

GAUSSIAN FLUCTUATION FOR SMOOTHED LOCAL CORRELATIONS IN CUE

ALEXANDER SOSHNIKOV

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the Rudnick-Sarnak theorem we study limiting distribution of smoothed local correlations of the form

$$\sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} f(N(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1}), N(\theta_{j_3} - \theta_{j_1}), \dots, N(\theta_{j_n} - \theta_{j_1}))$$

for the Circular United Ensemble of random matrices for sufficiently smooth test functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND FORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULT.

The Circular β -Ensemble (C β E) is determined by the joint probability density

$$(1.1) \quad p_N^\beta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) = \frac{1}{Z_{N,\beta}} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^\beta,$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$, i.e. $0 \leq \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N < 2\pi$, $\beta > 0$, and the partition function $Z_{N,\beta}$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad Z_{N,\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^\beta d\theta = (2\pi)^N \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\beta N}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\beta}{2})^N}.$$

The ensemble was introduced in Random Matrix Theory by Dyson in [8]. The Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE) corresponds to $\beta = 2$. In this special case (1.1) describes the joint distribution of the eigenvalues of an $N \times N$ random unitary matrix U distributed according to the Haar measure.

In [1]-[3], we studied the limiting distribution of pair counting functions

$$(1.3) \quad S_N(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N} f(L_N(\theta_i - \theta_j)_c),$$

in the Circular β -Ensemble, where $1 \leq L_N \leq N$ and $(\theta_i - \theta_j)_c$ is the phase difference on the unit circle, i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad (x - y)_c = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } -\pi \leq x - y < \pi, \\ x - y - 2\pi & \text{if } \pi \leq x - y < 2\pi, \\ x - y + 2\pi & \text{if } -2\pi < x - y < -\pi. \end{cases}$$

The case $\beta = 2$, $L_N = N$ was motivated by a classical result of Montgomery on pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta function [16]-[17]. Let us denote the “non-trivial” zeros as $\{1/2 \pm i\gamma_k, \gamma_k > 0\}$. Montgomery’s theorem suggested that the rescaled zeros

$$\tilde{\gamma}_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{2\pi} \log(\gamma_k),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Random Matrices, Central Limit Theorem.

asymptotically behave as the eigenvalues of a large random unitary (CUE) matrix. Namely, Montgomery studied the asymptotic behavior of the the statistic

$$F_T(\alpha) = T^{-1} \sum_{0 < \tilde{\gamma}_j, \tilde{\gamma}_k \leq T} \exp(i\alpha(\tilde{\gamma}_j - \tilde{\gamma}_k)) \frac{4}{4 + (\tilde{\gamma}_j - \tilde{\gamma}_k)^2 / \log(T)^2},$$

for real α and large real T . Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, Montgomery argued that

$$(1.5) \quad F(\alpha) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} F_T(\alpha) = \begin{cases} |\alpha|, & \text{if } 0 < |\alpha| < 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Montgomery rigorously proved (1.5) for $0 < |\alpha| < 1$ and provided heuristic arguments to support the formula for $|\alpha| \geq 1$. He also proved that for $\alpha = 0$ and large T the statistic behaves as

$$F_T(0) = \log(T)^{-1}(1 + o(1)).$$

Since $\alpha \mapsto \delta(\alpha) + \min(|\alpha|, 1)$ is the Fourier transform of $\delta(x) + 1 - \left(\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}\right)^2$, the formula (1.5) implies that the asymptotic behavior of the two-point correlations of the rescaled zeros of the Riemann zeta functions and the eigenvalues of a large random unitary matrix coincide in the limit.

In 1994, Hejhal ([11]) extended the result to the case of three-point correlation functions, again under a technical condition on the support of the Fourier transform of a test function. In 1996, Rudnick and Sarnak ([19]) considered the l -level correlation sum, $l \geq 2$,

$$(1.6) \quad R_{T,l}(g) = \sum^* g(\tilde{\gamma}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{j_l}),$$

where the sum is restricted to distinct rescaled zeros in the interval $[0, T]$ for large T , and g is a smooth test function satisfying:

- (i) $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$ is symmetric.
- (ii) $g(x_1 + t, x_2 + t, \dots, x_l + t) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ rapidly as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in the hyperplane $\sum x_j = 0$.

