

# STABLE RATIONAL HOMOLOGY OF THE IA-AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FREE GROUPS

MAI KATADA

ABSTRACT. The rational homology of the IA-automorphism group  $\text{IA}_n$  of the free group  $F_n$  is still mysterious. We study the quotient of the rational homology of  $\text{IA}_n$  that is obtained as the image of the map induced by the abelianization map, which we call the Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$ . We obtain a representation-stable  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subquotient of the Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$ , which conjecturally coincides with the entire Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$ . In particular, we obtain a lower bound of the dimension of the Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$  for each homological degree in a stable range. Moreover, we determine the entire third Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$  for  $n \geq 9$ . We also study the Albanese homology of an analogue of  $\text{IA}_n$  to the outer automorphism group of  $F_n$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Preliminaries	9
3.	Abelian cycles in $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$	14
4.	Non-triviality of $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$	16
5.	The traceless part of $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$	21
6.	The structure of $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$	22
7.	Coalgebra structure of $H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$	27
8.	Albanese cohomology of $\text{IA}_n$	29
9.	Albanese homology of $\text{IO}_n$	30
10.	The third Albanese homology of $\text{IO}_n$	37
11.	The third Albanese homology of $\text{IA}_n$	41
12.	Problems and Perspectives	47
	Appendix A. Properties of Albanese homology and cohomology	50
	References	54

---

*Date:* July 2, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F28, 20J06.

*Key words and phrases.* IA-automorphism groups of free groups, Group homology, Johnson homomorphisms, General linear groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *IA-automorphism group*  $\mathrm{IA}_n$  of the free group  $F_n$  of rank  $n$  is the kernel of the canonical surjective map from the automorphism group  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$  of  $F_n$  to the general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Magnus [23] discovered a finite set of generators for  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ . Cohen-Pakianathan [8], Farb [12] and Kawazumi [17] independently determined the first homology group  $H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z})$ . They proved that the *Johnson homomorphism* for  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$  induces an isomorphism

$$(1.0.1) \quad \tau : H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, \bigwedge^2 H_{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

where  $H_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_1(F_n, \mathbb{Z})$ . The adjoint action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$  on  $\mathrm{IA}_n$  induces an action of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $H_i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z})$ , and the Johnson homomorphism preserves the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -action.

Krstić–McCool [20] showed that  $\mathrm{IA}_3$  is not finitely presentable and after that, Bestvina–Bux–Margalit [2] showed that  $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbb{Z})$  has infinite rank. However, it is still open whether or not  $\mathrm{IA}_n$  is finitely presentable for  $n \geq 4$ . About the second cohomology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ , Pettet [25] determined the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -subrepresentation of  $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  that is obtained by using the Johnson homomorphism. This subrepresentation is regarded as the second Albanese cohomology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ , which we will explain below. Day–Putman [10] obtained a finite set of generators of  $H_2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z})$  as  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -representations. For  $n = 3$ , Satoh [28] detected a nontrivial irreducible subrepresentation of  $H^2(\mathrm{IA}_3, \mathbb{Q})$ , which can not be detected by the Johnson homomorphism. However, the second homology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$  has not been completely determined. It is more difficult to determine higher degree homology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ .

For a group  $G$ , we consider the following quotient group of the rational homology of  $G$ , which is dual to what Church–Ellenberg–Farb [4] called the Albanese cohomology. The canonical surjection  $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$  induces a group homomorphism  $\pi_* : H_i(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q})$  on homology. Define the *Albanese homology* of  $G$  as

$$H_i^A(G, \mathbb{Q}) := \mathrm{im}(\pi_* : H_i(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q})).$$

Since we have  $H_i(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^i H_1(G, \mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\bigwedge^i H_1(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $H_1(G, \mathbb{Q})$ , it is easier to determine the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$  than the ordinary homology  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $H = H_1(F_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $U = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H)$ . Then the Johnson homomorphism induces a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphism

$$\tau_* : H_i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Therefore, we have  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphisms

$$H_i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^i U.$$

Since  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is a rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation, the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation structure on  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  extends to a rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation structure. In particular, since  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is completely reducible, any subrepresentations and quotient representations of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  can be considered as direct summands. As  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations,  $H_1^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is determined by (1.0.1) and  $H_2^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is determined by Pettet [25]. To the best of our knowledge, the Albanese homology of

$\text{IA}_n$  of degree greater than 2 is not known other than non-triviality [9] and upper bounds of dimensions [4], which we will explain later.

Church–Farb [6] introduced the notion of representation stability for a sequence

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} V_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} V_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

of rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations, where  $\phi_n : V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$  is a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism considering  $V_{n+1}$  as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation via the canonical inclusion map  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Q})$ . Note that irreducible rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations are classified by *bipartitions* with at most  $n$  parts, which are pairs of partitions with total length at most  $n$  (see Section 2.3 for details). For a bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$ , let  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}(n)$  denote the irreducible  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation corresponding to  $\underline{\lambda}$ . A sequence  $\{V_n\}$  of rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations is *representation stable* if  $\{V_n\}$  satisfies the following three conditions for sufficiently large  $n$ :

- $\phi_n : V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$  is injective,
- $\text{im } \phi_n$  spans  $V_{n+1}$  as a  $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation,
- for each bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$ , the multiplicity of  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}(n)$  in  $V_n$  is constant.

For example,  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^i U$  is representation stable for each  $i \geq 0$ .

We consider an analogue of  $\text{IA}_n$  to the outer automorphism group  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  of  $F_n$ . Let  $\text{IO}_n$  denote the kernel of the canonical surjective map from  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  to  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Kawazumi [17] determined  $H_1^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , and Pettet [25] determined  $H_2^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations. However, as in the case of  $\text{IA}_n$ , the Albanese homology of  $\text{IO}_n$  of degree greater than 2 is not known. Moreover, even non-triviality of the homology of  $\text{IO}_n$  of degree greater than 2 does not seem to be known.

The aim of this paper is to determine the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations. We use *abelian cycles* in  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which are induced by  $i$ -tuples of mutually commuting elements of  $\text{IA}_n$ . Then we obtain a representation-stable  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which conjecturally coincides with the entire  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . In particular, we obtain a lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ . We also obtain non-triviality and a lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ . Moreover, we determine  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $n \geq 9$ .

**1.1. Non-triviality of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Let  $i \geq 1$ . First, we observe that  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is non-trivial for  $n \geq i + 1$ . We detect a non-trivial representation-stable quotient of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

For  $g \geq 1$ ,  $\text{IA}_{2g}$  includes the *Torelli group*  $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$  of a connected oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component. Church–Farb [5] used an  $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphism

$$\tau_i : H_i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i+2} H,$$

which was introduced by Johnson [15], to detect a non-trivial representation-stable subrepresentation in the image of  $\tau_i$ . The following theorem can be regarded as an analogue of this result of Church–Farb.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 4.2). *For  $n \geq i + 1$ , we have a surjective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) \cong \left( \bigwedge^{i+1} H \right) \otimes H^*,$$

where  $H^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Cohen–Heap–Pettet [9] obtained non-trivial subspaces of  $H_A^i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  whose dimensions are bounded above by a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $2i$ . However, they did not consider the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -action on  $H_A^i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , and their non-trivial subspaces are not  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentations. Theorem 1.1 gives another proof of non-triviality of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Corollary 1.2** (Cohen–Heap–Pettet [9]). *For  $n \geq i + 1$ ,  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is non-trivial.*

To observe the representation stability of the subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_*, \mathbb{Q})$  that is detected in Theorem 1.1, we write  $H$  as  $H_n$  and  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $H_n^*$ . The abelian cycles and the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphisms that we use to prove Theorem 1.1 are compatible with the canonical inclusion maps

$$\mathrm{IA}_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{IA}_{n+1}, \quad H_n \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}, \quad H_n^* \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}^*,$$

which implies the representation stability of the subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_*, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let

$$\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q}) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}), \quad \mathrm{IA}_{\infty} = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{IA}_n,$$

and

$$\mathbf{H} = \varinjlim_n H_n, \quad \mathbf{H}^* = \varinjlim_n (H_n)^*.$$

We can rephrase the representation stability of the subrepresentation in the sense of  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations as follows.

**Corollary 1.3** (Corollary 4.4). *We have a surjective  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_{\infty}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^{i+1} \mathbf{H} \right) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*.$$

In particular, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_{\infty}, \mathbb{Q})) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i(\mathrm{IA}_{\infty}, \mathbb{Q})) = \infty$ .

**1.2. Conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Next, we detect a subquotient representation of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is conjecturally equal to the entire  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

For  $i \geq 1$ , let

$$U_i = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) \cong \left( \bigwedge^{i+1} H \right) \otimes H^*.$$

Note that we have  $U = U_1$  and that  $U_i$  vanishes for  $n \leq i$ . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$U_i = U_i^{\mathrm{tree}} \oplus U_i^{\mathrm{wheel}},$$

where  $U_i^{\mathrm{tree}}$  denotes the subrepresentation of  $U_i$  that is isomorphic to  $V_{1^{i+1}, 1}$ , and where  $U_i^{\mathrm{wheel}}$  denotes the other subrepresentation that is isomorphic to  $V_{1^i, 0}$  for  $n \geq i + 1$ .

For the graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $U_* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} U_i$ , let  $S^*(U_*) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^*(U_*)_i$  denote the graded-symmetric algebra of  $U_*$ . We define the *traceless part*  $W_* =$

$\tilde{S}^*(U_*)$  of  $S^*(U_*)$ , which consists of elements that vanish under any contraction maps between distinct factors of  $S^*(U_*)$  (see Section 2.6 for details). We can also construct  $W_*$  by using an operad  $\mathcal{C}om$  of non-unital commutative algebras as we will see in Section 12.2, where we will observe some relation with  $W_*$  and [18].

We construct a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$F_i : H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S^*(U_*)_i$$

by combining two kinds of contraction maps. Then, we obtain  $W_i$  as a subquotient representation of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is our main result.

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 6.1). *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have*

$$F_i(H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \supset W_i.$$

Theorem 1.4 implies that  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  includes a representation-stable subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $W_i$ .

*Remark 1.5.* We can decompose  $W_i$  into direct summands

$$W_i = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i} W(\mu, \nu),$$

where  $P_i$  denotes the set of pairs of partitions  $(\mu, \nu)$  such that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are partitions of non-negative integers whose sum is  $i$ . Recently Lindell [22] studied the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ . Lindell's result [22, Theorem 1.5] implies that for each pair of partitions  $(\lambda, \mu)$  under some conditions,  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  contains an  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentation  $W_i^{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}}(\lambda, \mu)$  corresponding to  $(\lambda, \mu)$ .

By (1.0.1), we have  $H_1^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_1$  for  $n \geq 3$ . By [25], we have  $H_2^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_2$  for  $n \geq 6$ . For  $i = 3$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6** (Theorem 11.1). *For  $n \geq 9$ , we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$F_3 : H_3^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_3.$$

It seems natural to make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.7** (Conjecture 6.2). *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$F_i : H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_i.$$

**1.3. Coalgebra structure of  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** For any group  $G$ , it is well known that  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  has a coalgebra structure. Then  $H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is a subcoalgebra of  $H_*(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q})$ . (See Section 7 for details.) We also have a coalgebra structure on  $S^*(U_*)$  (see Section 2.6). Then the graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism  $F_* = \bigoplus_i F_i$  is compatible with comultiplications.

**Proposition 1.8** (Proposition 7.1). *The graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$F_* = \bigoplus_i F_i : H_*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S^*(U_*)$$

*is a coalgebra map.*

For a coalgebra  $A$ , let  $\text{Prim}(A)$  denote the primitive part of  $A$ . We have

$$\text{Prim}(S^*(U_*)) = U_* \subset W_*.$$

The  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism  $F_*$  restricts to a graded  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$F_* : \text{Prim}(H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \text{Prim}(S^*(U_*)) = U_*.$$

Therefore, it is natural to make the following conjecture, which implies that Theorem 1.1 determines the primitive part of  $H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\text{Prim}(H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))_i$  denote the degree  $i$  part of  $\text{Prim}(H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$ .

**Conjecture 1.9** (Conjecture 7.2). *For  $n \geq 3i$ , the  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$F_i : \text{Prim}(H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))_i \rightarrow U_i$$

*is an isomorphism.*

**1.4. Lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Church–Ellenberg–Farb [4] introduced the theory of FI-modules and studied the representation stability of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . They obtained the following theorem about the stability and an upper bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Theorem 1.10** (Church–Ellenberg–Farb [4]). *For each  $i \geq 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $P_i(T)$  of degree  $\leq 3i$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) = P_i(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .*

We obtain a lower bound of  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$ . As a consequence of Theorem 1.4, or directly, it can be shown that the *traceless part*  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}$  of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is contained in  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , where  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}} \subset H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is the subrepresentation that vanishes under any contraction maps. (See Section 5.)

**Theorem 1.11** (Theorem 5.1). *We have  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}} \subset H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $n \geq 3i$ .*

We have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}) = P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ , where  $P'_i(T)$  is a polynomial of degree  $3i$ . By Theorems 1.10 and 1.11, we obtain the following theorem about the dimension of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Theorem 1.12** (Theorem 5.2). *We have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \geq P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ . Moreover, there exists a polynomial  $P_i(T)$  of degree exactly  $3i$  such that we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) = P_i(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .*

**1.5. Non-triviality of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** In a way similar to  $\text{IA}_n$ , by using abelian cycles, we detect a non-trivial quotient representation of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Note that unlike the case of  $\text{IA}_n$ , there is no canonical inclusion map  $\text{IO}_n \hookrightarrow \text{IO}_{n+1}$ , so we do not consider the representation stability for  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_*, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Theorem 1.13** (Theorem 9.3). *Let  $i \geq 2$ . For  $n \geq i + 2 + \frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}$ , we have a surjective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \bigwedge^i H.$$

*For sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ , we have a surjective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H).$$

By Pettet [25], we have non-triviality of  $H_2^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . We obtain non-triviality of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $i \geq 3$ .

**Corollary 1.14.** *Let  $i \geq 2$ . For  $n \geq i + 2 + \frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}$ ,  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is non-trivial.*

**1.6. Lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Unlike the case of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ , the stability or an upper bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is not known. We detect a lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $U^O = U_1^{\mathrm{tree}}$ . We have an isomorphism  $H_1(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong U^O$  by [17]. The canonical projection  $\pi : \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_n$  induces a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism  $\pi_* : H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . By using Theorem 1.11, we obtain a lower bound of the dimension of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Theorem 1.15** (Theorem 9.5). *For  $n \geq 3i$ ,  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  contains a subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{tl}}$ . In particular, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \geq P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ .*

**1.7. Conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here, we propose a conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and the relation between  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $U_1^O = U^O$  and  $U_i^O = U_i$  for  $i \geq 2$ . For the graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $U_*^O = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} U_i^O$ , let  $S^*(U_*^O)$  denote the graded-symmetric algebra of  $U_*^O$ . Let  $W_*^O = \tilde{S}^*(U_*^O)$  denote the traceless part of  $S^*(U_*^O)$ . Then we can decompose  $W_i^O$  into direct summands

$$W_i^O = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^O} W(\mu, \nu),$$

where  $P_i^O$  denotes the subset of  $P_i$  consisting of pairs of partitions  $(\mu, \nu)$  such that  $\nu$  has no part of size 1.

By [17], we have  $H_1^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_1^O$  for  $n \geq 3$ . By [25], we have  $H_2^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_2^O$  for  $n \geq 6$ . For  $i = 3$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.16** (Theorem 10.1). *For  $n \geq 9$ , we have*

$$H_3^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_3^O$$

as  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.

It seems natural to make the following conjecture, which is an analogue of Conjecture 1.7.

**Conjecture 1.17** (Conjecture 9.7). *For sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ , we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_i^O.$$

On the relation between  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Inn}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_n \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$  is the inner automorphism group of  $F_n$ , leads to the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.18** (Proposition 9.8). *For  $n \geq 2$ , we have an injective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

By Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.18, we obtain the following proposition, which partially ensures Conjecture 1.17.

**Proposition 1.19** (Proposition 9.10). *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have an injective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

It seems natural to make the following conjecture, which holds for homological degree  $\leq 3$ .

**Conjecture 1.20** (Conjecture 9.11). *We stably have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where “stably” means the condition that  $n$  is sufficiently large with respect to the total homological degree.

Now we have the following relation between conjectures about the structures of  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 1.21** (Proposition 9.13). *If two of the following hold, then so does the third:*

- *A weaker version of Conjecture 1.7 that we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_i$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ ,*
- *Conjecture 1.17,*
- *Conjecture 1.20.*

**1.8. Outline.** The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations and the notion of traceless parts. In Section 3, we recall the notion of abelian cycles. We construct an abelian cycle for  $\mathrm{IA}_n$  corresponding to each pair of partitions. In Section 4, we obtain Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we show Theorem 1.11, which induces Theorem 1.12 about the dimension of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . In Section 6, we study the structure of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 7, we recall a coalgebra structure on group homology and study the coalgebra structure of  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . In Section 8, we study the algebra structure of the Albanese cohomology  $H_A^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . In Section 9, we study the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . We obtain Theorems 1.13 and 1.15. We also study the relation between  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . In Sections 10 and 11, we obtain  $H_3^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_3^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for  $n \geq 9$ . In Section 12, we state some problems. In Appendix A, we give a brief summary of some properties of Albanese homology and cohomology of groups.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank her supervisor Kazuo Habiro for valuable advice. She also thanks Nariya Kawazumi and Christine Vespa for comments about topics around homology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ . This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22J14812.



## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall representation theory of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  and of  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ , and introduce the notion of traceless tensor products and traceless parts of graded-symmetric algebras.

**2.1. Notations and conventions.** For a non-negative integer  $j$ , let  $[j]$  denote the set  $\{1, \dots, j\}$ .

Let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Let  $H = H(n) = H_1(F_n, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Q}e_j$ , where we fix the basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  for  $H$ . We have  $H^* = H(n)^* = H^1(F_n, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Q}e_j^*$ .

In what follows, we consider representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(H, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which are the same as  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]$ -representations. Sometimes we simply write “representations” to mean  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.

In computations, we use the following matrices in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the identity matrix. For distinct elements  $k, l \in [n]$ , let  $E_{k,l} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the matrix that maps  $e_l$  to  $e_k + e_l$  and fixes  $e_a$  for  $a \neq l$ . Then  $E_{k,l}$  maps the dual basis  $e_k^*$  to  $e_k^* - e_l^*$  and fixes  $e_a^*$  for  $a \neq k$ . Let  $P_{k,l} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the matrix that exchanges  $e_k$  and  $e_l$  and fixes  $e_a$  for  $a \neq k, l$ . For  $k = l$ , we have  $P_{k,k} = \mathrm{id}$ .

**2.2. Irreducible polynomial representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we recall several notions from representation theory. See [13] for details.

