

ON GONALITY AND CANONICAL MODELS OF UNICUSPIDAL RATIONAL CURVES

NAAMÃ GALDINO, DANIELLE NICOLAU, AND RENATO VIDAL MARTINS

ABSTRACT. The goal of this work is the study of the gonality of a rational unicuspidal curve C . We are mainly interested in the case where C is non-Gorenstein. We classify such curves via different notions of gonality, and by its canonical model C' , up to genus 6. We do it by means of more general families of curves of arbitrary genus. Afterwards, we get a general formula for the dimension of the space of hypersurfaces of a fixed degree containing C' , which we apply to some particular cases.

INTRODUCTION

The gonality is one of the main invariants of a curve, characterizing, for instance, projective lines, hyperelliptic curves, and intersections of quadrics [2, 8]. The usual definition, in the smooth case, is the smallest d for which the curve C admits a d -cover of \mathbb{P}^1 or, alternatively, for which C carries a pencil of degree d . The definitions are equivalent but may lead to considerably different notions if applied to a wider range of curves.

In fact, when addressing the singular case, one way is to set up definitions via the smooth case. For example, in [4], a curve is d -gonal if it is the limit of smooth curves that are so; while in [11], the gonality of a curve is the same of its normalization. Rather, another way is just applying the standard notion to the singular case. However, the equivalence mentioned above fails to be true if so. Indeed, Rosa and Stöhr show in [17] that the rulings of a cone always cut out a g_3^1 on any Gorenstein canonical curve passing through its vertex, which cannot be removed otherwise the curve would be hyperelliptic (and hence non-canonical). But those curves do not admit a triple cover of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Moreover, the simplest non-Gorenstein curves stand for an example of how these concepts may differ. Indeed, such curves always have a g_2^1 , but the smallest degree of a cover to \mathbb{P}^1 is $g + 1$. They are defined as having a unique singularity for which the maximal ideal agrees with the conductor, and were studied, for instance, by Serre [20], Kleiman-Martins [13], Behnke-Christoffersen [3], Steven [21], and correspond to curves with non-standard sheaves computing Clifford's equality in Eisenbud-Harris-Koh-Stillman [6].

The description of more complex non-Gorenstein curves via gonality is a subject still little explored in the literature. In [9], a study of curves of genus at most 5 is carried out based on a systematic analysis of all possible semigroups for such singularities. This is the point of departure of the present work. If we restrict the branches to a single one, and the normalization to \mathbb{P}^1 , then it becomes feasible to identify not only semigroups, but all curves of a fixed genus up to isomorphism

and classify them by gonality. We do this for genus at most 6 in Theorem 4.1 considering gonalities computed by torsion free sheaves and bundles. The result is an immediate consequence of the study of two types of families of curves studied in Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 and which takes a considerable part of this text. As the proofs are quite similar we opted to give more details in the first one, including digressions on why using certain strategies.

In addition to gonality, we are also interested in the explicit description of the canonical model C' in all cases, which appear in all the above-mentioned theorems. This is because, for a non-Gorenstein curve, C' is the one that most resemble the notion of a *canonical curve*, a fundamental point in the study of pencils. They were introduced by Rosenlicht in [18], and extensively studied by Kleiman-Martins in [13]. Their connection to gonality is evident: a curve is d -gonal if and only if its canonical model lies on a $(d - 1)$ -fold scroll. Such a result is quite detailed in Andreotti-Mayer [1], Schreyer [19] and Eisenbud-Harris [7] in the smooth case. For singular curves, Rosa, Stöhr and Viana deal with the Gorenstein case and $d = 3$ in [17, 23], and Nicolau, Marchesi, Martins and Souza with the non-Gorenstein case in [14, 15] obtaining partial results in this direction.

Finally, we describe the space of hypersurfaces of a fixed degree containing C' . We derive in Theorem 5.1 a general formula computing the dimension of such spaces. The key ingredient is a singular version of Noether Theorem proved in [10]. Then we apply the result to the genus-4 case, as an example of how it works. At the end, since C' is a space-curve if $g = 4$, we are also able to depict it as intersection of quadrics and cubics.

Acknowledgements. This work is part of the first named author Ph.D thesis. So we thank C. Carvalho, E. Cotterill, A. Fenandez and M. E. Hernandez for many suggestions built into the present text.

1. LINEAR SYSTEMS, GONALITY AND CANONICAL MODELS

For this section (but not for the remainder), a *curve* is an integral and complete one-dimensional scheme over an algebraically closed ground field. Let C be a curve of (arithmetic) genus g with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_C , or simply \mathcal{O} , and $k(C)$ the field of rational functions. Let $\pi : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization map, set $\overline{\mathcal{O}} := \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}})$ and call $\mathfrak{c} := \mathcal{H}om(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O})$, the conductor of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ into \mathcal{O} . Let also ω_C , or simply ω , denote the dualizing sheaf of C . A point $P \in C$ is said to be *Gorenstein* if ω_P is a free \mathcal{O}_P -module. The curve is said to be *Gorenstein* if all of its points are so, or equivalently, ω is invertible. It is said to be *hyperelliptic* if there is a morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 2.

1.1. Linear Systems and Gonality. A *linear system of dimension r and degree d on C* is a pair of the form $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ where \mathcal{F} is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 and degree d on C and V is a vector subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{F})$ of dimension $r + 1$. For short, \mathcal{L} is also referred as a g_d^r . Varying $x \in V$, a linear system may be seen as a set of exact sequences $\{\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \omega) \xrightarrow{x^*} \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_x\}$ as in [12] or a set of coherent fractional ideal sheaves $\{x^{-1}\mathcal{F}\}$ as in [22], with \mathcal{F} embedded on the constant sheaf \mathcal{K} of rational functions (see [15, Sec. 1] for more details).

The linear system is said to be *complete* if $V = H^0(\mathcal{F})$, written $|\mathcal{F}|$ when so. The *gonality* of C is the smallest d for which there exists a g_d^1 on C , or equivalently,

for which there exists an \mathcal{F} on C as above with $h^0(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. A point $P \in C$ is called a *base point of \mathcal{L}* if $x_P : \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_P$ is not an isomorphism for every $x \in V$. A base point is said *removable* if it is not a base point of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}\langle V \rangle, V)$. So P is a non-removable base point of \mathcal{L} if and only if \mathcal{F}_P is not a free \mathcal{O}_P -module. In particular, P is singular if so.

1.2. The Canonical Model. Consider the morphism $\kappa : \overline{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ induced by the linear system $\mathcal{L}(\pi^*\omega/\text{Tors}(\pi^*\omega), H^0(\omega))$. The image $C' := \kappa(C)$ is the *canonical model* of C . Rosenlicht proved in [18, Thm 17] that if C is nonhyperelliptic, then there is a morphism $C' \rightarrow C$ factoring the normalization map.

Now set $\omega^n := \text{Sym}^n \omega / \text{Tors}(\text{Sym}^n \omega)$ and consider the *canonical blowup* $\widehat{C} := \text{Proj}(\oplus \omega^n)$ of C along ω . In [13, Thm. 6.4], Rosenlicht's result is reproved and rephrased as an isomorphism $\widehat{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} C'$.

The canonical model clearly plays the role of a *canonical curve*. If C is non-hyperelliptic Gorenstein, then C' is nothing but the embedding of C on \mathbb{P}^{g-1} via the canonical map. Otherwise C' , though failing being isomorphic to C , carries much of relevant data of the original curve, as for instance the realization of linear series via scrolls (cf. [15, 14]).

1.3. Numerical Semigroups. Let $P \in C$ be unibranch point, i.e., there is a unique $\overline{P} \in \overline{C}$ lying over P . Let also

$$v_{\overline{P}} : k(C)^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

be the valuation map. The *numerical semigroup* of P is

$$S = S_P := v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{O}_P).$$

It satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $0 \in S$
- (ii) if $a, b \in S$ then $a + b \in S$
- (iii) $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite.

The first two hold trivially since \mathcal{O}_P is a ring and hence closed under products. A way to see the latter holds is the fact that \mathcal{O}_P carries (infinitely many) $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$ -ideals, the largest of which being the *conductor ideal* of \mathcal{O}_P , defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_P := \{x \in k(C) \mid x\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P \subset \mathcal{O}_P\}$$

As \mathcal{C}_P is an $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$ -ideal, a PID, we have $\mathcal{C}_P = t^\beta \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$ for some β , and $v_P(\mathcal{C}_P) = \beta + \mathbb{N}$. One may also write β as an invariant of the semigroup, like

$$\beta = \beta_P = \min\{a \in S \mid a + \mathbb{N} \subset S\}$$

also called the *conductor* of S . We also set

$$\alpha = \alpha_P := \min(S \setminus \{0\})$$

which agrees with the *multiplicity* of P . These parameters are related to important dimensions, namely,

$$\alpha = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P / \mathfrak{m}_P \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P / \mathcal{C}_P)$$

If P is singular, one defines the set of *gaps* of S as

$$G := \mathbb{N} \setminus S = \{\ell_1 = 1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\delta = \beta - 1\}$$

where

$$(1) \quad \delta := \#(\mathbf{G})$$

agrees with the singularity degree of P , that is,

$$(2) \quad \delta = \dim(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P/\mathcal{O}_P).$$

One also defines

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_P := \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \gamma - a \notin \mathbf{S}\}$$

whose importance will be clear right away. To see so, recall one also defines a valuation map on differentials

$$v_{\overline{P}} : \Omega_{k(C)|k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

via (any choice of) a local parameter t of \overline{P} by $v_{\overline{P}}(xdt) := v_{\overline{P}}(x)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $P \in C$ be unibranch. Then there exists $\lambda \in H^0(\omega)$ such that:*

- (i) $\omega_P/\lambda = H^0(\omega)/\lambda + \mathcal{C}_P$;
- (ii) $v_{\overline{P}}(\lambda) = -\beta$.
- (iii) $v_{\overline{P}}(\omega_P/\lambda) = \mathbf{K}$

Proof. Item (i) follows from the last paragraph of the proof of [13, Lem. 6.1]; item (ii) follows from [13, Lem. 2.8]; and item (iii) follows from [22, Thm. 2.11]. \square

Finally, for the sake of simplicity, we also set

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{S}^* := \{a \in \mathbf{S} \mid a \leq \beta\}, \quad \mathbf{S}^\circ := \{a \in \mathbf{S} \mid a < \beta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K}^\circ := \{a \in \mathbf{K} \mid a < \beta\}.$$

which are helpful notation used throughout this text.