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis and an additional important technical condition that $\hat{g}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l)$, the Fourier transform of g , is supported in $\sum_j |\xi_j| < 2$, they proved that

$$(1.7) \quad R_{T,l}(g) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} g(x_1, \dots, x_l) \rho_l(x_1, \dots, x_l) \delta\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_l}{l}\right) dx_1 \cdots dx_l,$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the delta function and

$$(1.8) \quad \rho_l(x_1, \dots, x_l) = \det(K(x_i, x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}, \quad K(x, y) = \frac{\sin(\pi(x - y))}{\pi(x - y)},$$

is the limiting l -point correlation function for the CUE. We refer the reader to [5], [15], [12], [4] and the references therein for additional information on exciting connections between Random Matrices and Number Theory.

Introducing $f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = g(0, y_1, \dots, y_{l-1})$, $n = l - 1$, we can rewrite (1.6) as

$$(1.9) \quad R_{T,l}(g) = \sum^* f(\tilde{\gamma}_{j_2} - \tilde{\gamma}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{j_l} - \tilde{\gamma}_{j_1}),$$

where f is a smooth symmetric test function decaying fast at infinity and the sum is over all distinct rescaled zeros in the interval $[0, T]$. In this paper, we study the limiting

fluctuation of the analogue of (1.9) for the CUE. Specifically, we consider

$$(1.10) \quad S_N(f) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq N} f(N(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})_c, \dots, N(\theta_{j_{n+1}} - \theta_{j_1})_c),$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$ comes from the CUE and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth test function with compact support. Even though the number of terms in (1.10) is N^{n+1} , the number of non-zero terms in the sum is of order N .

When $n = 1$ the following result was proven in [1]:

Theorem 1.1. ([1]).

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an even, smooth, compactly supported function on the real line and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$ be CUE-distributed. Consider

$$(1.11) \quad S_N(f(N \cdot)) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} f(N(\theta_i - \theta_j)_c).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}S_N(f) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{f}(k/N) \min\left(\frac{|k|}{N}, 1\right) + \hat{f}(0)N,$$

and $(S_N(f(N \cdot)) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f(N \cdot)))N^{-1/2}$ converges in distribution to the centered real Gaussian random variable with the variance

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(t)|^2 \min(|t|, 1)^2 dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|s-t| \leq 1, |s| \vee |t| \geq 1} \hat{f}(t) \hat{f}(s) (1 - |s - t|) ds dt \\ - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1, s+t > 1} \hat{f}(s) \hat{f}(t) (s + t - 1) ds dt.$$

Remark 1.2. The limiting distribution of (1.11) does not change if one replaces the circular difference (1.4) in the argument of $f(N \cdot)$ by the regular one and studies instead

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} f(N(\theta_i - \theta_j)),$$

since the number of pairs of the eigenvalues in a $O(N^{-1})$ neighborhood of $\theta = 0$ is bounded in probability.

The proof relied on the cumulant technique and had a strong combinatorial flavor. The purpose of this paper is to give a simpler proof that works for arbitrary $n > 1$. Below we formulate our main result.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth, symmetric, compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$ be a CUE-distributed random vector. Consider the l -tuple smoothed counting statistic $S_N(f)$, $l = n + 1$, defined in (1.10). Then $\mathbb{E}S_N(f)$ satisfies (3.5-3.6) and the normalized random variable $(S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f))N^{-1/2}$ converges in distribution to the centered real Gaussian random variable $N(0, \sigma^2(f))$ with the limiting variance $\sigma^2(f)$ defined in (3.17).

The paper is organized as follows. Some preliminary facts are given in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the computation of mathematical expectation and variance of $S_N(f)$. Theorem 1.3 is proven in Section 4. Throughout the paper the notation $a_N = O(b_N)$ means that the ratio a_N/b_N is bounded from above in absolute value. The notation $a_N = o(b_N)$ means that $a_N/b_N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1440140, while the author was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during a part of the Fall semester of 2021.

2. PRELIMINARY FACTS.

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. When N is sufficiently large, the support of $f(Nx)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is contained in the cube $[-\pi, \pi]^n$ and one can write a Fourier series

$$f(Nx) = f(Nx_1, \dots, Nx_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} N^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k_1 N^{-1}, \dots, k_n N^{-1}) e^{ik \cdot x},$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(f) &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq N} f(N(\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1})_c, \dots, N(\theta_{j_{n+1}} - \theta_{j_1})_c) \\ (2.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} N^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k_1 N^{-1}, \dots, k_n N^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad T_{N, s} = \sum_{m=1}^N e^{is\theta_m} = \text{Tr}(U^s)$$

is the trace of the s -th power of a random unitary (CUE) matrix, and $k_{n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^n k_j$.

