

Published in: Journal of Nonlinear Science (2022)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-022-09817-6>

**Errata and Addenda to: “Hydrodynamic Vortex on Surfaces” and
“The motion of a vortex on a closed surface of constant negative
curvature”**

Clodoaldo Grotta-Ragazzo*.

Abstract

The two papers in the title contain some equations that are not complete. The missing terms, which are of topological origin, were recently unveiled by Björn Gustafsson. In this note we present the equations of Gustafsson in the case of a single vortex in a compact boundaryless surface, and show that many conclusions we have reached with the incomplete equations remain valid. It seems that the extra-terms in Gustafsson’s equations can be explicitly written in terms of elementary functions only for the two-torus, and this is done at the end of this note.

* Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo,
Rua do Matão 1010, 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
Partially supported by FAPESP grant 2016/25053-8.
email: ragazzo@usp.br
ORCID: 0000-0002-4277-4173

1 Gustafsson's equations.

In a recent paper Björn Gustafsson [2] presented equations for the motion of hydrodynamic vortices on closed surfaces (compact and boundaryless). These equations, which will be referred as Gustafsson's equations, are different from those used by previous authors in the case of surfaces of genus g greater than zero. Gustafsson's introduced extra-terms that correctly couple the motion of the vortices to the variation of the harmonic part of the velocity field.

In this note we present the equations of Gustafsson in the case of a single vortex of intensity one in a closed surface of a genus greater than zero. We will derive Gustafsson's equation not using a renormalized energy as done in [2], but our weak formulation of Euler's equation given in [6]. The reader must consult [2] for the Hamiltonian formulation of the equations of a system of vortices. The ideas in [2] can be used to correct the equations obtained in [6] for systems of vortices in surfaces with boundaries and/or ends and genus greater than zero. Gustafsson's result do not change the equations in [6] when the surface has genus zero but it is not simply connected due to the presence of boundaries or ends, and this we will be explained below.

Every closed surface S of genus $g \geq 1$ can be obtained topologically by identifying in pairs appropriate sides of a $4g$ -sided polygon ([1] chapter 1). In Figure 1 we illustrate the construction of a torus (genus 1) and of a bi-torus (genus 2). Each one of the sides of the polygon $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_g b_g a_g^{-1} b_g^{-1}$ corresponds to a curve in a different homology class of the surface, and the set of $2g$ curves form a basis of the homology of S . The intersection numbers of the curves satisfy $a_j \cdot b_k = \delta_{jk}$, $a_j \cdot a_k = 0$, and $b_j \cdot b_k = 0$, where $\delta_{jk} = 1$ if $j = k$ and zero otherwise, and there exist a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g$ of harmonic 1-forms such that

$$\int_{a_k} \alpha_j = \delta_{jk}, \quad \int_{b_k} \alpha_j = 0, \quad \int_{a_k} \beta_j = 0, \quad \int_{b_k} \beta_j = \delta_{jk} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\int_S \alpha_j \wedge \beta_k = \delta_{jk}, \quad \int_S \alpha_j \wedge \alpha_k = \int_S \beta_j \wedge \beta_k = 0, \quad (2)$$

for $j, k = 1, \dots, g$ (see [1] chapter 3). Note: the harmonic forms are conformal invariants.

We are interested in the motion of an incompressible fluid of density one in a Riemannian surface S . The velocity field of the fluid will be denoted by v and the corresponding velocity one-form by $u = \langle v, \cdot \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product defined by the Riemannian metric. The Riemannian two-form of area will be denoted by μ and the vorticity two-form of the fluid by du .




Figure 1: Normal forms of surfaces of genus 1 and 2.

Euler's equation for the motion of the fluid can be written as ([6] Eq. (3.3)) after using Cartan's identity $L_v u = i_v du + du(v)$:

$$\partial_t u + i_v du = -d \left(p + \frac{|v|^2}{2} \right) \quad (3)$$

A hydrodynamic vortex is a singular velocity field defined in the following way. The Green's function of S is the unique function that satisfies

$$-\Delta_q G(q, p) = \delta_p(q) - V^{-1}, \quad \int_S G(q, p) \mu(q) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta = *d*d$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator¹ on S , δ_p is the δ -distribution of Dirac, and V is the volume of S . A hydrodynamic vortex of intensity one at a point p is the velocity field $q \rightarrow v(q)$ associated with the velocity form $-*d_q G(q, p) = \langle v(q), \cdot \rangle$.