Let  $n \geq 1$ . A *partition*  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with at most  $n$  parts is a sequence of non-negative integers such that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Let  $l(\lambda) = \max(\{0\} \cup \{i \mid \lambda_i > 0\})$  denote the *length* of  $\lambda$  and  $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{l(\lambda)}$  the *size* of  $\lambda$ . We write  $\lambda \vdash |\lambda|$ . A *Young diagram* for a partition  $\lambda$  is a diagram with  $\lambda_i$  boxes in the  $i$ -th row such that the rows of boxes are left-aligned. A *tableau* on a Young diagram is a numbering of the boxes by integers in  $[|\lambda|]$ . A tableau is *standard* if the numbering of each row and each column is increasing. The *canonical tableau* on a Young diagram is a standard tableau whose numbering starts from the first row from left to right and followed by the second row from left to right and so on.

Let

$$a_\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in R} \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}], \quad b_\lambda = \sum_{\tau \in C} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau)\tau \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}],$$

where  $R$  (resp.  $C$ ) is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}$  preserving rows (resp. columns) of the canonical tableau on the Young diagram corresponding to  $\lambda$ . The *Young symmetrizer*  $c_\lambda$  is defined by

$$c_\lambda = b_\lambda a_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}].$$

Let  $S^\lambda = \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}]c_\lambda$  denote the *Specht module* corresponding to the partition  $\lambda$ , which is an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}$ .

We call a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $V$  *polynomial* if after choosing a basis for  $V$ , the  $(\dim V)^2$  coordinate functions of the action  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  are polynomial

functions of the  $n^2$  variables. Consider  $H \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$  as the standard representation of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . For a partition  $\lambda$ , let

$$V_\lambda = H^{\otimes |\lambda|} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}]} S^\lambda.$$

If  $\lambda$  has at most  $n$  parts, then  $V_\lambda$  is an irreducible polynomial  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation, and otherwise, we have  $V_\lambda = 0$ . For a non-negative integer  $p$ , by the Schur–Weyl duality, we have a decomposition of  $H^{\otimes p}$  as  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathfrak{S}_p$ -modules

$$H^{\otimes p} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash p \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts}} V_\lambda \otimes S^\lambda.$$

It is well known that irreducible polynomial representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  are classified by partitions with at most  $n$  parts, that is, any irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation is isomorphic to  $V_\lambda$  for a partition  $\lambda$  with at most  $n$  parts.

We have the following irreducible decomposition of the tensor product of two irreducible polynomial  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations  $V_\lambda$  and  $V_\mu$

$$V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu = \bigoplus_{\nu} V_\nu^{\oplus N_{\lambda\mu}^\nu},$$

where  $N_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$  is the Littlewood–Richardson coefficient.

**2.3. Irreducible rational representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** We call a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $V$  *rational* if after choosing a basis for  $V$ , the  $(\dim V)^2$  coordinate functions of the action  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  are rational functions of the  $n^2$  variables. Here we recall irreducible rational representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which generalizes irreducible polynomial representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . See [13, 19, 24] for details.

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers. For a pair  $(k, l) \in [p] \times [q]$ , the *contraction map*

$$c_{k,l} : H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q} \rightarrow H^{\otimes p-1} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q-1}$$

is defined for  $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p \in H^{\otimes p}$  and  $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_q \in (H^*)^{\otimes q}$  by

$$c_{k,l}((v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p) \otimes (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_q)) = \langle v_k, f_l \rangle (v_1 \otimes \cdots \hat{v}_k \cdots \otimes v_p) \otimes (f_1 \otimes \cdots \hat{f}_l \cdots \otimes f_q),$$

where  $\langle -, - \rangle : H \otimes H^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  denotes the dual pairing, and where  $\hat{v}_k$  (resp.  $\hat{f}_l$ ) denotes the omission of  $v_k$  (resp.  $f_l$ ).

For  $p, q \geq 0$ , let  $T_{p,q}$  denote the *traceless part* of  $H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$ , which is defined by

$$T_{p,q} = \bigcap_{(k,l) \in [p] \times [q]} \ker c_{k,l} \subset H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}.$$

Note that we have  $T_{0,q} = (H^*)^{\otimes q}$  and  $T_{p,0} = H^{\otimes p}$ .

A *bipartition*  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  with at most  $n$  parts is a pair of two partitions  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  such that  $l(\underline{\lambda}) = l(\lambda^+) + l(\lambda^-) \leq n$ . The *size*  $|\underline{\lambda}|$  of  $\underline{\lambda}$  is defined by  $|\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-|$ .

For a bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$ , set  $p = |\lambda^+|$  and  $q = |\lambda^-|$ . Let

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} = T_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q]} (S^{\lambda^+} \otimes S^{\lambda^-}).$$

If  $\underline{\lambda}$  has at most  $n$  parts, then  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  is an irreducible rational representation, and otherwise, we have  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} = 0$ . We have the following decomposition of  $T_{p,q}$  as a

$\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q)$ -modules, which generalizes the Schur–Weyl duality for  $H^{\otimes p}$

$$(2.3.1) \quad T_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\underline{\lambda}: \text{bipartition with at most } n \text{ parts} \\ |\lambda^+|=p, |\lambda^-|=q}} V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes (S^{\lambda^+} \otimes S^{\lambda^-}).$$

(See [19, Theorem 1.1].) It is well known that irreducible rational representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  are classified by bipartitions with at most  $n$  parts, that is, any irreducible rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation is isomorphic to  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  for a bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$  with at most  $n$  parts. (See also [24] for the correspondence between irreducible rational representations and bipartitions.)

For a bipartition  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$  with at most  $n$  parts, we have  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} = V_{\underline{\mu}} \otimes \det^k$ , where  $\underline{\mu}$  is a partition and  $k$  is an integer satisfying

$$(\lambda_1^+, \dots, \lambda_{l(\lambda^+)}^+, 0, \dots, 0, -\lambda_{l(\lambda^-)}^-, \dots, -\lambda_1^-) = (\mu_1 + k, \dots, \mu_n + k),$$

and where  $\det$  denotes the 1-dimensional determinant representation.

The *dual* of  $\underline{\lambda}$  is defined by  $\underline{\lambda}^* = (\lambda^-, \lambda^+)$ . Note that we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism  $(V_{\underline{\lambda}})^* \cong V_{\underline{\lambda}^*}$ .

For two bipartitions  $\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}$  such that  $n \geq l(\underline{\lambda}) + l(\underline{\mu})$ , we have the following irreducible decomposition of the tensor product of  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  and  $V_{\underline{\mu}}$

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\mu}} = \bigoplus_{\underline{\nu}} V_{\underline{\nu}}^{\oplus N_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\mu}}^{\underline{\nu}}},$$

where  $N_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\mu}}^{\underline{\nu}} = \sum_{\alpha\beta\theta\delta} (\sum_{\kappa} N_{\kappa\alpha}^{\lambda^+} N_{\kappa\beta}^{\mu^-}) (\sum_{\epsilon} N_{\epsilon\theta}^{\lambda^-} N_{\epsilon\delta}^{\mu^+}) N_{\alpha\delta}^{\nu^+} N_{\beta\theta}^{\nu^-}$  (see [19] for details).

**2.4. Generator of the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$ .** Here we give a generator of the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$  of  $H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$ .

We have the following explicit highest weight vectors of  $T_{p,q}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (Theorems 2.7 and 2.11 of [1]). *Let  $p, q \geq 0$ . For a bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$  with at most  $n$  parts such that  $|\lambda^+| = p$  and  $|\lambda^-| = q$ , let*

$$e(\underline{\lambda}) = (e_1^{\otimes \lambda_1^+} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{l(\lambda^+)}^{\otimes \lambda_{l(\lambda^+)}^+}) \otimes ((e_n^*)^{\otimes \lambda_1^-} \otimes \dots \otimes (e_{n-l(\lambda^-)+1}^*)^{\otimes \lambda_{l(\lambda^-)}^-}) \in H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}.$$

Let  $St_{\lambda^+}$  (resp.  $St_{\lambda^-}$ ) denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{S}_q$ ) consisting of permutations which send the canonical tableau to standard tableaux on the Young diagram corresponding to  $\lambda^+$  (resp.  $\lambda^-$ ). Then the set

$$\{(\pi b_{\lambda^+} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho b_{\lambda^-})e(\underline{\lambda}) \mid |\lambda^+| = p, |\lambda^-| = q, \pi \in St_{\lambda^+}, \rho \in St_{\lambda^-}\}$$

is a basis for the space of all highest weight vectors of  $T_{p,q}$ .

In particular, for each  $\underline{\lambda}$ ,  $(\pi b_{\lambda^+} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho b_{\lambda^-})e(\underline{\lambda})$  generates an irreducible subrepresentation of  $T_{p,q}$  which is isomorphic to  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n \geq p + q$ . Then the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$  of  $H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$  is generated by*

$$e_{p,q} = e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_p \otimes e_n^* \otimes \dots \otimes e_{n-q+1}^* \in H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$$

as a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation.

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of [22, Lemma 2.1]. By Lemma 2.1, the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$  is generated by

$$\{(\pi b_{\lambda^+} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \rho b_{\lambda^-})e(\underline{\lambda}) \mid |\lambda^+| = p, |\lambda^-| = q, \pi \in St_{\lambda^+}, \rho \in St_{\lambda^-}\}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$e_J := e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_p} \otimes e_{j_n}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_{n-q+1}}^* \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]e_{p,q}$$

for  $j_1, \dots, j_p \in [p], j_n, \dots, j_{n-q+1} \in \{n, \dots, n-q+1\}$ .

Let

$$K_p = \{k \in [p] \mid e_{j_k} = e_{j_l} \text{ for some } l < k\}$$

and

$$K'_q = \{k \in [q] \mid e_{j_{n-k+1}}^* = e_{j_{n-l+1}}^* \text{ for some } l < k\}.$$

We can reorder the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $H$  by using permutation matrices in such a way that  $e_{j_k} = e_k$  for  $k \in [p] \setminus K_p$ , that is, we may assume that  $e_J$  coincides with  $e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_p \otimes e_{j_n}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_{n-q+1}}^*$  except for tensor factors where  $e_J$  has repeating elements. We can also assume that  $e_J$  coincides with  $e_{p,q}$  except for tensor factors where  $e_J$  has repeating elements by reordering the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  again in such a way that  $e_{j_{n-k+1}}^* = e_{n-k+1}^*$  for  $k \in [q] \setminus K'_q$ .

Now, we have to check that  $e_J \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]e_{p,q}$ . Define

$$E_J := \prod_{k \in K_p} (E_{j_k, k} - \text{id}) \prod_{k \in K'_q} (\text{id} - E_{n-k+1, j_{n-k+1}}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})].$$

Then we have

$$e_J = E_J(e_{p,q}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]e_{p,q},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $n \geq p+q$ . Let  $X$  be a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation with a projection  $\pi : T_{p,q} \rightarrow X$ . Then  $X$  is generated by  $\pi(e_{p,q})$  as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation.*

**2.5. Traceless tensor products.** Here we define traceless tensor products of rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.

By Lemma 2.1, we have a subrepresentation  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} \subset H^{\otimes |\lambda^+|} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes |\lambda^-|}$  which is generated by  $(b_{\lambda^+} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes b_{\lambda^-})e(\underline{\lambda})$  for each bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$ .

Let  $\underline{\lambda}$  and  $\underline{\mu}$  be two bipartitions. Let  $p = |\lambda^+|, q = |\lambda^-|, r = |\mu^+|$  and  $s = |\mu^-|$ . Define the *traceless tensor product*  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} \tilde{\otimes} V_{\underline{\mu}}$  of  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  and  $V_{\underline{\mu}}$  as

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} \tilde{\otimes} V_{\underline{\mu}} = (V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\mu}}) \cap T_{p+r, q+s} \subset H^{\otimes (p+r)} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes (q+s)}.$$

In other words,  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} \tilde{\otimes} V_{\underline{\mu}}$  is a subrepresentation of  $V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\mu}}$  which vanishes under any contraction maps. Then we have for  $n \geq l(\underline{\lambda}) + l(\underline{\mu})$ ,

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} \tilde{\otimes} V_{\underline{\mu}} \cong \bigoplus_{|\underline{\nu}| = |\underline{\lambda}| + |\underline{\mu}|} V_{\underline{\nu}}^{\oplus N_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}^{\underline{\nu}}} \subset \bigoplus_{\underline{\nu}} V_{\underline{\nu}}^{\oplus N_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}^{\underline{\nu}}} \cong V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\mu}}.$$

Let  $M$  be a rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation. For each bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$ , define a vector space

$$M_{\underline{\lambda}} = \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})}(V_{\underline{\lambda}}, M).$$

Since the category of rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations is semisimple, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\iota_M : M \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}} V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes M_{\underline{\lambda}}.$$

For two rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations  $M$  and  $N$ , we have

$$\iota_M \otimes \iota_N : M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\cong} \left( \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}} V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes M_{\underline{\lambda}} \right) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{\underline{\mu}} V_{\underline{\mu}} \otimes N_{\underline{\mu}} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}} (V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\underline{\mu}}) \otimes (M_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes N_{\underline{\mu}}).$$

Define the *traceless tensor product*  $M \tilde{\otimes} N$  of  $M$  and  $N$  as

$$M \tilde{\otimes} N = (\iota_M \otimes \iota_N)^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}} (V_{\underline{\lambda}} \tilde{\otimes} V_{\underline{\mu}}) \otimes (M_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes N_{\underline{\mu}}) \right) \subset M \otimes N.$$

Let  $M^{\tilde{\otimes} 0} = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $M^{\tilde{\otimes} 1} = M$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , we define  $M^{\tilde{\otimes} n} = M^{\tilde{\otimes} n-1} \tilde{\otimes} M \subset M^{\otimes n}$  iteratively, and define the *traceless part*  $\tilde{T}^* M$  of the tensor algebra  $T^* M$  as

$$\tilde{T}^* M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M^{\tilde{\otimes} n} \subset T^* M.$$

Let  $\bigwedge^* M$  denote the exterior algebra of  $M$  and  $\mathrm{Sym}^* M$  the symmetric algebra of  $M$ . We have canonical projections  $T^* M \rightarrow \bigwedge^* M$  and  $T^* M \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^* M$ . We define the *traceless part*  $\tilde{\bigwedge}^* M$  of  $\bigwedge^* M$  as the image of  $\tilde{T}^* M$  under the above projection, and the *traceless part*  $\tilde{\mathrm{Sym}}^* M$  of  $\mathrm{Sym}^* M$  in a similar way.

**2.6. Traceless parts of graded-symmetric algebras.** Let  $M_* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} M_i$  be a graded rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation. Let  $S^*(M_*)$  denote the graded-symmetric algebra of  $M_*$ . That is, we have the following graded-commutativity

$$yx = (-1)^{ij} xy$$

for  $x \in M_i$  and  $y \in M_j$ . Then we have  $S^k(M_i) = \mathrm{Sym}^k(M_i)$  for even  $i$  and  $S^k(M_i) = \bigwedge^k(M_i)$  for odd  $i$ . We have a canonical projection  $T^* M_* \rightarrow S^*(M_*)$ . Define the *traceless part*  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \tilde{S}^*(M_*)_i$  of the graded-symmetric algebra  $S^*(M_*)$  as the image of  $\tilde{T}^* M_*$  under the projection. Then  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*)$  is a graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation satisfying

$$\tilde{S}^*(M_*)_i = \bigoplus_{k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + pk_p = i} \left( \tilde{S}^{k_1} M_1 \right) \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} \left( \tilde{S}^{k_p} M_p \right).$$

The graded-symmetric algebra  $S^*(M_*)$  has a coalgebra structure defined as follows. For an element  $x = x_1 \cdots x_k \in S^*(M_*)$ , where  $x_j \in M_{i_j}$ , and for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ , let  $\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma; x) \in \{1, -1\}$  denote the sign satisfying

$$x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)} = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma; x) x_1 \cdots x_k.$$

Then the comultiplication  $\Delta$  is defined by

$$\Delta(x_1 \cdots x_k) = \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p, k-p)} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma; x) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(p)} \otimes x_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)},$$

where  $\text{Sh}(p, k-p) \subset \mathfrak{S}_k$  denotes the set of  $(p, k-p)$ -shuffles. Then we can check that the coalgebra structure of  $S^*(M_*)$  induces a subcoalgebra structure of the traceless part  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*)$ . We can also check that the primitive part of  $S^*(M_*)$  is  $M_*$ .

*Remark 2.4.* The traceless part  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*)$  does not inherit the algebra structure of  $S^*(M_*)$ . However, we can consider an algebra structure on  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*)$  in the symmetric monoidal category that we introduce below. Let  $\mathbf{Rep}^{\text{rat}}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}))$  denote the category of rational  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations and  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphisms. The traceless tensor product  $\tilde{\otimes}$  and the symmetry  $\tilde{\tau}_{V,W} : V \tilde{\otimes} W \rightarrow W \tilde{\otimes} V$  which is the restriction of the usual symmetry  $\tau_{V,W} : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \otimes V$  form a symmetric monoidal structure  $(\mathbf{Rep}^{\text{rat}}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})), \tilde{\otimes}, \tilde{\tau})$ . Then  $\tilde{S}^*(M_*)$  is a bialgebra in  $(\mathbf{Rep}^{\text{rat}}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})), \tilde{\otimes}, \tilde{\tau})$ .

**2.7.  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.** Let  $n \geq 0$ . We have an inclusion  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Q})$  sending  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  to  $A \oplus 1 \in \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q}) = \varinjlim_n \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Here we recall representation theory of  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ . See [27] for details.

We have a canonical inclusion  $H(n) \hookrightarrow H(n+1)$  sending the basis vector  $e_j$  to  $e_j$  for  $j \in [n]$ . We also have an inclusion  $H(n)^* \hookrightarrow H(n+1)^*$  sending the dual basis vector  $e_j^*$  to  $e_j^*$  for  $j \in [n]$ . Let  $\mathbf{H} = \varinjlim_n H(n) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H(n)$ , and  $\mathbf{H}^* = \varinjlim_n (H(n)^*) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (H(n)^*)$ .

The group  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  acts on the tensor product  $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathbf{H}^*)^{\otimes q}$  for any  $p, q \geq 0$ . We call a  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation *algebraic* if it is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of tensor products  $\mathbf{H}^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathbf{H}^*)^{\otimes q}$  for  $p, q \geq 0$ . Note that the category of algebraic  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations is not semisimple. For example, the contraction map  $\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  does not split.

We consider the contraction maps  $c_{k,l}$  and the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$  for  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  as in the case of  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . For any bipartition  $\underline{\lambda}$  such that  $|\lambda^+| = p$  and  $|\lambda^-| = q$ , define a  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  as

$$V_{\underline{\lambda}} = T_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q]} (S^{\lambda^+} \otimes S^{\lambda^-}).$$

Then as in (2.3.1), we have the following decomposition of the traceless part  $T_{p,q}$  as  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations

$$T_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\underline{\lambda}=(\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \\ |\lambda^+|=p, |\lambda^-|=q}} V_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes (S^{\lambda^+} \otimes S^{\lambda^-}).$$

Moreover, as in the case of  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , it is known that irreducible algebraic representations of  $\text{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  are classified by bipartitions. (See Proposition 3.14 in [27].)