2. FAMILIES OF RATIONAL CURVES WITH ONE BLOCK OF VALUES

In this and next sections we prove some results related to curves of which the singularities are of two prescribed types, namely, with one and two blocks of consecutive values and no other possible nonzero values smaller than the conductor number. These families will be useful to describe all rational unicuspidal curves of genus at most 6, which is one of the main goals of the present work, and will be studied later on in Section 4.

For the sake of simplicity, if a rational parametrized curve is given by

$$C = (F_0(t, s) : \dots : F_N(t, s)) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$$


where the F_i are homogeneous polynomials, we write it just as

$$C = (F_0(t, 1) : \dots : F_N(t, 1)) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$$

and for $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we set

$$t^{\mathbf{v}} := (t^{v_1} : \dots : t^{v_r}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be a rational curve with a unique singularity which is unibranch with semigroup $\mathbf{S}^* = \{0, \alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + \ell - 1, \alpha + \ell + m\}$ as depicted.*



Then C is isomorphic to a curve of the form:

$$(4) \quad C = \left(F_0 : F_\alpha : \cdots : F_{\alpha+\ell-2} : t^{\alpha+\ell-1} : t^\nu \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$$

where

$$F_0 = 1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{\ell+m-1} t^{\ell+m-1}$$

$$F_i = t^i + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\ell+(i-\alpha+1)(m-1)+j} t^{\alpha+\ell+j-1} \text{ for } \alpha \leq i \leq \alpha + \ell - 2$$

$\nu = (\beta, \dots, 2\alpha - 1, 2\alpha + 2\ell - 1, \dots, \beta + \alpha - 1)$ and $N = \alpha - \ell + 1$ if $\ell \leq m$,

$\nu = (\beta, \dots, 2\alpha - 1)$ and $N = \alpha - m$ if $\ell > m$.

Moreover, the canonical model of C given in terms of its coefficients is:

(i) if $m = 1$, then

$$C' = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i t^i : t^{\ell+1} : \cdots : t^{\alpha+\ell-1} \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}.$$

(ii) if $\ell = 1$, then

$$C' = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i t^i : h_1 : \cdots : h_{m-1} : t^{m+1} : \cdots : t^{\alpha+m-1} \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

where

$$h_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-i} a_j t^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

(iii) if $m = 2$, then

$$C' = \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} a_i t^i : t + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_{2(\ell-i)+1} t^{i+1} : h_{\ell+2} : \cdots : h_{\alpha+\ell} \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

where

$$h_i = \begin{cases} t^i & \text{for } \ell + 2 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1 \text{ and } i = \alpha + \ell - 1, \alpha + \ell \\ F_i & \text{for } \alpha \leq i \leq \alpha + \ell - 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_{2i-1} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i} a_j (d_{\ell-i-j+1} + a_{\ell+i+j+1}).$$

with $d_0 = 1$ e $d_i = - \sum_{j=1}^i a_j d_{i-j}$.

Besides, in the cases (i) and (ii) above C is trigonal computed by a base point g_3^1 , and this holds in (iii) as well if and only if

$$a_{\ell+i} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

Otherwise, C is tetragonal computed by a base point g_4^1 . Also, in all cases above, the smallest d for which C carries a base point free g_d^1 is $d = \alpha$.

The proof of the above result is long, so we break it down into three natural parts, namely: the obtaining of (4) above; the description of the different canonical models as in cases (i)-(iii); and the study of possible gonality computed with or without base points. For the sake of the argument, we invert the last two in the exposition below.

Part 1: Parametrization of the curve. We will start by describing the singularity and then pass to a global parametrization. So let $P \in C$ be the unique singular point. Plainly, its local ring is

$$\mathcal{O}_P = k \oplus kf_1(t) \oplus \cdots \oplus kf_\ell(t) \oplus t^\beta \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$$

where $\beta = \alpha + \ell + m$ is the conductor number and $f_i(t) \in k(t) = k(C)$ are rational functions such that $v_{\overline{P}}(f_i) = \alpha + i - 1$. This follows trivially from the fact that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_P/\mathcal{C}_P) = \#(S \setminus (\beta + \mathbb{N}))$. On the other hand, we may consider the power series of each f_i and just disregard its part on the conductor ideal. After standard normalization we get

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{c} f_1(t) = t^\alpha + b_{1,1}t^{\alpha+\ell} + \cdots + b_{1,m}t^{\beta-1} \\ \vdots \\ f_\ell(t) = t^{\alpha+\ell-1} + b_{\ell,1}t^{\alpha+\ell} + \cdots + b_{\ell,m}t^{\beta-1}. \end{array}$$

So the number of free coefficients needed to describe the singularity matches the weight of the semigroup $w(S) = \sum_{i=1}^g \ell_i$, where the ℓ_i are the gaps. Here this number is $n := \ell m$.

To get a global parametrization for C , we deal with the problem in a broader context (see [5] for details). First recall that a projective rational curve corresponds to the image of a morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree, say d , while any such a morphism corresponds to an N -th dimensional subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$. Therefore a rational curve can be seen as an element of $\mathbb{G}(N, d)$. In our case, we should add a generic polynomial f_0 of degree $\beta - 1$ to the ones above. After new normalization we get a matrix of coefficients

$$(6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{0,1} & \cdots & b_{0,\beta-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{0,\alpha+\ell} & \cdots & b_{0,\beta-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & b_{\ell,1} & \cdots & b_{\ell,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, it corresponds to a Schubert cell, say $W := W_S$, in $\mathbb{G}(\ell, \beta - 1)$. The points of W are then naturally seen as rational curves of degree $\beta - 1$ in \mathbb{P}^ℓ having ramification profile $\mathbf{k} = (0, \alpha, \dots, \alpha + m - 1)$ at the point $P := \varphi(0 : 1)$, which is singular.

Now we have four problems to address: (a) a generic curve in W has genus greater than the one we want, i.e, the cogenus δ_P , which agrees with the arithmetic genus of C ; (b) the curves in W may have other singular points; (c) we would like to have just as many parameters to describe C as we do for P ; (d) even that, the parametrization might not be useful for computing gonality or canonical models either. For instance, the canonical model C' is a curve of degree $\beta - 2$ in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} [13, Prp. 2.14], hence it will be clear later on that it is better getting rid of powers like $t^{\beta-1}$ in the parametrization. Also, we are dealing with non-Gorenstein curves,

which do not embed canonically anywhere. In other words, considering points in $\mathbb{G}(\ell, \beta - 2)$ is not particularly helpful here.

First note that adding the vector v , as in (4), to the ramification profile \mathbf{k} of P , rules out (a) and (b). Regarding (c), if we dismiss the coefficients in the first row of (6), the remaining points of W are enough to get all curves having a lone singularity P with \mathcal{O}_P as its local ring. In the other words, any curve in the statement of theorem is isomorphic to one like

$$(7) \quad C = (1 : f_1 : \dots : f_\ell : t^v)$$

which is our point of departure to address (d).

For our goals, it's better concentrating coefficients in the first component. For instance, the plane curves $(1 + t^3 : t^2 : t^4)$ and $(1 : t^2 + t^5 : t^4)$ are clearly isomorphic but it is somewhat easier to see that it's trigonal in the first case, by taking the local section $1/1 + t^3$ which yields a cartier divisor of degree 3 at the point at infinity $\varphi(0 : 1)$. The more complicated the curve is, the more the difference is noted. On the other hand, if we waste all of our coefficients in the first component, we may forget some of the curves like (7) above. So what we do is balancing, which is the motivation behind the parametrization (4) in the statement of the theorem. So we will prove that any choice of the a_k as in (4) yields a curve like (7) and, conversely, the $b_{i,j}$ determine a curve like (4)

First off, just note that the parameter space dimensions agree. In fact, in (4) we have $(\ell + m - 1) + (\ell - 1)(m - 1) = \ell m$ free coefficients as well as desired. So set

$$(8) \quad f_i^* := \frac{F_{\alpha+i-1}}{F_0} = \frac{t^{\alpha+i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\ell+i(m-1)+j} t^{\alpha+\ell+j-1}}{1 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{\ell+m-1} t^{\ell+m-1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$$

and $f_\ell^* := t^{\alpha+\ell-1}/F_0$. Write $1/F_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k t^k$ with $d_0 = 1$ and $d_j = -\sum_{k=1}^j a_k d_{j-k}$. Replacing it in (8) and developing we get

$$(9) \quad f_i^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-i} d_k t^{\alpha+i+k-1} + \sum_{r=0}^{m-2} \left(d_{\ell-i+r+1} + \sum_{k=0}^r d_k a_{\ell+i(m-1)+r-k+1} \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+r} \\ + \left(d_{\ell+m-i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+i(m-1)+m-k} \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta h_i$$

and $f_\ell^* = t^{\alpha+\ell-1} + \sum_{k=1}^m d_k t^{\alpha+\ell+k-1} + t^\beta h_\ell$.