The weak formulation of Euler's equation presented in Section 5 of [6] implies that a hydrodynamic vortex at p must be carried by the regular part of the flow (Eq. (5.7) in *ibid.*)

$$\dot{p} = v_r(p), \quad (5)$$

¹If θ_1 and θ_2 are two orthonormal one-forms on an open set in S , then the Hodge-star operator “ $*$ ” associated with the Riemannian metric is a linear map onto the space of differential forms that satisfies:

$$*1 = \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 = \mu, \quad *\theta_1 = \theta_2, \quad *\theta_2 = -\theta_1, \quad *\mu = 1.$$

where $v_r(p)$ is the regular part of the velocity field v at p . The one-form $U = \langle v_r, \cdot \rangle$, which corresponds to v_r , has two terms

$$U = - * d \frac{R}{2} + \eta, \quad (6)$$

where R and η depend on p . The function R in the first term is the Robin function that is the regular part of the Green's function at p :

$$R(p) = \lim_{\ell(q,p) \rightarrow 0} \left[G(q,p) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \ell(q,p) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\ell(q,p)$ is the Riemannian distance between q and p . The second term η corresponds to a harmonic velocity field, which may exist in the absence of vortices and that generates a “background flow”.

Any harmonic form on S can be written as a linear combination of the forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g$:

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^g A_j \alpha_j + B_j \beta_j. \quad (8)$$

The velocity one-form of the vortex with background flow is

$$q \rightarrow u = - * d_q G(q,p) + \eta(q) \quad (9)$$

where G is the Green's function in equation (4). Following [2], if u satisfies Euler's equation (3), then the time derivative of the circulation along the curve a_1 must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a_1} u &= \int_{a_1} \partial_t u = \\ &= - \int_{a_1} i_v du + \underbrace{\int_{a_1} d \left(p + \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right)}_{=0} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{a_1} \underbrace{i_v \mu}_{*u} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{a_1} * \eta, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have assumed that $p \notin a_1$ and used equation (4) to write $du = - d * d G = -\frac{1}{V} \mu$. Similar equations must hold for other generators $a_2, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$ of the homology.

The left hand side of equation (10) can be simplified using the identities ([2] Section 3.2)

$$d_p \int_{a_j} * d_q G(q,p) = \beta_j, \quad d_p \int_{b_j} * d_q G(q,p) = -\alpha_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad (11)$$

where the integration is with respect to q and α_j and β_j depend on p .

The harmonic forms $\{*\alpha_1, \dots, *\alpha_g, *\beta_1, \dots, *\beta_g\}$ also form a basis of the cohomology of S , that is related to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g\}$ by means of the matrix (see [1] chapter 3 or [2] Eqs. (5.20) and (5.21))

$$\begin{pmatrix} *\alpha \\ *\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{P} \\ -\mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{P} > 0$, $\mathbf{Q} > 0$ and \mathbf{R} are $g \times g$ square matrices given by

$$P_{jk} = \int_S \alpha_j \wedge *\alpha_k, \quad Q_{jk} = \int_S \beta_j \wedge *\beta_k, \quad R_{jk} = \int_S \alpha_j \wedge *\beta_k, \quad (13)$$

and \mathbf{R}^T denotes the transpose of \mathbf{R} .