### 3. ABELIAN CYCLES IN $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$

Here we recall the notion of abelian cycles and construct abelian cycles in  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**3.1. Definition of abelian cycles.** Let  $i \geq 1$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^i \mathbb{Z}z_k$ . Let  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i)$  be an  $i$ -tuple of mutually commuting elements of  $\text{IA}_n$ . Then we have a group homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}^i \rightarrow \text{IA}_n$  mapping  $z_k$  to  $\phi_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq i$ , which induces a group homomorphism

$$H_i(\mathbb{Z}^i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We have  $H_i(\mathbb{Z}^i, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i(T^i, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $T^i$  is the  $i$ -dimensional torus. Let  $A(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i) \in H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the image of the fundamental class of  $H_i(T^i, \mathbb{Q})$  and call it the *abelian cycle* determined by  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i)$ .

**3.2. Abelian cycles in  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Magnus's set of generators of  $\text{IA}_n$  is

$$\{g_{a,b} \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\} \cup \{f_{a,b,c} \mid 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, a < b, a \neq c \neq b\},$$

where  $g_{a,b}$  and  $f_{a,b,c}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{a,b}(x_b) &= x_a x_b x_a^{-1}, & g_{a,b}(x_d) &= x_d \text{ for } d \neq b, \\ f_{a,b,c}(x_c) &= x_c [x_a, x_b], & f_{a,b,c}(x_d) &= x_d \text{ for } d \neq c. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k > l$ , let

$$h_{k,l} := g_{k,l} g_{k,l+1} \cdots g_{k,k-1} \in \text{IA}_n.$$

Let  $\text{Aut}(F_n)(k, l)$  denote the image of the canonical injective map

$$\text{Aut}(F_{k-l}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n), \quad x_j \mapsto x_{j+l-1}.$$

Since  $h_{k,l}$  fixes  $x_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, l-1, k, \dots, n\}$  and maps  $x_j$  to  $x_k x_j x_k^{-1}$  for  $j \in \{l, l+1, \dots, k-1\}$ , it follows that  $h_{k,l}$  commutes with any element of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)(k, l)$ .

For positive integers  $a$  and  $r$ , set

$$\mathbf{g}_{r,a} = (g_{a+1,a} = h_{a+1,a}, h_{a+2,a}, \dots, h_{a+r,a})$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_{r,a} = (f_{a,a+1,a+2}, h_{a+3,a}, h_{a+4,a}, \dots, h_{a+r+1,a}).$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $n \geq a + r$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_{r,a}$  is an  $r$ -tuple of mutually commuting elements. For  $n \geq a + r + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_{r,a}$  is an  $r$ -tuple of mutually commuting elements.*

*Proof.* For  $\mathbf{g}_{r,a}$ , it suffices to check that  $h_{a+i,a}$  and  $h_{a+j,a}$  commute for  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ . Since  $h_{a+j,a}$  commutes with any elements of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)(a+j, a)$  and since we have  $h_{a+i,a} \in \text{Aut}(F_n)(a+j, a)$ , it follows that  $h_{a+j,a}$  commutes with  $h_{a+i,a}$ .

We can also check that  $\mathbf{f}_{r,a}$  is an  $r$ -tuple of mutually commuting elements by using the fact that  $f_{a,a+1,a+2} \in \text{Aut}(F_n)(a+j, a)$  for any  $3 \leq j \leq r+1$ .  $\square$

Let  $i \geq 0$ . We call  $(\mu, \nu)$  a *pair of partitions of total size  $i$* , denoted by  $(\mu, \nu) \vdash i$ , if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are partitions with  $|\mu| + |\nu| = i$ . (Note that pairs of partitions are the same as bipartitions, but we regard them as different notions.) For  $n \geq i + 2l(\mu) + l(\nu)$ , define an  $i$ -tuple  $\mathbf{h}_{(\mu, \nu)}$  of elements of  $\text{IA}_n$  by

$$\mathbf{h}_{(\mu, \nu)} = (\mathbf{f}_{\mu_1, s(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{\mu_l(\mu), s(l(\mu))}, \mathbf{g}_{\nu_1, t(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{\nu_l(\nu), t(l(\nu))}),$$

where

$$s(j) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} (\mu_p + 2), \quad t(k) = 1 + |\mu| + 2l(\mu) + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} (\nu_p + 1).$$

Then we can check that  $\mathbf{h}_{(\mu,\nu)}$  consists of mutually commuting elements. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}_{(2,0)} &= \mathbf{f}_{2,1} = (f_{1,2,3}, h_{4,1}), \\ \mathbf{h}_{(0,2)} &= \mathbf{g}_{2,1} = (h_{2,1}, h_{3,1}), \\ \mathbf{h}_{(1^2,0)} &= (\mathbf{f}_{1,1}, \mathbf{f}_{1,4}) = (f_{1,2,3}, f_{4,5,6}), \\ \mathbf{h}_{(0,1^2)} &= (\mathbf{g}_{1,1}, \mathbf{g}_{1,3}) = (h_{2,1}, h_{4,3}), \\ \mathbf{h}_{(1,1)} &= (\mathbf{f}_{1,1}, \mathbf{g}_{1,4}) = (f_{1,2,3}, h_{5,4}).\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain an abelian cycle corresponding to each pair of partitions.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(\mu, \nu) \vdash i$  be a pair of partitions. For  $n \geq i + 2l(\mu) + l(\nu)$ , define  $\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)} = A(\mathbf{h}_{(\mu,\nu)}) \in H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as the abelian cycle corresponding to the  $i$ -tuple  $\mathbf{h}_{(\mu,\nu)}$  of mutually commuting elements.

*Remark 3.3.* The above construction gives an abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)}$  in  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z})$  for each  $(\mu, \nu) \vdash i$ .

#### 4. NON-TRIVIALITY OF $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$

In this section, we construct two types of contraction maps each of which detects an irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -quotient representation of  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let

$$U = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H) \cong \left( \bigwedge^2 H \right) \otimes H^*.$$

For  $a, b, c \in [n]$ , let

$$e_{a,b}^c := (e_a \wedge e_b) \otimes e_c^* \in U.$$

Then we have the following basis for  $U$  induced by Magnus's set of generators of  $\mathbf{IA}_n$

$$\begin{aligned}\{e_{a,b}^b \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\} \cup \{e_{a,b}^c \mid 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, a < b, a \neq c \neq b\} \\ = \{e_{a,b}^c \mid 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, a < b\}.\end{aligned}$$

**4.1. Contraction maps.** Let

$$M_i := (H^{\otimes 2} \otimes H^*)^{\otimes i} = H^{\otimes 2i} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes i}.$$

Let  $\iota_i$  be the canonical injective map

$$\iota_i : \bigwedge^i U \hookrightarrow M_i, \quad u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_i \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \varphi(u_{\sigma(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(u_{\sigma(i)}),$$

where

$$\varphi : U \hookrightarrow H^{\otimes 2} \otimes H^*, \quad (a \wedge b) \otimes d^* \mapsto (a \otimes b - b \otimes a) \otimes d^*.$$

For  $i \geq 1$ , let

$$U_i = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) \cong \left( \bigwedge^{i+1} H \right) \otimes H^*.$$

Then we have  $U = U_1$ . Note that  $U_i$  vanishes for  $n \leq i$ . We have a direct sum decomposition

$$U_i = U_i^{\mathrm{tree}} \oplus U_i^{\mathrm{wheel}},$$



where  $U_i^{\text{tree}}$  denotes the subrepresentation of  $U_i$  that is isomorphic to  $V_{1^{i+1},1}$ , and where  $U_i^{\text{wheel}}$  denotes the other subrepresentation that is isomorphic to  $V_{1^i,0}$  for  $n \geq i+1$ . In what follows, we assume  $n \geq i+1$  and we identify  $U_i^{\text{wheel}}$  with  $V_{1^i,0}$ .

Define a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$c_i : M_i \rightarrow U_i \cong \left( \bigwedge^{i+1} H \right) \otimes H^*$$

by

$$c_i \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^i (a_j \otimes b_j \otimes d_j^*) \right) = \left( \prod_{j=2}^i d_j^*(b_{j-1}) \right) a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_i \wedge b_i \otimes d_1^*.$$

We also define a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$c_i^{\text{tree}} : M_i \rightarrow U_i^{\text{tree}} \cong V_{1^{i+1},1}$$

to be the composition of the contraction map  $c_i$  and the canonical projection  $U_i \rightarrow U_i^{\text{tree}}$ .

Define another  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} : M_i \rightarrow U_i^{\text{wheel}} \cong V_{1^i,0}$$

by

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^i (a_j \otimes b_j \otimes d_j^*) \right) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^i d_j^*(b_{j-1}) \right) a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_i,$$

where  $b_0$  means  $b_i$ .

**4.2. Non-trivial quotient representation of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we detect two irreducible quotient representations of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  by using  $c_i^{\text{tree}}$  and  $c_i^{\text{wheel}}$ .

Recall that in Definition 3.2, we defined the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(0,i)} \in H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  corresponding to the pair of partition  $(0, i)$  for  $n \geq i+1$ , and that we have the following  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\tau_*} & H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U & \xrightarrow{\iota_i} & M_i & \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{c_i^{\text{wheel}}} U_i^{\text{wheel}} \\ \searrow_{c_i^{\text{tree}}} \\ U_i^{\text{tree}}, \end{array} \end{array}$$

where  $\tau_*$  is induced by the Johnson homomorphism.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $n \geq i+1$ , we have*

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_* (\alpha_{(0,i)}) = i! e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \in U_i^{\text{wheel}} \setminus \{0\},$$

and

$$c_i \iota_i \tau_* (\alpha_{(0,i)}) = (-1)^i (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_1^*.$$

Therefore, for  $n \geq i+2$ , we have

$$(\text{id} - E_{1,n}) c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i \tau_* (\alpha_{(0,i)}) = (-1)^i (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_n^* \in U_i^{\text{tree}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = e_{2,1}^1 \wedge (e_{3,1}^1 + e_{3,2}^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge \left( \sum_{j=1}^i e_{i+1,j}^j \right) \in \bigwedge^i U.$$

First, we consider the image under  $c_i^{\text{wheel}}$ . By the definition of  $c_i^{\text{wheel}}$ , we have

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i (e_{2,1}^1 \wedge e_{3,1}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1,1}^1) \in \mathbb{Z}(e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1}).$$

Since the inclusion  $\iota_i$  gives  $i!$  copies of  $e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1}$ , we obtain

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = i! e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \in V_{1^i,0} \setminus \{0\} \cong U_i^{\text{wheel}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Next, we consider the image under the contraction map  $c_i^{\text{tree}}$ . For  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_{3i}) \in [n]^{3i}$ , let  $e_K = \bigotimes_{j=1}^i (e_{k_j} \otimes e_{k_{2i+1-j}} \otimes e_{k_{2i+j}}^*)$ . Then  $\{e_K \mid K \in [n]^{3i}\}$  forms a basis for  $M_i$ . We write

$$\iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = \sum_{K \in [n]^{3i}} a_K e_K \in M_i,$$

where  $a_K \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we can easily check the following properties of the coefficient  $a_K$

- for each  $K \in [n]^{3i}$ , we have  $a_K \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ .
- for  $K \notin [i+1]^{3i}$ , we have  $a_K = 0$ .
- for  $K \in [i+1]^{3i}$  such that  $k_{2i+j} \neq \min\{k_j, k_{2i+1-j}\}$  for some  $j \in [i]$ , we have  $a_K = 0$ .

By the above properties, if we have  $c_i(a_K e_K) \in (\bigwedge^{i+1} H \otimes H^*) \setminus \{0\}$ , then there exists a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$  such that for any  $j \in [i+1]$ , we have  $k_j = \sigma(j)$ .

*Claim 1.* For each  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$ , there uniquely exists  $K \in [i+1]^{3i}$  such that

- $k_j = \sigma(j)$  for  $j \in [i+1]$
- $c_i(a_K e_K) \neq 0$ .

In particular, we have

$$c_i(a_K e_K) = a_K (e_{\sigma(1)} \wedge e_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\sigma(i+1)}) \otimes e_1^* \neq 0.$$

*Proof of Claim 1.* Define  $K \in [i+1]^{3i}$  as

$$k_j = \begin{cases} \sigma(j) & (1 \leq j \leq i+1) \\ \min\{k_{2i+2-j}, \dots, k_{i+1}\} & (i+2 \leq j \leq 2i) \\ \min\{k_{j-2i}, \dots, k_{i+1}\} & (2i+1 \leq j \leq 3i). \end{cases}$$

Then we can check that

$$c_i(a_K e_K) = a_K (e_{\sigma(1)} \wedge e_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\sigma(i+1)}) \otimes e_1^*.$$

The uniqueness follows from the construction.  $\square$

*Claim 2.* For each  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$ , let  $K(\sigma)$  denote the unique element of  $[i+1]^{3i}$  that we constructed in the proof of Claim 1. Then we have  $a_{K(\sigma)} = (-1)^i \text{sgn}(\sigma)$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* We use the induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 1$ , we have

$$a_{K(\text{id})} = -1 = (-1)^1 \text{sgn}(\text{id}), \quad a_{K((12))} = 1 = (-1)^1 \text{sgn}((12)).$$

Assume that the statement holds for  $i \geq 1$ . For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$ , define  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$  as

$$\tau(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(1) & (j = 1) \\ j - 1 & (2 \leq j \leq \sigma(1)) \\ j & (\sigma(1) + 1 \leq j \leq i + 1). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tilde{\tau} = \tau^{-1}\sigma$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}$  maps 1 to 1, so we regard  $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathfrak{S}_i$  by identifying  $\{2, \dots, i+1\}$  with  $\{1, \dots, i\}$  via the order preserving bijection. We have

$$a_{K(\sigma)} = a_{K(\tau\tilde{\tau})} = \begin{cases} (-1)a_{K(\tilde{\tau})} & (\sigma(1) = 1) \\ (-1)^{\sigma(1)-2}a_{K(\tilde{\tau})} & (\sigma(1) \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in any case, we have  $a_{K(\sigma)} = (-1)^{\sigma(1)}a_{K(\tilde{\tau})}$ . Since we have  $\text{sgn}(\tau) = (-1)^{\sigma(1)-1}$ , by the hypothesis of the induction, we have

$$(-1)^{\sigma(1)}a_{K(\tilde{\tau})} = (-1)^{\sigma(1)}(-1)^i \text{sgn}(\tilde{\tau}) = (-1)^{i+1} \text{sgn}(\tau) \text{sgn}(\tilde{\tau}) = (-1)^{i+1} \text{sgn}(\sigma),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

By using Claims 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_i \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) &= \sum_{K \in [n]^{3i}} c_i(a_K e_K) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}} a_{K(\sigma)}(e_{\sigma(1)} \wedge e_{\sigma(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\sigma(i+1)}) \otimes e_1^* \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}} a_{K(\sigma)} \text{sgn}(\sigma)(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i+1}) \otimes e_1^* \\ &= (-1)^i (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $n \geq i+2$ , we have

$$(\text{id} - E_{1,n})c_i \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = (-1)^i (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_n^* \in U_i^{\text{tree}} \setminus \{0\},$$

the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *For  $n \geq i+1$ , we have a surjective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) \cong V_{1^i, 0} \oplus V_{1^{i+1}, 1}.$$

*Therefore,  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  includes a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H)$ .*

*Proof.* This directly follows from Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**4.3. A representation-stable subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we observe that the non-trivial subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  that is detected in Theorem 4.2 is representation stable.

We have a canonical inclusion map  $\mathbf{IA}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{IA}_{n+1}$  sending  $f \in \mathbf{IA}_n$  to  $f \in \mathbf{IA}_{n+1}$  which is the same as  $f$  on  $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$  and fixes  $x_{n+1}$ . Let  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_*, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the sequence of group homomorphisms  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(\mathbf{IA}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$  induced by the inclusion maps. Since the inclusion map  $\mathbf{IA}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{IA}_{n+1}$  sends  $g_{a,b} \in \mathbf{IA}_n$  to  $g_{a,b} \in \mathbf{IA}_{n+1}$  and  $f_{a,b,c} \in \mathbf{IA}_n$  to  $f_{a,b,c} \in \mathbf{IA}_{n+1}$ , the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(0,i)} \in H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is sent to  $\alpha_{(0,i)} \in H_i(\mathbf{IA}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, the element  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is sent to  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

We can check that the canonical inclusion maps  $H(n) \hookrightarrow H(n+1)$  and  $H(n)^* \hookrightarrow H(n+1)^*$  that we have observed in Section 2.7 send  $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_1^* \in \bigwedge^{i+1} H(n) \otimes H(n)^*$  to  $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_1^* \in \bigwedge^{i+1} H(n+1) \otimes H(n+1)^*$ . Let  $\left(\bigwedge^{i+1} H\right) \otimes H^*$  denote the sequence of group homomorphisms  $\left(\bigwedge^{i+1} H(n)\right) \otimes H(n)^* \hookrightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{i+1} H(n+1)\right) \otimes H(n+1)^*$ .

By Theorem 4.2, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3.** *The sequence  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_*, \mathbb{Q})$  includes a representation-stable sequence which is isomorphic to  $\left(\bigwedge^{i+1} H\right) \otimes H^*$ .*

By the representation stability, we can consider an analogue of Theorem 4.2 in the category of algebraic  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations. Let  $\mathbf{IA}_\infty = \varinjlim_n \mathbf{IA}_n$  denote the direct limit. Both group homology and Albanese homology preserve direct limits (see Proposition A.1), that is, we have

$$H_i(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \varinjlim_n H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}), \quad H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \varinjlim_n H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since  $\alpha_{(0,i)}$  is preserved under  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(\mathbf{IA}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ , we obtain a cycle  $\alpha_{(0,i)}$  in  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  and an element  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$c_i \iota_i : H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{i+1} \mathbf{H}\right) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*$$

such that

$$c_i \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) = (-1)^i (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \otimes e_1^*.$$

**Corollary 4.4.** *The  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$c_i \iota_i : H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{i+1} \mathbf{H}\right) \otimes \mathbf{H}^*$$

*is surjective. In particular, for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $H_i(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  is infinite dimensional.*

**Remark 4.5.** We have a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{1^{i+1}, 1} \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge^{i+1} \mathbf{H}\right) \otimes \mathbf{H}^* \rightarrow V_{1^i, 0} \rightarrow 0,$$

which generalizes the observation in Section 2.7 that  $\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  does not split. Therefore,  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_\infty, \mathbb{Q})$  is not semisimple.

