

Large Deviations for Stochastic Generalized Porous Media Equations driven by Lévy Noise ^{*}

Weina Wu^{a†} Jianliang Zhai^{b‡}

a. School of Economics, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics,
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China.

b. School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

Abstract. We establish a large deviation principle (LDP) for a class of stochastic porous media equations driven by Lévy-type noise on a σ -finite measure space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$, and with the Laplacian replaced by a negative definite self-adjoint operator. One of the main contributions of this paper is that we do not assume the compactness of embeddings in the corresponding Gelfand triple, and to do this, a new procedure is provided. This is the first paper to deal with LDPs for stochastic evolution equations with Lévy noise without compactness conditions. The coefficient Ψ is assumed to satisfy the nondecreasing Lipschitz nonlinearity, an important physical problem covered by this case is the Stefan problem. Plenty examples of the negative definite self-adjoint operators are applicable to our results, for example, for open $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $L = \text{Laplacian}$ or fractional Laplacians, i.e., $L = -(-\Delta)^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, generalized Schrödinger operators, i.e., $L = \Delta + 2\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla$, Laplacians on fractals is also included.

Keywords. stochastic porous media equations; Lévy noise; large deviation principle; weak convergence; sub-Markovian; strongly continuous contraction semigroup.

1 Introduction

Let $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ be a σ -finite measurable space. We assume that $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ is a standard measurable space, i.e., σ -isomorphic to a Polish space; see [26]. Let $L^2(\mu) := L^2(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$. The purpose of this paper is to establish a Freidlin Wentzell-type large deviation principle to the following stochastic generalized porous media equations (SGPMEs) driven by Lévy

^{*}Weina Wu's research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 11901285), China Scholarship Council (CSC) (No. 202008320239). Jianliang Zhai's research is supported by NSFC (No. 12131019, 11971456, 11721101), School Start-up Fund (USTC) KY0010000036, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. WK3470000016).

[†]E-mail:wuweinaforever@163.com

[‡]E-mail:zhaijl@ustc.edu.cn

process:

$$\begin{cases} dX^\epsilon(t) = L\Psi(X^\epsilon(t))dt + \epsilon \int_Z f(t, X^\epsilon(t-), z) \tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}(dz, dt), & t \in [0, T], \\ X^\epsilon(0) = x \in L^2(\mu), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where L is the infinitesimal generator of a symmetric sub-Markovian strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mu)$. $\Psi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonically nondecreasing Lipschitz continuous function. $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter and $\tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ is a compensated Poisson random measure on $[0, T] \times Z$ with a σ -finite intensity measure $\epsilon^{-1} \lambda_T \otimes \nu$, where λ_T is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$ and ν is a σ -finite measure on Z . For the definition of the compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$, we refer to Section 2 below. For the precise conditions on Ψ and f , we refer to Section 3 below.

The classical porous media equation:

$$dX(t) = \Delta X^m(t) dt \quad (1.2)$$

on a domain in \mathbb{R}^d , for $m > 1$, models the flow of ionized gases at high temperature, the nonlinear heat transfer and the filtration of incompressible fluids through a porous stratum; see e.g., [1, 45] and references therein. Since the foundational work in [12, 13], there have been lots of literatures on the study of stochastic porous media equations (SPMEs), including the well-posedness of solutions and their long-time behaviors; see e.g., [5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 18, 19] and references therein.

SGPMEs extend the study of SPMEs with the nonlinear term $\Delta X^m(t)$ on a domain in \mathbb{R}^d to that with the nonlinear term $L\Psi(X(t))$ on general measure spaces $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$. In general, the methods and techniques available for investigating SPMEs are not suitable for SGPMEs, therefore, new and sophisticated tools are needed. For the cases driven by Wiener processes, SGPMEs have been investigated by many people, for example, the existence and uniqueness of solutions ([14, 29, 30, 33]), large deviation principles (LDPs) ([32, 38]), invariant measures ([31]), Harnack inequality ([37]), and so on. In contrast, there are only a few studies of SGPMEs driven by Lévy-type or Poisson-type perturbations, let alone the properties of their solutions. When $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ is a separable probability space, Hou and Zhou [43] considered the existence and uniqueness of solutions to SGPMEs driven by Lévy noise. Later, the ergodicity and exponential stability of the same equation were obtained in [44] and [20], respectively. When $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ is a σ -finite and standard measurable space, in a recent paper [39], we proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1). In order to have a better understanding of the asymptotic behavior of the solution to (1.1), in the present paper, we aim to investigate a LDP for (1.1). As far as we know, there is no LDP result for SGPMEs driven by Lévy-type noise so far.

Our assumptions of $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ and L are the same as in [33, 38, 39], and $(L, D(L))$ is a Dirichlet operator on $L^2(\mu)$ ([23]), which means, once one proves that L is a generator on an L^2 -space of a Dirichlet form, it applies to both our result in this paper and [33, 38, 39]. For example, let $E := U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, U open, and μ a positive Radon measure on U such that $\text{supp}[\mu] = U$, using the Dirichlet form theory, one can define its associated Dirichlet operator L on $L^2(U, \mu)$. If L is the Friedrichs extension of the operator $L_0 = \Delta + 2\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho^2 dx)$, where dx denotes the Lebesgue measure and $\rho \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one can prove that there exists a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho^2 dx)$ and L is the corresponding Dirichlet operator. For explicit verifications of the above E and L , we would like to refer to [33, Section 4]. Some other interesting examples like, if $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $L = -(-\Delta)^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, no restriction on d is needed; if E is a fractal, we can take L to be the Laplace operator on this fractal;

generalized Schrödinger operators, i.e. $L = \Delta + 2\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla$ are also included. We refer to [23, Chapter II] for other plenty of examples.

The coefficient Ψ is assumed to satisfy the nondecreasing Lipschitz nonlinearity (see **(H1)** in Section 3), an important physical problem covered by this case is the Stefan problem ([6, Section 1.1.1]). To be more precise, if $L = \Delta$ on a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d with Dirichlet boundary conditions, take Ψ to be

$$\Psi(r) = \begin{cases} ar, & \text{for } r < 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq \rho, \\ b(r - \rho), & \text{for } r > \rho, \end{cases}$$

where $a, b, \rho > 0$, then Ψ fulfills **(H1)** with Lipschitz constant $\max\{a, b\}$. In this case, (1.1) reduces to the classical two-phase Stefan problem, describing the situation where the melting (or solidification) of phase changes materials in the presence of a Lévy noise. Here Ψ is the inverse of the enthalph function associated with the phase transition and X^ϵ is related with the temperature ϑ by the transformation $\vartheta = \Psi(X^\epsilon)$.

The weak convergence approach introduced by [4, 2] has been applied to study the LDPs to various dynamical systems driven by Lévy processes; see e.g., [9, 15, 22, 36, 40, 41, 42]. Recently, a sufficient condition to verify this large deviation criteria has been improved in the paper [22] by the second author and his collaborators. It is first introduced by Matoussi, Sabbagh and Zhang in [24] for the Wiener case. This condition seems to be more effective and suitable to deal with SPDEs with high nonlinear terms; see e.g. [16, 24, 38] for the Wiener case. In this paper we will adopt this sufficient condition. The main point of our procedures is to prove the convergence of the so-called skeleton equations; see Subsection 5.1. Before this, we need to obtain the results on existence and uniqueness, and provide some a priori estimates for the solutions to the skeleton equations; see Section 4.

One of the main contributions of this paper is that we do not assume the compactness of embeddings in the corresponding Gelfand triple, which allows us to cover the important class of models on general σ -finite measurable spaces $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$, in particular the models on unbounded domains in \mathbb{R}^d . However, previous results on LDPs for stochastic evolution equations (SEEs) with Lévy noise are heavily rely on the compactness condition for the Gelfand triples, because it is crucial to prove the compactness of solutions to the so-called stochastic control equations and further get their convergence to the solutions of the corresponding skeleton equations. Hence, in our present work, a different approach has to be employed. The main difficulty lies in the convergence of the skeleton equations, to overcome this difficulty, we adopt a series of technical methods including time discretization, cutting off argument, and the relative entropy estimates of a sequence of probability measures; see Subsection 5.1. Compared with the assumptions on the coefficients of the noise of the previous results [9, 22, 36, 41, 42], the payoff for this is nothing. We want to emphasize the fact that, the time discretization approach, which was inspired by the work [11] and has been widely used in studying LDPs for SEEs driven by Gaussian noise, is also applicable here. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper to deal with LDPs for SEEs with Lévy noise without compactness conditions.

Finally, we would like to refer [8, 21, 25, 28, 27] for more background information and results on SPDEs, [1, 6] on SPMEs, [29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35] and the references therein for comprehensive theories of SGPMs.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we will recall some basic notations about Poisson random measure and introduce the Gelfand triple used throughout this work. In Section 3, we state the precise hypothesis and the main result: the large deviations for

(1.1). In Section 4, we devote to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the skeleton equations. In Section 5, we prove the main result.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some notations, the definition of the compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ in (1.1), and the Gelfand triple used in this present paper.

2.1 Some notations

For a locally compact Polish space \mathcal{K} , denote by $\mathcal{M}_{FC}(\mathcal{K})$ the space of all nonnegative measures γ on $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}))$ such that $\gamma(K) < \infty$ for every compact K in \mathcal{K} . Denote $C_c(\mathcal{K})$ the space of continuous functions with compact support, and endow $\mathcal{M}_{FC}(\mathcal{K})$ with the weakest topology such that for every $\tilde{h} \in C_c(\mathcal{K})$, the function $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{FC}(\mathcal{K}) \mapsto \langle \tilde{h}, \gamma \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{h}(u) \gamma(du)$ is continuous. This topology can be metrized such that $\mathcal{M}_{FC}(\mathcal{K})$ is a Polish space; see Section 2 of [4] for more details. Throughout the paper, we use this topology on $\mathcal{M}_{FC}(\mathcal{K})$.

In this paper, we fix $T \in (0, \infty)$. Denote by λ_T the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$, and λ_∞ the Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$.

For a metric space \mathbb{H} , the Borel σ -field on \mathbb{H} will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Let $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; \mathbb{H})$ denote the space of all \mathbb{H} -valued square-integrable functions on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, $L^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{H})$ the space of all \mathbb{H} -valued uniformly bounded measurable functions on $[0, T]$, $C([0, T]; \mathbb{H})$ the space of all \mathbb{H} -valued continuous functions on $[0, T]$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence, and $D([0, T]; \mathbb{H})$ the space of all \mathbb{H} -valued càdlàg functions on $[0, T]$ equipped with the usual Skorohod topology. For simplicity, the positive constants $c, C, C_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, used in this paper may change from line to line.

