

SPHERICAL LOGVINENKO-SEREDA-KOVRIJKINE TYPE INEQUALITY AND NULL-CONTROLLABILITY OF THE HEAT EQUATION ON THE SPHERE

ALEXANDER DICKE AND IVAN VESELIĆ

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the restriction of a polynomial to a sphere satisfies a Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine type inequality. This implies a spectral inequality for the Laplace-Beltrami operator, which, in turn, yields observability and null-controllability with explicit estimates on the control costs for the spherical heat equation that are sharp in the large and in the small time regime.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

In the study of controllability of the heat equation in non-euclidean domains the sphere is a prime example. We derive observability and control cost estimates in this situation based on an uncertainty relation for harmonic polynomials. Let us explain, starting with euclidean geometry, how uncertainty relations enter the picture.

There are many physical and mathematical manifestations of the uncertainty principle. All of them imply that it is impossible for a compactly supported function to have a compactly supported Fourier transform. For applications, quantitative versions of this statement are necessary, e.g., the well known Paley-Wiener theorem. In control theory, a different quantitative version is of interest, namely, estimates of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{with } \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma,$$

for certain sets $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and where the constant C depends only on S, Σ , and the dimension d . If $\Sigma = B(0, r)$ for some $r > 0$, the sets S for which (1.1) holds were thoroughly studied by Panejah [21, 22], Kacnel'son [11], and Logvinenko-Sereda [15]. Later and using a different approach, Kovrijkine [13, 12] obtained the optimal constant, improving the bounds derived by Kacnel'son and Logvinenko-Sereda.

Theorem 1.1 (Panejah, Kacnel'son, Logvinenko-Sereda, Kovrijkine). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable set, and let $\Sigma = B_r(0)$ for $r > 0$. Then there is C such that (1.1) holds, if and only if $|S \cap (x + (0, a)^d)| \geq \gamma a^d$ for some $\gamma, a > 0$. In this case, $C = (c_0^d/\gamma)^{d(c_0 a r + 1)}$ with a universal constant $c_0 > 0$.*

A set S satisfying the geometric condition of the last theorem is frequently referred to as a *thick set*. While the interest in Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine inequalities stems from abstract considerations in functional and Fourier analysis, it was later realized that they immediately imply so-called *spectral inequalities* for the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d used in control theory, cf., e.g., [8].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35Q93; Secondary 35K05, 93B07, 93B05.

Key words and phrases. Logvinenko-Sereda theorems, spectral inequality, uncertainty principle, control theory.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank M. Kämper for a careful reading of the manuscript and A. Seelmann for fruitful discussions.

More generally, for a domain $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, a non-negative selfadjoint operator H on $L^2(M)$ is said to satisfy a spectral inequality with respect to some measurable set $S \subset M$, if for each energy $E \geq 0$ there is a constant $C(E) > 0$, depending only on M , S , H , and E , such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C(E) \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Ran } P_H((-\infty, E]).$$

Here $P_H((-\infty, E]) := \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, E]}(H)$ denotes the spectral projection up to energy $E \in \mathbb{R}$ of the operator H . Hence, Theorem 1.1 implies that $H = -\Delta$ on $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies a spectral inequality for all thick sets S (since $f \in \text{Ran } P_{-\Delta}((-\infty, E])$ if and only if $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset B(0, \sqrt{E})$) and the resulting constant is best possible. In fact, it is possible to adapt Kovrijkine's approach and obtain spectral inequalities for several different domains M and operators H , e.g.,

- if M is the torus, a cube, or an infinite strip and $H = -\Delta$ is an appropriate self-adjoint realization of the Laplacian [9, 5];
- if $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $H = -\Delta + |x|^2$ is the harmonic oscillator [1, 16, 4] (and [3] for a treatment of the partial harmonic oscillator);
- if the domain M admits an appropriate covering and H is an operator such that every function in the range of the spectral projections satisfies a Bernstein-type inequality [7].