5. THE TRACELESS PART OF  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ 

Let  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}$  denote the traceless part  $\tilde{\bigwedge}^i U$  of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U$ . In this section, we show that the traceless part of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is contained in  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Note that we have

$$H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}} = \pi(T_{2i,i}),$$

where  $\pi : H^{\otimes 2i} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes i} = M_i \rightarrow \bigwedge^i U$  is defined by

$$\left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^i (a_j \otimes b_j) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^i c_j^* \right) \mapsto \bigwedge_{j=1}^i ((a_j \wedge b_j) \otimes c_j^*).$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $n \geq 3i$ . We have  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}} \subset H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3, the traceless part  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}$  is generated by

$$\pi(e_{2i,i}) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^i e_{2j-1, 2j}^{n-j+1}$$

as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation. Therefore, we have to show that  $\pi(e_{2i,i}) \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . For this purpose, we use the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(0,1^i)} \in H_i(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  that we defined in Definition 3.2. We have

$$\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,1^i)}) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^i e_{2j, 2j-1}^{2j-1} \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We can transform  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,1^i)})$  into  $\pi(e_{2i,i})$  by an action of

$$\prod_{j=1}^i (\text{id} - E_{2j-1, n-j+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})].$$

Therefore, we have  $\pi(e_{2i,i}) \in H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

Church–Ellenberg–Farb [4, Theorem 7.2.3] proved that for each  $i \geq 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $P_i(T)$  of degree  $\leq 3i$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) = P_i(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ . On the other hand, we have the following decomposition of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$

$$(5.0.1) \quad H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash i} (\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(\mathbb{S}_{1^2} H) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda'}(H^*)),$$

where  $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}$  denotes the *Schur functor*, which sends a vector space  $V$  to  $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}(V) = V_{\lambda}$ , and where  $\lambda'$  denotes the *conjugate* partition  $\lambda'$  to  $\lambda$ , which is obtained from the Young diagram corresponding to  $\lambda$  by interchanging rows and columns. (See [13, Exercise 6.11].) In particular, we have  $V_{1^{2i}, 1^i} \subset H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}$ . By (5.0.1), we obtain  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}) = P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ , where  $P'_i(T)$  is a polynomial of degree  $3i$ . By Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \geq P'_i(n)$ . Moreover, there exists a polynomial  $P_i(T)$  of degree  $3i$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) = P_i(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .*

## 6. THE STRUCTURE OF $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$

In this section, we introduce a graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $W_*$ . The degree  $i$  part  $W_i$  is graded over the set of pairs of partitions of total size  $i$ . We show that  $W_i$  is a subquotient of  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . The non-trivial quotient representations that we detected in Theorem 4.2 are the degree  $(i, 0)$  and  $(0, i)$  parts, and the traceless part  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{tl}}$  is the direct sum of the degree  $(1^j, 1^k)$  parts for  $j + k = i$ .

**6.1. Conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Recall that for  $n \geq i + 1$ , we have

$$U_i = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) = U_i^{\mathrm{tree}} \oplus U_i^{\mathrm{wheel}} \cong V_{1^{i+1}, 1} \oplus V_{1^i, 0}.$$

Let  $U_* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} U_i$ , which is a graded rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation. Define  $W_* = \widetilde{S}^*(U_*)$  as the traceless part of the graded-symmetric algebra  $S^*(U_*)$  of  $U_*$ , which we defined in Section 2.5. We can also construct  $W_*$  by using an operad  $\mathcal{Com}$  of non-unital commutative algebras as we explain in Section 12.2.

For  $i \geq 0$ , let  $(\mu, \nu) \vdash i$  be a pair of partitions. If we write

$$\mu = (\mu_1^{k'_1}, \dots, \mu_r^{k'_r}), \quad \nu = (\nu_1^{k''_1}, \dots, \nu_s^{k''_s}),$$

then it means that  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_r$  and  $\mu_j$  appears  $k'_j > 0$  times in  $\mu$  and that  $\nu_1 > \nu_2 > \dots > \nu_s$  and  $\nu_j$  appears  $k''_j > 0$  times in  $\nu$ . Then the length of  $\mu$  (resp.  $\nu$ ) is  $l(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^r k'_j$  (resp.  $l(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^s k''_j$ ). Let

$$U_\mu^{\mathrm{tree}} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^r S^{\mu_j^{k'_j}}(U_{\mu_j}^{\mathrm{tree}}), \quad U_\nu^{\mathrm{wheel}} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^s S^{\nu_j^{k''_j}}(U_{\nu_j}^{\mathrm{wheel}}).$$

Then we have

$$S^*(U_*) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \vdash i} U_\mu^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\mathrm{wheel}}.$$

Since  $W_i = \widetilde{S}^*(U_*)_i$  by the definition of  $W_*$ , we have

$$W_i = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \vdash i} W(\mu, \nu) \subset S^*(U_*)_i,$$

where

$$W(\mu, \nu) = \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^r \widetilde{S}^{\mu_j^{k'_j}}(U_{\mu_j}^{\mathrm{tree}}) \widetilde{\otimes} \widetilde{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^s \widetilde{S}^{\nu_j^{k''_j}}(U_{\nu_j}^{\mathrm{wheel}}) \subset U_\mu^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\mathrm{wheel}}.$$

For example, we have for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$W_1 = W(1, 0) \oplus W(0, 1) \cong V_{1^2, 1} \oplus V_{1, 0},$$

and we have for  $n \geq 6$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &= W(2, 0) \oplus W(0, 2) \oplus W(1^2, 0) \oplus W(1, 1) \oplus W(0, 1^2) \\ &\cong V_{1^3, 1} \oplus V_{1^2, 0} \oplus (V_{1^4, 1^2} \oplus V_{21^2, 2} \oplus V_{2^2, 1^2}) \oplus (V_{21, 1} \oplus V_{1^3, 1}) \oplus V_{1^2, 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we observe that the subrepresentation  $W(\mu, \nu)$  of  $W_i$  is an image of the traceless part  $T_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)}$  under a projection. Let

$$\pi_j^\mu : H^{\otimes k'_j(1+\mu_j)} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes k'_j} = (H^{\otimes(1+\mu_j)} \otimes H^*)^{\otimes k'_j} \twoheadrightarrow (V_{1^{1+\mu_j}, 1})^{\otimes k'_j} \twoheadrightarrow S^{\mu_j^{k'_j}}(V_{1^{1+\mu_j}, 1})$$

and

$$\pi_j^\nu : H^{\otimes k_j'' \nu_j} = (H^{\otimes \nu_j})^{\otimes k_j''} \rightarrow (V_1^{\nu_j})^{\otimes k_j''} \rightarrow S^{k_j''}(V_1^{\nu_j})$$

be the composition of two canonical projections, and let

$$\pi^{(\mu, \nu)} = \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \pi_j^\mu \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \pi_j^\nu \right) : H^{\otimes(i+l(\mu))} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes l(\mu)} \rightarrow U_\mu^{\text{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\text{wheel}}.$$

Then we have

$$W(\mu, \nu) = \pi^{(\mu, \nu)}(T_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)}).$$

Define

$$F_{(\mu, \nu)} : H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U \rightarrow U_\mu^{\text{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\text{wheel}}$$

to be the composition of the inclusion  $\iota_i : \bigwedge^i U \hookrightarrow M_i$  and

$$\left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k_j'!} \prod_{j=1}^{k_j'} c_{\mu_j}^{\text{tree}} \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{k_j''!} \prod_{j=1}^{k_j''} c_{\nu_j}^{\text{wheel}} \right) : M_i \rightarrow U_\mu^{\text{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\text{wheel}}.$$

Then we have a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$F_i := \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \vdash i} F_{(\mu, \nu)} : H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \vdash i} U_\mu^{\text{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\text{wheel}}.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have*

$$F_i(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \supset W_i.$$

We will prove Theorem 6.1 in the rest of this section by using the abelian cycles  $\alpha_{(\mu, \nu)}$ . In a way similar to Proposition 4.3, we can check that the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  includes a representation-stable subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $W_i$ .

It seems natural to make the following conjecture, which holds for  $i = 1$  [8, 12, 17], for  $i = 2$  [25] and for  $i = 3$  as we will observe in Theorem 11.1.

**Conjecture 6.2.** *For  $n \geq 3i$ ,  $F_i$  restricts to a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$F_i : H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_i.$$

Conjecture 6.2 implies that as a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation, the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is generated by the images of abelian cycles of  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  under  $\tau_*$ .

**6.2. Computation of the contraction maps.** Here we consider a condition for  $(\mu, \nu)$  and  $(\xi, \eta)$  that  $F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)}))$  vanishes.

In a way similar to Lemma 4.1, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.3.** *For  $n \geq i + 2$ , we have*

$$c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(i, 0)}) = 0,$$

and

$$c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(i, 0)}) = (-1)^{i-1} (i+1)! e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+2} \otimes e_3^* \in U_i^{\text{tree}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* For the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(i,0)}$ , we have

$$\tau_*(\alpha_{(i,0)}) = e_{1,2}^3 \wedge \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 e_{4,j}^j \right) \wedge \cdots \wedge \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} e_{i+2,j}^j \right).$$

Let  $x = e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1} \wedge e_{a_2, b_2}^{b_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{a_i, b_i}^{b_i} \in \bigwedge^i U$ . If  $d_1 \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_i, b_1\}$ , then we can check that  $c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i(x) = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*(\alpha_{(i,0)}) = 0$ .

The computation of the image of  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(i,0)})$  under  $c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i$  is similar to the computation of the image of  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0,i)})$  in Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

We call elements of the form

$$e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1} \wedge e_{a_2, b_2}^{d_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{a_i, b_i}^{d_i} \in \bigwedge^i U$$

the *basis elements* of  $\bigwedge^i U$ . Two basis elements  $x = e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1} \wedge e_{a_2, b_2}^{d_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{a_k, b_k}^{d_k}$  of  $\bigwedge^k U$  and  $y = e_{p_1, q_1}^{r_1} \wedge e_{p_2, q_2}^{r_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p_l, q_l}^{r_l}$  of  $\bigwedge^l U$  are said to be *disjoint* if  $\{a_j, b_j, d_j\}_{j=1}^k \cap \{p_j, q_j, r_j\}_{j=1}^l = \emptyset$ . If basis elements  $x \in \bigwedge^k U$  and  $y \in \bigwedge^l U$  are disjoint, then it is easy to see that

$$(6.2.1) \quad c_{k+l}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{k+l}(x \wedge y) = c_{k+l}^{\text{tree}} \iota_{k+l}(x \wedge y) = 0.$$

Let  $P_i$  denote the set of pairs of partitions of total size  $i$ . For  $l \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ , let  $P_i^l$  denote the subset of  $P_i$  consisting of elements  $(\mu, \nu)$  with  $l(\mu) = l$ . For  $(\xi, \eta), (\mu, \nu) \in P_i^l$ , we write  $(\xi, \eta) \geq (\mu, \nu)$  if  $\xi_j \geq \mu_j$  for all  $j \in [l]$  and if there exist a decomposition  $L_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_{l+l(\eta)} = \{1, \dots, l(\nu)\}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  such that

$$(6.2.2) \quad \xi_j - \mu_{\sigma(j)} = \sum_{k \in L_j} \nu_k \quad (1 \leq j \leq l),$$

$$(6.2.3) \quad \eta_j = \sum_{k \in L_{l+j}} \nu_k \quad (1 \leq j \leq l(\eta)).$$

We can check that  $(P_i^l, \geq)$  is a partially ordered set with the minimum element  $(1^l, 1^{i-l})$  and with the maximal elements  $(\mu, 0)$  for  $\mu \vdash i$  with  $l(\mu) = l$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *For  $(\xi, \eta), (\mu, \nu) \in P_i^l$ , we have*

$$F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)})) = 0 \quad \text{if } (\xi, \eta) \not\geq (\mu, \nu).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^l$ . Suppose that we have  $F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)})) \neq 0$  for  $(\xi, \eta) \in P_i^l$ .

We have

$$(6.2.4) \quad \tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)}) = \tau(\xi, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau(\xi, l) \wedge \tau(\eta, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau(\eta, l(\eta)) \in \bigwedge^i U,$$

where  $\tau(\xi, j)$  (resp.  $\tau(\eta, j)$ ) is obtained from  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi_j, 0)})$  (resp.  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0, \eta_j)})$ ) by the shift that is appeared in the definition of  $\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)}$ . Each  $\tau(\xi, j)$  is a linear sum of basis elements  $e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1} \wedge e_{a_2, b_2}^{b_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{a_{\xi_j}, b_{\xi_j}}^{b_{\xi_j}}$  of  $\bigwedge^{\xi_j} U$  such that  $d_1 \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_{\xi_j}, b_1\}$ . As in the proof of Lemma 6.3, for any subset  $K \subset \{2, \dots, \xi_j\}$ , we have

$$c_{1+|K|}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{1+|K|}(e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1} \wedge \bigwedge_{k \in K} e_{a_k, b_k}^{b_k}) = 0.$$



Since  $e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1}$  and any basis elements that appear in  $\tau(\xi, p)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq l, p \neq j$ ) or  $\tau(\eta, q)$  ( $1 \leq q \leq l(\eta)$ ) are disjoint, the wedge product of  $e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1}$  and any other factors vanishes under  $c_{\mu_{j'}}^{\text{tree}} \iota_{\mu_{j'}}$  for any  $j' \in [l]$  and  $c_{\nu_{j'}}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{\nu_{j'}}$  for any  $j' \in [l(\nu)]$ . Therefore, in order to satisfy  $F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha(\xi, \eta))) \neq 0$ ,  $e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1}$  has to be mapped under  $c_{\mu_{j'}}^{\text{tree}} \iota_{\mu_{j'}}$  for some  $j' \in [l]$  as a wedge product with some other factors in  $\tau(\xi, j)$ . It follows that we need  $\xi_j \geq \mu_j$  for all  $j \in [l]$ . In what follows, we restrict to the condition that for any  $j \in [l]$ , any element  $e_{a_1, b_1}^{d_1}$  that appears in  $\tau(\xi, j)$  is mapped under  $c_{\mu_{j'}}^{\text{tree}} \iota_{\mu_{j'}}$  for some  $j' \in [l]$  as a wedge product with some other factors in  $\tau(\xi, j)$ .

If a pair of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $L_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_{l+l(\nu)} = \{1, \dots, l(\nu)\}$  does not satisfy (6.2.2), then one of the following holds:

- there exist distinct elements  $j, j' \in [l]$  such that the wedge product of some factors of a basis element which appears in  $\tau(\xi, j)$  and other factors of a basis element which appears in  $\tau(\xi, j')$  are mapped under  $c_{\nu_{j''}}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{\nu_{j''}}$  for some  $j'' \in [l(\nu)]$ ,
- there exist  $j \in [l]$  and  $j' \in [l(\nu)]$  such that the wedge product of some factors of a basis element which appears in  $\tau(\xi, j)$  and other factors of a basis element which appears in  $\tau(\eta, j')$  are mapped under  $c_{\nu_{j''}}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{\nu_{j''}}$  for some  $j'' \in [l(\nu)]$ .

By (6.2.1), in both cases, the values under  $c_{\nu_{j''}}^{\text{wheel}} \iota_{\nu_{j''}}$  are zero, which contradicts  $F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha(\xi, \eta))) \neq 0$ . The case where a pair of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $L_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_{l+l(\nu)} = \{1, \dots, l(\nu)\}$  does not satisfy (6.2.3) is similar. Therefore, we need such a pair, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**6.3. Proof of Theorem 6.1.** To prove Theorem 6.1, we use the following lemma, which is an analogue of [22, Theorem 1.5].

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $(\mu, \nu) \vdash i$ . Then for  $n \geq i + 2l(\mu) + l(\nu)$ , we have*

$$F_{(\mu, \nu)}(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \supset W(\mu, \nu).$$

*Proof.* Our proof is analogous to the proof of [22, Theorem 1.5]. By Corollary 2.3,  $W(\mu, \nu) = \pi^{(\mu, \nu)}(T_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)})$  is generated by the element  $\pi^{(\mu, \nu)}(e_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)})$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that there is an element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]$  such that  $x(F_{(\mu, \nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha(\mu, \nu)))) = \pi^{(\mu, \nu)}(e_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)})$ .

Here, we write

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l(\mu)}) = (\tilde{\mu}_1^{k'_1}, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_r^{k'_r}), \quad \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{l(\nu)}) = (\tilde{\nu}_1^{k''_1}, \dots, \tilde{\nu}_s^{k''_s}).$$

As in (6.2.4), we have

$$\tau_*(\alpha_{(\mu, \nu)}) = \tau(\mu, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau(\mu, l(\mu)) \wedge \tau(\nu, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \tau(\nu, l(\nu)) \in \bigwedge^i U.$$

For  $1 \leq j \leq r$  and  $1 \leq m \leq k'_j$ , let  $\tau(\mu, j, m) = \tau(\mu, \phi_\mu(j, m))$ , where  $\phi_\mu(j, m) = k'_1 + \cdots + k'_{j-1} + m$ . In a similar way, we define  $\phi_\nu(j, m)$  and  $\tau(\nu, j, m)$ . Then we

have

$$F_{(\mu,\nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)})) = \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k_j'!} \prod_{m=1}^{k_j'} c_{\tilde{\mu}_j}^{\text{tree}} t_{\tilde{\mu}_j}(\tau(\mu, j, m)) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{k_j''!} \prod_{m=1}^{k_j''} c_{\tilde{\nu}_j}^{\text{wheel}} t_{\tilde{\nu}_j}(\tau(\nu, j, m)) \right).$$

By Lemma 6.3, we have

$$c_{\tilde{\mu}_j}^{\text{tree}} t_{\tilde{\mu}_j}(\tau(\mu, j, m)) = (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}_j-1} (\tilde{\mu}_j + 1)! s(\phi_\mu(j, m))(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\tilde{\mu}_j+2} \otimes e_3^*),$$

where  $s(j)$  is the function that we used in Section 3.2 to define the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)}$ , and where  $s(\phi_\mu(j, m))$  denotes the shift homomorphism by  $s(\phi_\mu(j, m))$ . By Lemma 4.1, we also have

$$c_{\tilde{\nu}_j}^{\text{wheel}} t_{\tilde{\nu}_j}(\tau(\nu, j, m)) = (\tilde{\nu}_j)! t(\phi_\nu(j, m))(e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\tilde{\nu}_j+1}).$$

Therefore, we can take an element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})]$  to satisfy  $x(F_{(\mu,\nu)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)}))) = \pi^{(\mu,\nu)}(e_{i+l(\mu), l(\mu)})$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.6.* Let  $\mu \cup \nu$  denote the partition of  $i$  that is obtained from  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  by reordering the parts. We can also use the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(0, \mu \cup \nu)}$  to prove Lemma 6.5. That is, we can also show that  $\tau_*(\alpha_{(0, \mu \cup \nu)})$  generates  $W(\mu, \nu)$ . However, we need the abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(\mu,\nu)}$  to show that  $F_{(\mu,\nu)}(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$  includes the direct sum of  $W(\mu, \nu)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Let  $F_i^l := \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu) \in P_i^l} F_{(\mu,\nu)}$ .

For  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^l$  and  $(\xi, \eta) \in P_i^{l'}$  with  $l \neq l'$ , any irreducible component of  $W(\mu, \nu)$  and any irreducible component of  $W(\xi, \eta)$  are not isomorphic. Therefore, it suffices to show that we have

$$\bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu) \in P_i^l} W(\mu, \nu) \subset F_i^l(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$$

for any  $l \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ .

By Lemma 6.5, it suffices to show that for each  $(\xi, \eta) \in P_i^l$ , the element

$$F_{(\xi,\eta)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi,\eta)})) \in W(\xi, \eta) \subset \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu) \in P_i^l} W(\mu, \nu)$$

is included in  $F_i^l(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$ . In what follows, we identify an element of  $W(\xi, \eta)$  with the image under the canonical inclusion  $W(\xi, \eta) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{(\mu,\nu) \in P_i^l} W(\mu, \nu)$ .