2.2 Poisson random measure

Let Z be a locally compact Polish space, and ν be a given σ -finite positive measure on $(Z, \mathcal{B}(Z))$ with $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{FC}(Z)$. Let $Z_T = [0, T] \times Z$, $Y = Z \times [0, \infty)$, and $Y_T = [0, T] \times Y$.

For simplicity, from now on, we write $\mathbb{M} := \mathcal{M}_{FC}(Y_T)$. Denote by \mathbb{P} the unique probability measure on $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{M}))$, under which the canonical map, $\bar{N} : \mathbb{M} \mapsto \mathbb{M}$, $\bar{N}(m) := m$, is a Poisson random measure with intensity measure $\bar{\nu}_T = \lambda_T \otimes \nu \otimes \lambda_\infty$. The corresponding compensated Poisson random measure is denoted by \tilde{N} . Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t := \sigma\{\bar{N}((0, s] \times A) : 0 \leq s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)\}$, and let \mathcal{F}_t denote the completion under \mathbb{P} . Denote by \mathcal{P} the predictable σ -field on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{M}$ with the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ on $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{M}))$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of all $(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(Z))/[0, \infty)$ -measurable maps $\varphi : Z_T \times \mathbb{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, define a counting process N^φ on Z_T by

$$N^\varphi((0, t] \times U) = \int_{(0, t] \times U \times (0, \infty)} 1_{[0, \varphi(s, z)]}(r) \bar{N}(dr, dz, ds), \text{ for } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{B}(Z), \quad (2.1)$$

N^φ is called a controlled random measure, with φ selecting the intensity for the points at location z and time s , in a possibly random but non-anticipating way. Analogously, we define a process

$$\tilde{N}^\varphi((0, t] \times U) = \int_{(0, t] \times U \times (0, \infty)} 1_{[0, \varphi(s, z)]}(r) \tilde{N}(dr, dz, ds). \quad (2.2)$$

When $\varphi(s, z) \equiv \epsilon^{-1} \in (0, \infty)$, we write $N^\varphi = N^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ and $\tilde{N}^\varphi = \tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$. Set $\nu_T := \lambda_T \otimes \nu$. Note that, with respect to \mathbb{P} , $N^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ is a Poisson random measure on Z_T with intensity measure $\epsilon^{-1}\nu_T$, and $\tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ is the compensated Poisson random measure.

Set $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{M}))$. In the present paper, we study (1.1) on the given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}, \mathbb{P})$. Denote the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} by \mathbb{E} .

2.3 Gelfand triple

Let $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ be a σ -finite measurable space. Let $(P_t)_{t>0}$ be a strongly continuous, symmetric sub-Markovian contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mu)$ with generator $(L, D(L))$. The Γ -transform of $(P_t)_{t>0}$ is defined by the following Bochner integral

$$V_r u := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{r}{2}-1} e^{-t} P_t u dt, \quad u \in L^2(\mu), \quad r > 0.$$

In this paper, we consider the Hilbert space $(F_{1,2}, \|\cdot\|_{F_{1,2}})$ defined by

$$F_{1,2} := V_1(L^2(\mu)), \quad \text{with norm } \|f\|_{F_{1,2}} = |u|_2, \quad \text{for } f = V_1 u, \quad u \in L^2(\mu),$$

where the norm $|\cdot|_2$ is defined as $|u|_2 = (\int_E |u|^2 d\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and its inner product is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$. From [17], we know

$$V_1 = (1 - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{so that } F_{1,2} = D((1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{F_{1,2}} = |(1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}} f|_2.$$

The dual space of $F_{1,2}$ is denoted by $F_{1,2}^*$. Denote the duality between $F_{1,2}^*$ and $F_{1,2}$ by $F_{1,2}^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F_{1,2}}$.

The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of $(P_t)_{t>0}$ on $L^2(\mu)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{E}) &:= D(\sqrt{-L}), \\ \mathcal{E}(u, v) &:= \langle \sqrt{-L}u, \sqrt{-L}v \rangle_2, \end{aligned}$$

and accordingly we have the identification

$$F_{1,2} = D(\mathcal{E}), \quad \|u\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 = \mathcal{E}_1(u, u),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon := \mathcal{E} + \varepsilon \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v, v) = \|v\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}}^2 := \mathcal{E}(v, v) + \varepsilon |v|_2^2, \quad \text{for } v \in F_{1,2},$$

and $F_{1,2}^*$ is equipped with the equivalent norms

$$\|\eta\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} :=_{F_{1,2}^*} \langle \eta, (\varepsilon - L)^{-1} \eta \rangle_{F_{1,2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} := \sup_{\substack{v \in F_{1,2} \\ \|v\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}} \leq 1}} \eta(v), \quad \eta \in F_{1,2}^*. \quad (2.3)$$

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and H^* its dual. Let V be a reflexive Banach space such that $V \subset H$ continuously and densely. Then for its dual space V^* it follows that $H^* \subset V^*$ continuously and densely. Identifying H and H^* via the Riesz isomorphism we have that

$$V \subset H \subset V^*$$

continuously and densely. If ${}_{V^*}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ denotes the dualization between V^* and V , it follows that

$${}_{V^*}\langle z, v \rangle_V = \langle z, v \rangle_H, \quad \text{for all } z \in H, \quad v \in V. \quad (2.4)$$

(V, H, V^*) is called a Gelfand triple.

In [33], the authors constructed a Gelfand triple with $V = L^2(\mu)$ and $H = F_{1,2}^*$, the Riesz map which identifies $F_{1,2}$ and $F_{1,2}^*$ is $(1 - L)^{-1} : F_{1,2}^* \rightarrow F_{1,2}$.

We need the following lemma which was proved in [33, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.1 *The map*

$$1 - L : F_{1,2} \rightarrow F_{1,2}^*$$

extends to a linear isometry

$$1 - L : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow (L^2(\mu))^*,$$

and for all $u, v \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$({}_{(L^2(\mu))^*}\langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle u, v \rangle_2. \quad (2.5)$$

3 Hypothesis and main result

In this section, we will present the hypothesis and the main result in this paper. Before giving the hypothesis, we need to introduce some basic notations. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = \{ & h : [0, T] \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : \exists \delta > 0, \text{ s.t. } \forall \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(Z) \\ & \text{with } \nu_T(\Gamma) < \infty, \text{ we have } \int_{\Gamma} \exp(\delta h^2(s, z)) \nu(dz) ds < \infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$L_2(\nu_T) = \{ h : [0, T] \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^t \int_Z h^2(s, z) \nu(dz) ds < \infty \}.$$

In this paper, we study (1.1) with the following hypotheses.

(H1) $\Psi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonically nondecreasing Lipschitz function with $\Psi(0) = 0$.

(H2) There exist $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathcal{H} \cap L_2(\nu_T)$, such that

- (i) $\|f(t, x, z) - f(t, y, z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \leq l_1(t, z) \|x - y\|_{F_{1,2}^*}, \quad \forall (t, z) \in [0, T] \times Z, \quad x, y \in F_{1,2}^*.$
- (ii) $\|f(t, x, z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \leq l_2(t, z) (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1), \quad \forall (t, x, z) \in [0, T] \times F_{1,2}^* \times Z.$
- (iii) $|f(t, x, z)|_2 \leq l_3(t, z) (\|x\|_2 + 1), \quad \forall (t, x, z) \in [0, T] \times L^2(\mu) \times Z.$

Remark 3.1 *From [34, page:6, (2.6)] and **(H2)(i)**, we can easily see that for all $(t, z) \in [0, T] \times Z, \quad x, y \in F_{1,2}^*$,*

$$\|f(t, x, z) - f(t, y, z)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \leq \frac{l_1(t, z)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|x - y\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \varepsilon < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

From [39, Theorem 3.1], we can instantly obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that (H1) and (H2) hold. Then, for each $x \in L^2(\mu)$, there exists a unique solution X^ϵ to (1.1), i.e., $X^\epsilon = (X^\epsilon(t), t \in [0, T])$ is an $F_{1,2}^*$ -valued càdlàg \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process, and the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$X^\epsilon \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)) \cap L^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)); \quad (3.2)$$

$$\int_0^\cdot \Psi(X^\epsilon(s)) ds \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2}), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}; \quad (3.3)$$

and for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$X^\epsilon(t) = x + L \int_0^t \Psi(X^\epsilon(s)) ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^\epsilon(s-), z) \tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}}(dz, ds), \quad (3.4)$$

holds in $F_{1,2}^*$, \mathbb{P} -a.s..

The purpose of this paper is to establish a large deviation principle for (1.1), i.e., X^ϵ on $D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Before the statement of the main result, we introduce the definition of large deviation principle. Let $\{\Gamma^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ be a family of random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and taking values in a Polish space \mathcal{U} . The theory of large deviations is concerned with events $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$ for which probability $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma^\epsilon \in A)$ converges to zero exponentially fast as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The exponential decay rate of such probabilities is typically expressed in terms of a “rate function” I defined below.

Definition 3.1 *A function $I : \mathcal{U} \mapsto [0, \infty]$ is called a rate function on \mathcal{U} , if the level set $\{e \in \mathcal{U} : I(e) \leq M\}$ is a compact subset of \mathcal{U} for each $M < \infty$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$, we define $I(A) = \inf_{e \in A} I(e)$.*

Definition 3.2 *Let I be a rate function on \mathcal{U} . The sequence $\{\Gamma^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is said to satisfy a LDP on \mathcal{U} as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with rate function I if for each closed subset $F \subset \mathcal{U}$,*

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{P}(\Gamma^\epsilon \in F) \leq -I(F),$$

and for each open subset $G \subset \mathcal{U}$,

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{P}(\Gamma^\epsilon \in G) \geq -I(G).$$

We also need to introduce the so-called skeleton equation, which is used to define the rate function in our main result. To do this, some more basic notations should be introduced. Define $l : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$l(r) = r \log r - r + 1, \quad r \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.5)$$

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ the quantity

$$Q(\varphi) = \int_{Z_T} l(\varphi(t, z)) \nu_T(dz dt) \quad (3.6)$$

is well defined as a $[0, \infty]$ -valued random variable.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$S^N = \{g : Z_T \rightarrow [0, \infty) : Q(g) \leq N\}. \quad (3.7)$$

A function $g \in S^N$ can be identified with a measure $\nu_T^g \in \mathcal{M}_{FC}(Z_T)$, defined by

$$\nu_T^g(A) = \int_A g(s, z) \nu_T(dz ds), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(Z_T). \quad (3.8)$$

This identification induces a topology on S^N under which S^N is a compact space ([2, Appendix]). Throughout the paper, we use this topology on S^N . Denote $S = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} S^N$.