From equation (10), and its variations for other elements of the homology $a_2, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$, and equations (11) and (12), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}_k - \beta_k[\dot{p}] &= -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{j=1}^g A_j R_{jk} + B_j Q_{jk} \\ \dot{B}_k + \alpha_k[\dot{p}] &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{j=1}^g A_j P_{jk} + B_j R_{kj}, \quad k = 1, \dots, g. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Equations (14) must be complemented by equations (5), i.e. $\dot{p} = v_r(p)$, and (6), i.e. $U = -*d\frac{R}{2} + \eta$, where $U = \langle v_r, \cdot \rangle$ is the ‘‘vortex velocity form’’. Using the relation $i_{\dot{p}}\mu = i_{v_r}\mu = *U$ the equation for the velocity of the vortex can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\dot{p}}\mu &= *U = d\frac{R}{2} + *\eta, \quad \text{where} \\ *\eta &= \sum_{j=1}^g A_j(*\alpha_j) + B_j(*\beta_j). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Equations (14) and (15) are those obtained by Gustafsson [2] for the motion of a unitary vortex in a closed surface.

At this point it is instructive to show why Gustafsson’s results do not change the equations in [6] when the surface has genus zero but it is not simply connected due to the presence of boundaries or ends. The analysis will be done in the context of an example.

Let \mathcal{A} be the Euclidean annulus $\mathcal{A} := \{1 < |z| < 2; z = x+iy \in \mathbb{C}\}$. There is a unique Green’s function F on \mathcal{A} that satisfies $-\Delta_q F(q, p) = \delta_p(q)$ and $F(q, p) = 0$ for $q \in \partial\mathcal{A}$. Consider the velocity one-form $u = -*d_q F(q, p)$.

We will check whether u defined in this way can be a solution to Euler's equation. As we did in equation (10), we will compute the time derivative of the circulation along each boundary component $|z| = j$, $j = 1, 2$, of \mathcal{A} (orientation is positive when the interior of \mathcal{A} is on the left-hand side of the boundary component). We use that $-d_q * d_q F(q, p) = 0$ for $q \neq p$, because F is harmonic, and we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{|z|=j} u = \int_{|z|=j} \partial_t u = - \int_{|z|=j} d \left(p + \frac{|U|^2}{2} \right) = 0. \quad (16)$$

In analogy to equation (11), it can be verified that the functions defined by

$$\omega_j(p) = - \int_{|z|=j} * d_q F(q, p) = \int_{|z|=j} u \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (17)$$

are harmonic on \mathcal{A} , and satisfy $\omega_1(p) = 1$ for $|p| = 1$, $\omega_1(p) = 0$ for $|p| = 2$; and $\omega_2(p) = 1$ for $|p| = 2$, $\omega_2(p) = 0$ for $|p| = 1$ (in this case $\omega_1(p) = \frac{2}{|p|} - 1$ and $\omega_2(p) = -\frac{2}{|p|} + 2$). If p is moving and $\frac{d}{dt} \omega_j(p) \neq 0$, then equation (16) is false, namely circulation is not conserved at the boundaries. In order to fix F we add to it a convenient combination of ω_1 and ω_2 such that the circulations at the boundary components of \mathcal{A} remain constant. If we denote the circulations at the boundaries $|z| = 1$ and $|z| = 2$ by c_1 and c_2 , respectively, then we can prescribe c_1 and c_2 arbitrarily provided that $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ due to Stokes theorem. For instance, if $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$, then the ‘‘hydrodynamic Green's function’’, which is used to define a vortex, is [3, 4] (or [6] Eq. (3.17))

$$G(q, p) = F(q, p) + \frac{\omega_1(p)\omega_1(q)}{4\pi}. \quad (18)$$

The difference between the loss of connectivity due to boundaries and due to genus is that in the former it is always possible to find a Green's function that satisfy the circulation conditions imposed by Euler's equation, possibly by adding harmonic functions, while in the latter it is necessary to add harmonic forms to fulfill the circulation conditions, since the Green's function cannot be modified. The mistake in [6] was to have neglected the role of harmonic forms in surfaces with nontrivial genus in substituting differentials of harmonic functions in surfaces with boundaries.