We use the induction with respect to the partial order  $\geq$  of  $P_i^l$ . For the minimum element  $(1^l, 1^{i-l})$  of  $P_i^l$ , by Lemma 6.4, we have

$$F_{(1^l, 1^{i-l})}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(1^l, 1^{i-l})})) = F_i^l(\tau_*(\alpha_{(1^l, 1^{i-l})})) \in F_i^l(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})).$$

For  $(\xi, \eta) \in P_i^l$ , suppose that for any  $(\zeta, \epsilon) \leq (\xi, \eta)$ , we have

$$F_{(\zeta,\epsilon)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\zeta,\epsilon)})) \in F_i^l(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Then by Lemma 6.4, we have

$$F_i^l(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi,\eta)})) = F_{(\xi,\eta)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi,\eta)})) + X(\xi, \eta)$$

where  $X(\xi, \eta)$  is an element of  $\bigoplus_{(\zeta, \epsilon) \leq (\xi, \eta)} W(\zeta, \epsilon)$ . Therefore, by the hypothesis of the induction, we have

$$F_{(\xi, \eta)}(\tau_*(\alpha_{(\xi, \eta)})) \in F_i^l(H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. COALGEBRA STRUCTURE OF $H_*^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$

Here we recall the coalgebra structure of the rational homology of groups. We show that the map  $F_* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} F_i : H_*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S^*(U_*)$ , which we constructed in Section 6, is a coalgebra map.

**7.1. Coalgebra structure of  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Let  $G$  be a group. We briefly recall the graded-cocommutative coalgebra structure  $(H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}), \Delta_*^G, \epsilon_*)$ . (See [3] for details.)

The rational homology  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is defined by

$$H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(F \otimes_G \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $F$  is a projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ . Here, we take the bar resolution. The diagonal map  $\Delta^G : G \rightarrow G \times G$ ,  $g \mapsto (g, g)$  induces a homomorphism

$$\Delta_*^G : H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}),$$

which coincides with the map induced by the Alexander–Whitney map

$$\Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F, \quad (g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto \sum_{p=0}^n (g_0, \dots, g_p) \otimes (g_p, \dots, g_n).$$

(See Brown [3, Section 1 of Chapter 5].) Then the induced map  $\Delta_*^G$  can be written explicitly as follows:

$$\Delta_*^G([x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i]) = \sum_{p=0}^i [x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_p] \otimes [x_{p+1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_i]$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_i \in G$ . We also have a trivial map  $\epsilon : G \rightarrow 1$ , which induces

$$\epsilon_* : H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

The canonical projection  $\pi^G : G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  induces a coalgebra map

$$\pi_*^G : H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Therefore, the coalgebra structure of  $H_*(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})$  induces a subcoalgebra structure on  $H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**7.2. Coalgebra structure of  $H_*^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** As we saw in the previous subsection, we have a coalgebra structure of  $H_*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is compatible with the graded  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation structure. We consider the coalgebra structure on  $S^*(U_*)$  that we observed in Section 2.6. Then the two coalgebra structures are compatible in the sense of the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.1.** *The graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$F_* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} F_i : H_*(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow S^*(U_*)$$

is a coalgebra map.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that we have

$$(F_* \otimes F_*) \Delta_*^U(x) = \Delta F_*(x)$$

for  $x = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i \in H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$ .

We have

$$F_i(x) = \sum_{(\mu, \nu) \vdash i} F_{(\mu, \nu)}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_i)$$

and

$$\Delta_*^U(x) = \sum_{p=0}^i \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p, i-p)} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) (x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (x_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{\sigma(i)}).$$

Let  $p \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ . For pairs of partitions  $(\xi, \eta) \vdash p$ ,  $(\zeta, \epsilon) \vdash i - p$ , we write  $\xi = (\xi_1^{k'_1}, \dots, \xi_r^{k'_r})$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_1^{k''_1}, \dots, \eta_s^{k''_s})$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1^{m'_1}, \dots, \zeta_t^{m'_t})$ ,  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1^{m''_1}, \dots, \epsilon_u^{m''_u})$ . Then we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta F_i(x) &= \sum_{p=0}^i \sum_{\substack{(\xi, \eta) \vdash p \\ (\zeta, \epsilon) \vdash i-p}} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_i} \frac{1}{(\prod_{j=1}^r k'_j!) (\prod_{j=1}^s k''_j!) (\prod_{j=1}^t m'_j!) (\prod_{j=1}^u m''_j!)} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \\ &\quad \times \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \prod c_{\xi_j}^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \prod c_{\eta_j}^{\mathrm{wheel}} \right) (x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\tau(p)}) \\ &\quad \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \prod c_{\zeta_j}^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^s \prod c_{\epsilon_j}^{\mathrm{wheel}} \right) (x_{\tau(p+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\tau(i)}) \\ &= (F_* \otimes F_*) \Delta_*^U(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

By Proposition 7.1, the subcoalgebra  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_*(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is mapped to a subcoalgebra of  $S^*(U_*)$ , which includes  $W_*$  as a subcoalgebra. For a coalgebra  $A$ , let  $\mathrm{Prim}(A)$  denote the *primitive part* of  $A$ . We can check that

$$\mathrm{Prim}(S^*(U_*)) = U_* \subset W_*.$$

Since coalgebra maps preserve the primitive part, the graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism  $F_*$  restricts to

$$F_* : \mathrm{Prim}(H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Prim}(S^*(U_*)) = U_*.$$

Conjecture 6.2 leads to the following conjecture. Let  $\mathrm{Prim}(H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))_i$  denote the degree  $i$  part of  $\mathrm{Prim}(H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$ .

**Conjecture 7.2.** For  $n \geq 3i$ , the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$F_i : \mathrm{Prim}(H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))_i \rightarrow U_i$$

is an isomorphism.

## 8. ALBANESE COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathrm{IA}_n$

In this section, we study the subalgebra of the rational cohomology algebra  $H^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  that Church–Ellenberg–Farb [4] called the Albanese cohomology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ .

**8.1. Albanese cohomology of groups.** For a group  $G$ , the *Albanese cohomology*  $H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q})$  of  $G$  is defined by

$$H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{im}(\pi^* : H^i(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(G, \mathbb{Q})),$$

where  $\pi : G \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z})$  is the abelianization map.

We have a linear isomorphism

$$H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H_i^A(G, \mathbb{Q}))^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(G, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}).$$

(See Lemma A.2.)

It is well known that  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is a graded-commutative algebra with the cup product as a multiplication, which is the dual of the comultiplication of  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $H_A^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is a subalgebra of  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ . Since we have  $H^i(H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^i H^1(G, \mathbb{Q})$ , the cohomology algebra  $H_A^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  is generated by  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Q})$  as an algebra.

**8.2. Albanese cohomology of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ .** As we saw in the previous subsection, the Albanese cohomology  $H_A^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  has a graded-symmetric algebra structure and is generated by  $H^1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover, the linear isomorphism

$$H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H_i^A(G, \mathbb{Q}))^*$$

is a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism (see Proposition A.3).

Let  $S^*(U_*)^*$  (resp.  $H_*(U, \mathbb{Q})^*$ ) denote the graded dual of  $S^*(U_*)$  (resp.  $H_*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ ) and let

$$F^* : S^*(U_*)^* \rightarrow H_*(U, \mathbb{Q})^* \rightarrow H_A^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

denote the composition of the dual map of  $F_*$  and the canonical surjection. Then by Proposition 7.1,  $F^*$  is an algebra map.

**Proposition 8.1.** *The graded  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$F^* : S^*(U_*)^* \rightarrow H_A^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an algebra map.

Let  $\langle R_2 \rangle$  denote the ideal of  $H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})$  generated by  $R_2 = \ker(\tau^* : H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$ . We have a surjective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$H^*(U, \mathbb{Q}) / \langle R_2 \rangle \rightarrow H_A^*(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Conjecture 8.2.** *The Albanese cohomology algebra  $H_A^*(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is stably quadratic, that is, the surjective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})/\langle R_2 \rangle \twoheadrightarrow H_A^*(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

*is an isomorphism for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to the cohomological degree.*

Conjecture 8.2 holds for  $* = 3$ . See Remark 11.8.

## 9. ALBANESE HOMOLOGY OF $\mathbf{IO}_n$

The *inner automorphism group*  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$  of  $F_n$  is the normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$  consisting of  $\{\sigma_x \mid x \in F_n\}$ , where  $\sigma_x(y) = xyx^{-1}$  for any  $y \in F_n$ . The *outer automorphism group*  $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$  of  $F_n$  is the quotient group of  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$  by  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$ . Since we have  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n) \subset \mathbf{IA}_n$ , we have a surjection  $\mathrm{Out}(F_n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\mathbf{IO}_n$  denote its kernel. That is, we have exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{IO}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Out}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Inn}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{IA}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{IO}_n \rightarrow 1.$$

As in the case of  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ , the Johnson homomorphism for  $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$  induces an isomorphism on the first homology [17]

$$\tau^O : H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, \bigwedge^2 H_{\mathbb{Z}})/H_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

We can also consider  $H_i(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation, and the Johnson homomorphism preserves the  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -action. Then we have

$$H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H)/H \cong V_{1^2, 1}.$$

Let  $U^O = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H)/H$ . The Johnson homomorphism induces a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphism on homology

$$\tau_*^O : H_i(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(U^O, \mathbb{Q}).$$

In this section, we study the Albanese homology of  $\mathbf{IO}_n$  and observe some relation between  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**9.1. A set of generators for  $H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we obtain a set of generators for  $H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is induced by Magnus's set of generators for  $\mathbf{IA}_n$ .

The projection  $\pi : \mathbf{IA}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{IO}_n$  induces a map

$$\pi_* : H_1(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_1(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \cong \downarrow \tau & & \cong \downarrow \tau^O \\ U = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} & U^O = \mathrm{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^2 H)/H, \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is the canonical surjection.

Magnus's set of generators for  $\text{IA}_n$  induces the following set of generators for  $U^O$ :

$$\{\overline{g_{a,b}} \mid 1 \leq a, b \leq n, a \neq b\} \cup \{\overline{f_{a,b,c}} \mid 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, a < b, a \neq c \neq b\},$$

where

$$\overline{g_{a,b}} = \text{pr } \tau(g_{a,b}) = \text{pr}(e_{a,b}^b) \in U^O, \quad \overline{f_{a,b,c}} = \text{pr } \tau(f_{a,b,c}) = \text{pr}(e_{a,b}^c) \in U^O.$$

We can check that

$$\tau(f_{a,b,c}) = e_{a,b}^c \in U_1^{\text{tree}} \subset U$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(g_{a,b}) - \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e_{a,j}^j \right) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{j \neq a,b} P_{j,c} \right) (e_{a,b}^b - e_{a,c}^c) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{j \neq a,b} P_{j,c} \right) (\text{id} - E_{c,b} - P_{c,b})(e_{a,b}^c) \in U_1^{\text{tree}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c \in [n]$  is an element distinct from  $a$  and  $b$ . Therefore, we obtain an isomorphism  $U^O \xrightarrow{\cong} U_1^{\text{tree}}$  defined by

$$\overline{f_{a,b,c}} \mapsto e_{a,b}^c \in U_1^{\text{tree}}, \quad \overline{g_{a,b}} \mapsto e_{a,b}^b - \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e_{a,j}^j \right) \in U_1^{\text{tree}},$$

and thus we obtain the canonical injective map

$$U^O \hookrightarrow U = U_1^{\text{tree}} \oplus U_1^{\text{wheel}}.$$

In what follows, we consider  $U^O$  as a subrepresentation of  $U$ .

**9.2. Computation of the contraction maps.** The inclusion map  $\iota_i : \bigwedge^i U \hookrightarrow M_i$ , which we defined in Section 4.1, restricts to an inclusion map

$$\iota_i : \bigwedge^i U^O \hookrightarrow M_i.$$

Then we can consider the composition of  $\iota_i$  and each of the two contraction maps  $c_i^{\text{wheel}}$  and  $c_i^{\text{tree}}$ , which we defined in Section 4.1. The abelian cycle  $\alpha_{(0,i)}$  of  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  induces an abelian cycle  $\pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)})$  of  $H_i(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Here we compute the two contraction maps for  $\tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)})$  as in Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 9.1.** (1) For  $i = 1$ , we have  $c_1^{\text{wheel}} \iota_1 \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,1)}) = 0$ .

(2) For  $i \geq 2$ , we have  $c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \neq 0$  if  $n \geq i + 2 + \frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}$ .

(3) For  $i \geq 1$ , we have  $c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) &= \overline{g_{2,1}} \wedge (\overline{g_{3,1}} + \overline{g_{3,2}}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \left( \sum_{j=1}^i \overline{g_{i+1,j}} \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{k=1}^i \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{n-k-1}{n-1} e_{k+1,j}^j - \frac{k}{n-1} \sum_{j=k+2}^n e_{k+1,j}^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{\text{wheel}} \iota_1 \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,1)}) &= c_1^{\text{wheel}} \iota_1 \left( \frac{n-2}{n-1} e_{2,1}^1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=3}^n e_{2,j}^j \right) \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n-1} e_2 - \frac{1}{n-1} (n-2) e_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1).

For  $i \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq i+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^{\text{wheel}} \iota_i \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \\ = \frac{i!(n-i-1)((n-2)\cdots(n-i) + (-1)^i i!}{(n-1)^i} e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \in V_{1^i,0}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $i$  is even, then we have  $(n-2)\cdots(n-i) + (-1)^i i! > 0$ . If  $i$  is odd, then we have  $(n-2)\cdots(n-i) + (-1)^i i! > 0$  for  $n \geq i+3$ . Since we have  $i!(n-i-1) \neq 0$  for  $n \geq i+2$ , we have (2).

For  $i \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq i+1$ , one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) &= \frac{(i+1)!(n-i-1)Q_i(n)}{(n-1)^i} e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge e_1 \otimes e_1^* \\ &+ \sum_{j=i+2}^n \frac{R_i(n)}{(n-1)^i} e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i+1} \wedge e_j \otimes e_j^* \in V_{1^{i+1},1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_i(n)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $i-1$  and  $R_i(n)$  is a polynomial of degree  $i-1$ . Since  $Q_i(n)$  is monic, we have (3).  $\square$

*Remark 9.2.* We can say that to satisfy  $c_i^{\text{tree}} \iota_i \tau_*^O \pi_*(\alpha_{(0,i)}) \neq 0$ , the condition  $n \geq 2 + \max(i, \lfloor \frac{i-1}{i+1} 2^i \rfloor)$  is enough. We need  $n \geq i+2$ , and if  $i \leq 4$ , then the condition that  $n \geq i+2$  is sufficient.

**Theorem 9.3.** *Let  $i \geq 2$ . For  $n \geq i+2 + \frac{1-(-1)^i}{2}$ , we have a surjective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \bigwedge^i H \cong V_{1^i,0}.$$

For sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ , we have a surjective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \bigwedge^{i+1} H) \cong V_{1^{i+1},1} \oplus V_{1^i,0}.$$

*Proof.* This directly follows from Lemma 9.1.  $\square$

**9.3. The traceless part of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & H_i(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow \tau_* & & \downarrow \tau_*^O \\ H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_*} & H_i(U^O, \mathbb{Q}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(9.3.1) \quad \text{pr}_*(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$



*Question 9.4.* Is  $\text{pr}_* : H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  surjective for any  $n$ ?

We have the following decomposition of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations

$$H_i(U, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U = \left( \bigwedge^i U^O \right) \oplus Y_i,$$

where  $Y_i$  is a subrepresentation of  $H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})$  whose irreducible decomposition does not include  $V_{\underline{\lambda}}$  for any  $\underline{\lambda}$  such that  $|\underline{\lambda}| = 3i$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following theorem. Recall that we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}}) = P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ , where  $P'_i(T)$  is a polynomial of degree  $3i$ .

**Theorem 9.5.** *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have*

$$H_i(U, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{tl}} \subset H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

*In particular, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})) \geq P'_i(n)$  for  $n \geq 3i$ .*

**Conjecture 9.6.** *There is a polynomial  $P_i^O(T)$  of degree  $3i$  such that we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})) = P_i^O(n)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .*

Moreover, by Theorem 6.1, we have a direct summand  $\widetilde{W}_i$  of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  which is isomorphic to  $W_i$ . Therefore, by (9.3.1), we have for  $n \geq 3i$ ,

$$\text{pr}_*(\widetilde{W}_i) \subset H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**9.4. Conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we propose a conjectural structure of  $H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Define  $U_i^O$  by

$$U_i^O = \begin{cases} U^O \cong U_1^{\text{tree}} & (i = 1) \\ U_i & (i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

For the graded  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $U_*^O = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} U_i^O$ , let  $S^*(U_*^O)$  denote the graded-symmetric algebra of  $U_*^O$ . Let  $W_*^O = \widetilde{S}^*(U_*^O)$  denote the traceless part of  $S^*(U_*^O)$ . Let  $P_i^O \subset P_i$  denote the subset of  $P_i$  consisting of pairs of partitions  $(\mu, \nu)$  of total size  $i$  such that  $\nu$  has no part of size 1. Then we have

$$W_i^O = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^O} W(\mu, \nu).$$

We make the following conjecture, which is true for  $i = 1$  by Kawazumi [17], for  $i = 2$  by Pettet [25] and for  $i = 3$  as we will observe in Theorem 10.1.

**Conjecture 9.7.** *For sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ , we have a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_i^O.$$

Since we have a direct sum decomposition

$$S^*(U_*^O)_i = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^O} U_{\mu}^{\text{tree}} \otimes U_{\nu}^{\text{wheel}},$$

there is a canonical surjective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pr} : S^*(U_*)_i = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i} U_\mu^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\mathrm{wheel}} \rightarrow S^*(U_*^O)_i = \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_i^O} U_\mu^{\mathrm{tree}} \otimes U_\nu^{\mathrm{wheel}}.$$

Then we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$G_i : H_i(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i U^O \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^i U \xrightarrow{F_i} S^*(U_*)_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pr}} S^*(U_*^O)_i.$$

We expect that  $G_i$  restricts to a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism  $G_i : H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W_i^O$ , which implies that Conjecture 9.7 is true.

