

**ALMOST SURE SCATTERING OF THE ENERGY-CRITICAL NLS
IN $d > 6$.**

KATIE MARSDEN

ABSTRACT. We study the energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with randomised initial data in dimensions $d > 6$. We prove that the Cauchy problem is almost surely globally well-posed with scattering for randomised super-critical initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whenever $s > \max\{\frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)}, \frac{d^2+6d-4}{(2d-1)(d+2)}\}$. The randomisation is based on a decomposition of the data in physical space, frequency space and the angular variable. This extends previously known results in dimension 4 [Spi21]. The main difficulty in the generalisation to high dimensions is the non-smoothness of the nonlinearity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Main Result	3
1.2. Randomisation Procedure	4
1.3. Regularisation of the Nonlinearity	8
2. Notation and Preliminaries	11
2.1. Notation	11
2.2. Properties of the Nonlinearity	11
2.3. Deterministic Estimates	12
3. Function Spaces	13
4. Local Well-Posedness	14
4.1. Linear and Nonlinear Estimates	14
4.2. Proof of Local Well-Posedness and Scattering Condition	16
5. Almost Sure Scattering	20
6. Energy Bound	30
7. Almost Sure Bounds for the Forcing Term	37
7.1. Bounds using randomisation-improved radial Strichartz.	38
7.2. Randomised L_t^1 Estimate	44
Appendix A.	47
References	51

1 INTRODUCTION

We consider the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in dimension $d > 6$

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = u|u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \\ u(0) = f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d), s < 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here “defocusing” refers to the plus sign in front of the nonlinearity and “energy-critical” refers to the fact that the energy

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx + \frac{d-2}{2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t)|^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} dx \quad (1.2)$$

is invariant with respect to the scaling symmetry

$$u(t, x) \mapsto u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^{\frac{d-2}{2}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$$

Since the energy scales like the \dot{H}^1 norm of u , we say the equation has scaling regularity 1.

The goal of this paper is to investigate the global well-posedness of equation (1.1) with randomised initial data below the scaling regularity. We show that for all $s \in (s_d, 1)$, where the constant s_d depends only on the dimension, the equation is almost surely globally well-posed with respect to a particular randomisation for radial initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We moreover establish scattering in $\dot{H}_x^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ both forwards and backwards in time. The randomisation is based on a decomposition of the initial data in physical space, Fourier space and the angular variable as in [Spi21].

It was shown in [Vis07] that equation (1.1) is globally well-posed with scattering for initial data in the energy space \dot{H}^1 , however for $s < 1$ it is not in general well-posed. Indeed, Christ-Colliander-Tao showed in [CCT03] that the solution operator is not continuous in H_x^s at $u(0) = 0$. Despite this, one can show that the equation is “almost surely” well-posed with respect to certain randomisations of the initial data at some super-critical regularities (i.e. $s < 1$).

In particular, in [DLM20] Dodson-Lührmann-Mendelson proved (in the context of the 4D nonlinear wave equation) the first almost sure scattering result for an energy-critical dispersive equation with super-critical data. This was then extended to the energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with radial data by Killip-Murphy-Visan [KMV19] with further improvements by Dodson-Lührmann-Mendelson in [DLM19]. Spitz then generalised this to the case of non-radial data in [Spi21] by considering a more complex randomisation, and in this paper we further improve on this result to cover dimensions $d > 6$.

The many-fold randomisation procedure we consider in this paper was introduced by Spitz in [Spi21], however the sub-randomisations had previously been used with success. In particular, the randomisation with respect to the unit-scale frequency decomposition, commonly known as the *Wiener randomisation*, has been extensively applied to problems of well-posedness for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations, among others, since its simultaneous introduction by Lührmann-Mendelson [LM14] and Bényi-Oh-Pocovnicu [BOP15a, BOP15b], see also [ZF12, Poc17]. The randomisation in the angular variable was introduced in [BK21] in the context of a wave maps type equation, and the physical space randomisation has had particular applications to the final state problem of the NLS and other dispersive equations, see for example [NY18, Mur19]. The randomisation we use also invokes a dyadic frequency decomposition, however unlike its unit-scale counterpart, a randomisation with respect to this decomposition alone has not proved useful since it does not entail any improved integrability.

The main difficulty in working in high dimensions is the non-smoothness of the nonlinearity $u|u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}$. To deal with this we prove the local well-posedness via a regularisation argument (see Section 1.3) which allows us to give a rigorous derivation of the energy bound, and we use an adapted version of the work of Tao-Visan [TV05] to study the stability of the energy-critical NLS towards proving that this energy bound is sufficient for scattering.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank her doctoral advisor Joachim Krieger for proposing the problem and giving guidance throughout the project.

1.1 Main Result. We now state our main result. We will define the randomisation of the initial data fully in the next section. Loosely speaking, for any function $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its randomisation over a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is an H^s -valued random variable

$$\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto f^\omega$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d > 6$, $s_d := \max\{\frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)}, \frac{d^2+6d-4}{(2d-1)(d+2)}\} < s < 1$. Let $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and f^ω denote the randomisation of f as in Section 1.2. Then there exists $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma) = 1$ such that for every $\omega \in \Sigma$ there exists a unique global solution*

$$u(t) \in e^{it\Delta} f^\omega + C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

to the defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with initial data f^ω

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = u|u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \\ u(0) = f^\omega \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, this solution scatters both forwards and backwards in time, i.e. there exist $u_+, u_- \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_{\pm}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$$

Observe that $s_d = \frac{d^2+6d-4}{(2d-1)(d+2)}$ if and only if $d \leq 10$.

Remark 1.1. By a solution to the equation (1.1), we mean a strong solution in the sense of a function u satisfying the Duhamel formulation

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} f - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (u|u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}})(s) ds$$

of the equation in an appropriate function space.

Remark 1.2. In the above theorem uniqueness holds in the sense that upon writing the solution u in the form

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} f^\omega + v(t) \tag{1.4}$$

on some $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $v \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap W(I)$, for some space $W(I)$ to be defined shortly, the function v is unique.

Remark 1.3. In fact we can in fact write any solution u of (1.3) in the form (1.4) with v satisfying the forced equation.

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v = (F + v)|F + v|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \\ v(0) = v_0 \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

with $F = e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ and $v_0 = 0$. Thus, it is sufficient to study the well-posedness of (1.5) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a forcing term F satisfying some conditions that we can show $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ to satisfy.

1.2 Randomisation Procedure.

1.2.1 Decomposition in Fourier space, physical space, and the angular variable. In what follows $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We first introduce the physical space decomposition. Let φ be a smooth, radially symmetric function on \mathbb{R}^d with $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \sqrt{d}$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2\sqrt{d}$ ¹. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ define

$$\varphi_i(x) := \frac{\varphi(x-i)}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi(x-k)} \tag{1.6}$$

¹The factor of \sqrt{d} is purely technical. It ensures that every point of \mathbb{R}^d is in the support of some φ_i , as defined in (1.6).

so that φ_i has support in $\{x : |x - i| \leq 2\sqrt{d}\}$. We then have the unit scale decomposition of f in physical space,

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_i(x) f(x)$$

Note that this representation holds in both the L^2 and the pointwise sense.

We next apply an angular decomposition to each component $\varphi_i f$. This requires some set-up. Denote by E_k the space of (surface) spherical harmonics of degree k , that is to say restrictions to the unit sphere S^{d-1} of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k . Denote $N_k = \dim(E_k) < \infty$. The spaces $(E_k)_{k \geq 0}$ are mutually orthogonal and span $L^2(S^{d-1})$ so we may construct an orthonormal Hilbert basis

$$B = (b_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{N}, l=1, \dots, N_k}$$

of $L^2(S^{d-1})$, with each $b_{k,l} \in E_k$. By Theorem 6 of [BL13] (see also Theorem 1 of [BL14] and Theorem 1.1 of [BK21]), there exists a choice of orthonormal basis $(b_{k,l})_{k,l}$, denoted a *good basis*, such that for any $q \in [2, \infty)$, it holds

$$\|b_{k,l}\|_{L^q(S^{d-1})} \leq C_{q,d} \quad (1.7)$$

for some constant $C_{q,d}$ depending only on the indicated parameters and independent of k, l .

Recall also the following property of spherical harmonics (see e.g. [SW16]): write $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as $x = r\theta$, with $r \in [0, \infty)$, $\theta \in S^{d-1}$. Then for any surface spherical harmonic b and any radial function f_0 such that $f(x) = f_0(r)b(\theta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Fourier transform of f is given by $\hat{f}(x) = F_0(r)b(\theta)^2$, where

$$F_0(r) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} i^{-k} r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \int_0^\infty f_0(s) J_{\nu(k)}(rs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \quad (1.8)$$

Here $\nu(k) := \frac{d+2k-2}{2}$ and

$$J_\mu(r) := \frac{(r/2)^\mu}{\Gamma(\frac{2\mu+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 e^{irs} (1-s^2)^{\frac{2\mu-1}{2}} ds$$

for all $r > 0$ and $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$.

We are now ready to present the decomposition of $\varphi_i f$ with respect to the angular variable. We first decompose $\varphi_i f$ on dyadic scales in Fourier space: let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow$

²We use the convention $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$. In particular this is different to the convention in [SW16].

$[0, 1]$ be a smooth function supported in $\{x \leq 2\}$ equal to 1 on $\{x \leq 1\}$. For $M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ define

$$\phi_M(x) := \phi(x/M) - \phi(2x/M) \quad (1.9)$$

such that ϕ_M is supported in $\{\frac{M}{2} \leq x \leq 2M\}$. For any $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ set

$$P_M h := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi(M^{-1}|\cdot|)\hat{h}(\cdot))$$

Consider $P_M(\varphi_i f)$. It is convenient to rescale $P_M(\varphi_i f)$ to unit frequency by setting

$$g_i^M = (P_M(\varphi_i f))(M^{-1}\cdot)$$

Now fix a good basis $(b_{k,l})_{k \in \mathbb{N}, l=1, \dots, N_k}$ and consider the decomposition of \hat{g}_i^M with respect to this basis, i.e.

$$\hat{g}_i^M(\rho\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(\rho) b_{k,l}(\theta)$$

with each $\hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}$ supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ (by orthogonality). (1.8) then yields

$$g_i^M(r\theta) = (2\pi)^{-d} \mathcal{F}(\hat{g}_i^M)(-r\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} a_k r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(rs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta)$$

for $a_k = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} i^k$, using that $b_{k,l}(-\theta) = (-1)^k b_{k,l}(\theta)$. It is useful to observe at this point that

$$\|g_i^M\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} \|\hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L^2(\rho^{d-1}d\rho)}^2 \quad (1.10)$$

Scaling this back to frequency M we obtain

$$P_M(\varphi_i f)(r\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} a_k (Mr)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(Mrs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta) \quad (1.11)$$

The last step is to include a unit-scale frequency decomposition. To this end we introduce the operators

$$P_j f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi_j(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi)) \quad (1.12)$$

where $\psi_j(\xi) := \varphi_j(\xi)$ as in the physical space decomposition. We make this change of notation in order to clarify the distinction between the decompositions in physical space and frequency space. Incorporating these projections into (1.11) we obtain

$$P_M(\varphi_i f)(r\theta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} a_k M^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} P_j \left[r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(Mrs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta) \right]$$

from which

$$f(r\theta) = \sum_{M \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} a_k M^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} P_j \left[r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(Mrs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta) \right]$$

with convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

1.2.2 Randomisation with respect to the decomposition. We now introduce a family

$$(X_{i,j,k,l}^M : M \in 2\mathbb{Z}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \in \{1, \dots, N_k\})$$

of independent, mean-zero, real-valued random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with respective distributions $(\mu_{i,j,k,l}^M : M \in 2\mathbb{Z}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \in \{1, \dots, N_k\})$ for which there exists a $c > 0$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\gamma x} d\mu_{i,j,k,l}^M(x) \leq e^{c\gamma^2}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $M \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $l \in \{1, \dots, N_k\}$. This is satisfied by independent identically distributed Gaussian random variables for example. We can then define the randomisation

$$\begin{aligned} f^\omega &= \sum_{M \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{N_k} X_{i,j,k,l}^M(\omega) P_j f_{k,l}^{M,i} \\ &\equiv \sum_{M,i,j,k,l} X_{i,j,k,l}^M(\omega) a_k M^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} P_j \left[r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(Mrs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

which is well-defined in $L^2(\Omega, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see Lemma 7.5 for a proof of this fact).

Remark 1.4. In fact, for $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it holds

$$\| \|f^\omega\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|L_\omega^2(\Omega) \lesssim_d \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

(see also Lemma 7.5). Thus the randomisation f^ω also lies in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely. In what follows, we implicitly restrict to a subset $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma) = 1$ and $f^\omega \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $\omega \in \Sigma$.

Remark 1.5. It is important to note that the above randomisation does not in general improve the regularity of the data. In particular, one can construct the cut-offs φ_i , the probability space Ω and a function f in such a way as to obtain that

$$\|f^\omega\|_{L_\Omega^2 H_x^s} \gtrsim_d \|f\|_{H_x^s}$$

for all $s > 0$ ³. Thus if $f \notin H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $f^\omega \notin H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all ω in some set of positive measure. See also [Spi21] and Appendix B, [BT08].