First, we evaluate $\mathbb{E}S_N(f)$. One has

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}S_N(f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} N^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k_1 N^{-1}, \dots, k_n N^{-1}) \times \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_j} \right].$$

To compute $\mathbb{E}[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_j}]$, we study the joint cumulants of the traces of powers of a CUE matrix. We refer the reader to [13] (see also [1], Section 5) for the definition and basic properties of the joint cumulants. We will use the notation $\kappa_m^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ for the joint cumulant of $T_{N, k_1}, \dots, T_{N, k_m}$, i.e.

$$\kappa_m^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \kappa(T_{N, k_1}, \dots, T_{N, k_m}).$$

Recall that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_j} \right] = \sum_{\pi} \prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa_{|B|}^{(N)}(k_i : i \in B),$$

where the sum is over all partitions π of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$, B runs through the list of all blocks of the partition π , and $|B|$ is the cardinality of a block B . The following result was established in [21] (see also Section 5 of [1]):

Lemma 2.1. (i) If $p > 1$ and either $k_1 + \dots + k_p \neq 0$ or $\prod_{i=1}^p k_i = 0$, or both, then

$$(2.5) \quad \kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p) = 0.$$

(ii) If $p > 1$, $\prod_{i=1}^p k_i \neq 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^p k_i = 0$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p) = \sum_{m=1}^p \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \sum_{\substack{(p_1, \dots, p_m): \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p, p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 1}} \frac{1}{p_1! \cdots p_m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} J_N(p_1, \dots, p_m; k_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, k_{\sigma(p)}),$$

where for positive integers $p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 1$, $p_1 + \dots + p_m = p$, and integers k_1, \dots, k_p , satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^p k_i = 0$, we define

$$J_N(p_1, \dots, p_m; k_1, \dots, k_p) := \quad (2.7)$$

$$\min \left(N, \max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} k_i, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+p_2} k_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} k_i \right) + \max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (-k_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} (-k_i) \right) \right).$$

(iii) If $p = 1$ then $\kappa_1^{(N)}(k) = N$ for $k = 0$ and $\kappa_1^{(N)}(k) = 0$ otherwise.

Remark 2.2. If $\sum_{i=1}^p k_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^p |k_i| \leq 2N$, then

$$J_N(p_1, \dots, p_m; k_1, \dots, k_p) = \quad (2.8)$$

$$\max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} k_i, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+p_2} k_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} k_i \right) + \max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (-k_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} (-k_i) \right).$$

Using a combinatorial identity (Lemma 2 in [21]) one further obtains that

$$(2.9) \quad \kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p) = 0 \text{ if } p > 2 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^p |k_i| \leq 2N.$$

Remark 2.3. It directly follows from (2.7) that

$$0 \leq J_N(p_1, \dots, p_m; k_1, \dots, k_p) \leq N.$$

Therefore (2.5-2.6) imply

$$(2.10) \quad |\kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p)| \leq \text{Const}_p N,$$

where Const_p depends only on p .

Remark 2.4. Recall that $\kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p)$ is a symmetric function of k_1, \dots, k_p . Direct computations allow one to derive joint cumulants in several important special cases:

(2.11)

$$\kappa_2^{(N)}(k_1, -k_1) = \min(N, |k_1|), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\kappa_3^{(N)}(k_1, k_2, -(k_1 + k_2)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k_1 + k_2 \leq N, \quad k_1, k_2 \geq 0, \\ k_1 + k_2 - N, & \text{if } k_1 + k_2 > N, \quad 0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq N, \\ k_2, & \text{if } k_1 + k_2 > N, \quad k_1 > N, \quad 0 \leq k_2 \leq N, \\ N, & k_1 \geq N, \quad k_2 \geq N. \end{cases}$$

(2.13)

$$\kappa_4^{(N)}(k_1, k_2, -k_1, -k_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq |k_1| = |k_2| \leq N/2, \\ N - 2|k_1|, & \text{if } N/2 < |k_1| = |k_2| \leq N, \\ -N, & \text{if } |k_1| = |k_2| \geq N, \\ ||k_1| - |k_2|| - N, & \text{if } 1 \leq ||k_1| - |k_2|| \leq N - 1, \quad N \leq \max(|k_1|, |k_2|), \\ N - |k_1| - |k_2|, & \text{if } 1 \leq |k_1| \neq |k_2| \leq N - 1, \quad N + 1 \leq |k_1| + |k_2|, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We note that (2.13) directly follows from Corollary 4.2 in ([1]). (2.12) follows from (2.6) and (2.8). Since $\kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p)$ is a symmetric function that satisfies $\kappa_p^{(N)}(k_1, \dots, k_p) = \kappa_p^{(N)}(-k_1, \dots, -k_p)$, the third cumulant formula (2.12) completely determines $\kappa_3^{(N)}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ (recall that $\kappa_3^{(N)}$ vanishes if $k_3 \neq -k_1 - k_2$.) The second cumulant formula (2.11) immediately follows from (2.6) and (2.8).