**9.5. Structures of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Here we study the relation between the structures of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Recall that we have an exact sequence of groups with  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -actions

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Inn}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_n \rightarrow 1.$$

Since we have  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n) \cong F_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , we identify  $\mathrm{Inn}(F_n)$  with  $F_n$ . By Proposition A.7, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 9.8.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , we have an injective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

*Proof.* The canonical injective  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -homomorphism  $F_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{IA}_n$  induces an injective  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -homomorphism  $(F_n)^{\mathrm{ab}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{IA}_n)^{\mathrm{ab}} = H_1(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Z})$ . By Proposition A.7, we obtain a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_i = H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

of  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -modules such that there is an  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -homomorphism

$$\iota : \bigoplus_{r=0}^i \mathcal{F}_r / \mathcal{F}_{r-1} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H_p^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The  $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ -actions on  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q})$  induce  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -actions, which extend to the structures of rational  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations. Hence, the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_*$  of  $H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is a filtration of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations and  $\iota$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism. Therefore, we have  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphisms

$$H_i^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^i \mathcal{F}_r / \mathcal{F}_{r-1} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H_p^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

□

To study the relation between  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.9.** *We have  $W_i \cong W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H)$  as  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations for  $n \geq 3i$ .*

*Proof.* By the definitions of  $W_i$  and  $W_i^O$ , it suffices to show that

$$(9.5.1) \quad \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O} W(\mu, \nu) \otimes H \cong \bigoplus_{(\xi, \eta) \in P_i \setminus P_i^O} W(\xi, \eta).$$

Let  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O$ . We write  $\mu = (\mu_1^{k'_1}, \dots, \mu_r^{k'_r})$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1^{k''_1}, \dots, \nu_s^{k''_s})$ . Let  $\mu - \mu_j = (\mu_1^{k'_1}, \dots, \mu_j^{k'_j-1}, \dots, \mu_r^{k'_r})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$  and  $\nu + a = (\nu_1^{k''_1}, \dots, \nu_s^{k''_s}, 1^a)$  for  $a \geq 1$ . Since we have  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphisms

$$W(\mu, \nu) \tilde{\otimes} H \cong W(\mu, \nu + 1)$$

and

$$U_{\mu_j}^{\text{tree}} \otimes H \cong (U_{\mu_j}^{\text{tree}} \tilde{\otimes} H) \oplus V_{1^{1+\mu_j}} \cong (U_{\mu_j}^{\text{tree}} \tilde{\otimes} H) \oplus \tilde{S}^{1+\mu_j}(U_1^{\text{wheel}}),$$

we have

$$(9.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} W(\mu, \nu) \otimes H &\cong (W(\mu, \nu) \tilde{\otimes} H) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^r W(\mu - \mu_j, \nu) \tilde{\otimes} \tilde{S}^{1+\mu_j}(U_1^{\text{wheel}}) \right) \\ &\cong W(\mu, \nu + 1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^r W(\mu - \mu_j, \nu + 1^{1+\mu_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O$ , we have

$$W(\mu, \nu) \otimes H \subset \bigoplus_{(\xi, \eta) \in P_i \setminus P_i^O} W(\xi, \eta).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(9.5.3) \quad \bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O} W(\mu, \nu) \otimes H \subset \bigoplus_{(\xi, \eta) \in P_i \setminus P_i^O} W(\xi, \eta)$$

since for distinct pairs  $(\mu, \nu) \neq (\mu', \nu')$ , the pairs  $(\mu, \nu + 1)$ ,  $(\mu', \nu' + 1)$ ,  $(\mu - \mu_j, \nu + 1^{1+\mu_j})$ ,  $(\mu' - \mu'_j, \nu' + 1^{1+\mu'_j})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$  are distinct.

Let  $(\xi, \eta) \in P_i \setminus P_i^O$ . If  $\eta$  has only one part of size 1, then we have  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O$  such that  $(\mu, \nu + 1) = (\xi, \eta)$ . Otherwise, we have  $(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O$  such that  $(\mu - \mu_j, \nu + 1^{1+\mu_j}) = (\xi, \eta)$ . Therefore, by the decomposition (9.5.2), we obtain

$$\bigoplus_{(\mu, \nu) \in P_{i-1}^O} W(\mu, \nu) \otimes H \supset \bigoplus_{(\xi, \eta) \in P_i \setminus P_i^O} W(\xi, \eta).$$

Therefore, by using (9.5.3), we obtain (9.5.1).  $\square$

By Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 9.8, we obtain the following proposition, which partially ensures Conjecture 9.7.

**Proposition 9.10.** *For  $n \geq 3i$ , we have an injective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 3i$ . By Proposition 9.8, we have

$$H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

By Theorem 6.1,  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  contains a subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $W_i$ . Since we have

$$W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H) \cong W_i$$

by Lemma 9.9, we have an injective  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

□

**Conjecture 9.11.** *We stably have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$(9.5.4) \quad H_*^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

*Remark 9.12.* The isomorphism (9.5.4) holds for  $* \leq 3$  since we have  $H_3^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_3$  and  $H_3^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_3^O$  for  $n \geq 9$  as we will show in Sections 10 and 11.

Recall that Conjecture 9.7 states that we stably have  $H_*^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_*^O$ . Then we obtain the following relation between the conjectures about the structures of  $H_*^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_*^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 9.13.** *If two of the following hold, then so does the third:*

- *A weaker version of Conjecture 6.2 that we have a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_i$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ ,*
- *Conjecture 9.7,*
- *Conjecture 9.11.*

*Proof.* If Conjecture 9.7 holds, then Conjecture 6.2 and Conjecture 9.11 are equivalent by Lemma 9.9.

Suppose that Conjectures 6.2 and 9.11 hold. We will show that Conjecture 9.7 also holds by induction on the homological degree  $i$ . Suppose that we have  $W_i^O \cong H_i^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ . For sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i+1$ , by using Lemma 9.9, we have

$$W_{i+1}^O \oplus (W_i^O \otimes H) \cong W_{i+1} \cong H_{i+1}^A(\mathbf{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{i+1}^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_i^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

Therefore, since we have  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_i^O$  by the hypothesis of the induction, we have  $H_{i+1}^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_{i+1}^O$ , which completes the proof. □

*Remark 9.14.* Let  $n \geq 3i$ . Since we have  $W_i \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=i} W_p^O \tilde{\otimes} \bigwedge^q H$  by the definitions of  $W_*$  and  $W_*^O$ , by Lemma 9.9, we have

$$W_i^O \oplus (W_{i-1}^O \otimes H) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=i} W_p^O \tilde{\otimes} \bigwedge^q H.$$

Therefore, if Conjecture 9.7 holds, then we stably have

$$H_*^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(F_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^A(\mathbf{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \tilde{\otimes} H_*^A(F_n^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

10. THE THIRD ALBANESE HOMOLOGY OF  $\text{IO}_n$ 

In this section, we compute  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and prove that Conjecture 9.7 holds for  $i = 3$ .

Hain [14] and Sakasai [26] computed the Albanese cohomology of the Torelli groups of closed surfaces of degree 2 and 3, respectively. Pettet [25] computed  $H_A^2(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  by adapting Hain's and Sakasai's methods. Here we apply their method to  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as follows. We have a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism

$$H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})^*.$$

Suppose that we have subrepresentations  $S \subset H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $T \subset \ker \tau_O^*$ , where  $\tau_O^* : H^3(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^3(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is induced by the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau^O$ . Then, we have  $S^* \oplus T \subset \text{im } \tau_O^* \oplus \ker \tau_O^* \cong H^3(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$ . If we can show that  $H^3(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \cong S^* \oplus T$  by counting multiplicities of irreducible components, then we obtain  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong S^*$  and  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong S$ .

**10.1. The third Albanese homology of  $\text{IO}_n$ .** For  $n \geq 9$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_3^O &= W(3, 0) \oplus W(0, 3) \oplus W(1, 2) \oplus W(21, 0) \oplus W(1^3, 0) \\ &= (V_{14,1}) \oplus (V_{1^3,0}) \oplus (V_{2^2,1} \oplus V_{21^2,1} \oplus V_{14,1}) \\ &\quad \oplus (V_{2^2,1,2} \oplus V_{2^2,1,1^2} \oplus V_{21^3,2} \oplus V_{21^3,1^2} \oplus V_{1^5,2} \oplus V_{1^5,1^2}) \\ &\quad \oplus (V_{3^2,1^3} \oplus V_{321,21} \oplus V_{31^3,3} \oplus V_{2^3,3} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,21} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,1^3} \oplus V_{21^4,21} \oplus V_{1^6,1^3}). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove the following theorem in the rest of this section.

**Theorem 10.1.** *Let  $n \geq 9$ .  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is decomposed into 19 irreducible  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong W_3^O \\ &\cong V_{3^2,1^3} \oplus V_{321,21} \oplus V_{31^3,3} \oplus V_{2^3,3} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,21} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,1^3} \oplus V_{21^4,21} \oplus V_{1^6,1^3} \\ &\quad \oplus V_{2^2,1,2} \oplus V_{2^2,1,1^2} \oplus V_{21^3,2} \oplus V_{21^3,1^2} \oplus V_{1^5,2} \oplus V_{1^5,1^2} \\ &\quad \oplus V_{2^2,1} \oplus V_{21^2,1} \oplus V_{14,1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1^3,0}. \end{aligned}$$

**10.2. Irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$ .** We begin with the computation of an irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations. We can check the following lemma directly by hand and by using SageMath.

**Lemma 10.2.** *Let  $n \geq 9$ .  $H_3(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$  is decomposed into the following 36 irreducible  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) &= \bigwedge^3 (V_{12,1}) \\ &\cong V_{3^2,1^3} \oplus V_{321,21} \oplus V_{31^3,3} \oplus V_{2^3,3} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,21} \oplus V_{2^2,1^2,1^3} \oplus V_{21^4,21} \oplus V_{1^6,1^3} \\ &\quad \oplus V_{32,2} \oplus V_{32,1^2} \oplus V_{31^2,2} \oplus V_{31^2,1^2} \oplus V_{2^2,1,2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{2^2,1,1^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{21^3,2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{21^3,1^2}^{\oplus 2} \\ &\quad \oplus V_{1^5,2} \oplus V_{1^5,1^2} \oplus V_{31,1} \oplus V_{2^2,1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{21^2,1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{14,1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{3,0} \oplus V_{21,0} \oplus V_{1^3,0}^{\oplus 2}. \end{aligned}$$

**10.3. Upper bound of  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Let  $\tau_O^* : H^i(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the map induced by the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau^O : \text{IO}_n \rightarrow U^O$  on cohomology. Let  $R_i^O = \ker \tau_O^*$ . Pettet [25] computed  $R_2^O$ . We will study  $R_3^O$  by using  $R_2^O$ .

**Lemma 10.3** (Pettet [25]). *Let  $n \geq 3$ . We have*

$$R_2^O \cong V_{1,21}.$$

For  $a, b, c \in [n]$ , let

$$e_a^{b,c} := e_a \otimes (e_b^* \wedge e_c^*) \in U^*.$$

**Lemma 10.4.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , the subrepresentation  $R_2^O \subset H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$  is generated by*

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^{1,2} \wedge e_n^{j,1} \in H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 (U^O)^*.$$

*Proof.* We can check that  $\beta \in H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 (U^O)^*$  and that  $\beta$  generates an irreducible representation which is isomorphic to  $V_{1,21}$ . We have

$$H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 (V_{1,1^2}) \cong V_{1^2,2^2} \oplus V_{2,21^2} \oplus V_{1^2,1^4} \oplus V_{1,21} \oplus V_{1,1^3} \oplus V_{0,1^2}.$$

Since the multiplicity of  $V_{1,21}$  in  $H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$  is 1, by Lemma 10.4, it follows that  $\beta$  generates  $R_2^O \subset H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

Let

$$\cup : H^1(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2^O \rightarrow H^3(U^O, \mathbb{Q})$$

denote the restriction of the cup product. Then we have  $\text{im } \cup \subset R_3^O$ . In what follows, we compute  $\text{im } \cup$ . We can compute the tensor product  $H^1(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2^O$  directly by hand and by using SageMath.

**Lemma 10.5.** *Let  $n \geq 6$ . We have an irreducible decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(U^O, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2^O &\cong V_{1,1^2} \otimes V_{1,21} \\ &\cong V_{2,32} \oplus V_{1^2,32} \oplus V_{2,31^2} \oplus V_{1^2,31^2} \oplus V_{2,2^21} \oplus V_{1^2,2^21} \oplus V_{2,21^3} \oplus V_{1^2,21^3} \\ &\oplus V_{1,31}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1,2^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1,21^2}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{1,1^4} \oplus V_{0,3} \oplus V_{0,21}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{0,1^3}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations, we can identify the cup product map  $\cup$  with

$$\wedge : V_{1,1^2} \otimes V_{1,21} \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 V_{1,1^2}.$$

**Proposition 10.6.** *For  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\text{im } \cup$  contains a  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentation consisting of the following 17 irreducible representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } \cup \supset &V_{2,32} \oplus V_{1^2,32} \oplus V_{2,31^2} \oplus V_{1^2,31^2} \oplus V_{2,2^21} \oplus V_{1^2,2^21} \oplus V_{2,21^3} \oplus V_{1^2,21^3} \\ &\oplus V_{1,31}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1,2^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1,21^2}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{1,1^4} \oplus V_{0,3} \oplus V_{0,21} \oplus V_{0,1^3}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 6$ . For any distinct elements  $a, b, c \in [n]$ , define

$$\beta_{a,b,c}^O := \sum_{j=1}^n e_a^{b,c} \wedge e_j^{1,2} \wedge e_n^{j,1} \in \text{im } \cup$$

and

$$\beta_{a,b}^O := \sum_{j=1}^n \left( e_b^{a,b} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n e_k^{a,k} \right) \wedge e_j^{1,2} \wedge e_n^{j,1} \in \text{im } \cup.$$

We can use  $\beta_{5,3,4}^O$  to detect 8 irreducible components of size 7 as follows. Let  $\iota^* : \bigwedge^3 (U^O)^* \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^3 (H \otimes \bigwedge^2 H^*) \hookrightarrow (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3}$  denote the canonical inclusion defined in a way similar to  $\iota_3$  in Section 4.1. Define a contraction map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow H^{\otimes 2} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 5}, \\ \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) &\mapsto a_3^*(c_2)(c_1 \otimes c_3) \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^* \otimes b_3^*). \end{aligned}$$

Define projection maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 : (H^*)^{\otimes 5} &\rightarrow (\bigwedge^2 H^*)^{\otimes 2} \otimes H^*, \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^5 x_i^* \mapsto (x_1^* \wedge x_2^*) \otimes (x_3^* \wedge x_4^*) \otimes x_5^*, \\ \phi_2 : (H^*)^{\otimes 5} &\rightarrow (\bigwedge^3 H^*) \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2}, \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^5 x_i^* \mapsto (x_1^* \wedge x_3^* \wedge x_4^*) \otimes x_2^* \otimes x_5^*, \\ \phi_3 : (H^*)^{\otimes 5} &\rightarrow (\bigwedge^3 H^*) \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H^*), \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^5 x_i^* \mapsto (x_1^* \wedge x_3^* \wedge x_4^*) \otimes (x_2^* \wedge x_5^*), \\ \phi_4 : (H^*)^{\otimes 5} &\rightarrow (\bigwedge^4 H^*) \otimes H^*, \quad \bigotimes_{i=1}^5 x_i^* \mapsto (x_1^* \wedge x_2^* \wedge x_3^* \wedge x_4^*) \otimes x_5^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{id} - P_{5,n})(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_1)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 4(n-1)(e_5 \otimes e_n - e_n \otimes e_5) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \in V_{1^2,32}, \\ &(E_{5,n} - \text{id})(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_1)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 4(n-3)(e_5 \otimes e_5 \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^*) \in V_{2,32}, \\ &(\text{id} - P_{5,n})(\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_2)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 2(n+1)(e_5 \otimes e_n - e_n \otimes e_5) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \in V_{1^2,31^2}, \\ &(E_{5,n} - \text{id})(\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_2)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 2(n-1)e_5 \otimes e_5 \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \in V_{2,31^2}, \\ &(\text{id} - P_{5,n})(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_3)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= -2(n-1)(e_5 \otimes e_n - e_n \otimes e_5) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \in V_{1^2,2^21}, \\ &(E_{5,n} - \text{id})(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_3)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= -2(n+1)e_5 \otimes e_5 \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \in V_{2,2^21}, \\ &(\text{id} - P_{5,n})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_4)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 4(n-2)(e_5 \otimes e_n - e_n \otimes e_5) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge e_4^*) \otimes e_1^* \in V_{1^2,21^3}, \\ &(E_{5,n} - \text{id})(\text{id}_{H^{\otimes 2}} \otimes \phi_4)\phi\iota^*(\beta_{5,3,4}^O) \\ &= 4(n-2)e_5 \otimes e_5 \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge e_4^*) \otimes e_1^* \in V_{2,21^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We can use  $\beta_{1,n,3}^O$  to detect 3 irreducible components of size 3 as follows. Define contraction maps

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\otimes 3}, & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) &\mapsto a_2^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) a_1^*(c_3) b_1^* \otimes b_2^* \otimes b_3^*, \\ \varphi_2 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\otimes 3}, & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) &\mapsto b_3^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) a_1^*(c_3) b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_3^*.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(\text{id} - E_{2,1})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})\varphi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}^O) = 3(n-1)e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \in V_{0,3}.$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 H^*$ , we have

$$\varphi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}^O) = -3(n-1)e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* \in V_{0,1^3}.$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 H^* \otimes H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \mapsto (b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes a^*$ , we have

$$(\text{id} - E_{3,1})\varphi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}^O) = 2(n-2)(e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \in V_{0,21}.$$

We can use  $\beta_{1,3,4}^O$  and  $\beta_{3,1}^O$  to detect 6 irreducible components of size 5 as follows. Define contraction maps

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\ &\bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_2^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) c_3 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes b_2^* \otimes b_3^*), \\ \psi_2 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\ &\bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_3^*(c_2) b_3^*(c_1) c_3 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^*).\end{aligned}$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 H^* \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2}$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes c^* \otimes d^*$ , we obtain

$$(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{2,1})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O) = 2(n-1)e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \in V_{1,31}.$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \bigwedge^4 H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto a^* \wedge b^* \wedge c^* \wedge d^*$ , we obtain

$$\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O) = -2(n+1)e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^* \in V_{1,1^4}.$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^2 H^*) \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H^*)$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes (c^* \wedge d^*)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O), \psi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O)) \\ &= (-2(n+1), 8(n-1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*), \\ &(\text{id} - E_{3,2})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{3,1}^O), \psi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{3,1}^O)) \\ &= \left(2 \frac{n^2 - 3}{n-1}, -8 \frac{n^2 - 3n + 3}{n-1}\right) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,2^2}$  with multiplicity 2. Let  $\psi'_1$  denote the composition of  $\psi_1$  with the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^3 H^*) \otimes H^*$  defined by



$a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes d^*$  and  $\psi_1''$  the composition with the projection defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (d^* \wedge a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes c^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\psi_1' l^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O), \psi_1'' l^*(\beta_{1,3,4}^O)) &= (2(n-2), 2) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\ (\psi_1' l^*(\beta_{3,1}^O), \psi_1'' l^*(\beta_{3,1}^O)) &= \left(2 \frac{n^2 - 5n - 5}{n-1}, 2 \frac{n-2}{n-1}\right) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,21^2}$  with multiplicity 2. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**10.4. Proof of Theorem 10.1.** Here we complete the proof of Theorem 10.1. Let  $n \geq 9$ . We obtained an irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U, \mathbb{Q})$  into 36 irreducibles, and 17 of them are not contained in  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, it suffices to check that  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  contains a subrepresentation which is isomorphic to  $W_3^O$ , which is a direct sum of 19 irreducibles.

By Proposition 9.10, we have an injective  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$W_3^O \oplus (W_2^O \otimes H) \hookrightarrow H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_2^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

Since we have  $H_2^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_2^O$  by Pettet, we have  $W_3^O \hookrightarrow H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ , which completes the proof.

## 11. THE THIRD ALBANESE HOMOLOGY OF $\text{IA}_n$

In this section, we compute  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and prove that Conjecture 6.2 holds for  $i = 3$  by using the same method as we used to compute  $H_3^A(\text{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  in Section 10.