In order to eliminate the powers which do not appear at (5), we now set

$$\bar{f}_i := f_i^* + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} a_s f_{i+s}^*$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_i &= f_i^* + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-i-s} a_s d_k t^{\alpha+i+s+k-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=0}^{m-2} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell-(i+s)+r+1} + \sum_{k=0}^r d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+r-k+1} \right) \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+r} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell+m-(i+s)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+m-k} \right) \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta g_i \end{aligned}$$

where $g_i \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Now note that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-i} d_k t^{\alpha+i+k-1} + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-i-s} a_s d_k t^{\alpha+i+s+k-1} = t^{\alpha+i-1}$$

which yields

(10)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_i &= t^{\alpha+i-1} + \sum_{r=0}^{m-2} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell-(i+s)+r+1} + \sum_{k=0}^r d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+r-k+1} \right) \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+r} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell+m-(i+s)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+m-k} \right) \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta h_i \end{aligned}$$

where $h_i \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Thus, starting from the f_i^* , induced by (4), we just got the $\bar{f}_i \in \mathcal{O}_P$ above, which are now in the same form of the f_i . Moreover, comparing (5) and (10), the $b_{i,j}$ are written in terms of the a_k as follows

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell-i+j-s} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+j-k} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell-1, 1 \leq j \leq m-1 \\ b_{i,m} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell+m-i-s} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+m-k} \right) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell-1 \\ b_{\ell,j} &= d_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, to get the a_k from the $b_{i,j}$, proceeding by induction on j in the equations involving $b_{\ell,j}$ we get $a_j = -\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_k d_{j-k} - b_{\ell,j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Similarly, but with a little more effort, for any fixed i , one may use induction on j on the pair of equalities involving $b_{i,j}$ to get, for $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\ell+i(m-1)+j} &= b_{i,j} - d_{\ell-i+j} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} d_k a_{\ell+i(m-1)+j-k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell-i+j-s} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+j-k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{\ell+m-i} = & -b_{i,m} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+m-i-1} a_k d_{\ell+m-i-k} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+i(m-1)+m-k} \\
 & + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-i} a_s \left(d_{\ell+m-i-s} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} d_k a_{\ell+(i+s)(m-1)+m-k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

and we are done with the description of the curve.

Part 2: Gonality. Now we discuss the gonality of the curve, the main goal of this work, as such analysis, though related to, does not depend on the equations of the canonical model. In order to do so, it is better giving a totally intrinsic description of C . So let P be the unique singular point of C . Let $\bar{P} \in \bar{C} = \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the preimage of P under the normalization map $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$. Write $k(C) = k(t)$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ so that t is the identity function at finite distance, and $\bar{P} = 0$. In particular, t is a local parameter at \bar{P} . Note that this approach matches the extrinsic one stated in the theorem in the sense that the parameter t is the same, that is, the “abstract” local ring of the singular point reads

$$\mathcal{O}_P = k \oplus k \frac{F_\alpha(t)}{F_0(t)} \oplus \dots \oplus k \frac{F_{\alpha+\ell-2}(t)}{F_0(t)} \oplus k \frac{t^{\alpha+\ell-1}}{F_0(t)} \oplus t^\beta \bar{\mathcal{O}}_P.$$

We also make the convention that Q will always denote the point of C at “infinity”, that is, $Q := \pi(\infty)$ according to our convention. We just warn the reader not to make confusion with the points of C which lie at the “hyperplane at infinity” on \mathbb{P}^N , that is, the images $\varphi(c_i : 1)$ of the roots c_i of F_0 .

Let \mathcal{K} be the constant sheaf of rational functions of C and \mathcal{F} a sheaf such that

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}$$

where the inclusions are read as subsheaves. Plainly, \mathcal{F} is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 on C and, conversely, any such a sheaf \mathcal{F} can be embedded on \mathcal{K} as in (11). Moreover, any sheaf of C eligible to compute its gonality must be generated by global sections. So we adopt the following notation: given a finite set of rational functions $f_1(t), \dots, f_s(t) \in k(t) = k(C)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ stands for the subsheaf of \mathcal{K} generated by the global sections f_i , i.e., such that the stalk at each $R \in C$ is $\mathcal{F}_R := f_1(t)\mathcal{O}_R + \dots + f_s(t)\mathcal{O}_R$. Also, any pencil computing the gonality of a curve must be complete [15, Cor. 2.3].

Therefore, if \mathcal{F} computes gonality, then it has two independent global sections which must generate it. So we may write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C \langle 1, z \rangle$ where $z = t^r f/h$, and $f, h \in k[t]$ have non common factors no one having zero as a root either. We may first assume that $r \geq 0$, i.e, z does not have a pole on P . Indeed, as our concern is gonality, we are mainly interested on $\deg(\mathcal{F})$. But note that $\deg(x\mathcal{F}) = \deg(\mathcal{F})$ for any $x \in k(C)$, thus we may pass to $z^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C \langle 1, z^{-1} \rangle$ if $r < 0$. We may further assume $r > 0$ just replacing z by $z - z(0)$ if necessary.

Now recall that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathcal{F}) - \chi(\mathcal{O})$ which in our case reduces to

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{R \in C} \text{length}(\mathcal{F}_R/\mathcal{O}_R)$$

owing to [22, pp. 107-108], where the local length may be computed as follows

$$\deg_R(\mathcal{F}) := \text{length}(\mathcal{F}_R/\mathcal{O}_R) = \dim_k((\mathcal{O}_R + t^r f/h \cdot \mathcal{O}_R)/\mathcal{O}_R).$$

We first take care of regular points. Writing $h = (t - c_1)^{m_1} \dots (t - c_l)^{m_l}$ yields

$$\deg_R(\mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} m_i & \text{if } R = \pi(c_i) \\ 0 & \text{if } R \in C \setminus \{P, \pi(c_1), \dots, \pi(c_l)\} \\ \max\{0, r + \deg(f) - \deg(h)\} & \text{if } R = Q \end{cases}$$

So we get the following useful formula

$$(12) \quad \deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) = \deg(h) + \max\{0, r + \deg(f) - \deg(h)\}.$$

Regarding the singular point, setting $D := v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{F}_P) \setminus S$ we have [?, Prp. 2.11]

$$(13) \quad \deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \#D$$

So let us study our cases (i), (ii) and (iii), where $m = 1$, $\ell = 1$ and $m = 2$, respectively. We start by the last one, and also by linear systems with a removable base point or, equivalently, by sheaves which are not locally free. First, we recall that C cannot have gonality 2, owing to [16, Thm. 2.1] since $\mathfrak{m}_P \neq \mathcal{C}_P$. So suppose $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ and \mathcal{F} is not a bundle. Then $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$, as otherwise $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{O}_P$ and \mathcal{F} would be locally free. So we have that $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$. Thus $r + \deg(f) \leq 2$ owing to (12), and hence $r \leq 2$. If $r = 2$ note that we have $\{2, \beta - 1\} \in D$ since $m = 2$, thus $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. Therefore $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$ and all possibilities are precluded by (12).

If $r = 1$ then $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, so $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$. From (12) we get $\deg(f) = 0$, $\deg(h) \leq 1$ and $\deg_{C \setminus P} \mathcal{F} = 1$. Therefore $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = 2$. Now $\{1, \beta - 2\} \subset D$ if $r = 1$ and equality holds if and only if $\beta - 1 = \alpha + \ell + 1 \notin D$. So we have to analyze when this property holds. In order to check so, write $z = t/(at + b)$ with $b \neq 0$. If so, a general element in \mathcal{F}_P is of the form

$$f = \sum_{i \in S^\circ} \left(c_i + d_i \frac{t}{at + b} \right) \begin{pmatrix} f_i \\ f_0 \end{pmatrix} + t^\beta u$$

where $u \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$, $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and S° is as in (3). Setting $p(t) := 1/(at + b)f_0$ and recalling that $m = 2$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} f = p(t) & \left[c_0 b + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \left(c_0 (a a_{i-1} + b a_i) + a_{i-1} c_1 \right) t^i \right. \\ & + a_{\ell+1} (a c_0 + c_1) t^{\ell+2} + b c_\alpha t^\alpha + \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\ell-1} \left(d_{i-1} + b c_i + a c_{i-1} \right) t^i \\ & + \left(d_{\alpha+\ell-1} + a c_{\alpha+\ell-1} + \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-2} \left(b a_{\ell+i-\alpha+2} c_i \right) \right) t^{\alpha+\ell} \\ & \left. + \left(\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-2} a_{\ell+i-\alpha+2} (d_i + a c_i) \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+1} \right] + t^\beta q(t) \end{aligned}$$

Now assume $v_{\overline{P}}(f) = \alpha + \ell + 1$. Since $v_{\overline{P}}(p) = 0$, we should kill all coefficients above but the last one. From the vanishing of all coefficients until $t^{\alpha+\ell-1}$ we get $c_0 = c_1 = c_\alpha = 0$, $d_\alpha = -b c_{\alpha+1}$ and

$$(14) \quad d_{\alpha+i-1} = -b c_{\alpha+i} - a c_{\alpha+i-1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1.$$

Killing $t^{\alpha+\ell}$ yields

$$(15) \quad d_{\alpha+\ell-1} = -ac_{\alpha+\ell-1} - \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-2} ba_{\ell+i-\alpha+2}c_i.$$

So now

$$f = \left(\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-2} a_{\ell+i-\alpha+2}(d_i + ac_i) \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+1} + \dots = \left(- \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\ell-1} ba_{\ell+i-\alpha+1}c_i \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+1} + \dots$$

where the second equality comes from (14) and (15). As $b \neq 0$ and the c_i are arbitrary, we see that $\alpha + \ell + 1 \notin D$ if and only if

$$(16) \quad a_{\ell+i-\alpha+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha + 1 \leq i \leq \alpha + \ell - 1.$$

So just in this case \mathcal{F} has degree 3 and C trigonal computed by a base point g_3^1 . Otherwise C is tetragonal computed by the same sheaf, unless $\alpha = 3$, as we will see right below, since in this case C carries a base point free g_3^1 .

Now we address the gonality in cases (i) and (ii). Form the sheaf $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, t \rangle$. Clearly, $\{1, \alpha + \ell\} \subset D$. We claim the inclusion is an equality in both cases. Indeed, this is immediate if $m = 1$. On the other hand, if $\ell = 1$, write a general element of \mathcal{F}_P as

$$f = c_0 + c_1t + c_2 \frac{f_\alpha}{f_0} + c_3 \frac{tf_\alpha}{f_0} + t^\beta h$$

where $h \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. If we also write $f_\alpha/f_0 = t^\alpha + dt^{\alpha+1} + \dots$, we get

$$f = c_0 + c_1t + c_2t^\alpha + (c_2d + c_3)t^{\alpha+1} + \dots$$

So if $v_{\overline{P}}(f) \geq \alpha + 2$, then $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, and hence $v_{\overline{P}}(f) \geq \beta$. Thus $D = \{1, \alpha + 1\}$ as desired. Therefore, in both cases, $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \#D = 2$. Now \mathcal{F} has degree 1 at Q and 0 at the open set $U := C \setminus \{P, Q\}$, so its total degree is 3. Thus C is trigonal computed by a base point g_3^1 if conditions of (i) or (ii) apply.