In the present paper we adapt Kovrijkine's approach to prove a spectral inequality for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere of radius $R > 0$, that is (1.2) with $H = -\Delta = -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}}$ and $M = \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$, $d \geq 2$. Put another way, we prove a Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine type inequality for functions on the sphere having a finite expansion into eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

In this setting we introduce the notion of thickness with respect to *spherical caps* of radius a . Since the spherical distance between two points $u, v \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ is given by $d_R(u, v) = R \arccos(u \cdot v / R^2)$, these are sets of the form

$$K(x, a) = \{y \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} : d_R(x, y) \leq \pi a\},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$. The notion of thickness is then defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 (Thick sets on \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}). Let $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. A measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ is called γ -*thick*, if there exists some radius $a > 0$ such that $|S \cap K| \geq \gamma|K|$ for all spherical caps K of radius a .

The eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere are given by the spherical harmonics. Since these are restrictions of polynomials on \mathbb{R}^d to the sphere, finite linear combinations f of eigenfunctions are *spherical polynomials* in the sense that there exists a polynomial P on \mathbb{R}^d such that $f = P|_{\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}}$. We say that f has *degree at most* $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, if there is a corresponding polynomial P of degree \mathcal{N} (or less) on \mathbb{R}^d such that the last equality holds.

The spherical Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine type inequality then reads as follows. In the formulation of this theorem and throughout the rest of this paper we denote by $c_j > 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, constants depending only on the dimension d .

Theorem 1.3 (Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine type inequality on \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}). Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, let f be a spherical polynomial of degree at most $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ be γ -thick. Then

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})} \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma}\right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \|f\|_{L^q(S)}.$$

Remark 1.4. A situation that is closely related to the previous theorem in the case $q = 2$ was studied in [17, Corollary 1.1] where, however, the constant is not explicitly given. In particular, it lacks the explicit dependence on the degree \mathcal{N}

of the polynomial, which is crucial for applications in control theory discussed in Theorem 1.6 and Remark 1.7.

With the above theorem at our disposal, we proceed analogously to the case of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d and show that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere satisfies a spectral inequality.

Corollary 1.5 (Spectral inequality). *For all γ -thick sets $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, all $E \geq 0$, and all $f \in \text{Ran } P_{-\Delta}((-\infty, E])$ we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})} \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma}\right)^{RE^{1/2}+1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

The proof of Corollary 1.5 is given in Subsection 2.3 below.

An important feature of the above inequality is the particular form of the constant on the right hand side of (1.3). Clearly, with $d_0 = (c_1/\gamma)^{1/2}$ and $d_1 = R \log(c_1/\gamma)$ we have

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+RE^{1/2}} \leq d_0 e^{d_1 E^{1/2}}.$$

Since the right hand side depends on the energy only through the factor $E^{1/2}$ in the exponent, the spectral inequality can be combined with [19, Theorem 2.8] to obtain an observability estimate for the heat semigroup on the sphere with explicit control on the constant.

Theorem 1.6 (Observability). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ be a γ -thick set. Then*

$$\|e^{-T\Delta}g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}^2 \leq C_{\text{obs}} \int_0^T \|e^{-t\Delta}g\|_{L^2(S)}^2 dt$$

for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})$ and all $T > 0$ where

$$C_{\text{obs}} := \frac{c_2}{T} \exp\left(c_2 \left(\frac{R^2 |\log \gamma|^2}{T} + |\log \gamma|\right)\right).$$

Remark 1.7. For background in control theory suitable for our context we refer the reader for instance to [6, 14]. In particular it is well known that by duality between observability and null-controllability, Theorem 1.6 implies null-controllability of the spherical heat equation

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t u - \Delta u = \mathbf{1}_S f, \quad u(0) = u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}),$$

in time $T > 0$ with an explicit estimate for the control costs if S is γ -thick. More precisely, for any given initial datum $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})$ there is $f \in L^2((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}))$ such that $\|f\|^2 \leq C_{\text{obs}} \|u_0\|^2$ and the mild solution u of (1.4) satisfies $u(T) = 0$.