The effect of Gustafsson's equation upon the paper [6] is restricted to the definition of vortices on surfaces of genus greater than zero, since no example of this kind of surface was treated in *ibid.* The main effect of Gustafsson's equation is in my paper [5]: ‘‘The motion of a vortex on a closed surface of

constant negative curvature”. In this reference, I numerically computed the Robin function on the Bolza surface (of constant curvature and of genus 2) and using equation (15) without the term $*\eta$ I concluded that a vortex in the Bolza surface moves, as previously conjectured by Jair Koiller. The vortex trajectories in Figures 7 and 8 in *ibid.* may not be correct (these figures show the level sets of the Robin function), however the conclusion that the vortex moves is still correct. Indeed, the following four main conclusions obtained in the papers [5] and [6] *remain valid after Gustafsson’s result*:

- (a) A vortex in the Bolza surface, a surface constant of negative curvature -1 and genus 2, moves.
- (b) If S is a closed hyperelliptic surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and constant curvature, then every Weierstrass point of S is an equilibrium of the motion of a single vortex (Theorem 3.4 in [5]).
- (c) It is possible to construct vortex crystals in the Poincaré disc with vortices placed at the lift of the Weierstrass points to the universal covering (see Section 5 of [5] for details).
- (d) A “steady vortex metric” is a Riemannian metric in which a single vortex does not move regardless of its position [6]. A Riemannian metric is a steady vortex metric if, and only if, its Robin function is constant.

The four statements above are corollaries of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If $dR(\bar{p}) = 0$ for a certain $\bar{p} \in S$, then \bar{p} and $\eta = 0$ ($A_1 = \dots = A_g = B_1 = \dots = B_g = 0$) comprise an equilibrium of Gustafsson’s equation.*

For Gustafsson’s equation, a vortex remains at rest regardless of its initial position if, and only if, the Robin function R is constant and η is chosen initially equal to zero.

Proof. The first claim in the theorem is immediate: If $dR(\bar{p}) = 0$ for a certain p , then \bar{p} and $\eta = 0$ comprise an equilibrium of equations (14) and (15).

The velocity of a vortex is null regardless of its position if, and only if, the velocity form $U(p)$ is null for every $p \in S$. The $L_2(S)$ norm of both sides of equation (15) is given by

$$\int_S U \wedge *U = \int_S d\frac{R}{2} \wedge *d\frac{R}{2} + \int_S \eta \wedge *\eta \quad (19)$$

because $\int_S d\frac{R}{2} \wedge \eta = 0$. For any one form $\sigma = \sigma_1\theta_1 + \sigma_2\theta_2$, where θ_1, θ_2 are local orthonormal one-forms, $\sigma \wedge *\sigma = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)\mu$.

Therefore, the left-hand side of equation (19) is zero if, and only if, both terms in the right-hand side are zero. \square

Phase space, Hamiltonian function, and symplectic form.

Let $H^1(S)$ denote the space of harmonic forms on S . The phase space of Gustafsson's equation is the Cartesian product $S \times H^1(S)$. A point in the phase space is a pair (p, η) where $p \in S$ is a possible position of the vortex and $\eta \in H^1(S)$ is a possible harmonic velocity form. Let $\{\alpha_{1q}, \dots, \alpha_{gq}, \beta_{1q}, \dots, \beta_{gq}\}$ be the basis of $H^1(S)$ that satisfies the relations (1). The index $q \in S$ indicates that the form does not act on vectors defined on the first factor of the phase space $S \times H^1(S)$.

We define the Bergman kernel

$$B_{qp} = \sum_{j=1}^g \alpha_{jp} \beta_{jq} - \beta_{jp} \alpha_{jq}, \quad (20)$$

where the index p indicates that the form acts on the first factor of $S \times H^1(S)$. Using equation (2) it is easy to verify that, for any harmonic form $\sigma_q = \sum_k A_k \alpha_{kq} + B_k \beta_{kq}$,

$$\int_{S_q} \sigma_q \wedge B_{qp} = \sigma_p = \sum_j A_j \alpha_{jp} + B_j \beta_{jp}. \quad (21)$$

Using this notation and the definition of B we can rewrite Gustafsson's equations (14) and (15) in a concise way:

$$\dot{\eta}_q + i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp} = \frac{1}{V} * \eta_q \quad (22)$$

$$i_{\dot{p}} \mu_p = d \frac{R_p}{2} + * \eta_p, \quad (23)$$

where $i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp} = \sum_{j=1}^g \alpha_{jp} [\dot{p}] \beta_{jq} - \beta_{jp} [\dot{p}] \alpha_{jq}$.