Finally we study the gonality concerning just base point free pencils, or equivalently, locally free sheaves. We claim that any C as stated in the theorem, always carries a base point free g_α^1 . In fact, set

$$f(t) := \frac{t^\alpha}{h(t)} := \frac{t^\alpha}{b_0 + b_1t + \dots + b_{\ell+m-1}t^{\ell+m-1}}$$

with

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0 \\ a_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \\ a_i - \sum_{j=\ell}^i a_{m+j}b_{i-j} & \text{for } \ell \leq i \leq \ell + m - 2 \\ a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{j+\ell+m-1}b_{m-j} & \text{for } i = \ell + m - 1. \end{cases}$$

One can check that

$$f(t) = \frac{t^\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{j+\ell+m-1}t^{\alpha+j+\ell-1}}{1 + a_1t + \dots + a_{\ell+m-1}t^{\ell+m-1}} + t^\beta q(t) = \frac{F_\alpha}{F_0} + t^\beta q(t) \in \mathcal{O}_P$$

where $q(t) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Now set $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, f(t) \rangle$. Note that $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ as $f(t) \in \mathcal{O}_P$. On the other hand, $\ell + m - 1 \leq \alpha$, otherwise one finds s and $\beta - s - 1$ both in S which never happens. Hence $\deg(h(t)) \leq \alpha$. Using (12) we get

$$\deg_{C \setminus P} = \deg(h(t)) + \max\{0, \alpha - \deg(h(t))\} = \alpha$$

By construction, \mathcal{F} is locally free, thus induces a base point free g_α^1 on C .

We now prove that α is the smallest d for which C carries a base point free g_d^1 . So suppose d is the smallest degree such that there exists a line bundle \mathcal{F} such that $h^0(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. We may do three assumptions on \mathcal{F} . First, \mathcal{F} is globally generated since the sheaf generated by its global sections is also locally free. Also, $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ because we may use the same argument of [15, Cor. 2.3] which shows that a pencil that computes gonality is complete, just observing that if \mathcal{F} is a bundle, so is $\mathcal{F}(-R)$ for any nonsingular point R . And finally, we may further assume $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{O}_P$. Indeed, as \mathcal{F} is locally free, then $\mathcal{F}_P = w\mathcal{O}_P$ for some $w \in k(C)$; so one replaces \mathcal{F} by $w^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ if necessary. Therefore we can write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, z \rangle$, with all our conventions above concerning z , and also such that $z \in \mathcal{O}_P$ and $r \geq \alpha$. So (12) and (13) yield

$$(17) \quad d = \deg(h) + \max\{0, r + \deg(f) - \deg(h)\}$$

If $r + \deg(f) - \deg(h) \leq 0$, then $d = \deg(h) \geq r + \deg(f) \geq \alpha$. Otherwise $d = r + \deg(f) \geq \alpha$ as well and we are done.

Part 3: Canonical Model. Now we compute the canonical model of C . As priorly seen, it is the image of the morphism $\kappa : \overline{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ induced by the linear series $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}\omega, H^0(\omega))$. But in our case $\overline{C} = \mathbb{P}^1$. So embedding ω in \mathcal{K} and selecting g independent global sections, say $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^{g-1} \subset k(t)$, the canonical model turns into the parametrized curve $C' = (f_0 : \dots : f_{g-1})$. Our work here will be essentially finding those sections.

First note that ω is characterized by being a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 and degree $2g - 2$ with a least g independent global sections. Indeed, if \mathcal{F} is any such a sheaf, then $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = g - 1$ since $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$, and hence $h^1(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ since $h^0(\mathcal{F}) \geq g$. But the nonvanishing of $H^1(\mathcal{F})$ yields an inclusion $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \omega$ and these sheaves must coincide as they are of same degree.

Now we will see how ω behave locally. Now embed ω on \mathcal{K} via λ as in Lemma 1.1, that is, if no confusion is made, replace ω by ω/λ . Lemma 1.1.(iii) yields

$$(18) \quad v_{\overline{P}}(\omega_P) = K$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1.(i) we have

$$(19) \quad \omega_P = H^0(\omega) + \mathcal{C}_P$$

Combining (18) and (19) we get

$$v_{\overline{P}}(H^0(\omega)) = K^\circ$$

which gives us a hint of how ω should be. We will check out K° in the three cases.

To address case (i), if $m = 1$ we get

$$K^\circ = \{0, \ell + 1, \dots, \alpha + \ell - 1\}.$$

Based on this, consider the vector space

$$V := \left\langle 1, \frac{t^{\ell+1}}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{t^{\ell+\alpha-1}}{h_0} \right\rangle \subset k(t)$$

where

$$h_0 = 1 + a_1 t + \dots + a_\ell t^\ell.$$

So consider the sheaf $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C\langle V \rangle$. If we show that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$ we will be done. Indeed, first note that $\#K^\circ = g$ due to the formulae $g = \overline{g} + \sum_{R \in C} \delta_R$

[22, Eq. (1.3)] and $\#\mathbb{K}^\circ = \delta_P$, by (1), (2) and the very definition of \mathbb{K} . Now $h^0(\mathcal{F}) \geq \dim(V) = \#\mathbb{K}^\circ = g$, so if $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$ then $\mathcal{F} = \omega$ and $V = H^0(\omega)$.

Since $\ell < \alpha$, we have $\deg_Q(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + \ell - 1 - \deg(h_0)$. On the other hand, $\deg_U(\mathcal{F}) = \deg(h_0)$. Therefore, $\deg_{C \setminus \{P\}}(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + \ell - 1$. Finally, we compute the degree at P . For simplicity, given $T \subset \mathbb{N}$ set $T' := T \cap (0, \beta)$. Then write

$$\mathcal{O}_P = k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \in S'} k \frac{t^i}{h_0} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_P$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathcal{O}_P \langle V \rangle$ and $i + j \geq \beta$ for every $i \in S'$ and $j \in K'$ we get

$$\mathcal{F}_P = k \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K'} k \frac{t^i}{h_0} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_P$$

Therefore $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \#(\mathbb{K} \setminus S) = \alpha - \ell - 1$. Summing up we get

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = (\alpha - \ell - 1) + (\alpha + \ell - 1) = 2\alpha - 2$$

Now g equals the number of gaps of S , which is α . Hence $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$ as claimed, and $V = H^0(\omega)$. We then get C' as stated in (i).

To prove (ii), if $\ell = 1$ we get

$$\mathbb{K}^\circ = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1, m+1, \dots, \alpha+m-1\}.$$

So consider the functions

$$h_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m-i} a_j t^{i+j} & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ t^i & \text{for } m+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+m-1 \end{cases}$$

and the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C \left\langle 1, \frac{h_1}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{h_{m-1}}{h_0}, \frac{t^{m+1}}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{t^{\alpha+m-1}}{h_0} \right\rangle.$$

As before, we will show that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$. By similar arguments to the prior case we get that $\deg_{C \setminus \{P\}}(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + m - 1$. To compute the degree at P write

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{F}_P = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{K} \cap [0, \alpha]} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+m-1} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k \frac{h_i h_\alpha}{h_0^2} + \mathcal{C}_P \right)$$

Now recall that if we set $D := v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{F}_P) \setminus S$ then local degree is $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \#D$. Set $E := \mathbb{K} \setminus S = \{1, \dots, \alpha + m - 1\} \setminus \{m, \alpha\}$. The decomposition above yields

$$E \subset D \subset E \cup \{\alpha + m\}.$$

We claim that $\alpha + m \notin D$. Indeed, write an element of the second summand above with order smaller than β as

$$(21) \quad f = \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+m-1} c_i \frac{t^i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i \frac{h_i h_\alpha}{h_0^2}$$

and also $1/h_0^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j t^j$ with $p_0 = 1$ and $p_j = d_j - \sum_{k=1}^j a_k p_{j-k}$. We get

$$f = \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+m-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-\alpha-1} \left(d_j c_{i-j} + \sum_{k=0}^j a_k p_{j-k} b_{i-j-\alpha} \right) \right) t^i \\ + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(d_i c_{\alpha+m-i} + \sum_{j=0}^i a_j p_{i-j} b_{m-i} \right) \right) t^{\alpha+m} + t^\beta h$$

with $h \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Killing the coefficients of $t^{\alpha+i}$ we see that

$$(22) \quad c_{\alpha+i} = -b_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

Using (22) we have that the coefficient of $t^{\alpha+m}$ vanishes as well. This implies that $\alpha + m \notin D$. Then, $E = D$ and so $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + m - 3$ and hence

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + m - 3) + (\alpha + m - 1) = 2(\alpha + m - 1) - 2.$$

Now g , the number of gaps of S , is $\alpha + m - 1$. Hence $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$ and C' is as stated in (ii).