In case where S is a non-empty open set, observability of the heat semigroup on spheres has already been studied in [14]. Since this approach is based on Carleman estimates, it does not seem possible to generalize it to measurable sets S . Our result is, in contrast, applicable to any measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ with strictly positive Lebesgue measure, since such sets are γ -thick with $\gamma = |S|/|\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}|$. In the large time regime our constant C_{obs} decays with $1/T$, which is optimal according to [19, Theorem 2.13], and which has not been established before to our best knowledge. Concerning the small time regime, [14] gives the best possible control cost estimate for open S . Our Theorem 1.6 extends this upper bound to all S of positive measure.

In order to understand the small time asymptotics we consider the situation of a small spherical ball.

Example 1.8. Let $S = K(x_0, r)$ for some $r \in (0, 1)$ and some $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}_1^{d-1}$. Then S is γ -thick with $\gamma = |S|/|\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|$. For simplicity, we assume that $\gamma \leq 1/e$, so that $|\log \gamma| \geq 1$. For $T < 1$, this shows that the constant C_{obs} in Theorem 1.6 (with $R = 1$) satisfies

$$C_{\text{obs}}^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{T} \exp\left(\frac{2c_2 |\log \gamma|^2}{T}\right).$$

Comparing this inequality to the lower bound (valid for sufficiently small r , resp. γ)

$$C_{\text{obs}}^2 \geq \tilde{C}' \exp\left(\tilde{C} \frac{|\log \gamma|^2}{T}\right), \quad \tilde{C}, \tilde{C}' > 0,$$

given in [14, Theorem 1.2], we see that our bound is best possible in this regime.

Let us emphasize that in the small time regime upper and lower bounds (of different type) for the constant C_{obs} were already derived in [18].

2. PROOFS

In contrast to previous proofs implementing Kovrijkine's approach, we are not dealing with an Euclidean setting here. We therefore adapt the geometric constructions to the sphere, as already seen in Definition 1.2. More precisely, we replace Euclidean balls (or rectangles) by spherical caps and Euclidean line segments by *spherical line segments*. A spherical line segment starting at a point $p \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ in direction $v \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ with $p \cdot v = 0$ is a set $I \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ that is the trace of the restriction of the curve

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}, \quad t \mapsto \cos(t)p + \sin(t)v$$

to an interval $[0, l]$, $l > 0$; in other words $I = \text{tr}(\gamma|_{[0, l]})$. Given a spherical line segment and a measurable set $M \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$, it is natural to define the *arc length measure* of the set $I \cap M$ by

$$\sigma(I \cap M) = \int_0^l \mathbf{1}_{I \cap M}(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt = R \int_0^l \mathbf{1}_{I \cap M}(\gamma(t)) dt.$$

We use spherical line segments to explicitly construct a variant of spherical polar coordinates centered at some point p on the sphere. To this end, note that there is a one-to-one correspondence $\Phi: \mathbb{S}_R^{d-2} \rightarrow \{v \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} : p \cdot v = 0\}$ between the possible directions of spherical line segments starting at p and the sphere \mathbb{S}_R^{d-2} . Hence, if we let γ_v be the curve γ from (2.1) starting at p in direction $\Phi(v)$, integrating over all those possible directions yields the *spherical polar coordinates formula*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}} f d\sigma_R^{d-1} = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\gamma_v(t)) |\sin(t)|^{d-2} dt d\sigma_R^{d-2}(v),$$

where σ_R^{d-k} denotes the surface measure of \mathbb{S}_R^{d-k} , $k < d$.

2.1. Reduction to spherical line segments. We now reduce our considerations to spherical line segments. Our first lemma is based on the classic result [20, Theorem I], see also [23]. We recall it for convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all coefficients $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and all measurable sets $A \subset [0, 1]$ with $|A| > 0$ the function $r(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k e^{i\lambda_k x}$ satisfies*

$$\|r\|_{L^\infty([0, 1])} \leq \left(\frac{316}{|A|}\right)^{n-1} \|r\|_{L^\infty(A)}.$$

The proof of our first lemma is based on the observation that restrictions of spherical polynomials to spherical line segments satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, let K be a spherical cap of radius $a > 0$ and let f be a spherical polynomial of degree at most $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a point $p \in K$ such that $|f(p)|^q \geq \|f\|_{L^q(K)}^q / |K|$ and for all spherical line segments $I \subset K$ starting at p and all measurable subsets $M \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ such that $\sigma(M \cap I) > 0$ we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(K)} \leq |K|^{1/q} \left(\frac{316 \cdot \sigma(I)}{\sigma(I \cap M)} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \sup_{M \cap K} |f|.$$

Proof. A simple proof by contradiction shows the existence of the point $p \in K$.