For any $g \in S$, consider the following skeleton equation

$$X^g(t) = x + L \int_0^t \Psi(X^g(s)) ds + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (3.9)$$

with initial value $x \in F_{1,2}^*$. The existence and uniqueness of the solutions to (3.9) will be presented in Section 4.

Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *Fixed $x \in L^2(\mu)$. Suppose that **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold. Then the solution of (1.1), i.e., X^ϵ satisfies a LDP on $D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ with the rate function $I : D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by*

$$I(\phi) = \inf \left\{ Q(g) : \phi = X^g, g \in S \right\}, \quad \phi \in D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*),$$

where X^g is the solution to the skeleton equation (3.9). Here we use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is ∞ .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be given in Section 5. Before this, the proof of the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the skeleton equation (3.9) will be given in Section 4.

4 Well-posedness for the skeleton equation

Definition 4.1 *Given $g \in S$. A function X^g is called a solution to (3.9) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$X^g \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \cap L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu)); \quad (4.1)$$

$$\int_0^t \Psi(X^g(s)) ds \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2}); \quad (4.2)$$

and for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$X^g(t) = x + L \int_0^t \Psi(X^g(s)) ds + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds, \quad (4.3)$$

holds in $F_{1,2}^*$.

The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold. Then, for each $x \in L^2(\mu)$ and $g \in S^N$, there is a unique solution to (3.9) and exists a constant $C_{N,T} \in (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\sup_{g \in S^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X^g(t)|_2^2 \leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1). \quad (4.4)$$

In addition, if

$$\Psi(r)r \geq cr^2, \quad (4.5)$$

where $c \in (0, \infty)$, then, for all $x \in F_{1,2}^*$, there is a unique solution X^g to (3.9) satisfying (4.1)-(4.3).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is a generalization of [33, Theorem 3.1] and [39, Theorem 3.1]. The main difference is that there is no diffusion term but one more drift term in (3.9), so appropriate estimates on the drift term are needed. Since the drift term is involved and we apply multi-step approximation arguments, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is painfully long.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need to consider the following approximating equations for (3.9):

$$dX_\varepsilon^g(t) + (\varepsilon - L)\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(t))dt = \int_Z f(t, X_\varepsilon^g(t), z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt, \text{ in } [0, T], \quad (4.6)$$

with initial value $X_\varepsilon^g(0) = x \in L^2(\mu)$, where $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We have the following proposition for (4.6).

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that (H1)-(H2) hold. Then, for each $x \in L^2(\mu)$ and $g \in S^N$, there is a unique solution to (4.6), denoted by X_ε^g , i.e., it has the following properties,*

$$X_\varepsilon^g \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu)) \cap C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*); \quad (4.7)$$

$$X_\varepsilon^g(t) + (\varepsilon - L) \int_0^t \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))ds = x + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad (4.8)$$

holds in $F_{1,2}^*$. Furthermore, there exists a constant $C_{N,T} \in (0, \infty)$, such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{g \in S^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_\varepsilon^g(t)|_2^2 \leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1). \quad (4.9)$$

In addition, if (4.5) is satisfied, then, for all $x \in F_{1,2}^*$, there is a unique solution to (4.6) satisfying (4.7) and (4.8).

Proof First, let us consider the case with initial date $x \in F_{1,2}^*$ and that (4.5) is satisfied. Set $V := L^2(\mu)$ and $H := F_{1,2}^*$. For $u \in V$, define

$$A(t, u) := (L - \varepsilon)\Psi(u) + \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_Z \|f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz)dt \\ & \leq (\|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \int_0^T \int_Z l_2(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz)dt, \end{aligned}$$

and from [2, Lemma 3.4] or [40, Lemma 4.1] we know that for any $\chi \in \mathcal{H} \cap L_2(\nu_T)$, there exists a constant $C_{\chi, N}$ such that

$$C_{\chi, N} := \sup_{g \in S^N} \int_{Z_T} \chi(s, z)|g(s, z) - 1|\nu(dz)ds < \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Then, $A(t, u)$ can be regarded as a mapping from $[0, T] \times L^2(\mu)$ to $(L^2(\mu))^*$. Under the Gelfand triple $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^* \equiv F_{1,2} \subset (L^2(\mu))^*$, we can check the four conditions in [8, Theorem 1.2] to get the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (4.6). As mentioned before, compared with [33, Lemma 3.1, Step 1], our A here has one more drift term $\int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz)$, so we only need to estimate such term.

(i) Hemicontinuity

Let $u, v, w \in V(= L^2(\mu))$. We need to show that for $\iota \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\iota| \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle A(t, u + \iota v), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle A(t, u), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0.$$

Since in [33, Lemma 3.1, Step 1], the authors have proved that

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle (L - \varepsilon)\Psi(u + \iota v), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} - {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle (L - \varepsilon)\Psi(u), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0,$$

here we only need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle \int_Z f(t, u + \iota v, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & - {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

By (2.4) and **(H2)(i)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle \int_Z (f(t, u + \iota v, z) - f(t, u, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), w \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & = \langle \int_Z (f(t, u + \iota v, z) - f(t, u, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), w \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_Z (f(t, u + \iota v, z) - f(t, u, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \right\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|w\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq \iota \cdot \|v\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|w\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \int_Z l_1(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

denote

$$h_1(t) := \int_Z l_1(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz), \quad (4.13)$$

from (4.10), we know that $h_1 \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and

$$\iota \cdot \|v\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|w\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot h_1(t) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \iota \rightarrow 0, \text{ } \lambda_T\text{-a.s. on } [0, T], \quad (4.14)$$

which implies (4.11).

(ii) Local Monotonicity

Let $u, v \in V(= L^2(\mu))$. By (2.4), **(H2)(i)**, (4.13) and (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \langle \int_Z (f(t, u, z) - f(t, v, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), u - v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & = \langle \int_Z (f(t, u, z) - f(t, v, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), u - v \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_Z (f(t, u, z) - f(t, v, z))(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \right\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|u - v\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq h_1(t) \cdot \|u - v\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2.$$

Denote by $Lip\Psi$ the Lipschitz constant of Ψ . From [33, page: 2138, (ii)], we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (L - \varepsilon)(\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)), u - v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & \leq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{\tilde{\alpha}} \cdot \|u - v\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha} := (k + 1)^{-1}, \quad k := Lip\Psi.$$

So

$$(L^2(\mu))^* \langle A(t, u) - A(t, v), u - v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \left(\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{\tilde{\alpha}} + h_1(t) \right) \cdot \|u - v\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2, \quad (4.15)$$

which implies the local monotonicity.

(iii) Coercivity

Let $u \in V(= L^2(\mu))$. By (2.4) and **(H2)(ii)**,

$$\begin{aligned} & (L^2(\mu))^* \left\langle \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), u \right\rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & = \left\langle \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), u \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq \left\| \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \right\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq \int_Z l_2(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz) \cdot (\|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*}, \end{aligned}$$

denote

$$h_2(t) := \int_Z l_2(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz),$$

from (4.10), we know that $h_2 \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Z l_2(t, z)|g(t, z) - 1|\nu(dz) \cdot (\|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \\ & \leq 2h_2(t) \cdot (\|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

From [33, page:2138-2139, (iii)], for any $\sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (\varepsilon - L)\Psi(u), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & \leq \left(-c + \sigma^2 k^2 (1 - \varepsilon) \right) \cdot |u|_2^2 + \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{\sigma^2} \cdot \|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & (L^2(\mu))^* \langle A(t, u), u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \\ & \leq \left(-c + \sigma^2 k^2 (1 - \varepsilon) \right) \cdot |u|_2^2 + \left(\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{\sigma^2} + 2h_2(t) \right) \cdot (\|u\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Choosing σ small enough, $-c + \sigma^2 k^2(1 - \varepsilon)$ becomes negative, which implies the coercivity.

(iv) Growth

Let $u \in V(= L^2(\mu))$. Notice that

$$\|A(t, u)\|_{(L^2(\mu))^*} = \sup_{|v|_2=1} \langle (L - \varepsilon)(\Psi(u)) + \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

From [33, page:2139, (iv)], we know that

$$\|(L - \varepsilon)\Psi(u)\|_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \leq 2k|u|_2.$$

Since $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^* \subset (L^2(\mu))^*$ continuously and densely, from **(H2)(ii)** we get

$$\langle \int_Z f(t, u, z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} \leq h_2(t) \cdot (|u|_2 + 1) \cdot |v|_2.$$

So

$$\|A(t, u)\|_{(L^2(\mu))^*} \leq (2k + h_2(t)) \cdot (|u|_2 + 1). \quad (4.17)$$

Hence the growth holds.

Then by [8, Theorem 1.2], there exists a unique solution to (4.6), denoted by X_ε^g , which takes value in $F_{1,2}^*$ and satisfies (4.7) and (4.8).

Remark 4.1 *As shown above, the coefficient in the right-hand sides of (4.15) has a term with $h_1(t)$, (4.16) and (4.17) have terms with $h_2(t)$, which look different with the conditions in [8, Theorem 1.2], where the coefficients are constants. However, since (4.10) holds, we know that both $\int_0^T h_1(t)dt$ and $\int_0^T h_2(t)dt$ are bounded, by using the same idea as in the proof of [8, Theorem 1.2], it is not difficult to get Proposition 4.1. So, here we still regard (i)-(iv) as the corresponding conditions of [8, Theorem 1.2].*

In the following, we consider the case that (4.5) is not satisfied. If $x \in F_{1,2}^*$, but (4.5) is not satisfied, (i), (ii) and (iv) still hold, but (iii) may not be true in general. In this case, we shall approximate Ψ by $\Psi + \delta I$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, i.e., we consider the following approximating equations:

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(t) &= (L - \varepsilon)(\Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(t)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(t))dt \\ &+ \int_Z f(t, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(t), z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt, \text{ in } [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

with initial value $X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(0) = x \in L^2(\mu)$. In this case, since $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ continuously and densely, the initial value can be regarded as in $F_{1,2}^*$, and obviously $(\Psi(r) + \delta r)r \geq \delta r^2$, which corresponds to the condition (4.5). Then, by similar arguments as above, it is easy to prove that if $x \in F_{1,2}^*$, there exists a unique solution $X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g$ to (4.18) such that $X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu)) \cap C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$, and for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(t) &= x + (L - \varepsilon) \int_0^t (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s))ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

holds in $F_{1,2}^*$, and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 < \infty. \quad (4.20)$$

Next, we want to prove that the sequence $\{X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g\}$ converges to the solution of (4.6) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. From now on, we assume that the initial value $x \in L^2(\mu)$, and we have the following result for (4.18).

