

# THE STRUCTURES OF HIGHER RANK LATTICE ACTIONS ON DENDRITES

ENHUI SHI AND HUI XU

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Gamma$  be a higher rank lattice acting on a nondegenerate dendrite  $X$  with no infinite order points. We show that there exists a nondegenerate subdendrite  $Y$  which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and satisfies the following items:

(1) There is an inverse system of finite actions  $\{(Y_i, \Gamma) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  with monotone bonding maps  $\phi_i : Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_i$  and with each  $Y_i$  being a dendrite, such that  $(Y, \Gamma|_Y)$  is topologically conjugate to the inverse limit  $(\varprojlim (Y_i, \Gamma), \Gamma)$ .

(2) The first point map  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  is a factor map from  $(X, \Gamma)$  to  $(Y, \Gamma|_Y)$ ; if  $x \in X \setminus Y$ , then  $r(x)$  is an end point of  $Y$  with infinite orbit; for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $r^{-1}(y)$  is contractible, that is there is a sequence  $g_i \in \Gamma$  with  $\text{diam}(g_i r^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow 0$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It was conjectured that every continuous action on the circle by a higher rank lattice must factor through a finite group action (the so called 1-dimensional Zimmer's rigidity conjecture). Burger-Monod [4] and Ghys [10] proved independently the existence of finite orbits for higher rank lattice actions on the circle, which translates the conjecture into the equivalent form: no higher rank lattice admits a total ordering which is invariant by left translations. The latter was answered affirmatively by Witte-Morris for arithmetic groups of higher  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank [21] and by Deroin-Hurtado for general higher rank lattices [5]. Recently there has been a great progress on the Zimmer program for smooth higher rank lattice actions on manifolds with dimensions  $\geq 2$ . We do not plan to list all the related results here and just suggest the readers to consult [3, 9] for the surveys.

There has been intensively studied around group actions on dendrites very recently. One motivation is that dendrites can appear as the limit sets of some Klein groups, the structures of which are closely related to the geometric properties of 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds (see e.g. [2, 16]). Also, the compactifications of the Cayley graphs of free groups are dendrites, which are important for understanding the algebraic properties of free groups. Group actions on the circle have been systematically investigated during the past few decades [11, 17]. However, group actions on general curves lack of the same depth of understanding. Dendrites and the circle lie on two opposite ends of Peano curves in topologies. So, studying group actions on dendrites is the starting point for better understanding group actions on curves or continua with higher dimensions. Some people studied the Ghys-Margulis' alternative for dendrite homeomorphism groups ([18, 15, 8]). One may consult [1, 12, 20] for the discussions around the structures of minimal sets for group actions on dendrites. The algebraic structures of dendrite homeomorphism groups were investigated in [7]

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H20, 37B20.

*Key words and phrases.* higher rank lattice, orderable group, dendrite, periodic point.

Duchesne-Monod proved the existence of finite orbits for higher rank lattice actions on dendrites ([8]). This motivates us to consider further the structures of higher rank lattice actions on dendrites. Though there are examples indicating that the exact analogy to the rigidity results by Witte-Morris and Deroin-Hurtado mentioned above do not hold anymore for higher rank lattice actions on dendrites, the authors showed in [19] that such actions are very restrictive. The aim of the paper is to give a more detailed description of the structures of higher rank lattice actions on dendrites. Explicitly, we obtained the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a higher rank lattice acting on a nondegenerate dendrite  $X$  with no infinite order points. Then there exists a nondegenerate subdendrite  $Y$  which is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and satisfies the following items:*

(1) *There is an inverse system of finite actions  $\{(Y_i, \Gamma) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  with monotone bonding maps  $\phi_i : Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_i$  and with each  $Y_i$  being a dendrite, such that  $(Y, \Gamma|_Y)$  is topologically conjugate to the inverse limit  $(\varprojlim (Y_i, \Gamma), \Gamma)$ .*

(2) *The first point map  $r : X \rightarrow Y$  is a factor map from  $(X, \Gamma)$  to  $(Y, \Gamma|_Y)$ ; if  $x \in X \setminus Y$ , then  $r(x)$  is an end point of  $Y$  with infinite orbit; for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $r^{-1}(y)$  is contractible, that is there is a sequence  $g_i \in \Gamma$  with  $\text{diam}(g_i r^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow 0$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the non-left-orderability of higher rank lattices by Deroin-Hurtado, the elementarity of higher rank lattice actions on dendrites by Duchesne-Monod, and a connection between semilinear-left-orderability of a group and its actions on dendrites observed by the authors.