Let $I \subset K$ be a spherical line segment starting at p , such that $\sigma(M \cap I) > 0$. If $v \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ denotes the direction of I , then the curve

$$\kappa(t) = \cos(\sigma(I)t/R)p + \sin(\sigma(I)t/R)v, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

parameterizes I . Since $p = \kappa(0)$, we clearly have

$$(2.4) \quad \|f \circ \kappa\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \geq |(f \circ \kappa)(0)| = |f(p)| \geq \|f\|_{L^q(K)} / |K|^{1/q}.$$

Let $A = \{t \in [0, 1] : \kappa(t) \in M\}$ be the set of all parameters for which κ takes values in M , so that

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{M \cap I} |f| = \|f \circ \kappa\|_{L^\infty(A)}.$$

Since the set $A \subset [0, 1]$ satisfies

$$|A| = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_M(\kappa(t)) dt = \frac{1}{\sigma(I)} \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_M(\kappa(t)) |\kappa'(t)| dt = \sigma(I \cap M) / \sigma(I) > 0,$$

the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied for $r = f \circ \kappa$, if there are finite sequences $(\beta_k)_k$ and $(\lambda_k)_k$ such that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad (f \circ \kappa)(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k e^{i\lambda_k t}.$$

Since f is the restriction to the sphere of a polynomial P of degree at most \mathcal{N} , there are coefficients $(b_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq \mathcal{N}}$ such that $P(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathcal{N}} b_\alpha x^\alpha$ satisfies $P = f$ on \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} . We set $v_j = v \cdot e_j$ and $p_j = p \cdot e_j$ and write

$$\prod_{j=1}^d [\kappa_j(t)]^{\alpha_j} = \prod_{j=1}^d [p_j \cos(\sigma(I)t/R) + v_j \sin(\sigma(I)t/R)]^{\alpha_j}$$

leading to

$$(f \circ \kappa)(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathcal{N}} c_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^d [p_j \cos(\sigma(I)t/R) + v_j \sin(\sigma(I)t/R)]^{\alpha_j}.$$

Writing

$$p_j \cos(\sigma(I)t/R) + v_j \sin(\sigma(I)t/R) = p_j \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\sigma(I)t/R}) + v_j \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\sigma(I)t/R})$$

and using the binomial theorem there exist finite sequences for which equation (2.6) holds with $n = 2\mathcal{N} + 1$. (In fact, all λ_k are integer multiples of $\sigma(I)t/R$.) Thus, applying Lemma 2.1 with A as above shows

$$\|f \circ \kappa\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \leq \left(\frac{316}{|A|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \|f \circ \kappa\|_{L^\infty(A)} = \left(\frac{316\sigma(I)}{\sigma(I \cap M)} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \|f \circ \kappa\|_{L^\infty(A)}.$$

Combining this with (2.4) and (2.5) finishes the proof. \square

The above lemma holds for all possible directions of the spherical line segments I . Using the polar coordinates formula (2.2) we optimize the right hand side of (2.3), i.e., choose the direction of I such that the quotient $\sigma(I)/\sigma(I \cap M)$ is small.

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be a spherical cap, let $p \in K$, and let $M \subset K$ be a measurable set satisfying $|M| > 0$. Then there is a spherical line segment $I \subset K$ such that*

$$\frac{\sigma(I)}{\sigma(I \cap M)} \leq c_4 \cdot \frac{|K|}{|K \cap M|}.$$

Proof. Integrating with respect to the spherical polar coordinates centered at p , we have

$$|M \cap K| = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{M \cap K}(\gamma_v(t)) |\sin^{d-2}(t)| dt d\sigma_R^{d-2}(v)$$

and there exists $v_0 \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}$ such that

$$|M \cap K| \leq \frac{R}{2} \cdot \sigma_R^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}) \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{M \cap K}(\gamma_{v_0}(t)) |\sin^{d-2}(t)| dt.$$