To prove (iii), if $m = 2$ we get

$$K^\circ = \{0, 1, \ell + 2, \dots, \alpha + \ell\}.$$

So take the functions

$$h_0 = 1 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{\ell+1} t^{\ell+1} \\ h_1 = t + b_{n-1} t^2 + b_{n-3} t^3 + \dots + b_1 t^{\ell+1} \\ h_i = \begin{cases} t^i & \text{for } \ell + 2 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1 \text{ and } i = \alpha + \ell - 1, \alpha + \ell \\ F_i & \text{for } \alpha \leq i \leq \alpha + \ell - 2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_{2i-1} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i} a_j \left(d_{\ell-i-j+1} + a_{\ell+i+j+1} \right)$$

and consider the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C \left\langle 1, \frac{h_1}{h_0}, \frac{t^{\ell+2}}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{h_0}, \frac{F_\alpha}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{F_{\alpha+\ell-2}}{h_0}, \frac{t^{\alpha+\ell-1}}{h_0}, \frac{t^{\alpha+\ell}}{h_0} \right\rangle.$$

Again, we will show that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$. We have that $\deg_{C \setminus \{P\}}(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + \ell$ and we claim that $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha - \ell$. To compute the degree at P write

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{F}_P = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K \cap [0, \alpha]} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\ell} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-1} k \frac{h_1 h_i}{h_0^2} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_P$$

Here $E = \{1\} \cup \{\ell + 2, \dots, \alpha - 1\} \cup \{\alpha + \ell\}$. The decomposition (23) yields

$$E \subset D \subset E \cup \{\alpha + \ell + 1\}.$$

We claim that $\alpha + \ell + 1 \notin D$. Indeed, write an element of the second summand above with order smaller than β as

$$(24) \quad f = \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\ell} c_i \frac{h_i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha+\ell-1} b_i \frac{h_1 h_i}{h_0^2}$$

We get

$$f = \sum_{i=\alpha+1}^{\alpha+\ell-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-\alpha-1} \left(d_j c_{i-j} + B_j b_{i-j-1} \right) \right) t^i + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left(B_j b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + (d_j + a_{2(\ell+1)-j}) c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right) t^{\alpha+\ell} \\ + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left(B_{j+1} + a_{2\ell-j+1} \right) b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + \left(d_{j+1} + d_1 a_{2(\ell+1)-j} \right) c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right) t^{\alpha+\ell+1} + t^\beta h$$

with $h \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$, $B_i = \sum_{j=0}^i p_j b_{2(\ell-i+j)+1}$ e $b_{2\ell+1} = 1$.

Now note that for f to have order $\alpha + \ell + 1$ we should have first

$$(25) \quad c_{\alpha+1} = -b_\alpha.$$

Keeping up with this procedure, we have

$$(26) \quad c_{\alpha+i} = -b_{\alpha+i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} a_{2\ell-j+1} b_{\alpha+i-j-2} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1.$$

Assuming the vanishing of the coefficient of $t^{\alpha+\ell}$ we get

$$c_{\alpha+\ell} = -b_{\alpha+\ell-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(B_j b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + (d_j + a_{2(\ell+1)-j}) c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right).$$

From (25) and (26) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(B_j b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + d_j c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right) = - \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1} \left(a_{2(\ell+1)-j} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} \right)$$

which yields

$$(27) \quad c_{\alpha+\ell} = -b_{\alpha+\ell-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1} \left(a_{2(\ell+1)-j} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} - a_{2(\ell+1)-j} c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right).$$

And now assuming the vanishing of the coefficient of $t^{\alpha+\ell+1}$ we have

$$d_1 c_{\alpha+\ell} = -B_1 b_{\alpha+\ell-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\left(B_{j+1} + a_{2\ell-j+1} \right) b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + \left(d_{j+1} + d_1 a_{2(\ell+1)-j} \right) c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(B_{j+1} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + d_{j+1} c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(a_{2\ell-j+1} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} + d_1 a_{2(\ell+1)-j} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} \right)$$

which yields

$$(28) \quad d_1 c_{\alpha+\ell} = -B_1 b_{\alpha+\ell-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1} d_1 \left(a_{2(\ell+1)-j} b_{\alpha+\ell-j-1} - a_{2(\ell+1)-j} c_{\alpha+\ell-j} \right).$$

Finally, from (27) and (28) we deduce that the coefficient of $t^{\alpha+\ell+1}$ vanishes. This implies that $\alpha + \ell + 1 \notin D$. Therefore $E = D$ and hence $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha - \ell$. Thus


$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = (\alpha - \ell) + (\alpha + \ell) = 2\alpha.$$

But as the number of gaps of S is $\alpha + 1$, we get $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$, and C' as desired. Theorem 2.1 is then proved.

3. FAMILIES OF RATIONAL CURVES WITH TWO BLOCKS OF VALUES

Now we analyze another family of singular curves and derive a result like Theorem 2.1. Though this case is a bit more complicated, the proof will be shorter as the arguments are quite similar. Basically, we study singularities with two blocks of values, the first one being single. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a rational curve with a unique singularity which is unbranched with semigroup $S^* = \{0, \alpha, \alpha + m + 1, \dots, \alpha + m + \ell - 1, \alpha + m + \ell + 1\}$ as depicted*



Then C is isomorphic to a curve of the form:

$$(29) \quad C = \left(F_0 : F_\alpha : t^{\alpha+m+1} : \dots : t^{\beta-2} : t^\nu \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$$

where

$$F_0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^u a_i t^i \quad \text{and} \quad F_\alpha = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i}$$

or

$$F_0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} a_i t^i + a_u t^n \quad \text{and} \quad F_\alpha = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i} + \left(a_v + \sum_{j=1}^{v-1} (a_j d_{v-j} - d_j a_{n-j}) \right) t^{\alpha+v}$$

with

$$u := \max\{\ell, m + 1\}, \quad v := \min\{\ell - 1, m\}, \quad n := \ell + m,$$

$$v := (\beta, \dots, 2\alpha + m, 2\alpha + n, \dots, 2(\alpha + m) + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad N = \alpha + m - \ell + 2 \quad \text{if} \quad \ell \leq m + 1,$$

$$v := (\beta, \dots, 2\alpha + m) \quad \text{and} \quad N = \alpha \quad \text{if} \quad \ell \geq m + 2.$$

Moreover, the canonical model of C given in terms of its coefficients is:

$$C' = \left(h_0 : h_\ell : \dots : h_{n-1} : h_{n+1} : h_{n+2} : \dots : h_{\alpha+n-1} \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$$

where

$$h_i = \begin{cases} t^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} e_j t^{i+j} & \text{for } i \in \{\ell, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{\alpha\} \\ t^i & \text{for } i \in \{n+1, \dots, \beta-2\} \setminus \{\alpha\} \end{cases}$$

with

$$e_i = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^v a_{u+j} e_{i-j}, \quad e_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e_j = 0 \quad \text{if } j < 0$$

and, either

$$h_0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^u a_i t^i \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i}$$

or

$$h_0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} a_i t^i + a_u t^n \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i} + \left(a_v + \sum_{j=1}^{v-1} (a_j d_{v-j} - d_j a_{n-j}) \right) t^{\alpha+v}$$

depending on cases which will be addressed.

Also, C is trigonal computed by a base point g_3^1 if and only if is of the form $S^* = \{0, \alpha, \alpha + 2, \alpha + 4\}$. Otherwise, C is tetragonal computed by a base point g_4^1 . Moreover, the smallest d for which C carries a base point-free g_d^1 is $d = \alpha$.

Proof. As done earlier, we start by writing the local ring in P as

$$\mathcal{O}_P = k \oplus kf_1(t) \oplus \cdots \oplus kf_\ell(t) \oplus t^\beta \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P.$$

But, as seen before, we can write

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= t^\alpha + b_1 t^{\alpha+1} + \cdots + b_m t^{\alpha+m} + b_{m+1} t^{\beta-1} \\ f_2(t) &= t^{\alpha+m+1} + b_{m+2} t^{\beta-1} \\ &\vdots \\ f_\ell(t) &= t^{\alpha+m+\ell-1} + b_{m+\ell} t^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that if $b_v \neq 0$ we can take

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1^* &:= \frac{F_\alpha}{F_0} = \frac{t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i}}{1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_u t^u} \\ f_i^* &:= \frac{F_{\alpha+i+m-1}}{F_0} = \frac{t^{\alpha+m+i-1}}{1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_u t^u} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq \ell \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \max\{\ell, m+1\}$ and $v = \min\{\ell-1, m\}$. In fact, first observe that the number of free coefficients in both (30) and (31) is $n := m + \ell$. Now, write $1/F_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k t^k$ with $d_0 = 1$, $d_j = -\sum_{k=1}^j a_k d_{j-k}$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i > u$. So, we get

$$f_1^* = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v \left(d_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d_j a_{u+i-j} \right) t^{\alpha+i} + \sum_{i=v+1}^n \left(d_i + \sum_{j=i-v}^{i-1} d_j a_{u+i-j} \right) t^{\alpha+i} + t^\beta h_1$$

and $f_i^* = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i+1} d_j t^{\alpha+m+i+j-1} + t^\beta h_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ where $h_1, h_i \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Write

$$f_1^* = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i t^{\alpha+i} + t^\beta h_1.$$

Define $\bar{f}_1 := f_1^* - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} B_i f_{i+1}^*$ with the following conventions: $B_1 = A_{m+1}$ and also $B_i = A_{m+i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} B_j d_{i-j}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$. We have

$$(32) \quad \bar{f}_1 = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i t^{\alpha+i} - \sum_{j=\ell}^u a_j A_{n-j} t^{\alpha+n} + t^\beta g_1$$

where $g_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Also, defining $\bar{f}_i := f_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-i} a_j f_{i+j}^*$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1$ we have

$$(33) \quad \bar{f}_i = t^{\alpha+m+i-1} - a_{\ell-i+1} t^{\alpha+n} + t^\beta g_i,$$

where $g_i \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Again, starting from the f_i^* 's, which describe the local ring, we get the \bar{f}_i 's in \mathcal{O}_P , which are now in the same form of the f_i 's. So, comparing (30), (32) and (33) we can take

$$b_i = \begin{cases} A_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ -\sum_{j=\ell}^u a_j A_{n-j} & \text{for } i = m+1 \\ -a_{n-i+1} & \text{for } m+2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

We claim that the a_i 's are uniquely determined in terms of b_j 's. In fact, we have

$$a_i = \begin{cases} -b_{n-i+1} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \\ -b_i + a_{u+i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (d_j a_{u+i-j} - a_j d_{i-j}) & \text{for } \ell \leq i \leq u - 1 \\ -(b_{m+1} + \sum_{j=\ell}^{u-1} a_j A_{n-j})/b_v & \text{for } i = u \\ b_{i-u} - d_{i-u} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-u-1} d_j a_{i-j} & \text{for } u + 1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

and we are done with the description of the curve for the case $b_v \neq 0$.