We will not introduce the related notions and properties around group actions and dendrites. One may consult [19] for the details.

## 2. GENERAL FACTS ABOUT SEMILINEAR PREORDERS

Recall that a binary relation  $\preceq$  on a set  $X$  is a *preorder* if it satisfies that

- (O1)  $x \preceq x$  for any  $x \in X$ ;
- (O2) if  $x \preceq y$  and  $y \preceq z$  then  $x \preceq z$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

If, in addition,  $\preceq$  satisfies that

- (O3) if  $x \preceq y$  and  $y \preceq x$  then  $x = y$  for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

then  $\preceq$  is a *partial order* on  $X$ .

A preorder (resp. partial order) on  $X$  is called a *total preorder* (resp. *total order*) on  $X$  if

- (O4) any  $x, y \in X$  are comparable; that is either  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$ .

Let  $G$  be a group with  $e$  being the unit. A preorder/ partial order/ total order  $\preceq$  on  $G$  is said to be *left-invariant* if

- (O5) for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $gx \preceq gy$  whenever  $x \preceq y$ .

Finally, we say  $\preceq$  is a *semilinear left preorder* (resp. *semilinear left partial order*) if it satisfies (O1), (O2), (O5) (resp. (O1),(O2),(O3),(O5)) and

- (O6) for any  $x \in G$ , any two elements of  $\{y \in G : y \preceq x\}$  are comparable;  
(O7) for any  $x, y \in G$ , there is some  $z \in G$  with  $z \preceq x$  and  $z \preceq y$ .

The following characterization of semilinear left preorder is similar to [14, Theorem 1.1].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\preceq$  be a semilinear left preorder on a group  $G$ . Then the positive cone  $P := \{g \in G : e \preceq g\}$  of  $\preceq$  has the following properties:*

- (P1)  $P$  is a semigroup;  
(P2)  $P \cap P^{-1}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ;  
(P3)  $G = P^{-1} \cdot P$ ;  
(P4)  $P \cdot P^{-1} \subseteq P \cup P^{-1}$ .

If  $\preceq$  is further a partial order, then

- (P2')  $P \cap P^{-1} = \{e\}$ ;

is further a total preorder, then

- (P5)  $G = P \cup P^{-1}$ .

Conversely, a subset  $P$  of  $G$  satisfying (P1)-(P4) (resp. (P1)(P2')(P3)(P4)) determines a semilinear left preorder (resp. semilinear left partial order) on  $G$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) (P1) and (P2) are direct from the definition of semilinear left preorder.

To prove (P3), let  $g \in G$ . Take  $u \in G$  with  $u \preceq e$  and  $u \preceq g$  by (O7). Then  $g = u(u^{-1}g)$  and  $e \preceq u^{-1}g$ . So,  $G \subset P^{-1} \cdot P$ . The inclusion  $P^{-1} \cdot P \subset G$  is clear.

To prove (P4), let  $x, y \in P$ . Then  $x^{-1} \preceq e$  and  $y^{-1} \preceq e$ . From (O6), either  $x^{-1} \preceq y^{-1}$  or  $y^{-1} \preceq x^{-1}$ , which implies  $xy^{-1} \in P \cup P^{-1}$ .

(P2') and (P5) are clear.

( $\impliedby$ ) Define  $x \preceq y$  if  $x^{-1}y \in P$ . We only check (O6) and (O7), the others are direct.

For (O6), let  $x, y, z \in G$  be such that  $x \preceq z$  and  $y \preceq z$ . Then  $x^{-1}z \in P$  and  $z^{-1}y \in P^{-1}$ . By (P4),  $x^{-1}y = x^{-1}z \cdot z^{-1}y \in P \cup P^{-1}$ . This means either  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$ .