Let H be the function

$$H(p, \eta) = \frac{R(p)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_q} \eta \wedge * \eta, \quad (24)$$

defined on the phase space².

²If $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^g A_j \alpha_j + B_j \beta_j$, then using equations (12) and (13)

$$\int_{S_q} \eta \wedge * \eta = (A^T, B^T) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{R}^T & \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

The function H is a first integral of Gustafsson's equation, that is $\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{dR[\dot{p}]}{2} + \int_{S_q} \dot{\eta} \wedge * \eta = 0$. Indeed, equation (23) implies $\frac{dR[\dot{p}]}{2} = - * \eta_p[\dot{p}]$ and equation (22) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\eta}_q \wedge * \eta_q + i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp} \wedge * \eta_q &= \frac{1}{V} * \eta_q \wedge * \eta_q = 0 \Rightarrow \\ \int_{S_q} \dot{\eta}_q \wedge * \eta_q &= - \int_{S_q} i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp} \wedge * \eta_q = * \eta_p[\dot{p}], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equation (21).

Note: if \bar{p} is a local minimum of the Robin function, then conservation of H and the positivity of $\int_{S_q} \eta \wedge * \eta$ implies that $(p, \eta) = (\bar{p}, 0)$ is a stable equilibrium.

Let Ω be the following two form defined on $S \times H^1(S)$:

$$\Omega[(\dot{p}, \dot{\eta}), (P, N)] = \mu(\dot{p}, P) - V \int_{S_q} (\dot{\eta}_p + i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp}) \wedge (N_q + i_P B_{qp}) \quad (26)$$

where $(\dot{p}, \dot{\eta})$ and (P, N) is a pair of vectors at a point $(p, \eta) \in S \times H^1(S)$ and the integration is with respect to q . In the coordinates $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^g A_j \alpha_j + B_j \beta_j$, Ω can be written as

$$\Omega = \mu - V \sum_{i=1}^g (dA_j - \beta_{jp}) \wedge (dB_j + \alpha_{jp}). \quad (27)$$

The forms α_{jp} and β_{jp} are closed, and so in any contractible open ball \mathcal{B} in S there exist functions $\xi_j(p)$ and $\zeta_j(p)$ such that $\alpha_{jp} = d\xi_j$ and $\beta_{jp} = d\zeta_j$. The map $\mathcal{B} \times H^1(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \times H^1(S)$ given by

$$(p, (A, B)) \rightarrow (p, (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})) \text{ where } \tilde{A}_j = A_j - \zeta_j(p), \tilde{B}_j = B_j + \xi_j(p), j = 1, \dots, g$$

defines new coordinates on $\mathcal{B} \times H^1(S)$. In these coordinates, which were used in [2],

$$\Omega = \mu - V \sum_{i=1}^g d\tilde{A}_j \wedge d\tilde{B}_j, \quad (28)$$

and it becomes clear that Ω is symplectic.

We will show that $(\dot{p}, \dot{\eta})$ given in equations (22) and (23) can be obtained from the equality

$$\Omega[(\dot{p}, \dot{\eta}), (P, N)] = dH[(P, N)] = \frac{dR}{2}[P] + \int_{S_q} N_q \wedge * \eta_q, \quad (29)$$

that is valid for any vector (P, N) at the point $(p, \eta) \in S \times H^1(S)$. For $P = 0$

$$-V \int_{S_q} (\dot{\eta}_q + i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp}) \wedge N_q = \int_{S_q} N_q \wedge * \eta_q,$$

and equation (22) must hold. For $N = 0$

$$\frac{dR}{2}[P] = \mu(\dot{p}, P) - V \int_{S_q} \underbrace{(\dot{\eta}_p + i_{\dot{p}} B_{qp})}_{=\frac{1}{V} * \eta_q} \wedge i_P B_{qp} = \mu(\dot{p}, P) - i_P \underbrace{\int_{S_q} * \eta_q \wedge B_{qp}}_{=* \eta_p} \quad (21)$$

that implies equation (23).