1.3 Regularisation of the Nonlinearity. In this paper we shall study the local and global behaviour of solutions to (1.1) via a regularisation of the nonlinearity, which we now describe in detail. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$g_n(u) := u\varphi'_n(|u|^2)$$

for $\varphi_n(x) = n^{p+1}\varphi_1(x/n^2)$. Here $\varphi_1 \in C^\infty((0, \infty)) \cap C([0, \infty))$ with $\varphi_1(0) = 0$ and

$$\varphi'_1(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 2^{p-1} & \text{for } x \geq 4 \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

in such a way that $\varphi'_1(x) \leq x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ for all $x \geq 0$. Thus

$$\varphi'_n(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{for } 0 < x \leq n^2 \\ (2n)^{p-1} & \text{for } x \geq (2n)^2 \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

and $g_n(u) = g(u)$ whenever $|u| \leq n$. Consider the regularised NLS

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u_n = g_n(u_n) \\ u_n(t_0) = u_{n,0} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

It follows from theorems 4.8.1 and 5.3.1 of [Caz03] that solutions u_n exist globally and are unique in

$$u_n \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

Here

$$C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \partial_t f \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\}$$

where $\partial_t f$ is defined as the vector-valued distribution such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \psi(t) f(t, \cdot) dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) \partial_t f(t, \cdot) dt$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, when the above integrals are evaluated in the Bochner sense.

As discussed in Remark 1.3, in this paper we will actually study the well-posedness of the forced equation (1.5) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where the forcing term is given by the free evolution of the randomised data: $F = e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$. Thus to obtain H^2 solutions to (1.5), we must also regularise the forcing.

³The proof of this inequality repeatedly uses the elementary fact the the variance of a sum of independent random variables is equal to the sum of the variances.

Set $F_n = P_{\leq n} F = e^{it\Delta} P_{\leq n} f \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$P_{\leq n} f := \sum_{\substack{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ M \leq 2^n}} P_M f$$

Then by Lemma 4.8.2 of [Caz03], $F_n \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Observe that for any $1 \leq a, b \leq \infty$ it holds

$$\|F_n\|_{L_t^a L_x^b(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim_{a,b,d} \|F\|_{L_t^a L_x^b(\mathbb{R})}$$

Setting $v_n := u_n - F_n$, we obtain unique global $C(\mathbb{R}, H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ solutions to the forced NLS

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v_n = g_n(F_n + v_n) \\ v_n(t_0) = v_{n,0} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

where we choose $v_{n,0} = P_{\leq n} v_0$ such that $v_{n,0} = P_{\leq n} v_0 \rightarrow v_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We will show in Section 4 that the solutions v_n converge to solutions of the non-regularised equation (1.5) on a neighbourhood of t_0 .

To conclude the introduction we will outline the structure of this paper. In Section 2 we discuss relevant notation and preliminaries.

In Section 4 we establish local well-posedness of (1.5) via a regularisation argument in the space W with norm

$$\|v\|_{W(I)} := \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}} L_x^{\frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$$

which scales like \dot{H}^1 . This is the norm used by Tao and Visan in [TV05] to study the perturbation theory of (1.1), and it is a convenient norm to work with in the energy-critical case. The argument will require that the randomised free evolution $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ also lie in $W(I)$. This represents a gain in derivatives on $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ which we obtain via a randomisation-improved version of the radially averaged Strichartz estimate as in [Spi21].

We remark that W is not the optimal space to work in to establish local well-posedness of (1.5). Indeed, the requirement that $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ also lie in W represents a gain of

$$\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{(2d-1)(d+2)}$$

derivatives on $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$, obtained via the randomisation-improved radially averaged Strichartz estimate (see Section 7). However, when used at its endpoint this estimate

allows us to gain up to $\frac{d-1}{2d-1}$ derivatives and our method can be extended to obtain local well-posedness for

$$1 - \frac{d-1}{2d-1} = \frac{d}{2d-1} \leq s < 1$$

In Section 5 we prove a conditional scattering result. The local well-posedness theory of Section 4 is accompanied by a scattering criterion: if the solution to (1.5) satisfies

$$\|v\|_{W(I^*)} < \infty$$

on its maximal interval of existence I^* then the solution is global and scatters as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. In this section we show that this condition is satisfied provided v satisfies a uniform-in-time energy bound on I^* . To this end we develop a perturbation theory in the space W to compare solutions of (1.5) with those of the “usual” NLS (1.3), since by [Vis07] we already have a bound on solutions of (1.3) in W in terms of their energy. Since for $d > 6$ the nonlinearity is not twice differentiable, we cannot develop the perturbation theory in the standard way and instead adapt the work in [TV05] on the stability of high dimensional energy-critical Schrödinger equations. The suitability of perturbation theory for studying probabilistic Cauchy theory of energy-critical NLS was first observed by Pocovnicu in [Poc17].

In this section we again work in the space W and again this is not optimal. Since improving the result for this section would involve the use of many more spacetime function spaces and not improve the final restriction on s in Theorem 1.1, we do not present the optimal case.

In Section 6 we prove the uniform-in-time energy bound for v solving (1.5), placing the forcing term in spaces with low time integrability as in [Spi21]. We prove this bound via the regularised solutions, since the true solution does not have sufficient regularity to perform the necessary computations, in particular, an explicit differentiation of the energy. In the proof of the energy bound we are forced to distinguish between the cases $d > 10$ and $d \leq 10$, the lower dimensional case requiring the use of a mass bound on the regularised solutions.

Finally in Section 7 we show that $F^\omega := e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ indeed satisfies all the bounds needed to run the arguments above. This relies on the randomisation-improved radially averaged Strichartz estimate and an almost sure estimate on the gradient in L_t^1 Lebesgue spaces, both introduced in [Spi21].

2 NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Notation. We write $X \lesssim Y$ to mean $X \leq CY$ for some absolute constant $C > 0$ and $X \lesssim_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} Y$ to mean $X \leq C_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} Y$, where $C_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} > 0$ is a constant depending only on the parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Unless stated otherwise, for $p \in [1, \infty]$, $p' \in [1, \infty]$ denotes its conjugate exponent such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$$

with the usual modifications when $p = 1, \infty$.

We use the spacetime Lebesgue spaces $L_t^p L_x^q$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \equiv \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I)} \equiv \|f\|_{p,q[I]} := \| \|f\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|_{L_t^p(I)}$$

We will also use the radially averaged Lebesgue spaces $\mathcal{L}_r^p L_\theta^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_r^p L_\theta^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |f(t, r\theta)|^\beta d\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} r^{d-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the inhomogeneous Besov-type spaces with norms

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q,2}^r(I)} := \left(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^{2r} \|P_N f\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since we shall always be considering the ℓ^2 Besov-type spaces we will sometimes omit the subscript “2”, writing instead $\dot{B}_{p,(q_1,q_2)}^r(I)$ or $\dot{B}_{p,q}^r(I)$.

Throughout this paper it is implicitly assumed that $d > 6$.

2.2 Properties of the Nonlinearity. Denote $g(u) := u|u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}$. We record here some properties of g for future reference. As well as the trivial bound $|g(u)| \leq |u|^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}}$, we have the gradient bounds $|g_z(u)| \lesssim_d |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}$, $|g_{\bar{z}}(u)| \lesssim_d |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}$, where g_z denotes the complex derivative. Furthermore, the identity

$$g(u_1 + u_2) - g(u_1) = \int_0^1 [g_z(u_1 + \theta u_2)u_2 + g_{\bar{z}}(u_1 + \theta u_2)\bar{u}_2] d\theta \quad (2.1)$$

implies

$$|g(u_1) - g(u_2)| \lesssim_d (|u_1|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + |u_2|^{\frac{4}{d-2}})|u_1 - u_2| \quad (2.2)$$

While the chain rule

$$\nabla g(u(x)) = g_z(u(x))\nabla u(x) + g_{\bar{z}}(u(x))\nabla \bar{u}(x) \quad (2.3)$$

with the bound

$$|g_z(u_1) - g_z(u_2)| \lesssim_d |u_1 - u_2|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \quad (2.4)$$

(and the analogous statement for $g_{\bar{z}}$), implies

$$|\nabla g(u_1) - \nabla g(u_2)| \lesssim_d |u_1 - u_2|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} |\nabla u_1| + |u_2|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2| \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the above bounds all also hold for g_n with bounds independent of n . As an example,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(u)| &= |u\varphi'_n(|u|^2)| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} |u|^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} & \text{if } |u| \leq n \\ |u|n^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|\varphi'_1\|_\infty & \text{if } |u| \geq n \end{cases} \\ &\lesssim |u|^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \end{aligned}$$

with the implicit constant independent of n .

2.3 Deterministic Estimates. We first recall the Littlewood-Paley inequality, which shall be used constantly throughout the proof.

Lemma 2.1. (*Littlewood-Paley Inequality*) *For any $1 < p < \infty$ we have*

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim_{p,d} \left\| \left(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} |P_N f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

We will also repeatedly use the property

$$\| |\nabla|^s P_N f \|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim_{p,d} N^s \|P_N f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $1 < p < \infty$.

We next recall the Strichartz estimates

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d \geq 3$. We call $2 \leq q, p \leq \infty$ a Strichartz-admissible pair if*

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{p} = \frac{d}{2} \quad (2.6)$$

Let (q, p) and (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) be Strichartz-admissible pairs. Denote by \tilde{p}' and \tilde{q}' the conjugate exponents of \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} . Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a time interval containing t_0 . It holds

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,p,q} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\left\| \int_I e^{-is\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{p}'}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{d,p,q,\tilde{p},\tilde{q}} \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{p}'}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (2.9)$$

3 FUNCTION SPACES

In this section we define the function spaces in which we shall place the solution and the forcing throughout this paper.

Let I be an open time interval containing t_0 . We will place the solution v to the forced NLS in the space

$$W(I) := \left\{ v \in L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{(d-2)}} L_x^{\frac{2(d+2)}{(d-2)}}(I \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \|v\|_{W(I)} := \|\nabla v\|_{V(I)} < \infty \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

where the norm $V(I)$ is defined by

$$\|v\|_{V(I)} := \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d^2+4}}^{2(d+2)}[I]}$$

In order to prove local well-posedness it will be sufficient to place the forcing F in the same space W . However to obtain the conditional scattering result we will require that F lie in the stronger space R defined by the norm

$$\|F\|_{R(I)} := \|F\|_{W(I)} + \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}}^{\frac{4}{d+2}}(I)} \quad (3.2)$$

The dyadic norm is necessary to be able to apply the theory developed in [TV05] to study the stability of the forced equation (1.5) in Section 5.

Observe that all these norms are continuous in their endpoints and are “time-divisible” in the sense that for each of the spaces $S(I)$ there exists a finite constant $\alpha(S) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \|v\|_{S(I_j)}^{\alpha(S)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(S)}} \leq \|v\|_{S(I)}$$

whenever I is the disjoint union of the consecutive intervals $(I_j)_{j=1}^J$. In particular, $\alpha(W) = \frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}$, $\alpha(V) = \frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}$ and $\alpha(R) = d+2$ (see, for example, [DLM19]).

We deduce that if

$$\|v\|_{S(I)} < \infty$$

for S one of the spaces above, then we may partition I into J intervals $(I_j)_{j=1}^J$ with disjoint interiors such that

$$\|v\|_{S(I_j)} \leq \epsilon$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, J$ and

$$J \leq 2 \left(\frac{\|v\|_{S(I)}}{\epsilon} \right)^{\alpha(S)}$$

We end this section with the observation that for $F_n = P_{\leq n}F$ the regularised forcing term as in Section 1.3 it holds $\|F_n\|_{S(I)} \lesssim_d \|F\|_{S(I)}$ and

$$\|F_n - F\|_{S(I)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for S any of the function spaces W , R or V . This follows from the dominated convergence theorem since $\|P_N(F_n - F)\|_{L_t^a L_x^b(I)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $2 \leq a, b < \infty$.

4 LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS

In this section we will prove the deterministic local well-posedness of the problem

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v = (F + v)|F + v|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \\ v(t_0) = v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

in H^1 under appropriate conditions on the forcing term F . As discussed in the introduction, this is sufficient to prove almost-sure local well-posedness of the standard NLS (1.1) with randomised initial data provided we can show that the randomised evolution $e^{it\Delta}f^\omega$ satisfies the necessary conditions on F almost everywhere. We will construct solutions via the regularised equation

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v_n = g_n(F_n + u_n) \\ v_n(t_0) = v_{n,0} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

for g_n as defined in Section 1.3.

4.1 Linear and Nonlinear Estimates. In this section all norms are taken over $I \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing t_0 .

Proposition 4.1. (*Linear Estimate*) For $v_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it holds

$$\|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}v_0\|_W \lesssim_d \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^1}$$

Proof. This is a direct result of the Strichartz inequality (2.2), since $(\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4})$ is a Strichartz pair. \square

Proposition 4.2. (*Nonlinear Estimates*)

- (1) Let (q', p') be any dual Strichartz pair. Then for any $t_0 \in I$ and any w for which the right hand side below is finite, it holds

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g_n(w)(s) ds \right\|_W + \left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g_n(w)(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1} \lesssim_d \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{p'}}$$

with the implicit constant independent of n . The analogous result also holds for g .