For (O7), let  $x, y \in G$ . By (P3), we have  $x^{-1}y = a \cdot b$ , where  $a \in P^{-1}$  and  $b \in P$ . Then  $a \preceq e$  and  $a \preceq x^{-1}y$ , which implies  $xa \preceq x$  and  $xa \preceq y$ . □

According to Proposition 2.1, we also say that a subsemigroup  $P$  of  $G$  satisfying (P1)-(P4) is a *semilinear left preorder* on  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be a semilinear left preorder on  $G$ .*

- (1) For any  $q \in P$ , we have  $q(P \cup P^{-1})q^{-1} \subset P \cup P^{-1}$ .
- (2) For any  $g \in G$ , we have  $\{x \in G : x \preceq g\} = gP^{-1}$ .
- (3) Let  $w$  be a nontrivial word composed by some elements of  $P$ . If  $w$  is in  $P \cap P^{-1}$  then every letter occurring in  $w$  is in  $P \cap P^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any  $x \in P$ , we have  $qxq^{-1} \in qPq^{-1} \subset P \cdot P^{-1} \subset P \cup P^{-1}$  by (P4). For any  $x \in P^{-1}$ , we have  $xq^{-1} \in P^{-1}$ . Thus  $qP^{-1}q^{-1} \subset P \cdot P^{-1} \subset P \cup P^{-1}$ .

(2) If  $x \preceq g$  then  $g^{-1}x \in P^{-1}$  and hence  $x = g(g^{-1}x) \in gP^{-1}$ . For any  $y \in P^{-1}$ , we have  $gy \preceq g$ . Thus (2) holds.

(3) Set  $\tilde{H} = P \cap P^{-1}$  and  $w = g_1 \cdots g_n$  with  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in P$ . To the contrary, assume that  $g_i \notin \tilde{H}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $g_i \succ e$  and

$$\begin{aligned} w &= g_1 \cdots g_n \succeq g_1 \cdots g_{n-1} \succeq \cdots \succeq g_1 \cdots g_i \\ &\succ g_1 \cdots g_{i-1} \succeq e. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts that  $w$  is in  $H$ . Hence each  $g_i$  is in  $H$ .  $\square$

### 3. LEFT ORDERABILITY AND SEMILINEAR LEFT PREORDERS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\preceq$  be a semilinear preorder on a set  $X$ . A subset  $F \subset X$  is *coinitial* if for any  $x \in X$  there is some  $y \in F$  with  $y \preceq x$ .

For a subset  $S$  of a group  $G$ , we use  $\text{sgr}(S)$  to denote the semigroup generated by  $S$ . It was shown in [14, Theorem 2.7] that a group admitting a semilinear left partial order is left-orderable. Now we generalize it to the case of preorder.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group admitting a semilinear left preorder  $\preceq$  and let  $P$  be its positive cone. Set  $H = P \cap P^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{H} = \bigcup_{p \in P} \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$ . Then*

- (1)  $\tilde{H}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ;
- (2) if  $G$  is finitely generated and  $H \neq G$ , then  $\tilde{H} \neq G$ ;
- (3) the quotient  $G/\tilde{H}$  is left-orderable.

*Proof.* (1) From (P2),  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ; thus  $x \in \tilde{H}$  implies  $x^{-1} \in \tilde{H}$  by the definition. Let  $x, y \in \tilde{H}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_1^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$  and  $y \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_2^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$  for some  $p_1, p_2 \in P$ . Since  $p_1^{-1}$  and  $p_2^{-1}$  are comparable by (O6), we may assume that  $p_1^{-1} \preceq p_2^{-1}$ . Thus  $y \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_2^{-1}} q^{-1} H q \subseteq \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_1^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$  and hence  $xy \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_1^{-1}} q^{-1} H q \subset \tilde{H}$ . So  $\tilde{H}$  is a group.