Now, if $b_v = 0$ we claim that

$$(34) \quad f_1^* := \frac{F_\alpha}{F_0} = \frac{t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i}}{1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{u-1} t^{u-1} + a_u t^n}$$

$$f_i^* := \frac{F_{\alpha+i+m-1}}{F_0} = \frac{t^{\alpha+m+i-1}}{1 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{u-1} t^{u-1} + a_u t^n} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

In fact, we can take

$$f_1^* = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v \left(d_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d_j a_{u+i-j} \right) t^{\alpha+i} + \sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} \left(d_i + \sum_{j=i-v}^{i-1} d_j a_{u+i-j} \right) t^{\alpha+i} \\ + \left(d_n + \sum_{i=u}^{n-1} d_j a_{u+n-i} - a_u \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta h_1$$

and $f_i^* = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i+1} d_j t^{\alpha+m+i+j-1} + t^\beta h_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ with $h_1, h_i \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Write

$$f_1^* = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i t^{\alpha+i} + t^\beta h_1.$$

Set $\bar{f}_1 := f_1^* - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} B_i f_{i+1}^*$ with the same conventions as in the previous case, we obtain

$$(35) \quad \bar{f}_1 = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i t^{\alpha+i} - \left(\sum_{j=n-m}^{u-1} a_j A_{n-j} + a_u \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta g_1$$

where $g_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Note that,

$$b_v = d_v + \sum_{j=0}^{v-1} d_j a_{u+v-j} = a_n - a_v + \sum_{j=1}^{v-1} (d_j a_{u+v-j} - a_j d_{v-j}).$$

As $b_v = 0$, then

$$(36) \quad a_n = a_v + \sum_{j=1}^{v-1} (a_j d_{v-j} - d_j a_{n-j}).$$

So, comparing (30), (33) and (35) we can take

$$b_i = \begin{cases} A_i & \text{for } i = \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{v\} \\ -a_u - \sum_{j=n-m}^{u-1} a_j A_{n-j} & \text{for } i = m + 1 \\ -a_{n-i+1} & \text{for } m + 2 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we uniquely determine the a_i 's in terms of the b_j 's as below

$$a_i = \begin{cases} -b_{n-i+1} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1 \\ -b_i + a_{u+i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (d_j a_{u+i-j} - a_j d_{i-j}) & \text{for } \ell \leq i \leq u - 1 \\ -b_{m+1} - \sum_{j=\ell}^{u-1} a_j A_{n-j} & \text{for } i = u \\ b_{i-u} - d_{i-u} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-u-1} d_j a_{i-j} & \text{for } u + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \end{cases}$$

and the description of the curve follows for the case $b_v = 0$.

A brief remark, note that if $n > \alpha$, then there can be at most one additional independent relation between the coefficients of the curve, beyond those coming from the ramification profile of the singularity.

Now we compute the gonality of C if $b_v \neq 0$. As in the proof of the prior theorem, write a general torsion-free sheaf of rank 1 as $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, z \rangle$ with $z = t^r f/h$.

Suppose \mathcal{F} is not a locally free sheaf and $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. So $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ and $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$. Thus, $r + \deg(f) \leq 2$ due to (12) and therefore $r \leq 2$.

If $r = 1$ then $\{1, \alpha + 1, \beta - 1\} \subset D$ this implies $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$. So, $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and (12) precludes this case.

If $r = 2$ and $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, thus $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$ what is not possible by (12).

If $r = 2$ and $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, the semigroup must be $S^* = \{0, \alpha, \alpha + 2, \alpha + 4\}$ and

$$C = \left(1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 : t^\alpha + a_3 t^{\alpha+1} : t^{\alpha+2} : t^{\alpha+4} : \dots : t^{2\alpha+3} \right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\alpha+1}.$$

We claim that such C is trigonal. In fact, consider the sheaf $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, z \rangle$ with $z = t^2/t^2 + a_3 t + 1$. A general element in \mathcal{F}_P is of the form

$$f(t) = c_0 + c_1 \frac{t^2}{t^2 + a_3 t + 1} + c_2 \frac{t^\alpha + a_3 t^{\alpha+1}}{1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2} + c_3 \frac{t^{\alpha+2}}{1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2} \\ + c_4 \frac{t^{\alpha+2} + a_3 t^{\alpha+3}}{(1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2)(t^2 + a_3 t + 1)} + t^{\alpha+4} h(t)$$

with $h \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Defining $p(t) := (1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2)(t^2 + a_3 t + 1)$, we get

$$p f = c_0 + (a_1 + a_3) c_0 t + (c_1 + (a_2 + a_1 a_3 + 1) c_0) t^2 \\ + (a_1 c_1 + (a_2 a_3 + a_1) c_0) t^3 + (a_2 c_1 + a_2 c_0) t^4 \\ + c_2 t^\alpha + 2 a_3 c_2 t^{\alpha+1} + (c_4 + c_3 + (a_3^2 + a_3 + 1) c_2) t^{\alpha+2} \\ + (a_3 c_4 + a_3 c_3 + a_3 c_2) t^{\alpha+3} + t^{\alpha+4} h.$$

Since $v_P(1/p) = 0$ we can focus on the right hand side of the above equality to study the possible orders of f . We have that the positive values are $2, \alpha$ and $\alpha + 2$ taking successively $c_0 = 0$, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$ and $c_4 = -c_3$. So, $D = \{2\}$ and $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = |D| = 1$. On the other hand, $\deg_{C \setminus P}(\mathcal{F}) = \deg(t^2) = 2$ and then $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. Therefore, C admits a g_3^1 with a non-removable base point and is trigonal as it is not hyperelliptic and nearly normal either.

Now if the semigroup is not of the form $S^* = \{0, \alpha, \alpha + 2, \alpha + 4\}$ then C is tetragonal computed by a g_4^1 with points of base. In fact, consider $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C\langle 1, t \rangle$. Note that \mathcal{F} has degree 1 in Q , 0 in U and we claim that it has degree 3 in P . Indeed, it is easily seeing that $v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{F}_P) = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1, \alpha + m + 1 \rightarrow\}$ and so

$v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{F}_P) \setminus S = \{1, \alpha + 1, \beta - 1\}$ and follows the statement. So in this case C is tetragonal.

To prove the last statement, we claim that any C as stated in the theorem always admits a base point-free g_α^1 . In fact, define

$$f(t) := \frac{t^\alpha}{h(t)} := \frac{t^\alpha}{b_0 + b_1 t + \dots + b_u t^u}$$

with

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0 \\ a_i - \sum_{j=1}^i a_{u+j} b_{i-j} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq v \\ a_i - \sum_{j=1}^v a_{u+j} b_{i-j} & \text{for } v+1 \leq i \leq u \end{cases}$$

It can be verified that

$$f(t) = \frac{t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^v a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i}}{1 + a_1 t + \dots + a_u t^u} + t^\beta q \in \mathcal{O}_P$$

where $q \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Now, define $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C(1, f(t))$. Note that $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ because $f(t) \in \mathcal{O}_P$. On the other hand, as $m+1, \ell \leq \alpha$ we have $u \leq \alpha$ and $\deg(h(t)) \leq \alpha$. Therefore

$$\deg_{C \setminus P} = \deg(h(t)) + \max\{0, \alpha - \deg(h(t))\} = \alpha.$$

By construction, \mathcal{F} is locally free, so it induces a base point-free g_α^1 in C and this is the smallest d for which C admits a base point free g_d^1 owing to a general argument in the proof of the prior theorem when we were addressing base point free gonality.

Finally, we compute the canonical model of C . Note that

$$K^\circ = \{0, \ell, \dots, n-1, n+1, \dots, \beta-2\}.$$

Based on this, consider the functions if $b_v \neq 0$:

$$h_0 = 1 + a_1 t + \dots + a_u t^u$$

$$h_i = \begin{cases} F_\alpha & \text{for } i = \alpha \\ t^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} e_j t^{i+j} & \text{for } i = \{\ell, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{\alpha\} \\ t^i & \text{for } i = \{n+1, \dots, \beta-2\} \setminus \{\alpha\} \end{cases}$$

where

$$e_i = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^v a_{u+j} e_{i-j}$$

with $e_0 = 1$, $e_j = 0$ se $j < 0$ and the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_C \left\langle 1, \frac{h_\ell}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{h_{n-1}}{h_0}, \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_0}, \dots, \frac{h_{\beta-2}}{h_0} \right\rangle.$$

We claim $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$. In fact, we have that $\deg_Q(\mathcal{F}) + \deg_U(\mathcal{F}) = \beta - 2$; and we claim that $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + 2m - n - 1$. To compute the degree in P write

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{F}_P = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in K \cap [0, \alpha]} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\beta-2} k \frac{h_i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=\ell}^{n-1} k \frac{h_i h_\alpha}{h_0^2} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_P$$

Now recall that if we set $D := v_{\overline{P}}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus S$ then the local degree is $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \#D$. Set $E = \{\ell, \dots, n-1, n+1, \dots, \beta-3\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$. The above decomposition gives us

$$E \subset D \subset E \cup \{\alpha + n\}.$$

To show that $\alpha + n \notin D$, write an element of the second summand of (37) as

$$(38) \quad f = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\beta-2} c_i \frac{h_i}{h_0} + \sum_{i=\ell}^{n-1} b_i \frac{h_i h_\alpha}{h_0^2}$$

and also $1/h_0^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j t^j$ with $p_0 = 1$ and $p_j = d_j - \sum_{k=1}^j a_k p_{j-k}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\beta-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-n-1} d_j c_{i-j} \right) t^i + b_\ell t^{\alpha+\ell} + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n-1} \left(b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-\ell} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j p_k a_{j-k} \right) b_{i-j} \right) t^{\alpha+i} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=0}^i p_j a_{i-j} \right) b_{n-i} \right) t^{\beta-1} + t^\beta h \end{aligned}$$

with $h \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Now, eliminating the coefficients of t^i where $n+1 \leq i \leq \beta-2$ and $\ell \leq j \leq n-1$ we have that

$$(39) \quad c_i = \begin{cases} -b_j & \text{if } i = \alpha + j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, using (39) we have that the coefficients of $t^{\alpha+n}$ also vanish. This implies that $\alpha + n \notin D$. So $E = D$ and so $\deg_P(\mathcal{F}) = \alpha + 2m - n - 1$. Then,

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + n - 1) + (\alpha + 2m - n - 1) = 2(\alpha + m - 1).$$

Therefore, $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2g - 2$ because $g = \alpha + m$, and we get C' as stated in the theorem.

Now, if $b_v = 0$ then all the analysis done in the previous case for both the canonical model computation and for the gonality is analogous. just note that

$$F_0 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} a_i t^i + a_u t^n \quad \text{e} \quad F_\alpha = t^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} a_{u+i} t^{\alpha+i} + \left(a_v + \sum_{j=1}^{v-1} \left(a_j d_{v-j} - d_j a_{n-j} \right) \right) t^{\alpha+v}$$

and the result follows. \square

4. RATIONAL UNICUSPIDAL CURVES OF GENUS AT MOST 6

In this section we apply Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 to classify all unicuspidal rational non-Gorenstein curves of genus $g \leq 6$, by means of different gonality and their canonical models. It is the content of the following result, stated within a theorem-like tableau. In addition, we give some examples of canonical models as intersections of surfaces for $g = 4$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let C be a rational non-Gorenstein curve of genus g with a unique singular, which is unibranch, and with canonical model C' . Furthermore, let d_b (resp. d_f) be the smallest integer such that C admits a $g_{d_b}^1$ (resp. $g_{d_f}^1$) with (resp. without) base points. In particular, $\text{gon}(C) = \min(d_b, d_f)$. Then we have the following tableau computing all possibilities for C up to isomorphism, for $g \leq 6$.*

case	C and C'	d_b	d_f
	genus 3		
(i)	$(1 + a_1t : t^3 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$ $(1 + a_1t : t^2 : t^3)$	3	3
	genus 4		
(ii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^3 : t^7 : t^8)$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t + a_1t^2 : t^3 : t^4)$	3	3
(iii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^4 : t^5 : t^7 : t^8)$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5)$	3	4
(iv)	$(1 + a_1t : t^4 : t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{10})$ $(1 + a_1t : t^2 : t^3 : t^4)$	3	4
	genus 5		
(v)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^4 + a_3t^5 : t^6 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^2 + (a_1 - a_3)t^3 : t^4 + a_3t^5 : t^5 : t^6)$ or $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^3 : t^4 + a_1t^5 : t^6 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^3 : t^2 : t^4 + a_1t^5 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	4
(vi)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^4 + a_4t^6 : t^5 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t + a_1t^2 + (a_2 - a_4)t^3 : t^4 + a_4t^6 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	4
(vii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^5 : t^6 : t^8 : t^9)$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	5
(viii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{10})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$	3	5
(ix)	$(1 + a_1t : t^5 : t^7 : t^8 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t : t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5)$	3	5
(x)	$1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^4 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{10})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t + a_1t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5)$	3	4
(xi)	$(1 + 2a_4t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^3 + a_4t^4 : t^8 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + 2a_4t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^2 + a_4t^3 + (a_2 - a_4^2)t^4 : t^3 + a_4t^4 : t^5 : t^6)$ or $(1 + a_2t^2 + a_3t^4 : t^3 : t^8 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_2t^2 + a_3t^4 : t^2 + a_2t^4 : t^3 : t^5 : t^6)$	4	3
(xii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_5t^5 : t^7 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_5t^5 : t^4 + (a_1 - a_4)t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$ or $(1 + a_1t + (a_1^2 - a_4^2)t^2 + a_3t^5 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_5^*t^5 : t^7 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + (a_1^2 - a_4^2)t^2 + a_3t^5 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_5^*t^5 : t^4 + (a_1 - a_4)t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$ where $a_2 = ((a_1 - a_4)^2 + 2a_5)$ and $a_5^* = a_4(a_1 - a_4)$	4	3
	genus 6		
(xiii)	$(1 + a_1t : t^6 : t^8 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11} : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + a_1t : t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	6
(xiv)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$	3	6
(xv)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8)$	3	6
(xvi)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 + a_4t^4 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8 : t^9 : t^{11} : t^{12})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 + a_4t^4 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8 : t^9)$	3	6
(xvii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^5 : t^8 : t^9 : t^{11} : t^{12})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t + a_1t^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	5

	genus 6		
(xviii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^5 + a_3t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{11} : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 : t^2 + (a_1 - a_3)t^3 : t^4 : t^5 + a_3t^6 : t^6 : t^7)$ or $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^3 : t^5 + a_3t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{11} : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^3 : t^2 + (a_1 - a_3)t^3 : t^4 : t^5 + a_3t^6 : t^6 : t^7)$	3	5
(xix)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^5 + a_4t^6 : t^7 : t^8 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^3 + (a_1 - a_4)t^4 : t^5 + a_4t^6 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8)$ or $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^4 : t^5 + a_1t^6 : t^7 : t^8 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^4 : t^3 : t^5 + a_1t^6 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8)$	4	5
(xx)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^5 + a_4t^7 : t^6 : t^9 : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t + a_1t^2 + (a_2 - a_4)t^3 : t^4 : t^5 + a_4t^7 : t^6 : t^7)$	3	5
(xxi)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 + a_4t^4 : t^5 + a_5t^8 : t^6 + a_6t^8 : t^7 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + \dots + a_4t^4 : t + a_1t^2 + b_2t^3 + b_3t^4 : t^5 + a_5t^8 : t^6 + a_6t^8 : t^7 : t^8)$ where $b_2 = (a_2 - a_6)$ and $b_3 = (a_3 - a_5 - a_1a_6)$	3	5
(xxii)	$(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^4 : t^9 : t^{10} : t^{11})$ $(1 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t + a_1t^2 + a_2t^3 : t^2 + a_1t^3 : t^4 : t^5 : t^6)$	3	4
(xxiii)	$(1 + 2a_4t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^4 + a_4t^5 + a_5t^6 : t^7 : t^{10} : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + 2a_4t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_5^*t^5 : t^4 + a_4t^5 + a_5t^6 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8)$ or $(1 + 2a_4t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^5 : t^4 + a_4t^5 + a_5^{**}t^6 : t^7 : t^{10} : t^{12} : t^{13})$ $(1 + a_1t + (a_1^2 - a_4^2)t^2 + a_3t^5 : t^3 + a_4t^4 + a_4^2t^5 : t^4 + a_4t^5 + a_5^{***}t^6 : t^6 : t^7 : t^8)$ where $a_5^* = (a_2 - a_4^2 - a_5)$, $a_5^{**} = (a_2 + a_1a_4 - a_1^2)$ and $a_5^{***} = (a_2 - 2a_4^2)$	4	4

Proof. All follows from Theorems 2.1 and 3.1. Cases (i), (iii), (iv), (vii)-(ix) and (xiii)-(xvi) follow from 2.1.(i); cases (ii), (x), (xvii) and (xxii) from 2.1.(ii); cases (vi), (xx) and (xxi) from 2.1.(iii); and cases (v), (xi), (xii), (xviii), (xix) and (xxiii) from 3.1. \square

5. HYPERSURFACES CONTAINING THE CANONICAL MODEL

In this section we are particularly interested on the study of the dimension of the following vector space

$$I_n(C') := \{\text{hypersurfaces of degree } n \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \text{ containing } C'\}$$

We first derive a general result for arbitrary curves, non-necessarily rational and unicuspidal either.

To begin with, we fix some notation. Following [13, Def. 2.7], set

$$\eta_P := \delta_P - \dim(\mathcal{O}_P/\mathcal{C}_P)$$

and $\eta := \sum_{P \in C} \eta_P$. Let $\widehat{C} := \text{Proj}(\oplus \omega^n)$ be the canonical blowup (defined in Sec. 1.2) and $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ the natural map. Thus set $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}\omega} := \widehat{\pi}^*\omega/\text{Torsion}(\widehat{\pi}^*\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega := \widehat{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}\omega})$. We define

$$\sigma := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \omega^n = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n\}$$

With this in mind we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let C be an integral and projective curve of genus g with canonical model C' of genus g' . Assume $n \geq \sigma$. Then*

(i) *The natural map*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n H^0(\omega) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C'}(n))$$

is surjective

(ii)

$$\dim(I_n(C')) = \binom{g+n-1}{n} - n(2g-2-\eta) - 1 + g'$$

Proof. The linear series $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}\omega, H^0(\omega))$ yields an isomorphism $\widehat{\kappa} : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ owing to [13, Thm. 6.4] with $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}}\omega = \widehat{\kappa}^*\mathcal{O}_{C'}(1)$. This allows us to address the problem intrinsically. For $n \geq \sigma$, we have $\omega_P^n = (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n$. In particular, $H^0(\omega^n) = H^0((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C'}(n))$ for $n \geq \sigma$. Now, by the singular version of Noether Theorem, proved in [10], we have that $\mathrm{Sym}^n H^0(\omega) \rightarrow H^0(\omega^n)$ is surjective for any $n \geq 1$, so (i) follows.

To get (ii), we just have to compute $h^0((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n)$. From [13, Cor. 4.9], we have that $\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega) = 2g - 2 - \eta$. On the other hand, $h^1((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n) = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$ owing to [13, Prp. 5.2]. Thus (ii) follows from Riemann-Roch along with the fact that \widehat{C} and C' are of the same genus. \square

Remark 5.2. There is a very useful way to compute the parameters that appear in the statement of the theorem. First off, notice that ω^n and $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n$ agree in the Gorenstein locus of C for any $n \geq 1$. So we are reduced to check non-Gorenstein points. Lemma 1.1 provides an embedding $\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ via $\lambda \in H^0(\omega)$ such that for any singular point $P \in C$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_P \subset \mathcal{O}_P \subset \omega_P \subset \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$$

Now, plainly, $(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)_P = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P$. Thus $((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\omega)^n)_P = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P^n = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P$. On the other hand, by the very definition of the canonical blowup, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ is the smallest subring of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P$ containing ω_P . But, as $v_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}_P}(\omega_P) = \mathbf{K}$, it follows that $\sigma_P = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n\mathbf{K} = \langle \mathbf{K} \rangle\}$, where $n\mathbf{K}$ stands for the set of sums of n elements of \mathbf{K} , while $\langle \mathbf{K} \rangle$ is the semigroup generated by \mathbf{K} . And σ is the largest among the σ_P . Also, [13] provides an alternative way of computing the parameter η_P , namely, $\eta_P := \dim(\omega_P/\mathcal{O}_P)$, from which we get that $\eta_P = \#(\mathbf{K} \setminus \mathbf{S})$.