The main contribution to (2.1) and (2.3) comes from $|k| = O(N)$. Define

$$(2.14) \quad t_i = \frac{k_i}{N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

Then

$$(2.15) \quad c_p(t_1, \dots, t_p) := \frac{1}{N} \kappa_p^{(N)}(t_1 N, \dots, t_p N)$$

does not depend on N and is a bounded function of t_1, \dots, t_p , which is identically zero on $t_1 + \dots + t_p \neq 0$ for $p > 1$ and is piece-wise linear on $t_1 + \dots + t_p = 0$. To write down an explicit formula for $c_p(t_1, \dots, t_p)$ we define functions $j(p_1, \dots, p_m; t_1, \dots, t_p)$ for positive integers p_1, \dots, p_m , satisfying $p_1 + \dots + p_m = p$, and real numbers t_1, \dots, t_p , as

$$(2.16) \quad j(p_1, \dots, p_m; t_1, \dots, t_p) := \min \left(1, \max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} t_i, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+p_2} t_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} t_i \right) + \max \left(0, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (-t_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+\dots+p_{m-1}} (-t_i) \right) \right).$$

Lemma 2.1 implies the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Rescaled joint cumulants c_p defined in (2.15) can be written for $p > 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^p t_i = 0$ as*

$$(2.17) \quad c_p(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \sum_{m=1}^p \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \sum_{\substack{(p_1, \dots, p_m): \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p, p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 1}} \frac{1}{p_1! \cdots p_m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} j(p_1, \dots, p_m; t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(p)}),$$

where the functions $j(p_1, \dots, p_m; t_1, \dots, t_p)$ are defined in (2.16). Moreover, the following holds:

(i) $c_p(t_1, \dots, t_p)$, $p > 1$, is a bounded symmetric piece-wise linear function on $\sum_{i=1}^p t_i = 0$.

(ii) $c_p(t_1, \dots, t_p) = 0$ if $p > 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^p t_i \neq 0$.

(iii) $c_1(0) = 1$ and $c_1(t) = 0$ for $t \neq 0$.

3. EXPECTATION AND VARIANCE.

We start with a computation of $\mathbb{E}S_N(f)$. It follows from (2.3), (2.4), (2.15), and (2.12) that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}S_N(f) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} N^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k_1/N, \dots, k_n/N) \sum_{\pi} N^{|\pi|} \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(k_i/N : i \in B) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{\pi} N^{|\pi| - n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k_1/N, \dots, k_n/N) \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(k_i/N : i \in B), \end{aligned}$$

where $k_{n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^n k_i$, the sum is over all partitions π of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$, B runs through the list of all blocks of the partition π , $|\pi|$ is the number of blocks in a partition π , and $|B|$ is the cardinality of a block B .

Denote a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as

$$(3.2) \quad L_\pi := \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 0; \sum_{i \in B} t_i = 0 \forall B \in \pi\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5, parts (ii)-(iii), that for any fixed partition π the summation in (3.1) is over $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) \in L_\pi \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Indeed, k satisfies the following system of linear equations of rank $|\pi|$:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i = 0, \\ \sum_{i \in B} k_i = 0, \quad \forall B \in \pi, \end{cases}$$

Observe that the first linear equation in (3.3) follows from the remaining $|\pi|$ independent linear equations in (3.3). Therefore

$$(3.4) \quad \dim L_\pi = n + 1 - |\pi|.$$

We note that the sums in (3.1) are Riemann sums (up to a multiplicative factor $\frac{N}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}$) corresponding to smooth and fast decaying at infinity functions $\hat{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(t_i : i \in B)$ on L_π . Denote by m the number of blocks in π and by n_1, \dots, n_m the cardinalities of the blocks. Using Lemma 2.5 we are ready to obtain asymptotics of the mean of $S_N(f)$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E}S_N(f) = \mathcal{M}(f)N + O(1),$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{M}(f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{(n_1, \dots, n_m): \\ n_1 + \dots + n_m = n+1, n_1, \dots, n_m \geq 1}} \frac{(n+1)!}{n_1! \cdots n_m!} \times \\ \int_{L_\pi} \hat{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{j=1}^m c_{n_j}(t_{M_{j-1}+1}, \dots, t_{M_j-1}, -t_{M_{j-1}+1} - \dots - t_{M_j-1}) d\lambda,$$

$t_{n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^n t_i$, $M_j = n_1 + \dots + n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, $M_0 = 0$, $L(n_1, \dots, n_m) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is defined as

$$(3.7) \quad L_{n_1, \dots, n_m} := \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 0; \sum_{M_{j-1} < i \leq M_j} t_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m\},$$

λ is the Lebesgue measure on L_π , i.e.