- (2) Let $u_1 \in W(I)$, $u_2 \in V(I)$. Then

$$\| |u_1|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} u_2 \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \lesssim_d \| u_1 \|_{W(I)}^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \| u_2 \|_{V(I)}$$

Proof. (1) We use the inhomogeneous Strichartz estimate (2.9) followed by (2.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g_n(w)(s) ds \right\|_W + \left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g_n(w)(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1} \\ & \lesssim_d \| \nabla g_n(w) \|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{p'}} \\ & \lesssim_d \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{p'}} \end{aligned}$$

- (2) This is an application of Hölder's inequality followed by the Sobolev embedding $\dot{W}^{1, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u_1|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} u_2 \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} & \lesssim_d \| u_1 \|_{\frac{4}{d-2}}^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \| u_2 \|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}[I]} \\ & \lesssim_d \| \nabla u_1 \|_{\frac{4}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \| u_2 \|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}} \\ & = \| u_1 \|_{W(I)}^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \| u_2 \|_{V(I)} \end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary of part (2) this result, we obtain that

$$\| |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \lesssim_d \| u \|_{W(I)}^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}}$$

for all $u \in W(I)$.

4.2 Proof of Local Well-Posedness and Scattering Condition.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite time interval, $t_0 \in I$, $v_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $F \in W(\mathbb{R})$. There exists $\epsilon_0(d) > 0$ such that whenever*

$$\|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}v_0\|_{W(I)} + \|F\|_{W(I)} \leq \epsilon \quad (4.3)$$

for some $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ there exists a unique solution $v \in C(I, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap W(I)$ of (4.1) satisfying

$$\|v\|_{W(I)} \leq 4\epsilon$$

This v is the unique solution to (4.1) in $C_t^0 H_x^1 \cap W(I)$ and it extends to a maximal interval of existence (T_-, T_+) in this space. Moreover,

- (1) if $T_+ < \infty$, then $\|v\|_{W((t_0, T_+))} = \infty$
- (2) if $T_+ = \infty$ and $\|v\|_{W((t_0, T_+))} < \infty$, then the solution v scatters forwards in time, i.e. there exists $v_+ \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta}v_+\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0$$

The analogous statements hold for T_- .

On compact subintervals \tilde{I} of I^* , v is obtained as a limit in $L_t^q L_x^r(\tilde{I})$ of solutions v_n to the regularised equation (4.2), for any Strichartz pair (q, r) .

Proof. In this proof we will frequently use the notation p instead of $\frac{d+2}{d-2}$ for convenience. In particular any implicit constants depending on p are taken to depend only on d . Consider the interval I as in the theorem statement. Denote by v_n the unique solution to (4.2) in $C(I, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We must show that $(v_n)_n$ is Cauchy in $V(I)$. First observe that for any Strichartz pair (q, r) and any $l \geq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - v_l\|_{q,r[I]} &\lesssim_{q,r,d} \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}(v_{n,0} - v_{l,0})\|_{q,r[I]} + \|g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_l(F_l + v_l)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \\ &\lesssim_{q,r,d} \|v_{n,0} - v_{l,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(F_l + v_l)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \\ &\quad + \|g_n(F_l + v_l) - g(F_l + v_l)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} + \|g(F_l + v_l) - g_l(F_l + v_l)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \end{aligned}$$

We bound each of these terms separately. Firstly, by (2.2) applied to g_n and the nonlinear estimate Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\|g_n(u_1) - g_n(u_2)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \lesssim_d (\|u_1\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|u_2\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) \|u_1 - u_2\|_{V(I)}$$

(with the analogous bound holding for g). Next, since $g_n(u) = g(u)$ for $|u| \leq n$, we may bound

$$\|g_n(u) - g(u)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \lesssim_d \| |u|^p \mathbb{1}_{|u| \geq n} \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_d \|u\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} \|u \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|u| \geq n}\|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}[I] \\
&\lesssim_d \|u\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} \|\mathbb{1}_{|u| \geq n}\|_{\infty, \frac{d(d+2)}{4}}[I] \|u\|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d}{d-2}}[I] \\
&\lesssim_d \|u\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} \left(\sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{n^{p+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{4}{d(d+2)}} |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} \|u\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}}[I] \\
&\lesssim_d |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} \|u\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} \|u\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}}[I]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $l \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_n - v_l\|_{q,r[I]} &\lesssim_{q,r,d} \|v_{n,0} - v_{l,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\quad + (\|v_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|v_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) \|v_n - v_l + F_n - F_l\|_{V(I)} \\
&\quad + |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} (\|v_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) (\|v_l\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1(I)}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F_l\|_{\infty, p+1[I]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}}) \\
&\lesssim_{q,r,d} \|v_{n,0} - v_{l,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\quad + (\|v_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|v_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) \|v_n - v_l + F_n - F_l\|_{V(I)} \\
&\quad + |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} (\|v_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_l\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) (\|v_l\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1(I)}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F_l\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where we used in the second line that $F_n = P_{\leq n} F$.

To proceed, we first prove a bound on $\|v_n\|_{W(I)}$. By the nonlinear estimates we have for any $I' \subset I$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_n\|_{W(I')} &\leq \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}(v_{n,0} - v_0)\|_{W(I')} + \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}v_0\|_{W(I')} + C_d \|v_n\|_{W(I')}^p \\
&\leq 2\epsilon + C_d \|v_n\|_{W(I')}^p
\end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large. Taking ϵ sufficiently small such that $C_d(4\epsilon)^p < 2\epsilon$, a standard continuity argument shows that $\|v_n\|_{W(I')} \leq 4\epsilon$.

Lastly we observe that since $v_{n,0} = P_{\leq n} v_0$ we have

$$\|v_n\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I)} \lesssim_d \|v_{n,0}\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \|v_n\|_{W(I')}^p \lesssim_d \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \epsilon^p \tag{4.5}$$

so the v_n are uniformly bounded in \dot{H}^1 on I , say by $C(v_0, \epsilon, d)$.

Putting the above estimates into (4.4) along with the assumption (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_n - v_l\|_{V(I)} &\lesssim_{q,r,d} \|v_{n,0} - v_{l,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \epsilon^{p-1} \|v_n - v_l\|_{V(I)} \\
&\quad + \epsilon^{p-1} \|F_n - F_l\|_{V(I)} + |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} \epsilon^{p-1} (C(v_0, \epsilon, d)^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}})
\end{aligned}$$

and so for the specific Strichartz pair $(q, r) = \left(\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}\right)$ we can take $\epsilon(d)$ sufficiently small such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - v_l\|_{V(I)} &\lesssim_d \|v_{n,0} - v_{l,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \epsilon^{p-1} \|F_n - F_l\|_{V[\mathbb{R}]} \\ &\quad + |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} \epsilon^{p-1} (C(v_0, \epsilon, d)^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{n,0} \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $F_n \rightarrow F$ in $V(\mathbb{R})$ we deduce that $(v_n)_n$ is Cauchy in $V(I)$, and so has a limit $v \in V(I)$, which satisfies $\|v\|_{W(I)} \leq 4\epsilon$. Observe that using (4.4) we can in fact deduce that $(v_n)_n$ is Cauchy in any Strichartz space, and so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L_t^q L_x^r(I)$ for any Strichartz pair (q, r) .

We must now show that v satisfies the equation (4.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| v - e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} v_0 + i \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g(F+v) ds \right\|_{V(I)} \\ &\leq \|v - v_n\|_{V(I)} + \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} (v_{0,n} - v_0)\|_{V(I)} + \left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (g_n(F_n + v_n) - g(F+v)) ds \right\|_{V(I)} \end{aligned}$$

where by Strichartz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (g_n(F_n + v_n) - g(F+v)) ds \right\|_{V(I)} \\ &\lesssim_d \|g_n(F_n + v_n) - g(F_n + v_n)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} + \|g(F_n + v_n) - g(F+v)\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \\ &\lesssim_d |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} (\|F_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|v_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) (\|v_n\|_{\infty, \dot{H}^1[I]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F_n\|_{\infty, p+1[I]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}}) \\ &\quad + (\|v_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|v\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F_n\|_{W(I)}^{p-1} + \|F\|_{W(I)}^{p-1}) (\|v_n - v\|_{V(I)} + \|F_n - F\|_{V(I)}) \\ &\lesssim_d |I|^{\frac{d-2}{2(d+2)}} n^{-\frac{8}{d^2-4}} \epsilon^{p-1} (C(v_0, \epsilon, d)^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d^2+4}{d^2-4}}) \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{p-1} (\|v_n - v\|_{V(I)} + \|F_n - F\|_{V(\mathbb{R})}) \end{aligned}$$

which combined with the previous inequality yields

$$\left\| v - e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} v_0 + i \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g(F+v) ds \right\|_{V(I)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so v solves (4.1) on I as required. One may then verify that $v \in C_t^0 H_x^1(I)$ as in (4.5).

It is then standard to extend v to a maximal interval of existence (T_-, T_+) , and to prove the blow-up criterion and conditional scattering result, see for example [DLM19, Spi21]. We note that the precise definitions of T_{\pm} are

$$T_+ := \sup\{T > t_0 : (4.1) \text{ has a solution } w \text{ in } C_t^0 H_x^1 \cap W([t_0, T])\}$$

$$T_- := \inf\{T < t_0 : (4.1) \text{ has a solution } w \text{ in } C_t^0 H_x^1 \cap W([T, t_0])\}$$

We lastly show that v is the limit of the solutions v_n on compact intervals. We first observe that since $(\infty, 2)$ is a Strichartz pair, we know that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L_t^\infty L_x^2(I)$. The only assumption on the $(v_{n,0})_n$ necessary for this was that $v_{n,0} \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(v_{n,0})_n$ were uniformly bounded in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (for (4.5)).

We work forwards in time. Let C_d denote the implicit constant appearing in the inequality

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g(F+v) ds \right\|_{W(I)} \lesssim_d \|F\|_{W(I)}^p + \|v\|_{W(I)}^p$$

Fix $\tilde{I} = [t_0, T]$ a compact subinterval of $[t_0, T_+)$, so $v \in C_t^0 H_x^1 \cap W(\tilde{I})$. We can split \tilde{I} into $J(d, v) < \infty$ consecutive subintervals $[T_{j-1}, T_j]$, ($T_0 = t_0, T_J = T$), such that on each subinterval it holds

$$\|v\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{\epsilon}{8C_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right\}, \quad \|F\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{\epsilon}{8C_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_0(d)/2$.

Then writing

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(t-T_{j-1})\Delta} v(T_{j-1}) &= e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} v_0 - i \int_{t_0}^{T_{j-1}} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g(F+v) ds \\ &= v(t) + i \int_{T_{j-1}}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} g(F+v) ds \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{i(t-T_{j-1})\Delta} v(T_{j-1})\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} + \|F\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} + C_d (\|F\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]}^p + \|v\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]}^p) + \|F\|_{W[T_{j-1}, T_j]} \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Now consider approximate solutions w_n satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)w_n = g_n(F_n + w_n) \\ w_n(T_{j-1}) = v_n(T_{j-1}) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

for F_n and v_n as before. By the uniqueness of v_n we have $w_n = v_n$. We can thus inductively repeat the proof of local existence on each subinterval to prove that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $V(\tilde{I})$. For example on the first subinterval $[T_0, T_1] = [t_0, T_1]$ we know that $v_n(T_0) \rightarrow v(T_0)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sup_n \|v_n(T_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1} < \infty$, so by the existence proof we see that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $V([T_0, T_1]) \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2([T_0, T_1])$. In particular, $v_n(T_1) \rightarrow v(T_1)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sup_n \|v_n(T_1)\|_{\dot{H}^1} \lesssim_d \sup_n \|v_n(T_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \epsilon^p$ by (4.5), so we can repeat the process on $[T_1, T_2]$. \square

5 ALMOST SURE SCATTERING

In this section we will prove the following theorem, giving a sufficient condition for scattering of the solution v to the forced NLS

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v = |F + v|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}(F + v) \\ v(t_0) = v_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Theorem 5.1. (*Conditional Scattering*) *Let $v_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $F \in R(\mathbb{R})$. Let $v(t)$ be a solution to (5.1) defined on its maximal interval of existence I^* . Suppose moreover that*

$$M := \sup_{t \in I^*} E(v(t)) < \infty$$

Then $I^ = \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $v(t)$ is globally defined, and it holds that*

$$\|v\|_{W(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(M, \|F\|_{R(\mathbb{R})}, d) \quad (5.2)$$

As a result, the solution v scatters as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, i.e. there exist $v^\pm \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|v(t) - e^{it\Delta}v^\pm\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$$

Throughout this section v will refer to the solution to (5.1) obtained in Theorem (4.3), defined on its maximal interval of existence $I^* := (T_-, T_+)$. We begin this section with a lemma bounding the $W(\mathbb{R})$ norm of solutions of the unforced defocusing equation

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}u \\ u(t_0) = u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a non-decreasing function $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the following property. Let $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a unique global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the defocusing energy-critical NLS (5.3) satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{W(\mathbb{R})} \leq K(E(u_0))$$

where

$$E(u_0) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \frac{d-2}{2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_0|^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} dx$$

Proof. The existence of a global solution follows from the work of Visan [Vis07]. Combining Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.1 of [Vis07] we infer the existence of a non-decreasing function $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that the solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to (5.3) satisfies

$$\|u\|_{\dot{S}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq K(E(u_0))$$

where $\|u\|_{\dot{S}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)} := \sup \left(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} N^2 \|P_N u\|_{q,r[\mathbb{R}]}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, with the supremum taken over all Strichartz admissible pairs (q, r) . Since this norm controls the W -norm (by the Littlewood-Paley inequality) we have the result. \square

Given the blow-up criterion proved in Theorem 4.3, to prove global existence and scattering of v it is sufficient to show that

$$\|v\|_{W(I^*)} < \infty \tag{5.4}$$

To this end, in light of Lemma 5.2, we will develop a suitable perturbation theory to compare solutions of (5.1) with those of (5.3) in W .