5.1. Examples. We now give a graphic description of the canonical model C' in the first four cases of Theorem 4.1. What they have in common is that we are able to draw both the curve and (at least two) surfaces containing it, as C' is either in the plane ($g = 3$) or in three-dimensional space ($g = 4$). We recall by [15], that if C is d -gonal, then C' is contained in a $(d-1)$ -fold scroll in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} of which the rulings cut out a g_d^1 on C' . So in the first case, $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, which is the scroll itself, and the rulings are a pencil of lines meeting the curve generically at 3 points. For the other three cases, C' is a curve in \mathbb{P}^3 contained in a 2-fold scroll (which is a quadric) and the rulings meet C' generically in 3 points as well as all these cases correspond to trigonal curves.

case (i): $C = (1 + at : t^3 : t^5 : t^6 : t^7)$ and $C' = (1 + at : t^2 : t^3) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Writing $\mathbb{P}^2 = \{(w : x : y)\}$, we have that C' is given by the cubic $x^3 + ax^2y - y^2w$.

case (ii): $C = (1 + at + bt^2 : t^3 : t^7 : t^8)$ (of genus 4) and the canonical model is $C' = (1 + at + bt^2 : t + at^2 : t^3 : t^4) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \{(w : x : y : z)\}$. Clearly, $C' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, so $g' = 0$. Also, as C has just one singular point P , we have that $\eta = \eta_P$ and it can be computed as $\eta = \dim(\omega_P/\mathcal{O}_P) = \#(\mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{S}) = \#\{1, 4\} = 2$. Set $\widehat{\mathbb{S}} := v_{\overline{P}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P)$. We have that $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}$ agrees with the semigroup generated by \mathbb{K} . Besides, $\widehat{\mathbb{S}} \setminus \mathbb{K} = \{2, 5\}$ and both numbers are expressed as sum of two elements of \mathbb{K} , namely, $2 = 1 + 1$ and $5 = 1 + 4$. It follows that $\omega_P^2 = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ and $\sigma = 2$. So we are in position to apply Theorem 5.1. We have that $\dim(I_2(C')) = \binom{4+2-1}{2} - 2(2 \times 4 - 2 - 2) - 1 = 1$ and

$$I_2(C') = k(by^2 + xy - zw)$$

Now $\dim(I_3(C')) = \binom{6}{3} - 3 \times 4 - 1 = 7$ and one computes

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(C') &= k(x^3 - axyw + 2bxzw + b^3y^3 - aby^2w - b^2yzw - yw^2) \\ &\quad \oplus k(x^2y - xzw - b^2y^3 + byzw) \oplus k(x^2z - b^2y^2z - y^2w - ayzw + bz^2w) \\ &\quad \oplus k(xy^2 + by^3 - yzw) \oplus k(xyz + by^2z - z^2w) \oplus k(xz^2 - y^3 - ay^2z) \\ &\quad \oplus k(-xyw - by^2w + zw^2) \end{aligned}$$

case (iii): $C = (1 + at + bt^2 : t^4 : t^5 : t^7 : t^8)$, of genus 4 as well, and the canonical model is $C' = (1 + at + bt^2 : t^3 : t^4 : t^5) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \{(w : x : y : z)\}$. Here C' is the unique (up to isomorphism) unicuspidal non-Gorenstein curve of genus 2. Also, $\mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{S} = \{3\}$ so $\eta = 1$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{S}} \setminus \mathbb{K} = \{6\}$ so $\sigma = 2$. Therefore $\dim(I_2(C')) = 10 - 2(2 \times 4 - 2 - 1) - 1 + 2 = 1$ and

$$I_2(C') = k(y^2 - xz)$$

while $\dim(I_3(C')) = 20 - 3 \times 5 - 1 + 2 = 6$ and one computes

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(C') &= k(x^2z - xy^2) \oplus k(xzw - y^2w) \oplus k(-xyz + y^3) \oplus k(y^2z - xz^2) \\ &\quad \oplus k(yzw - x^3 - ax^2y - bxy^2) \oplus k(z^2w - x^2y - axy^2 - bxyz) \end{aligned}$$




case (iv): $C = (1 + at : t^4 : t^6 : t^7 : t^9 : t^{10})$, also of genus 4, and the canonical model is $C' = (1 + at : t^2 : t^3 : t^4) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \{(w : x : y : z)\}$. Now C' is the ordinary cusp of genus 1. Besides, $\mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{S} = \{2, 3\}$ so $\eta = 2$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{S}} \setminus \mathbb{K} = \{5\}$ so $\sigma = 2$. Thus $\dim(I_2(C')) = 10 - 2(2 \times 4 - 2 - 2) - 1 + 1 = 2$ and

$$I_2(C') = k(y^2 - xz) \oplus k(zw - x^2 - axy)$$

while $\dim(I_3(C')) = 20 - 3 \times 4 - 1 + 1 = 8$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(C') &:= k(xy^2 - x^2z) \oplus k(xzw - x^3 - ax^2y) \oplus k(y^3 - xyz) \oplus k(y^2z - xz^2) \\ &\quad \oplus k(y^2w - x^3 - ax^2y) \oplus k(yzw - x^2y - ax^2z) \oplus k(z^2w - x^2z - axyz) \\ &\quad \oplus k(zw^2 - x^2w - axyw) \end{aligned}$$

As examples, we picture C' contained in (ii) $\{z = xy\} \cap \{y^3 = xz^2 - y^2z\}$, (iii) $\{y^2 = xz\} \cap \{yz = x^3 - x^2y\}$, and (iv) $\{z = x^2 + xy\} \cap \{y^2 = x^3 + x^2y\}$

FIGURE 1. C' smoothFIGURE 2. C' non-Gorenstein of genus 2FIGURE 3. C' with a (plane) ordinary cusp

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andreotti, A. L. Mayer, *On Period Relations for Abelian Integrals on Algebraic Curves*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Piza, 21 2 (1967) 189-238.
- [2] D. W. Babbage, *A note on the quadrics through a canonical curve*, J. Lodon Math. Soc. 14 (1939), 310–315.
- [3] K. Behnke, J. A. Christophersen, *Hypersurface Sections and Obstructions (Rational Surface Singularities)*, Comp. Math. 77 (1991) 233-268.
- [4] J. Coelho, F. Sercio, *On the gonality of stable curves*, Mathematische Nachrichten, 292, (2019) no. 11, 2338-2351
- [5] E. Cotterill, L. Feital and R. V. Martins, *Dimension Counts for Cuspidal Rational Curves via Semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020) 3217-3231
- [6] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, J. Koh, M. Stillmann, *Determinantal Equations for Curves of High Degree*, American Journal of Mathematics 110 (1988) 513-539
- [7] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, *On Varieties of Minimal Degree*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 46 (1987), 3–13
- [8] F. Enriques, *Sulle curve canoniche di genera p cello spazio a $p - 1$ dimensioni*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Ist. Bologna, 23 (1919), 80–82.
- [9] L. Feital. R. V. Martins, *Gonality of non-Gorenstein curves of genus five*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., 45(4) (2014), 1–22.
- [10] E. Gagliardi. R. V. Martins, *Max Noether Theorem for Singular Curves*, arXiv:2202.09349
- [11] J. Hotchkiss, B. Ullery, *The Gonality of Complete Intersection Curves*, arXiv:1706.08169 (2017)
- [12] S. L. Kleiman, A. B. Altman, *Compactifying the Jacobian*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 82, Number 6, November 1976.
- [13] S. L. Kleiman, R. V. Martins, *The Canonical Model of a Singular Curve*, Geometria Dedicata. 139 (2009), 139-166.
- [14] D. Nicolau, S. Marchesi, R. V. Martins, *Curves with Canonical Models on Scrolls*, International Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 27, No. 5 (2016) 1650045-1-30.

- [15] D. Nicolau, R. V. Martins, J. Souza, *On gonality, scrolls, and canonical models of non-Gorenstein curves*, Geometriae Dedicata, Vol. 198, p. 1-23 (2019).
- [16] R. V. Martins, *On Trigonal Non-Gorenstein Curves with Zero Maroni Invariant*, Journal of Algebra 275 (2004), 453–470.
- [17] R. Rosa, K.-O. Stöhr, *Trigonal Gorenstein Curves*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 174 (2002), 187-205.
- [18] M. Rosenlicht, *Equivalence Relations on Algebraic Curves*, Annals of Mathematics 56 (1952), 169–191
- [19] F.-O. Schreyer, *Szygies of Canonical Curves and Special Linear Series*, Mathematische Annalen 275 (1986), 105–137.
- [20] J. P. Serre, *Groupes Algébriques et Corps de Classes*, Hermann, 1959.
- [21] J. Stevens, *The Versal Deformation of Universal Curve Singularities*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 63 (1993) 197-213
- [22] K.-O. Stöhr, *On the Poles of Regular Differentials of Singular Curves*, Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática 24 (1993), 105–135.
- [23] K.-O. Stöhr, P. Viana, *Weierstrass gap sequences and moduli varieties of trigonal curves*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 81 (1992), 63–82.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ICEX, UFMG AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS 6627, 30123-970 BELO HORIZONTE MG, BRAZIL

Email address: `naamagaldino@ufmg.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFV / CAF, RODOVIA LMG 818 KM 06, 35690-000 FLORESTAL MG, BRAZIL

Email address: `dani.nicolau@ufv.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ICEX, UFMG AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS 6627, 30123-970 BELO HORIZONTE MG, BRAZIL

Email address: `renato@mat.ufmg.br`