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda = \prod_{j: n_j > 1} dt_{M_{j-1}+1} \cdots dt_{M_j-1},$$

and rescaled joint cumulant functions c_{n_j} 's are defined in (2.11-2.12).

Remark 3.2. For singleton blocks $B : |B| = n_j = 1$, we write $c_{n_j}(t_{M_{j-1}+1})$ in (3.6) and use $c_{n_j}(t_{M_{j-1}+1}) = c_{n_j}(0) = 1$ on L_π .

Next, we study $\text{Var}S_N(f)$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Var}(S_N(f)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n N^{2n}} \sum_{k^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \hat{f}(k^{(2)}/N) \times \\ \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_{n+1+j}} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_j} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_{n+1+j}} \right] \right),$$

where $k^{(1)} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $k^{(2)} = (k_{n+2}, \dots, k_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $k_{n+1} := -\sum_{i=1}^n k_i$, $k_{2n+2} := -\sum_{i=1}^n k_{n+1+i}$, and $T_{N,s}$ is defined in (2.2).

To study the covariance of the products of traces in (3.9), we rewrite it using (2.4) as

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_{n+1+j}} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_j} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N,k_{n+1+j}} \right] = \sum_{\pi}^* \prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa_{|B|}^{(N)}(k_i : i \in B),$$

where the sum is over all partitions π of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+2\}$, satisfying the condition (3.11) below, B runs through the list of all blocks of the partition π , and $|B|$ is the cardinality of a block B . The condition on π is that it is not a union of partitions of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ and $\{n+2, \dots, 2n+2\}$, in other words:

$$(3.11) \quad \text{The set } \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} \text{ is not a union of some blocks of } \pi.$$

Similarly to the $\mathbb{E}S_N(f)$ computations, define

$$(3.12) \quad L_{\pi} := \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_{2n+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} : \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_{n+1+i} = 0; \sum_{i \in B} t_i = 0 \forall B \in \pi\}.$$

Using (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Var}(S_N(f)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n N^{2n}} \sum_{k^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \hat{f}(k^{(2)}/N) \sum_{\pi}^* \prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa_{|B|}^{(N)}(k_i : i \in B) \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{\pi}^* N^{|\pi|-2n} \sum_{k^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \hat{f}(k^{(2)}/N) \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(k_i/N : i \in B).$$

Remark 3.3. As before, the sum \sum_{π}^* in (3.13) is over all partitions π of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+2\}$ satisfying the condition (3.11). The shorthand notation $c_{|B|}(t_i : i \in B)$ means that the arguments of $c_{|B|}$ are the t_i 's corresponding to $i \in B$. Since the joint cumulant functions are symmetric the order of the variables is not important.

It follows from Lemma 2.5, (ii)-(iii), that for any fixed π the summation in (3.13) is over $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{2n+2}) \in L_{\pi} \cap \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$, since k satisfies the following system of linear equations of rank $|\pi| + 1$:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1+i} k_i = 0, \\ \sum_{i \in B} k_i = 0, \quad \forall B \in \pi. \end{cases}$$

The first linear equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i = 0$ follows from the remaining $|\pi| + 1$ independent linear equations in (3.14). Therefore

$$(3.15) \quad \dim L_\pi = 2n + 2 - (|\pi| + 1) = 2n + 1 - |\pi|.$$

Proceeding as in the case of the mathematical expectation above we arrive at

Lemma 3.4.

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Var}(S_N(f)) = \sigma^2(f)N + O(1),$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \sigma^2(f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{\pi}^* \prod_{B \in \pi} \int_{L_\pi} f(t^{(1)}) f(t^{(2)}) \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(t_i : i \in B) d\lambda,$$

where the sum in (3.17) is over all partitions π of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n+2\}$, satisfying the condition (3.11), B runs through the list of all blocks of the partition π , $|B|$ is the cardinality of a block B , $t^{(1)} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $t^{(2)} = (t_{n+2}, \dots, t_{2n+1})$, and λ is the Lebesgue measure on L_π , i.e. it is the product of dt_i 's taken over all independent variables t_i from the system of linear equations

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1+i} t_i = 0, \\ \sum_{i \in B} t_i = 0, \quad \forall B \in \pi. \end{cases}$$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof uses the method of moments and is combinatorial in nature.