We start with a lemma concerning short-time perturbations.

Lemma 5.3. (*Short-time perturbations*) *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact time interval containing t_0 and let $u_0, v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with*

$$\|u_0 - v_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq E'$$

for some $E' > 0$. Let u solve the focusing NLS (5.3) with initial data $u(t_0) = u_0$ satisfying the energy bound

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq E$$

Then there exists a constant $\epsilon_0(E, E', d) \in (0, 1)$ such that if we further suppose the three conditions

$$\|u\|_{W(I)} \leq \epsilon_0 \tag{5.5}$$

$$\left(\sum_N \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}(u_0 - v_0)\|_{W(I)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon \tag{5.6}$$

$$\|F\|_{R(I)} \leq \epsilon \tag{5.7}$$

for some $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ then there exists a unique solution $v : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ solving the forced focusing NLS (5.1) with $v(t_0) = v_0$ satisfying

$$\|v - u\|_{W(I)} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon^{\frac{7}{(d-2)^2}} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\|\nabla[g(F + v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I]} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon^{\frac{28}{(d-2)^3}} \tag{5.9}$$

for a constant $C_{d,1}$ greater than 1 depending only on the dimension d .

Proof. In view of the local existence theory, it suffices to prove (5.8) and (5.9) as a priori estimates. In what follows all spacetime norms are taken over $I \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Define $w := v - u$, which solves

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)w = |u + w + F|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}(u + w + F) - |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}}u \text{ on } I \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ w(t_0) = v_0 - u_0 \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

We have

$$\|w\|_W \lesssim_d \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_W + \|\nabla[g(u + w + F) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \quad (5.11)$$

where by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla[g(u + w + F) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \\ & \lesssim_d \| |F|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla F \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla F \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |F|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \\ & \quad + \| |F|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla F \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |u|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \end{aligned}$$

Then using the nonlinear bound $\| |u_1|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u_2 \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \lesssim_d \|u_1\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|u_2\|_W$, assumptions (5.5)-(5.7) and Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla[g(u + w + F) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \\ & \lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \epsilon + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + \epsilon \|w\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \\ & \quad + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla w \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} \\ & \lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

taking $\epsilon_0(d) < 1$.

It is tempting to also expand the remaining term in the space W and run a continuity argument, however this will produce the term $\epsilon_0 \|w\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}}$ on the right hand side which is an issue since for $d > 6$ we have $\frac{4}{d-2} < 1$. We therefore make use of auxiliary spaces X and Y introduced by Visan and Tao in [TV05]. These spaces invoke only $\frac{4}{d+2}$ derivatives instead of a whole derivative as in W making it possible to run a standard continuity argument in X . Once we have a bound on $\|w\|_X$ we can use it in (5.12) to bound $\|w\|_W$.

The spaces X and Y are defined by the following norms:

$$\|f\|_X := \left(\sum_N N^{\frac{8}{d+2}} \|P_N f\|_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\|h\|_Y := \left(\sum_N N^{\frac{8}{d+2}} \|P_N h\|_{\frac{d+2}{3}, \frac{2(d+2)}{d+4}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that the space X scales in the same way as W . Observe also that $\|F\|_X \leq \|F\|_R$.

We now place w into X to bound the remaining term in (5.12). Observing that $(\frac{2(d^2-4)}{d^2-12}, \frac{2d(d^2-4)}{d^3-2d^2-4d+24})$ is a Strichartz-pair, we first use the nonlinear estimate to bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2(d^2-4)}{d^2-12}, \frac{2d(d^2-4)}{d^3-2d^2-4d+24}} &\lesssim_d \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d E + \epsilon_0^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d E \end{aligned}$$

for ϵ_0 sufficiently small depending on E, d . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \| |w|^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \nabla u \|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} &\leq \|w\|_X^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2(d^2-4)}{d^2-12}, \frac{2d(d^2-4)}{d^3-2d^2-4d+24}} \\ &\lesssim_d E \|w\|_X^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Substituting this into (5.12) and combining the result with (5.11) we have

$$\|w\|_W \lesssim_d \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} w(t_0)\|_W + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + E \|w\|_X^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \tag{5.14}$$

So we must show that $\|w\|_X$ is small. This will require two estimates both proved in [TV05], see also [LZ11]. The first is a linear estimate between the spaces X and Y :

$$\left\| \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(s) ds \right\|_X \lesssim_d \|F\|_Y \tag{5.15}$$

and the second is the nonlinear estimate

$$\|g_z(v)u\|_Y \lesssim_d \|v\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|u\|_X \tag{5.16}$$

(with a similar estimate for $g_{\bar{z}}$).

Using (5.15) and that w satisfies equation (5.10) we immediately obtain

$$\|w\|_X \lesssim_d \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} w(t_0)\|_X + \|g(u+w+F) - g(u)\|_Y \tag{5.17}$$

First consider the free evolution term. By Bernstein's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_X &= \left(\sum_N N^{\frac{8}{d+2}} \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \left(\sum_N N^2 \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{d+2, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+2d-4}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

We now interpolate the $L_t^{d+2} L_x^{\frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+2d-4}}$ norm between $L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}} L_x^{\frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}$ and $L_t^\infty L_x^2$ yielding

$$\|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{d+2, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+2d-4}} \lesssim \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{\infty, 2}^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}}$$

Then applying Hölder's inequality for sequences we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_X \\ &\lesssim_d \left(\sum_N N^2 \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4}}^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{2(d-2)}} \left(\sum_N N^2 \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_{\infty, 2}^2 \right)^{\frac{d-4}{2(d-2)}} \\ &\lesssim_d \left(\sum_N \|P_N e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}w(t_0)\|_W^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{2(d-2)}} \left(\sum_N N^2 \|P_N w(t_0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{d-4}{2(d-2)}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{2}{d-2}} \|w(t_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{2}{d-2}} (E')^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}} \end{aligned}$$

We now move onto the second term in (5.17). Using (2.1), Minkowski's inequality and the nonlinear estimate (5.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(u+w+F) - g(u)\|_Y &\leq \int_0^1 \|u + \theta(F+w)\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|F+w\|_X d\theta \\ &\lesssim_d (\|u\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|F\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|v\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}}) (\|F\|_X + \|w\|_X) \\ &\lesssim_d (\epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|v\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}}) (\epsilon + \|w\|_X) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\|F\|_W + \|F\|_X = \|F\|_R \leq \epsilon$ in the last line.

To bound $\|v\|_W$, we first use the triangle inequality and the fact that u satisfies the standard nonlinear Schrödinger equation (5.3) to bound the free evolution

$$\|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}v_0\|_W \lesssim_d \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}(v_0 - u_0)\|_W + \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta}u_0\|_W$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim_d \epsilon + \|u\|_W + \|u\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

We can thus apply the local well-posedness theory to infer that, on the interval I ,

$$\|v\|_W \lesssim_d \epsilon_0$$

Returning to (5.17) we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_X &\lesssim_d \epsilon \epsilon_0^{\frac{2}{d-2}} (E')^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}} + (\epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}}) (\epsilon + \|w\|_X) \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon \epsilon_0^{\frac{2}{d-2}} (E')^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}} + \epsilon + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_X \end{aligned}$$

Thus choosing ϵ_0 sufficiently small depending on d , E and E' we conclude

$$\|w\|_X \lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{2}{d-2}} (E')^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}}$$

Now that we have bounded $\|w\|_X$, we can return to (5.14) to bound $\|w\|_W$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_W &\lesssim_d \|e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} w(t_0)\|_W + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + E \|w\|_X^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon + \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + E (\epsilon^{\frac{2}{d-2}} (E')^{\frac{d-4}{d-2}})^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{7}{(d-2)^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_W + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon_0(E, E', d)$ sufficiently small. The result (5.8) now follows from a standard continuity argument.

Lastly, we show (5.9). By (5.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}} &\lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \epsilon_0^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \|w\|_W + \|u\|_W \|w\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \\ &\lesssim_d \epsilon^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W + \|w\|_W^{\frac{4}{d-2}} + \|w\|_W^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} \end{aligned}$$

using the bounds assumed on $\|u\|_W$ and $\|F\|_W$. Substituting in the bound just obtained for $\|w\|_W$ gives the result. \square

We now extend this by removing the smallness assumption on u in the case when u and v have the same initial data.

Lemma 5.4. *(Long-time perturbations) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact time interval with $t_0 \in I$ and let $v_0 \in H_x^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with*

$$\|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq E$$

for some $E > 0$. Let $u \in C(I, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be the solution to the focusing NLS (5.3) with initial data $u(t_0) = v_0$ and

$$\|u\|_{W(I)} \leq K$$

for some $K > 0$. Then there exists $\epsilon_1(E, K, d) \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $F \in R(I)$ sufficiently small in the sense that

$$\|F\|_{R(I)} \leq \epsilon$$

for some $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1(E, K, d)$, there exists a unique solution $v : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to the forced equation (5.1) with initial data $v(t_0) = v_0$ and it holds

$$\|v - u\|_{W(I)} \leq 1 \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $t_0 = \inf I$. As in the previous proposition it suffices to prove the bound as an a priori estimate due to the local well-posedness theory. In order to make use of the short-time perturbation theory, we need to work on intervals on which the $W(I)$ -norm of u is small. To this end we partition I into consecutive intervals with disjoint interiors $(I_j)_{j=1}^J$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W(I_j)} \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) \tag{5.19}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, J$. By the time-divisibility properties of the W -norm we are able to do this with

$$J \lesssim \left(\frac{K}{\epsilon_0(E, 1, d)} \right)^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}} \tag{5.20}$$

Here ϵ_0 is the constant from Lemma 5.3.

Denote $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j]$. We wish to apply Lemma 5.3 on each I_j so must check the conditions of this lemma are satisfied there.

We first make two observations. Using Strichartz's inequality (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t_j) - u(t_j)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\simeq \left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_j} e^{i(t_j-s)\Delta} \nabla [g(F+v) - g(u)](s) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= \left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_j} e^{-is\Delta} \nabla [g(F+v) - g(u)](s) ds \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq A_{d,1} \|\nabla [g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[t_0, t_j]} \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Secondly, by (2.7) and (2.8) followed by the reverse triangle and Littlewood-Paley inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_N \left\| P_N e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} (v(t_j) - u(t_j)) \right\|_{W(I_{j+1})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\sum_N N^2 \left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_j} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} P_N [g(F+v) - g(u)](s) ds \right\|_{\left\| \frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}, \frac{2d(d+2)}{d^2+4} [I_{j+1}] \right\|}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq A_{d,2} \left(\sum_N N^2 \left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_j} e^{-is\Delta} P_N [g(F+v) - g(u)](s) ds \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq A'_{d,2} \left(\sum_N N^2 \left\| P_N [g(F+v) - g(u)](s) \right\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2} [t_0, t_j]}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq A''_{d,2} \left\| \nabla [g(F+v) - g(u)] \right\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2} [t_0, t_j]} \tag{5.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Set $A_d := \max\{1, A_{d,1}, A''_{d,2}\}$.

We now prove a technical claim that will be useful for the inductive step. Denote $C_d := A_d C_{d,1} \geq 1$, with $C_{d,1}$ the constant from Lemma 5.3. In the rest of this proof we denote $\alpha := \frac{28}{(d-2)^3}$.

Claim 1. *There exists $\epsilon_1(E, K, d) > 0$ sufficiently small such that the following holds: Let $\epsilon < \epsilon_1(E, K, d)$. Define a sequence $(\epsilon(j))_{j=1}^{J+1}$ by*

$$\epsilon(1) = \epsilon, \quad \epsilon(j+1) = C_d \sum_{k=1}^j \epsilon(k)^\alpha \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq J$$

Then it holds

$$\epsilon \leq \epsilon(j) \leq (2C_d)^{\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \alpha^k} \epsilon^{\alpha^{j-1}} \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) < 1$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq J+1$, where we take the sum in the exponent to be 0 when $j = 1$.