The equations of motion of a single vortex in the 2-torus.

Every Riemannian two torus is conformally equivalent to a flat torus $\mathbb{R}^2/(\vec{a}\mathbb{Z} + \vec{b}\mathbb{Z})$ where $\vec{a} = a_x \partial_x + a_y \partial_y$ and $\vec{b} = b_x \partial_x + b_y \partial_y$ are the generators of the torus lattice. The volume form of the metric is given by $\mu = \lambda^2 dx \wedge dy$. We assume that the volume of both the torus and the flat torus are one, namely

$$V = \int_S \mu = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_x b_y - a_y b_x = 1. \quad (30)$$

The generators of the homology of the torus are the curves $a := \{t \vec{a} : t \in [0, 1)\}$ and $b := \{t \vec{b} : t \in [0, 1)\}$. The basis of harmonic one forms $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ such that $\int_a \alpha = \int_b \beta = 1$ and $\int_a \beta = \int_b \alpha = 0$ is given by

$$\alpha = b_y dx - b_x dy, \quad \beta = -a_y dx + a_x dy, \quad (31)$$

which implies

$$* \alpha = b_x dx + b_y dy, \quad * \beta = -a_x dx - a_y dy. \quad (32)$$

Let $\dot{x} \partial_x + \dot{y} \partial_y$ denote the velocity of the vortex, R be the Robin function associated with the Riemannian metric³, and $\eta = A\alpha + B\beta$. In this case

³In this case, it can be shown that the Robin function is given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \lambda + 2\phi + \text{const},$$

where ϕ is the solution to the equation in $\mathbb{R}^2/(\vec{a}\mathbb{Z} + \vec{b}\mathbb{Z})$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = \lambda^2 - 1.$$

Gustafsson's equation (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2 \dot{x} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial y} + b_y A - a_y B \\ \lambda^2 \dot{y} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial R}{\partial x} - b_x A + a_x B,\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

and equation (14) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}b_y \dot{A} - a_y \dot{B} - \dot{y} &= b_x A - a_x B \\ -b_x \dot{A} + a_x \dot{B} + \dot{x} &= b_y A - a_y B.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

The Hamiltonian function as defined in equation (24) is

$$H = \frac{R(x, y)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(A, B) \begin{pmatrix} b_x^2 + b_y^2 & -a_x b_x - a_y b_y \\ -a_x b_x - a_y b_y & a_x^2 + a_y^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}\tag{35}$$

The symplectic form as defined in (27) is

$$\Omega = \lambda^2 dx \wedge dy - (dA - \beta) \wedge (dB + \alpha),\tag{36}$$

where α and β are given in equation (31).

If the torus is flat, then $\lambda = 1$, $R = \text{constant}$, and equations (33) and (34) reduce to

$$\dot{x} = b_y A - a_y B, \quad \dot{y} = -b_x A + a_x B, \quad \dot{A} = 0, \quad \dot{B} = 0.\tag{37}$$

The vortex moves along straight lines following the harmonic part of velocity field.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- [1] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra. *Riemann surfaces*. Springer, 1992.
- [2] Gustafsson, Björn. Vortex pairs and dipoles on closed surfaces *J. Non-linear Sci.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-022-09822-9>.
- [3] C.C. Lin (1941): On the motion of vortices in two dimensions. I. Existence of the Kirchhoff-Routh function, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **27**, 570–575 (see also the second part of the paper in the same volume p. 575–577).

- [4] C.C. Lin (1943): On the Motion of Vortices in Two Dimensions, University of Toronto Studies, Applied Mathematics Series **5**, University of Toronto Press.
- [5] C. Grotta-Ragazzo. The motion of a vortex on a closed surface of constant negative curvature. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 473(2206):20170447, 2017.
- [6] C. Grotta-Ragazzo and H. H. B. Viglioni. Hydrodynamic vortex on surfaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 27(5):1609–1640, 2017.