Claim 4.1 For any $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1), g \in S^N, t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 4\delta \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1).$$

Proof Rewrite (4.18) as following

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t) &= x + \int_0^t (L - \varepsilon)(\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha > \varepsilon$, applying the operator $(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} : F_{1,2}^* \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ to both sides of the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t) &= (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x + \int_0^t (L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the chain rule in $L^2(\mu)$, we obtain that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t)|_2^2 \\ &= |(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x|_2^2 + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle (L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s))), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\ &\quad + 2\delta \int_0^t \left\langle (L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

To estimate the second term in the right hand side of (4.21), similar as in [33, (3.19)], set $P := (\alpha - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-1}$, for $\varphi \in L^2(\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P - I)\varphi &= \left[(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha - L)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \varphi \\ &= \left[(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Since L is the infinitesimal generator of a symmetric sub-Markovian strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mu)$, then, P is a symmetric sub-Markovian contraction on $L^2(\mu)$. From [30, Lemma 5.1 (i)], there exists a probability kernel p on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ such that for all $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$P\varphi(\xi) := \int_E \varphi(\tilde{\xi})p(\xi, d\tilde{\xi}), \quad \xi \in E.$$

Applying [30, Lemma 5.1 (ii)] (here the assumption that $(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)$ is a standard measurable space is needed) with $\varphi := X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)$ and $\phi := \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s))$, since Ψ is monotone, $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $P1 \leq 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^t \int_{F_{1,2}^*} \left\langle (L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s))), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)), (P - I)X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\
&= - \int_0^t \int_E \int_E \left[\Psi(\varphi(\tilde{\xi})) - \Psi(\varphi(\xi)) \right] \cdot \left[\varphi(\tilde{\xi}) - \varphi(\xi) \right] p(\xi, d\tilde{\xi}) \mu(d\xi) ds \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int_E (1 - P1) \varphi(\xi) \cdot \Psi(\varphi(\xi)) d\xi ds \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For the third term in the right hand side of (4.21), from (2.4) and since $\|u\|_{F_{1,2}} \geq |u|_2, \forall u \in F_{1,2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\delta \int_0^t \int_{F_{1,2}^*} \left\langle (L - \varepsilon)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
&= -2\delta \int_0^t \int_{F_{1,2}^*} \left\langle (1 - L)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
&\quad + (1 - \varepsilon) 2\delta \int_0^t \int_{F_{1,2}^*} \left\langle (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
&= -2\delta \int_0^t \left\langle (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
&\quad + (1 - \varepsilon) 2\delta \int_0^t \left\langle (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_2 ds \\
&\leq -2\delta \int_0^t \|(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t |(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

For the fourth term in the right hand side of (4.21), notice that $\int_Z (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz)$ takes value in the space $L^2(\mu)$, so by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1,2}^*} \left\langle \int_Z (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} \\
&= \left\langle \int_Z (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\rangle_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.21) by α , notice that $\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a contraction on $L^2(\mu)$, this is true because $\alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}$ is a contraction on $L^2(\mu)$, then from [23, Page:8, Proposition 1.3 (ii)], we know that $|\alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}| \leq 1$ and for all $u \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$\alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}u \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty,$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u|_2^2 &= \langle \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u, \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u \rangle_2 \\
&=_{F_{1,2}^*} \langle \alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}u, u \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}u, u \rangle_2 \\
&\leq |\alpha(\alpha - L)^{-1}u|_2 \cdot |u|_2 \\
&\leq |u|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

The third step of (4.25) also implies that

$$|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u|_2^2 \rightarrow |u|_2^2 \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.26}$$

Now, taking (4.22)-(4.25) into account, by **(H2)(iii)**, (4.21) yields that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t) \right|_2^2 + 2\delta \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left| \int_Z \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \right|_2 \cdot |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2 ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t |(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left| \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \right|_2 \cdot |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2 ds + 2 \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{h_3(s)}(|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2 + 1) \cdot \sqrt{h_3(s)} \cdot |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2 ds + 2 \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

here and in the sequel

$$h_3(s) := \int_Z l_3(s, z)|g(s, z) - 1|\nu(dz).$$

From (4.10), we know that $\int_0^T h_3(s)ds \leq C_{l_3, N}$, by Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right|_2^2 + 2\delta \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 + 2C_{l_3, N} \int_0^t h_3(s)(|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2 + 1)^2 ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2C_{l_3, N}} \int_0^t h_3(s)|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 + 4C_{l_3, N} \int_0^t h_3(s)(|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 1) ds + 2 \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 1 ds \\
&\quad + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right|_2^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2C_{l_3, N}} \int_0^t h_3(s) ds \\
&\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 + \int_0^t (4C_{l_3, N}h_3(s) + 2)(|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 1) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

From the second step of (4.25) and (2.3), we can see that $|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |_2$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{F_{1,2}^*}$, so the first term in the left-hand side of (4.27) is finite by (4.20). Then, (4.27) yields

that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right|_2^2 + 4\delta \int_0^t \left\| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \\ & \leq 2 \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \right|_2^2 + \int_0^t (8C_{l_3, N} h_3(s) + 4) (|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 1) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Before further estimating (4.28), let us recall that $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is a Dirichlet space on $L^2(\mu)$, and

$$\|u\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 := \mathcal{E}_1(u, u) = \mathcal{E}(u, u) + |u|_2^2, \quad \forall u \in F_{1,2} (= D(\mathcal{E})).$$

Now $\forall u \in L^2(\mu)$, define

$$\mathcal{E}^{ex}(u, u) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}(u, u), & \text{if } u \in D(\mathcal{E}); \\ +\infty, & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mu) \setminus D(\mathcal{E}), \end{cases}$$

then because $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is closed, we have $L^2(\mu) \ni u \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{ex}(u, u)$ is lower semicontinuous on $L^2(\mu)$. To clarify this, without loss of generality, let us assume that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(\mathcal{E})$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) < \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_{n_k}, u_{n_k}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) := C,$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_1(u_{n_k}, u_{n_k}) \rightarrow C + |u|_2^2, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\{\mathcal{E}_1(u_{n_k}, u_{n_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, and again there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_{k_l}}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $u_0 \in D(\mathcal{E})$ such that $u_{n_{k_l}} \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $(D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1)$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, from [23, Page:184, Theorem 2.2], we know that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{n_{k_l}}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ (for simplicity here we use the same notation) such that the Cesaro mean

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N u_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow u_0, \quad \text{strongly in } (D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence also in $L^2(\mu)$. So $u = u_0$, $u \in D(\mathcal{E})$, $u_{n_{k_l}} \rightharpoonup u$ in $(D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1)$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, and because $(D(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{E}_1)$ is a Hilbert space, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u, u) + |u|_2^2 = \mathcal{E}_1(u, u) & \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_1(u_{n_{k_l}}, u_{n_{k_l}}) \\ & = \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{E}(u_{n_{k_l}}, u_{n_{k_l}}) + |u_{n_{k_l}}|_2^2 \right) \\ & = \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_{n_{k_l}}, u_{n_{k_l}}) + |u|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{ex}(u, u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n),$$

and furthermore,

$$\mathcal{E}_1^{ex}(u, u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_1(u_n, u_n). \quad (4.29)$$

From (4.26) we know that for all $s \in [0, t]$, as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \rightarrow X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu), \quad (4.30)$$

taking the $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ to both sides of (4.28), and taking (4.30), (4.29), (4.26) into account, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 4\delta \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \\ & \leq \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) \right|_2^2 + 4\delta \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \right] \\ & \leq \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left[2|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x|_2^2 + \int_0^t (8C_{l_3, N}h_3(s) + 4)(|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 1) ds \right] \\ & = 2|x|_2^2 + \int_0^t (8C_{l_3, N}h_3(s) + 4)|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t 8C_{l_3, N}h_3(s) + 4 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Gronwall's lemma, we know that for all $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + 4\delta \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \\ & \leq \left(2|x|_2^2 + 8C_{l_3, N} \int_0^t h_3(s) ds + 4T \right) \cdot e^{8C_{l_3, N} \int_0^t h_3(s) ds + 4T} \\ & \leq \left(2|x|_2^2 + 8C_{l_3, N}^2 + 4T \right) e^{8C_{l_3, N}^2 + 4T} \\ & := C_{N, T}(|x|_2^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

□

Claim 4.2 $\{X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g\}_{\delta \in (0, 1)}$ converges to X_ε^g in $C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and $X_\varepsilon^g \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu))$.

Proof By the chain rule, we get that for all $\delta, \delta' \in (0, 1)$, and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\varepsilon - L)(\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - \delta' X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s)), X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds \\ & = 2 \int_0^t \langle X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s), \\ & \quad \int_Z (f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s), z)) \cdot (g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz) \rangle_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

By [38, (4.25)], we know that the second term in the left-hand side of (4.32) can be estimated as following.

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t \langle (\varepsilon - L)(\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - \delta' X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s)), X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds \\ & \geq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \langle \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - \delta' X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s), X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, \delta'}^g(s) \rangle_2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

For the right-hand side of (4.32), by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^t \left\langle X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s), \int_Z (f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s), z)) \cdot (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \cdot \\
& \quad \left\| \int_Z (f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s), z)) \cdot (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) \right\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \cdot \\
& \quad \int_Z \|f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s), z)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \cdot |g(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \cdot \int_Z \frac{l_1(s, z)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} \cdot |g(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds \\
& = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 \cdot h_1(s) ds. \tag{4.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (4.33) and (4.34) into (4.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t h_1(s) \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 ds + 4(\delta + \delta') \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + |X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)|_2^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality and Claim 4.1 (see (4.31)), we know that there exists some constant $C_{l_1, l_2, T, N, \varepsilon} \in (0, \infty)$, which depends on $l_1, l_2, T, N, \varepsilon$, but is independent of δ and δ' , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\
& \leq e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t h_1(s) ds} \cdot 4(\delta + \delta') \int_0^t |X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s)|_2^2 + |X_{\varepsilon,\delta'}^g(s)|_2^2 ds \\
& \leq e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} C_{l_1, N}} \cdot 4(\delta + \delta') \cdot 2T(2|x|_2^2 + 8C_{l_3, N}^2 + 4T) e^{8C_{l_3, N}^2 + 4T} \\
& := C_{l_1, l_3, T, N, \varepsilon}(\delta + \delta')(|x|_2^2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

So, there exists an element $X_\varepsilon^g \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ such that $\{X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ converges to X_ε^g in $C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. In addition, by Claim 4.1, we know that $X_\varepsilon^g \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu))$. \square

Claim 4.3 X_ε^g satisfies (4.6).