Proof. Fix a positive integer $m > 2$. We have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{E}(S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f))^m = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{nm}{2}} N^{mn}} \sum_{k^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \dots \sum_{k^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \dots \hat{f}(k^{(m)}/N) \times \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_{(n+1)i+j}} - \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} T_{N, k_{(n+1)i+j}} \right) \right],$$

$$k^{(1)} = (k_1, \dots, k_n), \dots, k^{(m)} = (k_{(m-1)(n+1)+1}, \dots, k_{m(n+1)-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ k_{n+1} := -\sum_{j=1}^n k_j, \quad k_{2(n+1)} := -\sum_{j=1}^n k_{n+1+j}, \dots, k_{m(n+1)} := -\sum_{j=1}^n k_{(m-1)(n+1)+j}.$$

The mathematical expectation on the r.h.s. of (4.1) can be written in terms of joint cumulants using the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. For centered random variables X_1, \dots, X_{ml} with finite moments,

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^l X_{il+j} - \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^l X_{il+j} \right) \right] = \sum_{\pi}^* \prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa(X_i : i \in B),$$

where the sum on the r.h.s. of (4.2) is over all partitions π of $\{1, \dots, ml\}$ that do not contain a partition of $\{il + 1, \dots, (i+1)l\}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, i.e. none of the sets $\{il + 1, \dots, (i+1)l\}$ can be represented as a union of some blocks of π .

Proof. It follows from the formula expressing moments in terms of cumulants (see e.g. (2.4)) that the r.h.s. of (4.2) is equal to a linear combination of $\prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa(X_i : i \in B)$, where π runs over the list of partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, ml\}$. Thus our goal is to show that a

coefficient in the linear combination is either 1 or 0 depending on whether π satisfies the condition in Lemma 4.1 or not.

If any sub-collection of π is not a partition of $\{il + 1, \dots, (i + 1)l\}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, then the coefficient in front of the product $\prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa(X_i : i \in B)$ in the linear combination is 1 since the only contribution comes from $\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^l X_{il+j} \right]$.

Finally, suppose s , $1 \leq s \leq m$, of the sets $\{il + 1, \dots, (i + 1)l\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, can be represented as unions of some blocks of π . Then the coefficient in front of $\prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa(X_i : i \in B)$ is equal to

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^s (-1)^k \frac{s!}{k!(s-k)!} = 0.$$

□

Following Lemma 4.1 we can rewrite the m -th centered moment as

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{E}(S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f))^m = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{nm}{2}} N^{mn}} \sum_{k^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \dots \sum_{k^{(m)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \dots \hat{f}(k^{(m)}/N) \sum_{\pi}^* \prod_{B \in \pi} \kappa_{|B|}^{(N)}(k_i : i \in B),$$

where, as before, $k^{(1)} = (k_1, \dots, k_n), \dots, k^{(m)} = (k_{(m-1)(n+1)+1}, \dots, k_{m(n+1)-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $k_{n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^n k_j, \dots, k_{m(n+1)} = -\sum_{j=1}^n k_{(m-1)(n+1)+j}$.

As in Section 3, we consider a linear subspace

$$(4.5) \quad L_{\pi} := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^{m(n+1)} : \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_{i(n+1)+j} = 0, \forall 0 \leq i \leq m-1; \sum_{j \in B} t_j = 0 \forall B \in \pi\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5, (ii)-(iii), that for any fixed π the summation in (4.4) is over $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{m(n+1)}) \in L_{\pi} \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^{m(n+1)}$, since k satisfies the following system of linear equations:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} k_{i(n+1)+j} = 0, \forall 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ \sum_{i \in B} k_i = 0, \forall B \in \pi. \end{cases}$$

Using (2.15), we rewrite (4.4) as

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f))^m &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{nm}{2}} N^{mn}} \sum_{k \in L_{\pi} \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^{m(n+1)}} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \dots \hat{f}(k^{(m)}/N) \sum_{\pi}^* N^{|\pi|} \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(k_i/N : i \in B) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{nm}{2}}} \sum_{\pi}^* N^{|\pi| - mn} \sum_{k \in L_{\pi} \cap \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^{m(n+1)}} \hat{f}(k^{(1)}/N) \dots \hat{f}(k^{(m)}/N) \prod_{B \in \pi} c_{|B|}(k_i/N : i \in B). \end{aligned}$$

The crucial question in the power counting analysis of the r.h.s. of (4.7) is the dimension of the vector subspace $L_{\pi} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m(n+1)}$, or, equivalently, the rank of the system of linear equations (4.6).