**11.1. The third Albanese homology of  $\text{IA}_n$ .** By Theorem 6.1,  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  contains  $W_3$ , which consists of the following 34 irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} W(3, 0) &= V_{1^4, 1}, & W(0, 3) &= V_{1^3}, \\ W(21, 0) &= V_{2^2 1, 2} \oplus V_{2^2 1, 1^2} \oplus V_{21^3, 2} \oplus V_{21^3, 1^2} \oplus V_{1^5, 2} \oplus V_{1^5, 1^2}, \\ W(2, 1) &= V_{21^2, 1} \oplus V_{1^4, 1}, & W(1, 2) &= V_{2^2, 1} \oplus V_{21^2, 1} \oplus V_{1^4, 1}, \\ W(0, 21) &= V_{21} \oplus V_{1^3}, \\ W(1^3, 0) &= V_{3^2, 1^3} \oplus V_{321, 21} \oplus V_{31^3, 3} \oplus V_{2^3, 3} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2, 21} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2, 1^3} \oplus V_{21^4, 21} \oplus V_{1^6, 1^3}, \\ W(1^2, 1) &= V_{32, 1^2} \oplus V_{31^2, 2} \oplus V_{2^2 1, 2} \oplus V_{2^2 1, 1^2} \oplus V_{21^3, 2} \oplus V_{21^3, 1^2} \oplus V_{1^5, 1^2}, \\ W(1, 1^2) &= V_{2^2, 1} \oplus V_{21^2, 1} \oplus V_{1^4, 1}, & W(0, 1^3) &= V_{1^3}. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.1.** *Let  $n \geq 9$ .  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  is decomposed into 34 irreducible  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong W_3 \\ &\cong V_{3^2, 1^3} \oplus V_{321, 21} \oplus V_{31^3, 3} \oplus V_{2^3, 3} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2, 21} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2, 1^3} \oplus V_{21^4, 21} \oplus V_{1^6, 1^3} \\ &\oplus V_{32, 1^2} \oplus V_{31^2, 2} \oplus V_{2^2 1, 2} \oplus V_{2^2 1, 1^2} \oplus V_{21^3, 2} \oplus V_{21^3, 1^2} \oplus V_{1^5, 2} \oplus V_{1^5, 1^2} \\ &\oplus V_{2^2, 1} \oplus V_{21^2, 1} \oplus V_{1^4, 1} \oplus V_{21, 0} \oplus V_{1^3, 0}. \end{aligned}$$

**11.2. Irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U, \mathbb{Q})$ .** We begin with the computation of an irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.

We can check the following lemma directly by hand and by using SageMath.

**Lemma 11.2.** *Let  $n \geq 9$ .  $H_3(U, \mathbb{Q})$  is decomposed into the following 61 irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(U, \mathbb{Q}) = & \bigwedge^3 (V_{1^2,1} \oplus V_{1,0}) \\ & \cong V_{3^2,1^3} \oplus V_{321,21} \oplus V_{31^3,3} \oplus V_{2^3,3} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2,21} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2,1^3} \oplus V_{21^4,21} \oplus V_{1^6,1^3} \\ & \oplus V_{32,2} \oplus V_{32,1^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{31^2,2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{31^2,1^2} \oplus V_{2^2 1,2}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{2^2 1,1^2}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{21^3,2}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{21^3,1^2}^{\oplus 3} \\ & \oplus V_{1^5,2} \oplus V_{1^5,1^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{31,1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{2^2,1}^{\oplus 6} \oplus V_{21^2,1}^{\oplus 7} \oplus V_{1^4,1}^{\oplus 6} \oplus V_{3,0} \oplus V_{21,0}^{\oplus 4} \oplus V_{1^3,0}^{\oplus 6}. \end{aligned}$$

**11.3. Upper bound of  $H_3^A(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .** Let  $\tau^* : H^i(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  denote the map induced by the Johnson homomorphism  $\tau : \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow U$  on cohomology. Let  $R_i = \ker \tau^*$ . Here, we compute  $R_3$ .

By Pettet [25], we have an exact sequence of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -representations

$$(11.3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathrm{IA}_n), \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{[\cdot, \cdot]^*} H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $\mathrm{gr}^2(\mathrm{IA}_n)$  is the degree 2 part of the graded Lie algebra associated to the lower central series of  $\mathrm{IA}_n$ . Here  $[\cdot, \cdot]^*$  is induced by the surjection

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \bigwedge^2 U \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^2(\mathrm{IA}_n)$$

which sends  $\bar{x} \wedge \bar{y} \in \bigwedge^2 U$  to  $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] \in \mathrm{gr}^2(\mathrm{IA}_n)$ . Pettet obtained an irreducible decomposition of  $R_2$ .

**Lemma 11.3** (Pettet [25]). *Let  $n \geq 3$ . We have*

$$R_2 \cong V_{1,21} \oplus V_{0,1^2}.$$

Since we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathrm{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{\pi^*} & H^2(\mathrm{IO}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \tau^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \tau_O^* \\ H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{pr}^*} & H^2(U^O, \mathbb{Q}), \end{array}$$

we obtain

$$(11.3.2) \quad R_2^O = \ker \tau_O^* \subset R_2 = \ker \tau^*.$$

Therefore, we obtain a generator of  $V_{1,21} \subset R_2$ .

**Lemma 11.4.** *The subrepresentation  $V_{1,21} \subset R_2$  is generated by*

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^{1,2} \wedge e_n^{j,1} \in H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 U^*.$$

We use the exact sequence (11.3.1) to find a generator of  $V_{0,1^2} \subset R_2$ .

**Lemma 11.5.** *The subrepresentation  $V_{0,1^2} \subset R_2$  is generated by*

$$\gamma = \sum_{j,k=1}^n e_j^{1,2} \wedge e_k^{j,k} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n e_j^{1,k} \wedge e_k^{j,2} \in H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 U^*.$$

*Proof.* We use the basis for  $H_2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 U$  induced by the basis  $\{e_{a,b}^c \mid 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, a < b\}$  for  $U$ .

The *second Johnson homomorphism* gives us an inclusion map

$$\tau^{(2)} : \text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(H, (\bigwedge^2 H \otimes H) / \bigwedge^3 H) \cong H^* \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H \otimes H) / \bigwedge^3 H.$$

(See [25, Section 2.3] for the definition of the second Johnson homomorphism.) We have  $\text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n) \cong V_{21,1} \oplus V_{1^2,0}$ , and  $\text{Hom}(H, (\bigwedge^2 H \otimes H) / \bigwedge^3 H) \cong V_{21,1} \oplus V_{1^2,0} \oplus V_{2,0}$ . In what follows, we identify elements of  $\text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n)$  and the images of them under  $\tau^{(2)}$ . The subrepresentation of  $\text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n)$  that is isomorphic to  $V_{1^2,0}$  has the following basis

$$\{x_{p,q} = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* \otimes \overline{((e_p \wedge e_q) \otimes e_j)} \mid 1 \leq p < q \leq n\}.$$

Let  $x_{1,2}^* \in \text{Hom}(\text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n), \mathbb{Q})$  denote the dual basis vector which sends  $x_{1,2}$  to 1 and the other basis vectors to 0. Then  $x_{1,2}^*$  is a generator of the subrepresentation of  $\text{Hom}(\text{gr}^2(\text{IA}_n), \mathbb{Q})$  that is isomorphic to  $V_{0,1^2}$ .

Since we have  $R_2 = \text{im}[\ , ]^*$  by (11.3.1), in order to show that  $\gamma$  generates the subrepresentation of  $R_2$  which is isomorphic to  $V_{0,1^2}$ , it suffices to check that

$$\gamma = (n+1)[\ , ]^*(x_{1,2}^*).$$

We can check that for distinct elements  $j, k \in [n] \setminus \{1, 2\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,k}^j, e_{j,2}^k]) &= 1/(n+1), & x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^j, e_{j,k}^k]) &= 1/(n+1), \\ x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^j, e_{j,1}^1]) &= 2/(n+1), & x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^j, e_{j,2}^2]) &= 2/(n+1), \\ x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^2, e_{2,j}^j]) &= 1/(n+1), & x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^1, e_{1,j}^j]) &= 1/(n+1), \\ x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,j}^j, e_{j,2}^j]) &= 1/(n+1), & x_{1,2}^*([e_{1,2}^2, e_{2,1}^1]) &= 3/(n+1), \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$[\ , ]^*(x_{1,2}^*)(x) = 0$$

for any other basis vectors  $x$  for  $H_2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^2 U$ . Therefore, we have

$$[\ , ]^*(x_{1,2}^*) = \frac{1}{n+1} \gamma.$$

□

Let

$$\cup : H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2 \rightarrow H^3(U, \mathbb{Q})$$

denote the restriction of the cup product. Then we have  $\text{im } \cup \subset R_3$ . In what follows, we compute  $\text{im } \cup$ . We can compute the tensor product  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2$  directly by hand and by using SageMath.

**Lemma 11.6.** *Let  $n \geq 6$ . We have an irreducible decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R_2 &\cong (V_{1,1^2} \oplus V_{0,1}) \otimes (V_{1,21} \oplus V_{0,1^2}) \\ &\cong V_{2,32} \oplus V_{1^2,32} \oplus V_{2,31^2} \oplus V_{1^2,31^2} \oplus V_{2,2^21} \oplus V_{1^2,2^21} \oplus V_{2,21^3} \oplus V_{1^2,21^3} \\ &\oplus V_{1,31}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{1,2^2}^{\oplus 4} \oplus V_{1,21^2}^{\oplus 5} \oplus V_{1,1^4}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{0,3} \oplus V_{0,21}^{\oplus 5} \oplus V_{0,1^3}^{\oplus 3}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations, we can identify the cup product map  $\cup$  with

$$\wedge : (V_{1,1^2} \oplus V_{0,1}) \otimes (V_{1,21} \oplus V_{0,1^2}) \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 (V_{1,1^2} \oplus V_{0,1}).$$

**Proposition 11.7.** *For  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\mathrm{im} \cup$  contains a  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -subrepresentation consisting of the following 27 irreducible representations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{im} \cup \supset &V_{2,32} \oplus V_{1^2,32} \oplus V_{2,31^2} \oplus V_{1^2,31^2} \oplus V_{2,2^21} \oplus V_{1^2,2^21} \oplus V_{2,21^3} \oplus V_{1^2,21^3} \\ &\oplus V_{1,31}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{1,2^2}^{\oplus 4} \oplus V_{1,21^2}^{\oplus 4} \oplus V_{1,1^4}^{\oplus 2} \oplus V_{0,3} \oplus V_{0,21}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{0,1^3}^{\oplus 3}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 10.6 and (11.3.2), the 8 irreducible components of size 7 and the irreducible component which is isomorphic to  $V_{0,3}$  are contained in  $\mathrm{im} \cup$ .

By Lemmas 11.4 and 11.5, we have the following elements in  $\mathrm{im} \cup$ :

$$\beta_{a,b,c} = e_a^{b,c} \wedge \beta, \quad \gamma_{a,b,c} = e_a^{b,c} \wedge \gamma.$$

Let  $\iota^* : \bigwedge^3 U^* \hookrightarrow (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3}$  denote the canonical inclusion. We will check that  $V_{0,21}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{0,1^3}^{\oplus 3}$  is contained in  $\mathrm{im} \cup$ . We use the following contraction maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\otimes 3}, \quad \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto b_3^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) a_1^*(c_3) b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^*, \\ \varphi_3 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\otimes 3}, \quad \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_2^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) b_3^*(c_3) a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes b_2^*, \\ \varphi_4 : (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} &\rightarrow (H^*)^{\otimes 3}, \quad \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto b_1^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) b_3^*(c_3) a_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 H^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3})) \\ &= (2(n-1), 0, 0) \cdot e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*, \\ &(\mathrm{id} - E_{4,1})(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4})) \\ &= (2(n^2 - 2n - 1), 2(n^2 + n), 2(n+1)) \cdot e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*, \\ &(\mathrm{id} - E_{4,3})(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3})) \\ &= (-2(n-1), 0, 2(n^2 - 1)) \cdot e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\mathrm{im} \cup$  contains  $V_{0,1^3}$  with multiplicity 3.

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 H^* \otimes H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes c^*$  for  $\varphi_3$ , and defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \mapsto (b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes a^*$

for  $\varphi_2, \varphi_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,n,3})) \\
 &= (2(n-2), 0, 0) \cdot (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\
 & (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\text{id} - E_{2,1})(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{1,3,4})) \\
 &= (2(n^2 + n - 4), 2(n^2 + n), 2(n+1)) \cdot (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\
 & (\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\varphi_2 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \varphi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \varphi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3})) \\
 &= (-2(n-4), 6n, 2(n^2 - 1)) \cdot (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{0,21}$  with multiplicity 3.

Lastly, we will check that 12 irreducible components of size 5 are contained in  $\text{im } \cup$ . We use the following contraction maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_1 &: (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\
 & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_2^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) c_3 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes b_2^* \otimes b_3^*), \\
 \psi_2 &: (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\
 & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_3^*(c_2) b_3^*(c_1) c_3 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^*), \\
 \psi_3 &: (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\
 & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto a_3^*(c_2) b_3^*(c_3) c_1 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes b_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^*), \\
 \psi_4 &: (H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow H \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 4}, \\
 & \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 (c_j \otimes a_j^* \otimes b_j^*) \mapsto b_1^*(c_1) a_3^*(c_2) c_3 \otimes (a_1^* \otimes a_2^* \otimes b_2^* \otimes b_3^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 H^* \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes 2}$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes c^* \otimes d^*$  for  $\psi_1$ , and defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes a^* \otimes d^*$  for  $\psi_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\text{id} - E_{2,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4})) \\
 &= (2(n-1), 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^*, \\
 & (\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3})) \\
 &= (2, 2(n-1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes e_1^* \otimes e_1^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,31}$  with multiplicity 2.

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow \bigwedge^4 H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto a^* \wedge b^* \wedge c^* \wedge d^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4})) = (-2(n+1), 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge e_4^*), \\
 & (E_{n,3} - \text{id})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3})) = (8(n+1), 4(n+1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge e_4^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,14}$  with multiplicity 2.

Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^2 H^*) \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H^*)$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^*) \otimes (c^* \wedge d^*)$  for  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3$ , and defined by  $(b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes (a^* \wedge d^*)$  for  $\psi_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4})) \\
& \quad = (-2(n+1), 8(n-1), 0, 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*), \\
& (\text{id} - E_{3,2})(E_{n,2} - \text{id})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{2,3,n}), \psi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{2,3,n}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{2,3,n}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{2,3,n})) \\
& \quad = (-2(n-1), 8, 0, 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*), \\
& (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_2 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3})) \\
& \quad = (-2, 0, 4, -2(n-1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*), \\
& (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{3,1})(E_{n,3} - \text{id})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_2 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3})) \\
& \quad = (-4(n-2), -8(n-4), -4(n^2-1), 4(n+1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*) \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,2^2}$  with multiplicity 4.

Let  $\psi_1''$  denote the composition of  $\psi_1$  with the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^3 H^*) \otimes H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^* \wedge d^*) \otimes c^*$ . Considering the image under the projection  $(H^*)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow (\bigwedge^3 H^*) \otimes H^*$  defined by  $a^* \otimes b^* \otimes c^* \otimes d^* \mapsto (a^* \wedge b^* \wedge c^*) \otimes d^*$  for  $\psi_1, \psi_3, \psi_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{id} - E_{4,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_1'' \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4})) \\
& \quad = (2(n-2), 2, 0, 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\
& (\text{id} - E_{4,2})(\text{id} - E_{2,1})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_1'' \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{1,3,4})) \\
& \quad = (-2(n-1), -2(n-1), 0, 0) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\
& (\text{id} - E_{4,3})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_1'' \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\beta_{3,4,3})) \\
& \quad = (2, 0, -2, 2(n-1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*, \\
& (\text{id} - E_{4,1})(E_{n,3} - \text{id})(\psi_1 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_1'' \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_3 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3}), \psi_4 \iota^*(\gamma_{3,4,3})) \\
& \quad = (4, 8n-4, 2(n+1)^2, 2(n+1)) \cdot e_n \otimes (e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*) \otimes e_1^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that  $\text{im } \cup$  contains  $V_{1,21^2}$  with multiplicity 4. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**11.4. Proof of Theorem 11.1.** Here we complete the proof of Theorem 11.1. By Lemma 11.2, we obtain an irreducible decomposition of  $H_3(U, \mathbb{Q})$ , which consists of 61 irreducibles. By Proposition 11.7, we obtain 27 irreducible components that are not contained in  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . By Theorem 6.1, we have 34 irreducible components that are included in  $H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$H_3^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong W_3,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 11.1.

*Remark 11.8.* By the above proof, we have

$$H^3(U, \mathbb{Q}) / \text{im } \cup \xrightarrow{\cong} H_A^3(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since the image of the cup product map coincides with the degree 3 part of the ideal  $\langle R_2 \rangle \subset H^*(U, \mathbb{Q})$ , Conjecture 8.2 holds for  $* = 3$ .

## 12. PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES

Here we list some problems and perspectives.

**12.1. Homology of  $\text{IA}_n$ .** We would like to realize  $W_i$  as a subrepresentation of  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

*Problem 12.1.* Construct a lift

$$W_i \rightarrow H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

of the inclusion map  $W_i \hookrightarrow F_i(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$  along  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{F_i} F_i(H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))$  as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .

We would like to realize the Albanese homology  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  as a subrepresentation of  $H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ .

*Problem 12.2.* Construct a section of

$$H_i(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} H_i^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$$

as  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -representations for sufficiently large  $n$  with respect to  $i$ .

**12.2. Relation between  $H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\{H^*(\text{Aut}(F_n), H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q})\}_{p,q}$ .** In [18], Kawazumi–Vespa studied stable cohomology of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  with coefficients in  $H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$  for  $p, q \geq 0$ , to which they associated a wheeled PROP  $\mathcal{H}$ . They defined another wheeled PROP  $\mathcal{E}$  associated to certain Ext-groups in the functor category on the category of finitely generated free groups. They constructed a morphism of wheeled PROPs  $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , and by using Djament’s conjecture [11], they conjectured that the wheeled PROP  $\mathcal{H}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{E}$  [18, Conjecture 6].

They also gave a description of  $\mathcal{E}$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{P}_0(k)$  denote the operadic suspension of the operad  $\text{Com}$  of non-unital commutative algebras, that is,  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is an operad such that  $\mathcal{P}_0(0) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0(k) = \text{sgn}_k[k-1]$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Here  $\text{sgn}_k[k-1]$  is the sign representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  placed in cohomological dimension  $k-1$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_0^\circ$  denote the wheeled completion of  $\mathcal{P}_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_0^\circ}$  denote the wheeled PROP freely generated by the wheeled operad  $\mathcal{P}_0^\circ$ . They proved that there is a wheeled PROP isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_0^\circ} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}$ .

Here we observe some relation between the graded  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation  $W_*$  and the wheeled PROP  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_0^\circ}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{P}_0(k)$  denote the non-unital suboperad of  $\mathcal{P}_0$ . We have a non-unital wheeled sub-PROP (or a sub-TRAP in the sense of [7])  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}^\circ}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_0^\circ}$  associated to the non-unital wheeled operad  $\mathcal{O}^\circ$ . Then we have a graded  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism

$$(12.2.1) \quad W_* \cong \bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} T_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q]} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}^\circ}(p, q),$$

where  $T_{p,q}$  is the traceless part of  $H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q}$  defined in Section 2. We have a non-unital wheeled PROP  $\mathcal{W}$  associated to  $W_*$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(p, q) = T_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q]} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}^\circ}(p, q)$ .