For each  $p \in P$  and  $g \in G$ , from Lemma 2.2 (2),

$$\begin{aligned} g \left( \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q \right) g^{-1} &= g \left( \bigcap_{x \in p^{-1} P^{-1} x H x^{-1}} \right) g^{-1} \\ &= \bigcap_{x \in p^{-1} P^{-1} x H x^{-1}} g x H (g x)^{-1} = \bigcap_{x \in g p^{-1} P^{-1} x H x^{-1}} \\ &= \bigcap_{x \preceq g p^{-1} x H x^{-1}} \subset \bigcap_{x \preceq y^{-1} x H x^{-1}} \\ &\subset \tilde{H}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y^{-1}$  is some element in  $P^{-1}$  with  $y^{-1} \preceq g p^{-1}$ . Thus  $\tilde{H}$  is normal in  $G$ .

(2) Suppose that  $G$  is finitely generated and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  is a finite set of generators. To the contrary, assume that  $G = \tilde{H}$ . Then, for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , there is some  $p_i \in P$  such that  $g_i \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p_i^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$ . Take some  $p \in P$  with  $p^{-1} \preceq p_i^{-1}$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we have

$$\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q \subset p^{-1} H p.$$

Thus  $G \subset p^{-1} H p$  and hence  $G = H$ . So (2) holds.

(3) We may assume that  $H \neq G$ ; otherwise,  $\tilde{H} = G$  and the conclusion is trivial.

**Claim 1.** For any finitely many  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G \setminus \tilde{H}$ , there are some  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that

$$\text{sgr}(x_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap \tilde{H} = \emptyset.$$

We show the Claim 1 by induction on  $n$ . Given  $x \in G \setminus \tilde{H}$ , suppose that there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $x^k \in \tilde{H}$ . Then  $x^k \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$ , by the definition of  $\tilde{H}$ , for some  $p \in P$  with  $p^{-1} \preceq x$ . Let  $q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}$  be given. Then  $q^{-1} \preceq x$  and hence  $q x q^{-1} \in P \cup P^{-1}$  by (P4). WLOG, we may assume that  $q x q^{-1} \in P$ . Then  $q x^k q^{-1} \in H$  implies that  $q x q^{-1} \in H$ , by Lemma 2.2 (3). Thus  $x \in \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$  whence  $x \in \tilde{H}$ . This contradicts the assumption. So the Claim 1 holds for  $n = 1$ .

Now assume that  $n \geq 2$  and the Claim 1 holds for any  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in G \setminus \tilde{H}$  with  $m < n$ . By (O7), there is some  $p \in P$  with

$$p^{-1} \preceq x_1, \dots, p^{-1} \preceq x_n.$$

Then  $q x_1, \dots, q x_n \in P$ , for each  $q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}$ . According to (P4) in Proposition 2.1, we have  $\{q x_1 q^{-1}, \dots, q x_n q^{-1}\} \subset P \cup P^{-1}$ . Thus there are some  $\vec{\varepsilon}(q) = (\varepsilon_1(q), \dots, \varepsilon_n(q)) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  such that  $\{q x_1^{\varepsilon_1(q)} q^{-1}, \dots, q x_n^{\varepsilon_n(q)} q^{-1}\} \subset P$ . For each  $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ , let

$$Q(\vec{\varepsilon}) = \{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1} : \{q x_1^{\varepsilon_1} q^{-1}, \dots, q x_n^{\varepsilon_n} q^{-1}\} \subset P\}.$$

Then  $\{q^{-1} : q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}\} = \bigcup_{\vec{\varepsilon} \in \{-1, 1\}^n} Q(\vec{\varepsilon})$ .

Let  $P^{++} = \{g \in G : g \succ e\}$  and  $P^{--} = \{g \in G : g \prec e\}$  be the strictly positive and negative cones respectively. Now we discuss into two cases.

**Case 1.** There is an  $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  and a coinital set  $Q \subset Q(\vec{\varepsilon})$  such that for any  $q^{-1} \in Q$ ,

$$\{q x_1^{\varepsilon_1} q^{-1}, \dots, q x_n^{\varepsilon_n} q^{-1}\} \subset P^{++}.$$

Noting that any word composed of  $q x_1^{\varepsilon_1} q^{-1}, \dots, q x_n^{\varepsilon_n} q^{-1}$  will lie in  $P^{++}$  for any  $q^{-1} \in Q$  and  $Q$  is a coinital set, the semigroup  $\text{sgr}(x_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n})$  has empty intersection with  $\tilde{H}$ . Then the Claim holds.

**Case 2.** For any  $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ , there is some  $p^{-1}(\vec{\varepsilon}) \preceq p^{-1}$  such that for any  $q^{-1} \in \{q^{-1} \in Q(\vec{\varepsilon}) : q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}(\vec{\varepsilon})\}$ , we have

$$\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : q x_i^{\varepsilon_i} q^{-1} \in H\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus, for each  $q^{-1} \in Q(\vec{\varepsilon})$ , there is a partition  $\{1, \dots, n\} = A(q, \varepsilon) \cup B(q, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\{q x_i^{\varepsilon_i} q^{-1} : i \in A(q, \varepsilon)\} \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad \{q x_i^{\varepsilon_i} q^{-1} : i \in B(q, \varepsilon)\} \subset P^{++}.$$

Since  $H \neq G$  by the assumption, we have  $P^{++} = P \setminus H \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $P^{++}$  is infinite. Note that there are only finitely many partitions of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . So there is an  $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ , a coinital set  $Q \subset Q(\vec{\varepsilon})$  and a partition  $\{1, \dots, n\} = A \cup B$  with  $A \neq \emptyset, B \neq \emptyset$  such that

$$\{q x_i^{\varepsilon_i} q^{-1} : i \in A\} \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad \{q x_i^{\varepsilon_i} q^{-1} : i \in B\} \subset P^{++},$$

for each  $q^{-1} \in Q$ . WLOG, we may assume that  $A = \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $B = \{k+1, \dots, n\}$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Now by the induction hypothesis, there is some  $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in \{-1, 1\}^k$  such that

$$\text{sgr}(x_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, x_k^{\eta_k}) \cap \tilde{H} = \emptyset.$$

Then we conclude that  $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  satisfies

$$\text{sgr}(x_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, x_k^{\eta_k}, x_{k+1}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n}) \cap \tilde{H} = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, let  $w$  be a word composed of  $x_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, x_k^{\eta_k}, x_{k+1}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$ . If  $x_{k+1}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  do not occur in  $w$ , then the choice of  $\vec{\eta}$  implies that  $w$  is not in  $\tilde{H}$ . If there are some letters of  $x_{k+1}^{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, \dots, x_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  occur in  $w$ , then for each  $q^{-1} \in Q$ ,  $qwq^{-1} \in P^{++}$  and hence  $w$  is not in  $\tilde{H}$  by the coinitality of  $Q$ . Thus we complete the proof of the Claim 1.

Now (3) is followed from some standard arguments (see [13, Lemma 2.2.3]). For convenience of the readers, we afford a detailed proof here.

By the principle of compactness, the Claim 1 implies the following directly.

**Claim 2.** There is a map  $\varepsilon : G \setminus \tilde{H} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that for any finite  $g_1, \dots, g_n \subset G \setminus \tilde{H}$ ,  $\text{sgr}(g_1^{\varepsilon(g_1)}, \dots, g_n^{\varepsilon(g_n)}) \cap \tilde{H} = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\tilde{P} = \{g \in G \setminus \tilde{H} : \varepsilon(g) = 1\}$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $\tilde{P}$  is a subsemigroup of  $G$  and  $G = \tilde{P} \cup \tilde{H} \cup \tilde{P}^{-1}$ .

**Claim 3.**  $\tilde{P} = \tilde{H}\tilde{P}\tilde{H}$ .

First  $\tilde{P} = e\tilde{P}e \subset \tilde{H}\tilde{P}\tilde{H}$ . To show the converse, we conclude that for any  $h \in \tilde{H}$  and  $q \in \tilde{P}$ ,  $hq \in \tilde{P}$ . Otherwise,  $\varepsilon(hq) = -1$ . Then  $h^{-1} \in \text{sgr}((hq)^{-1}, q) \cap \tilde{H}$ , which contradicts the choice of  $\varepsilon$ . Similarly,  $qh \in \tilde{P}$ . Thus for any  $h_1, h_2 \in \tilde{H}$  and  $q \in \tilde{P}$ ,  $h_1qh_2 \in \tilde{P}$  and hence  $\tilde{H}\tilde{P}\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{P}$ . Thus Claim 3 holds.

We define an order  $\leq$  on the quotient group  $G/\tilde{H} = \{g\tilde{H} : g \in G\}$  by

$$f\tilde{H} < g\tilde{H} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f^{-1}g \in \tilde{P}.$$

It is well defined by Claim 3 and is a total order by the equality  $G = \tilde{P} \cup \tilde{H} \cup \tilde{P}^{-1}$ . It is obvious that  $\leq$  is  $G$ -invariant, i.e. for any  $g, x, y \in G$ ,  $gx\tilde{H} < gy\tilde{H}$  whenever  $x\tilde{H} < y\tilde{H}$ . Thus the quotient  $G/\tilde{H}$  is left-orderable. □

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF POINTWISE FIXED ARCS

The following is the well-known Margulis' Normal Subgroup Theorem (see e.g. [22, Theorem 8.1.2]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected real semisimple Lie group with finite center and no compact factors, let  $\Gamma$  be an irreducible lattice of  $G$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{R}\text{-rank}(G) \geq 2$ . Then every normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  either is contained in the center of  $G$  and hence is finite or has finite index in  $\Gamma$ .*

The following is the Lemma 2.1 in [19]

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  a nondegenerate dendrite and  $h : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism. If  $h$  fixes an end point  $e \in X$ , then  $h$  fixes another point  $o \neq e$ .*

The following is key to establish the structure theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a higher rank lattice acting on a nondegenerate dendrite  $X$ . If  $\Gamma$  fixes some end point  $z$  of  $X$ , then there is another point  $s \in X$  such that  $\Gamma$  fixes the arc  $[z, s]$  pointwise.*

*Proof.* Now take a finite set  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  of generators of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , by Lemma 4.2, we can take  $x_i \in X \setminus \{z\}$  fixed by  $g_i$ . Let  $t$  be the point such that  $[z, t] = \bigcap_{i=1}^n [z, x_i]$ . Define a positive cone  $P$  of  $\Gamma$  by

$$P = \{g \in \Gamma : g^{-1}(t) \in [z, t]\}.$$

Then  $P$  leads to a preorder  $\preceq$  on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $H = P \cap P^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{H} = \bigcup_{p \in P} \bigcap_{q^{-1} \preceq p^{-1}} q^{-1} H q$ . Note that  $H$  is just the stabilizer of  $t$  in  $\Gamma$ .

**Claim.** There is some point  $s \in (z, t]$  fixed by  $\Gamma$ .

We discuss into two cases for the proof of the Claim.

**Case 1.** There is a sequence  $(f_i)$  in  $P^{-1}$  such that  $f_i(t) \rightarrow z$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $P$  gives rise to a semilinear left preorder  $\preceq$  on  $\Gamma$ : for  $g, h \in \Gamma$ ,

$$g \preceq h \text{ if and only if } [z, g(t)] \subset [z, h(t)].$$

By Proposition 3.2,  $\Gamma$  admits a left-orderable quotient  $\Gamma/\tilde{H}$ . By Lemma 4.1, either  $\tilde{H}$  is contained in the center of  $G$  or  $\Gamma/\tilde{H}$  is a finite group, where  $G$  is the ambient Lie group of  $\Gamma$ . In the former case,  $\Gamma/\tilde{H}$  is also a higher rank lattice, which contradicts Deroin-Hurtado's theorem in [5]. In the latter case,  $\Gamma/\tilde{H}$  is a finite group. Then the orderability implies that it is trivial and hence  $\tilde{H} = \Gamma$ . Then we have  $H = \Gamma$ , by Proposition 3.2 (2). Thus  $t$  is fixed by  $\Gamma$  and take  $s = t$ .

**Case 2.** There is no sequence  $(f_i)$  in  $P^{-1}$  with  $f_i(t) \rightarrow z$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Fix a canonical ordering  $<$  on  $[z, t]$  with  $z < t$ . Let  $s = \inf_{g^{-1} \in P^{-1}} g(t)$ . Then  $s \in (z, t]$  and we claim that  $s$  is fixed by  $\Gamma$ . Indeed, let  $g^{-1} \in P^{-1}$  be given. Suppose that  $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^{-1}(t)$  for some sequence  $(\gamma_n^{-1})$  in  $P^{-1}$ . Then  $g^{-1}(s) \geq s$  with respect to the canonical ordering  $<$  on  $[z, t]$ . If  $g^{-1}(s) \neq s$  then  $g^{-1}\gamma_n^{-1}(t) > \gamma_n^{-1}(t)$ , for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Then  $g\gamma_n^{-1}(t) < \gamma_n^{-1}(t) \leq t$  and hence  $g\gamma_n^{-1} \in P^{-1}$ . Thus

$$s \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g\gamma_n^{-1}(t) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^{-1}(t) = s.$$

So  $g(s) = s$  and hence  $g^{-1}(s) = s$ . This contradiction shows that  $s$  is fixed by each element in  $P$ . Since for each  $i$ , either  $g_i \in P$  or  $g_i^{-1} \in P$ ,  $s$  is fixed by  $\Gamma$ .

Thus the Claim holds. Now, by Denrion-Hurtado's theorem,  $\Gamma$  fixes the  $[z, s]$  pointwise.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

**Lemma 5.1.** [6, Proposition 5.11] *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are finitely many subgroups of  $G$  with indices less than  $n$ .*

*Proof of the main theorem.* Along the same lines of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [19], Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 5.1 (We need only use Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 5.1 instead of Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.5 in [19] respectively).  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abdalaoui and I. Naghmouchi, *Group action with finite orbits on local dendrites*. Dyn. Syst. 36 (2021), 714-730.
- [2] B. Bowditch, *Hausdorff dimension and dendritic limit sets*. Math. Ann. 332 (2005), 667-676.
- [3] A. Brown, *Recent progress in the Zimmer program*. Current developments in mathematics 2018, 1-56.
- [4] M. Burger and N. Monod, *Bounded cohomology of lattices in higher rank Lie groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 1 (1999), 199-235.
- [5] B. Deroin and S. Hurtado, *Non left-orderability of lattices in higher rank semi-simple Lie groups*. arXiv:2008.10687.
- [6] C. Drutu and M. Kapovich, *Geometric Group Theory*. Colloquium Publications, 2018.
- [7] B. Duchesne and N. Monod, *Structural properties of dendrite groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), 1925-1949.
- [8] B. Duchesne and N. Monod, *Group actions on dendrites and curves*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 68 (2018), 2277-2309.
- [9] D. Fisher, *Recent developments in the Zimmer program*. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (2020), no. 4, 492-499.
- [10] É. Ghys, *Actions de réseaux sur le cercle*. Invent. Math. 137 (1999), 199-231.
- [11] É. Ghys, *Groups acting on the circle*. L'Enseign. Math. 47 (2001), 329-407.
- [12] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili, *Group actions on treelike compact spaces*. Sci. China Math. 62 (2019), 2447-2462.
- [13] A. Glass, *Partially ordered groups*. World Scientific, 1999.
- [14] V. Kopytov, *Semilinearly ordered groups*. Selected surveys presented at two conferences on Advances in algebra and model theory, Pages 149-170, 1997.
- [15] A. Maljutin, *On groups acting on dendrons*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), 415(Geometriya i Topologiya. 12):62-74, 2013. transl. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 212 (2016), 558-565.
- [16] Y. Minsky, *On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1994), 1994.
- [17] A. Navas, *Groups of circle diffeomorphisms*, Translation of the 2007 Spanish edition, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011.
- [18] E. Shi, *Free subgroups of dendrite homeomorphism group*. Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 2662-2668.
- [19] E. Shi and H. Xu, *Rigidity for higher rank lattices acting on dendrites*. Arxiv: 2206.04022. (2022)
- [20] E. Shi and X. Ye, *Equicontinuity of minimal sets for amenable group actions on dendrites*. Dynamics: topology and numbers, 175-180, Contemp. Math., 744, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, 2020.
- [21] D. Witte-Morris, *Arithmetic groups of higher  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rank cannot act on 1-manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), 333-340.
- [22] R. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*. Monographs in mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1984.

(E. Shi) SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU, JIANGSU 215006, CHINA

*Email address:* ehshi@suda.edu.cn

(H. Xu) CAS WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI 230026, CHINA

*Email address:* huixu2734@ustc.edu.cn