Proof of claim. The cases $j = 1, 2$ are easily verified (note that $\alpha < 1$) by taking $\epsilon_1(E, K, d) < \epsilon_0(E, 1, d)$. Suppose the claim holds for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ for some $k \leq J$. Then by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(k+1) &= \epsilon(k) + C_d \epsilon(k)^\alpha \\
&\leq 2C_d \epsilon(k)^\alpha \\
&\leq 2C_d (2C_d)^{\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \alpha^{k'}} \epsilon^{\alpha^k} \\
&\leq (2C_d)^{\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \alpha^{k'}} \epsilon^{\alpha^k}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. That $\epsilon(k) \geq \epsilon$ is clear since the sequence is increasing, and for $1 \leq k \leq J$,

$$\epsilon(k+1) \leq (2C_d)^{\sum_{k'=0}^{J-1} \alpha^{k'}} \epsilon_1(E, K, d)^{\alpha^J} \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) < 1$$

for ϵ_1 sufficiently small depending on E, K and d . \square

We now prove a second claim in which we reduce the long time perturbation result to the short time result on the intervals I_j . In the rest of this proof we will assume $\epsilon_1(E, K, d)$ is as in the above claim.

Claim 2. *Under the assumptions of the theorem, for all $1 \leq j \leq J$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t_{j-1}) - v(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1 \\ & \left(\sum_N \|P_N e^{i(t-t_{j-1})\Delta} (u(t_{j-1}) - v(t_{j-1}))\|_{W(I_j)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon(j) \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) \\ & \|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I_j]} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(j)^\alpha \\ & \|u - v\|_{W(I_j)} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(j)^{\frac{7}{(d-2)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon(j)$ as in the previous claim.

Proof of claim. Recall that for each $j = 1, \dots, J$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{W(I_j)} \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) \\ & \|F\|_{R(I_j)} \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_1(E, K, d) \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d) \\ & \|u(t_{j-1})\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq E \end{aligned}$$

since u has conserved energy.

For $j = 1$, we have $u(t_0) = v(t_0) = v_0$ so we can immediately apply Lemma 5.3 with $E' = 1$ and $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_1(E, K, d)$ to obtain (using $\epsilon(1) = \epsilon$)

$$\|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{\frac{2d}{d+2}[I_1]} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(1)^\alpha$$

and

$$\|u - v\|_{W(I_1)} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(1)^{\frac{7}{(d-2)^2}}$$

Now suppose that the claim holds for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ for some $k \leq J - 1$. Then by (5.21) we have

$$\|u(t_k) - v(t_k)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq A_d \sum_{k'=1}^k \|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{\frac{2d}{d+2}[I_{k'}]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq A_d \sum_{k'=1}^k C_{d,1} \epsilon(k')^\alpha \\
&= C_d \sum_{k'=1}^k \epsilon(k')^\alpha =: \epsilon(k+1) \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly using (5.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_N \|P_N e^{i(t-t_k)\Delta} (v(t_k) - u(t_k))\|_{W(I_{k+1})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq A_d \sum_{k'=1}^k \|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I_{k'}]} \\
&\leq \epsilon(k+1) \leq \epsilon_0(E, 1, d)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus since also $\|F\|_{R(I_{k+1})} \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon(k+1)$, we can apply Lemma 5.3 on I_{k+1} to obtain

$$\|u - v\|_{W(I_{k+1})} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(k+1)^{\frac{7}{(d-2)^2}}$$

and

$$\|\nabla[g(F+v) - g(u)]\|_{2, \frac{2d}{d+2}[I_{k+1}]} \leq C_{d,1} \epsilon(k+1)^\alpha$$

This completes the proof of the claim. \square

We now sum the bounds over all the sub-intervals and use that $\alpha \leq \frac{7}{(d-2)^2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u - v\|_{W(I)} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \|u - v\|_{W(I_j)} \\
&\leq C_d \sum_{j=1}^J \epsilon(j)^\alpha \\
&= \epsilon(J+1) \leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Using the perturbation theory developed we are now able to prove the conditional scattering result.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By the local well-posedness theory, it remains to prove that

$$\|v\|_{W(I^*)} \leq C(M, \|F\|_{R(\mathbb{R})}, d)$$

Consider first $[t_0, T_+)$. Partition $[t_0, T_+)$ into J consecutive intervals I_j such that

$$\|F\|_{R(I_j)} \leq \epsilon_1((2M)^{\frac{1}{2}}, K(M), d)$$

where K is the non-decreasing function from Lemma 5.2 and ϵ_1 is the constant from Lemma 5.4. Due to the time divisibility of the R -norm, we can do this in

$$J \lesssim \left(\frac{\|F\|_{R(\mathbb{R})}}{\epsilon_1((2M)^{\frac{1}{2}}, K(M), d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{d+2}}$$

intervals.

Denote $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ for $1 \leq j \leq J$. On each I_j we compare v with the solution u_j to the usual defocusing NLS (5.3) with initial data $u(t_{j-1}) = v(t_{j-1})$. By Lemma 5.2 know that such a solution u_j exists globally in time and satisfies

$$\|u_j\|_{W(I_j)} \leq \|u_j\|_{W(\mathbb{R})} \leq K(M)$$

We can therefore apply Lemma 5.4 on each I_j to see that v satisfies

$$\|v\|_{W(I_j)} \leq \|v - u_j\|_{W(I_j)} + \|u_j\|_{W(I_j)} \leq K(M) + 1$$

Summing the estimates over the intervals I_j and arguing in the same way on $(T_-, t_0]$ implies the result. \square

6 ENERGY BOUND

In this section we prove that the solution v to the forced NLS (5.1) does indeed satisfy a uniform in time energy bound on its maximal interval of existence $I^* = (T_-, T_+)$, under assumptions on F which we shall prove to hold almost surely in the next section. The precise result is given in the theorem below.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and denote $v \in C_t^0 H_x^1 \cap W(I^*)$ be the unique solution to (5.1) obtained in Theorem 4.3, for a forcing term $F \in R(\mathbb{R})$ which solves the linear Schrödinger equation $(i\partial_t + \Delta)F = 0$ and satisfies*

$$F \in L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \cap L_t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} L_x^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\nabla F \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \cap L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4}} \cap L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$$

for some $\sigma(d)$ sufficiently small. In the case $d > 10$ we will also require that

$$F \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-6-(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{6.1}$$

and in the case $d \leq 10$ that

$$F \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d^2-6d-8-d(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{6.2}$$

Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} E(v(t)) &\leq (1 + E(v_0) + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p}) \\ &\cdot \exp(C_d (\|F\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^2 + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^2 + \|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}[\mathbb{R}]} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}})) \end{aligned}$$

where $E(v)$ is as in (1.2).

This will be a result of the following theorem stating such a property for the regularised solutions, i.e. solutions v_n to

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)v_n = g_n(F_n + v_n) \\ v_n(t_0) = v_{n,0} \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

with $g_n(u) = u\varphi'_n(|u|^2)$, $F_n = P_{\leq n}F$ and $v_{n,0} = P_{\leq n}v_0$, as introduced in Section 1.3.

Theorem 6.2. *Fix a compact time interval $I := [T_1, T_2] \subset I^*$ containing t_0 . Suppose that F satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 6.1.*

Let v_n be the unique global solution to (6.3) in $C(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For $n(|I|, F, \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, d)$ sufficiently large it holds⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in I} E_n(v_n(t)) &\leq (1 + E(v_{n,0}) + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p}) \\ &\cdot \exp(C_d (\|F\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^2 + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^2 + \|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}[\mathbb{R}]} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}})) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_d \geq 1$. Here

$$E_n(v_n(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(|v_n|^2) dx$$

Before proving this theorem, we show how it can be used to deduce Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 given Theorem 6.2. Fix a compact subinterval $I \subset I^*$ containing t_0 . First observe that $v_{n,0} \rightarrow v_0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $E(v_{n,0}) \rightarrow E(v_0)$. Therefore Theorem 6.2 tells us that the $E_n(v_n)$ are uniformly bounded on I by

$$(1 + \epsilon)(1 + E(v_0) + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, \frac{2d}{d-2}[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p})$$

⁴The dependence of n on $\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is only necessary in dimensions $d \leq 10$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \exp(C_d(\|F\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}}^2[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}}^2[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}}[\mathbb{R}] \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}}[\mathbb{R}])) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ provided n is sufficiently large depending on I, F, v_0, ϵ and d . Denote the above quantity by $(1 + \epsilon)M$.

Consider the sequence $(v_{n,n})_n$ with

$$v_{n,n} := v_n \mathbb{1}_{|v_n| \leq n}$$

Observe that $v_{n,n}$ is also in $L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^1(I)$ and $v_{n,n} \rightarrow v$ in $L_t^\infty L_x^2(I)$.

Moreover for every $t \in I$ we have, for n sufficiently large,

$$\|v_{n,n}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \|v_n(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)M$$

and

$$\|v_{n,n}(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1} = \int_{|v_n| \leq n} |v_n(t)|^{p+1} dx \leq \frac{p+1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(|v_n(t)|^2) dx \leq (p+1)(1 + \epsilon)M$$

and so for each $t \in I$ there exists a subsequence $(v_{n_j, n_j})_j$ weakly converging to v in both $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We deduce

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \liminf_j \|v_{n_j, n_j}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \leq 2M$$

and

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{p+1} \leq \liminf_j \|v_{n_j, n_j}(t)\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{p+1} \leq (p+1)M$$

for almost every $t \in I$. The energy bound on v is now immediate. \square

We now prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. In this proof we will often use the notation p instead of $\frac{d+2}{d-2}$, such that any implicit constants depending on p may be taken to depend only on d . We argue forwards in time, the argument for $t < t_0$ being similar.

We first observe a uniform $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ bound on the solution v_n . Since $u_n := v_n + F_n$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u_n = g_n(u_n) \\ u_n(t_0) = v_{n,0} + F_n(t_0) \end{cases}$$

it has conserved mass: $\|u_n(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|u_n(t_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We deduce that

$$\|v_n\|_{\infty, 2} \leq \|u_n\|_{\infty, 2} + \|F_n\|_{\infty, 2} \leq \|v_{n,0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + 2\|F_n\|_{\infty, 2} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + 2\|F\|_{\infty, 2} \quad (6.4)$$

using that $v_{n,0} = P_{\leq n} v_0$ and similar for F_n . We will only actually need this bound in the case $d < 10$.

Define a norm

$$\|F\|_Z := \|F\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}} + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}} + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \\ + \|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}} + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}$$

on any time interval. Partition $[t_0, T_2]$ into J consecutive subintervals $I_j := [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, J$ such that

$$\|F\|_{Z[I_j]} \leq \eta(d)$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, J$ and some $\eta(d)$ to be determined. Note that by the time-divisibility properties of the Z norm, it is possible to do this with

$$J \lesssim_d \|F\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}}^2[\mathbb{R}] \\ + \|\nabla F\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}}^2[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}}[\mathbb{R}] + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}}[\mathbb{R}] \quad (6.5)$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j = 1, \dots, J$ define

$$A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) := 1 + \sup_{t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]} E_n(v_n(t))$$

In the following calculations all spacetime norms above are taken over $[t_{j-1}, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $v_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ one may differentiate $E_n(v_n(t))$ similarly to [KMV19, DLM19] and calculate, using that F_n satisfies the linear Schrödinger equation⁵,

$$|E_n(v_n(t)) - E_n(v_n(t_{j-1}))| \\ \leq \frac{1}{p+1} \sup_{[t_{j-1}, t]} \| |v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) + |F_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n|^2) - |F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (6.6)$$

$$+ \frac{2}{p+1} \|\nabla \bar{F}_n \cdot \nabla [\{g_n(F_n + v_n) + (F_n + v_n)|F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi''_n(|F_n + v_n|^2)\} \\ - \{g_n(F_n) + F_n|F_n|^2 \varphi''_n(|F_n|^2)\}]\|_{L_t^1 L_x^1([t_{j-1}, t])} \quad (6.7)$$

$$+ \left| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n) e_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \right| \quad (6.8)$$

⁵See appendix for an explicit calculation.

Here

$$e_n(u) := (p-1)\varphi'_n(|u|^2) - 2|u|^2\varphi''_n(|u|^2)$$

which vanishes whenever $|u| \leq n$ and is bounded by $C_p n^{p-1}$, since φ''_n vanishes whenever $|u| \geq 2n$. We first consider (6.6). Observe that φ_n satisfies the bound

$$\left| |v_n|^2\varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) + |F_n|^2\varphi'_n(|F_n|^2) - |F_n + v_n|^2\varphi'_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) \right| \lesssim_p |F_n|^p |v_n| + |F_n| |v_n|^p$$

uniformly in n . Hence by Young's inequality and the embedding $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |v_n|^2\varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) + |F_n|^2\varphi'_n(|F_n|^2) - |F_n + v_n|^2\varphi'_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) \right\|_{\infty,1} \\ & \lesssim_d \delta \|v_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^2 + C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{2p} \\ & \lesssim_d \delta A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) + C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$.