Denote

$$[1] := \{1, \dots, n+1\}, [2] := \{n+2, \dots, 2n+2\}, \dots, [m] = \{(m-1)(n+1)+1, \dots, m(n+1)\}.$$

Definition 4.2. For a given partition π of $\{1, \dots, mn\}$ an equivalence relation \sim_π is defined on the set $\{[1], [2], \dots, [m]\}$ in the following way:

$$(4.8) \quad [i] \sim_\pi [j]$$

if and only if there is a block B in the partition π such that $B \cap [i] \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap [j] \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 4.3. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the cardinality of each equivalence class of the equivalence relation \sim_π is at least 2.

Definition 4.4. We call a partition π optimal if the cardinality of every equivalence class of the equivalence relation \sim_π is 2. If π is not optimal, it is called sub-optimal.

Clearly, optimal partitions exist if and only if m is even. Next lemma is the main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.5. (i) Let m be an even positive integer and π be an optimal partition of $\{1, \dots, mn\}$. Then a linear subspace $L_\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{m(n+1)}$ defined in (4.5) satisfies

$$(4.9) \quad \dim L_\pi = mn + m/2 - |\pi|.$$

(ii) Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer and π be a sub-optimal partition of $\{1, \dots, mn\}$. Then

$$(4.10) \quad \dim L_\pi < mn + m/2 - |\pi|.$$

Proof. (i) If π is optimal it can be viewed as a union of $\frac{m}{2}$ partitions $\pi_{i,j}$ of $[i] \cup [j]$, $[i] \sim_\pi [j]$. Each partition $\pi_{i,j}$ corresponds to a vector subspace $L_{\pi_{i,j}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ of dimension

$$\dim L_{\pi_{i,j}} = 2n + 1 - |\pi_{i,j}|$$

(see (3.12) and (3.15).) Since L_π is the Cartesian product of $L_{\pi_{i,j}}$'s we have

$$(4.11) \quad \dim L_\pi = \sum \dim L_{\pi_{i,j}},$$

and (4.9) immediately follows.

(ii) Now let us assume that π is a sub-optimal partition. Recall that the subspace L_π is determined by the following system of linear equations

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_{i(n+1)+j} = 0, & \forall 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ \sum_{j \in B} t_j = 0, & \forall B \in \pi. \end{cases}$$

To prove (4.10) we have to show that the rank of this system is bigger than $|\pi| + \frac{m}{2}$. As before, L_π can be viewed as the Cartesian product of the subspaces corresponding to the equivalence classes. Using the additivity of dimension, we can assume without a loss of generality that π in (4.12) has only one equivalence class. We claim that in this case the rank of (4.12) is $|\pi| + m - 1 > |\pi| + \frac{m}{2}$, since $m > 2$. To show this, consider $|\pi| + m - 1$ vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{m(n+1)}$, namely $\{\chi_B, B \in \pi\} \cup \{\chi_{[i]}, 0 \leq i < m-1\}$:

$$(4.13) \quad \chi_B(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in B, \\ 0 & j \notin B, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.14) \quad \chi_{[i]}(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in [i] = \{i(n+1) + 1, \dots, (i+1)(n+1)\}, \\ 0 & j \notin [i]. \end{cases}$$

Part (ii) of Lemma 4.5 follows from

Lemma 4.6. *Let π be a sub-optimal partition of $\{1, \dots, mn\}$, $m \geq 1$, such that the equivalence relation \sim_π on $\{[1], \dots, [m]\}$ has only one equivalence class. Then the vectors*

$$(4.15) \quad \{\chi_B, B \in \pi\} \cup \{\chi_{[i]}, 0 \leq i < m - 1\}$$

are linearly independent.

Proof. The vectors $\{\chi_B, B \in \pi\}$ are linearly independent since their supports are disjoint. We show by induction that adding $\chi_{[i]}$ one by one preserves linear independence. Suppose that $\{\chi_B, B \in \pi\} \cup \{\chi_{[i]}, 0 \leq i < k - 1\}$, $k < m$, are linearly independent and $\chi_{[k]}$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{\chi_B, B \in \pi\} \cup \{\chi_{[i]}, 0 \leq i < k - 1\}$. Then a non-trivial linear combination of $\{\chi_{[i]}, 0 \leq i < k\}$ can be written as a linear combination of some of the vectors χ_B . This implies that $[1] \cup \dots \cup [k]$ contain an equivalence class of the equivalence relation \sim_π , which is a contradiction. Lemma 4.6 is proven. \square

Since L_π is the orthogonal complement of the linear span of the vectors (4.15) this implies part (ii) of Lemma 4.5. \square

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $m = 2k$ be even. Denote a subsum in (4.7) corresponding to a partition π by Σ_π . It follows from Lemma 4.5 that only the optimal partitions π give leading contribution of order $N^{m/2}$ to the r.h.s. of (4.7). For each sub-optimal π we have $\Sigma_\pi = O(N^{\frac{m-1}{2}})$.