Proof From Claim 4.2, we know that

$$X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g \rightarrow X_\varepsilon^g, \text{ in } C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.35}$$

By **(H2)(i)**, Hölder's inequality and (4.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds - \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds \right\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \\
& \leq \int_0^T \int_Z \|f(s, X_{\varepsilon,\delta}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} |g(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^T \int_Z l_1(s, z) |g(s, z) - 1| \cdot \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\
&= \int_0^T h_1(s) \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \int_0^T h_1(s) ds \\
&\leq C_{l_1, N} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s) - X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \delta \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\cdot \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) \\
&\quad \longrightarrow \int_0^\cdot \int_Z f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), \quad \text{as } \delta \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (4.18) as following

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L - \varepsilon) \int_0^\cdot (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) ds \\
&= -x + X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(\cdot) - \int_0^\cdot \int_Z f(s, X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s), z) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds, \quad \text{in } [0, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Then, by (4.35)-(4.37), we know that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_0^\cdot (\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(s)) ds$$

converges to some element in $C([0, T]; F_{1,2})$. Using the similar arguments as in [39, Claim 4.3], we know that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(\cdot)) + \delta X_{\varepsilon, \delta}^g(\cdot) \longrightarrow \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(\cdot)) \text{ weakly in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu)),$$

and furthermore, $\int_0^\cdot \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) ds \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2})$. This implies Claim 4.3. \square

By lower semi-continuity and Claim 4.1, we also know that (4.9) holds, i.e.,

$$\sup_{g \in S^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_\varepsilon^g(t)|_2^2 \leq C_{N, T} (|x|_2^2 + 1). \tag{4.38}$$

Uniqueness

Assume $X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g$ and $X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g$ are two solutions to (4.6). Applying the chain rule to $\|X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g - X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (\varepsilon - L)(\Psi(X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g(s))), X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z (f(s, X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g(s), z)) \nu(dz), X_{\varepsilon, 1}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon, 2}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Since Ψ is Lipschitz, we have

$$(\Psi(r) - \Psi(r'))(r - r') \geq \tilde{\alpha}|\Psi(r) - \Psi(r')|^2, \quad \forall r, r' \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.40)$$

By (2.5), (4.40), and (3.1), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_{\varepsilon,1}^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon,2}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon,1}^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon,2}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^t h_1(s) \|X_{\varepsilon,1}^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon,2}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2,\varepsilon}^*}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

Since $h_1 \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$, by Gronwall's inequality, we can get $X_{\varepsilon,1}^g = X_{\varepsilon,2}^g$, which implies the uniqueness. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Continue proof of Theorem 4.1

The idea is to prove that the sequence $\{X_\varepsilon^g\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ converges to the solution of (3.9) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Rewrite (4.6) as following.

$$dX_\varepsilon^g(t) + (1 - L)\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(t))dt = (1 - \varepsilon)\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(t))dt + \int_Z f(t, X_\varepsilon^g(t), z)(g(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt.$$

Applying the chain rule in $F_{1,2}^*$, by (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\|X_\varepsilon^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\ & = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz), X_\varepsilon^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

By (4.40) and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we know that

$$\int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \geq \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds. \quad (4.43)$$

Taking (4.43) into (4.42), by **(H2)(ii)**, Young's inequality, and since $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ densely and continuously, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\|X_\varepsilon^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_Z l_2(s, z)(\|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1)|g(s, z) - 1|\nu(dz) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds + \int_0^t h_2(s)(\|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2 \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds + \int_0^t h_2(s) \cdot 2(\|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2} |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t 2h_2(s) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds + \int_0^t 2h_2(s) ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2} |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2h_2(s) \right) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds + \int_0^t 2h_2(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X_\varepsilon^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\
& \leq \|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 4h_2(s) \right) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds + \int_0^t 4h_2(s) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

By Gronwall's lemma, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X_\varepsilon^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\
& \leq (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \int_0^t 4h_2(s) ds) \cdot \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 4h_2(s) ds \right\} \\
& \leq (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 4C_{l_2, N}) \cdot e^{\frac{T}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 4C_{l_2, N}} \\
& := C_{l_2, N, T} (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Now, let us prove the convergence of $\{X_\varepsilon^g\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$. Applying the chain rule to $\|X_\varepsilon^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$, $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in (0, 1)$, by (2.5), we get that, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X_\varepsilon^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\
& = 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
& \quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle \varepsilon \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \varepsilon' \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
& \quad + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z (f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z) - f(s, X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s), z)) (g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

By (4.40), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)), X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\
& \geq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Since $L^2(\mu)$ densely embedding into $F_{1,2}^*$, the first term in the right hand-side of (4.46) can be dominated by

$$2 \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2 \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds. \tag{4.48}$$

Similarly, the second term in the right hand-side of (4.46) can be dominated by

$$2 \int_0^t (\varepsilon |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2 + \varepsilon' |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds. \tag{4.49}$$

By **(H2)(i)** and (4.13), the third term in the right hand-side of (4.46) can be dominated by

$$2 \int_0^t h_1(s) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds. \quad (4.50)$$

Taking (4.47)-(4.50) into (4.46), by Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_\varepsilon^g(t) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2 \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t (\varepsilon |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2 + \varepsilon' |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t h_1(s) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \leq \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^t \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t \varepsilon^2 |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 + \varepsilon'^2 |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t h_1(s) \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \leq \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 + 2h_1(s)\right) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 + |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

This yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 + 2h_1(s)\right) \cdot \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) \int_0^t |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 + |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since (4.45) holds, by Gronwall's inequality, we know that there exists a positive constant $C_{l_1, l_2, N, T} \in (0, \infty)$ which depends on l_1, l_2, N, T , but is independent of $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X_\varepsilon^g(s) - X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^T |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s)) - \Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq 2(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) \int_0^T |\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(s))|_2^2 + |\Psi(X_{\varepsilon'}^g(s))|_2^2 ds \cdot e^{\int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 + 2h_1(s) ds} \\ & \leq 4(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) \cdot \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} C_{l_2, N, T} (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1) \cdot e^{\frac{T}{\tilde{\alpha}} + T + 2C_{l_1, N}} \\ & := C_{l_1, l_2, N, T} (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) (\|x\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a function $X^g \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ such that $X_\varepsilon^g \rightarrow X^g$ in $C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Next, let us prove that X^ε satisfies (3.9). Since

$$X_\varepsilon^g \longrightarrow X^g \text{ in } C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

using the similar arguments as in Claim 4.3 and [39, Claim 4.3], we have

$$\int_0^\cdot \int_Z f(s, X_\varepsilon^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds \rightarrow \int_0^\cdot \int_Z f(s, X^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds$$

in $C([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\Psi(X_\varepsilon^g(\cdot)) \rightarrow \Psi(X^g(\cdot)) \text{ weakly in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(\mu)) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.51)$$

and furthermore, $\int_0^\cdot \Psi(X^g(s))ds \in C([0, T]; F_{1,2})$.

Hence X^g satisfies (3.9). By lower semi-continuity and (4.38), we know that (4.4) holds. This completes the proof of the existence of solutions in Theorem 4.1.

Uniqueness

Assume that X_1^g and X_2^g are two solutions to (3.9), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t) - L \int_0^t \Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_Z (f(s, X_1^g(s), z) - f(s, X_2^g(s), z))(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

Rewrite (4.52) as

$$\begin{aligned} & X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t) + (1 - L) \int_0^t \Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^t \Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_Z (f(s, X_1^g(s), z) - f(s, X_2^g(s), z))(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the chain rule to $\|X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$, by (2.5), we know that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s)), X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s)), X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z (f(s, X_1^g(s), z) - f(s, X_2^g(s), z))(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz), X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.40), $\Psi(0) = 0$ and **(H2)(i)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|\Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \|X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+2 \int_0^t h_1(s) \cdot \|X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds.$$

Since $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ continuously and densely, using Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X_1^g(s)) - \Psi(X_2^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2h_1(s)\right) \|X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

which yields,

$$\|X_1^g(t) - X_2^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2h_1(s)\right) \|X_1^g(s) - X_2^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds.$$

Since $h_1 \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$, by Gronwall's lemma, we get $X_1^g = X_2^g$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

5 Large deviations-Proof of Theorem 3.2

Proof **Proof of Theorem 3.2**

Recall $S = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} S^N$. From Theorem 4.1, we know that there is a measurable mapping

$$\mathcal{G}^0 : S \rightarrow D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \quad (5.1)$$

such that $\mathcal{G}^0(g) := X^g$, where X^g is the unique solution to (3.9).

Set

$$\mathcal{A}^N := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \varphi(\omega) \in S^N, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}\}.$$

Let $\{K_n \subset Z, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets of Z such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = Z$. For each n , let $K_n^c = Z \setminus K_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{b,n} &= \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A} : \text{for all } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega, n \geq \varphi(t, x, \omega) \geq \frac{1}{n} \text{ if } x \in K_n \\ & \text{and } \varphi(t, x, \omega) = 1 \text{ if } x \in K_n^c\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\mathcal{A}_b = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{b,n}$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N = \mathcal{A}^N \cap \mathcal{A}_b$.

From Theorem 3.1, [40, page: 2851, Lemma 4.3] and the statement under it (or [9, Lemma 7.1], [22, Theorem 3.8]), we see that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a measurable mapping

$$\mathcal{G}^\epsilon : \mathcal{M}_{FC}(Z_T) \rightarrow D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*) \quad (5.2)$$

such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_\epsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N$, $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon(\epsilon N^{\epsilon-1} \varphi_\epsilon) := X^{\varphi_\epsilon}$, where X^{φ_ϵ} is the unique solution of the following controlled SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(t) &= x + L \int_0^t \Psi(X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)) ds + \epsilon \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z) \tilde{N}^{\epsilon-1 \varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s), z) (\varphi_\epsilon(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

According to [22, Theorem 4.4], Theorem 3.2 is proved once we can clarify:

Condition 5.1 (a) For any $N < \infty$, let g_n , $n \geq 1$, $g \in S^N$ be such that $g_n \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}^0(g_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0(g) \text{ in } D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*).$$

(b) For any $N < \infty$, any family $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N$ and any $\theta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\{\rho(X^{\varphi_\epsilon}, Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}) > \theta\} = 0,$$

where

$$X^{\varphi_\epsilon} := \mathcal{G}^\epsilon(\epsilon N^{\epsilon-1} \varphi_\epsilon), \quad Y^{\varphi_\epsilon} := \mathcal{G}^0(\varphi_\epsilon),$$

and $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the Skorohod metric to the space $D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)$.

We will establish (a) and (b) in Subsection 5.1 and 5.2 respectively.

5.1 Proof of (a) in Condition 5.1

The following two results will be used later in this subsection, their proofs can be found in [2, Lemma 3.11] (cf. [2, page: 540, (3.23), (3.26)]) respectively).

Lemma 5.1 For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset Z$ such that

$$\sup_{i=1,2,3} \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_i(s, z) |h(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5.4)$$

Lemma 5.2 For any compact set $K \subset Z$,

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i=1,2,3} \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_K l_i(s, z) \cdot 1_{\{l_i(s, z) \geq J\}}(s, z) h(s, z) \nu(dz) ds = 0.$$

Proof **Proof of (a) in Condition 5.1**

For $N < \infty$, let g_n , $n \geq 1$, $g \in S^N$ be such that $g_n \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 4.1, we know that the following deterministic PDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX^g(t) - L\Psi(X^g(t))dt = \int_Z f(s, X^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt, \\ X^g(0) = x \in L^2(\mu), \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

has a unique solution $X^g := \mathcal{G}^0(g)$, and the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX^{g_n}(t) - L\Psi(X^{g_n}(t))dt = \int_Z f(s, X^{g_n}(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt, \\ X^{g_n}(0) = x \in L^2(\mu), \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

has a unique solution $X^{g_n} := \mathcal{G}^0(g_n)$.

From (5.5) and (5.6), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & d(X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)) + (1 - L)(\Psi(X^{g_n}(t)) - \Psi(X^g(t)))dt \\ &= (\Psi(X^{g_n}(t)) - \Psi(X^g(t)))dt \\ &+ \int_Z f(s, X_n^g(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - 1) - f(s, X^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1)\nu(dz)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the chain rule to $\|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$, by (2.5), we get

$$\|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \rangle_2 ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z f(s, X^{g_n}(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - 1) - f(s, X^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), \right. \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds. \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.40), we know that, the second term in the left hand-side of (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \rangle_2 ds \\
&\geq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s))|_2^2 ds. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term in the right hand-side of (5.7), since $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ densely, by Young's inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s))|_2 \cdot \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&\leq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{g_n}(s)) - \Psi(X^g(s))|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^t \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds. \tag{5.9}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the second term in the right hand-side of (5.7), for simplicity, denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_n(t) \\
&:= 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z \left(f(s, X^{g_n}(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - 1) - f(s, X^g(s), z)(g(s, z) - 1) \right) \nu(dz), \right. \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z f(s, X^g(s), z)((g_n(s, z) - 1) - (g(s, z) - 1)) \nu(dz), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle \int_Z (f(s, X^{g_n}(s), z) - f(s, X^g(s), z))(g_n(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
&:= Q_{n,1}(t) + Q_{n,2}(t). \tag{5.10}
\end{aligned}$$

For $Q_{n,2}(t)$, notice that from **(H2)(i)**, we know

$$|Q_{n,2}(t)| \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_Z l_1(s, z) |g_n(s, z) - 1| \cdot \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \nu(dz) ds, \tag{5.11}$$

denote

$$h_n(s) := \int_Z l_1(s, z) |g_n(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz), \tag{5.12}$$

from (4.10), we know that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \int_0^T h_n(s) ds \leq C_{l_1, N}. \tag{5.13}$$

Taking (5.8)-(5.12) to (5.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^t \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds + Q_{n,1}(t) + 2 \int_0^t h_n(s) \cdot \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality and (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Q_{n,1}(t)| \cdot e^{\frac{T}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2 \int_0^T h_n(s) ds} \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Q_{n,1}(t)| \cdot e^{\frac{T}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2C_{l_1, N}} \\ & := C_{l_1, T, N} \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Q_{n,1}(t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Now, let us estimate $|Q_{n,1}(t)|$. By Lemma 5.1, we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset K_ε of Z such that (5.4) holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,1}(t) & = 2 \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \left\langle f(s, X^g(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon^c} \left\langle f(s, X^g(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\ & := I_{n,1}(t) + I_{n,2}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Since (4.4) holds, we know that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{n,2}(t)| & \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon^c} l_2(s, z) (\|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \cdot \\ & \quad |g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)| \cdot \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\ & \leq 2 \left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} (\|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) (\|X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}) \right] \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon^c} l_2(s, z) |g_n(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds + \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon^c} l_2(s, z) |g(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds \right) \\ & \leq C_{N, T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

To estimate $I_{n,1}(t)$, define

$$A_{2, J} = \{(s, z) \in [0, T] \times Z : l_2(s, z) \geq J\}.$$

For a subset $A \subset [0, T] \times Z$, in the following, denote A^c the complement of A .

Denote

$$I_{n,1, J}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \left\langle f(s, X^g(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2, J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds,$$

$$I_{n,1, J^c}(t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \left\langle f(s, X^g(s), z)(g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2, J}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds,$$

then obviously,

$$I_{n,1}(t) = I_{n,1, J}(t) + I_{n,1, J^c}(t).$$

Let us estimate $I_{n,1,J}(t)$ and $I_{n,1,J^\varepsilon}(t)$ separately. Notice that from (4.4), for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_{n,1,J}(t)| \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) (\|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) (g_n(s, z) + g(s, z)) \cdot \\
& \quad (\|X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}) 1_{A_{2,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left[(\|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) (\|X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}) \right] \cdot \\
& \quad \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) (g_n(s, z) + g(s, z)) 1_{A_{2,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) h(s, z) 1_{A_{2,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds, \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.2, we know that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $J_\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) h(s, z) 1_{\{l_2(s, z) \geq J_\varepsilon\}} \nu(dz) ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1)},$$

choose J in (5.17) to be J_ε , then (5.17) yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{5.18}$$

(5.14)-(5.18) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \\
& \leq C_{l_1, T, N} \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(|I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon}(t)| + |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)| + |I_{n,2}(t)| \right) \\
& \leq C_{l_1, T, N} \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\varepsilon + |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)| + C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \varepsilon \right). \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $|I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)|$, denote

$$U^n(s) = X^{g_n}(s) - X^g(s), \quad U^n(\bar{s}_m) = X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^g(\bar{s}_m),$$

where

$$\bar{s}_m = t_{k+1} \equiv (k+1)T \cdot 2^{-m}, \quad \text{for } s \in [kT2^{-m}, (k+1)T2^{-m}),$$

then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \tilde{I}_i, \tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_1 &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(s), z) (g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), U^n(s) - U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon^c}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \right|, \\
\tilde{I}_2 &= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle (f(s, X^g(s), z) - f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z)) (g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \Big|, \\
\tilde{I}_3 &= \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^m} \sup_{t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k} \left| \int_{t_{k-1}}^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z) (g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \right|, \\
\tilde{I}_4 &= \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} \left| \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z) (g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\tilde{I}_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ dependent on n, m, ε , etc., to shorten the notations, we omit these indices.

Now, let us estimate $\tilde{I}_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_1 &\leq \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) (\|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \|U^n(s) - U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} (g_n(s, z) + g(s, z)) \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right) J_\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \|U^n(s) - U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} (g_n(s, z) + g(s, z)) \nu(dz) ds, \quad (5.21)
\end{aligned}$$

recall that from [2, Remark 3.3], for any $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$,

$$ab \leq e^{\sigma a} + \frac{1}{\sigma} (b \log b - b + 1) = e^{\sigma a} + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(b), \quad (5.22)$$

where l is defined as in (3.5), choose $a = 1, b = g_n(s, z)$ or $g(s, z)$, by (3.7) and (4.4), (5.21) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_1 &\leq \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right) J_\varepsilon \cdot \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \|U^n(s) - U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \\
&\quad \left(2e^\sigma + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g_n(s, z)) + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g(s, z)) \right) \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right) J_\varepsilon \cdot 2e^\sigma \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \|U^n(s) - U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{\sigma} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right) J_\varepsilon \cdot \sup_{s \in [0, T]} (\|X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}) \cdot \\
&\quad \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l(h(s, z)) \nu(dz) ds \\
&\leq \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^g(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right) J_\varepsilon \cdot 2e^\sigma \nu(K_\varepsilon) \cdot \sqrt{T} \cdot \\
&\quad \left[\left(\int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + 8 \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sup_{h \in S^N} \|X^h(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1 \right)^2 J_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{N}{\sigma} \\
&\leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) J_\varepsilon \cdot e^\sigma \nu(K_\varepsilon) \cdot \\
&\quad \left[\left(\int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_0^T \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) J_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma}. \quad (5.23)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(s) - X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds$, notice that from (5.6) we know

$$X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s) - \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} L\Psi(X^{g_n}(t)) dt = \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \int_Z f(t, X^{g_n}(t), z) (g_n(t, z) - 1) \nu(dz) dt. \quad (5.24)$$

Applying the chain rule to $\|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \\
& + 2 \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1-L)(\Psi(X^{g_n}(t))), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} dt \\
& = 2 \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(t)), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt \\
& + 2 \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \left\langle \int_Z f(t, X^{g_n}(t), z)(g_n(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt. \quad (5.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.25) over $[0, T]$ with respect to s , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1-L)(\Psi(X^{g_n}(t))), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} dt ds \\
& = 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(t)), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \left\langle \int_Z f(t, X^{g_n}(t), z)(g_n(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds. \quad (5.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (5.26) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1-L)(\Psi(X^{g_n}(t)) - \Psi(X^{g_n}(s))), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} dt ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1-L)(\Psi(X^{g_n}(s))), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} dt ds \\
& = 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(t)) - \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \langle \Psi(X^{g_n}(s)), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \left\langle \int_Z f(t, X^{g_n}(t), z)(g_n(t, z) - 1)\nu(dz), X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), (4.4), (4.40), $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ continuously and densely, Young's inequality, $\Psi(0) = 0$ (see **(H1)**), and **(H2)(ii)**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} |\Psi(X^{g_n}(s))|_2 \cdot |X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)|_2 dt ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 dt ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} |\Psi(X^{g_n}(s))|_2 \cdot \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} dt ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \int_Z l_2(t, z) \cdot (\|X^{g_n}(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \cdot |g_n(t, z) - 1| \cdot \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) dt ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} Lip\Psi \cdot |X^{g_n}(s)|_2 \cdot |X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)|_2 dt ds \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} |X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)|_2^2 dt ds \\
& \quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} Lip\Psi \cdot |X^{g_n}(s)|_2 \cdot |X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)|_2 dt ds \\
& \quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} (|X^{g_n}(t)|_2 + 1) \cdot |X^{g_n}(t) - X^{g_n}(s)|_2 \cdot \int_Z l_2(t, z) \cdot |g_n(t, z) - 1| \nu(dz) dt ds \\
& \leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^m} + C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \int_0^T \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \int_Z l_2(t, z) \cdot |g_n(t, z) - 1| \nu(dz) dt ds \\
& \leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^m} \\
& \quad + C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) \cdot \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int_s^{\bar{s}_m} \int_Z l_2(t, z) \cdot |\bar{h}(t, z) - 1| \nu(dz) dt, \tag{5.27}
\end{aligned}$$

from [2, Lemma 3.4, (3.5)], we know that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} \int_s^t \int_Z l_i(t, z) |\bar{h}(t, z) - 1| \nu(dz) dt = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{5.28}$$

so

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \|X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^{g_n}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds = 0, \tag{5.29}$$

similarly,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

Taking (5.29) and (5.30) into (5.23), we know that

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_1 \leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) J_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma}, \tag{5.31}$$

since σ is arbitrary in $[1, \infty)$, we get

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_1 = 0. \tag{5.32}$$

To estimate \tilde{I}_2 , denote

$$A_{1,J} = \{(s, z) \in [0, T] \times Z : l_1(s, z) \geq J\},$$

then by **(H2)(i)**, (4.4) and (5.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_2 & \leq \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} |g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)| \nu(dz) ds \\
& = \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} |g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)| 1_{A_{1,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} |g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)| 1_{A_{1,J}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} 4 \|X^h(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \cdot \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) (|g_n(s, z)| + |g(s, z)|) 1_{A_{1,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \quad + J \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} 2 \|X^h(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} (|g_n(s, z)| + |g(s, z)|) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) h(s, z) 1_{A_{1,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \quad + J C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (2e^\sigma + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g_n(s, z)) + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g(s, z))) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) h(s, z) 1_{A_{1,J}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\
& \quad + J C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) e^\sigma \nu(K_\varepsilon) \int_0^T \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\
& \quad + J C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g_n(s, z)) + \frac{1}{\sigma} l(g(s, z)) \nu(dz) ds. \tag{5.33}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term in the right hand-side of (5.33), from Lemma 5.2, we know that for the fixed K_ε and any $\eta > 0$, there exists $J_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{h \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_1(s, z) h(s, z) 1_{A_{1,J_\eta}}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \leq \eta. \tag{5.34}$$

Fix the above J_η . The second term in the right hand-side of (5.33) can be controlled by

$$\leq J_\eta C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) e^\sigma \nu(K_\varepsilon) \left(\int_0^T \|X^g(s) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{5.35}$$

From (3.7), the third term in the right hand-side of (5.33) can be controlled by

$$\leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) J_\eta \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma}. \tag{5.36}$$

Taking (5.34)-(5.36) into (5.33), and since σ is arbitrary in $[1, \infty)$, by (5.30),

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_2 \leq C_{N,T} (|x|_2^2 + 1) \eta.$$

Since η is arbitrary in $(0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_2 = 0. \tag{5.37}$$

By **(H2)(ii)** and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_3 \leq & \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^m} \sup_{t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) (\|X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \cdot \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \cdot \\
& (|g_n(s, z) - 1| + |g(s, z) - 1|) \nu(dz) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^m} \sup_{t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^t \int_{K_\varepsilon} l_2(s, z) \cdot |h(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz) ds, \quad (5.38)$$

then applying (5.28),

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_3 = 0. \quad (5.39)$$

To estimate \tilde{I}_4 , for all $(s, z) \in [0, T] \times Z$, we denote

$$f_{m,n}(s, z) = \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z).$$

Since $U^n(\bar{s}_m) = X^{g_n}(\bar{s}_m) - X^g(\bar{s}_m)$, $L^2(\mu) \subset F_{1,2}^*$ continuously and densely, and (4.4), we know that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |U^n(\bar{s}_m)|_2 \leq 2 \sup_{h \in S^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X^h(t)|_2 \leq \sqrt{C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1)} < \infty.$$

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m$. For any $s \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $\{U^n(\bar{s}_m) = U^n(t_k)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is weakly compact in $(F_{1,2}^*, \|\cdot\|_{F_{1,2}^*})$, hence there exists a subsequence (denoted as itself) and $U_k \in F_{1,2}^*$, such that for all $\kappa \in F_{1,2}^*$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \kappa, U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} = \langle \kappa, U_k \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|U_k\|_{F_{1,2}^*} \leq \sqrt{C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, on $[t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{m,n}(s, z) = \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U_k \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) := f_m(s, z), \quad \nu(dz) ds\text{-a.s.}, \quad (5.40)$$

and

$$|f_{m,n}(s, z)| \leq l_2(s, z) (\|X^g(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} + 1) \|U^n(\bar{s}_m)\|_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \leq J_\varepsilon C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) < \infty, \quad (5.41)$$

which imply that

$$|f_m(s, z)| \leq J_\varepsilon C_{N,T}(|x|_2^2 + 1) < \infty. \quad (5.42)$$

Similar to [2, A.6], we can assume without loss of generality that

$$m_{n,\varepsilon} := \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} g_n(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m_\varepsilon := \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} g(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \neq 0.$$

Recall $\nu_T = \lambda_T \otimes \nu$ and ν_T^g introduced in (3.8). Define probability measures $\tilde{\nu}_{n,\varepsilon}$, $\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon$, and θ_ε on $([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon, \mathcal{B}([t_{k-1}, t_k]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(K_\varepsilon))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}_{n,\varepsilon}(\cdot) &= \frac{1}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} \nu_T^{g_n}(\cdot \cap ([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)), \\ \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(\cdot) &= \frac{1}{m_\varepsilon} \nu_T^g(\cdot \cap ([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)), \\ \theta_\varepsilon(\cdot) &= \frac{\nu_T(\cdot \cap ([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon))}{\nu_T([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the topology on S^N ; see around (3.8). Since $g_n \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in S^N , we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n,\varepsilon} = m_\varepsilon, \quad (5.43)$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_{n,\varepsilon} \text{ converges weakly to } \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.44)$$

And there exists a constant α_ε such that the relative entropy function

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \geq 1} R(\tilde{\nu}_{n,\varepsilon} \| \theta_\varepsilon) &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \log \left(\frac{\nu_T([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} g_n(s, z) \right) \frac{1}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} g_n(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} (l(g_n(s, z)) + g_n(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log \frac{\nu_T([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{N}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} + 1 - \frac{\nu_T([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} + \log \frac{\nu_T([t_{k-1}, t_k] \times K_\varepsilon)}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_\varepsilon < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

By (5.40)–(5.42), (5.44), and (5.45), applying [3, Lemma 2.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} f_{m,n}(s, z) \tilde{\nu}_{n,\varepsilon}(dz) ds &= \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} f_m(s, z) \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(dz) ds, \\ (b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} f_{m,n}(s, z) \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(dz) ds &= \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} f_m(s, z) \tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(dz) ds, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (a') \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \frac{1}{m_{n,\varepsilon}} g_n(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\ = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U_k \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \frac{1}{m_\varepsilon} g(s, z) \nu(dz) ds, \\ (b') \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \frac{1}{m_\varepsilon} g(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \\ = \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U_k \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \frac{1}{m_\varepsilon} g(s, z) \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking (5.43) into account, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \int_{K_\varepsilon} \langle f(s, X^g(\bar{s}_m), z), U^n(\bar{s}_m) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} (g_n(s, z) - g(s, z)) 1_{A_{2,J_\varepsilon}^c}(s, z) \nu(dz) ds \right| = 0. \quad (5.46)$$

From (5.32), (5.37) and (5.39), we know that for any $\kappa > 0$, there exists a $m_\kappa > 0$ such that for all $m \geq m_\kappa$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{I}_i \leq \kappa. \quad (5.47)$$

For the fixed κ and m_κ as above, (5.46) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{I}_4 = 0. \quad (5.48)$$

Taking (5.47) and (5.48) into account, from (5.20), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)| \leq \kappa,$$

since κ is arbitrary in $(0, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_{n,1,J_\varepsilon^c}(t)| = 0. \quad (5.49)$$

Taking (5.49) into account, from (5.19), we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X^{g_n}(t) - X^g(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \leq C_{l_1, T, N} \cdot (\varepsilon + C_{N, T}(|x|_2^2 + 1)\varepsilon),$$

since ε is arbitrary in $(0, \infty)$, it follows that

$$X^{g_n} \rightarrow X^g \text{ in } D([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*), \quad (5.50)$$

which indicates (a) in Condition 5.1.

5.2 Proof of (b) in Condition 5.1

Proof Proof of (b) in Condition 5.1

Let $N < \infty$, $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^N$. From Theorem 4.1, we know that $Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon} := \mathcal{G}^0(\varphi_\varepsilon)$ is the unique solution of the following equation:

$$Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) = x + L \int_0^t \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) ds + \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s), z) (\varphi_\varepsilon(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds. \quad (5.51)$$

From (5.3) and (5.51), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) &= L \int_0^t \Psi(X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s-), z) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\varphi_\varepsilon}(dz, ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z (f(s, X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s), z) - f(s, Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s), z)) (\varphi_\varepsilon(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

Rewrite (5.52) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) + (1 - L) \int_0^t \Psi(X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \Psi(X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_Z f(s, X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s-), z) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\varphi_\varepsilon}(dz, ds) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_Z (f(s, X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s), z) - f(s, Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s), z)) (\varphi_\varepsilon(s, z) - 1) \nu(dz) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\|X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t (L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1 - L)(\Psi(X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s))), X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s)), X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} ds \\ &+ 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_Z \langle f(s, X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s-), z), X^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s-) - Y^{\varphi_\varepsilon}(s-) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\varphi_\varepsilon}(dz, ds) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_0^t \int_Z \langle (f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s), z) - f(s, Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s), z))(\varphi_\epsilon(s, z) - 1), X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\
& + \epsilon^2 \int_0^t \int_Z \|f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds). \tag{5.54}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as to get (5.8) and (5.9), we know that the second term in the left hand-side of (5.54) is

$$\geq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s))|_2^2 ds. \tag{5.55}$$

and the first term in the right hand-side of (5.54) can be dominated by

$$\leq 2\tilde{\alpha} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)) - \Psi(Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s))|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} \int_0^t \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds. \tag{5.56}$$

For the third term in the right hand-side of (5.54), by **(H2)(i)**, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_0^t \int_Z \langle (f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s), z) - f(s, Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s), z))(\varphi_\epsilon(s, z) - 1), X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \nu(dz) ds \\
& \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_Z l_1(s, z) |\varphi_\epsilon(s, z) - 1| \cdot \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \nu(dz) ds \\
& := 2 \int_0^t h_{1,\epsilon}(s) \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds, \tag{5.57}
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_{1,\epsilon}(s) = \int_Z l_1(s, z) |\varphi_\epsilon(s, z) - 1| \nu(dz)$, from (4.10), we know that

$$\int_0^T h_{1,\epsilon}(s) ds \leq C_{l_1, N} < \infty. \tag{5.58}$$

Combing (5.54)-(5.57), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(t) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(t)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \\
& \leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2h_{1,\epsilon}(s) \right) \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\
& \quad + 2\epsilon \int_0^t \int_Z \langle f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z), X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds) \\
& \quad + \epsilon^2 \int_0^t \int_Z \|f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma and (5.58),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \right] \\
& \leq e^{\frac{T}{2\tilde{\alpha}} + 2C_{l_1, N}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| 2\epsilon \int_0^t \int_Z \langle f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z), X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-) - Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-) \rangle_{F_{1,2}^*} \tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds) \right| \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left(\epsilon^2 \int_0^T \int_Z \|f(s, X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-), z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz, ds) \right) \right]. \tag{5.59}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Burkhold-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality (with $p = 1$, see [39, Proposition 2.2]), **(H2)(ii)** and Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t\int_Z\langle f(s,X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-),z),X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*}\tilde{N}^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right|\right] \\
& \leq 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Z\langle f(s,X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-),z),X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\rangle_{F_{1,2}^*}^2N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Zl_2^2(s,z)(\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}+1)^2\cdot\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Z2l_2^2(s,z)(\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1)\cdot\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\cdot\int_0^T\int_Z2l_2^2(s,z)(\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1)N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\right]+2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Zl_2^2(s,z)(\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1)N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right] \\
& \leq \epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\right] \\
& \quad +2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1\right)\int_0^T\int_Zl_2^2(s,z)\varphi_\epsilon(s,z)\nu(dz)ds\right], \tag{5.60}
\end{aligned}$$

From [2, Lemma 3.4] or [40, Lemma 4.1], we know that for any $\hbar \in \mathcal{H}_2 \cap L_2(\nu_T)$, there exists a constant $C_{\hbar,2,N}$ such that

$$C_{\hbar,2,N} := \sup_{g \in S^N} \int_0^T \int_Z \hbar^2(s,z)(g(s,z)+1)\nu(dz)ds < \infty, \tag{5.61}$$

so (5.60) can be dominated by

$$\leq \epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\right]+2\epsilon C_{l_2,2,N}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1\right]. \tag{5.62}$$

By **(H2)(ii)** and (5.61), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon^2\int_0^T\int_Z\|f(s,X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s-),z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2N^{\epsilon^{-1}\varphi_\epsilon}(dz,ds)\right] \\
& = \epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Z\|f(s,X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s),z)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\varphi_\epsilon(s,z)\nu(dz)ds\right] \\
& \leq 2\epsilon\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T\int_Z(\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1)l_2^2(s,z)\varphi_\epsilon(s,z)\nu(dz)ds\right] \\
& \leq 2\epsilon C_{l_2,2,N}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1\right]. \tag{5.63}
\end{aligned}$$

Combing (5.59)-(5.63), we get, for any $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{T}{2\alpha}-2C_{l_1,N}} \wedge 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)-Y^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2\right] \\
& \leq 8\epsilon C_{l_2,2,N}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2+1\right]\cdot e^{\frac{T}{2\alpha}+2C_{l_1,N}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$:= \epsilon C_{T,l_1,l_2,2,\tilde{\alpha},N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1 \right]. \quad (5.64)$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2$ and using similar arguments as to get (5.64), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \right] \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_{T,l_2,2,\tilde{\alpha},N} |x|_2^2 + \epsilon \tilde{C}_{T,l_2,2,\tilde{\alpha},N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 + 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

To prove (5.65), $\Psi(0) = 0$ (see **(H1)**) and **(H2)(i)** will be used.

Here the constant $\tilde{C}_{T,l_2,2,\tilde{\alpha},N}$ is independent on ϵ . The inequality above implies that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|X^{\varphi_\epsilon}(s)\|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \right] \leq C < \infty. \quad (5.66)$$

Combing (5.66) with (5.64), we know that

$$X^{\varphi_\epsilon} \longrightarrow Y^{\varphi_\epsilon} \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; F_{1,2}^*)) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies (b) in Condition 5.1.

References

- [1] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation*, Nonlinear diffusion problems (Montecatini Terme, 1985), 1-46, Lecture Notes in Math, 1224, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [2] A. Budhiraja, J. Chen, P. Dupuis, *Large deviations for stochastic partial differential equations driven by a Poisson random measure*, Stochastic Process. App., 123 (2013) 523-560.
- [3] M. Boué, P. Dupuis, *A variational representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion*, Annals of Probability, 26(4), (1998) 1641-1659.
- [4] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, V. Maroulas, *Variational representations for continuous time processes*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités Statistiques. 47(3)(2011), 725-747.
- [5] V.I. Bogachev, G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, *Invariant measures of generalized stochastic equations of porous media*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk 396 (2004), no. 1, 7-11.
- [6] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, *Stochastic Porous Media Equations*, Springer international Publishing, Switzerland, 2016.
- [7] W.J. Beyn, B. Gess, P. Lescot, M. Röckner, *The global random attractor for a class of stochastic porous media equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2011), no. 3, 446-469.
- [8] Z. Brzeźniak, W. Liu, J.H. Zhu, *Strong solutions for SPDE with locally monotone coefficients driven by Lévy noise*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 17 (2014), 283-310.

- [9] Z. Brzeźniak, X. Peng, J. Zhai, *Well-posedness and large deviations for 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with jumps*, arXiv:1908.06228v3, accepted by J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)
- [10] V. Barbu, M. Röckner, F. Russo, *Stochastic porous media equation in \mathbb{R}^d* , J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 103 (4) (2015) 1024-1052.
- [11] I. Chueshov, A. Millet, *Stochastic 2D hydrodynamical type systems: well posedness and large deviations*, Appl. Math. Optim. 61 (2010), no. 3, 379-420.
- [12] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, *Weak solutions to stochastic porous media equations*, J. Evol. Equ. 4(2004) 249-271.
- [13] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, *Invariant measures for a stochastic porous media equation*, Stochastic analysis and related topics in Kyoto, 13-29, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 41, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo. 2004.
- [14] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, B.L. Rozovskii, F.Y. Wang, *Strong solutions of stochastic generalized porous media equations: existence, uniqueness, and ergodicity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), no. 1-3, 277-291.
- [15] Z. Dong, J. Xiong, J. Zhai, T. Zhang, *A moderate deviation principle for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noises*. J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 1, 227-254.
- [16] Z. Dong, J.L. Wu, R.R. Zhang, T.S. Zhang, *Large deviation principles for first-order scalar conservation laws with stochastic forcing*, Ann. Appl. Probab. 30 (2020), 324-367.
- [17] M. Fukushima, *Two topics related to Dirichlet forms: quasi-everywhere convergences and additive functionals*, Dirichlet forms (Varenna, 1992), 21-53, Lecture Notes in Math., 1563, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [18] B. Gess, *Finite speed of propagation for stochastic porous media equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (2013), no. 5, 2734-2766.
- [19] B. Gess, *Random attractors for stochastic porous media equations perturbed by space-time linear multiplicative noise*, Ann. Probab. 42 (2014), no. 2, 818-864.
- [20] B.L. Guo, G.L. Zhou, *Exponential stability of stochastic generalized porous media equations with jump*, Appl. Math. Mech. -Engl. Ed., 35(8) (2014), 1067-1078.
- [21] W. Liu, M. Röckner, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2015.
- [22] W. Liu, Y. Song, J. Zhai, T. Zhang *Large and moderate deviation principles for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with jumps*, arXiv:2011.08403, accepted by Potential Anal.
- [23] Z.M. Ma, M. Röckner, *Introduction to the Theory of (Non-Symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [24] A. Matoussi, W. Sabbagh, T.S. Zhang, *Large deviation principles of obstacle problems for quasilinear stochastic PDEs*, Appl. Math. Optim. 83 (2021), no. 2, 849-879.
- [25] E. Pardoux, *Equations aux Derivées Partielles Stochastiques Nonlinéaires Monotones*, Thèse, Université Paris XI, 1975.

- [26] K.R. Parthasarathy, *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, New York-London: Academic Press 1967.
- [27] S. Peszat, J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise: An Evolution Equation Approach*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [28] C. Prévôt, M. Röckner, *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1905. Springer, Berlin (2007).
- [29] J. Ren, M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang, *Stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations*, J. Differential Equations 238(2007), no.1, 118-152.
- [30] M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang, *Non-monotone stochastic generalized porous media equations*, J. Differential Equations 245(2008), no.12, 3898-3935.
- [31] M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang, *Concentration of invariant measures for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 10 (2007), no. 3, 397-409.
- [32] M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang, L.M. Wu, *Large deviations for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 116 (2006), no. 12, 1677-1689.
- [33] M. Röckner, W.N. Wu, Y.C. Xie, *Stochastic porous media equation on general measure spaces with increasing Lipschitz nonlinearities*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 128 (2018), no. 6, 2131-2151.
- [34] M. Röckner, W.N. Wu, Y.C. Xie, *Stochastic generalized porous media equations over σ -finite measure spaces with non-continuous nonlinearity*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.09878>.
- [35] M. Röckner, F.Y. Wang, T.S. Zhang, *Stochastic generalized porous media equations with reflection*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 123 (2013), no. 11, 3943-3962.
- [36] M. Riedle, J.L. Zhai, *Large deviations for stochastic heat equations with memory driven by Lévy-type noise*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 38 (2018), no. 4, 1983-2005.
- [37] F.Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), no. 4, 1333-1350.
- [38] W.N. Wu, J.L. Zhai, *Large deviations for stochastic porous media equation on general measure spaces*, J. Differential Equations, 269 (2020), no. 11, 10002-10036.
- [39] W.N. Wu, J.L. Zhai, *Stochastic generalized porous media equations driven by Lévy noise with increasing Lipschitz nonlinearities*, J. Evol. Equ. 21 (2021), 4845-4871.
- [40] J. Xiong, J.L. Zhai, *Large deviations for locally monotone stochastic partial differential equations driven by Lévy noise*, Bernoulli, 24(4A), 2018, 2842-2874.
- [41] X. Yang, J. Zhai, T. Zhang, *Large deviations for SPDEs of jump type*. Stoch. Dyn. 15 (2015), no. 4, 1550026, 30 pp.
- [42] J. Zhai, T. Zhang, *Large deviations for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noises*. Bernoulli 21 (2015), no. 4, 2351-2392.

- [43] G.L. Zhou, Z.T. Hou, *Stochastic generalized porous media equations with Lévy jump*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 27 (2011), 1671-1696.
- [44] G.L. Zhou, Z.T. Hou, *The ergodicity of stochastic generalized porous media equations with Lévy jump*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31 (2011), 925-933.
- [45] Y.B. Zeldovich, Y.P. Raizer, *Physics of Shock-waves and High-temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1966.