Denoting the integral on the right hand side by J , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_0^\pi \mathbf{1}_{M \cap K}(\gamma_{v_0}(t)) |\sin^{d-2}(t)| dt + \int_0^\pi \mathbf{1}_{M \cap K}(\gamma_{-v_0}(t)) |\sin^{d-2}(t)| dt \\ &=: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there are two possible spherical line segments starting at p and we choose the one that sees a larger part of the set M . More precisely, we either have $J \leq 2J_1$ or $J \leq 2J_2$; without loss of generality we suppose $J \leq 2J_1$. Let

$$l = \sup\{t \in [0, \pi] : \gamma_{v_0}(t) \in K\} \quad \text{and} \quad I = \text{tr}(\gamma_{v_0}|_{[0, l]}).$$

Note that $Rl = d_R(p, \gamma_{v_0}(l)) \leq \text{diam}(K)$ implies $l \leq \text{diam}(K)/R$. Hence, using $\sin(t) \leq t$ and the upper bound for l , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M \cap K| &\leq R\sigma_R^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2})J_1 \\ &\leq R\sigma_R^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}) \int_0^l \mathbf{1}_{M \cap K}(\gamma_{v_0}(t)) t^{d-2} dt \\ &\leq \sigma_R^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-2}) (\text{diam}(K)/R)^{d-2} \sigma(I \cap M). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(I) \leq \text{diam}(K)$ and $\text{diam}(K)^{d-1} = c_3|K|$, we have thus shown

$$\frac{\sigma(I \cap M)}{\sigma(I)} \geq \frac{|M \cap K|}{c_4|K|}. \quad \square$$

2.2. Covering argument and the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let f be a spherical polynomial of degree at most \mathcal{N} . Combining Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, we have already shown

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(K)} \leq |K|^{1/q} \left(c \cdot \frac{|K|}{|K \cap M|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \sup_{M \cap K} |f|, \quad c = 316c_4,$$

where M is any measurable set satisfying $|K \cap M| > 0$. Here we choose M as the set of points inside the spherical cap K where $|f|$ is small relative to its own L^q -norm on K and the measure of S , that is,

$$M = M_{f,S} = \left\{ x \in K : |f(x)| < |K|^{-1/q} \left(\frac{|K \cap S|}{2c|K|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \|f\|_{L^q(K)} \right\}.$$

In what follows, we assume without loss of generality that $M_{f,S} \neq \emptyset$ and since $M_{f,S}$ is an open set, we then have $|M_{f,S} \cap K| = |M_{f,S}| > 0$. In particular, we may apply inequality (2.7) and the definition of M to obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^q(K)} \leq |K|^{1/q} \left(c \cdot \frac{|K|}{|K \cap M_{f,S}|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \sup_M |f| \leq \left(\frac{|K \cap S|}{2|M_{f,S}|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}} \|f\|_{L^q(K)}.$$

Since $M_{f,S} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\|f\|_{L^q(K)} > 0$ and therefore $|K \cap S| \geq 2|M_{f,S}|$ and, hence, $|(K \cap S) \setminus M_{f,S}| \geq |K \cap S|/2$ by the last inequality. Since f is small on $M_{f,S}$,

we estimate $\|f\|_{L^q(K \cap S)} \geq \|f\|_{L^q((K \cap S) \setminus M_{f,S})}$ and use the lower bound for $|f|$ on $K \setminus M_{f,S}$. Thereby we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^q(K \cap S)} \geq \left(\frac{|K \cap S|}{2c|K|} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \|f\|_{L^q(K)}.$$