We now turn to (6.7). This time we use that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \nabla[(F_n + v_n)|F_n + v_n|^2\varphi''_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) - F_n|F_n|^2\varphi''_n(|F_n|^2)] + |\nabla[g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(F_n)]| \right| \\ & \lesssim_p |v_n|^{p-1} (|\nabla F_n| + |\nabla v_n|) + |F_n|^{p-1} |\nabla v_n| \end{aligned}$$

(an extension of (2.5)) from which

$$(6.7) \lesssim_d \| |v_n|^{p-1} |\nabla F_n|^2 \|_{1,1} + \| |v_n|^{p-1} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla F_n| \|_{1,1} + \| |F_n|^{p-1} |\nabla F_n| |\nabla v_n| \|_{1,1}$$

We bound these terms as follows, using that $A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| |v_n|^{p-1} |\nabla F_n|^2 \|_{1,1} \leq \|v_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{p-1} \|\nabla F_n\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d^2-3-\sigma}} \|\nabla F_n\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \\ & \lesssim_d A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \|\nabla F_n\|_{2, \frac{4d-2}{2d^2-3-\sigma}} \|\nabla F_n\|_{2, \frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \\ & \| |v_n|^{p-1} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla F_n| \|_{1,1} \leq \|v_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^{p-1} \|\nabla v_n\|_{\infty,2} \|\nabla F_n\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}} \\ & \lesssim_d A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \|\nabla F_n\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4}} \\ & \| |F_n|^{p-1} |\nabla F_n| |\nabla v_n| \|_{1,1} \leq \|\nabla v_n\|_{\infty,2} \|F_n\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}}^{p-1} \|\nabla F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}} \\ & \leq A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \|F_n\|_{\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}}^{p-1} \|\nabla F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the spaces into which F_n has been placed here are exactly those spaces which make up the Z -norm, we can bound each term by $C_d A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \eta(d)$, and so by (6.6)-(6.8) it holds

$$A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \lesssim_d 1 + E_n(v_n(t_{j-1})) + \delta A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) + C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1[t_{j-1},t_j]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}}$$

$$+ C_{\delta,d} \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1[t_{j-1},t_j]}^{2p} + A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j)\eta(d) + \max_{t \in [t_{j-1},t_j]} \quad (6.8)$$

Choosing $\delta(d)$ and $\eta(d)$ sufficiently small we thus have

$$A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \lesssim_d 1 + E_n(v_n(t_{j-1})) + \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1[t_{j-1},t_j]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F_n\|_{\infty,p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p} + \max_{t \in [t_{j-1},t_j]} \quad (6.8)$$

We must therefore bound (6.8). Write $\partial_t v_n = i\Delta v_n - ig_n(F_n + v_n)$ (which holds in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$) and consider the Laplacian term first. Integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \bar{v}_n [v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n) e_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \bar{v}_n \cdot \nabla [\sigma_n(v_n) - \sigma_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \right| \quad (6.9) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_n(v) := v e_n(v)$. Since σ_n vanishes whenever $|v| \leq n$, we have the inequality

$$|\nabla \sigma_n(F_n + v_n) - \nabla \sigma_n(v_n)| \lesssim n^{p-1-\alpha} |\nabla F_n| |v_n|^\alpha + n^{p-2} |\nabla(F_n + v_n)| |F_n|$$

uniformly in n for any $\alpha > 0$, and so (6.9) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^{p-1-\alpha} |\nabla v_n| |\nabla F_n| |v_n|^\alpha + n^{p-2} |\nabla v_n| |F_n| |\nabla(F_n + v_n)| dx dt \\ & \lesssim n^{p-1-\alpha} \|\nabla v_n\| \|\nabla F_n\| \|v_n\|_{1,1}^\alpha + n^{p-2} \|\nabla v_n\| \|\nabla F_n\| \|F_n\|_{1,1} + n^{p-2} \|\nabla v_n\|^2 \|F_n\|_{1,1} \\ & = n^{p-1-\alpha}(A) + n^{p-2}(B) + n^{p-2}(C) \end{aligned}$$

We bound this similarly to (6.7) but placing F_n in spaces of higher integrability. We choose $\alpha = \frac{4+\sigma}{d-2}$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} (A) & \leq \|\nabla v_n\|_{\infty,2} \|\nabla F_n\|_{1,\frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} \|v_n\|_{\infty,p+1}^\alpha \\ & \leq A_{t_{j-1}}(t) \|\nabla F_n\|_{1,\frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} \\ (B) & \leq \|\nabla v_n\|_{\infty,2} \|\nabla F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}} \|F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}, \frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \\ & \lesssim A_{t_{j-1}}(t) \|\nabla F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}} \|F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}, \frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \\ (C) & \leq |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v_n\|_{\infty,2}^2 \|F_n\|_{2,\infty} \\ & \leq |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{t_{j-1}}(t) \|F_n\|_{2,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma(d)$ sufficiently small. We thus have

$$\left| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \bar{v}_n \cdot \nabla [\sigma_n(v_n) - \sigma_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \right|$$

$$\lesssim C(n) A_{t_{j-1}}(t) (\|\nabla F_n\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} + \|\nabla F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}} \|F_n\|_{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}, \frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_n\|_{2, \infty})$$

for some $C(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (since $p < 2$ for $d > 6$).

We now turn to the second term of (6.8):

$$\left| \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{g_n(F_n + v_n)} \cdot [\sigma_n(v_n) - \sigma_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \right| \quad (6.10)$$

This time we use the bound

$$|\sigma_n(F_n + v_n) - \sigma_n(v_n)| \leq |F_n| \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} |\partial_z \sigma_n(v_n + \theta F_n)| + |F_n| \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} |\partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma_n(v_n + \theta F_n)|$$

$$\lesssim_d |F_n| n^{p-1-\alpha} \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} |v_n + \theta F_n|^\alpha$$

along with the property

$$|g_n(F_n + v_n)| \lesssim_d n^{p-1} |F_n + v_n|$$

Choosing $\alpha = 2(p-1) + \sigma$ we obtain

$$(6.10) \lesssim_d \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} n^{p-1} |F_n + v_n| \cdot n^{-(p-1+\sigma)} |F_n| (|F_n|^{2(p-1)+\sigma} + |v_n|^{2(p-1)+\sigma}) dx dt$$

$$\lesssim_d n^{-\sigma} \int_{t_{j-1}}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F_n|^{2p+\sigma} + |F_n| |v_n|^{2p-1+\sigma} dx dt$$

using Young's inequality. We have to treat this term differently depending on whether $d > 10$ ($p < 3/2$) or $d \leq 10$ ($p \geq 3/2$).

If $d > 10$, we bound

$$(6.10) \lesssim_d n^{-\sigma} (\|F_n\|_{2p+\sigma, 2p+\sigma}^{2p+\sigma} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_n\|_{2, \frac{p+1}{2-p-\sigma}} \|v_n\|_{\infty, p+1}^{2p-1+\sigma})$$

$$\lesssim_d n^{-\sigma} (\|F_n\|_{2p+\sigma, 2p+\sigma}^{2p+\sigma} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_n\|_{2, \frac{p+1}{2-p-\sigma}} A_{t_{j-1}}(t))$$

for $\sigma(d)$ sufficiently small. If $d \leq 10$, we must use the mass conservation (6.4) and the embedding $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to obtain

$$(6.10) \lesssim_d n^{-\sigma} (\|F_n\|_{2p+\sigma, 2p+\sigma}^{2p+\sigma} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_n\|_{2, \frac{2(p+1)}{3p+1-2p^2-(p+1)\sigma}} \|v_n\|_{\infty, p+1}^2 \|v_n\|_{\infty, 2}^{2p-3+\sigma})$$

$$\lesssim_d n^{-\sigma} (\|F_n\|_{2p+\sigma, 2p+\sigma}^{2p+\sigma} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_n\|_{2, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d^2-6d-8-d(d-2)\sigma}} A_{t_{j-1}}(t) (\|v_0\|_{\infty, 2} + \|F\|_{\infty, 2})^{2p-3+\sigma})$$

In the rest of the proof we will consider only the case $d \leq 10$, the case $d > 10$ being similar.

Combining the above bound on (6.10) with everything we had previously found, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) &\lesssim_d 1 + E_n(v_n(t_{j-1})) + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p} \\ &\quad + C(n) A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \left(\|\nabla F\|_{1, \frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]} + \|\nabla F\|_{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}[\mathbb{R}]} \|F\|_{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}, \frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}[\mathbb{R}]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_{2, \infty[\mathbb{R}]} \right) \\ &\quad + n^{-\sigma} (\|F\|_{2p+\sigma, 2p+\sigma}^{2p+\sigma} + |I|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_{2, \frac{2d(d-2)}{d^2-6d-8-d(d-2)\sigma}} A_{t_{j-1}}(t) (\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|F\|_{\infty, 2})^{2p-3+\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\|F_n\|_{a,b} \lesssim \|F\|_{a,b}$ for all $1 \leq a, b \leq \infty$ (and likewise for ∇F_n). We may thus choose n sufficiently large depending only on $|I|$, F , $\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and d such that

$$A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \leq C_d^*(1 + E(v_n(t_{j-1}))) + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p}$$

for some constant $C_d^* > 1$, where we used that $E_n(v_n) \lesssim_d E(v_n)$.

Iterating the results on the consecutive intervals $(I_j)_{j=1}^J$, we obtain

$$A_{t_{j-1}}(t_j) \leq (2C_d^*)^j (1 + E(v_n(t_0))) + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, J$, from which

$$A_{t_0}(T) \leq (2C_d^*)^J (1 + E(v_n(t_0))) + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \|F\|_{\infty, p+1[\mathbb{R}]}^{2p}$$

Combining this with (6.5) yields the result. \square

7 ALMOST SURE BOUNDS FOR THE FORCING TERM

In this section we show that the randomised linear evolution $F^\omega := e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$ almost surely satisfies the conditions required for well-posedness and scattering provided the initial data f lies in a Sobolev space of sufficiently high regularity. In particular, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\max\{\frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)}, \frac{d^2+6d-4}{(2d-1)(d+2)}\} < s < 1$, $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let f^ω denote its randomisation as in (1.13). Then for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have, for $F^\omega := e^{it\Delta} f^\omega$,*

$$\begin{aligned} F^\omega &\in L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \cap L_t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}+\sigma} L_x^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}+\sigma} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^\infty \cap R(\mathbb{R}) \\ \nabla F^\omega &\in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \cap L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4}} \cap L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

In the case $d > 10$ it additionally holds

$$F \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-6-(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$$

and in the case $d \leq 10$ it holds

$$F \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d^2-6d-8-d(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$$

When combined with the results of the previous section, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We split this section into subsections according to the method used to obtain the almost sure bound. Throughout, we shall make repeated use of the following fundamental lemma of Burq and Tzvetkov [BT08], formulated here as in [BT08].

Lemma 7.2. (*Large Deviation Estimate, Lemma 3.1 [BT08]*) *Let $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued, zero-mean random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with distributions $(\mu_k)_k$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\gamma x} d\mu_k(x) \leq e^{c\gamma^2} \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$$

with the constant $c > 0$ independent of k, γ . Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c_k g_k \right\|_{L^\beta(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{\beta} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |c_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $(c_k)_k \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $\beta \in [2, \infty)$.

7.1 Bounds using randomisation-improved radial Strichartz. In this section we will prove that under the conditions of Theorem 7.1, we have

$$F^\omega \in L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \cap L_t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} L_x^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^\infty \cap R(\mathbb{R}) \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$\nabla F^\omega \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (7.2)$$

almost surely. These results rely on the following proposition allowing us to gain derivatives on the randomised free evolution, adapted from [Spi21]. Throughout this section, f^ω always refers to the randomisation of f as in (1.13) and all spacetime norms are over $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

The key estimate for this section then reads as follows.

Proposition 7.3 (See Proposition 3.4(ii), [Spi21]). *Let $(q, p_0) \in [2, \infty)$ satisfy (7.3), i.e.*

$$\frac{1}{q} \leq \left(d - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_0}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (q, p_0) \neq \left(2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3}\right) \quad (7.3)$$

Let $p \in [p_0, \infty]$. Then for any $f \in H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s \geq 0$, it holds

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta \dot{B}_{q,p}^{s+\frac{2}{q}+\frac{d}{p_0}-\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim_{d,q,p,p_0} \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $\beta \in [1, \infty)$.

Observe that the maximum derivative gain by this estimate occurs at the non-allowed endpoint $(2, \frac{4d-2}{2d-3})$, corresponding to a gain in derivatives of

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{p_0} - \frac{d}{2} = \frac{d-1}{2d-1} - \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{2d-1}$$

Since the proof of Proposition 7.3 is very similar to the $d = 4$ case as in [Spi21], we omit it here, however we remark that it relies crucially on a radially averaged Strichartz estimate due to Guo [Guo16].

The bounds on F^ω without any derivatives are now covered by the following corollary:

Corollary 7.3.1. *Let $q \in [2, \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty]$ satisfy*

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{p} \leq \frac{d}{2} \quad (7.4)$$

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, f^ω its randomisation. Then for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} < \infty$$

Proof. Let $\beta \geq 2$. By the Littlewood-Paley inequality we have

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim_d \left\| \left(\sum_N \|P_N e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_\omega^\beta} = \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta \dot{B}_{q,p,2}^0}$$

Since (q, p) satisfy (7.4), there exists $2 \leq p_0 \leq p$ such that (q, p_0) is a Strichartz pair, i.e.