There are exactly $(2k - 1)!!$ ways to split the set $\{[1], \dots, [2k]\}$ into pairs. By repeating the variance computations, we note that the sum of Σ_π 's over all π corresponding to any particular splitting of $\{[1], \dots, [2k]\}$ into pairs gives $\sigma^m(f)N^{\frac{m}{2}}(1 + o(1))$.

We conclude that the $2k$ -th moment of $\frac{S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}S_N(f)}}$ converges to $(2k - 1)!!$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The case of odd m is treated similarly. Specifically, one obtains that the odd moments of $\frac{S_N(f) - \mathbb{E}S_N(f)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}S_N(f)}}$ converges to 0 in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Aguirre A., Soshnikov A. and Sumpter J.: Pair Dependent Linear Statistics for $C\beta E$. *Random Matrices: Theory and Appl.*, **10**, No. 4, (2021), 2150035.
- [2] Aguirre, A., Soshnikov, A., *A note on pair dependent linear statistics with slowly growing variance.* *Theor. Math. Phys.* **205**, No. 3, (2020), 502–512.
- [3] Aguirre, A., Soshnikov, A., *Central Limit Theorem for $C\beta E$ Pair Dependent Statistics in Mesoscopic Regime.* available at [arXiv:2109.13339](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13339).
- [4] Chhaibi, R., Najnudel, J., Nikeghbali, A., *The circular unitary ensemble and the Riemann zeta function: the microscopic landscape and a new approach to ratios.* *Inventiones Mathematicae*, **207**, No. 1, (2017), 23–113.
- [5] Conrey, J. B., *L-Functions and Random Matrices.* in *Mathematics Unlimited- 2001 and Beyond*, Engquist B., Schmid, W. (eds), 331–352, 2000.
- [6] Diaconis, P., Evans, S.N., *Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices.* *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, (2001), 2615–2633.
- [7] Diaconis, P., Shahshhahi, M. *On eigenvalues of random matrices.* *J. Appl. Probab.*, **31A**, (1994), 49–62.
- [8] Dyson, F.J. *Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. III* *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, (1962), 1191–1198.
- [9] Johansson, K. *On Szego’s Asymptotic Formula for Toeplitz Determinants and Generalizations.* *Duke Math. J.* **91** (1988), 151–204.
- [10] Johansson, K. *On Random Matrices from the Compact Classical Groups.* *Ann. Math (2)* **145**, (1997), 519–545.
- [11] Hejhal, D. *On the triple correlation of the zeros of the zeta function,* *IMRN* **7**, (1994), 293–302.
- [12] Keating, J. *Random matrices and number theory: some recent themes.* In *Stochastic Processes and Random Matrices* in *Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School*, **104**, 2017.
- [13] Malyshev, V.A., Minlos, R.A., *Gibbs Random Fields. Cluster Expansions.* Springer, 1991.
- [14] Mehta, M.L.. *Random Matrices.* Elsevier Ltd. , 2004.
- [15] Mezzadri, F., Snaith, N.C. (editors), *Recent Perspectives in Random Matrix Theory and Number Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **322**, 2005.
- [16] Montgomery, H.L. *On pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function.* *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **24**, (1973), 181–193.
- [17] Montgomery, H.L. *Distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function.*, *Proc. Internat. Congr. Math.*, **1**, Vancouver, BC (1974), 379–381.
- [18] Rains, E. *High powers of random elements of compact Lie groups.* *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, **107**, (1997), 219–241.
- [19] Rudnick, Z., Sarnak, P., *Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory,* *Duke Math. J.* **81**, (1996), 269–322.

- [20] Soshnikov, A. *Level spacings distribution for large random matrices: Gaussian fluctuations*. Ann. Math (2) **148**, (1998), 573–617.
- [21] Soshnikov, A. *Central Limit Theorem for local linear statistics in classical compact groups and related combinatorial identities*. Ann. Probab. **28**, (2000), 1353–1370

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT DAVIS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1 SHIELDS AVENUE, DAVIS
CA 95616, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Email address: `soshniko@math.ucdavis.edu`