This is a local variant of the Logvinenko-Sereda-Kovrijkine type inequality. Indeed, recall that there is a radius $a > 0$ such that $|K \cap S| \geq \gamma|K|$ for all spherical caps K of radius a . Hence, for all such K the above inequality implies

$$(2.8) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(K \cap S)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2c} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \|f\|_{L^q(K)}.$$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, we choose a finite sequence of points $(x_j)_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ such that the spherical caps $K_j = K(x_j, a)$ cover \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} . Moreover, we let

$$(2.9) \quad \kappa := \max_{y \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}} \#\{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : y \in K_j\}$$

be the maximal multiplicity of the covering, so that every point on the sphere is contained in at most κ -many caps K_j 's. Using the local inequality (2.8) on every cap K_j , we get

$$\|f\|_{L^q(S)}^q \geq \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^m \|f\|_{L^q(S \cap K_j)}^q \geq \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\gamma}{2c} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}q+1} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})}^q.$$

We conclude the proof using the following lemma which is a simple consequence of results from [10] and [2, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.4. *For any $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and all $R, a > 0$ there is a finite sequence of points $(x_j)_j \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ such that $\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} \subset \bigcup_j K(x_j, a)$ and the maximal multiplicity satisfies $\kappa \leq 400d \log d$.*

With this lemma, we finally conclude

$$\|f\|_{L^q(S)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{c_5} \right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})},$$

and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2.3. Proof of the spectral inequality in Corollary 1.5. Recall that the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere are given by the spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell,k}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = 1, \dots, n_\ell$, where ℓ denotes the degree and n_ℓ the multiplicity. It is well-known that $(Y_{\ell,k})_{\ell,k}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and that $-\Delta Y_{\ell,k} = \ell(\ell + d - 2)Y_{\ell,k}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 1, \dots, n_\ell$. We let $Y_{\ell,k,R}(x) = Y_{\ell,k}(x/R)$ for $x \in \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$. Then a simple scaling argument shows that the functions $(Y_{\ell,k,R})_{\ell,k}$ are the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami on the sphere \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} . More precisely,

$$(2.10) \quad -\Delta Y_{\ell,k,R} = R^{-2}\ell(\ell + d - 2)Y_{\ell,k,R} \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n_\ell,$$

and the family $\{Y_{\ell,k,R} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \dots, n_\ell\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})$.

In order to conclude the proof of Corollary 1.5, note that each $(Y_{\ell,k,R})$ is a spherical polynomial of degree at most ℓ , so that (2.10) shows that for all $Y_{\ell,k,R} \in \text{Ran } P_{-\Delta}((-\infty, E])$ we have $\ell \leq RE^{1/2}$. Hence, $f \in \text{Ran } P_{-\Delta}((-\infty, E])$ (being a finite linear combination of $Y_{\ell,k,R}$ with $\ell \leq RE^{1/2}$) is a spherical polynomial of degree at most $RE^{1/2}$. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 implies

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1})} \leq \left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma} \right)^{RE^{1/2}+1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(S)},$$

i.e., the claim of Corollary 1.5.

3. DISCUSSION OF THE COVERING ARGUMENT

For every $\gamma_0 > 0$ and every γ_0 -thick set $S \subset \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$ there exists a scale $a_0 > 0$ such that $|S \cap K| \geq \gamma_0 |K|$ for all spherical caps of radius a_0 . On the other hand, we have $|S| > 0$ and hence $\gamma_1 := |S|/|\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}| > 0$. This results in two competing estimates in Theorem 1.3 with constants

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma_0}\right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma_1}\right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q},$$

respectively. Furthermore, for a covering $(K_j)_j$ of \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1} with spherical caps of radius a_0 we have

$$|\mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}| \leq \sum_j |K_j| \leq \sum_j \frac{1}{\gamma_0} |S \cap K_j| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_0} |S \cap \cup_j K_j| = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_0} |S|,$$

where κ is the multiplicity from (2.9) (depending only on the dimension). Hence, $1/\gamma_1 \leq \kappa/\gamma_0$ and the second constant in (3.1) can be brought into the form of the first one by increasing c_1 , that is

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{\gamma_1}\right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q} \leq \left(\frac{\kappa c_1}{\gamma_0}\right)^{2\mathcal{N}+1/q}$$

and c_1 is indeed allowed to depend on the dimension (only). This illustrates that our covering argument only improves the constant c_1 when compared to the situation if we consider exclusively $K = \mathbb{S}_R^{d-1}$.