The above relation (12.2.1) between  $W_*$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}_0^\circ}$  suggests a strong relation between  $H_*^A(\text{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\{H^*(\text{Aut}(F_n), H^{\otimes p} \otimes (H^*)^{\otimes q})\}_{p,q}$ , which we hope to address in the future.

**12.3. Albanese homology of the Torelli groups.** The Albanese homology of the Torelli group of a closed surface of degree  $\leq 3$  has been determined by Johnson [16], Hain [14], Sakasai [26] and Kupers–Randal-Williams [21].

Lindell [22] detected the following subquotient  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation of the Albanese homology of the Torelli group  $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$  of a connected oriented surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component. For a partition  $\lambda$ , let  $V_\lambda^{\mathrm{Sp}}$  denote the irreducible  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 12.3** (Lindell [22, Theorem 1.5]). *For a pair of partitions  $(\lambda, \mu) \vdash i$  such that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{k_1} > \cdots > \lambda_m^{k_m} > 0)$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1^{l_1} > \cdots > \mu_{m+2}^{l_{m+2}} > 0)$ ,  $\mu_j = \lambda_j + 2$ , for  $g \geq i + 2l(\lambda) + l(\mu)$ , the subrepresentation  $W_i^{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}}(\lambda, \mu) \subset \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m+2} \bigwedge^{k_j+l_j} V_1^{\mu_j \mathrm{Sp}}$  spanned by all irreducible subrepresentations of weight  $i + 2l(\lambda)$  is a subquotient  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation of  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$ .*

We conjecture that one can adapt our argument of Theorem 6.1 to the above result of Lindell to show that the direct sum of  $W_i^{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}}(\lambda, \mu)$  is a subquotient representation of  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$ . According to Kupers–Randal-Williams [21],  $H_i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  contains  $W_i^{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}}$  for  $i \leq 3$ . However, it does not seem that  $W_i^{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}}$  is equal to the entire  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  denote the mapping class group of surface of genus  $g$  with one boundary component. Let  $g \geq 2$ . We have an exact sequence of groups with  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ -actions

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(U\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $U\Sigma_g$  denotes the unit tangent bundle of a surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus  $g$ . We have  $H_1(U\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Q})$ . By using Proposition A.7, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 12.4.** *We have an injective  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism*

$$H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_{i-1}^A(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

*Proof.* In a way similar to the proof of Proposition 9.8, we obtain an injective  $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H_p^A(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q}).$$

It suffices to show that we have

$$(12.3.1) \quad H_i^A(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (i = 0) \\ H & (i = 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

By using the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(U\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow 1,$$

we have

$$H_i(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (i = 0, 3) \\ H & (i = 1, 2) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$



We also have

$$H_i(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}) = H_i(H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge^i H.$$

Since the Johnson homomorphism is an  $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism, we obtain (12.3.1), which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 12.5.* The composition

$$s : \Sigma_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\text{section}} U\Sigma_{g,1} \hookrightarrow U\Sigma_g$$

induces an  $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -homomorphism

$$s_* = H_i^A(\pi_1(s), \mathbb{Q}) : H_i^A(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i^A(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q}),$$

which is an isomorphism by the equation (12.3.1).

**Conjecture 12.6.** *We stably have a graded  $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$H_*^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^A(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(\pi_1(U\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q}).$$

*Remark 12.7.* We consider the cases of degree  $i \leq 3$  of the cohomological version of Conjecture 12.6

$$(12.3.2) \quad H_A^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_A^i(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_A^{i-1}(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

For  $i = 1$ , by [16], we have for  $g \geq 3$

$$H_A^1(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong V_{1^3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_1^{\text{Sp}} \cong H_A^1(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_A^0(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

For  $i = 2$ , by [21], for sufficiently large  $g$ , we have

$$H^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}} \cong 2V_{1^2}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{21^2}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 2V_{1^4}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{2^2 1^2}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{1^6}^{\text{Sp}},$$

where  $H^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}}$  denotes the *maximal algebraic subrepresentation* of  $H^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is the union of rational subrepresentations of  $H^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then we have  $H_A^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^i(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}}$ . By [14], for  $g \geq 6$ , we have

$$H_A^2(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \cong V_{1^2}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{21^2}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{1^4}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{1^6}^{\text{Sp}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$H^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}} \cong H_A^2(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_A^1(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H).$$

If we have  $H_A^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}}$ , then (12.3.2) for  $i = 2$  holds.

For  $i = 3$ , by [21], for sufficiently large  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})^{\text{alg}} \supset M = & V_1^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{21}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 3V_{1^3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 2V_{2^2 1}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 3V_{21^3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{321^2}^{\text{Sp}} \\ & \oplus 2V_{2^3 1}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{3^2 3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 4V_{1^5}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 2V_{2^2 1^3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{3^2 1^3}^{\text{Sp}} \\ & \oplus 2V_{21^5}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{2^3 1^3}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus 2V_{1^7}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{2^2 1^5}^{\text{Sp}} \oplus V_{1^9}^{\text{Sp}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if  $H^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  is finite-dimensional for sufficiently large  $g$ . By [26, 21], one can check that  $H_A^3(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus (H_A^2(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H) \cong M \oplus V_1^{\text{Sp}}$ . Therefore, if (12.3.2) for  $i = 3$  holds, then  $H^2(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  is infinite-dimensional for sufficiently large  $g$ .

We have an injective group homomorphism  $\iota : \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \hookrightarrow \text{IA}_{2g}$ , which induces a group homomorphism  $\iota_* : H_i(H_1(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(H_1(\text{IA}_{2g}), \mathbb{Q})$ , which maps  $H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q})$  into  $H_i^A(\text{IA}_{2g}, \mathbb{Q})$ . We make the following conjectures.

**Conjecture 12.8.** *For  $g \geq 3i$ , the image  $\iota_*(H_i^A(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}, \mathbb{Q}))$  generates  $H_i^A(\mathbf{IA}_{2g}, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\mathrm{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.*

We can check that Conjecture 12.8 holds for  $i = 1, 2$ .

## APPENDIX A. PROPERTIES OF ALBANESE HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY

Here we give a brief summary of some properties about Albanese homology and cohomology.

**A.1. Albanese homology functor.** Let  $\mathbf{Gp}$  denote the category of groups and group homomorphisms and  $\mathbf{grVect}$  the category of graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces and graded linear maps. Let

$$H_*^A : \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{grVect}$$

denote the functor which maps a group  $G$  to  $H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$ , and

$$H_* : \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{grVect}$$

the functor which maps a group  $G$  to  $H_*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then we have a natural transformation

$$(\pi_*)_G := \pi_*^G : H_*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where  $\pi_*^G$  is the map induced by the abelianization  $\pi^G : G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ab}}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{CCoalg}$  denote the category of graded-cocommutative coalgebras and graded coalgebra morphisms over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then we also have functors

$$H_*^A : \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{CCoalg}, \quad H_* : \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{CCoalg}$$

and a natural transformation

$$\pi_* : H_* \Rightarrow H_*^A.$$

**A.2. Filtered colimits.** A *filtered category*  $I$  is a category satisfying the following two conditions:

- for any objects  $i, j \in I$ , there are an object  $k$  and morphisms  $i \rightarrow k$  and  $j \rightarrow k$ ,
- for any parallel morphisms  $f : i \rightarrow j$  and  $g : i \rightarrow j$ , there exists a morphism  $w : j \rightarrow k$  such that  $wf = wg$ .

For a functor  $F$  from a filtered category  $I$  to another category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the colimit  $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} F_i$  of  $F$  is called the *filtered colimit*.

Group homology preserves filtered colimits. We observe that the same property holds for Albanese homology.

**Proposition A.1.** *The functor  $H_*^A$  preserves filtered colimits, that is, the natural map*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} H_*^A(G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*^A(\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q})$$

*is an isomorphism. In particular,  $H_*^A$  preserves direct limits.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B : I \rightarrow \mathbf{grVect}$  be two functors. For a natural transformation  $\alpha_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ , we have

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} (\operatorname{im}(A_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} B_i)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \alpha_i} \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} B_i).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_*^A(G_i, \mathbb{Q}) &= \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} (\operatorname{im}(H_*(G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} (H_*(G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}))) \\ &= \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} (H_*(G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} H_*(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{im}(H_*(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} (G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{im}(H_*(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_*((\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} G)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})) \\ &= H_*^A(\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**A.3. Duality.** We have the universal coefficient theorem for group homology and group cohomology. Here we observe that the universal coefficient theorem also holds for Albanese homology and cohomology.

**Lemma A.2.** *For a group  $G$ , we have a linear isomorphism*

$$H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H_A^i(G, \mathbb{Q}))^*.$$

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the following fact. Let  $V, W$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces and  $f : V \rightarrow W$  a linear map. Define a linear map

$$\Phi : \operatorname{im}(f^*) \rightarrow (\operatorname{im} f)^*$$

by sending  $\psi \in \operatorname{im}(f^*)$  to the restriction  $\phi|_{\operatorname{im} f}$ , where  $\phi \in W^*$  is a linear map satisfying  $\psi = \phi f$ . Then we can check that  $\Phi$  is a linear isomorphism. □

We have  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representation structures on  $H_A^i(\operatorname{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_A^i(\operatorname{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then we can check that the map  $\Phi$  in the proof of Lemma A.2 is a  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism. We obtain the following duality as  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -representations.

**Proposition A.3.** *We have a  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ -isomorphism*

$$H_A^i(\operatorname{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H_A^i(\operatorname{IA}_n, \mathbb{Q}))^*.$$

**A.4. Albanese cohomology functor.** Let

$$H_A^* : \mathbf{Gp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{grVect}$$

denote the functor which maps a group  $G$  to  $H_A^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ , and

$$H^* : \mathbf{Gp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{grVect}$$

the functor which maps a group  $G$  to  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then we have a natural transformation

$$\iota_G : H_A^*(G, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^*(G, \mathbb{Q}).$$

For any group  $G$ , we have a graded-commutative algebra structure on  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Q})$  with the cup product as the multiplication. Let  $\mathbf{CAlg}$  denote the category of

graded-commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras and graded algebra morphisms. Then we also have functors

$$H_A^* : \mathbf{Gp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}, \quad H^* : \mathbf{Gp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}$$

and a natural transformation

$$\iota : H_A^* \Rightarrow H^*.$$

The following property holds for Albanese cohomology as in the case of group cohomology.

**Proposition A.4.** *The functor  $H_A^*$  preserves filtered limits, that is, the natural map*

$$H_A^*(\text{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \lim_{i \in I} H_A^*(G_i, \mathbb{Q})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Proposition A.1 and Lemma A.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_A^*(\text{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong (H_*^A(\text{colim}_{i \in I} G_i, \mathbb{Q}))^* \cong (\text{colim}_{i \in I} H_*^A(G_i, \mathbb{Q}))^* \\ &\cong \lim_{i \in I} (H_*^A(G_i, \mathbb{Q}))^* \cong \lim_{i \in I} H_A^*(G_i, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**A.5. Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence.** Here we study Albanese homology of groups by using the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequences for exact sequences of groups. See [29, Chapter 5] for details of spectral sequences and their convergence.

For an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1,$$

we have the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \pi^N & & \downarrow \pi^G & & \downarrow \pi^Q \\ & & N^{\text{ab}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & G^{\text{ab}} & \longrightarrow & Q^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

We have the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence which converges to  $H_{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(Q, H_q(N, \mathbb{Q})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Suppose that  $\iota_*$  is injective. Then we also have the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence which converges to  $H_{p+q}(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})$ :

$$\tilde{E}_{p,q}^2 = H_p(Q^{\text{ab}}, H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since  $Q^{\text{ab}}$  trivially acts on  $H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})$ , we have

$$H_p(Q^{\text{ab}}, H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})) \cong H_p(Q^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and thus we have  $\tilde{E}_{p,q}^2 = \tilde{E}_{p,q}^\infty$ .

A morphism between short exact sequences of groups induces a morphism of spectral sequences. Thus, the abelianization induces a morphism of spectral sequences

$$f_{p,q}^* : E_{p,q}^* \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{p,q}^*.$$

By using the above two spectral sequences, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition A.5.** *Suppose that  $\iota_* : N^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  is injective. Then we have an injective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map*

$$H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_*^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

*Proof.* We can check that the image of

$$f_{p,q}^2 : H_p(Q, H_q(N, \mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow H_p(Q^{\text{ab}}, H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})) = H_p(Q^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q(N^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is  $H_p^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(N, \mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\tilde{E}_{p,q}^\infty = \tilde{E}_{p,q}^2$ , we have

$$\text{im } f_{p,q}^\infty \subset \text{im } f_{p,q}^2 = H_p^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since the map  $f_{p,q}^\infty$  is compatible with

$$\pi_* : H_{p+q}(G, \mathbb{Q}) \twoheadrightarrow H_{p+q}^A(G, \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_{p+q}(G^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

we have a filtration of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$0 = F_{-1} \subset F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = H_n^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

satisfying  $F_r/F_{r-1} = \text{im } f_{r,n-r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq n$ . Therefore, we have

$$H_n^A(G, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \text{im } f_{p,q}^\infty \subset \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

□

*Remark A.6.* Suppose that we have  $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ , and that we have  $f_{p,q}^3(E_{p,q}^3) = f_{p,q}^2(E_{p,q}^2)$  for any  $p, q$ . Then  $f_{p,q}^*(E_{p,q}^*)$  form a spectral sequence. Therefore, since the differentials of the spectral sequence  $f_{p,q}^*(E_{p,q}^*)$  vanish for  $* \geq 2$ , we have

$$H_*^A(G, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*^A(N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

In what follows, we study a group action on spectral sequences. Let  $K$  be a group. A  $K$ -group  $G$  is a group  $G$  with a left  $K$ -action satisfying  $k \cdot (gg') = (k \cdot g)(k \cdot g')$  for  $k \in K$  and  $g, g' \in G$ . A morphism of  $K$ -groups is a group homomorphism compatible with the  $K$ -action. Let  ${}_K\mathbf{Gp}$  denote the category of  $K$ -groups and  $K$ -group homomorphisms. Let  ${}_K\mathbf{Mod}$  denote the category of left  $\mathbb{Q}[K]$ -modules and  $\mathbb{Q}[K]$ -homomorphisms. Let

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence in  ${}_K\mathbf{Gp}$ . Then the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence associated to this exact sequence is defined in  ${}_K\mathbf{Mod}$ . Proposition A.5 extends to the following proposition. Since the category  ${}_K\mathbf{Mod}$  is not necessarily semisimple, we do not have a direct sum decomposition of  $H_n^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$  as  $\mathbb{Q}[K]$ -modules.

**Proposition A.7.** *Let*

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

*be an exact sequence in  ${}_K\mathbf{Gp}$ . Suppose that  $\iota_* : N^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  is injective. Then  $H_n^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$  has a filtration in  ${}_K\mathbf{Mod}$*

$$0 = F_{-1} \subset F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = H_n^A(G, \mathbb{Q})$$

such that there is an injective  $\mathbb{Q}[K]$ -homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{r=0}^n F_r/F_{r-1} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p^A(Q, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q^A(H, \mathbb{Q}).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Georgia Benkart, Manish Chakrabarti, Thomas Halverson, Robert Leduc, Chanyoung Lee, and Jeffrey Stroomer. Tensor product representations of general linear groups and their connections with Brauer algebras. *J. Algebra*, 166(3):529–567, 1994.
- [2] Mladen Bestvina, Kai-Uwe Bux, and Dan Margalit. Dimension of the Torelli group for  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ . *Invent. Math.*, 170(1):1–32, 2007.
- [3] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [4] Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and Benson Farb. FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(9):1833–1910, 2015.
- [5] Thomas Church and Benson Farb. Parameterized Abel-Jacobi maps and abelian cycles in the Torelli group. *J. Topol.*, 5(1):15–38, 2012.
- [6] Thomas Church and Benson Farb. Representation theory and homological stability. *Adv. Math.*, 245:250–314, 2013.
- [7] Pierre J Clavier, Loïc Foissy, and Sylvie Paycha. From non-unitary wheeled PROPs to smooth amplitudes and generalised convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00855*, 2021.
- [8] F. Cohen and J Pakianathan. On automorphism groups of free groups, and their nilpotent quotients. in preparation.
- [9] F. R. Cohen, Aaron Heap, and Alexandra Pettet. On the Andreadakis-Johnson filtration of the automorphism group of a free group. *J. Algebra*, 329:72–91, 2011.
- [10] Matthew Day and Andrew Putman. On the second homology group of the Torelli subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ . *Geom. Topol.*, 21(5):2851–2896, 2017.
- [11] Aurélien Djament. Décomposition de Hodge pour l’homologie stable des groupes d’automorphismes des groupes libres. *Compos. Math.*, 155(9):1794–1844, 2019.
- [12] Benson Farb. Automorphisms of  $F_n$  which act trivially on homology. in preparation.
- [13] William Fulton and Joe Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [14] Richard Hain. Infinitesimal presentations of the Torelli groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(3):597–651, 1997.
- [15] Dennis Johnson. A survey of the Torelli group. In *Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981)*, volume 20 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–179. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [16] Dennis Johnson. The structure of the Torelli group. III. The abelianization of  $\mathcal{T}$ . *Topology*, 24(2):127–144, 1985.
- [17] Nariya Kawazumi. Cohomological aspects of magnus expansions. *arXiv preprint math/0505497*, 2005.
- [18] Nariya Kawazumi and Christine Vespa. On the wheeled PROP of stable cohomology of  $\text{Aut}(F_n)$  with bivariant coefficients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.14497*, 2021.
- [19] Kazuhiko Koike. On the decomposition of tensor products of the representations of the classical groups: by means of the universal characters. *Adv. Math.*, 74(1):57–86, 1989.
- [20] Sava Krstić and James McCool. The non-finite presentability of  $\text{IA}(F_3)$  and  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ . *Invent. Math.*, 129(3):595–606, 1997.
- [21] Alexander Kupers and Oscar Randal-Williams. On the cohomology of Torelli groups. *Forum Math. Pi*, 8:e7, 83, 2020.
- [22] Erik Lindell. Abelian cycles in the homology of the torelli group. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, pages 1–24, 2021.
- [23] Wilhelm Magnus. Über  $n$ -dimensionale Gittertransformationen. *Acta Math.*, 64(1):353–367, 1935.
- [24] Peter Patzt. Representation stability for filtrations of Torelli groups. *Math. Ann.*, 372(1-2):257–298, 2018.

- [25] Alexandra Pettet. The Johnson homomorphism and the second cohomology of  $IA_n$ . *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:725–740, 2005.
- [26] Takuya Sakasai. The Johnson homomorphism and the third rational cohomology group of the Torelli group. *Topology Appl.*, 148(1-3):83–111, 2005.
- [27] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. Stability patterns in representation theory. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 3:Paper No. e11, 108, 2015.
- [28] Takao Satoh. On the low-dimensional cohomology groups of the IA-automorphism group of the free group of rank three. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 64(2):338–363, 2021.
- [29] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

*Email address:* `katada.mai.36s@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp`