

Urysohn in action: separating semialgebraic sets by polynomials

Milan Korda^{1,2}, Jean-Bernard Lasserre¹, Alexey Lazarev¹, Victor Magron¹, and Simone Naldi³

¹LAAS-CNRS, Toulouse, France

²Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague

³Univ. Limoges, CNRS, XLIM, UMR 7252, Limoges, France

1 Problem setting

A classical result from topology called Uryshon's lemma asserts the existence of a continuous separator of two disjoint closed sets in a sufficiently regular topological space. In this work we make a search for this separator constructive and efficient in the context of real algebraic geometry. Namely, given two compact disjoint basic semialgebraic sets $\mathbf{A} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(x) \geq 0 \forall i = 1 \dots r\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h_i(x) \geq 0 \forall i = 1 \dots s\}$ which are contained in an n -dimensional box $[-1, 1]^n$, we provide an algorithm that computes a separating polynomial p greater than or equal to 1 on \mathbf{A} and less than or equal to 0 on \mathbf{B} . This is a challenging problem with many important applications (e.g., classification in machine learning [6] or collision avoidance in robotics) and has a long history. In [1] the authors provide a decision algorithm for the more general separation problem without compactness assumptions. In order to obtain a correctness certificate for the separator, another well-renowned approach is to rely on positivity certificates based on sums of squares, such as Putinar certificates ([15], [7, Chapter 2]) for positive polynomials on basic compact semialgebraic sets. Such certificates have been used to approximate the volume of a basic semialgebraic set [4, 8] and for the problem of optimal data fitting [9]. There, the authors applied hierarchies of semidefinite relaxations coming together with strong convergence guarantees. Related convergence rates have been obtained [5] thanks to the degree bounds for the associated positivity certificates [14].

Contributions. Inspired by these latter efforts, we build a Putinar representation of the separating polynomial and estimate the degree of the representation with the help of the recent results [3] which (together with [10] and [12]) significantly improve the degree estimates in comparison with previous works on that subject [14, 17]. We provide a hierarchy of semidefinite programs to compute a separating polynomial for the basic compact semialgebraic sets \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} defined above. In addition to that, we estimate the degree of the separating polynomial. Our degree bound is polynomial in the inverse of the euclidean distance between the two sets and singly exponential in the dimension.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we provide the notation and the central results to which we refer in the proof of the main theorem 3.

Notation. Let $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ denote a ring of polynomials with n variables, $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]_d$ be a vector space of polynomials with n variables of degree $\leq d$. For $p \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ we work with the norm $\|p\| := \max_{[-1, 1]^n} p$, degree of p is $\deg(p)$, $\varepsilon(p) := \frac{\min_{\mathbf{A}} p}{\|p\|}$. The set of polynomials $\{f_1, \dots, f_t\}$, where $\forall i = 1, \dots, t : f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ is denoted by \mathbf{f} and let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{f}) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall f \in \mathbf{f} : f(x) \geq 0\}$ be the semialgebraic set defined by \mathbf{f} . In these terms the semialgebraic sets in question are $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{g})$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{h})$ respectively. A sum of squares of polynomials is denoted by Σ . Quadratic module

generated by \mathbf{f} is the set $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{f}) := \{s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t s_i f_i \mid s_i \in \Sigma\}$. The euclidean distance between two sets $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\text{dist}(X, Y) := \inf_{x \in X, y \in Y} |x - y|$.

Definition 1. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and the set of polynomials \mathbf{f} the truncated quadratic module $\mathcal{Q}_l(\mathbf{f})$ of degree $\leq l$ is:

$$\mathcal{Q}_l(\mathbf{f}) := \left\{ s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t s_i f_i \mid s_i \in \Sigma, \deg(s_0) \leq l, \deg(s_i f_i) \leq l \forall i = 1, \dots, t \right\}.$$

Definition 2. The modulus of continuity of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function $\omega_f(\delta) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $\omega_f(\delta) := \sup_{|x-y| < \delta} |f(x) - f(y)|$.

Theorem 1 (Multivariate Jackson's Theorem [13]). Let f be a continuous function on an n -dimensional box $[-1, 1]^n$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a polynomial p_m with $\deg(p_m) = m$ such that for all $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ one has

$$|f(x) - p_m(x)| \leq C \omega_f \left(\frac{n^{3/2}}{m} \right),$$

where C is an absolute constant (not depending on the function f).

Theorem 2 ([3]). Assume that $n \geq 2$ and that f_1, \dots, f_t satisfy the two normalization assumptions (1) $1 - \|x\|_2^2 \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{f})$, (2) $\forall i : \|f_i\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then every p positive on $S(\mathbf{f})$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_l(\mathbf{f})$ for $l \geq \gamma(n, \mathbf{f}) \deg(p)^{3.5nT} \varepsilon(p)^{-2.5nT}$, where \mathbf{c} and T are the Lojasiewicz coefficient and exponent provided in definition 2.4 of [3] and $\gamma(n, \mathbf{f}) = n^3 2^{5nT} r^n \mathbf{c}^{2n} \deg(\mathbf{f})^n$.

3 The main result

We start this section with constructing a continuous separating function u for the sets \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} . After that we rely on Theorem 1 to provide a uniform polynomial approximation p of u . Finally, we show how to compute such a separator p by means of Putinar's representations and obtain an associated hierarchy of semidefinite programs (SDP).

3.1 Explicit continuous separator

We can build a separating continuous function u explicitly. Consider:

$$u(x) := 2 - 3 \frac{\text{dist}(x, \mathbf{A})}{\text{dist}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})}.$$

Lemma 1. The function u is L -lipschitz with $L = \frac{3}{\text{dist}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})}$, $u|_{\mathbf{A}} = 2$ and $u|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq -1$.

3.2 Uniform approximation of the separator by polynomials

Applying Theorem 1 to the function u provides the following bound on the degree m of the approximating polynomial p_m :

$$|u(x) - p_m(x)| \leq C \omega_f \left(\frac{n^{3/2}}{m} \right),$$

where C is an absolute constant (not depending on u , see [13]). For the L -lipschitz function u it is clear that: $\omega_u(\delta) \leq L\delta$, and thus we obtain:

$$|u(x) - p_m(x)| \leq CL \frac{n^{3/2}}{m}, \tag{1}$$

where $\deg(p_m) = m$, L is the lipschitz constant of u and C is an absolute constant (not depending on u).

3.3 Putinar's representation of the separating polynomial

In this section we provide a Putinar's representation of the separating polynomial p and estimate the degree bound of this representation. This separating polynomial is greater than 1 on \mathbf{A} and negative on \mathbf{B} .

Remark 1. According to section 2.4.2 of chapter 2 of [7] it is possible to check membership of a polynomial in $\mathcal{Q}_l(\mathbf{g})$ by solving a semidefinite feasibility program.

Theorem 3. Assume \mathbf{c} , T and $\gamma(n, \mathbf{f})$ are taken from Theorem 2. Then there exists a separating polynomial of degree l with

$$l \geq \max\{\gamma(n, \mathbf{g}), \gamma(n, \mathbf{h})\} C^{3.5nT} n^{3nT} \left(\frac{6}{\text{dist}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})} \right)^{6nT}. \quad (2)$$

We can also simplify the result given in formula 2.

Remark 2. If the gradients of the active constraints' defining polynomials from the sets \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{h} are linearly independent at every point of $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{g})$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{h})$, respectively (see precise definition 2.7 in [3]) then $T = 1$ and we obtain the bound:

$$l \geq \max\{\gamma(n, \mathbf{g}), \gamma(n, \mathbf{h})\} C^{3.5n} n^{3n} \left(\frac{6}{\text{dist}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})} \right)^{6n}. \quad (3)$$

4 Practical implementation and discussion

Let us consider an example when $\mathbf{A} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -\frac{16}{9}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^2 + x_2^2 - x_1^2 \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \frac{1}{16} - (x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - x_2^2 \geq 0\}$. The levels sets of the separating polynomial $p(x_1, x_2) = 1.92876 - 7.71502x_1 + 10.96977x_2^2$ with $\deg(p) = 2$ are displayed on Figure 1. Computations were executed in MATLAB with the usage of Yalmip [11] and Mosek [2].