This stands in contrast to the Euclidean estimate (cf. Theorem 1.1), where thick sets with small scales a yield a substantially better bound since a also appears as a (small) factor in the exponent. We are not (yet) able to reproduce this exponential scaling with respect to a in the spherical setting (cf. Theorem 1.3). For this purpose it would be necessary to replace inequality (2.3) by a bound where the exponent exhibits a factor a .

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Beauchard, P. Jaming, and K. Pravda-Starov, *Spectral estimates for finite combinations of Hermite functions and null-controllability of hypoelliptic quadratic equations*, *Studia Math.* **260** (2021), no. 1, 1–43.
- [2] K. Böröczky and G. Wintsche, *Covering the sphere by equal spherical balls*, *Discrete and computational geometry*, *Algorithms Combin.*, vol. 25, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 235–251.
- [3] A. Dicke, A. Seelmann, and I. Veselić, *Control problem for quadratic differential operators with sensor sets of decaying density via partial harmonic oscillators*, Preprint: arXiv:2201.02370.
- [4] ———, *Uncertainty principle for Hermite functions and null-controllability with sensor sets of decaying density*, Preprint: arXiv:2201.11703.
- [5] M. Egidi, *On null-controllability of the heat equation on infinite strips and control cost estimate*, *Math. Nachr.* **294** (2021), no. 5, 843–861.
- [6] M. Egidi, I. Nakić, A. Seelmann, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić, *Null-controllability and control cost estimates for the heat equation on unbounded and large bounded domains*, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, vol. 277, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020, pp. 117–157.
- [7] M. Egidi and A. Seelmann, *An abstract Logvinenko-Sereda type theorem for spectral subspaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **500** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 125149, 32.
- [8] M. Egidi and I. Veselić, *Sharp geometric condition for null-controllability of the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d and consistent estimates on the control cost*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **111** (2018), no. 1, 85–99.
- [9] M. Egidi and I. Veselić, *Scale-free unique continuation estimates and Logvinenko-Sereda theorems on the torus*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **21** (2020), no. 12, 3757–3790.
- [10] L. Fejes Tóth, *Regular figures*, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1964.
- [11] V. È. Kacnel’son, *Equivalent norms in spaces of entire functions*, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **92(134)** (1973), 34–54, 165.

- [12] O. Kovrijkine, *Some estimates of Fourier transforms*, 2000, Thesis (Ph.D.)—California Institute of Technology.
- [13] ———, *Some results related to the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 10, 3037–3047.
- [14] C. Laurent and M. Léautaud, *Observability of the heat equation, geometric constants in control theory, and a conjecture of Luc Miller*, Anal. PDE **14** (2021), no. 2, 355–423.
- [15] V. N. Logvinenko and Ju. F. Sereda, *Equivalent norms in spaces of entire functions of exponential type*, Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **20** (1974), 102–111.
- [16] J. Martin and K. Pravda-Starov, *Spectral inequalities for combinations of Hermite functions and null-controllability for evolution equations enjoying Gelfand–Shilov smoothing effects*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2022), 1–50.
- [17] J. Marzo and J. Ortega-Cerdà, *Equivalent norms for polynomials on the sphere*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), no. 5, Art. ID rnm 154, 18.
- [18] L. Miller, *Geometric bounds on the growth rate of null-controllability cost for the heat equation in small time*, J. Differ. Equations **204** (2004), no. 1, 202–226.
- [19] I. Nakić, M. Täufer, M. Tautenhahn, and I. Veselić, *Sharp estimates and homogenization of the control cost of the heat equation on large domains*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **26** (2020), Paper No. 54, 26.
- [20] F. L. Nazarov, *Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type*, Algebra i Analiz **5** (1993), no. 4, 3–66.
- [21] B. P. Panejah, *Some theorems of Paley-Wiener type*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 533–536.
- [22] ———, *On some problems in harmonic analysis*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **142** (1962), 1026–1029, translated as Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 239–242.
- [23] P. Turán, *On a new method of analysis and its applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984,

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, GERMANY

URL: <https://www.mathematik.tu-dortmund.de/lsix/>

Email address: {adicke,iveselic}@mathematik.tu-dortmund.de