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{p_0} = \frac{d}{2}$$

Since every Strichartz pair satisfies (7.3), we are able to immediately apply Proposition 7.3 with $\tilde{s} = 0$ to obtain the result. \square

It is then immediate that

$$F^\omega \in L_t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} L_x^{\frac{2(d+2)}{d-2} + \sigma} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{d(d-2)}{2(d-4\sigma)}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^\infty \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R})$$

almost surely, since all these pairs of exponents satisfy (7.4). Similarly $F^\omega \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-6-(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$ when $d > 10$ and $F^\omega \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d^2-6d-8-d(d-2)\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$ when $d \leq 10$.

To prove the $L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}$ bound, we need the endpoint case of the above result. This relies on the following deterministic lemma due to Spitz [Spi21], generalised to dimensions $d > 4$, allowing us to in some sense permute the physical and frequency space cut-offs.

Lemma 7.4 (Corollary 3.3, [Spi21]). *Let $s \geq 0$, $p \in [2, \infty)$ and $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the partition of unity in physical space as in (1.6). We then have*

$$\|\langle M \rangle^s P_M(\varphi_i f)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^p} \lesssim_{p,d} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We can now prove the endpoint case of Corollary 7.3.1.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $s > 0$, $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for any $p \in [2, \infty)$ and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have*

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} < \infty$$

Proof. We first bound $\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}$. By the isometry properties of the linear evolution it is sufficient to show $e^{it\Delta} f^\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. We will in fact show the stronger result that $f^\omega \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely whenever $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $s \geq 0$. Fix $q \geq 2$. Adopting the notation

$$f^\omega = \sum_{M,i,j,k,l} X_{i,j,k,l}^M(\omega) P_j f_{k,l}^{M,i}$$

we have

$$\| \|f^\omega\|_{\dot{H}_x^s} \|L_\omega^q\| \lesssim \sqrt{q} \left(\sum_{M,i,j,k,l} \|P_j |\nabla|^s f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sqrt{q} \left(\sum_{M,i,k,l} \| |\nabla|^s f_{k,l}^{M,i} \|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

by the large deviation estimate followed by the almost-orthogonality of the projectors P_j in the final step, us To proceed, set

$$g_{k,l}^{M,i} = f_{k,l}^{M,i}(M^{-1}\cdot) = a_k r^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \left(\int_0^\infty \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(s) J_{\nu(k)}(rs) s^{\frac{d}{2}} ds \right) b_{k,l}(\theta)$$

$$g_i^M = P_M(\varphi_i f)(M^{-1}\cdot)$$

for which

$$\|\nabla|^s f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2} = M^{s-\frac{d}{2}} \|\nabla|^s g_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}$$

Then by Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{M,i,k,l} \|\nabla|^s f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\sum_{M,i} \sum_{k,l} M^{2s-d} \|\nabla|^s g_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{M,i} M^{2s-d} \left(\sum_{k,l} \|\xi|^s \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(|\xi|) b_{k,l}(\theta)\|_{L_\xi^2}^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{M,i} M^{2s-d} \|\nabla|^s g_i^M\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{M,i} \|\nabla|^s P_M(\varphi_i f)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^s} \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

using Lemma 7.4 in the last line. Thus $\|e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^s} < \infty$ almost surely.

We next bound $\|e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p}$ for $p > \max\{\frac{2}{s}, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}\}$. Let $P_N^{(t)}$ be a dyadic cut-off in time-frequency space, i.e.

$$P_N^{(t)} f(t, x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(t\tau+x\cdot\xi)} \phi_N(|\tau|) \tilde{f}(\tau, \xi) d\xi d\tau$$

for ϕ_N as in (1.9). Here \tilde{f} denotes the spacetime Fourier transform of f .

By Minkowski's inequality followed by Bernstein's inequality, we have

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \leq \sum_{N \geq 1} \|P_N^{(t)} e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_N^{(t)} e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^p L_x^p}$$

For $q > p$ we can then apply the large deviation estimate followed by the unit-scale Bernstein estimate in the x -variable to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \|L_\omega^q\| &\lesssim \sqrt{q} \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{M,i,j,k,l} \|P_N^{(t)} e^{it\Delta} P_j f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_t^p L_x^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{q} \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{M,i,j,k,l} \|P_j P_N^{(t)} e^{it\Delta} f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

where $p' < p$ is such that $\frac{2}{p'} + \frac{d}{p} = \frac{d}{2}$. Before we can apply Strichartz's estimates, we must convert the time frequency localisation to spatial frequency localisation using the properties of the Schrödinger evolution. Consider any $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Young's convolution inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\frac{s}{2}} \|P_N^{(t)} e^{it\Delta} g\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p} &= N^{\frac{s}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}_{(t,x)}^{-1}(\phi(N^{-1}\tau)\delta(\tau + |\xi|^2)\hat{g}(\xi))\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p} \\ &= \|\mathcal{F}_{(t,x)}^{-1}(|N^{-1}\tau|^{-\frac{s}{2}}\phi(N^{-1}\tau) \cdot \delta(\tau + |\xi|^2)|\tau|^{\frac{s}{2}}\hat{g}(\xi))\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p} \\ &\lesssim_d \|\mathcal{F}_{(t,x)}^{-1}(\delta(\tau + |\xi|^2)|\xi|^s\hat{g}(\xi))\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p} \\ &= \|e^{it\Delta}|\nabla|^s f\|_{L_t^{p'} L_x^p} \end{aligned}$$

We may now use this calculation in (7.6) with $g = P_j f_{k,l}^{M,i}$ followed by Strichartz's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\| e^{it\Delta} f^\omega \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \|_{L_\omega^q} &\lesssim \sqrt{q} \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{2}} \left(\sum_{M,i,j,k,l} \|\nabla|^s P_j f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim_{s,p} \sqrt{q} \left(\sum_{M,i,k,l} \|\nabla|^s f_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

using that $p > \frac{2}{s}$ and the almost-orthogonality of the operators P_j .

We now transform from $f_{k,l}^{M,i}$ to $g_{k,l}^{M,i}$ as before and find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k,l} \|\nabla|^s g_{k,l}^{M,i}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\sum_{k,l} \|\xi|^s \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(|\xi|) b_{k,l}(\theta)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k,l} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^s \hat{c}_{k,l}^{M,i}(|\xi|) b_{k,l}(\theta)) \right\|_{L_x^2} \\ &= \|\nabla|^s g_i^M \|_{L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(7.7) \lesssim_{p,s,d} \sqrt{q} \left(\sum_{M,i} M^{2s-d} \|\nabla|^s g_i^M \|_{L_x^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

as in (7.5).

Interpolating this with the previous result we see that

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^p} \leq \infty$$

almost surely for any $2 \leq p < \infty$. \square

With this lemma, it is now clear that $F^\omega \in L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R})$ almost surely.

For the remaining bounds of (7.1)-(7.2), we first prove another corollary of Proposition 7.3 to handle all the terms involving exactly one derivative of F^ω .

Corollary 7.5.1. *Let $q \in [2, \infty)$, $p > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2d-1} \cdot \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$, $s > 1 - \left(\frac{d-1}{2d-1}\right) \frac{2}{q} \in (0, 1)$. Then for and $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, f^ω its randomisation, we have*

$$\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} < \infty$$

for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof. Setting $p_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2d-1} \cdot \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} \in (2, \infty)$ for $q \neq 2$, and $p_0 = \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\delta}$ for $q = 2$, the pair (q, p_0) satisfies (7.3) and

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{d}{p_0} - \frac{d}{2} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{d-1}{2d-1}\right) \frac{2}{q} & \text{for } q \neq 2 \\ \frac{d-1}{2d-1} - \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{d}{2d-1} & \text{for } q = 2 \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 7.3 with these parameters for $\delta(d, p, s)$ sufficiently small yields the result. \square

Applying this corollary with $q = 2$ we immediately obtain that

$$\nabla F^\omega \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(2d-1)}{2d^2-7d+4+d\sigma}} \cap L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$$

completing the proof of (7.1)-(7.2) since $s > 1 - \left(\frac{d-1}{2d-1}\right)$.

Lastly, we must show that $\|F^\omega\|_{R(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$ almost everywhere.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $s > \frac{d^2+6d-4}{(2d-1)(d+2)}$. Then for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have*

$$F^\omega \in R(\mathbb{R})$$

Proof. Recall

$$\|F\|_{R(\mathbb{R})} := \|F\|_{W(\mathbb{R})} + \|F\|_{\dot{B}_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}}^{\frac{4}{d}}(\mathbb{R})}$$

For the first term, we apply Corollary 7.5.1 with $q = \frac{2(d+2)}{d-2}$, from which we obtain the lower bound

$$s > \frac{d^2 + 6d - 4}{(2d - 1)(d + 2)}$$

For the second term, apply Lemma 7.3 with $q = d+2$, $p = \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$, $p_0 = \frac{2(2d-1)(d+2)}{2d^2+3d-6} \in [2, p]$ and

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{2(3d-1)}{(d+2)(2d-1)} < s$$

to obtain

$$\|f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta \dot{B}_{d+2, \frac{2(d+2)}{d}}^{\frac{4}{d+2}}} \lesssim \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H_x^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for any $\beta \geq 1$. □

7.2 Randomised L_t^1 Estimate. In this section we will prove that, under the conditions of Theorem 7.1, we have

$$\nabla F^\omega \in L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4}} \cap L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4-\sigma}} \cap L_t^{\frac{d-2}{d-2-4\sigma}} L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (7.8)$$

almost surely. Since we already proved that $\nabla F^\omega \in L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R})$ almost surely, for the third bound it is sufficient to prove

$$\nabla F^\omega \in L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (7.9)$$

and interpolate with the estimate of the previous section. We thus only need to find estimates in Lebesgue spaces with time exponent 1. Key to such bounds is the following proposition due to Spitz [Spi21], generalised to higher dimensions, exploiting the dispersive property of the Schrödinger semi-group to achieve bounds in spaces with low time integrability away from $t = 0$.

Proposition 7.7 (Proposition 3.6, [Spi21]). *Let $s \geq 0$ and consider $q \in [1, \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$ $\sigma \geq 0$ such that*

$$\sigma < \frac{d}{2} - \frac{1}{q} - \frac{d}{p}$$

Then there exists a constant $C_{d,q,p,\sigma} > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it holds

$$\|t^\sigma e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^q \dot{B}_{p,2}^s([1,\infty))} \leq C_{d,q,p,\sigma} \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for all $\beta \geq 1$.

The gain in derivatives needed for (7.8) is obtained by interpolating this result with Proposition 7.3. In particular, we have the following result also from [Spi21]:

Proposition 7.8 (Proposition 3.7, [Spi21]). *Let $s > \frac{d+1}{2d-1}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, f^ω its randomisation as in (1.13), it holds*

$$\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{s,d} \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

We now prove a more general version of this proposition allowing for a larger range of exponents in the x -variable. The proof is a modification of the proof in [Spi21] of the previous result.

Proposition 7.9. *Let $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $s > \frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)}$ and let $\beta \geq 1$. There exists a constant $C_{d,s,r} > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, f^ω its randomisation as in (1.13), it holds*

$$\|\nabla e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 L_x^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{d,s,r} \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (7.10)$$

for any $\frac{2d}{d-4} \leq r \leq \infty$.

Proof. We will prove the case $r = \frac{2d}{d-4}$. This is sufficient by interpolation with Proposition 7.8.

Observe that we may decompose the left hand side of (7.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-4}}(\mathbb{R})} &\simeq \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-1,1)} + \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-\infty,-1]} \\ &\quad + \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}[1,\infty)} \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the term over $(-1, 1)$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-1,1)} &\lesssim_d \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^2 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-1,1)} \\ &\lesssim_d \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta \dot{B}^{\nu(\delta)+\beta(\delta)}_{2,\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-1,1)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta(\delta) = \frac{d-1}{2d-1} - \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{d}{2d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\delta) = \frac{d}{2d-1} + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{d}{2d-1}$$

for some $\delta(d, s) > 0$ to be determined.

We may now apply Proposition 7.3 with $q = 2$, $p_0 = \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\delta}$, $p = \frac{2d}{d-4}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^\beta L_t^1 \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4},2}(-1,1)} &\lesssim_d \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^{\nu(\delta)}} \\ &\lesssim_d \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s} \end{aligned}$$

since $s > \nu(\delta)$ for δ sufficiently small.

Next consider the term over $[1, \infty)$. By Hölder's inequality for sequences we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}} &= \left(\sum_N N^2 \|P_N e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\frac{2d}{d-4}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\dot{B}^{1+\gamma}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}}^\alpha \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\dot{B}^{1-\frac{\alpha\gamma}{1-\alpha}}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}}^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})$ to be determined.

