum Tong Siu and Shing Tung Yau. Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 59(2):189–204, 1980.
- [Wil13] Burkhard Wilking. A Lie algebraic approach to Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions and Harnack inequalities. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 679:223–247, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND PHYSICS, WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY, WICHITA, KS, 67260