Figure 1: Separating a lemniscate and a circle. The lemniscate and the circle are the boundaries of the compact semialgebraic sets \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} respectively. The grey area is the superlevel set of p and the white area is the sublevel set of p .

Perspectives. Having solved the problem of separating two semialgebraic sets it is immediately possible to construct a polynomial p classifying several semialgebraic sets \mathbf{A}_i , i.e., $p|_{\mathbf{A}_i} \in [m_i, M_i]$. Another possible application is to use the described techniques to separate reachable sets of dynamical systems. It could also be interesting to compare the practical efficiency of our current

SDP-based framework and concurrent techniques based on Bernstein polynomials. Furthermore, one could try to maximize the separating margin similarly to the way it is done for support-vector machines. Finally, one could exploit sparsity and symmetry patterns arising from the input data in order to improve the scalability of our approach.

References

- [1] F. Acquistapace, C. Andradas, F. Broglia, Separation of semialgebraic sets. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 12(3):703–728, 1999.
- [2] E. D. Andersen, K. D. Andersen, The Mosek Interior Point Optimizer for Linear Programming: An Implementation of the Homogeneous Algorithm. In Hans Frenk, Kees Roos, Tams Terlaky, and Shuzhong Zhang, editors, *High Performance Optimization*, volume 33 of *Applied Optimization*, pages 197–232. Springer US, 2000.
- [3] L. Baldi, B. Mourrain, On Moment Approximation and the Effective Putinar’s Positivstellensatz, Inria, Université Côte d’Azur, Sophia Antipolis, France, 2022
- [4] D. Henrion, J.B. Lasserre, C. Savorgnan, Approximate volume and integration for basic semialgebraic sets, *SIAM Review*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2009, 51 (4), pp.722-743.
- [5] M. Korda, D. Henrion, Convergence rates of moment-sum-of-squares hierarchies for volume approximation of semialgebraic sets. *Optim Lett* 12, 435–442 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11590-017-1186-x>
- [6] Kotsiantis, Sotiris B., Ioannis Zaharakis, and P. Pintelas. Supervised machine learning: A review of classification techniques. *Emerging artificial intelligence applications in computer engineering* 160, no. 1 (2007): 3-24.
- [7] J. B. Lasserre, *An introduction to polynomial and semialgebraic optimization*. Cambridge, the United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [8] J. B. Lasserre, Y. Emin, Semidefinite Relaxations for Lebesgue and Gaussian Measures of Unions of Basic Semialgebraic Sets, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 2019, 44(4):1477-1493. <https://doi.org/10.1287/moor.2018.0980>
- [9] J. B. Lasserre, V. Magron, Optimal data fitting: a moment approach, arXiv:1802.03259 [math.OC] (2018)
- [10] M. Laurent, L. Slot, An effective version of Schmüdgen’s Positivstellensatz for the hypercube, arXiv:2109.09528 [math] (2021).
- [11] Löfberg, YALMIP : A Toolbox for Modeling and Optimization in MATLAB. In *Proceedings of the CACSD Conference*, Taipei, Taiwan, 2004.
- [12] N. H. A. Mai, V. Magron, On the complexity of Putinar–Vasilescu’s Positivstellensatz, *Journal of Complexity* (2022), p. 101663.
- [13] D.J. Newman, H.S. Shapiro, Jackson’s Theorem in Higher Dimensions, *On Approximation Theory / ISNM International Series of Numerical Mathematics*, vol 5, pp 208–219, Springer, Basel, 1964.
- [14] J. Nie, M. Schweighofer, On the complexity of Putinar’s Positivstellensatz, *Journal of Complexity* 23.1 (2007), pp. 135–150.
- [15] M. Putinar, Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets, *Indiana University Mathematics* 42(3) (1993), pp. 969-984.
- [16] K. Schmüdgen, *The moment problem*, Graduate texts in mathematics, Springer international publishing, 2017.
- [17] M. Schweighofer, On the complexity of Schmüdgen’s Positivstellensatz, *Journal of Complexity* 20.4 (2004), pp. 529–543.