Combining this with Hölder's inequality in time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))} &\lesssim_\delta \left\| t^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\dot{B}^{1+\gamma}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}}^\alpha \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{\dot{B}^{1-\frac{\alpha\gamma}{1-\alpha}}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}}^{1-\alpha} \right\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t([1, \infty))} \\ &\lesssim_\delta \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^{1+\gamma}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))}^\alpha \|t^{\frac{1+\delta}{2(1-\alpha)}} e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^{1-\frac{\alpha\gamma}{1-\alpha}}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))}^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

We will bound the first term of (7.11) using Proposition 7.3, and the second term using Proposition 7.7. Fix

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{3} - \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{d-1}{3(2d-1)}$$

(chosen to optimise the gain in derivatives in what follows). Applying Proposition 7.3 with $q = 2$, $p_0 = \frac{4d-2}{2d-3-\delta}$ and $p = \frac{2d}{d-4}$ we obtain, for $\beta(\delta)$ and $\nu(\delta)$ as before,

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^{1+\gamma}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))} \lesssim_d \|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega \dot{B}^{\gamma+\nu(\delta)+\beta(\delta)}_{2, \frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))} \lesssim \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^{\gamma+\nu(\delta)}} \lesssim \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s}$$

since $\gamma + \nu(\delta) = \frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)} + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{d}{2d-1} < s$ for δ sufficiently small.

We now deal with the second term. Applying Proposition 7.7 with $q = 2$, $p = \frac{2d}{d-4}$, $\sigma = \frac{1+\delta}{2(1-\alpha)} = \frac{3+3\delta}{2}$ we find

$$\|t^{\frac{1+\delta}{2(1-\alpha)}} e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^{1-\frac{\alpha\gamma}{1-\alpha}}_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))} \lesssim \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s}$$

since $1 - \frac{\alpha\gamma}{1-\alpha} = \frac{4d-1}{3(2d-1)} + \frac{9(d-1)\delta}{3(2d-1)(1+3\delta)} < s$ for δ sufficiently small. Returning to (7.11) we have

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f^\omega\|_{L^\beta_\omega L^2_t \dot{B}^1_{\frac{2d}{d-4}, 2}([1, \infty))} \lesssim_d \sqrt{\beta} \|f\|_{H^s}$$

Treating the term over $(-\infty, -1]$ similarly we obtain the desired result. \square

The bounds (7.8) are now immediate, observing that $r = \frac{2d(d-2)}{d(d-6)+16\sigma}$ is greater than $\frac{2d}{d-4}$ for $\sigma(d)$ sufficiently small.

APPENDIX A

Proposition A.1. *Let $v_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, H^2)$ be as in (6.3). Then for any $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & E_n(v_n(T_2)) - E_n(v_n(T_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) + |F_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n|^2) - |F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) dx \right]_{T_1}^{T_2} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{p+1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n \left[\{g_n(F_n + v_n) + (F_n + v_n)|F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi''_n(|F_n + v_n|^2)\} \right. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \left. - \{g_n(F_n) + F_n|F_n|^2 \varphi''_n(|F_n|^2)\} \right] dx dt \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n) e_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \end{aligned}$$

Before we prove this result, we will state some facts that will speed up the proof (see, for example, [Caz03]).

Lemma A.2. (1) *For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ it holds for every s, t ,*

$$f(t) = f(s) + \int_s^t \partial_t f(s', \cdot) ds'$$

(viewed as a Bochner integral in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

- (2) *Let X be a Banach space. Then any $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is Fréchet differentiable from \mathbb{R} to X with Fréchet derivative $\partial_t f(t, \cdot)$.*
(3) *Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be Fréchet differentiable, for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the inner product*

$$t \mapsto \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

is differentiable with

$$\partial_t \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \partial_t f(t), g(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle f(t), \partial_t g(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

We now prove some more specific results related to our problem.

Lemma A.3. *Let*

$$G_n(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(|u|^2) dx$$

This is Fréchet-differentiable from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to \mathbb{R} with derivative

$$DG_n(u)(h) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{h} u \varphi'_n(|u|^2) dx$$

Lemma A.4. *Let $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ with $|\partial_z \psi|$, $|\partial_{\bar{z}} \psi|$ bounded. Suppose also $\psi(w) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for any $w \in C^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ the map $t \mapsto \psi(w(t))$ is Fréchet differentiable from I to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

We can now prove Proposition A.1.

Proof of Proposition A.1. We first consider the kinetic energy term. Denote

$$KE(v) := \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla v, \nabla v \rangle_{L^2}$$

By Lemma A.2, the map $v \mapsto KE(v)$ is Fréchet differentiable as a map from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to \mathbb{R} . Thus if $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^1)$ then the composition

$$t \mapsto \langle \nabla v(t), \nabla v(t) \rangle_{L^2}$$

is differentiable and by the chain rule KE is continuously differentiable with

$$\frac{d}{dt} KE(v(t)) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\nabla v} \nabla (\partial_t v) dx = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v} \Delta v dx$$

We can obtain the same formula for the solution v_n to (4.2) by approximation in $C^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C(I, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

We next consider the potential energy term. By Lemma (A.3), the map $t \mapsto G_n(v_n(t))$ is continuously differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt} G_n(v_n(t)) = DG_n(v_n(t))(\partial_t v_n(t)) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n \cdot v_n \varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) dx$$

Combining the expressions for the kinetic and potential energies we obtain, using that v_n satisfies (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(v_n(T_2)) - E_n(v_n(T_1)) &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [-\Delta v_n + v_n \varphi'_n(|v_n|^2)] dx dt \\ &= -\operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(v_n)] dx dt \quad (\text{A.1}) \end{aligned}$$

We now wish to integrate by parts. We know that both v_n and $F_n + v_n$ are in $C^1(I, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and

$$\partial_z g_n(u) = \varphi'_n(|u|^2) + |u|^2 \varphi''_n(|u|^2); \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(u) = u^2 \varphi''_n(|u|^2)$$

from which

$$|\partial_z g_n|, |\partial_{\bar{z}} g_n| \lesssim n^{p-1}$$

since $\varphi_n''(|u|^2) = 0$ for $|u| \geq 2n$. Thus by Lemma A.4, $g_n(F_n + v_n)$ and $g_n(v_n)$ are both continuously differentiable from I to L^2 and so applying again Lemma A.2 to the map

$$t \mapsto \langle v_n(t), g_n((F_n + v_n)(t)) - g_n(v_n(t)) \rangle_{L^2}$$

we obtain

$$E_n(v_n(T_2)) - E_n(v_n(T_1)) = -\operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}_n [g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(v_n)] dx dt \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}_n \partial_t [g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(v_n)] dx dt \quad (\text{A.3})$$

First consider (A.3).

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}_n \partial_t [g_n(F_n + v_n) - g_n(v_n)] dx \\ = & \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{v}_n [\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n) \partial_t (F_n + v_n) + \partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n) \partial_t \overline{(F_n + v_n)} \\ & \quad - \partial_z g_n(v_n) \partial_t v_n - \partial_z g_n(v_n) \partial_t \bar{v}_n] dx \\ = & \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n [\varphi_n'(|F_n + v_n|^2) + |F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2)] \\ & \quad + \bar{v}_n (F_n + v_n)^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2) - v_n [\varphi_n'(|v_n|^2) + |v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|v_n|^2)] - \bar{v}_n v_n^2 \varphi_n''(|v_n|^2)] dx \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n [v_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{v}_n \partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx \\ = & -p \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [g_n(v_n) - g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n ((p-1) \varphi_n'(|v_n|^2) - 2|v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|v_n|^2)) \\ & \quad \quad - (F_n + v_n) ((p-1) \varphi_n'(|F_n + v_n|^2) - 2|F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2)) \\ & \quad \quad - F_n (\varphi_n'(|F_n + v_n|^2) + |F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2)) \\ & \quad \quad - \bar{F}_n (F_n + v_n)^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2)] dx \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n [v_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{v}_n \partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -p \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [g_n(v_n) - g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n) e_n(F_n + v_n) - F_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} \\
&\quad \quad \quad - \bar{F}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n [v_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{v}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduced $e_n(v) := (p-1)\varphi'_n(|v|^2) - 2|v|^2\varphi''_n(|v|^2)$.

Combining this with (A.1) and decomposing (A.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+p)(E_n(v_n(T_2)) - E_n(v_n(T_1))) \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\bar{v}_n g_n(v_n) + \bar{F}_n g_n(F_n) - \overline{(F_n + v_n)} g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\bar{F}_n g_n(F_n + v_n) - \bar{F}_n g_n(F_n)] dx dt \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n [v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n) e_n(F_n + v_n) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - F_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} - \bar{F}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n [v_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{v}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n)] dx dt \\
&= (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV)
\end{aligned}$$

We next calculate, using again Lemmas A.2.3 and A.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
(II) &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n \left(g_n(F_n + v_n) + F_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{F}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. - g_n(F_n) - F_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n)} - \bar{F}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n) \right) dx dt \\
&+ \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n \left(F_n \overline{\partial_z g_n(F_n + v_n)} + \bar{F}_n \partial_{\bar{z}} g_n(F_n + v_n) \right) dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

which finally yields, expanding the expressions for $\partial_z g_n$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} g_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1+p)(E_n(v_n(T_2)) - E_n(v_n(T_1))) \\
&= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|v_n|^2) + |F_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n|^2) - |F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi'_n(|F_n + v_n|^2) dx \right]_{T_1}^{T_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{F}_n \left[g_n(F_n + v_n) + (F_n + v_n)|F_n + v_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n + v_n|^2) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - g_n(F_n) - F_n|F_n|^2 \varphi_n''(|F_n|^2) \right] dxdt \\
& + \operatorname{Re} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t \bar{v}_n (v_n e_n(v_n) - (F_n + v_n)e_n(F_n + v_n)) dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [BK21] Nicolas Burq and Joachim Krieger. Randomization improved Strichartz estimates and global well-posedness for supercritical data. In *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, volume 71, pages 1929–1961, 2021.
- [BL13] Nicolas Burq and Gilles Lebeau. Injections de Sobolev probabilistes et applications. In *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 46, pages 917–962, 2013.
- [BL14] Nicolas Burq and Gilles Lebeau. Probabilistic Sobolev embeddings, applications to eigenfunctions estimates. *Geometric and Spectral Analysis*, 630:307–318, 2014.
- [BOP15a] Árpád Bényi, Tadahiro Oh, and Oana Pocovnicu. On the probabilistic cauchy theory of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 2(1):1–50, 2015.
- [BOP15b] Árpád Bényi, Tadahiro Oh, and Oana Pocovnicu. Wiener randomization on unbounded domains and an application to almost sure well-posedness of NLS. In *Excursions in Harmonic Analysis, Volume 4*, pages 3–25. Springer, 2015.
- [BT08] Nicolas Burq and Nikolay Tzvetkov. Random data cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations I: local theory. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 173(3):449–475, 2008.
- [Caz03] Thierry Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, volume 10. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [CCT03] Michael Christ, James Colliander, and Terence Tao. Ill-posedness for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations. *arXiv preprint math/0311048*, 2003.
- [DLM19] Benjamin Dodson, Jonas Lührmann, and Dana Mendelson. Almost sure local well-posedness and scattering for the 4D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Advances in Mathematics*, 347:619–676, 2019.
- [DLM20] Benjamin Dodson, Jonas Luehrmann, and Dana Mendelson. Almost sure scattering for the 4d energy-critical defocusing nonlinear wave equation with radial data. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 142(2):475–504, 2020.
- [Guo16] Zihua Guo. Sharp spherically averaged Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity*, 29(5):1668, 2016.
- [KMV19] Rowan Killip, Jason Murphy, and Monica Visan. Almost sure scattering for the energy-critical NLS with radial data below $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 44(1):51–71, 2019.
- [LM14] Jonas Lührmann and Dana Mendelson. Random data Cauchy theory for nonlinear wave equations of power-type on \mathbb{R}^3 . *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 39(12):2262–2283, 2014.

- [LZ11] Dong Li and XiaoYi Zhang. Stability of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in critical spaces. *Science China Mathematics*, 54(5):973–986, 2011.
- [Mur19] Jason Murphy. Random data final-state problem for the mass-subcritical NLS in L^2 . *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 147(1):339–350, 2019.
- [NY18] Kenji Nakanishi and Takuto Yamamoto. Randomized final-data problem for systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.05589*, 2018.
- [Poc17] Oana Pocovnicu. Almost sure global well-posedness for the energy-critical defocusing nonlinear wave equation on \mathbb{R}^d , $d=4$ and 5. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 19(8):2521–2575, 2017.
- [Spi21] Martin Spitz. Almost sure local wellposedness and scattering for the energy-critical cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with supercritical data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11051*, 2021.
- [SW16] Elias M Stein and Guido Weiss. Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces (pms-32), volume 32. In *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces (PMS-32), Volume 32*. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [TV05] Terence Tao and Monica Visan. Stability of energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations in high dimensions. *arXiv preprint math/0507005*, 2005.
- [Vis07] Monica Visan. The defocusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in higher dimensions. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 138(2):281–374, 2007.
- [ZF12] Ting Zhang and Daoyuan Fang. Random data Cauchy theory for the generalized incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 14(2):311–324, 2012.

BÂTIMENT DES MATHÉMATIQUES, EPFL, STATION 8, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: katie.marsden@epfl.ch